

Research Article

The Combined Inhibitory Effect of the Adenosine A₁ and Cannabinoid CB₁ Receptors on cAMP Accumulation in the Hippocampus Is Additive and Independent of A₁ Receptor Desensitization

André Serpa,¹ Sara Correia,¹ Joaquim A. Ribeiro,^{2,3}
Ana M. Sebastião,^{2,3} and José F. Cascalheira^{1,4}

¹Health Sciences Research Center, University of Beira Interior (CICS-UBI), Avenida Infante D. Henrique, 6200-506 Covilhã, Portugal

²Institute of Pharmacology and Neurosciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Lisbon, Avenida Professor Egas Moniz, 1649-028 Lisbon, Portugal

³Unit of Neurosciences, Institute of Molecular Medicine, University of Lisbon, Avenida Professor Egas Moniz, 1649-028 Lisbon, Portugal

⁴Department of Chemistry, University of Beira Interior, Rua Marquês D'Ávila e Bolama, 6201-001 Covilhã, Portugal

Correspondence should be addressed to José F. Cascalheira; jfcascalheira@yahoo.com

Received 5 September 2014; Revised 5 December 2014; Accepted 21 December 2014

Academic Editor: George Perry

Copyright © 2015 André Serpa et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Adenosine A₁ and cannabinoid CB₁ receptors are highly expressed in hippocampus where they trigger similar transduction pathways. We investigated how the combined acute activation of A₁ and CB₁ receptors modulates cAMP accumulation in rat hippocampal slices. The CB₁ agonist WIN55212-2 (0.3–30 μM) decreased forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation with an EC₅₀ of 6.6 ± 2.7 μM and an E_{max} of 31% ± 2%, whereas for the A₁ agonist, N⁶-cyclopentyladenosine (CPA, 10–150 nM), an EC₅₀ of 35 ± 19 nM, and an E_{max} of 29% ± 5 were obtained. The combined inhibitory effect of WIN55212-2 (30 μM) and CPA (100 nM) on cAMP accumulation was 41% ± 6% (n = 4), which did not differ (P > 0.7) from the sum of the individual effects of each agonist (43% ± 8%) but was different (P < 0.05) from the effects of CPA or WIN55212-2 alone. Preincubation with CPA (100 nM) for 95 min caused desensitization of adenosine A₁ activity, which did not modify the effect of WIN55212-2 (30 μM) on cAMP accumulation. In conclusion, the combined effect of CB₁ and A₁ receptors on cAMP formation is additive and CB₁ receptor activity is not affected by short-term A₁ receptor desensitization.

1. Introduction

Adenosine 3',5'-cyclic monophosphate (cAMP) is an ubiquitous second messenger which directly activates protein kinase A (PKA) and EPACs (exchange proteins directly activated by cAMP) and opens cyclic nucleotide-gated channels [1, 2]. PKA is the primary downstream effector of cAMP, regulating neurotransmitter release through activation of Ca²⁺ channels or inactivation of K⁺ channels [3, 4]. cAMP is also implicated in memory and synaptic plasticity at the hippocampus through activation of EPACs and through PKA-mediated CREB (cAMP responsive element binding protein) activation [5, 6]. The cAMP signal is transitory and

regulated through the opposing actions of adenylyl cyclase and phosphodiesterases [1].

The G_{i/o}-protein coupled cannabinoid CB₁ receptors and adenosine A₁ receptors are both expressed at high levels in the hippocampus [7, 8], where they inhibit adenylyl cyclase and consequently decrease cAMP production [9, 10]. Furthermore, both receptors colocalize in hippocampal CA3 pyramidal neuron axon terminals, in which they inhibit glutamatergic synaptic transmission to CA1 pyramidal neurons [11–13], are involved in impairment of learning and memory [14, 15], protect against neurotoxic insults, and have antinociceptive action [16–19].

Given the similarity between the transducing pathways operated by adenosine A₁ and cannabinoid CB₁ receptors, clarification of the combined activity of these receptors is a particularly interesting issue since both receptors are targets for widely consumed drugs, such as caffeine, an adenosine receptor antagonist, and the psychotropic Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), a cannabinoid CB₁ receptor agonist [20]. Interaction between A₁ and CB₁ receptors has been reported in *in vivo* studies, where an adenosine A₁ receptor-mediated enhancement of cannabinoid CB₁ receptor-induced impairment of short-term spatial memory and motor incoordination were observed [20, 21]. However, the interactions observed *in vivo* might be polysynaptic and dependent on circuitry, not necessarily reflecting receptor interaction at the cellular and molecular levels. Previous studies indicate that when acutely coactivated, adenosine A₁ and cannabinoid CB₁ receptors independently inhibit excitatory synaptic transmission in the rat hippocampus and additively stimulate G-protein activation in brain membranes ([12, 22], but see [23]).

