## Organic Dyes

## Photoredox-Catalyzed Addition of Carbamoyl Radicals to Olefins: A 1,4-Dihydropyridine Approach

Luana Cardinale, Mikhail O. Konev,\* and Axel Jacobi von Wangelin\*<sup>[a]</sup>

**Abstract:** Functionalization with C1-building blocks are key synthetic methods in organic synthesis. The low reactivity of the most abundant  $C_1$ -molecule, carbon dioxide, makes alternative carboxylation reactions with  $CO_2$ -surrogates especially important. We report a photoredox-catalyzed protocol for alkene carbamoylations. Readily accessible 4-carboxamido-Hantzsch esters serve as convenient starting materials that generate carbamoyl radicals upon visible light-mediated single-electron transfer. Addition to various alkenes proceeded with high levels of regio- and chemoselectivity.

C<sub>1</sub>-building blocks are among the most abundant and available chemicals (e.g. CO1-2, COCl2, Me-H/OH/Hal, HCN).<sup>[1]</sup> However, the range of diverse C1-building blocks is rather limited, hence access to a wide variety of methods is crucial to their implementation into various chemical syntheses. The use of nucleophilic carbamoyl radicals, as a formal amide synthon and oneelectron-reduced CO<sub>2</sub> surrogate, can provide one of the most valuable C<sub>1</sub>-functional groups and carboxyl derivatives: carboxamides. Amide-containing molecules are ubiquitous across every corner of chemical constituents, from proteins and pharmaceuticals, to polymers and agrochemicals.<sup>[2]</sup> Classical condensation-based protocols<sup>[3]</sup> are readily contrasted with modern and non-obvious retrosynthetic approaches, for example, by less-explored radical strategies (Scheme 1, top). As such, the addition of organic carbon-centered radicals to electron-deficient olefins (Giese reaction) has become a versatile tool for the construction of C-C bonds in organic synthesis.[4a-c] Since its discovery, a variety of free radical species (alkyl,<sup>[5a]</sup> acyl,<sup>[5b]</sup> carbamoyl<sup>[5c]</sup>) have been employed as nucleophiles to furnish their corresponding homologues (alkanes, ketones, amides, respectively). While conventional Giese-type protocols

[a]	L. Cardinale, Dr. M. O. Konev, Prof. Dr. A. Jacobi von Wangelin
	Dept. of Chemistry, University of Hamburg
	Martin Luther King Pl 6, 20146 Hamburg (Germany)
	E-mail: mikhail.konev@uni-hamburg.de
	axel.jacobi@uni-hamburg.de
	Supporting information and the OBCID identification number/

- Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under: https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202002410.
- © 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

rely on harsh conditions for the initiation of the reaction (incl. toxic tin reagents, elevated temperatures, or high-energy UV light), the advent of modern photoredox catalysis has provided milder routes of radical generation.<sup>[6]</sup> Significant progress has been made towards photoredox-catalyzed alkylations and acylations, whereas the convenient formation and wide utilization of free carbamoyl radicals has remained elusive and rather limited, in most of the cases, to the addition to (hetero)arenes in a Minisci-type process.<sup>[7]</sup> The first light-mediated radical carbamoylation of olefins was reported by Elad and Rokach in 1964 via H-atom abstraction of formamide to give low yields and low selectivity of the desired products.<sup>[8]</sup> Photoredox-catalyzed carbamoylations were recently reported by Donald<sup>[9]</sup> via reductive decarboxylation of N-oxyphthalimido oxamides and by Feng<sup>[10]</sup> via a related oxidative decarboxylation of oxamic acids (Scheme 1a). Both protocols involve noble-metal containing photocatalysts and further reaction of the intermediate alkyl radicals onto arene substituents leading to dihydroguinolin-2ones. Hantzsch ester derivatives have only very recently been discovered as convenient precursors to alkyl,<sup>[11]</sup> acyl,<sup>[12]</sup> and during the course of this study—carbamoyl<sup>[13]</sup> radicals via photoredox-catalysis or direct photoexcitation. We surmised that the readily accessible 4-carboxamido-substituted Hantzsch esters (1) could be utilized for an unprecedented photocatalytic approach to mild carbamoyl-radical additions to furnish functionalized carboxamides comprising 1,4-dicarbonyl motifs (Scheme 1b).

