

Citation: Mallick I, Kirtania P, Szabó M, Bashir F, Domonkos I, Kós PB, et al. (2020) A simple method to produce *Synechocystis* PCC6803 biofilm under laboratory conditions for electron microscopic and functional studies. PLoS ONE 15 (7): e0236842. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0236842

Editor: Rajagopal Subramanyam, University of Hyderabad School of Life Sciences, INDIA

Received: May 11, 2020

Accepted: July 14, 2020

Published: July 30, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Mallick et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: I.M., P.K., F.B., P.B.K., and I.V. were supported by the Hungarian Ministry for National Economy (https://www.kormany.hu/en) GINOP-2.3.2-15-2016-00001. M.S. was supported by the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (www.mta.hu), MTA Premium Postdoctoral Research Program (grant ID: PREMIUM-2017-38) and by the National **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

A simple method to produce *Synechocystis* PCC6803 biofilm under laboratory conditions for electron microscopic and functional studies

Ivy Mallick¹[©], Prithwiraj Kirtania¹[©], Milán Szabó¹, Faiza Bashir[®]^{1,2}, Ildiko Domonkos¹, Peter B. Kós^{1,3}, Imre Vass¹*

- 1 Institute of Plant Biology, Biological Research Centre, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Szeged, Hungary,
- 2 Biology PhD School, Faculty of Science and Informatics, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary,
- 3 Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Science and Informatics, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary

These authors contributed equally to this work.

* vass.imre@brc.hu

Abstract

Cyanobacteria can form biofilms in nature, which have ecological roles and high potential for practical applications. In order to study them we need biofilm models that contain healthy cells and can withstand physical manipulations needed for structural studies. At present, combined studies on the structural and physiological features of axenic cyanobacterial biofilms are limited, mostly due to the shortage of suitable model systems. Here, we present a simple method to establish biofilms using the cyanobacterium Synechocystis PCC6803 under standard laboratory conditions to be directly used for photosynthetic activity measurements and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). We found that glass microfiber filters (GMF) with somewhat coarse surface features provided a suitable skeleton to form Synechocystis PCC6803 biofilms. Being very fragile, untreated GMFs were unable to withstand the processing steps needed for SEM. Therefore, we used polyhydroxybutyrate coating to stabilize the filters. We found that up to five coats resulted in GMF stabilization and made possible to obtain high resolution SEM images of the structure of the surface-attached cells and the extensive exopolysaccharide and pili network, which are essential features of biofilm formation. By using pulse-amplitude modulated variable chlorophyll fluorescence imaging, it was also demonstrated that the biofilms contain photosynthetically active cells. Therefore, the Synechocystis PCC6803 biofilms formed on coated GMFs can be used for both structural and functional investigations. The model presented here is easy to replicate and has a potential for high-throughput studies.

Introduction

Cyanobacteria were responsible for the transformation of an anoxic to oxygenic atmosphere about 2–3 billion years ago [1]. They tend to form biofilms on natural surfaces along with free-

Research, Development and Innovation Office (https://nkfih.gov.hu/, grant ID: NKFIH FK 128977). I.D. was supported by the Hungarian Ministry for National Economy (https://www.kormany.hu/en) GINOP-2.3.2-15-2016-00058. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Abbreviations: Chl., Chlorophyll; GMF, Whatman glass microfibre GF/C filters; PHB, polyhydroxybutyrate; SEM, scanning electron microscopy. living forms in nature [2] and have been found to be one of the influential organisms in shaping up the surrounding environment they thrive in [3, 4].

Cyanobacteria have enormous potential to produce fuels [5, 6], value-added compounds [7, 8] and to be utilized in wastewater treatment [5, 9] in a sustainable way. However, the utilization of this potential needs special arrangement of the cells, in order to ensure optimal light penetration, CO_2 supply and access to the waste products which should be eliminated. Application of biofilms can be very useful in realizing this potential as in the case of biofilm photobioreactors [10–12].

For any bacterial biofilm formation the first step is the attachment of bacterial cells to a surface followed by gradual morphological changes [13, 14]. The main characteristic of biofilm formation is secretion of exopolysaccharides, which finally form an extensive net-like structure that serves as both protection and source of nutrition for the surviving cells. Since bacteria undergo extensive morphological changes in a biofilm, one of the best ways to study this process is using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) which can reveal the surface structure of the biofilm-forming bacteria in detail, conserving the spatial arrangement on the attached surface [15, 16]. However, so far only few studies have utilized this approach for cyanobacterial biofilms, in a large part due to the lack of efficient methods to produce cyanobacterial biofilms under well-reproducible laboratory conditions.