Since the putative independence of the acute inhibitory effect of adenosine A₁ and cannabinoid CB₁ receptors could be a localized phenomenon, restricted to excitatory synaptic transmission in CA1 area of hippocampus [12], we now further investigated if it also applies to second messenger formation in the whole hippocampus. For that purpose, we studied how the acute coactivation of A₁ and CB₁ receptors modulates adenylyl cyclase activity in rat hippocampal slices. Clarification of the combined activity of these receptors on cAMP production would also help to understand how cells integrate signals triggered from both A₁ and CB₁ receptors to regulate brain cells activity. On the other hand, since even subchronic activation of A₁ receptor can induce its desensitization [24] and therefore might cause cross desensitization of the CB₁ receptor [25], the effect of short-term adenosine A₁ receptor desensitization on the combined action of adenosine A₁ and cannabinoid CB₁ receptors was also investigated.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. cAMP Accumulation in Hippocampal Slices. The experiments were performed using acute hippocampal slices taken from young adult male Wistar rats (6–8 weeks old). The animals were handled according to European Community guidelines and Portuguese law concerning animal care and were anesthetized with halothane before decapitation. The brain was rapidly removed and transferred to ice-cold Krebs-Henseleit buffer with the following composition (mM): NaCl 118, KCl 4.7, KH₂PO₄ 1.2, MgSO₄ 1.2, CaCl₂ 1.3, NaHCO₃ 25, glucose 11.6, gassed with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂ (pH 7.4). The brain was cut longitudinally, the two hippocampi were dissected and cross chopped (350 × 350 μm) with a McIlwain tissue chopper. Sliced hippocampi were then placed in an Erlenmeyer, dispersed, and washed twice with buffer. The cross chopped hippocampal slices were transferred into a conical-bottom polypropylene tube and 50 μL aliquots of gravity-packed slices (1–2 mg protein) were pipetted into flat-bottom propylene tubes (1.65 × 9.5 cm, 20 mL capacity) containing Krebs buffer and preincubated for 30 min

at 37°C in a shaking (1 cycle·s⁻¹) water bath. Since basal intracellular levels of cAMP in hippocampal slices are low and hard to quantify, most experiments were performed in the presence of forskolin and rolipram in order to increase cAMP concentration. Forskolin directly stimulates adenylyl cyclase while rolipram inhibits phosphodiesterase 4, the main enzyme responsible for cAMP degradation in the brain [26]. Incubation with drugs started with addition of rolipram (50 μM final concentration). Forty-five min after rolipram addition, incubation proceeded in the absence or in the presence of forskolin (10 μM) for a further 15–35 min period. Assays performed in the presence of forskolin, and its controls, also contained ethanol (0.02%, v/v), its vehicle. When used, WIN55212-2 (0.3–30 μM), adenosine deaminase (2 U/mL), or DPCPX (50 nM) were present simultaneously with the start of incubation with rolipram, while AM251 (10 μM) was added 30 min before addition of rolipram. CPA (10–150 nM final concentration), when present, was added 30 min after rolipram addition. In one set of experiments WIN55212-2 (30 μM) was present since 5 h and 15 min before rolipram addition and in another set CPA (100 nM final concentration) was added 50 min before rolipram. The final volume after all drug additions was 300 μL. Note that, usually, longer incubation times were used when testing the effect of WIN55212-2 than when testing the CPA effect; this was necessary because WIN55212-2 is very lipophilic and therefore needed longer incubation times to equilibrate with hippocampal slices and produce its inhibitory effect (see [12]). In fact we have found, in a previous electrophysiological study using hippocampal slices [12], that WIN55212-2 started to produce effect on neurotransmission only after 30 min after its application to the hippocampal slice, and it took 60–90 min to produce its maximal effect. When testing the effect of a drug, a parallel control assay was done in which a same volume of vehicle replaced the volume of drug solution added to the tube. Tubes were gassed for 20 s and capped, after slices or drug addition.

Incubations were stopped by adding 100 μL of perchloric acid (HClO₄, 10% w/v) solution containing EDTA (20 mM). Samples were sonicated for 2 minutes, placed on ice for 30 minutes, neutralized by addition (100 μL) of potassium carbonate (K₂CO₃, 0.5 M), and vortexed for 2 minutes, allowing the CO₂ to escape. The tubes were then placed on ice for an additional 15 minutes period to precipitate potassium perchlorate. The samples were centrifuged (5000 g, 10 min at 4°C) and 200 μL aliquots, per sample, of the supernatants were collected and stored at –80°C for cAMP content analysis. The pellets were digested with NaOH (1M) for 1.5 h at 37°C, neutralized, and individually assayed in duplicate for protein content by the method of Peterson [27]. The samples were analyzed for cAMP content using an enzyme immunoassay (EIA) kit (Cayman Chemical). cAMP concentration in each sample was expressed as pmol per mg of protein.

2.2. Drugs Used. (R)-(+)-[2,3-Dihydro-5-methyl-3-(4-morpholinylmethyl)pyrrolo[1,2,3-de]-1,4-benzoxazin-6-yl]-1-naphthalenylmethanone mesylate (WIN55212-2), 8-cyclopentyl-1,3-dipropylxanthine (DPCPX), N6-cyclopentyl-




FIGURE 1: Inhibition of forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation by CPA (a) and WIN55212-2 (b) in rat hippocampal slices. (a) Slices were incubated for 30 min in the presence of rolipram (50 μM) and adenosine deaminase (2 U/mL). After this period, incubation continued for a 15 min period in the absence (control) or in the presence of CPA (10–150 nM). Finally incubation proceeded in the presence of forskolin (10 μM) for a further 15 min period. (b) Slices were incubated for 45 min in the presence of rolipram (50 μM) and in the absence (control) or in the presence of WIN55212-2 (0.3–30 μM). After this period, incubation continued for a further 35 min period in the presence of forskolin (10 μM). Data are mean \pm SEM of the % inhibition of control cAMP accumulation, corresponding to 3–9 independent experiments run at least in triplicate. The solid lines correspond to the nonlinear regression curves obtained by fitting a Michaelis-Menten type equation to the experimental points. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, and *** $P < 0.001$, when compared with zero, Student's t -test. α Statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) when comparing the cAMP accumulation obtained in the presence of CPA or WIN55212-2 with control cAMP accumulation (One-way ANOVA, followed by LSD test). The number of experiments corresponding to each concentration is indicated in brackets above the bars.

adenosine (CPA), N-(piperidin-1-yl)-5-(4-iodophenyl)-1-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-4-methyl-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (AM251), rolipram, and forskolin were purchased from Tocris (Bristol, UK). Adenosine deaminase was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Stock solutions of WIN55212-2 (20 mM), rolipram (20 mM), DPCPX (50 μM), and AM251 (5 mM) were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Forskolin (50 mM) and CPA (2 mM) stock solutions were prepared in ethanol and water, respectively. Suitable dilutions of each stock solution with Krebs buffer were made before performing the experiments.

