REVIEW

Decoding epigenetic codes: new frontiers in exploring recovery from spinal cord injury

Bo-Yin Zhang¹, Peng-Yu Chang^{2,*}, Qing-San Zhu¹, Yu-Hang Zhu¹, Saijilafu^{3,*}

1 Department of Orthopedic Surgery, China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin Province, China

2 Department of Radiotherapy, The First Bethune Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin Province, China

3 Department of Orthopedics, The First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu Province, China

Funding: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Nos. 81701225 (to BYZ), 81874254 (to PYC), 81571189 and 81772353 (to Saijilafu); the Excellent Youth Grant of Science and Technology Department of Jilin Province of China, No. 20190103077JH (to BYZ); the Bethune Project of Jilin University of China, No. 2015312 (to BYZ).

Abstract

Spinal cord injury that results in severe neurological disability is often incurable. The poor clinical outcome of spinal cord injury is mainly caused by the failure to reconstruct the injured neural circuits. Several intrinsic and extrinsic determinants contribute to this inability to reconnect. Epigenetic regulation acts as the driving force for multiple pathological and physiological processes in the central nervous system by modulating the expression of certain critical genes. Recent studies have demonstrated that post-SCI alteration of epigenetic landmarks is strongly associated with axon regeneration, glial activation and neurogenesis. These findings not only establish a theoretical foundation for further exploration of spinal cord injury, but also provide new avenues for the clinical treatment of spinal cord injury. This review focuses on the epigenetic regulation in axon regeneration and secondary spinal cord injury. Together, these discoveries are a selection of epigenetic-based prognosis biomarkers and attractive therapeutic targets in the treatment of spinal cord injury.

Key Words: axon regeneration; epigenetic biomarkers; epigenetic modification; histone; microRNA; prognosis; secondary injury; spinal cord injury

Introduction

When spinal cord injury (SCI) results in a catastrophic attack on the central nervous system (CNS), it can lead to permanent limb paralysis or even cardiorespiratory failure. With the expansion in the transportation and construction industries, the incidence and prevalence of SCI have increased steadily. The estimated incidence rate in the United States was 54 cases per million in 2012 (Jain et al., 2015). The rate was slightly lower in China at 37 cases per million. Even so, around 20.5% cases were classified as American Spinal Injury Association grade A and the incomplete paraplegia rate was 27.9% in 2016 (Chen et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019). Consequently, the high incidence and morbidity rates of SCI have become a significant challenge for society and the medical system. Unfortunately, SCI induced neurological disability is currently incurable (Courtine and Sofroniew, 2019).

SCI is a clinical syndrome that consists of multiple pathological processes, and can be divided chronologically into three continuous phases: acute, sub-acute and chronic phases (Ahuja et al., 2017). Pathophysiologically, the devastating neural function consequence of SCI can be attributed to both the primary and secondary injuries of SCI (McDonald and Sadowsky, 2002; Ramer et al., 2014). The primary injury is the mechanical attack on the spinal cord, which directly destroys the integrity of neural circuits of the spinal cord. In this stage, neuroglial cells start to proliferate and limit the lesion from spreading (Barnabé-Heider and Frisén, 2008). Afterwards, stress signaling crosstalk activation and inflammatory cascades trigger the onset of the secondary injury. Secondary injury-induced growth inhibitory molecules, such as chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans and myelin-associated proteins, impede axon re-projection across the glial-rich lesion (Orr and Gensel, 2017). In addition, the post-injury massive inflammatory reaction aggravates the neuron and oligodendrocyte loss and eventually represses neuronal survival in the lesion. Pathologically, internal and external barriers are responsible for the poor functional recovery post-SCI (Vogelaar, 2016). Intrinsically, the poor regenerative responses of cleaved axons hinder the reconstruction of neural connections. Post SCI, both the demyelination and stump degeneration of axons destroy the neuronal network. In addition, compared with precursor cells, the regeneration potential of mature neurons is limited. Extrinsically, glial activation and inflammatory infiltration turn the spinal lesion site into a hostile environment for the regeneration of neurons (Hur et al., 2012). Therefore, restoration of neural function post-SCI requires the favorable coordination of intrinsic neuronal regenerative capacity and the unlocking and coordination of various extrinsic elements (Figure 1).

Epigenetics refers to the regulation of gene expression, orchestrated by chromatin modifications, DNA structure alteration and non-coding of RNAs independent of the DNA sequence transformation (Christopher et al., 2017). In the CNS, emerging evidence demonstrates that epigenetic regulation plays a critical part in multiple pathological and physiological processes, such as proliferation, differentiation,

*Correspondence to: Saijilafu, MD, PhD, saijilafu@suda.edu.cn; Peng-Yu Chang, PhD, changpengyu@jlu.edu.cn.

orcid: 0000-0003-2290-9808 (Saijilafu)

doi: 10.4103/1673-5374.276323

Received: September 19, 2019 Peer review started: September 21, 2019 Accepted: November 7, 2019 Published online: February 28, 2020



Zhang BY, Chang PY, Zhu QS, Zhu YH, Saijilafu (2020) Decoding epigenetic codes: new frontiers in exploring recovery from spinal cord injury. Neural Regen Res 15(9):1613-1622. doi:10.4103/1673-5374.276323

survival and regeneration (Kameda et al., 2018; Sfera et al., 2018). SCI is accompanied by a series of epigenetic landmark alterations, some strongly related to processes such as axon regeneration, glial activation, neurogenesis and ependymal cell reprogramming (Li et al., 2016). By recognizing these epigenetic changes, the SCI associated extracellular stress signal can be translated into intracellular signals readable by endogenous spinal cord cells. Consequently, decoding the regulatory controls behind these post-SCI epigenetic changes is of great importance to enable development of clinical solutions that promote the mechanisms of SCI recovery (**Figure 2** and **Table 1**). Fortunately, technological improvements in epigenetics-associated sequencing and drug delivery have brought these experimental breakthroughs closer to clinical SCI therapy (Zhang et al., 2013; Li and Rana, 2014).

This review summarizes the past two decades of major progress in the understanding of epigenetic regulation in axon regeneration during the secondary recovery phase after SCI. It also focuses on the selection of epigenetics-based prognostic biomarkers and the clinical trials of SCI treatment. The articles published prior to November 2019 were retrieved in PubMed database with the following terms: spinal cord injury; epigenetic modification; axon regeneration; secondary injury; prognosis; histone; microRNA; epigenetic biomarkers.

Epigenetic Modifications and Axon Regeneration Awakening

As a structural and functional unit of a neural circuit, the axon plays a central role in nerve signal transmission. Therefore, injuries in the CNS or the peripheral nervous system require axon regeneration for functional neurological recovery. Unfortunately, in the mammalian CNS, particularly in the spinal cord, most axons exhibit extremely limited regenerative capacity post-injury (Li et al., 2016). The underlying mechanisms, however, remain unknown. Post-injury soma gene expression, such as recruitment of regeneration associated genes (RAGs) and the output of cytoskeleton components, are major determinants of axonal growth capacity (Hur et al., 2012). Previous studies have proposed that poor RAG activation in response to injury is the reason for the failure of axon regeneration post-SCI (Li et al., 2016). By comparison, during development, the axons and dendrites of newborn neurons possess the growth capacity to support those processes to project to their destinations. Once mature, the ability of axons to grow declines and the neurons mainly form new synapses and produce neurotransmitters (Kameda et al., 2018). Physiologically, the developmental process from primitive stage to terminal state of neuron is irreversible. Therefore, the loss of regenerative capacity can be regarded as the cost of acquiring functionality. Fortunately, as an exception to this predicament, the peripheral axotomy provides a silver lining for SCI study. Axotomy triggers the expression of several RAGs in the soma, inducing a vigorous regenerative response that substantially promotes the regeneration of the damaged axon, almost as if the neurons had regressed to

Table 1	1 Potential	neuroepigenetic	targets of s	pinal cord	l iniurv
Table	1 1 Otential	neurocpigenetie	targets or s	pillar core	i mjui y