For laboratory studies it is very useful to use well-characterized model organisms with known genetic background. In this context, characterizing biofilms of a model cyanobacterium named Synechocystis PCC6803 (Synechocystis from now on) would be beneficial, and recent trends in cyanobacterial studies are moving in this direction [17-22]. Synechocystis is a freshwater photosynthetic cyanobacterium which was isolated first from a freshwater lake in Oakland, California, USA in 1968 and was identified as a unicellular organism with coccoid cells [23, 24]. Its genome was the first among cyanobacteria to be fully sequenced and annotated in 1996 [24, 25]. Studies on Synechocystis have resulted in deep insights into its genetics and further biotechnological manipulation, and it has now been considered as a green E. coli for plant biologists [26]. It has been developed as a phototrophic cell factory for modelling physiological properties, production of various metabolic products, such as biofuels etc. [27]. As plastids are believed to have evolved from cyanobacteria [25], Synechocystis has been the model organism to understand photosynthesis by utilizing its simple genetic background and easily measurable photosynthetic parameters [28, 29]. On this background Synechocystis should be a good model organism to understand and manipulate cyanobacterial biofilms. For this reason, there are studies being made using Synechocystis biofilms for scientific and technological endeavours using outdoor facilities or special equipment [17, 19-22]; however, the produced biofilms have not been studied by SEM, or if so, well-resolved characteristic structural features of the biofilm have not been observed [30].

Here, we represent for the first time a simple method for developing a photosynthetically active *Synechocystis* biofilm on a glass microfibre filter with standard BG11 medium under normal laboratory conditions, which can be used directly for SEM studies and photosynthetic activity measurements. We believe that this method could yield a suitable model for high-throughput studies on *Synechocystis* biofilms.

Materials and methods

Cyanobacterial cultures, growth media and conditions

Synechocystis PCC6803 cells were grown in BG11 medium under 40 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ intensity white light, in 3% CO₂-enriched atmosphere at 30°C. For the experiments cells were adjusted to 5 μ g/mL chlorophyll-a concentration.

Biofilm formation on different membranes under laboratory conditions

Synechocystis was grown till mid-log phase in liquid cultures and then the cultures were adjusted to 5µg/mL chlorophyll-a concentration. Respective membranes (Millipore Isopore Membrane Filter, and Whatman glass microfibre GF/C filters further called as GMF) were cut into small pieces of about $5mm^2$ area suitable for SEM and sterilized. Finally, the sterilized filter paper pieces were placed in standard-sized Petri dishes filled with 10 mL of sterile BG11 medium. Approximately 10 pieces of filter paper were placed in each dish. On top of each GMF piece 5 µL of *Synechocystis* culture was spotted. The whole system was then kept in an incubator at 30°C for 7 days under 40 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ white light intensity without any disturbance. The membranes were next fixed and studied under SEM to visualize the biofilm. For coating the GMFs, a single granule (approximate weight 2.8 mg) of polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB, from GoodFellow Cambridge Limited) was dissolved in hot chloroform (70°C) and the autoclaved membrane pieces were dipped into it one by one with a forceps and subsequently dried. For multiple coating, membrane pieces were allowed to dry before another dipping for another coat.

Scanning electron microscopy

The filters covered by biofilms of *Synechocystis* cells were fixed in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 2.5% glutaraldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.15% Alcian blue 8GS (ROTH) for 4 hours. Following post-fixation in 1% OsO₄ for 1 hour, the samples were dehydrated in aqueous solutions of increasing ethanol concentrations, critical point dried, covered with10 nm gold by a Quorum Q150T ES sputter and observed in a JEOL JSM-7100F/LV scanning electron microscope using 5 kV accelerating voltage under different magnifications.