2.3. Data Analysis. The values are expressed as mean \pm S.E.M. from n experiments. The significance of the differences between the mean values obtained in two different conditions, or when comparing means with zero, was evaluated by Student's t -test, where the paired Student's t -test was used whenever evaluating the significance of differences between two conditions tested in a paired way in the same experiment. When more than two different conditions were simultaneously compared, One-way ANOVA was used followed by the LSD post-hoc test. The maximal effect (E_{max}) and the concentration of agonist producing half- E_{max} (EC_{50}) were calculated by fitting the agonist concentration-response curve data to a Michaelis-Menten type equation, through nonlinear regression using the SPSS for Windows program version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Maximal Effect, Potency, and Specificity of Adenosine A_1 and Cannabinoid CB_1 Agonists on Forskolin-Stimulated cAMP Accumulation. In the presence of rolipram (50 μM), the cAMP accumulation was 40 ± 11 pmol/mg protein ($n = 3$), whereas the further addition of 10 μM forskolin increased basal cAMP accumulation by about fivefold (to 202 ± 46 pmol/mg protein, $n = 3$, $P < 0.05$, paired Student's t -test).

As shown in Figures 1(a) and 1(b), both the adenosine A_1 receptor selective agonist CPA (10–150 nM) and the cannabinoid CB_1 receptor agonist WIN55212.2 (0.3–30 μM) dose-dependently inhibited forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation in the hippocampus. Computerized curve fitting to the data shown in Figure 1(a) gave an EC_{50} for CPA of 35 ± 19 nM and a maximal decrease of cAMP accumulation (E_{max}) of $29\% \pm 5\%$, whereas for WIN55212-2 (Figure 1(b)) an EC_{50} of 6.6 ± 2.7 μM and an E_{max} of $31\% \pm 2\%$ were obtained. Application of CPA (100 nM) caused a $21\% \pm 3\%$ ($n = 8$) inhibition of cAMP accumulation, while when WIN55212-2 (30 μM) was applied, the cAMP accumulation was decreased by $25\% \pm 4\%$ ($n = 9$). We found these concentrations adequate to test the combined effect of CPA and WIN55212-2 on cAMP accumulation, since with them we obtained a robust effect. The inhibitory effect of CPA (100 nM) on cAMP accumulation was fully blocked by the adenosine A_1 receptor selective antagonist DPCPX (50 nM; Figure 2(a)), while




FIGURE 2: Reversal of the inhibitory effects of CPA (a) and WIN55212-2 (b) on forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation, by selective A_1 receptor and CB_1 receptor antagonists, respectively. (a) Slices were incubated for 30 min in the presence of rolipram ($50 \mu\text{M}$), adenosine deaminase (2 U/mL) and in the absence or in the presence of DPCPX (50 nM). After this period, incubation continued for 15 min in the absence or in the presence of CPA (100 nM). Finally incubation proceeded in the presence of forskolin ($10 \mu\text{M}$) for a further 15 min period. The solid bars represent the % inhibition of control cAMP accumulation produced by (from left to right) CPA, DPCPX, and CPA plus DPCPX. For CPA and for DPCPX the control corresponded to the cAMP accumulation obtained in the absence of both CPA and DPCPX, while for CPA plus DPCPX the control corresponded to the cAMP accumulation obtained in the absence of CPA but in presence of DPCPX. (b) Slices were incubated for 30 min in the absence or in the presence of AM251 ($10 \mu\text{M}$). After this period, the incubation continued for 45 min in the presence of rolipram ($50 \mu\text{M}$) and in the absence or in the presence of WIN55212-2 ($10 \mu\text{M}$). Finally incubation proceeded in the presence of forskolin ($10 \mu\text{M}$) for a further 35 min period. The solid bars represent the % inhibition of control cAMP accumulation produced by (from left to right) WIN55212-2, AM251, and WIN55212-2 plus AM251. For WIN55212-2 and for AM251 the control corresponded to the cAMP accumulation obtained in the absence of both WIN55212-2 and AM251, while for WIN55212-2 plus AM251 the control corresponded to the cAMP accumulation obtained in the absence of WIN55212-2 but in the presence of AM251. Data are mean \pm SEM from 3–8 independent experiments run at least in triplicate. * $P < 0.05$ and *** $P < 0.001$ when compared with zero (Student's t -test). ** $P < 0.01$ when compared with the effect obtained in the absence of antagonist (One-way ANOVA, followed by LSD test). α Statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) when comparing the cAMP accumulation obtained in the presence of CPA or WIN55212-2 with control cAMP accumulation (One-way ANOVA, followed by LSD test). The number of experiments performed in each situation is indicated in brackets above the bars.

the inhibitory effect of WIN55212-2 ($10 \mu\text{M}$) was strongly attenuated by the cannabinoid CB_1 receptor selective antagonist AM251 ($10 \mu\text{M}$; Figure 2(b)). Note that in the presence of AM251, WIN55212-2 produced a residual inhibitory effect on cAMP accumulation (4.3 ± 0.6 , $n = 3$; Figure 2(b)). The choice of a $10 \mu\text{M}$ concentration of WIN55212-2 when studying the reversal of its effect by AM251 was determined by the solubility of AM251. Since AM251 is very lipophilic, it is difficult for it to diffuse into the bulk of the slice so that it reaches the right concentration to efficiently inhibit cannabinoid CB_1 receptors. Thus, the appropriate concentration of AM251, which depends on its low solubility in aqueous buffer, required that the concentration of WIN55212-2 would not surpass $10 \mu\text{M}$. Accumulation of cAMP was not affected by either DPCPX or AM251 alone (Figure 2).

