

# Effectiveness of Partial Enteral Nutrition as Add-On to Biologics in Patients With Refractory and Difficult-to-Treat Crohn's Disease: A Pilot Study

Olga Maria Nardone, MD, PhD,<sup>\*,</sup> Giulio Calabrese, MD,<sup>†,</sup> Alessia La Mantia, MD,<sup>†</sup> Anna Testa, MD, PhD,<sup>†</sup> Antonio Rispo, MD, PhD,<sup>†</sup> Lucia Alfonsi, MD,<sup>‡</sup> Fabrizio Pasanisi, MD,<sup>‡</sup> and Fabiana Castiglione, MD<sup>†</sup>

\*Gastroenterology, Department of Public Health, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy

<sup>1</sup>Gastroenterology, Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy

<sup>‡</sup>Internal Medicine and Clinical Nutrition Unit, Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy Address correspondence to: Olga Maria Nardone, MD, PhD, Gastroenterology, Department of Public Health, University of Naples Federico II, - via S. Pansini

5, Naples 80131, Italy (olga.nardone@libero.it).

**Background:** Partial enteral nutrition (PEN) is a well-established treatment for children with Crohn's disease (CD). However, its efficacy in adults with CD remains uncertain. We aimed to assess the effectiveness of PEN as an add-on to escalated biological therapy in adults with CD who have lost response to biologics.

**Methods:** We conducted a retrospective observational study including patients who had lost response to biologics and received PEN in combination with escalated treatment, compared to those treated only with escalated therapy. The primary endpoint was steroid-free clinical remission (CR) at 24 weeks. Secondary endpoints included transmural healing (TH) and response (TR) rates along with selected clinical outcomes.

**Results:** Forty-two patients were screened; 12 (28.6%) were excluded for complicated disease and 30 (71.4%) were included in the final analysis. Fourteen (46.7%) patients completed PEN treatment at 8 weeks, while 16 patients (53.3%) discontinued treatment due to intolerance and continued with escalation of biologic (BT group). At 24 weeks, 9 patients (64.3%) in the PEN group achieved CR, compared to 4 patients (25%) in the BT group (P = .03). The TR rate was 64.9% in the PEN group and 25% in the BT group (P = .03). Patients receiving PEN exhibited an increase in albumin levels compared to those in the BT group ( $\Delta = 0.5$ ; P = .02). A higher rate of therapy changes (68.7%) was observed in the BT group compared to 14.2% in the PEN group (P = .004). Prior failure to 2 lines of biological therapy was associated with adherence to PEN (OR = 1.583; Cl = 1.06-2.36; P = .01).

**Conclusions:** In patients who had lost response to biologics, PEN in combination with escalated biologics was associated with CR and TR and improved nutritional status. Hence, the addition of PEN should be considered for patients with difficult-to-treat CD.

## Lay Summary

This pilot study shows that the use of partial enteral nutrition, along with biologics, is effective for inducing clinical remission and transmural response, along with improved nutritional status in patients with refractory and difficult-to-treat Crohn's disease.

Key Words: Crohn's disease, partial enteral nutrition, loss of response, difficult to treat

## Introduction

Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) arise from a persistent alteration in mucosal immune responses, determined by genetic variations and environmental factors, including diet and gut microbiota.<sup>1</sup> Despite the recent advancement in the management of IBD and the increased wealth of treatment options, a substantial percentage of patients with IBD do not reach or maintain the response, representing a crucial unmet need.<sup>2,3</sup> Much effort has been made to find predictors of clinical relapse and therapeutic response, but the biological mechanisms of these diseases remain uncertain. Indeed, the more biologics patients are exposed to, the greater the difficulty in choosing a new treatment and the lower the likelihood that they will respond to the next therapy.<sup>4</sup>

Based on a recent survey with the support of the International Organization for Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IOIBD) almost all (96%) respondents agreed that difficult-to-treat IBD can be defined by the failure of biologics and advanced small molecules with at least two different mechanisms of action.<sup>4–6</sup> In this context, combination therapy with immune modulators and dose escalation has shown to be effective in recapturing clinical response and achieving higher rates of remission in some patients with signs of loss of response.<sup>7,8</sup>

Nutritional therapies represent an additional treatment strategy for Crohn's disease (CD) due to the implications on inflammatory pathways, gut mucosal immune responses, and gut microbiota.<sup>9,10</sup> Enteral nutrition has been traditionally used for induction of remission in pediatric patients with active (luminal) mild-to-moderate CD and it is usually used for 6–8 weeks.<sup>11</sup> The mode of action of exclusive enteral nutrition (EEN) involves anti-inflammatory functions of the gut microbiota, a mere reduction of (dietary or microbial) antigen load or a reduction of nutrient-induced immune responses.<sup>9</sup>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial License (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

Received for publication: December 23, 2023. Editorial Decision: February 7, 2024

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Crohn's & Colitis Foundation.