Targets	Keterences			
Axon regeneration				
Histone acetylation				
AcH4	Finelli et al. (2013)			
H3K9ac	Puttagunta et al. (2014)			
CTCF	Palmisano et al. (2019)			
P/CAF	Puttagunta et al. (2014)			
H3K4me3	Venkatesh et al. (2016)			
Histone deacetylation				
HDAC-I	Lv et al. (2012)			
HDAC5	Weng et al. (2016)			
pan-HDAC	Finelli et al. (2013); Li et al. (2015)			
DNA methylation				
DNMT1	Endres et al. (2000)			
DNMT3 A/B	Kronenberg and Endres (2010)			
MeCP2	Shah and Bird (2017); Horvath and Monteggia (2018)			
DNA demethylation				
GADD45	Befort et al. (2003); Lindner et al. (2013)			
Tets	Perera et al. (2015); Weng et al. (2017)			
Cytoskeleton deacetylation				
Actin	Gao et al. (2007)			
a-Tubulin	Dan et al. (2018)			
MicroRNAs & RBPs				
miR-133b	Yu et al. (2011); Lu et al. (2015)			
miR-210	Hu et al. (2013); Hu et al. (2016)			
mir-26a	Jiang et al. (2015)			
miR-138	Liu et al. (2013)			
miR-132	Yunta et al. (2012); Hancock et al. (2014)			
Lin28 (RBPs)	Wang et al. (2018)			
Secondary SCI reaction				
Oligodendrocytes				
SIR12	Li et al. (2007)			
EZH2 (PRC2)	Sher et al. (2008)			
HDACs	Conway et al. (2012)			
H3K2/me3	He et al. (2017)			
HDACI/2	Shen et al. (2008)			
miR-219	Dugas et al. (2010)			
miR-138	Emery and Lu (2015)			
Astrocytes				
HDACs	Schmidt et al. (2011)			
miR-21	Bhalala et al. (2012) ; Zhang et al. (2019)			
EZH2	Hwang et al. (2014)			
GASCI Microglice & immune	Sudo et al. (2016)			
system				
HDAC3	Mullican et al. (2011)			
IMID3	Lee et al. (2016)			
miR-136-5n	Yin et al. (2017) : Deng et al. (2018) .			
лик 150 5р	Lankford et al. (2018)			
Neuroprotection				
DNMTS	Chestnut et al. (2011); Wong et al. (2013)			
miR-210	Ujigo et al. (2014)			
HDAC3	Lanktord et al. (2018)			

DNMT: DNA methyltransferase family enzyme; HDAC: histone deacetylase; MeCP2: methyl CpG-binding protein 2; P/CAF: p300/CBP-associated factor; RBP: RNA-binding protein.

Zhang BY, Chang PY, Zhu QS, Zhu YH, Saijilafu (2020) Decoding epigenetic codes: new frontiers in exploring recovery from spinal cord injury. Neural Regen Res 15(9):1613-1622. doi:10.4103/1673-5374.276323



Figure 1 Pathological changes and cellular responses post-spinal cord injury (SCI).

SCI can be divided into two continuous phases: primary and secondary. The primary injury is the mechanical spinal cord attack, which directly destroys the integrity of the neural circuit of the spinal cord. In this phase, neuroglial cells are activated and limit the lesion from spreading. Secondary injury turns the SCI lesion into an inhibitory environment, which consists of activated astrocytes and inhibitory molecules, such as chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans and myelin-associated proteins. In addition, post-SCI oligodendrocyte degeneration leads to demyelination and functional loss. SCI associated injury signal turns the resting endogenous stem-like cell into an activated state, and post-injury neurogenesis starts. OPC: Oligodendrocyte precursors.



Figure 2 Deciphering spinal cord injury associated epigenetic codes. Spinal cord injury is a clinical syndrome of multiple disastrous pathological responses. Epigenetic regulation acts as the driving force for multiple pathological and physiological processes in the central nervous system by modulating the expression of certain critical genes. Epigenetic modifications, such as histone or cytoskeleton acetylation, histone or DNA methylation, RNA binding proteins and non-coding RNAs are strongly associated with the progression and recovery of spinal cord injury. Decoding the regulatory motivators behind these modifications post spinal cord injury is of great importance to discover the mechanisms underlying the recovery of spinal cord injury. RBP: RNA-binding proteins.

the development phase (Palmisano and Di Giovanni, 2018). Consequently, improving the expression of RAG in impaired neurons is the key to unlock this regeneration capability (Palmisano et al., 2019). Recently, studies have demonstrated that several epigenetic regulations manipulate the injured axon reprogramming by modulating RAG expression.

Histone modifications and axon regeneration

The three-dimensional conformation of chromatin directly determines DNA accessibility and therefore its gene expression status. The histone modifications, also known as histone codes, on amino-terminal tail lysine residues are flexibly edited by covalent transferases that are critical regulators of chromatin remodeling (Maury and Hashizume, 2017). Recent discoveries have demonstrated the close relationship between histone codes and RAG expression post nerve injury.

Histone acetylation associated modifications

Histone acetylation is under the coupling regulation of histone acetyltransferases (HAT) and histone deacetylases (HDACs). HATs increase histone hydrophobicity by transferring the active acetyl group from coenzyme A to lysine residues in the histone. The acetylated chromatin converts to a less compact state that promotes gene expression. In contrast, HDACs exerts a gene silencing effect during transcription (Stam et al., 2007). For matured neurons, a lower histone acetylation level is beneficial to maintain structural and functional stability. However, this stability usually reduces the neuron repair capacity.

Recent studies have suggested that the poor regenerative performance of axons is probably caused by the H4 hypoacetylation state that inhibits the axon reprogramming post-injury. However, a peripheral axotomy triggers an up-regulation of H4 acetylation, which leads to AcH4 enrichment at RAGs promoter regions (Finelli et al., 2013). H3K9 acetylation modifications also play a pivotal role in the regulation of RAGs expression. A sciatic nerve axotomy increases the active epigenetic marker H3K9ac and decreases the repressive epigenetic marker H3K9me2. The H3K9ac is then recruited at the promoter sites of RAGs, such as gap43, galanin, and bdnf (Puttagunta et al., 2014). Furthermore, the sequence-specific DNA-binding protein CTCF (CCCTC-binding factor) is recognized as an essential neuroepigenetic element of peripheral conditional lesion-induced axon regeneration (Palmisano et al., 2019). SCI also promotes sensory axon regeneration by facilitating RAG expression through exogenous overexpression of acetyltransferases, such as p300/CBP-associated factor (P/CAF) (Puttagunta et al., 2014).

In a rodent SCI model the locomotor function could be profoundly accelerated by employing the HDAC I inhibitor valproic acid post-injury. Mechanically, the valproic acid-in-

duced a hyperacetylation condition that not only promotes glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) expression, but also weakens the axon tip recognition of Nogo-A inhibitory signals (Lv et al., 2012). Similarly, the artificial elevation of histone acetylation levels by applying HDACs inhibitors, such as trichostatin A and MS-275, can largely accelerate RAGs expression and sensory axon regeneration post-injury (Finelli et al., 2013). As well as histone residues, axon cytoskeleton components also serve as substrates of HDACs (Li et al., 2015). The traumatically induced calcium influx translocates phosphorylated PKCu from the cytoplasm into the nucleus, which in turn exports HDAC5 to the cytoplasm. The exported HDAC5 was portaged to the growth cone via axonal transportation. By deacetylating the microtubules in a growth cone, HDAC5 enhances the cytoskeletal dynamics, and promotes axon regeneration (Weng et al., 2016). In addition, Gao et al. (2007) demonstrated that HDAC6 orchestrates the cytoskeletal remodeling in a actin-based manner. As well as actin, another axon cytoskeleton component, a-tubulin, acts as a substrate for acetylation modification. Specifically, a-tubulin acetylation turns microtubules into a hypo-dynamic state, this then acts as a molecular brake on axon regrowth and over branching during development (Dan et al., 2018).