3.2. Combined Effect of Adenosine A_1 and Cannabinoid CB_1 Agonists. When CPA (100 nM) and WIN55212-2 ($30 \mu\text{M}$) were applied together, respectively, 15 min and 45 min before forskolin, the combined application of WIN55212-2 and CPA produced a higher inhibition of cAMP accumulation ($41\% \pm$

6%) than that produced by either WIN55212-2 or CPA alone (Figure 3(a)). Furthermore, the combined effect of CPA and WIN55212-2 did not differ from the sum of the individual effects of each agonist ($43\% \pm 8\%$; $P > 0.7$, paired Student's t -test, Figure 3(a)).

3.3. CB_1 Activity Remains Unaffected by Short-Term Desensitization of Adenosine A_1 Receptors. As we may observe in Figure 3(b), increasing the preincubation period with CPA, from 15 to 95 min before forskolin addition, caused a significant ($P < 0.05$) attenuation of the CPA effect on forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation in the hippocampal slice. In fact, when CPA (100 nM) was applied 95 min before forskolin, no significant effect of CPA was observed ($P > 0.22$, Figures 3(b) and 3(c)) suggesting that short-term desensitization mechanisms were operating on A_1 receptors. Consequently the possibility that adenosine A_1 receptor desensitization could cross desensitize cannabinoid CB_1 receptors and modify the cannabinoid CB_1 -mediated action on cAMP accumulation was investigated. After inducing short-term desensitization of A_1 receptors by 95 min exposure to CPA,




FIGURE 3: Combined effect of WIN55212-2 and CPA on forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation in rat hippocampal slices; influence of the preincubation period with CPA. (a) and (c) Combined effect of WIN55212-2 and CPA. In experiments where CPA was added 95 min before forskolin (c), slices were incubated in the absence (control) or in the presence of CPA (100 nM) for 50 min. After this period incubation continued for 45 min in the presence of rolipram (50 μ M) and in the absence (control) or in the presence of WIN55212-2 (30 μ M). Then incubation proceeded in the presence of forskolin (10 μ M) for a further 35 min period. In experiments where CPA was added 15 min before forskolin (a) CPA (100 nM final concentration) or vehicle (control) was added 30 min after rolipram. In each experiment four parallel assays were performed, corresponding, respectively, to incubation with WIN55212-2, CPA, WIN55212-2 + CPA and incubation in the absence of WIN55212-2 and CPA (control). Solid bars represent the % inhibition of control cAMP accumulation produced by (from left to right) WIN55212-2, CPA, and WIN55212-2 plus CPA; the dashed bar represents the arithmetical sum (calculated for each experiment) of the % inhibition produced by WIN55212-2 and CPA alone. (b) Time-dependent attenuation of the CPA effect. In experiments where CPA was added 95 min before forskolin (open bar), slices were incubated in the absence (control) or in the presence of CPA (100 nM) for 50 min. After this period incubation continued for 45 min in the presence of rolipram (50 μ M). Then incubation proceeded in the presence of forskolin (10 μ M) for a further 35 min period. In experiments where CPA was added 15 min before forskolin (solid bar) CPA (100 nM final concentration) or vehicle (control) was added 30 min after rolipram. Bars represent the % inhibition produced by CPA of control cAMP accumulation. #Statistically different from CPA added 15 min before forskolin ($P < 0.05$, Student's t -test). In the bottom of (a) and (c) are presented the corresponding time lines of addition of drugs. In (b), for CPA 15 min applies the time line presented in (a) and for CPA 95 min applies the timeline presented in (c), but without WIN55212-2. R: rolipram, F: forskolin, W: WIN55212-2, and PCA: perchloric acid. Data are mean \pm SEM from 4 independent experiments run at least in triplicate. * Statistically different from zero ($P < 0.05$). α Statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) when comparing the cAMP accumulation obtained in the presence of CPA, WIN55212-2, or WIN55212-2 plus CPA, with control cAMP accumulation (One-way ANOVA, followed by LSD test). \S Statistically different from the effect of WIN55212-2 (A) or CPA (B) alone ($P < 0.05$; One-way ANOVA, followed by LSD test). NS: the WIN55212-2 plus CPA effect was not statistically different from the sum of the inhibitory effects of WIN55212-2 and CPA alone (A + B, dashed line; $P > 0.25$, when compared within the same experiment, paired Student's t -test) in (a) and (c), or from WIN55212-2 alone (A; $P > 0.07$, One-way ANOVA, followed by LSD test) in (c).

the inhibitory effect of WIN55212-2 (30 μ M) on forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation was not modified ($37\% \pm 11\%$ inhibition in the absence and $40\% \pm 13\%$ inhibition in the presence of CPA; $P > 0.2$, paired Student's t -test; Figure 3(c)), suggesting absence of cross desensitization of cannabinoid CB₁ receptors by adenosine A₁ receptors.

Contrasting with CPA, the WIN55212-2 (30 μ M) inhibitory effect on forskolin-stimulated cAMP remained virtually unchanged even when slices were preincubated with WIN55212-2 for up to six hours ($31\% \pm 6\%$ inhibition caused by WIN55212-2 for 45 min preincubation and $30\% \pm 5\%$ inhibition for 6 h preincubation with WIN55212-2; $P > 0.05$, paired Student's t -test). Longer incubation periods were not used to avoid losing slice integrity.