Chemistry Europe

European Chemical Societies Publishing

We commenced our study with the reaction of the 4-substituted Hantzsch ester derivative 1 a with benzylidene malonitrile 2a under visible light irradiation (Table 1). We chose the organic dye 3DPAFIPN as a photocatalyst based on its oxidation capability  $(E_{1/2}(PC^*/PC^{-}) = +1.09 \text{ V} \text{ vs. SCE, } CH_3CN)^{[14]}$ which may enable single-electron transfer (SET) from **1 a** ( $E_{pa} =$ +1.17 V vs. SCE, CH<sub>3</sub>CN) to deliver the carbamoyl radical upon aromatization-driven fragmentation. Indeed, irradiation of a solution of 1a and 2a (1.3 equiv) with 2.5 mol % 3DPAFIPN in  $CH_2CI_2$  with blue light ( $\lambda_{max} = 455$  nm) resulted in the formation of the desired dicyano-propionamide 3a in 80% yield (Table 1, entry 1). Alternative organic photocatalysts such as the more reducing 3DPA2FBN ( $E_{1/2}(PC^{+}/PC^{*}) = -1.60 V$  vs. SCE,  $CH_3CN)^{[14]}$  and the more oxidizing Mes-Acr<sup>+</sup> ( $E_{red}$  = +1.88 V vs. SCE, CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sup>[15]</sup> afforded lower yields (entries 2 and 3). The choice of solvent was crucial to the reaction (entry 4, see Supporting Information). Acetonitrile, N,N-dimethyl-formamide, and other chlorinated solvents gave lower yields. The influence of electron mediators (e.g. 1,4-dicyanobenzene, [Ni(bipy)<sub>3</sub>](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, methyl viologen) was tested (entry 7, see SupportCommunication doi.org/10.1002/chem.202002410





Scheme 1. Carbamoyl radicals as CO2-surrogates in the synthesis of carboxylate derivatives by a metal-free, photocatalytic addition to alkenes.

ing Information). Slightly enhanced reactivity was observed in the presence of 1,4-dicyanobenzene. Control experiments established the necessity of photocatalyst and light (entries 8 and 9). With the optimized conditions, we explored the scope of the photoredox-catalyzed carbamoyl-Giese reaction



(Scheme 2). A diverse set of benzylidene malononitriles gave high yields of the adducts (**3 ba-3 fa**) whereas electron-rich aryl substituents lowered the yield significantly. Heteroaryl motifs, such as pyridine (**3 ga**), thiophene (**3 ia**), and furan (**3 ha**), and alkylidene malononitriles (**3 ja**, **3 ka**) were well-tolerated. The reaction was also applied to alternative alkenes with good reactivity including Meldrum's acid, 1,3-indandione, and 1,3-dimethyl barbiturates (**3 la-3 na**). 1,1-Diaryl-ethylene derivatives were also competent radical acceptors (**3 sa-3 wa**). The scope of 4-carboxamido substituents within the Hantzsch esters included acyclic and cyclic *sec-* and *tert-*amides (**3 ab-3 af**).

We performed key experiments in an effort to probe the mechanism of this photoredox-catalyzed carbamoyl addition reaction. The UV/Vis spectrum of the 4-carboxamido-Hantzsch ester 1 a (see Supporting Information) exhibited an absorption maximum at 350 nm. This excludes direct excitation and photolytic reactivity under irradiation with a blue LED ( $\lambda_{max}$  = 455 nm) where only the photocatalyst exhibits considerable absorption. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) studies supported the thermodynamic feasibility of the reaction as the oxidation potential of the Hantzsch ester 1 a ( $E_{pa} = +1.17 V$  vs. SCE, CH<sub>3</sub>CN) matches that of the excited photocatalyst 3DPAFIPN\* ( $E_{1/2}(PC*/$  $PC^{-}$  = +1.09 V vs. SCE, CH<sub>3</sub>CN).<sup>[14]</sup> Fluorescence quenching studies and Stern-Volmer analysis documented that the rate of single-electron transfer between 1 a and the photocatalyst operates at the diffusion limit ( $K_{a} = 9.22 \ 10^{10} \,\text{m}^{-1} \,\text{s}^{-1}$ ), while the quenching with the benzylidene malononitrile 2a is by more than a magnitude slower ( $K_{\alpha} = 2.26 \ 10^9 \,\mathrm{m}^{-1} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ). The standard reaction was completely inhibited in the presence of the radi-

Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 8239-8243

www.chemeurj.org

8240 © 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim





Scheme 2. Scope of the photoredox-catalyzed carbamoylation of alkenes. Isolated yields are given (0.3 mmol scales, 0.1 M in DCM).

cal scavenger (2,2,6,6-tetra-methylpiperidin-1-yl)oxyl (TEMPO, 1 equiv, see Supporting Information); the resultant carbamoyl-TEMPO adduct 4 (Scheme 3) was detected by GC/MS. Based on our synthetic and spectroscopic observations and literature data, we propose the following reaction mechanism: Excitation of the organic dye 3DPAFIPN by blue light ( $\lambda_{max}$ =455 nm) affords the strongly oxidizing species 3DPAFIPN\* that undergoes single-electron transfer (SET) from the electron-rich Hantzsch ester derivative **1**.

The resultant radical cation 1<sup>++</sup> eliminates the carbamoyl radical I upon aromatization-driven fragmentation. Addition of the nucleophilic radical I to the electron-deficient alkene 2 furnishes an electrophilic radical II—via a formal Umpolung reaction—which can engage in a single-electron reduction with

the reduced photocatalyst PC<sup>--</sup>( $E_{1/2}(PC/PC^{--}) = -1.59$  V vs. SCE, CH<sub>3</sub>CN).<sup>16</sup> The stabilized anion III is protonated to give the formal hydro-carboxamidation adduct **3**. Deuteration experiments in the presence of D<sub>2</sub>O supported the formation of the anionic intermediate III. A hydrogen atom transfer was discarded based on the absence of deuterium incorporation in reactions performed in [D<sub>7</sub>]DMF and CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, respectively (see Supporting Information). The operation of long radical chains was excluded by a light-on/off experiment. Over a period of 7 h, steady conversion was recorded under irradiation while no turnover was detected in the dark (Scheme 3, bottom). Finally, we propose that the light-driven reaction is very unlikely to proceed via energy transfer (EnT):<sup>[17]</sup> The triplet energy (E<sub>T</sub>) of such dihydropyridine derivatives<sup>[18]</sup> is  $\approx$  60 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> which is



Scheme 3. Top: Postulated reaction mechanism. Center: Key reaction intermediates. Bottom: Alternating light/dark experiments.

beyond the thermodynamic reach of the active photocatalysts (e.g.  $E_{\tau}\!=\!44.7~kcal\,mol^{-1}$  for Mes-Acr^+).  $^{[19]}$ 

Hantzsch ester derivatives have been demonstrated to undergo direct photoexcitation in the absence of photocatalysts. The resultant highly reducing excited state species<sup>[20]</sup> are capable of engaging in SET with a variety of  $\pi$ -electrophiles to afford products of formal hydrogenation,<sup>[21]</sup> alkylation or acylation.<sup>[22]</sup> Such reactivity may be harnessed in catalyst-free Giese reactions between suitable Hantzsch esters and alkenes, which was already observed in Table 1 (entries 5 and 10). We recorded the UV/Vis spectra of **1 a**, **2 a**, and of their equimolar combination (Figure 1a). The formation of an electron donor-acceptor (EDA) complex became obvious from the bathochromic shift observed when combining both reactants<sup>[23]</sup> that exhibits considerable absorbance at 455 nm.