Histone methylation associated modifications

Histone methylation is another typical epigenetic modification that regulates chromatin accessibility. One of the most remarkable characteristics of histone methylation modification is the regulation of bivalent domains (Swigut and Wysocka, 2007). Structurally, the bivalent domain is shaped by two components, the negative unit H3K27me3, and the positive unit H3K4me3. These units exert conjugated regulation on the expression of certain genes. Consequently, any factors that break the bivalent domain balance will influence the modified gene expression status. A recent study in E15 cortical neurons suggests that H3K4me3 is enriched at the promoter regions of RAGs, such as gap43, sprr1a, integrina7, and galanin, that play a positive role in gene expression. However, the H3K4me3 enrichment at RAGs promoter sites was replaced by H3K27me3 after maturity (Venkatesh et al., 2016). Accordingly, the poor growth capacity of mature neurons is in part due to the inhibition of RAGs gene expression by H3K27me3. Although direct evidence of axon regeneration related histone methylation modification is yet to be explored, it might be worthwhile system to investigate as a possible check on the effectiveness of future treatment for SCI.

DNA modifications and axon regeneration

To maintain the stability of the mature nerve system, differentiated neurons are bound by inhibitory epigenetic marks, which turn the neuron into a conservative state. Although those marks can guarantee the stable performance of neurons, they deprive the mature neurons of the capability for robust growth. DNA methylation is one of the key epigenetic codes that inhibit axon regeneration. Under the catalysis by DNA methyltransferase family enzymes (DNMTs), the 5-methylcytosine is deposited at cytosine-phosphate-guanine (CpG) dinucleotides when an active methyl group is added to cytosine nucleotides of a DNA single strand. Notably, DNA methylation is under reversible regulation by DN-MTs and demethylation proteins, which can add and remove methylation groups during transcription (Zou et al., 2009). Accordingly, deciphering and manipulating those epigenetic codes in mature neurons will raise the possibility of crossing the intrinsic barrier to axon regeneration.

DNA methylation

Folate-mediated DNA modification was early evidence of axon-associated DNA methylation. The folate, also named vitamin B9, was originally discovered as a donor of single-carbon metabolism (Balaghi and Wagner, 1993). In the sciatic transection model, there is a sharp up-regulation of the folate receptor-1 in lesions, which enhances the folate uptake capacity of injured neurons (Iskandar et al., 2010). Both DNMT3A and B expression and global DNA methylation are simultaneously and dramatically decreased post nerve injury. Based on this evidence, the nerve-related therapeutic effects of folate mediated DNA modification were further explored. By employing exogenous folate, the DNMT3A and B protein expression of injured nerves was restored, and the de novo DNA methylation was maintained. Importantly, the axon regeneration was largely promoted under the abundant folate state, which supplied convincing evidence for its clinical application (Kronenberg and Endres, 2010). Post ischemic CNS injury, the DNMT1-dependent DNA methylation increased in the lesion. Selective knockout of DNMT1, other than pan DNMT, definitely contributes to the synapse formation and neuron survival (Endres et al., 2000). It is paradoxical that subunits of DNMTs family, such as DNMT1 and DNMT3A/B, exhibit distinct responses to nerve injury stress. Functionally, DNMT3 regulates de novo DNA methylation, while DNMT1 is responsible for maintaining DNA methylation levels, especially during DNA replication. The diversity of gene capture among DNMTs subunits might be a possible explanation for their functional difference. For instance, the inactivation of DNMT1 is likely to unlock the RAGs, which were restrained by the stable DNA methylation. More investigations are still necessary to determine the DNMTs' regulation network. In addition to regulation of axonal regeneration, DNMTs were demonstrated to mediate motor neuron death in neurological diseases such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (Wong et al., 2013). In patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, the DNMT up-regulation induced aberrant DNA methylation in motor neurons; this may indicate a possible mechanism of motor neuron apoptosis and neurodegeneration (Chestnut et al., 2011). Consequently, more investigations are still necessary to determine the DNMTs' regulation mechanisms in nerve systems to balance the benefits and detriments of DNMTs post-SCI.

Methyl CpG-binding protein 2 (MeCP2) is a methyl-CpG-binding protein that acts as a reader for DNA methylation. MeCP2 expression is enriched in CNS neurons and the mutation of MeCP2 is the molecular etiology for the X-linked dominant disorder Rett syndrome (Shah and Bird, 2017). Earlier studies have recognized MeCP2 as a pure transcription repressor (Martinowich et al., 2003). One of the well-known direct downstream targets of MeCP2 is BDNF; which is critical for neuron survival, synaptogenesis, and axon outgrowth (Chen et al., 2003). The binding of MeCP2 and Bdnf promoter leads to suppression of BDNF expression. Neuronal stimulation-induced MeCP2 phosphorylation can expose the Bdnf promoter and facilitate BDNF synthesis. However, besides its repressor property, MeCP2 has been shown to act as an activator of gene expression (Horvath and Monteggia, 2018). Chahrour et al. (2008) examined the gene expression profiles in MeCP2 overexpression conditions and found that thousands of gene expressions were up-regulated post MeCP2 overexpression. In addition, MeCP2 was detected to form a positive transcription complex with cAMP response element-binding 1, a positive regulator of RAGs, in the brain during transcription (Lindner et al., 2013). These findings not only deepen the understanding of the molecular mechanisms of Rett syndrome-associated neurological disorders but provide new insights into axon regeneration.

DNA demethylation

DNA methylation markers can be removed by certain DNA demethylation proteins. Ten-eleven translocation (Tet) methylcytosine dioxygenase can oxidize the 5-methylcytosine to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5-hmC) in DNA, which acts as a DNA demethylation protein during transcription. In the postnatal period, the constant accumulation of 5hmC induced by Tet mediated oxidation was seen to be correlated to retinal cell differentiation and axon outgrowth (Perera et al., 2015). Weng et al. (2017) discovered that the lesioning of the peripheral nerve induced axon regeneration that was parallel to the up-regulation of Tet3 expression in dorsal root ganglion neurons. Certain RAGs, such as Atf3, which were previously blocked by DNA methylation, were unlocked by post-injury induced Tet3 DNA demethylation (Weng et al., 2017). GADD45 has DNA demethylation activity and is important for post damage DNA repair (Barreto et al., 2007). In both the sensory and motor neurons, GADD45 expression is sharply increased post nerve injury (Befort et al., 2003). In addition, the intracellular distribution of GADD45 is consistent with RAGs such as *c-Jun* (Lindner et al., 2013). Based on this evidence, the potential relationships between DNA demethylation proteins and axon regeneration are worth further exploration.