Measuring the photosynthetic activity of biofilms grown on GMF

The above mentioned methodology was repeated to form the biofilm on GMF membranes three times coated by PHB. To investigate the photosynthetic efficiency, 4 membrane pieces with *Synechocystis* biofilm were taken out aseptically into a Petri-dish on day seven and photosynthetic activity was measured by using an Pulse-Amplitude Modulation (PAM) fluorescence imaging system (Imaging-PAM M-series Chlorophyll Fluorometer Heinz Walz GmbH, Germany) equipped with a IMAG-MAX/K2 camera and IMAG-MAX/L LED-Array Illumination Unit (Heinz-Walz GmbH, Effeltrich, Germany). The membranes carrying the biofilm were dark-adapted for three minutes before the measurement. The minimum fluorescence in the dark-adapted state (F_o) was measured by applying a weak measuring light (PPFD <0.3 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹), and maximum fluorescence in the dark-adapted state (F_m) was recorded upon the application of a saturation pulse (with a length of 0.8 s and a PPFD of approx. 2000 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹). The maximal quantum yield of Photosystem II (F_v/F_m) was calculated as:

$$F_{\rm v}/F_{\rm m} = (F_{\rm m} - F_{\rm o})/F_{\rm m} \tag{1}$$

The relative electron transport rate of PSII (ETR-II) as a function of irradiance was determined using a pre-programmed rapid light curve protocol (using 20 s illumination steps with progressively increasing actinic irradiance). ETR-II was calculated as:

$$ETR - II = Y(II) * PAR * 0.84 * 0.5$$
(2)

where Y(II) is the effective quantum yield of PSII at a given irradiance, PAR is the photosynthetically active radiation (in μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹), the factors 0.84 and 0.5 are the assumed

values of absorptivity and the fraction of the absorbed light distributed to PSII, respectively. Non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) was determined using a pre-programmed fluorescence induction-recovery protocol. After determination of F_m in darkness, samples were illuminated with actinic light (450 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) for 8 min, during which saturation pulses were given at every 30 s to determine F_m ', the maximum fluorescence during illumination. Samples were kept in darkness in the recovery phase, during which saturation pulses were given at progressively increasing time intervals to monitor the recovery of F_m ' (and the relaxation of NPQ) after the illumination period. NPQ was calculated as:

$$NPQ = (F_m/F_m') - 1 \tag{3}$$

Results and discussion

As mentioned earlier, complete understanding of cyanobacterial biofilms is at its inception and much needed within the current cyanobacterial research trends. Therefore, this work was initiated to establish a simple, efficient and rapid method to generate *Synechocystis* biofilms under controlled laboratory conditions that can be easily used for SEM and other studies. In order to avoid structural damage of the biofilms during transfer from their growth site to a SEM-compatible membrane, we aimed to grow the biofilm directly on a membrane which is suitable for physiological, SEM and other investigations. We also wanted to take advantage of this approach to investigate whether surface physicochemical properties could affect biofilm formation. To achieve this, we modified some existing protocols that had been used to study biofilms using SEM [31, 32].

Although it is known that surface physicochemical properties have an influence on bacterial adhesion, so far only a few studies have been carried out to investigate microalgal/cyanobacterial biofilm formation on different materials [33, 34]. In the first step, we aimed to use the Millipore Isopore Membrane Filter with small pore size (0.2μ M) for biofilm growth. Small, ca. 5 mm² membrane pieces were autoclaved and then inoculated with *Synechocystis* and kept in a Petri dish under normal growth conditions in an incubator under 3% CO₂ enriched atmosphere. After seven days, the membranes were subjected to SEM. Fig 1A and 1B (different



Fig 1. SEM image of *Synechocystis* **cells grown on Millipore Isopore Membrane Filter after 7 days of incubation.** (A) pili formation at 10000X magnification, (B) pili formation at 15000X magnification (Bar is 1 μm in each panel).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236842.g001



Fig 2. SEM of biofilm formation by *Synechocystis* **on glass microfiber filters after 7 days of incubation.** (A) control filter at 1100X magnification, (B) biofilm structure formation at 500X magnification, (C) at 1200X magnification, (D) at 2200X magnification (Bar is 10 μm in each panel).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236842.g002

magnifications) show that the cells remained attached on the membrane even after the process used for SEM fixation. Although pili structures were visible for the attachment to other cells or to the surface, the exopolysaccharide network, which is a crucial feature of biofilm formation, was absent. It was hypothesized that the inability of *Synechocystis* to form a biofilm was related to the improper adherence of cells to the surface used, as suggested by the study of Barros et al., 2019 [33].

