ARTICLE

Open Access

Dual roles of chromatin remodeling protein BRG1 in angiotensin II-induced endothelial-mesenchymal transition

Zilong Li^{1,2}, Xiaochen Kong³, Yuanyuan Zhang⁴, Yangxi Zhang¹, Liming Yu¹, Junli Guo⁴ and Yong Xu^{1,2}

Abstract

Endothelial–mesenchymal transition (EndMT) is considered one of the processes underlying tissue fibrosis by contributing to the pool of myofibroblasts. In the present study, we investigated the epigenetic mechanism whereby angiotensin II (Ang II) regulates EndMT to promote cardiac fibrosis focusing on the role of chromatin remodeling protein BRG1. BRG1 knockdown or inhibition attenuated Ang II-induced EndMT, as evidenced by down-regulation of *CDH5*, an endothelial marker, and up-regulation of *COL1A2*, a mesenchymal marker, in cultured vascular endothelial cells. On the one hand, BRG1 interacted with and was recruited by Sp1 to the *SNAI2* (encoding SLUG) promoter to activate *SNAI2* transcription in response to Ang II stimulation. Once activated, SLUG bound to the *CDH5* promoter to repress *CDH5* transcription. On the other hand, BRG1 interacted with and was recruited by SRF to the *COL1A2* promoter to activate *COL1A2* transcription. Mechanistically, BRG1 evicted histones from the target promoters to facilitate the bindings of Sp1 and SRF. Finally, endothelial conditional BRG1 knockout mice (CKO) exhibited a reduction in cardiac fibrosis, compared to the wild type (WT) littermates, in response to chronic Ang II infusion. In conclusion, our data demonstrate that BRG1 is a key transcriptional coordinator programming Ang II-induced EndMT to contribute to cardiac fibrosis.

Introduction

Cardiac fibrosis, like any other organ-specific fibrogenic response, can be considered a host defense mechanism that safeguards the physiological integrity of the heart to prevent myocardial rupture and circulatory failure in the event of cardiac injury¹. Uncontrolled, excessive fibrogenesis or the failure to terminate fibrogenesis properly, however, leads to impairment of cardiac architecture, dampens heart function, and is a hallmark event in chronic heart failure². It is generally agreed that myofibroblast cells, a unique cell type with dual abilities of

¹Key Laboratory of Targeted Intervention of Cardiovascular Disease and Collaborative Innovation Center for Cardiovascular Translational Medicine, Department of Pathophysiology, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China ²Institute of Biomedical Research, Liaocheng University, Liaocheng, China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article These authors contributed equally: Zilong Li, Xiaochen Kong, Yuanyuan Zhang Edited by D. Aberdam contraction (hence the prefix "myo") and laying down extracellular matrix proteins (a fibroblast-like behavior), are the primary mediator of cardiac fibrosis³. Gene signature wise, myofibroblasts are typically characterized by high levels of smooth muscle actin alpha (α -SMA), collagen type I and III, and periostin⁴. Because myofibroblasts are absent from the healthy myocardium, origins from which myofibroblasts arise during cardiac injury and fibrosis have been actively pursued and hotly debated with considerable amount of controversy. It can be argued that multiple lineages of cell types, including resident fibroblast cells, pericytes, myeloid cells, fibrocytes, and endothelial cells, contribute to the pool of mature myofibroblasts during cardiac fibrosis⁵. For instance, Zeisberg et al. exploiting a Tie2-Cre driven lineage tracing system, demonstrated that a large fraction of α -SMApositive myofibroblasts detected in the scarring region of the murine infarct following acute myocardial ischemia (AMI) might originate from endothelial cells, likely