MicroRNAs and axon regeneration

MicroRNAs (miRs) are a class of small non-coding RNAs involved in multiple biological processes via post-transcriptional regulation (Rajman and Schratt, 2017). Recent studies have discovered that Dicer-dependent miRs are involved in post-injury regulation of axon regeneration. After nerve damage in Dicer-knockout mice there was less regeneration of sensory nerves when assessed by various criteria (Wu et al., 2012). The miRs expression spectrum swings dramatically in the rodent SCI model. Some modulated miRs target RAGs which navigate axon regeneration (Li et al., 2016). Consequently, miRs are turning out to be crucial intrinsic epigenetic regulators that manipulate either central or peripheral axon regeneration post-injury. MiR-132 acts as a positive regulator, which promotes the axon extension during development, by suppressing its downstream target RasGTPase activator Rasa1 (Hachisuka et al., 2014). Interestingly, miR-132 is one of the up-regulated miRs post spinal cord ischemic injury (Yunta et al., 2012). A recent study in adult zebrafish demonstrated that exogenous overexpression of miR-133b not only promotes the post-SCI axon regeneration but also largely improved the recovery of motor function by restricting the RhoA signaling pathway (Yu et al., 2011). In addition, miR-133b was proven to promote neurite outgrowth in both cortical neurons and PC12 line cells (Lu et al., 2015). In a rat ischemia-reperfusion SCI model, miR-210 was down-regulated more than 2-fold (Hu et al., 2013). Hu et al. (2016) found that inhibition of endogenous miR-210 in dorsal root ganglion impedes both in vitro and in vivo axon regeneration and that the inactivation of ephrin-A3 (EFNA3) successfully rescued axons from their inability to regenerate. Another study suggested that miR-210 overexpression promotes antiapoptosis and angiogenesis in a Wnt pathway-dependent manner, which improves the recovery of neurological function post-SCI (Ujigo et al., 2014). These results suggest that the SCI-induced miR-210 down-regulation is probably harmful to post-injury axon regeneration; modulation of miR-210 expression is expected to be a potential target for future SCI clinical trials. Additionally, the author's group found that miR-26a expression promotes mammalian sensory axon regeneration by inhibiting glycogen synthase kinase 3ß (GSK3ß) mediated Smad1 inactivation (Jiang et al., 2015). Furthermore, miRs and certain epigenetic modifiers compose an epigenetic regulation feedback loop to operate gene expression jointly, such as the miR-138/SIRT1 negative feedback loop in the regulation of axon regeneration (Liu et al., 2013). As well as non-coding RNAs, certain RNA-binding proteins (RBP) also act as determinants during axon regeneration. Lin28 is an RBP that is highly conservative throughout evolution, which promotes the efficiency of human somatic cell reprogramming (Viswanathan and Daley, 2010). A recent study demonstrated that homologs of Lin28 and Lin28a/b are both required for axon regeneration in mature mammals. Significantly, Lin28a overexpression can largely promote axon regeneration in mature retinal ganglion cells of the CNS (Wang et al., 2018). Although the molecular mechanisms underlying axon regeneration and the pathogenesis of SCI have yet to be explored, the strong relationship between epigenetic factors and axon regeneration in the CNS has been adequately demonstrated in these studies. Consequently, a favorable orchestration of epigenetic factors and regulatory network is likely to turn sleeping mature neurons into a regenerative competent state, which will help develop promising novel therapeutic targets for SCI therapy.

Epigenetic Modifications and Secondary SCI Epigenetic modifications and oligodendrocytes

Unlike transection in SCI animal models, the majority of clinical SCI patients are incompletely injured, which indicates that theoretically, the surviving axons in lesions are still capable of nerve conduction. However, the secondary SCI-induces widespread apoptosis of oligodendrocytes (OL) that leads to demyelination and axon degeneration, which eventually destroys the neural circuits. Therefore, promoting OL regeneration post-SCI is of great clinical significance for the recovery of neurological function. Even if stem cell transplantation could replace the cell loss post-SCI exogenously, limitations such as ethical principles and bio-safety still impede the clinical application of cell graft (Barnabé-Heider and Frisén, 2008). In rodent SCI models, although mature OLs could not regenerate, a gradual increase in the number of OLs was observed at the lesion site (Barnabé-Heider and Frisén, 2008). Based on this evidence, studies identified endogenous spinal cord stem cell and oligodendrocyte precursors (OPC) in the spinal cord as the main sources of OL accumulation post-injury. It is important to note that some epigenetic factors play critical roles during the recruitment and neurogenesis of endogenous spinal cord stem cells (Kameda et al., 2018; Koreman et al., 2018).

Enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2) is the catalytic subunit of histone methyltransferase polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2); it is capable of catalyzing the H3K27 trimethylation (Boyer et al., 2006). The lncOL1-mediated H3 trimethylation modulates OL lineage proliferation and differentiation (He et al., 2017). Another in vitro study suggested that EZH2 overexpression promotes OPC propagation and inhibits astrocyte expansion. In contrast, a reduced EZH2 intracellular expression decreased the percentage of OL and promoted AS generation (Sher et al., 2008). To some extent, H3K27me3 enrichment-induced OL differentiation seems to contradict its conservative epigenetic inhibitory roles. Although the specific mechanisms remain unknown, this paradoxical phenomenon might be related to the following reasons: (1) H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 form H3 bivalent domains in chromatin, and jointly regulate gene expression (Swigut and Wysocka, 2007). (2) H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 play domain repressive and permissive roles in gene regulation, respectively. In this case, certain inhibitory genes against OL differentiation might be blocked by EZH2-mediated H3K27me3. It will be interesting to discover the contributing genes in future studies. Postnatal OL cell-specific Dicer ablation leads to OL maturation associated phenotypes in the CNS, such as demyelination and neural degeneration. This finding indicates that miR-mediated gene expression regulation is critical for OL lineage differentiation (Shin et al., 2009; Dugas et al., 2010). MiR-219 was shown to inhibit OL proliferation-associated gene expressions, such as PDGFRa, Sox6, and FoxJ3. Dicer1 ablation significantly increased miR-219 expression in the early stages of OL differentiation. In rodent OPC, miR-219 overexpression rescues the Dicer1 ablation-induced OL differentiation disruption (Dugas et al., 2010). MiR-138 is expressed in the early phase of OL differentiation and down-regulated in mature OLs. The miR-138 overexpression in OPC prolongs the differentiation duration of OPC to OL (Emery and Lu, 2015). Total inhibition of HDAC activity blocks the early phase of OPC differentiation, rather than the later, onset of myelination phase (Conway et al., 2012). Recent studies have suggested that class I HDACs (HDAC1 and 2) are important for OPC differentiation *in vitro* (Shen et al., 2008). SIRT2, a class III HDAC dependent deacetylase, was discovered to be enriched in OLs and myelin sheath. A siRNA-induced SIRT2 knockdown not only reduces tubulin deacetylation, but promotes OPC differentiation (Li et al., 2007). Although the exact role of HDACs in OL lineage progression remains unexplored, the evidence suggests that it works in a stage-specific manner during OL-associated regulation.

Epigenetic modifications and astrocytes

Shortly after SCI, cellular events such as inflammatory infiltration, glial activation, and ependymal cell division are beneficial in the limitation of inflammation and tissue damage repair. However, the secondary SCI-induced neuroinflammation cascades turn the spinal lesion into a hostile environment that impedes axon regeneration and the eventual survival of neurons. Reactive astrocytes are necessary factors for the post-SCI microenvironment but play roles of mixed benefit in SCI recovery (Cregg et al., 2014; Anderson et al., 2016). Emerging studies have suggested that epigenetic modifications regulate astrocyte proliferation and glia-associated astrocytes response. Recent studies have shown that miR-21 is expressed at low levels in an intact spinal cord but is elevated dramatically post SCI. A miR-21 inhibition promotes the response of reactive hypertrophic astrocytes, which encourage axon growth through the glial scar. In contrast, miR-21 overexpression represses the permissive axon response (Bhalala et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2019). In addition, histone modifications are critical for the generation and migration of astrocytes. EZH2 expression in the subventricular zone of postnatal mice is required for neural stem cell (NSC) self-renewal and lineage differentiation toward the astrocyte lineage. EZH2 regulates the proliferation and lineage specification by mechanically repressing the expression of Ink4a or Oligo2, respectively (Hwang et al., 2014). The H3K9 demethylase, GASC1, is a marker of the distribution and migration of astrocytes, whereas abnormal astrocyte arrangements result in neurobehavioral phenotypes in Gasc1-hypomorphic mice (Sudo et al., 2016). Glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) is a type III intermediate filament in astrocytes. The GFAP expression profiles correlates with injury responses and disease incidence in the CNS (Sticozzi et al., 2013; Clairembault et al., 2014). The inhibition of HDACs activity with Trichostatin-A represses the GFAP expression in astrocytes that results in incomplete astrocyte maturation. The intermediate filament network dysregulation and GFAP aggregation are possible molecular etiologies of some neurological diseases, such as Alexander's disease (Schmidt et al., 2011).