However, despite PEN's effectiveness, compliance among adults has been low, primarily due to unpalatable formulas and a lack of motivation.<sup>13,14</sup> Due to tolerability and compliance concerns, the use of PEN could be best suited for limited time periods as an add-on treatment to standard-of-care medical management.

In the current study, we aimed to assess the effectiveness and tolerability of PEN in combination with escalated biological therapy in adults with CD who had experienced a secondary loss of response to biologics compared to escalated treatment alone. We further assess the benefit of PEN on clinical outcomes such as nutritional status, rate of hospitalizations, changes in therapy and surgery at 24 weeks.

## Methods

We performed an observational analysis of patients with CD aged > 18 years in follow-up between January 2019 and May 2022 at our tertiary center who had a secondary loss of response to biological therapy. The primary endpoint was steroid-free clinical remission (CR) at 24 weeks. Secondary endpoints included transmural healing (TH)/response (TR) rate and clinical outcomes. All patients had initiated biological therapy more than 6 months before the study started. In accordance with the European Crohn's and Colitis Organization (ECCO) and the European Society of Gastrointestinal and Abdominal Radiology (ESGAR) guidelines,<sup>15</sup> responses to treatment were determined by a combination of clinical parameters, endoscopy, cross-sectional imaging and laboratory markers such as fecal calprotectin (FC) and C-reactive protein (CRP).

Secondary loss of response was defined as clinical/ultrasound relapse after a response period longer than 3 months on stable dose biological therapy without the use of corticosteroids. Drug compliance was assessed through questioning by physicians.

Patients considered eligible for biological dose escalation and PEN were clinically screened according to the Harvey-Bradshaw Index (HBI), and inflammatory biomarkers such as FC and CRP. Exclusion criteria included positive stool cultures, stool tests for parasites, or Clostridioides difficile and positive blood-based test for Cytomegalovirus (CMV). At baseline assessment, patients underwent ultrasound evaluation including the assessment of bowel wall thickness (BWT), bowel wall vascularization (BWF) for each intestinal segment and the exclusion of complications (strictures, abscesses, and fistula). The entire abdomen was scanned systematically starting from the right iliac fossa. BWT was measured in longitudinal and transverse sections, from the interface between the mucosa and the lumen to the interface between the serosa and the muscle layer. A mean of 2 measurements for each section was calculated and the worst segment was taken into account. A BWT > 3 mm and/or positive Doppler signal was considered an ultrasound relapse.

Dose escalation included interval reduction [infliximab (IFX) to every 4 weeks; adalimumab (ADA) to 40 mg weekly, vedolizumab (VDZ) to 4 weeks, ustekinumab (UST) to 4

weeks]. Patients were excluded if they had received any new medication [antibiotics, steroids, and immunomodulators].

CD patients were nutritionally assessed and received an 8-week regimen of 1 kcal/1 mL enteral nutrition formula (Modulen, Nestlé) with a maximum dosage of 1000 kcal/day, depending on BMI and bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) in combination with a low insoluble fiber diet. The nutritional status of patients was assessed based on the Global Leadership Initiative on Malnutrition (GLIM) criteria.<sup>16</sup> Patients were considered adherent to PEN if they completed at least 8 weeks of therapy, whereas they were considered not adherent if they stopped or did not tolerate it. Subsequently, they transitioned to a healthy mediterranean-style diet, limiting, or eliminating foods known to induce inflammation, such as dairy, animal fat, processed meats, products containing emulsifiers, canned goods, and packaged products.<sup>17</sup> Compliance was assessed by physicians or dieticians at follow-up visits or fortnightly phone calls. Of note, nutritional monitoring, including calorie intake, was conducted throughout the follow-up period.

Steroid-free clinical remission was defined as an HBI score of  $\leq 5$  and not receiving steroids at the assessment timepoint or for  $\geq 30$  days before, while clinical response was defined as a decrease of  $\geq 3$  points in the HBI and clinical relapse as HBI > 5. Baseline endoscopic disease activity was assessed using the simplified endoscopic activity score for Crohn's disease (SES-CD) and Rutgeerts score for post-operative CD.