Since we hypothesized that the cause of the failure of biofilm formation might be the smoothness of the surface, which is not suitable for cell adhesion, we wanted to test other membranes with different surface characteristics. Glass microfibre filters (GMFs) were tested for this purpose, as they have a visible roughness. With the method described above, after seven days of incubation we could observe formation of *Synechocystis* biofilms on GMFs that were durable enough for SEM studies. Fig 2B–2D show that *Synechocystis* forms a biofilm on the GMF membrane, since the electron micrographs display a well-built exopolysaccharide network along with pili among the cells. The figure shows images with different magnifications to demonstrate the mesh-like structures around the *Synechocystis* cells formed due to



Fig 3. SEM of membrane surfaces coated with various layers of PHB. The Isopore Membrane Filter is shown in the native, uncoated state (A). GMF filters are shown either without coating (B), or with 1 (C), 2 (D), 3 (E), 5 (F), 10 (G) and 15 (H) layers of PHB. Each picture is shown at 900X magnification, with 10 µm bar.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236842.g003

exopolysaccharide secretion. Although the roughness of the GMF filter surface provided proper support to adhering *Synechocystis* cells, the stability of the GMFs was a matter of concern for the whole process. It is evident from the images (Figs 2A and 3B) that the GMF membrane was very fragile and it could not withstand harsh treatments during sample preparation for SEM, leading to damage of the biofilm structures.

The objective of the next step was to find ways to stabilize the GMF membrane so that it could withstand the treatments for SEM sample preparation and still provide sufficiently rough surface for adequate cell attachment. Therefore, we used a stabilizing coating on the membrane to increase the integrity of the surface. Polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) is a well-known biopolymer which can form a water-resistant coating on different surfaces with a biocompatible nature [35]. Importantly, *Synechocystis* can synthesize and accumulate PHB globules intracellularly as a carbon and energy storage compound when grown under nitrogen- and phosphorus-starved conditions [36–38], which proves its biocompatibility. Therefore PHB coating appeared to be a safe option for stabilizing membranes, considering the natural affinity of *Synechocystis* for PHB.

For this reason, we coated the sterilized GMF membranes with one to fifteen PHB layers aseptically, which were then used to test *Synechocystis* biofilm formation. The electron micrographs show that coating of GMFs with PHB preserved membrane stability during the sample preparation step for SEM, but also resulted in an increasing extent of smoothness, especially above 10 coating layers (Fig 3C–3H for 1, 2, 3, 5, 10 and 15 layers, respectively). For



С



Fig 4. SEM of biofilm formation by *Synechocystis* **on glass microfiber filter coated with PHB after 7 days of incubation.** (A) 1 layer at 2200X magnification, (B) 2 layers at 1900X magnification, (C) 3 layers of PHB coating at 2200X magnification (Bar is 10 μm in each panel).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236842.g004



С



Fig 5. SEM of biofilm formation by *Synechocystis* **on glass microfiber filter coated with PHB after 7 days of incubation.** (A) 5 layers at 2700X magnification, (B) 10 layers at 1800X magnification, (C) 15 layers of PHB coating at 900X magnification (Bar is 10 μm in each panel).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236842.g005

comparison, the <u>uncoated Isopore</u> Membrane Filter is shown (Fig <u>3A</u>), which had the highest level of smoothness.

Incubation of 1, 2 and 3 times PHB coated GMF membranes with *Synechocystis* cells demonstrated biofilm formation with extensive exopolysaccharide and pili network (Fig 4).

Based on the above results, we wanted to check if further improvement of biofilm formation could be made by increasing the number of coating layers, which increases the stability of the surface but at the same time increases its smoothness as well (Fig 3F–3H). The electron micrographs in Fig 5 show that when the GMFs were coated with up to five layers of PHB, *Synechocystis* was able to form biofilms (Fig 5A). However, when ten (Fig 5B) or 15 layers (Fig 5C) were used, there was no visible biofilm formation, as the cells could not attach to the film surfaces. For Fig 5B and 5C, magnifications lower than that in Fig 5A were used in order to demonstrate that most of the surface was empty, lacking any attached cells or biofilm layer. We concluded that, due to excessive coating by PHB, the surface of GMFs were much more than adequately smoothened, resulting in suboptimal attachment of *Synechocystis* for biofilm formation.