4. Discussion

The results obtained in the present work showed for the first time that the inhibitory effect of acute or subchronic coactivation of adenosine A₁ and cannabinoid CB₁ receptors on cAMP accumulation is additive in the hippocampus. The results further indicate that the additive inhibitory effects of these receptors are not restricted to excitatory synaptic transmission in the CA1 area [12] but also apply to cAMP formation in the hippocampus. Although a rapid desensitization of the inhibitory action of adenosine A₁ receptors on cAMP accumulation was observed, this desensitization did not modify the cannabinoid CB₁ receptor effect on cAMP accumulation.

4.1. Potency and Specificity of A_1 and CB_1 Agonists as Inhibitors of cAMP Accumulation. The EC_{50} obtained in the present work in the rat hippocampus (36 nM) for the inhibitory effect of the A_1 receptor selective agonist CPA, when applied 15 min before forskolin, on cAMP accumulation was similar to that obtained in guinea-pig cerebral cortex (22 nM, [28]). The CB_1 receptor agonist WIN55212-2 potency for inhibition of forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation, obtained in the present work (EC_{50} of 6.6 μ M), was also similar to that reported for rat *globus pallidus* slices (EC_{50} between 3 and 10 μ M, [29]) and slightly higher than that found in mouse cerebellar membranes (EC_{50} of 1.4 μ M, [23]). In hippocampal membranes of guinea-pig the effect of WIN55212-2 (7% maximal inhibition, [30]) was very small to calculate the EC_{50} . The inhibitory effect of the A_1 receptor agonist CPA on forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation was prevented by the A_1 receptor selective antagonist DPCPX, indicating that the effect of the agonist was specific for the adenosine A_1 receptor. The inhibitory effect of WIN55212-2 on forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation was strongly attenuated by the CB_1 receptor selective antagonist AM251, indicating that the WIN55212-2 effect on cAMP accumulation is mainly mediated by cannabinoid CB_1 receptor. However, even in the presence of AM251, WIN55212-2 produced a small inhibitory effect on cAMP accumulation. This WIN55212-2 residual effect could be due to (i) activation of cannabinoid CB_2 receptor; (ii) activation of non- CB_1 , non- CB_2 receptors. Hypothesis (i) seems unlikely since, although WIN55212-2 is not selective for cannabinoid CB_1 receptor, CB_2 receptor is mostly found in peripheral tissues. However, hypothesis (ii) cannot be discarded. In fact non- CB_1 , non- CB_2 activity of WIN55212-2 has been reported in the hippocampus [22, 31], suggesting activation of an unknown receptor.

4.2. Combined Actions of A_1 and CB_1 Receptors. We quantified cAMP accumulation to determine how adenosine A_1 and cannabinoid CB_1 receptors, when coactivated, modulate adenylyl cyclase activity. We found that when both receptors are simultaneously operating, they exert additive inhibition of adenylyl cyclase activity, which implies that the transduction pathways operated by both receptors do not compete or interfere with each other. If both receptors competed for the same limiting pool of adenylyl cyclase, the combined effect of A_1 and CB_1 agonists would be less than additive. These findings agree with previous observations obtained concerning hippocampal excitatory synaptic transmission in the rat ([12], but see [32]), in rat hippocampal membranes ([33], but see [20]) and in whole brain membranes of the mouse where coapplication of A_1 and CB_1 receptors agonists additively stimulated [35 S]GTP γ S binding [22]. These reports, together with the results obtained in the present study, support an additive effect, in hippocampus, at three different cellular levels when A_1 and CB_1 receptors are acutely costimulated: G-proteins, adenylyl cyclase, and excitatory synaptic transmission. In mouse cerebellar membranes, both [35 S]GTP γ S binding and inhibition of forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation by combined application of A_1 and CB_1 receptors agonists were only partially additive, but still the combined effect was greater than the maximal individual

effects [23]. In one study A_1 receptors attenuate CB_1 receptor-mediated inhibition of K^+ -induced GABA and glutamate release from rat hippocampal synaptosomes [20], which contrasts with the mutually independent inhibitory action of A_1 and CB_1 receptors on hippocampal excitatory synaptic transmission found in brain slices [12], probably because availability of signaling molecules in synaptosomes, shared by both receptors, is lower than in brain slices [34]. In C57BL/6J mice (which have high levels of endogenous adenosine) sustained tonic activation of A_1 receptors prevented CB_1 -mediated inhibition of excitatory synaptic transmission, but not in the rat [32], suggesting differences between species.

Since adenosine A_1 and cannabinoid CB_1 receptors mostly couple to identical $G\alpha_{i/o}$ subunits [35] and are both expressed at pyramidal glutamatergic neurons in the hippocampus [36, 37], it is not surprising that receptor interference could occur. In fact, A_1 receptors have less than additive response when interacting with other $G_{i/o}$ -coupled receptors, such as group II metabotropic glutamate receptors [38], α_2 -adrenergic receptors [39], and neuropeptide Y receptors [40] in the hippocampus, while, in superior cervical ganglia, the expression of human CB_1 cannabinoid receptors can sequester $G_{i/o}$ proteins from a common pool and make them unavailable to other $G_{i/o}$ -coupled receptors [41]. In rat striatal slices a cannabinoid analogue produced less than additive inhibition of cAMP formation when coapplied with opioid or dopamine D_2 receptors agonists [42]. On the other hand, additive actions between adenosine A_1 and μ -opioid or $GABA_B$ receptor agonists have been described for receptor-mediated $G_{i/o}$ protein activation in hippocampal membranes [33]. Therefore, the additive inhibitory effects of A_1 and CB_1 receptors on adenylyl cyclase activity, observed in the present work, suggest that availability not only of $G_{i/o}$ proteins [33], but also of adenylyl cyclase, shared by both receptors, might not be limiting in the rat hippocampus. Another possibility is that compartmentalization of A_1 and CB_1 receptors within cells might occur. The scaffold proteins A-kinase anchoring proteins (AKAPs) [43, 44], and the lipid raft caveolae [45], have been identified in the hippocampus, where they improve the spatial precision of cAMP-related activity [46].