Epigenetic modifications of microglia and immune system Microglial polarization phenotypes are key determinants of the CNS inflammatory progression. In a naïve spinal cord, most microglials are M2 type (immunosuppressive type) which are beneficial for wound healing (Tang et al., 2014). However, secondary SCI turns microglials into the immune activated type (M1 type), which exaggerates the inflammatory response. Histone H3K27me3 demethylase Jumonji domain-containing protein 3 (JMJD3) was critical for microglial polarization. N9 microglial-specific inhibition of JMJD3 promotes M1 cell activation and inflammation-induced neuron death. HDAC3 prevents M2 cell formation by inhibiting Th2 cytokines exposure. The deletion of HDAC3 significantly limits the inflammatory disorders by enhancing M2 cell-induced alternative activation (Mullican et al., 2011). Additionally, a recent study demonstrated that HDAC3 inhibition promotes caspase-dependent apoptosis (Lankford et al., 2018). The miR-136-5p participates in the rodent post-SCI inflammatory response by regulating inflammatory cell infiltration. Silencing of miR-136-5p reduces the expression of mediators such as interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor alpha, and interferon-alpha, which ameliorates inflammatory damage of the spinal cord (Yin et al., 2017; Deng et al., 2018; Lankford et al., 2018).

Apart from the neuroglia cell reaction, activation of the SCI-induced immune system also plays a critical role in pathological responses to post-injury (Vogelaar, 2016). Lymphocyte activation was detected in spinal cord tissue post-SCI, which could be negative or positive for SCI recovery (Ankeny et al., 2006). Continuous primary and secondary injury, not only in the spinal cord but in the blood-spinal cord barrier, result from severe damage. Consequently, self-antigens, such as myelin, phospholipids and cytokines, are exposed to either local lesions or peripheral blood. These exposures trigger an autoimmune reaction, exacerbating the tissue damage and eventual neuron loss (Schmidt et al., 2011). By transferring the MACS separated CD4⁺ T cells into the SCI epicenter, the major histocompatibility class II (MHC II) knockout induced axon growth and post-SCI neural survival deficiency could be largely rescued (Walsh et al., 2015). The study concluded that, among these exogenous CD4⁺ T lymphocytes, Th2 lymphocytes were the driving force for neuroprotection and functional recovery post-SCI.

Epigenetic modifications and blood-spinal cord barrier

The integrity of the blood-spinal cord barrier is crucial for the maintenance of the extracellular neuronal environment of the spinal cord. However, SCI-induced matrix metalloprotease activation results in blood-spinal cord barrier disruption that leads to catastrophic events such as inflammatory infiltration and neuronal apoptosis. Along with nuclear factor kappa-B, JMJD3 regulates the gene expressions of *mmp-3/mmp-9* post-SCI. JMJD3 deletion not only decreases blood-spinal cord barrier permeability by matrix metalloprotease repression, but promotes the recovery of neurological function (Lee et al., 2016). Even though few direct studies support the theory that epigenetic modifications-based mechanisms regulate secondary SCI, the evidence suggests that the modulation of those epigenetic factors presents potential targets for the treatment of secondary SCI.

Epigenetic Biomarkers for SCI Prognosis

In view of the heterogeneous and complex nature of SCI, the outcomes and prognosis are generally hard to predict (Kwon et al., 2019). Consequently, a reliable system to forecast the prognosis and evaluate the effect of a treatment is important for the clinical treatment of SCI. Several prognostic SCI biomarkers, either in cerebrospinal fluid or peripheral blood, have been identified. Remarkably, some of the biomarker candidates are epigenetic factors which have been proved to be strongly associated with axon regeneration and glial activation post nerve injury (Yokobori et al., 2015; Rodrigues et al., 2018; Paim et al., 2019). The miRs may be accurate biomarkers because of their tissue specificity and expression constancy. For some neurosurgery diseases, such as ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament and low-grade gliomas, circulating miRs have already been identified as potential prognostic indicators (Xu et al., 2019). These injury-induced peripheral blood miRs modulations were first identified in a rodent SCI model (Nakanishi et al., 2010). They reported that miR-223 expression was up-regulated in the first few post-injury days. Conversely, there was a greater suppression of miR-124a expression in the first week after SCI. The bioinformatics significances of the miR modulation include responses such as inflammatory activation and cell death (Nakanishi et al., 2010). Recent studies have recognized that miR-9 is a promising biomarker to evaluate the severity of acute-phase SCI in peripheral blood (Hachisuka et al., 2014; Paim et al., 2019). No blood-derived epigenetic biomarkers have been developed from human SCI patients, even though advantages such as minimal invasion and accuracy of miRs are very good. Certain specific miRs could potentially be used as prospective indicators for treatment and prognosis of SCI.

Exogenous Stimulation-Induced Epigenetic Modification

As well as acting as the driving force of SCI response, epigenetic modification states are also consequences of neuronal stimulations. The neuropathic pain initiated epigenetic alteration was demonstrated in a rodent peripheral nerve ligation model. The study found that sciatic nerve ligation triggers pro-inflammatory cytokine monocyte chemotactic protein-3 (MCP-3) up-regulation in the spinal cord. Analysis of spinal cord histone profiles post-injury detected diluted H3K27me3 at the promoter region of Mcp-3 (Descalzi et al., 2015). Recent studies demonstrated that exogenous transient neuronal activation triggered chromatin de-concentration, which largely enhances chromatin accessibility. In addition, the induced de-concentration was enriched at active enhancer regions, which were co-marked by permissive histone marks such as H3K4me1 and H3K27ac (Su et al., 2017). These results suggest that the neuronal stimulation-induced Zhang BY, Chang PY, Zhu QS, Zhu YH, Saijilafu (2020) Decoding epigenetic codes: new frontiers in exploring recovery from spinal cord injury. Neural Regen Res 15(9):1613-1622. doi:10.4103/1673-5374.276323

epigenetic modifications spread over the whole nerve system. This phenomenon might help explain the mechanism of rehabilitation therapies such as medium frequency electric stimulation from the epigenetic point of view. Accordingly, techniques such as electrophysiological stimuli and artificial neuromodulator, which are competent to maneuver neuronal activation, will probably provide opportunities to regulate neurological function of the CNS via epigenetic modification mechanisms.

Conclusion and Perspective

SCI-induced neurological disability is predominantly attributed to failure of axons to regenerate in a spinal cord lesion. Recent studies have demonstrated that epigenetic regulations play pivotal roles in both axonal intrinsic regenerative responses and the regulation of extrinsic elements. Recent evidence has shown that epigenetic modifications and associated regulations are involved in key aspects of SCI recovery, such as axon regeneration, glial activation, inflammatory response and endogenous NSC reprogram. These breakthroughs provide favorable candidates for SCI research and are promising targets for clinical SCI therapy (Goldman, 2016). These new discoveries can establish reliable epigenetic biomarker systems for forecasting SCI prognosis and clinical evaluation. With the improvement and optimization of bioinformatics databases, the implications for SCI-induced epigenetic changes could be further interpreted for clinical use.

Due to their small size and conserved nucleotide sequence, miRs act as pioneers in epigenetic related translational medicine research. With the development in chemical syntheses and drug delivery technologies, several clinical trials based on liposomes and antisense nucleotides have been launched. For instance, SPC3649, an anti-HCV drug by Santaris, based on miR-122 antisense nucleotide and PF-655 by Quark and Pfizer, which activates RTP801 gene expression, has been developed. The safety, tolerance, and therapeutic effect of these drugs have been fully confirmed in previous clinical trials. Even so, some outstanding challenges remain, such as the hybridization associated with off-target effects and delivery related concerns (Burnett and Rossi, 2012; Zhang et al., 2013; Li and Rana, 2014). Despite of the aforementioned progress, epigenetic mechanisms underlying SCI remain unclear. Significant efforts will be necessary for the exploration and verification of SCI associated epigenetic targets. With the deepening understanding of SCI molecular mechanisms, as well as the improvement in technology, epigenetics-based intervention approaches will definitely have broad and beneficial prospects in clinical SCI treatment.

Acknowledgments: We thank Ying Wang and Taylor Zhang from Changchun Ploy Developments Co., Ltd., China for language editing service.

Author contributions: Conceptualization: BYZ and Saijilafu; manuscript writing: BYZ and PYC; figures drawing: BYZ and QSZ; manuscript revision: Saijilafu and YHZ. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of this manuscript.