Based on previous study<sup>18–20</sup> and expert consensus<sup>21</sup> transmural healing (TH) was defined as BWT  $\leq$  3 mm without signs of hypervascularization, evaluated by using intestinal ultrasound. Transmural response (TR) was defined as a BWT decrease  $\geq$  25% from baseline and transmural activity was considered as BWT > 3 mm with signs of hypervascularisation.

Furthermore, we assessed selected clinical outcomes such as nutritional status, changes in therapy, rate of hospitalizations and surgery at 24 weeks.

All patients provided written informed consent to be included in the study. The study received a favorable opinion from our local ethical committee and was conducted in accordance wot the Helsinki Declaration (28516).

The current study protocol was conducted following the item from the STROBE statements checklist (Supplementary Table 1).

#### Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics, such as mean values with SD or median with interquartile ranges (IQR), were calculated for continuous variables, while percentages and proportions were calculated for categorical variables. Student's *t*-test and *chi*square test were applied for statistical analysis. A model to predict adherence to PEN was realized using continuous logistic regression (multivariate analysis) with stepwise selection criteria on significant parameters. All data were collected into an Excel spreadsheet, and statistical analysis was executed with IBM SPSS 26 software.

## Results

#### **Baseline Characteristics**

Forty-two CD patients with moderate-severe clinical activity of disease were initially screened, 30 (71.4%) being considered eligible for PEN and escalated biologics, while 12 (28.6%) patients had disease complications. Specifically, 8 (66.6%) had symptomatic strictures and 4 (33.3%) penetrating complications that required surgery. Consequently, they were excluded from the final analysis. The flow diagram of patients is presented in Figure 1.

The patient population had a median age of 35.5 (23.7– 44.0) and included 19 (63.3%) males. The median duration of the disease was 121.0 (36–216) months. Twenty-four (80.0%) patients had an ileocolonic disease location and 15 (50.0%) patients had previously received surgery for CD. The median BMI was 19.4 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (IQR: 18.7–21.5) and 19 (63.3%) patients met the GLIM criteria for malnutrition. The median BWT was 6.0 mm (IQR: 5–7) at baseline.

Overall, 15 (50.0%) patients had previously failed the first line of biologic treatment, and 6 (20.0%) were multi-failure (having failed 2 or more lines of treatment). At the time of loss of response, 17 (56.6%) were under treatment with anti-TNF-alpha, 5 (16.7%) on VDZ therapy and 8 (26.7%) on UST.

#### Adherence to PEN

At the end of the follow-up [median 24 weeks (22-26)], 14 (46.7%) patients completed 8-week therapy with PEN (PEN group) along with a low insoluble fiber diet, while 16 (53.3%) patients interrupted PEN (average PEN duration of  $5 \pm 2$  days) and maintained only therapy with escalated biologic agents (BT group). Demographic and clinical characteristics of the PEN group and BT group at baseline are summarized in Table 1

The most common reasons of interruption were nausea or vomiting, abdominal pain and lack of palatability, which respectively occurred in 5 (31.2%), 9 (56.2%), and 2 (12.5%) cases. Noteworthy, multivariate analysis showed that prior failure to 2 lines of biological therapy was associated with adherence to PEN (OR = 1.583; CI = 1.06-2.36; *P* = .01).

#### Outcomes at the End of Follow-Up

At 24 weeks, steroid-free clinical remission was achieved by 9 patients (64.3%) in the PEN group, with a median HBI of 4 (IQR: 3.7–6.3), compared to 4 patients (25.0%) in the BT group (P = .03), in whom the median HBI was 6.5 (IQR: 5–9) ( $\Delta = 2.5$ ; P = .01). [Table 2 and —Figure 2].



Figure 1. Study flow.

Nine patients (64.3%) in the PEN group reached a TR, with a median BWT of 4.4 mm (IQR: 3.9–5.0), whereas only 4 patients (25.0%) treated with escalated biologics achieved the same outcome (P = .03) with a median BWT of 5.5 (IQR: 5.0–6.5). Among the patients who achieved TR, 92.3% were also in steroid-free clinical remission. However, none of the patients reached TH in either group. [Figure 3].

Median FC and CRP values were lower in the PEN group compared to the BT group, though the differences were not statistically significant (FC  $\Delta = 5 \text{ mcg/g}$ ; P = .63) (CRP  $\Delta = 1.6 \text{ mg/L}$ ; P = .6). The mean BMI value was slightly higher in the PEN group ( $\Delta = 0.8 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ; P = .16) compared to the BT group, although this difference did not reach statistical significance. Yet, the albumin value in the PEN group was significantly higher ( $\Delta = 0.5$ ; P = .02) than in the BT group.