Formation of heteromers between adenosine A_{2A} and A_1 receptors has been reported, which explained the interaction between these two receptors [47]. However, the additive and therefore independent action of A_1 and CB_1 receptors at the hippocampus observed in the present work does not suggest formation of heteromers between these two receptors.

4.3. Desensitization of A_1 Receptors. When applied 95 min before forskolin, CPA failed to modify forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation. Therefore, 95 min is a sufficient time period to induce subchronic A_1 receptor homologous desensitization. In fact, rapid (<90 min) homologous desensitization of the A_1 receptor-mediated inhibition of excitatory neurotransmission, induced by hypoxia, has been reported in the rat hippocampus [48]. In smooth muscle DDT $_1$ MF-2 cells, uncoupling of A_1 receptors from G proteins (measured by a decrease in agonist binding) was observed after 30 min exposure to agonist, an effect involving receptor phosphorylation and arrestin binding [24]. In the same cells, desensitization of

the A₁ receptor-mediated inhibition of forskolin-stimulated adenylyl cyclase activity by preincubation with an adenosine A₁ receptor agonist takes several hours to occur [49].

Sousa et al. [20] reported unidirectional attenuation by A₁ receptors of CB₁ receptor-mediated inhibition of glutamate release from hippocampal synaptosomes, while CB₁ receptors did not affect the A₁-mediated effect [20], but in this study CPA was present in the incubation medium before WIN55212-2 for over 30 minutes, which may have been enough to trigger desensitization of A₁ receptors [24]. To evaluate if this apparent unidirectional action of A₁ receptors on the CB₁ receptor-mediated effect could be a consequence of heterologous desensitization by the A₁ receptors, we studied the influence of the CPA incubation period on the WIN55212-2 inhibitory effect. Addition of CPA either 15 min before forskolin (acute stimulation) or 95 min before forskolin (enough to induce subchronic homologous desensitization) did not modify the inhibitory effect of WIN55212-2 on forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation, therefore excluding heterologous desensitization of CB₁ receptors by acute or subchronic adenosine A₁ receptor activation, at least at the level of cAMP production. However it does not preclude the hypothesis of heterologous desensitization of the receptor response by longer treatment with receptor agonists [23, 50], where other downstream effectors may be influenced.

Contrasting with adenosine A₁ receptors, preincubation with WIN55212-2 for up to 6 h did not induce desensitization of the cannabinoid CB₁ receptor-mediated inhibition of cAMP production. A previous study in cultured hippocampal neurons indicates that an 18 to 24 h exposure to WIN55212-2 was necessary to produce a significant desensitization of the CB₁ receptor-mediated inhibition of neurotransmission [50].

4.4. Conclusion. The results obtained in the present work indicate an additive inhibition of cAMP accumulation by adenosine A₁ and cannabinoid CB₁ receptors in the rat hippocampus. Furthermore, the effect of CB₁ was not affected by subchronic A₁ receptor desensitization. Therefore, the results suggest that receptor cross talk between adenosine A₁ and cannabinoid CB₁ receptors does not play a role on acute inhibitory actions of A₁ and CB₁ receptors on cAMP production at the rat hippocampus. Since cAMP plays a central role in regulating multiple brain cell functions, it is likely that other additive actions of adenosine A₁ and cannabinoid CB₁ receptors, besides inhibition of glutamatergic neurotransmission, might occur at the hippocampus, where a promising cumulative neuroprotective action against neurotoxic insults may occur, which deserves future investigation.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a project grant from the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT,

POCI/SAU-FCF/57973/2004). A. Serpa received a scholarship from FCT (SFRH/BD/65112/2009). The authors thank Professor Lori A. Wetmore (William Jewell College) for English editing of the paper.