Conflicts of interest: *The authors declare no conflicts of interest.*

Financial support: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Nos. 81701225 (to BYZ), 81874254 (to

PYC), 81571189 and 81772353 (to Saijilafu); the Excellent Youth Grant of Science and Technology Department of Jilin Province of China, No. 20190103077JH (to BYZ); the Bethune Project of Jilin University of China, No. 2015312 (to BYZ). The funding sources had no role in study conception and design, data analysis or interpretation, paper writing or deciding to submit this paper for publication.

Copyright license agreement: The Copyright License Agreement has been signed by all authors before publication.

Plagiarism check: Checked twice by iThenticate.

Peer review: Externally peer reviewed.

Open access statement: This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Open peer reviewer: Wenrui Qu, Indiana University School of Medicine, USA.

Additional file: Open peer review report 1.

References

- Ahuja CS, Wilson JR, Nori S, Kotter MRN, Druschel C, Curt A, Fehlings MG (2017) Traumatic spinal cord injury. Nat Rev Dis Primers 3:17018.
- Anderson MA, Burda JE, Ren Y, Ao Y, O'Shea TM, Kawaguchi R, Coppola G, Khakh BS, Deming TJ, Sofroniew MV (2016) Astrocyte scar formation aids central nervous system axon regeneration. Nature 532:195-200.
- Ankeny DP, Lucin KM, Sanders VM, McGaughy VM, Popovich PG (2006) Spinal cord injury triggers systemic autoimmunity: evidence for chronic B lymphocyte activation and lupus-like autoantibody synthesis. J Neurochem 99:1073-1087.
- Balaghi M, Wagner C (1993) DNA methylation in folate deficiency: use of CpG methylase. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 193:1184-1190.
- Barnabé-Heider F, Frisén J (2008) Stem cells for spinal cord repair. Cell Stem Cell 3:16-24.
- Barreto G, Schäfer A, Marhold J, Stach D, Swaminathan SK, Handa V, Döderlein G, Maltry N, Wu W, Lyko F, Niehrs C (2007) Gadd45a promotes epigenetic gene activation by repair-mediated DNA demethylation. Nature 445:671-675.
- Befort K, Karchewski L, Lanoue C, Woolf CJ (2003) Selective up-regulation of the growth arrest DNA damage-inducible gene Gadd45 alpha in sensory and motor neurons after peripheral nerve injury. Eur J Neurosci 18:911-922.
- Bhalala OG, Pan L, Sahni V, McGuire TL, Gruner K, Tourtellotte WG, Kessler JA (2012) microRNA-21 regulates astrocytic response following spinal cord injury. J Neurosci 32:17935-17947.
- Boyer LA, Plath K, Zeitlinger J, Brambrink T, Medeiros LA, Lee TI, Levine SS, Wernig M, Tajonar A, Ray MK, Bell GW, Otte AP, Vidal M, Gifford DK, Young RA, Jaenisch R (2006) Polycomb complexes repress developmental regulators in murine embryonic stem cells. Nature 441:349-353.
- Burnett JC, Rossi JJ (2012) RNA-based therapeutics: current progress and future prospects. Chem Biol 19:60-71.
- Chahrour M, Jung SY, Shaw C, Zhou X, Wong STC, Qin J, Zoghbi HY (2008) MeCP2, a key contributor to neurological disease, activates and represses transcription. Science 320:1224-1229.
- Chen WG, Chang Q, Lin Y, Meissner A, West AE, Griffith EC, Jaenisch R, Greenberg ME (2003) Derepression of BDNF transcription involves calcium-dependent phosphorylation of MeCP2. Science 302:885-889.
- Chen XY, Chen D, Chen CH, Wang K, Tang L, Li YZ, Wu AM (2018) The epidemiology and disease burden of traumatic spinal cord injury in China: a systematic review. Zhongguo Xunzheng Yixue Zazhi 18:143-150.
- Chestnut BA, Chang Q, Price A, Lesuisse C, Wong M, Martin LJ (2011) Epigenetic regulation of motor neuron cell death through DNA methylation. J Neurosci 31:16619-16636.
- Christopher MA, Kyle SM, Katz DJ (2017) Neuroepigenetic mechanisms in disease. Epigenetics Chromatin 10:47.

- Clairembault T, Kamphuis W, Leclair-Visonneau L, Rolli-Derkinderen M, Coron E, Neunlist M, Hol EM, Derkinderen P (2014) Enteric GFAP expression and phosphorylation in Parkinson's disease. J Neurochem 130:805-815.
- Conway GD, O'Bara MA, Vedia BH, Pol SU, Sim FJ (2012) Histone deacetylase activity is required for human oligodendrocyte progenitor differentiation. Glia 60:1944-1953.
- Courtine G, Sofroniew MV (2019) Spinal cord repair: advances in biology and technology. Nat Med 25:898-908.
- Cregg JM, DePaul MA, Filous AR, Lang BT, Tran A, Silver J (2014) Functional regeneration beyond the glial scar. Exp Neurol 253:197-207.
- Dan W, Gao N, Li L, Zhu JX, Diao L, Huang J, Han QJ, Wang S, Xue H, Wang Q, Wu QF, Zhang X, Bao L (2018) α-Tubulin acetylation restricts axon overbranching by dampening microtubule plus-end dynamics in neurons. Cereb Cortex 28:3332-3346.
- Deng G, Gao Y, Cen Z, He J, Cao B, Zeng G, Zong S (2018) miR-136-5p regulates the inflammatory response by targeting the IKK β /NF- κ B/A20 pathway after spinal cord injury. Cell Physiol Biochem 50:512-524.
- Descalzi G, Ikegami D, Ushijima T, Nestler EJ, Zachariou V, Narita M (2015) Epigenetic mechanisms of chronic pain. Trends Neurosci 38:237-246.
- Dugas JC, Cuellar TL, Scholze A, Ason B, Ibrahim A, Emery B, Zamanian JL, Foo LC, McManus MT, Barres BA (2010) Dicer1 and miR-219 are required for normal oligodendrocyte differentiation and myelination. Neuron 65:597-611.
- Emery B, Lu QR (2015) Transcriptional and epigenetic regulation of oligodendrocyte development and myelination in the central nervous system. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 7:a020461.
- Endres M, Meisel A, Biniszkiewicz D, Namura S, Prass K, Ruscher K, Lipski A, Jaenisch R, Moskowitz MA, Dirnagl U (2000) DNA methyltransferase contributes to delayed ischemic brain injury. J Neurosci 20:3175-3181.
- Finelli MJ, Wong JK, Zou H (2013) Epigenetic regulation of sensory axon regeneration after spinal cord injury. J Neurosci 33:19664-19676.
- Gao YS, Hubbert CC, Lu J, Lee YS, Lee JY, Yao TP (2007) Histone deacetylase 6 regulates growth factor-induced actin remodeling and endocytosis. Mol Cell Biol 27:8637-8647.
- Goldman SA (2016) Stem and progenitor cell-based therapy of the central nervous system: hopes, hype, and wishful thinking. Cell Stem Cell 18:174-188.
- Hachisuka S, Kamei N, Ujigo S, Miyaki S, Yasunaga Y, Ochi M (2014) Circulating microRNAs as biomarkers for evaluating the severity of acute spinal cord injury. Spinal Cord 52:596-600.
- Hancock ML, Preitner N, Quan J, Flanagan JG (2014) MicroRNA-132 is enriched in developing axons, locally regulates Rasa1 mRNA, and promotes axon extension. J Neurosci 34:66-78.
- He D, Wang J, Lu Y, Deng Y, Zhao C, Xu L, Chen Y, Hu YC, Zhou W, Lu QR (2017) lncRNA functional networks in oligodendrocytes reveal stage-specific myelination control by an lncOL1/Suz12 complex in the CNS. Neuron 93:362-378.
- Horvath PM, Monteggia LM (2018) MeCP2 as an activator of gene expression. Trends Neurosci 41:72-74.
- Hu JR, Lv GH, Yin BL (2013) Altered microRNA expression in the ischemic-reperfusion spinal cord with atorvastatin therapy. J Pharmacol Sci 121:343-346.
- Hu YW, Jiang JJ, Yan G, Wang RY, Tu GJ (2016) MicroRNA-210 promotes sensory axon regeneration of adult mice in vivo and in vitro. Neurosci Lett 622:61-66.
- Hur EM, Saijilafu, Zhou FQ (2012) Growing the growth cone: remodeling the cytoskeleton to promote axon regeneration. Trends Neurosci 35:164-174.
- Hwang WW, Salinas RD, Siu JJ, Kelley KW, Delgado RN, Paredes MF, Alvarez-Buylla A, Oldham MC, Lim DA (2014) Distinct and separable roles for EZH2 in neurogenic astroglia. Elife 3:e02439.
- Iskandar BJ, Rizk E, Meier B, Hariharan N, Bottiglieri T, Finnell RH, Jarrard DF, Banerjee RV, Skene JH, Nelson A, Patel N, Gherasim C, Simon K, Cook TD, Hogan KJ (2010) Folate regulation of axonal regeneration in the rodent central nervous system through DNA methylation. J Clin Invest 120:1603-1616.