At the end of the follow-up, 3 patients (18.7%) treated with solely escalated biologics, required surgical intervention, while none of the patients treated with PEN required surgery (P = .14). Additionally, 11 (68.7%) patients in the BT group changed their treatment at 24 weeks, compared to only 2 patients (14.2%) among those receiving PEN (P = .004). All outcomes were summarized in Table 3.

## Discussion

The treatment response rate in IBD and specifically in CD varies widely, ranging between 30 and 60% depending on the population considered. A possible explanation for this heterogeneity resides in the multitude and complexity of the inflammatory pathways involved in IBD.<sup>2</sup> As the unmet needs of patient's refractory to therapy become more pressing, new therapeutic approaches are evaluated including a combination of advanced therapies, microbiota modulation and dietary approaches.<sup>22,23</sup>

In the current study, our cohort included complex patients with challenging disease characteristics. These individuals had previously undergone surgery, exhibiting perianal disease, extraintestinal manifestations, and had experienced treatment failures with current biological therapies, hence difficult to treat.<sup>6</sup> Most patients (70.0%) had experienced treatment failure with at least 1 line of biologics, while 20.0% had encountered failure with multiple lines. Dose escalation is a well-established approach to regain response after LOR.<sup>7,8</sup> However, it might not be sufficient on its own.

The effect of diet has been already established in children with CD.<sup>24</sup> Yet, there are limited studies in adults and none testing PEN effectiveness in recapturing and maintaining clinical remission and TH/TR in adults with CD who had failed different classes of biological therapies. Our pilot study was the first to show how dietary therapy can be a valuable adjunct to optimized biological therapy for regaining response in patients with CD including difficult-to-treat CD. Remarkably, we found that PEN in addition to escalated biologics can induce clinical remission and TR more significantly than escalated biologics alone. Despite the small number of patients, the effect size of PEN addition (around 40% difference in both clinical and ultrasonographic endpoints) was considerable and statistically significant for

| Table 1. Baselir | e disease | characteristics | of PEN | group and | d BT group |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------|-----------|------------|
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------|-----------|------------|

|  | PEN group $(n = 14)$ | BT group $(n = 16)$ | P-value |
|--|----------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Female sex, <i>n</i> (%)                       | 5 (35.7%)            | 6 (37.5)            | .61     |
| Age, median (IQR)                              | 31 (23.7–39.8)       | 39.5 (24.5-56.5)    | .22     |
| Smoking status, <i>n</i> (%)                   | 3 (21.4%)            | 4 (25.0%)           | .81     |
| Disease duration, median (IQR)                 | 60 (20–132)          | 154 (72–252)        | .47     |
| BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup> (IQR)                   | 19.5 (17.4–22.1)     | 19.4 (19.0–20.6)    | .95     |
| Malnutrition according to GLIM, <i>n</i> (%)   | 8 (57.1%)            | 11 (68.7%)          | .39     |
| CD Montreal disease localization, <i>n</i> (%) |                      |                     |         |
| Ileal  | 4 (28.6%)            | 2 (12.5%)           | .27     |
| Colonic  | 0                    | 0                   | _       |
| Ileocolonic                                    | 10 (71.4%)           | 14 (87.5%)          | .27     |
| CD Montreal disease behaviour, $n$ (%)         |                      |                     |         |
| Inflammatory                                   | 3 (21.4%)            | 4 (25.0%)           | .84     |
| Stricturing                                    | 6 (42.9%)            | 6 (37.5%)           | .77     |
| Penetrating                                    | 5 (35.7%)            | 6 (37.5%)           | .92     |
| Perianal disease, <i>n</i> (%)                 | 2 (14.3%)            | 1 (6.3%)            | .46     |
| SES-CD, median (IQR)                           | 10 (6–24)            | 12 (4–14)           | .95     |
| Rutgeerts score, median (IQR)                  | 3 (0.5–4)            | 4 (2.5–4)           | .48     |
| Median BWT (mm), median (IQR)                  | 5.5 (4.7-6.3)        | 6 (5–7)             | .47     |
| Previous surgery for IBD, n (%)                | 6 (42.9%)            | 9 (56.3%)           | .71     |
| Extraintestinal manifestations, <i>n</i> (%)   | 5 (35.6%)            | 2 (12.6%)           | .13     |
| Current biological therapy, $n$ (%)            |                      |                     |         |
| Anti-TNF-alpha                                 | 8 (57.1%)            | 9 (56.3%)           | .96     |
| Vedolizumab                                    | 2 (14.3%)            | 3 (18.8%)           | .74     |
| Ustekinumab                                    | 4 (28.6%)            | 4 (25.0%)           | .82     |
| Time under biological therapy, median (IQR)    | 14 (14–15.5)         | 12.5 (12.5–16)      | .98     |
| Treatment failed                               |                      |                     |         |
| First-line biologic therapy, $n$ (%)           | 6 (42.9%)            | 9 (56.25%)          | .46     |
| Multiple lines of biologics, $n$ (%)           | 2 (14.3%)            | 4 (25.0%)           | .46     |