From the UV/Vis absorption and emission spectra and electrochemical data (see Supporting Information) we determined the redox potential  $E_{ox}(1a^{+}/1a^{*})$  of the excited state  $1a^{*}$  to be -1.9 V vs. SCE according to the Rehm–Weller approximation.<sup>[24]</sup> This observation together with the fluorescence quenching experiments corroborated our hypothesis of effec-



**Figure 1.** a) UV/Vis spectra of **2a** (orange line, 0.05 M in DCM), **1a** (yellow line, 0.05 M in DCM) and a 1:1 mixture of **1a** and **2a** (grey line, 0.05 M in DCM). b) Fluorescence quenching of **1a** in the prescence of increasing amounts of **2a**.

tive SET between **1***a*\* and **2***a* within the EDA complex (Figure 1b).<sup>[25a]</sup> Consequently, reactions under irradiation at 405 nm (for better match with the absorption as the 455 nm irradiation led to only 8% of yield) afforded good reactivity after short reaction times (Table 1, entry 5). A brief substrate scope evaluation showed that electron-deficient alkenes fared better and afforded comparable yields to the photocatalytic conditions above (Scheme 4). However, the more electron-rich 1,1-diphenylethylene remained unreacted.<sup>[25b]</sup>

In conclusion, we have reported a novel photoredox-protocol that enables the facile generation of carbamoyl radicals from 4-carboxamido-1,4-dihydropyridines and their rapid addition to various electron-deficient and 1,1-disubstituted alkenes. Notably, this synthetic strategy relies on the use of easily accessible and bench-stable Hantzsch ester derivatives, the use of an inexpensive organic photocatalyst, and operation under mild conditions at room temperature with visible light. Mechanistic studies supported the notion of a rapid photoinduced SET between the excited photocatalyst and the Hantzsch ester. Finally, mechanistic studies were conducted to support the proposed reaction mechanism. Suitable combinations of Hantzsch esters and alkenes can be reacted through catalystfree direct excitation.



Scheme 4. Catalyst-free carbamoyl additions. 0.3 mmol 1 a; <sup>1</sup>H NMR yields vs. external 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene. [a] Yield obtained under 455 nm irradiation (Table 1, entry 9).



## Acknowledgements

This work was generously supported by the University of Hamburg. M.O.K. thanks the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for an individual research fellowship.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Keywords:** 1,4-dihydropyridines · carbamoyl radicals · Giese reaction · organic dyes · photoredox catalysis

- P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, C<sub>1</sub>-building Blocks in Organic Synthesis Thieme, 2014.
- [2] J. M. Humphrey, A. R. Chamberlin, Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 2243-2266.
- [3] a) V. R. Pattabiraman, J. W. Bode, *Nature* 2011, 480, 471–479; b) R. Marcia de Figueiredo, J.-S. Suppo, J.-M. Campagne, *Chem. Rev.* 2016, 116, 12029–12122.
- [4] a) B. Giese, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1983, 22, 753-764; Angew. Chem. 1983, 95, 771-782; General reviews: b) W. Zhang, Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 7237-7262; c) G. S. C. Srikanth, S. L. Castle, Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 10377-10441; d) G. J. Rowlands, Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 8603-8655; e) C. P. O. Jasperse, D. P. Curran, T. L. Fevig, Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 1237-1286.
- [5] a) B. Giese, J. A. Gonzalez-Gomez, T. Witzel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 69–70; Angew. Chem. 1984, 96, 51–52; b) C. Chatgilialoglu, D. Crich, M. Komatsu, I. Ryu, Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 1991–2069; c) G. Bryon Gill, G. Pattenden, S. J. Reynolds, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1994, 369–378.
- [6] a) C. Raviola, S. Protti, D. Ravelli, M. Fagnoni, *Green Chem.* 2019, *21*, 748–764. For recent selected examples of photoredox-mediated Giese reactions, see: b) A. Millet, Q. Lefevre, M. Rueping, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, *22*, 13464–13468; c) N. P. Ramirez, J. C. Gonzalez-Gomez, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2017, 2154–2163; d) A. ElMarrouni, C. B. Ritts, J. Balsells, *Chem. Sci.* 2018, *9*, 6639–6646; e) B. Abadie, D. Jardel, G. Pozzi, P. Toullec, J.-M. Vincent, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2019, *25*, 16120–16127.
- [7] a) F. Coppa, F. Fontana, E. Lazzarini, F. Minisci, *Heterocycles* 1993, *36*, 2687; b) M. Jouffroy, J. Kong, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2019, *25*, 2217–2221; c) A. H. Jatoi, G. G. Pawar, F. Robert, Y. Landais, *Chem. Commun.* 2019, *55*, 466–469.
- [8] D. Elad, J. Rokach, J. Org. Chem. 1964, 29, 1855-1859.
- [9] W. F. Petersen, R. J. K. Taylor, J. R. Donald, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2017, 15, 5831–5845.
- [10] Q.-F. Bai, C. Jin, J.-Y. He, G. Feng, Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 2172-2175.
- [11] P.-Z. Wang, J.-R. Chen, W.-J. Xiao, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2019, 17, 6936– 6951.