Fig 6. Pulse-Amplitude Modulation (PAM) chlorophyll fluorescence imaging of the GMF carrying *Synechocystis* biofilm. (A) Colour photograph of the *Synechocystis* biofilms grown on 3X PHB coated GMF membranes in a Petri dish (representing one biological experiment with four technical replicates, which were subjected to PAM measurement). The white bar represents 1 cm length. (B) False-colored chlorophyll fluorescence image showing the maximum quantum yield of PSII (F_v/F_m) values in the selected areas of interest (black circles). The color bar in panel B represents the color coding of F_v/F_m values (black: $F_v/F_m = 0$, magenta: $F_v/F_m = 1$). Measurements of F_v/F_m were performed on two independent biological replicates, each representing four biofilms as technical replicates. (C) Electron transport rate through Photosystem II (ETR-II) vs. irradiance curves of the *Synechocystis* biofilms (n = 4, mean±S.D.), (D) representative fluorescence induction-recovery curves of the *Synechocystis* biofilms (black trace) and the calculated non-photochemical quenching (NPQ, red traces, (n = 4, mean±S.D.). Black bars represent the dark phases, white bar represents the illumination phase using actinic light (450 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ photon flux density).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236842.g006

Natural cyanobacterial biofilms are important communities of living cells [3, 4], therefore it was important to verify if the *Synechocystis* cells attached to the surface of the coated GMFs are photosynthetically active. Chlorophyll fluorescence imaging is a powerful tool to investigate spatial heterogeneity of photosynthetic performance non-invasively on plant leaves and biofilms of cyanobacteria and microalgae; moreover, it is also applicable for screening procedures to identify altered regulation of photosynthesis in the generated mutants [39–41]. For this reason, we used variable chlorophyll fluorescence imaging of PHB-coated membranes, which were incubated with a *Synechocystis* culture for seven days to form a biofilm (Fig 6A). A total of eight membranes were imaged simultaneously (four technical replicates in two biological

replicates). The F_v/F_m values were measured on the selected areas of interest from the membranes (black circles in Fig 6B). The results obtained showed F_v/F_m values in the range of 0.344–0.41 for the biofilm layers, with an average of 0.385 ± 0.024 (n = 8) (representative F_v/F_m images of the biofilms are shown in Fig 6). The F_v/F_m values obtained correspond well with the reported range of 0.3–0.4, which is characteristic for liquid *Synechocystis* cultures [42, 43]. This result shows that the biofilms formed on the GMF membranes are photosynthetically active, and therefore have a potential to be used for studying the photosynthetic properties of *Synechocystis* using this model. In order to show the potential of GMF supported biofilms for photosynthesis studies the light intensity dependence of the electron transport rate through Photosystem II (ETR-II) was also measured (Fig 6C). In addition, the widely used fluorescence quenching analysis was also performed, and the non-photochemical quenching parameter (NPQ) was determined during dark-light-dark transitions (Fig 6D) [40, 41].

Conclusion and future perspectives

The importance of this study is that it presents for the first time an easy and fast method to establish *Synechocystis* biofilm models under standard laboratory conditions that can be used for both physiological and SEM investigations. Besides providing well-resolved details of the exopolysaccharide and pili network in the biofilm by SEM, our model system also made possible to measure various parameters of photosynthetic activity by Pulse-Amplitude Modulation (PAM) chlorophyll fluorescence imaging. The advantage of our method is that it is not dependent on any special equipment, and utilizes simple GMF filters that are readily available in most laboratories. Furthermore, this method has the potential to be adapted for studying multiple mutants of *Synechocystis* and various surface modifications in a high-throughput manner using multiple chambered plates instead of a single Petri dish. By utilizing this approach, a better understanding of biofilm formation as well as optimization of biofilm growth can be achieved for various biotechnological applications in future studies.

Supporting information

S1 File. (PDF)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Ivy Mallick, Peter B. Kós.

Data curation: Prithwiraj Kirtania.

Formal analysis: Milán Szabó, Ildiko Domonkos.

Funding acquisition: Imre Vass.

Investigation: Ivy Mallick, Prithwiraj Kirtania, Milán Szabó, Faiza Bashir, Ildiko Domonkos.

Methodology: Ivy Mallick, Prithwiraj Kirtania, Milán Szabó, Faiza Bashir, Ildiko Domonkos, Peter B. Kós.