- Jain NB, Ayers GD, Peterson EN, Harris MB, Morse L, O'Connor KC, Garshick E (2015) Traumatic spinal cord injury in the United States, 1993-2012. JAMA 313:2236-2243.
- Jiang JJ, Liu CM, Zhang BY, Wang XW, Zhang M, Saijilafu, Zhang SR, Hall P, Hu YW, Zhou FQ (2015) MicroRNA-26a supports mammalian axon regeneration in vivo by suppressing GSK3β expression. Cell Death Dis 6:e1865.
- Kameda T, Imamura T, Nakashima K (2018) Epigenetic regulation of neural stem cell differentiation towards spinal cord regeneration. Cell Tissue Res 371:189-199.
- Koreman E, Sun X, Lu QR (2018) Chromatin remodeling and epigenetic regulation of oligodendrocyte myelination and myelin repair. Mol Cell Neurosci 87:18-26.
- Kronenberg G, Endres M (2010) Neuronal injury: folate to the rescue? J Clin Invest 120:1383-1386.
- Kwon BK, Bloom O, Wanner IB, Curt A, Schwab JM, Fawcett J, Wang KK (2019) Neurochemical biomarkers in spinal cord injury. Spinal Cord 57:819-831.
- Lankford KL, Arroyo EJ, Nazimek K, Bryniarski K, Askenase PW, Kocsis JD (2018) Intravenously delivered mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes target M2-type macrophages in the injured spinal cord. PLoS One 13:e0190358.
- Lee JY, Na WH, Choi HY, Lee KH, Ju BG, Yune TY (2016) Jmjd3 mediates blood-spinal cord barrier disruption after spinal cord injury by regulating MMP-3 and MMP-9 expressions. Neurobiol Dis 95:66-81.
- Li HL, Xu H, Li YL, Sun SW, Song WY, Wu Q, Ai J, Sun JC, Ning GZ, Feng SQ (2019) Epidemiology of traumatic spinal cord injury in Tianjin, China: An 18-year retrospective study of 735 cases. J Spinal Cord Med 42:778-785.
- Li P, Teng ZQ, Liu CM (2016) Extrinsic and intrinsic regulation of axon regeneration by microRNAs after spinal cord injury. Neural Plast 2016:1279051.
- Li S, Wang X, Gu Y, Chen C, Wang Y, Liu J, Hu W, Yu B, Wang Y, Ding F, Liu Y, Gu X (2015) Let-7 microRNAs regenerate peripheral nerve regeneration by targeting nerve growth factor. Mol Ther 23:423-433.
- Li W, Zhang B, Tang J, Cao Q, Wu Y, Wu C, Guo J, Ling EA, Liang F (2007) Sirtuin 2, a mammalian homolog of yeast silent information regulator-2 longevity regulator, is an oligodendroglial protein that decelerates cell differentiation through deacetylating alpha-tubulin. J Neurosci 27:2606-2616.
- Li Z, Rana TM (2014) Therapeutic targeting of microRNAs: current status and future challenges. Nat Rev Drug Discov 13:622-638.
- Lindner R, Puttagunta R, Di Giovanni S (2013) Epigenetic regulation of axon outgrowth and regeneration in CNS injury: the first steps forward. Neurotherapeutics 10:771-781.
- Liu CM, Wang RY, Saijilafu, Jiao ZX, Zhang BY, Zhou FQ (2013) MicroRNA-138 and SIRT1 form a mutual negative feedback loop to regulate mammalian axon regeneration. Genes Dev 27:1473-1483.
- Lu XC, Zheng JY, Tang LJ, Huang BS, Li K, Tao Y, Yu W, Zhu RL, Li S, Li LX (2015) MiR-133b promotes neurite outgrowth by targeting RhoA expression. Cell Physiol Biochem 35:246-258.
- Lv L, Han X, Sun Y, Wang X, Dong Q (2012) Valproic acid improves locomotion in vivo after SCI and axonal growth of neurons in vitro. Exp Neurol 233:783-790.
- Martinowich K, Hattori D, Wu H, Fouse S, He F, Hu Y, Fan G, Sun YE (2003) DNA methylation-related chromatin remodeling in activity-dependent BDNF gene regulation. Science 302:890-893.
- Maury E, Hashizume R (2017) Epigenetic modification in chromatin machinery and its deregulation in pediatric brain tumors: Insight into epigenetic therapies. Epigenetics 12:353-369.
- McDonald JW, Sadowsky C (2002) Spinal-cord injury. Lancet 359:417-425.
- Mullican SE, Gaddis CA, Alenghat T, Nair MG, Giacomin PR, Everett LJ, Feng D, Steger DJ, Schug J, Artis D, Lazar MA (2011) Histone deacetylase 3 is an epigenomic brake in macrophage alternative activation. Genes Dev 25:2480-2488.
- Nakanishi K, Nakasa T, Tanaka N, Ishikawa M, Yamada K, Yamasaki K, Kamei N, Izumi B, Adachi N, Miyaki S, Asahara H, Ochi M (2010) Responses of microRNAs 124a and 223 following spinal cord injury in mice. Spinal Cord 48:192-196.