- [12] a) G. Goti, B. Bieszczad, A. Vega-Peñaloza, P. Melchiorre, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 1213–1217; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 1226–1230; b) B. Bieszczad, L. A. Perego, P. Melchiorre, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 16878–16883; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 17034–17039.
- [13] N. Alandini, L. Buzzetti, G. Favi, T. Schulte, L. Candish, K. D. Collins, P. Melchiorre, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 5248–5253; Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 5286–5291.
- [14] E. Speckmeier, T. G. Fischer, K. Zeitler, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 15353–15365.
- [15] S. Fukuzumi, H. Kotani, K. Ohkubo, S. Ogo, N. V. Tkachenko, H. Lemmetyinen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 1600–1601.
- [16] L. Capaldo, D. Merli, M. Fagnoni, D. Ravelli, ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 3054– 3058.
- [17] F. Strieth-Kalthoff, M. J. James, M. Teders, L. Pitzer, F. Glorius, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2018, 47, 7190–7202.
- [18] A. J. Jimenez, M. Fagnoni, M. Mella, A. Albini, J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 6615-6622.
- [19] a) S. Fukuzumi, Electron Transfer: Mechanisms and Applications, Wiley, 2020; b) A. C. Benniston, A. Harriman, P. Li, J. P. Rostron, H. J. van Ramesdonk, M. M. Groeneveld, H. Zhang, J. W. Verhoeven, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 16054–16064.
- [20] J. Jung, J. Kim, G. Park, Y. You, E. J. Cho, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2016, 358, 74–80.
- [21] a) D. M. Hedstrand, W. M. Kruizinga, R. M. Kellogg, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1978**, *19*, 1255–1258; b) J. Zhang, M.-Z. Jin, W. Zhang, L. Yang, Z.-L. Liu, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 9687–9689; c) M. O. Konev, L. Cardinale, A. Jacobi von Wangelin, *Org. Lett.* **2020**, *22*, 1316–1320.
- [22] a) J. Zhang, Y. Li, R. Xu, Y. Chen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 12619–12623; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 12793–12797; b) L. Buzzetti, A. Prieto, S. R. Roy, P. Melchiorre, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 15039–15043; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 15235–15239; c) T. van Leeuwen, L. Buzzetti, L. A. Perego, P. Melchiorre, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 4953–4957; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 5007–5011; d) C. Zheng, G.-Z. Wang, R. Shang, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2019, 361, 4500–4505; e) J. Wu, P. S. Grant, X. Li, A. Noble, V. K. Aggarwal, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 5697–5701; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 5753–5757.
- [23] a) R. S. Mulliken, J. Phys. Chem. 1952, 56, 801–822; b) R. Foster, J. Phys. Chem. 1980, 84, 2135–2141.
- [24] D. Rehm, A. Weller, Isr. J. Chem. 1970, 8, 259-271.
- [25] a) Redox potentials of alkylidene malononitriles: L. Capaldo, D. Merli, M. Fagnoni, D. Ravelli, ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 3054–3058. Redox potentials of styrenes: b) F. Corvaisier, Y. Schuurman, A. Fecant, C. Thomazeau, P. Raybaud, H. Toulhoat, D. Farrusseng, J. Catal. 2013, 307, 352–361; c) A. Dahlén, Å. Nilsson, G. Hilmersson, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 1576–1580.

Manuscript received: May 15, 2020 Accepted manuscript online: May 19, 2020 Version of record online: June 11, 2020

www.chemeurj.org