Validation: Prithwiraj Kirtania.

Writing - original draft: Ivy Mallick, Prithwiraj Kirtania.

Writing – review & editing: Ivy Mallick, Prithwiraj Kirtania, Milán Szabó, Faiza Bashir, Ildiko Domonkos, Peter B. Kós, Imre Vass.

References

- Hamilton TL, Bryant DA, Macalady JL. The role of biology in planetary evolution: cyanobacterial primary production in low-oxygen Proterozoic oceans. Environ Microbiol. 2016; 18(2):325–40. Epub 2015/11/ 10. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13118 PMID: 26549614.
- Gaylarde CC, Gaylarde PM, Copp J, Neilan B. Polyphasic Detection of Cyanobacteria in Terrestrial Biofilms. Biofouling. 2004; 20(2):71–9. https://doi.org/10.1080/08927010410001681237 PMID: 15203960
- Mohit V, Culley A, Lovejoy C, Bouchard F, Vincent WF. Hidden biofilms in a far northern lake and implications for the changing Arctic. npj Biofilms and Microbiomes. 2017; 3(1):17. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41522-017-0024-3 PMID: 28702216
- Besemer K. Biodiversity, community structure and function of biofilms in stream ecosystems. Res Microbiol. 2015; 166(10):774–81. Epub 2015/05/29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2015.05.006 PMID: 26027773.
- Miranda AF, Ramkumar N, Andriotis C, Höltkemeier T, Yasmin A, Rochfort S, et al. Applications of microalgal biofilms for wastewater treatment and bioenergy production. Biotechnology for Biofuels. 2017; 10(1):120. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-017-0798-9 PMID: 28491136
- Bruno L, Di Pippo F, Antonaroli S, Gismondi A, Valentini C, Albertano P. Characterization of biofilmforming cyanobacteria for biomass and lipid production. J Appl Microbiol. 2012; 113(5):1052–64. Epub 2012/08/01. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2012.05416.x PMID: 22845917.
- Bilal M, Rasheed T, Ahmed I, Iqbal HMN. High-value compounds from microalgae with industrial exploitability—A review. Front Biosci (Schol Ed) [Internet]. 2017 2017/06//; 9:[319–42 pp.]. http:// europepmc.org/abstract/MED/28410122, https://doi.org/10.2741/s490.
- Cuellar-Bermudez SP, Aguilar-Hernandez I, Cardenas-Chavez DL, Ornelas-Soto N, Romero-Ogawa MA, Parra-Saldivar R. Extraction and purification of high-value metabolites from microalgae: essential lipids, astaxanthin and phycobiliproteins. Microb Biotechnol. 2015; 8(2):190–209. Epub 2014/09/15. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12167 PMID: 25223877.
- Cuellar-Bermudez SP, Aleman-Nava GS, Chandra R, Garcia-Perez JS, Contreras-Angulo JR, Markou G, et al. Nutrients utilization and contaminants removal. A review of two approaches of algae and cyanobacteria in wastewater. Algal Research. 2017; 24:438–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2016.08.018.
- Hoschek A, Heuschkel I, Schmid A, Bühler B, Karande R, Bühler K. Mixed-species biofilms for highcell-density application of Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 in capillary reactors for continuous cyclohexane oxidation to cyclohexanol. Bioresource Technology. 2019; 282:171–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2019.02.093</u>. PMID: 30861446
- Guzzon A, Di Pippo F, Congestri R. Wastewater Biofilm Photosynthesis in Photobioreactors. Microorganisms. 2019; 7(8). ARTN 252 https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7080252 PMID: 31405172
- 12. Johnson TJ, Katuwal S, Anderson GA, Gu LP, Zhou RB, Gibbons WR. Photobioreactor Cultivation Strategies for Microalgae and Cyanobacteria. Biotechnol Progr. 2018; 34(4):811–27. https://doi.org/10. 1002/btpr.2628 PMID: 29516646
- Halan B, Buehler K, Schmid A. Biofilms as living catalysts in continuous chemical syntheses. Trends in Biotechnology. 2012; 30(9):453–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2012.05.003. PMID: 22704028
- O'Toole G, Kaplan HB, Kolter R. Biofilm formation as microbial development. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2000; 54:49–79. Epub 2000/10/06. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.54.1.49 PMID: 11018124.
- Gomes LC, Mergulhao FJ. SEM Analysis of Surface Impact on Biofilm Antibiotic Treatment. Scanning. 2017; 2017:2960194. Epub 2017/11/08. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/2960194 PMID: 29109808.
- Gomes LC, Moreira JM, Miranda JM, Simoes M, Melo LF, Mergulhao FJ. Macroscale versus microscale methods for physiological analysis of biofilms formed in 96-well microtiter plates. J Microbiol Methods. 2013; 95(3):342–9. Epub 2013/10/22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2013.10.002 PMID: 24140575.
- Allen R, Rittmann BE, Curtiss R 3rd. Axenic Biofilm Formation and Aggregation by Synechocystis sp. Strain PCC 6803 Are Induced by Changes in Nutrient Concentration and Require Cell Surface Structures. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2019; 85(7). Epub 2019/02/03. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02192-18 PMID: 30709828.
- 18. Dong Z, Allen M, Huang J, Christianson R. Biofilms and Light Signaling in Synechocystis sp. Strain PCC 6803. The Faseb Journal. 2013; 27(1_supplement):605.3-.3.
- Agostoni M, Waters CM, Montgomery BL. Regulation of biofilm formation and cellular buoyancy through modulating intracellular cyclic di-GMP levels in engineered cyanobacteria. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2016; 113(2):311–9. Epub 2015/07/21. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.25712 PMID: 26192200.
- David C, Bühler K, Schmid A. Stabilization of single species Synechocystis biofilms by cultivation under segmented flow. Journal of Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology. 2015; 42(7):1083–9. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10295-015-1626-5 PMID: 25948048