References

- [1] S. Seino and T. Shibasaki, "PKA-dependent and PKA-independent pathways for cAMP-regulated exocytosis," *Physiological Reviews*, vol. 85, no. 4, pp. 1303–1342, 2005.
- [2] J. Bradley, Y. Zhang, R. Bakin, H. A. Lester, G. V. Ronnett, and K. Zinn, "Functional expression of the heteromeric "olfactory" cyclic nucleotide-gated channel in the hippocampus: a potential effector of synaptic plasticity in brain neurons," *The Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 1993–2005, 1997.
- [3] J. W. Hell, C. T. Yokoyama, L. J. Breeze, C. Chavkin, and W. A. Catterall, "Phosphorylation of presynaptic and postsynaptic calcium channels by cAMP-dependent protein kinase in hippocampal neurons," *The EMBO Journal*, vol. 14, no. 13, pp. 3036–3044, 1995.
- [4] B. Lancaster, H. Hu, B. Gibb, and J. F. Storm, "Kinetics of ion channel modulation by cAMP in rat hippocampal neurons," *The Journal of Physiology*, vol. 576, no. 2, pp. 403–417, 2006.
- [5] J. N. Gelin, J. L. Banko, M. M. Peters, E. Klann, E. J. Weeber, and P. V. Nguyen, "Activation of exchange protein activated by cyclic-AMP enhances long-lasting synaptic potentiation in the hippocampus," *Learning and Memory*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 403–411, 2008.
- [6] M. Mizuno, K. Yamada, N. Maekawa, K. Saito, M. Seishima, and T. Nabeshima, "CREB phosphorylation as a molecular marker of memory processing in the hippocampus for spatial learning," *Behavioural Brain Research*, vol. 133, no. 2, pp. 135–141, 2002.
- [7] M. Herkenham, A. B. Lynn, M. Ross Johnson, L. S. Melvin, B. R. De Costa, and K. C. Rice, "Characterization and localization of cannabinoid receptors in rat brain: a quantitative in vitro autoradiographic study," *The Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 563–583, 1991.
- [8] J. Fastbom, A. Pazos, and J. M. Palacios, "The distribution of adenosine A₁ receptors and 5'-nucleotidase in the brain of some commonly used experimental animals," *Neuroscience*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 813–826, 1987.
- [9] A. C. Howlett, J. M. Qualy, and L. L. Khachatrian, "Involvement of Gi in the inhibition of adenylyl cyclase by cannabimimetic drugs," *Molecular Pharmacology*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 307–313, 1986.
- [10] D. van Calker, M. Mueller, and B. Hamprecht, "Adenosine regulates via two different types of receptors, the accumulation of cyclic AMP in cultured brain cells," *Journal of Neurochemistry*, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 999–1005, 1979.
- [11] T. V. Dunwiddie and B. J. Offer, "Adenine nucleotides and synaptic transmission in the in vitro rat hippocampus," *British Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 59–68, 1980.
- [12] A. Serpa, J. A. Ribeiro, and A. M. Sebastião, "Cannabinoid CB₁ and adenosine A₁ receptors independently inhibit hippocampal synaptic transmission," *European Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 623, no. 1–3, pp. 41–46, 2009.
- [13] M. Shen, T. M. Piser, V. S. Seybold, and S. A. Thayer, "Cannabinoid receptor agonists inhibit glutamatergic synaptic transmission in rat hippocampal cultures," *The Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 16, no. 14, pp. 4322–4334, 1996.
- [14] E. A. Carlini, A. Hamaoui, D. Bieniek, and F. Korte, "Effects of (-)- Δ^9 -trans-tetrahydrocannabinol and a synthetic derivative