Abbreviations: BWT, bowel wall thickness; CD, Crohn's disease; GI, gastrointestinal tract; GLIM, global leadership initiative on malnutrition; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; PEN, partial enteral nutrition; SES-CD, simple endoscopic score for Crohn's disease.

**Table 2.** Differences in CD clinical activity at  $T_0$  and  $T_1$  between the PEN group and the BT group.

|   | $T_0$          |               | P-value |
|---|----------------|---------------|---------|
| HBI PEN group $(n = 14)$ , median (IQR) | 7.5 (6.0-9.8)  | 4 (3.8-6.3)   | .001    |
| HBI BT group $(n = 16)$ , median (IQR)  | 7.5 (5.0-10.0) | 6.5 (5,0-9.9) | .25     |
| P-value                                 | .69            | .001          |         |

all analyses except calprotectin and CRP. These results are even more noteworthy taking into account the refractoriness of the population.

Although no patient achieved TH, this is considered a very stringent endpoint, challenging to reach, and probably requiring longer follow-up, particularly given the baseline characteristics of the disease and its long duration. It is worth noting that among the patients who achieved TR, 92.3% were also in steroid-free clinical remission. This data is consistent with the results of our previous study<sup>25</sup> in which patients who reached TH had a higher rate of steroid-free clinical remission and better clinical outcomes 2 years after anti-TNF treatment.

Hence, PEN could potentially contribute to delaying the need for surgery.

The improvement in nutritional status, and particularly albumin concentration, observed in our pilot study deserves attention. Nutritional status is strongly correlated with postsurgical clinical outcomes,<sup>26</sup> frailty, risk of infection and other metrics. In particular, albumin levels are known to be directly correlated with response to biological treatments. Because the majority of our patients (63.3%) were malnourished at baseline, it is possible that some of the response recaptures observed were due to restored albumin levels and improved nutrition, rather than a direct anti-inflammatory effect of the diet per se.

At the end of the follow-up, no patients in the PEN group underwent surgery. Notably, most patients (68.7%) were treated with biologics and PEN maintained the treatment with escalated biologics. This implies that PEN could improve the persistence of maintenance treatment with biologics. Consistently, a meta-analysis by Nguyen et al.,<sup>27</sup> found that IFX coupled with PEN and low-fat or regular diet was more likely to have bettersustained remission at 1 year than biological therapy alone. Yet, no data is available concerning different types of biological therapies.



Figure 2. Clinical activity changes between the PEN group and the BT group over a 24-week follow-up period.

Despite the promising efficacy results, compliance and adherence pose challenges for dietary therapies. Data on adults utilizing enteral nutrition are contradictory, and some studies suggest that poor compliance might contribute to an inadequate response.<sup>28-30</sup> We found that adherence to PEN was achieved in 46.6% of patients who completed the follow-up, while the remaining discontinued it after an average duration of  $5 \pm 2$  days due to intolerable side effects such as nausea, vomiting, and palatability issues. In accordance with the existing literature, our data highlight the challenges in completing a full therapy cycle with PEN and provide insight into the reasons for its limited utilization. Achieving efficacy with PEN typically requires more than just a few days. Therefore, the relatively short duration of PEN is unlikely to have a significant impact on outcomes. A previous analysis<sup>31</sup> demonstrated that patients who received exclusive enteral nutrition (EEN) for less than 4 weeks experienced lower



Figure 3. Twenty-four weeks outcomes in PEN group vs. BT group. A, Primary outcome: steroid-free clinical remission. B, Secondary outcomes: clinical response and transmural response.