- McCormick AJ, Bombelli P, Scott AM, Philips AJ, Smith AG, Fisher AC, et al. Photosynthetic biofilms in pure culture harness solar energy in a mediatorless bio-photovoltaic cell (BPV) system. Energy & Environmental Science. 2011; 4(11):4699–709. https://doi.org/10.1039/C1EE01965A
- Wenzel T, Härtter D, Bombelli P, Howe CJ, Steiner U. Porous translucent electrodes enhance current generation from photosynthetic biofilms. Nature Communications. 2018; 9(1):1299. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41467-018-03320-x PMID: 29610519</u>
- Stanier RY, Kunisawa R, Mandel M, Cohen-Bazire G. Purification and properties of unicellular bluegreen algae (order Chroococcales). Bacteriol Rev. 1971; 35(2):171–205. Epub 1971/06/01. PMID: 4998365.
- Ikeuchi M, Tabata S. Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803—a useful tool in the study of the genetics of cyanobacteria. Photosynth Res. 2001; 70(1):73–83. Epub 2005/10/18. <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/</u> A:1013887908680 PMID: 16228363.
- Kaneko T, Sato S, Kotani H, Tanaka A, Asamizu E, Nakamura Y, et al. Sequence analysis of the genome of the unicellular cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. strain PCC6803. II. Sequence determination of the entire genome and assignment of potential protein-coding regions. DNA Res. 1996; 3 (3):109–36. Epub 1996/06/30. https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/3.3.109 PMID: 8905231.
- Branco Dos Santos F, Du W, Hellingwerf KJ. Synechocystis: Not Just a Plug-Bug for CO2, but a Green E. coli. Front Bioeng Biotechnol. 2014; 2:36. Epub 2014/10/04. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2014</u>. 00036 PMID: 25279375.
- Yu Y, You L, Liu D, Hollinshead W, Tang YJ, Zhang F. Development of Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 as a phototrophic cell factory. Mar Drugs. 2013; 11(8):2894–916. Epub 2013/08/16. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/md11082894 PMID</u>: 23945601.
- Marcus Y, Berry JA, Pierce J. Photosynthesis and photorespiration in a mutant of the cyanobacterium Synechocystis PCC 6803 lacking carboxysomes. Planta. 1992; 187(4):511–6. Epub 1992/07/01. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00199970 PMID: 24178146.
- Marcus Y, Altman-Gueta H, Wolff Y, Gurevitz M. Rubisco mutagenesis provides new insight into limitations on photosynthesis and growth in Synechocystis PCC6803. J Exp Bot. 2011; 62(12):4173–82. Epub 2011/05/10. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/err116 PMID: 21551078.
- Kera K, Nagayama T, Nanatani K, Saeki-Yamoto C, Tominaga A, Souma S, et al. Reduction of Spermidine Content Resulting from Inactivation of Two Arginine Decarboxylases Increases Biofilm Formation in Synechocystis sp. Strain PCC 6803. J Bacteriol. 2018; 200(9):e00664–17. Epub 2018/02/15. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1128/JB.00664-17 PMID: 29440257</u>.
- Koerdt A, Godeke J, Berger J, Thormann KM, Albers SV. Crenarchaeal biofilm formation under extreme conditions. PLoS One. 2010; 5(11):e14104. Epub 2010/12/03. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.</u> 0014104 PMID: 21124788.
- Mallick I, Bhattacharyya C, Mukherji S, Dey D, Sarkar SC, Mukhopadhyay UK, et al. Effective rhizoinoculation and biofilm formation by arsenic immobilizing halophilic plant growth promoting bacteria (PGPB) isolated from mangrove rhizosphere: A step towards arsenic rhizoremediation. Sci Total Environ. 2018; 610–611:1239–50. Epub 2017/08/31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.07.234</u> PMID: 28851144.
- Barros AC, Gonçalves AL, Simões M. Microalgal/cyanobacterial biofilm formation on selected surfaces: the effects of surface physicochemical properties and culture media composition. Journal of Applied Phycology. 2019; 31(1):375–87. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-018-1582-3
- Gambino M, Sanmartín P, Longoni M, Villa F, Mitchell R, Cappitelli F. Surface colour: An overlooked aspect in the study of cyanobacterial biofilm formation. Science of The Total Environment. 2019; 659:342–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.358. PMID: 30599353
- Shishatskaya EI, Voinova ON, Goreva AV, Mogilnaya OA, Volova TG. Biocompatibility of polyhydroxybutyrate microspheres: in vitro and in vivo evaluation. Journal of materials science Materials in medicine. 2008; 19(6):2493–502. Epub 2008/02/07. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10856-007-3345-6 PMID: 18253816.
- Wu GF, Wu QY, Shen ZY. Accumulation of poly-beta-hydroxybutyrate in cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC6803. Bioresour Technol. 2001; 76(2):85–90. Epub 2001/02/07. https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0960-8524(00)00099-7 PMID: 11131804.
- Schlebusch M, Forchhammer K. Requirement of the Nitrogen Starvation-Induced Protein Sll0783 for Polyhydroxybutyrate Accumulation in Synechocystis sp. Strain PCC 6803. 2010; 76(18):6101–7. J Applied and Environmental Microbiology.
- 38. Panda B, Mallick N. Enhanced poly-β-hydroxybutyrate accumulation in a unicellular cyanobacterium, Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. 2007; 44(2):194–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2006.02048.x PMID: 17257260