- on maze performance of rats," *Pharmacology*, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 359–368, 1970.
- [15] G. Lu, Q.-X. Zhou, S. Kang et al., "Chronic morphine treatment impaired hippocampal long-term potentiation and spatial memory via accumulation of extracellular adenosine acting on adenosine A₁ receptors," *The Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 30, no. 14, pp. 5058–5070, 2010.
- [16] K. Monory, F. Massa, M. Egertová et al., "The endocannabinoid system controls key epileptogenic circuits in the hippocampus," *Neuron*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 455–466, 2006.
- [17] A. M. Sebastião, A. de Mendonça, T. Moreira, and J. Alexandre Ribeiro, "Activation of synaptic NMDA receptors by action potential-dependent release of transmitter during hypoxia impairs recovery of synaptic transmission on reoxygenation," *The Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 21, no. 21, pp. 8564–8571, 2001.
- [18] D. Z. Liu, F. L. Zhao, J. Liu, X. Q. Li, Y. Ye, and X. Z. Zhu, "Potentiation of adenosine A₁ receptor agonist CPA-induced antinociception by paeoniflorin in mice," *Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 1630–1633, 2006.
- [19] L. C. Chiou, S. S. J. Hu, and Y. C. Ho, "Targeting the cannabinoid system for pain relief?" *Acta Anaesthesiologica Taiwanica*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 161–170, 2013.
- [20] V. C. Sousa, N. Assaife-Lopes, J. A. Ribeiro, J. A. Pratt, R. R. Brett, and A. M. Sebastião, "Regulation of hippocampal cannabinoid CB₁ receptor actions by adenosine A₁ receptors and chronic caffeine administration: implications for the effects of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol on spatial memory," *Neuropsychopharmacology*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 472–487, 2011.
- [21] K. P. DeSanty and M. S. Dar, "Cannabinoid-induced motor incoordination through the cerebellar CB₁(1) receptor in mice," *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior*, vol. 69, no. 1-2, pp. 251–259, 2001.
- [22] C. S. Breivogel, G. Griffin, V. Di Marzo, and B. R. Martin, "Evidence for a new G protein-coupled cannabinoid receptor in mouse brain," *Molecular Pharmacology*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 155–163, 2001.
- [23] D. E. Selley, M. P. Cassidy, B. R. Martin, and L. J. Sim-Selley, "Long-term administration of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol desensitizes CB₁-, adenosine A₁-, and GABA_B-mediated inhibition of adenylyl cyclase in mouse cerebellum," *Molecular Pharmacology*, vol. 66, no. 5, pp. 1275–1284, 2004.
- [24] Z. Nie, Y. Mei, and V. Ramkumar, "Short term desensitization of the A₁ adenosine receptors in DDT1MF-2 cells," *Molecular Pharmacology*, vol. 52, pp. 456–464, 1997.
- [25] J. Chu, H. Zheng, Y. Zhang, H. H. Loh, and P.-Y. Law, "Agonist-dependent μ -opioid receptor signaling can lead to heterologous desensitization," *Cellular Signalling*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 684–696, 2010.
- [26] M. P. Kelly and N. J. Brandon, "Differential function of phosphodiesterase families in the brain: gaining insights through the use of genetically modified animals," *Progress in Brain Research*, vol. 179, pp. 67–73, 2009.
- [27] G. L. Peterson, "A simplification of the protein assay method of Lowry et al. Which is more generally applicable," *Analytical Biochemistry*, vol. 83, no. 2, pp. 346–356, 1977.
- [28] S. P. H. Alexander, A. R. Curtis, D. A. Kendall, and S. J. Hill, "A₁ adenosine receptor inhibition of cyclic AMP formation and radioligand binding in the guinea-pig cerebral cortex," *British Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 113, no. 4, pp. 1501–1507, 1994.
- [29] Y. P. Maneuf and J. M. Brotchie, "Paradoxical action of the cannabinoid WIN 55,212-2 in stimulated and basal cyclic AMP accumulation in rat globus pallidus slices," *British Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 120, no. 8, pp. 1397–1398, 1997.
- [30] E. Schlicker, J. Timm, J. Zentner, and M. Göthert, "Cannabinoid CB₁ receptor-mediated inhibition of noradrenaline release in the human and guinea-pig hippocampus," *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Archives of Pharmacology*, vol. 356, no. 5, pp. 583–589, 1997.
- [31] N. Hájos and T. F. Freund, "Pharmacological separation of cannabinoid sensitive receptors on hippocampal excitatory and inhibitory fibers," *Neuropharmacology*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 503–510, 2002.
- [32] A. F. Hoffman, N. Laaris, M. Kawamura, S. A. Masino, and C. R. Lupica, "Control of cannabinoid CB₁ receptor function on glutamate axon terminals by endogenous adenosine acting at A₁ receptors," *The Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 545–555, 2010.
- [33] S. R. Childers, X. Li, R. Xiao, and J. C. Eisenach, "Allosteric modulation of adenosine A₁ receptor coupling to G-proteins in brain," *Journal of Neurochemistry*, vol. 93, no. 3, pp. 715–723, 2005.
- [34] P. Lipton, "Brain slices: uses and abuses," in *Neuromethods: General Neurochemical Techniques*, A. A. Boulton and G. B. Baker, Eds., pp. 69–115, Humana Press, 1986.
- [35] A. J. Straiker, C. R. Borden, and J. M. Sullivan, "G-protein α subunit isoforms couple differentially to receptors that mediate presynaptic inhibition at rat hippocampal synapses," *The Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 2460–2468, 2002.
- [36] Y. Kawamura, M. Fukaya, T. Maejima et al., "The CB₁ cannabinoid receptor is the major cannabinoid receptor at excitatory presynaptic sites in the hippocampus and cerebellum," *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 26, no. 11, pp. 2991–3001, 2006.
- [37] T. Ochiishi, Y. Saitoh, A. Yukawa et al., "High level of adenosine A₁ receptor-like immunoreactivity in the CA2/CA3a region of the adult rat hippocampus," *Neuroscience*, vol. 93, no. 3, pp. 955–967, 1999.
- [38] P. Di Iorio, G. Battaglia, R. Ciccarelli et al., "Interaction between A₁ adenosine and class II metabotropic glutamate receptors in the regulation of purine and glutamate release from rat hippocampal slices," *Journal of Neurochemistry*, vol. 67, no. 1, pp. 302–309, 1996.
- [39] N. Limberger, L. Spath, and K. Starke, "Presynaptic α 2-adrenoceptor, opioid κ -receptor and adenosine A₁-receptor interactions on noradrenaline release in rabbit brain cortex," *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Archives of Pharmacology*, vol. 338, no. 1, pp. 53–61, 1988.
- [40] J. Qian, W. F. Colmers, and P. Saggau, "Inhibition of synaptic transmission by neuropeptide Y in rat hippocampal area CA1: modulation of presynaptic Ca²⁺ entry," *The Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 17, no. 21, pp. 8169–8177, 1997.
- [41] C. Vásquez and D. L. Lewis, "The CB₁ cannabinoid receptor can sequester G-proteins, making them unavailable to couple to other receptors," *The Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 19, no. 21, pp. 9271–9280, 1999.
- [42] M. Bidaut-Russell and A. C. Howlett, "Cannabinoid receptor-regulated cyclic AMP accumulation in the rat striatum," *Journal of Neurochemistry*, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 1769–1773, 1991.
- [43] A. Sik, A. Gulácsi, Y. Lai et al., "Localization of the A kinase anchoring protein AKAP79 in the human hippocampus," *European Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 1155–1164, 2000.
- [44] L. Lin, W. Sun, F. Kung, M. L. Dell'Acqua, and D. A. Hoffman, "AKAP79/150 impacts intrinsic excitability of hippocampal

- neurons through phospho-regulation of A-type K^+ channel trafficking,” *Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 1323–1332, 2011.
- [45] J. Bu, S. R. Bruckner, T. Sengoku, J. W. Geddes, and S. Estus, “Glutamate regulates caveolin expression in rat hippocampal neurons,” *Journal of Neuroscience Research*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 185–190, 2003.
- [46] J. D. Scott and T. Pawson, “Cell signaling in space and time: where proteins come together and when they’re apart,” *Science*, vol. 326, no. 5957, pp. 1220–1224, 2009.
- [47] S. Cristóvão-Ferreira, G. Navarro, M. Brugarolas et al., “A1R-A_{2A}R heteromers coupled to G_s and G_{i/o} proteins modulate GABA transport into astrocytes,” *Purinergic Signalling*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 433–449, 2013.
- [48] J. E. Coelho, N. Rebola, I. Fragata, J. A. Ribeiro, A. de Mendonça, and R. A. Cunha, “Hypoxia-induced desensitization and internalization of adenosine A1 receptors in the rat hippocampus,” *Neuroscience*, vol. 138, no. 4, pp. 1195–1203, 2006.
- [49] V. Ramkumar, M. E. Olah, K. A. Jacobson, and G. L. Stiles, “Distinct pathways of desensitization of A1- and A2-adenosine receptors in DDT1 MF-2 cells,” *Molecular Pharmacology*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 639–647, 1991.
- [50] M. Kouznetsova, B. Kelley, M. Shen, and S. A. Thayer, “Desensitization of cannabinoid-mediated presynaptic inhibition of neurotransmission between rat hippocampal neurons in culture,” *Molecular Pharmacology*, vol. 61, no. 3, pp. 477–485, 2002.