Table 3. Clinical outcomes at 24 weeks in patients treated with PEN plus fiber-free diet combined with escalated biological therapy (PEN group) vs. patients who interrupted PEN and maintained escalated biological therapy plus fiber-free diet (BT group).

|   | PEN group ( $n = 14$ ) | BT group $(n = 16)$ | P-value |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| HBI, median (IQR)                             | 4 (3.7-6.3)            | 6.5 (5-9)           | .01     |
| FC (µg/g), median (IQR)                       | 152 (82-245)           | 157 (103-541)       | .63     |
| CRP (mg/L), median (IQR)                      | 5.4 (3.7-9.0)          | 7.0 (3.3-14.3)      | .6      |
| Albumin (g/L), median (IQR)                   | 3.9 (3.6-4.2)          | 3.4 (3.0-4.0)       | .02     |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), median (IQR)        | 20.9 (18,8-22,0)       | 19,7 (17,9-21,0)    | .17     |
| Clinical response, <i>n</i> (%)               | 14 (100%)              | 11 (68.7%)          | .02     |
| Steroid-free clinical remission, <i>n</i> (%) | 9 (64.3%)              | 4 (25.0%)           | .03     |
| Transmural response, <i>n</i> (%)             | 9 (64.3%)              | 4 (25.0%)           | .03     |
| Surgery, <i>n</i> (%)                         | 0                      | 3 (18.7%)           | .14     |
| Change in therapy $n$ (%)                     | 2 (14.2%)              | 11 (68.7%)          | .004    |

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CRP, C-reactive Protein; FC, faecal calprotectin; HBI, Harvey-Bradshaw Index; PEN, partial enteral nutrition.

rates of clinical remission and response compared to those treated for over 6 weeks. However, at multivariate analysis, multiple previous failures with biological therapy were associated with better adherence to PEN. This finding suggests that multi-failure patients might have been more motivated to tolerate PEN as they are likely aware of the limited therapeutic options available.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first experience evaluating the effect of PEN as an add-on to optimized biologics on TR/TH in difficult-to-treat CD patients. Although this was only a pilot study, our findings suggest that PEN combined with biologics could be used in adults with CD and represent a valid and safe therapeutic alternative for steroids in patients with secondary loss of response. It is also important to stress how adverse events related to PEN were all mild and self-limiting, with no serious safety concerns recorded.

Consistently, a recent pediatric study has shown an emerging trend toward EEN combined with biologics as induction treatment without any significant differences in remission or response rates between the first and repeated courses with a favorable side effect profile.<sup>29</sup>Therefore, combination treatment may represent a valid strategy, providing additional benefits for enhancing long-term responses to biologics and improving disease outcomes.

The primary limitations of our study include its retrospective design and the relatively limited patient cohort. However, we selected complex patients with a long-standing and disabling course of the disease, the majority of whom had previously undergone surgery. Although there was a difference in disease duration observed between the PEN and BT groups, it did not reach statistical significance, which may be attributed to the small sample size. A further limitation is that we did not have trough levels and antibodies for most of the patients who lost response to biologics, as this was not covered by our Institution. Besides, the clinical application of TDM can be challenging due to heterogeneity in settings for drug level measurements, and therapeutic ranges vary. A recent paper<sup>32</sup> has also suggested that TDM should be performed following dose intensification, rather than at the time of secondary loss of response.

Finally, given the retrospective nature of the study, we lacked endoscopic follow-up data. Yet, TH is now acknowledged as an important adjunctive treatment measure in CD<sup>33</sup> associated with better long-term clinical outcomes than MH<sup>25</sup> despite it may be more challenging to achieve and might necessitate a more extended therapy duration. However, TR can be observed as early as 4 weeks after the initiation of treatment, with sustained improvement over the long term.<sup>34</sup> In this context ultrasound bowel sonography can be a valuable non-invasive objective tool for detecting early treatment response, thereby potentially allowing for early treatment optimization and potential therapy modification.

In conclusion, the promising results of our pilot study encourage to implementation of the use of PEN in adults with refractory CD disease. We believe that PEN could represent a new tool for strengthening the therapeutic equipment for IBD patients and might hold the potential to reduce the use of steroids. However, randomized-control trials are awaited to assess the effectiveness of PEN on large numbers of patients with difficult-to-treat CD.

## **Author Contributions**

All authors made substantial contributions to this work and approved the final version of the work. O.M.N: study design, manuscript writing, and manuscript reviewing for important intellectual content; G.C.: data collection and analysis, writing the manuscript, and draft reviewing; A.L.M.: data collection and draft reviewing; A.T.: draft reviewing and reviewing for important intellectual content; A.R.: draft reviewing and reviewing for important intellectual content; L.A.: reviewing for important intellectual content; F.P. reviewing for important intellectual content; F.C.: study design and supervision, manuscript reviewing for important intellectual content. F.C.: guarantor of the article.

## Funding

This research work was conducted without financial support from any public, private, or not-for-profit funding agency.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### **Ethical Approval**

The study protocol received approval from our local ethical committee in accordance with the Helsinki Ethical Declaration.