© The Author(s) 2020

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Correspondence: Junli Guo (guojl0511@126.com) or Yong Xu (yjxu@njmu.edu. cn)

through a process known as endothelial-mesenchymal transition (EndMT)⁶. Similarly, Wang et al. have reported that EndMT contributes to activation of myofibroblasts and cardiac fibrosis in mice exposed to chronic angiotensin II (Ang II) infusion, which is mediated by transglutaminase 2^7 . It has also been suggested that the sequence-specific transcription factor Ets-1 might be responsible, at least in part, for Ang II-induced EndMT and cardiac fibrosis in mice⁸. In cultured endothelial cells, treatment with Ang II stimulates the down-regulation of endothelial marker genes (e.g., CDH5 encoding VE-Cadherin, PECAM1 encoding CD31, and VWF encoding von Willebrand factor) and up-regulation of mesenchymal marker genes (e.g., COL1A1/COL1A2 encoding collagen type I and VIM encoding vimentin) although the epigenetic mechanism is not completely understood^{9,10}. EndMT and the related process epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) are programmed by a host of transcription factors, among which the E-box-binding family of proteins including SNAIL, SLUG, and ZEB have been well studied¹¹.

In mammalian cells, gene transcription is profoundly influenced by the epigenetic machinery, which includes histone/DNA modifying enzymes, non-coding regulatory RNAs, and chromatin remodeling proteins. Brahmarelated gene 1 (BRG1) is the catalytic core of the mammalian SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex. BRG1 regulates gene transcription by utilizing its ATPase activity to mobilize nucleosomes and alter chromatin structure. Germline deletion of BRG1 results in developmental arrest in mice suggesting a role for BRG1 in embryogenesis¹². Recent investigations have revealed key roles for BRG1 in the regulation of cardiovascular diseases. Hang et al. have reported that postnatal deletion of BRG1 in the myocardium attenuates the development of pathological cardiac hypertrophy in response to pressure overload in mice by skewing the expression of myosin heavy chain isoforms¹³. We have recently found that endothelial-specific BRG1 deficiency attenuates atherosclerosis¹⁴, abdominal aortic aneurysm¹⁵, and cardiac ischemia-reperfusion injury^{16,17} in mice. Here we report that BRG1 mediates Ang II-induced EndMT in cultured cells by directly activating COL1A2 transcription and indirectly repressing CDH5 transcription. More importantly, endothelial conditional knockout of BRG1 in mice attenuates EndMT and cardiac fibrosis in mice subjected to chronic Ang II infusion.

Methods

Cell culture, plasmids, and transient transfection

Immortalized human endothelial cells (EAhy926, ATCC) and HEK293 cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Hyclone). Human primary microvascular endothelial cells

(HMVEC) were purchased from Lonza and maintained in EGM-2 media with supplements supplied by the vendor; three different batches of primary cells were used in this study as previously described¹⁸. Primary murine cardiac microvascular endothelial cells were isolated as previously described¹⁹. Angiotensin II was purchased from Sigma. SNAI2/SLUG promoter-luciferase constructs²⁰, COL1A2 promoter-luciferase constructs²¹, BRG1 expression constructs²², SLUG expression constructs²³, Sp1 expression constructs²⁴, and SRF expression constructs²⁵ have been previously described. PFI-3 was purchased from Selleck. Transient transfections were performed with Lipofectamine 2000. Luciferase activities were assayed 24–48 h after transfection using a luciferase reporter assay system (Promega) as previously described²⁶.

Animals

All animal experiments were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee on Humane Treatment of Laboratory Animals of Nanjing Medical University and were performed in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. The Smarca4-flox mice²⁷ and the Cdh5-Cre mice²⁸ were crossed to make the endothelial conditional BRG1 knockout mice (CKO). The mice were housed with a 12:12 h light-dark cycle at constant room temperature, fed standard rodent diet, and allowed at least one week of acclimation before the start of the experiments. Male, 8week-old mice were induced to develop cardiac fibrosis by Angiotensin II $(1 \mu g/kg/min)$ infusion for 4 consecutive weeks using subcutaneously implanted minipumps (Alzet 2004). One day prior to the sacrifice, the mice were anesthetized using isoflurane and heart functions were evaluated by echocardiography (GE Vivid 7 equipped with a 14-MHz phase array linear transducer, S12, allowing a 150 maximal sweep rate). The mice were sacrificed.