- Orr MB, Gensel JC (2017) Interactions of primary insult biomechanics and secondary cascades in spinal cord injury: implications for therapy. Neural Regen Res 12:1618-1619.
- Paim LR, Schreiber R, de Rossi G, Matos-Souza JR, Costa E Silva AA, Calegari DR, Cheng S, Marques FZ, Sposito AC, Gorla JI, Cliquet A Jr, Nadruz W Jr (2019) Circulating microRNAs, vascular risk, and physical activity in spinal cord-injured subjects. J Neurotrauma 36:845-852.
- Palmisano I, Di Giovanni S (2018) Advances and limitations of current epigenetic studies investigating mammalian axonal regeneration. Neurotherapeutics 15:529-540.
- Palmisano I, Danzi MC, Hutson TH, Zhou L, McLachlan E, Serger E, Shkura K, Srivastava PK, Hervera A, Neill NO, Liu T, Dhrif H, Wang Z, Kubat M, Wuchty S, Merkenschlager M, Levi L, Elliott E, Bixby JL, Lemmon VP, et al. (2019) Epigenomic signatures underpin the axonal regenerative ability of dorsal root ganglia sensory neurons. Nat Neurosci 22:1913-1924.
- Perera A, Eisen D, Wagner M, Laube SK, Künzel AF, Koch S, Steinbacher J, Schulze E, Splith V, Mittermeier N, Müller M, Biel M, Carell T, Michalakis S (2015) TET3 is recruited by REST for context-specific hydroxymethylation and induction of gene expression. Cell Rep 11:283-294.
- Puttagunta R, Tedeschi A, Sória MG, Hervera A, Lindner R, Rathore KI, Gaub P, Joshi Y, Nguyen T, Schmandke A, Laskowski CJ, Boutillier AL, Bradke F, Di Giovanni S (2014) PCAF-dependent epigenetic changes promote axonal regeneration in the central nervous system. Nat Commun 5:3527-3527.
- Rajman M, Schratt G (2017) MicroRNAs in neural development: from master regulators to fine-tuners. Development 144:2310-2322.
- Ramer LM, Ramer MS, Bradbury EJ (2014) Restoring function after spinal cord injury: towards clinical translation of experimental strategies. Lancet Neurol 13:1241-1256.
- Rodrigues LF, Moura-Neto V, E Spohr TCLS (2018) Biomarkers in spinal cord injury: from prognosis to treatment. Mol Neurobiol 55:6436-6448.
- Schmidt S, Wattjes MP, Gerding WM, van der Knaap M (2011) Late onset Alexander's disease presenting as cerebellar ataxia associated with a novel mutation in the GFAP gene. J Neurol 258:938-940.
- Sfera A, Fayard L, Osorio C, Price A (2018) Epigenetic interventions for brain rejuvenation: anchoring age-related transposons. Neural Regen Res 13:635-636.
- Shah RR, Bird AP (2017) MeCP2 mutations: progress towards understanding and treating Rett syndrome. Genome Med 9:17.
- Shen S, Sandoval J, Swiss VA, Li J, Dupree J, Franklin RJM, Casaccia-Bonnefil P (2008) Age-dependent epigenetic control of differentiation inhibitors is critical for remyelination efficiency. Nat Neurosci 11:1024-1034.
- Sher F, Rössler R, Brouwer N, Balasubramaniyan V, Boddeke E, Copray S (2008) Differentiation of neural stem cells into oligodendrocytes: involvement of the polycomb group protein Ezh2. Stem Cells 26:2875-2883.
- Shin D, Shin JY, McManus MT, Ptácek LJ, Fu YH (2009) Dicer ablation in oligodendrocytes provokes neuronal impairment in mice. Ann Neurol 66:843-857.
- Stam FJ, MacGillavry HD, Armstrong NJ, de Gunst MCM, Zhang Y, van Kesteren RE, Smit AB, Verhaagen J (2007) Identification of candidate transcriptional modulators involved in successful regeneration after nerve injury. Eur J Neurosci 25:3629-3637.
- Sticozzi C, Belmonte G, Meini A, Carbotti P, Grasso G, Palmi M (2013) IL-1β induces GFAP expression in vitro and in vivo and protects neurons from traumatic injury-associated apoptosis in rat brain striatum via NFκB/Ca²⁺-calmodulin/ERK mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling pathway. Neuroscience 252:367-383.
- Su Y, Shin J, Zhong C, Wang S, Roychowdhury P, Lim J, Kim D, Ming GL, Song H (2017) Neuronal activity modifies the chromatin accessibility landscape in the adult brain. Nat Neurosci 20:476-483.
- Sudo G, Kagawa T, Kokubu Y, Inazawa J, Taga T (2016) Increase in GFAP-positive astrocytes in histone demethylase GASC1/KDM4C/ JMJD2C hypomorphic mutant mice. Genes Cells 21:218-225.

- Swigut T, Wysocka J (2007) H3K27 demethylases, at long last. Cell 131:29-32.
- Tang Y, Li T, Li J, Yang J, Liu H, Zhang XJ, Le W (2014) Jmjd3 is essential for the epigenetic modulation of microglia phenotypes in the immune pathogenesis of Parkinson's disease. Cell Death Differ 21:369-380.
- Ujigo S, Kamei N, Hadoush H, Fujioka Y, Miyaki S, Nakasa T, Tanaka N, Nakanishi K, Eguchi A, Sunagawa T, Ochi M (2014) Administration of microRNA-210 promotes spinal cord regeneration in mice. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 39:1099-1107.
- Venkatesh I, Simpson MT, Coley DM, Blackmore MG (2016) Epigenetic profiling reveals a developmental decrease in promoter accessibility during cortical maturation in vivo. Neuroepigenetics 8:19-26.
- Viswanathan SR, Daley GQ (2010) Lin28: A microRNA regulator with a macro role. Cell 140:445-449.
- Vogelaar CF (2016) Extrinsic and intrinsic mechanisms of axon regeneration: the need for spinal cord injury treatment strategies to address both. Neural Regen Res 11:572-574.
- Walsh JT, Hendrix S, Boato F, Smirnov I, Zheng J, Lukens JR, Gadani S, Hechler D, Gölz G, Rosenberger K, Kammertöns T, Vogt J, Vogelaar C, Siffrin V, Radjavi A, Fernandez-Castaneda A, Gaultier A, Gold R, Kanneganti TD, Nitsch R, et al. (2015) MHCII-independent CD4+ T cells protect injured CNS neurons via IL-4. J Clin Invest 125:699-714.
- Wang XW, Li Q, Liu CM, Hall PA, Jiang JJ, Katchis CD, Kang S, Dong BC, Li S, Zhou FQ (2018) Lin28 signaling supports mammalian PNS and CNS axon regeneration. Cell Rep 24:2540-2552.e6.
- Weng YL, Joseph J, An R, Song H, Ming GL (2016) Epigenetic regulation of axonal regenerative capacity. Epigenomics 8:1429-1442.
- Weng YL, An R, Cassin J, Joseph J, Mi R, Wang C, Zhong C, Jin SG, Pfeifer GP, Bellacosa A, Dong X, Hoke A, He Z, Song H, Ming GL (2017) An intrinsic epigenetic barrier for functional axon regeneration. Neuron 94:337-346.e6.
- Wong M, Gertz B, Chestnut BA, Martin LJ (2013) Mitochondrial DN-MT3A and DNA methylation in skeletal muscle and CNS of transgenic mouse models of ALS. Front Cell Neurosci 7:279-279.
- Wu D, Raafat A, Pak E, Clemens S, Murashov AK (2012) Dicer-microRNA pathway is critical for peripheral nerve regeneration and functional recovery in vivo and regenerative axonogenesis in vitro. Exp Neurol 233:555-565.
- Xu C, Zhang H, Zhou W, Wu H, Shen X, Chen Y, Liao M, Liu Y, Yuan W (2019) MicroRNA-10a, -210, and -563 as circulating biomarkers for ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament. Spine J 19:735-743.
- Yin Y, Zhang M, Dorfman RG, Li Y, Zhao Z, Pan Y, Zhou Q, Huang S, Zhao S, Yao Y, Zou X (2017) Histone deacetylase 3 overexpression in human cholangiocarcinoma and promotion of cell growth via apoptosis inhibition. Cell Death Dis 8:e2856.
- Yokobori S, Zhang Z, Moghieb A, Mondello S, Gajavelli S, Dietrich WD, Bramlett H, Hayes RL, Wang M, Wang KKW, Bullock MR (2015) Acute diagnostic biomarkers for spinal cord injury: review of the literature and preliminary research report. World Neurosurg 83:867-878.
- Yu YM, Gibbs KM, Davila J, Campbell N, Sung S, Todorova TI, Otsuka S, Sabaawy HE, Hart RP, Schachner M (2011) MicroRNA miR-133b is essential for functional recovery after spinal cord injury in adult zebrafish. Eur J Neurosci 33:1587-1597.
- Yunta M, Nieto-Díaz M, Esteban FJ, Caballero-López M, Navarro-Ruíz R, Reigada D, Pita-Thomas DW, del Águila A, Muñoz-Galdeano T, Maza RM (2012) MicroRNA dysregulation in the spinal cord following traumatic injury. PLoS One 7:e34534.
- Zhang T, Ni S, Luo Z, Lang Y, Hu J, Lu H (2019) The protective effect of microRNA-21 in neurons after spinal cord injury. Spinal Cord 57:141-149.
- Zhang Y, Wang Z, Gemeinhart RA (2013) Progress in microRNA delivery. J Control Release 172:962-974.
- Zou H, Ho C, Wong K, Tessier-Lavigne M (2009) Axotomy-induced Smad1 activation promotes axonal growth in adult sensory neurons. J Neurosci 29:7116-7123.
- P-Reviewer: Qu W; C-Editor: Zhao M; S-Editors: Yu J, Li CH; L-Editors: Dawes EA, Yajima W, Qiu Y, Song LP; T-Editor: Jia Y