## **Data Availability**

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### References

- Piovani D, Danese S, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Nikolopoulos GK, Lytras T, Bonovas S. Environmental risk factors for inflammatory bowel diseases: an umbrella review of meta-analyses. *Gastroenterology*. 2019;157(3):647-659.e4. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2019.04.016
- Raine T, Danese S. Breaking through the therapeutic ceiling: what will it take? *Gastroenterology*. 2022;162(5):1507-1511. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2021.09.078
- Reves J, Ungaro RC, Torres J. Unmet needs in inflammatory bowel disease. *Curr Res Pharmacol Drug Discov*. 2021;2:100070. doi:10.1016/j.crphar.2021.100070
- Danese S, Parigi TL, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Ghosh S. Defining difficultto-treat inflammatory bowel disease: why and how. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2021;6(7):520-522. doi:10.1016/S2468-1253(21)00141-2
- Parigi TL, D'Amico F, Abreu MT, et al. Difficult-to-treat inflammatory bowel disease: results from a global IOIBD survey. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2022;7(5):390-391. doi:10.1016/S2468-1253(22)00085-1
- Parigi TL, D'Amico F, Abreu MT, et al. Difficult-to-treat inflammatory bowel disease: results from an international consensus meeting. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2023;8(9):853-859. doi:10.1016/S2468-1253(23)00154-1
- Ben-Horin S, Mao R, Chen M. Optimizing biologic treatment in IBD: objective measures, but when, how and how often? BMC Gastroenterol. 2015;15:178. doi:10.1186/s12876-015-0408-x
- Ollech JE, Normatov I, Peleg N, et al. Effectiveness of Ustekinumab dose escalation in patients with Crohn's disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2021;19(1):104-110. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2020.02.035

- Levine A, Sigall Boneh R, Wine E. Evolving role of diet in the pathogenesis and treatment of inflammatory bowel diseases. *Gut.* 2018;67(9):1726-1738. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2017-315866
- Sigall Boneh R, Sarbagili Shabat C, Yanai H, et al. Dietary therapy with the Crohn's disease exclusion diet is a successful strategy for induction of remission in children and adults failing biological therapy. J Crohns Colitis. 2017;11(10):1205-1212. doi:10.1093/ ecco-jcc/jjx071
- van Rheenen PF, Aloi M, Assa A, et al. The medical management of paediatric Crohn's disease: an ECCO-ESPGHAN guideline update. J Crohns Colitis. 2020;15(2):171-194. doi:10.1093/ecco-jcc/ jjaa161
- 12. Yanai H, Levine A, Hirsch A, et al. The Crohn's disease exclusion diet for induction and maintenance of remission in adults with mildto-moderate Crohn's disease (CDED-AD): an open-label, pilot, randomised trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2022;7(1):49-59. doi:10.1016/S2468-1253(21)00299-5
- Malchow H, Steinhardt HJ, Lorenz-Meyer H, et al. Feasibility and effectiveness of a defined-formula diet regimen in treating active Crohn's disease. European Cooperative Crohn's Disease Study III. Scand J Gastroenterol. 1990;25(3):235-244.
- Wall CL, Gearry RB, Day AS. Treatment of active Crohn's disease with exclusive and partial enteral nutrition: a pilot study in adults. *Inflamm Intest Dis*. 2018;2(4):219-227. doi:10.1159/000489630
- 15. Maaser C, Sturm A, Vavricka SR, et al.; European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation [ECCO] and the European Society of Gastrointestinal and Abdominal Radiology [ESGAR]. ECCO-ESGAR Guideline for Diagnostic Assessment in IBD Part 1: initial diagnosis, monitoring of known IBD, detection of complications. J Crohns Colitis. 2019;13(2):144-164. doi:10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjy113
- Cederholm T, Jensen GL, Correia M, et al. GLIM criteria for the diagnosis of malnutrition – a consensus report from the global clinical nutrition community. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle. 2019;10(1):207-217. doi:10.1002/jcsm.12383
- Hashash JG, Elkins J, Lewis JD, Binion DG. AGA Clinical practice update on diet and nutritional therapies in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: expert review. *Gastroenterology*. 2024;166(3):521-532. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2023.11.303
- Castiglione F, Imperatore N, Testa A, et al. Exploring the concept of deep remission in Crohn's disease: correlation between transmural healing and biomarkers. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol.* 2022;15:17562848221110643.doi:10.1177/17562848221110643
- 19. Ma L, Li W, Zhuang N, et al. Comparison of transmural healing and mucosal healing as predictors of positive longterm outcomes in Crohn's disease. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol*. 2021;14:17562848211016259.doi:10.1177/17562848211016259
- 20. Nardone OM, Calabrese G, Testa A, et al. The impact of intestinal ultrasound on the management of inflammatory bowel disease: from established facts toward new horizons. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. 2022;9:898092. doi:10.3389/fmed.2022.898092
- 21. Novak KL, Nylund K, Maaser C, et al. Expert consensus on optimal acquisition and development of the International Bowel Ultrasound Segmental Activity Score [IBUS-SAS]: a reliability and inter-rater variability study on intestinal ultrasonography in Crohn's disease. J Crohns Colitis. 2021;15(4):609-616. doi:10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjaa216
- 22. Danese S, Solitano V, Jairath V, Peyrin-Biroulet L. The future of drug development for inflammatory bowel disease: the need to