- Nedbal L, Whitmarsh J. Chlorophyll Fluorescence Imaging of Leaves and Fruits. In: G.C. Papageorgiou, Govindjee (eds) Chlorophyll a Fluorescence. Advances in Photosynthesis and Respiration. Springer, Dordrecht. 2004; 19. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-3218-9_14.
- **40.** Schreiber U. Pulse-Amplitude-Modulation (PAM) Fluorometry and Saturation Pulse Method: An Overview. In: Papageorgiou G.C., Govindjee (eds) Chlorophyll a Fluorescence. Advances in Photosynthesis and Respiration, Springer, Dordrecht. 2004; 19. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-3218-9_11.
- **41.** Baker NR. Chlorophyll fluorescence: a probe of photosynthesis in vivo. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2008; 59:89–113. Epub 2008/05/01. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092759 PMID: 18444897.
- 42. Shimakawa G, Shaku K, Nishi A, Hayashi R, Yamamoto H, Sakamoto K, et al. FLAVODIIRON2 and FLAVODIIRON4 proteins mediate an oxygen-dependent alternative electron flow in Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 under CO2-limited conditions. Plant Physiol. 2015; 167(2):472–80. Epub 2014/12/30. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.249987 PMID: 25540330.
- Campbell D, Hurry V, Clarke AK, Gustafsson P, Oquist G. Chlorophyll fluorescence analysis of cyanobacterial photosynthesis and acclimation. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 1998; 62(3):667–83. Epub 1998/09/ 08. PMID: 9729605.