ACT (advanced combination treatment). *Gut.* 2022;71(12):2380-2387. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2022-327025

- 23. Kedia S, Virmani S, S KV, et al. Faecal microbiota transplantation with anti-inflammatory diet (FMT-AID) followed by antiinflammatory diet alone is effective in inducing and maintaining remission over 1 year in mild to moderate ulcerative colitis: a randomised controlled trial. *Gut.* 2022;71(12):2401-2413. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2022-327811
- Ruemmele FM, Veres G, Kolho KL, et al.; European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation. Consensus guidelines of ECCO/ESPGHAN on the medical management of pediatric Crohn's disease. J Crohns Colitis. 2014;8(10):1179-1207. doi:10.1016/j.crohns.2014.04.005
- 25. Castiglione F, Imperatore N, Testa A, et al. One-year clinical outcomes with biologics in Crohn's disease: transmural healing compared with mucosal or no healing. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2019;49(8):1026-1039. doi:10.1111/apt.15190
- Sebastian S, Segal JP, Hedin C, et al. ECCO topical review: roadmap to optimal peri-operative care in IBD. J Crohns Colitis. 2023;17(2):153-169. doi:10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjac129
- 27. Nguyen DL, Palmer LB, Nguyen ET, McClave SA, Martindale RG, Bechtold ML. Specialized enteral nutrition therapy in Crohn's disease patients on maintenance infliximab therapy: a meta-analysis. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol.* 2015;8(4):168-175. doi:10.1177/1756283X15578607
- Di Caro S, Fragkos KC, Keetarut K, et al. Enteral nutrition in adult Crohn's disease: toward a Paradigm shift. *Nutrients*. 2019;11(9):2222-2253. doi:10.3390/nu11092222
- 29. Wands DIF, Gianolio L, Wilson DC, et al. Nationwide real-world exclusive enteral nutrition practice over time: persistence of use as induction for Pediatric Crohn's disease and emerging combination strategy with biologics. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2023:izad167. doi:10.1093/ibd/izad167
- 30. de Sire R, Nardone OM, Testa A, Calabrese G, Caiazzo A, Castiglione F. Exclusive enteral nutrition in adult Crohn's disease: an overview of clinical practice and perceived barriers. *Clin Exp Gastroenterol*. 2021;14:493-501. doi:10.2147/CEG.S267172
- 31. Kakkadasam Ramaswamy P; Gold Coast Inflammatory Bowel Diseases Research Group. Exclusive enteral nutrition with oral polymeric diet helps in inducing clinical and biochemical remission in adults with active Crohn's disease. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr. 2022;46(2):423-432. doi:10.1002/jpen.2273
- 32. Little RD, Swaine A, Reynolds R, et al. Adalimumab drug levels at secondary loss of response do not predict response to doseintensification in Crohn's disease: a retrospective, international multicenter study. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2023:izad248. doi:10.1093/ ibd/izad248
- 33. Turner D, Ricciuto A, Lewis A, et al.; International Organization for the Study of IBD. STRIDE-II: an update on the selecting therapeutic targets in inflammatory bowel disease (STRIDE) initiative of the International Organization for the Study of IBD (IOIBD): determining therapeutic goals for treat-to-target strategies in IBD. *Gastroenterology*. 2021;160(5):1570-1583. doi:10.1053/j. gastro.2020.12.031
- 34. Kucharzik T, Wilkens R, D'Agostino MA, et al.; STARDUST Intestinal Ultrasound study group. Early ultrasound response and progressive transmural remission after treatment with Ustekinumab in Crohn's disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2023;21(1): 153-163.e12. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2022.05.055