Protein extraction and Western blot

Whole cell lysates were obtained by re-suspending cell pellets in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100) with freshly added protease inhibitor (Roche) as previously described^{18,29,30}. Nuclear proteins were extracted using the NE-PER Kit (Pierce) following manufacturer's recommendation. Prior to immunoprecipitation, the lysates were treated with DNase I (NEB, M0303) at 37 °C for 30 min. Specific antibodies or preimmune IgGs (PII) were added to and incubated with cell lysates overnight before being absorbed by Protein A/Gplus Agarose beads (Santa Cruz). Precipitated immune complex was released by boiling with 1X SDS electrophoresis sample buffer. Alternatively, FLAG-conjugated beads (M2, Sigma) were added to and incubated with lysates overnight. Precipitated immune complex was eluted with 3X FLAG peptide (Sigma). Western blot analyses were performed with anti-BRG1 (Santa Cruz, sc-10768), anti-collagen type I (Rockland, 600-401-103), anti- α -SMA (Sigma, A2547), anti-SLUG (Cell Signaling Technology, 9585), anti-VE-Cadherin (Cell Signaling Technology, 2158), anti- β -actin (Sigma, A2228), anti-MYC (Santa Cruz, sc-40), anti-FLAG (Sigma, F3165), anti-GFP (Proteintech, 50430-2), anti-Sp1 (Abcam, ab13370), and anti-SRF (Cell Signaling Technology, 5147) antibodies. For densitometrical quantification, densities of target proteins were normalized to those of β -actin. Data are expressed as relative protein levels compared to the control group which is arbitrarily set as 1.

RNA isolation and real-time PCR

RNA was extracted with the RNeasy RNA isolation kit (Qiagen). Reverse transcriptase reactions were performed using a SuperScript First-strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen) as previously described^{29,31,32}. Real-time PCR reactions were performed on an ABI Prism 7500 system with the following primers: human CDH5, 5'-TCACCT TCTGCGAGGATATGG-3' and 5'-GAGTTGAGCACC-GACACATC-3'; human PECAM1, 5'-CTGCTGAC CCTTCTGCTCTGTTC-3' and 5'-GGCAGGCTCTT-CATGTCAACACT-3'; human VWF, 5'-TTGACGGG-GAGGTGAATGTG-3' and 5'-ATGTCTGCTTCAGGA CCACG-3'; human COL1A1, 5'-TCTGCGACAACGGCA AGGTG-3' and 5'-GACGCCGGTGGTTTCTTGGT-3'; human COL1A2, 5'-GTGGCAGTGATGGAAGTGTG-3' and 5'-AGGACCAGCGTTACCAACAG-3'; human VIM, 5'-GAGAACTTTGCCGTTGAAGC-3' and 5'-TCCAGC AGCTTCCTGTAGGT-3'; human BRG1, 5'-TCATGTTG GCGAGCTATTTCC-3' and 5'-GGTTCCGAAGTCTC AACGATG-3'; human SNAI2, 5'-CACCATGCCGCGCT CCTTCCTGGTC-3' and 5'-TCAGTGTACACAGCAGC CAGA-3'; human SNAIL, 5'-GAAAGGCCTTCAACT GCAAA-3' and 5'-TGACATCTGAGTGGGTCTGG-3'; human ZEB1, 5'-GCACCTGAAGAGGACCAGAG-3' and 5'-TGCATCTGGTGTTCCATTTT-3'; mouse Cdh5, 5'-TCAACGCATCTGTGCCAGAGAT-3' and 5'-CAC-GATTTGGTACAAGACAGTG-3'; mouse Pecam1, 5'-G ACTCACGCTGGTGCTCTATGC-3' and 5'-TCAGTTG CTGCCCATTCTCA-3'; mouse Col1a2, 5'-GCCACCA TTGATAGTCTCTCC-3' and 5'-CACCCCAGCGAAGA ACTCATA-3'; mouse Vim, 5'-CGGAAAGTGGAATCCT TGCA-3' and 5'-CACATCGATCTGGACATGCTGT-3'; mouse Snai2, 5'-CGAACTGGACACACACAG-3' and 5'-AAAGGAGAGTGGAGTGGAGC-3'. Ct values of target genes were normalized to the Ct values of housekeekping control gene (18s, 5'-CGCGGTTCTATTTTG TTGGT-3' and 5'-TCGTCTTCGAAACTCCGACT-3' for both human and mouse genes) using the $\Delta\Delta Ct$ method and expressed as relative mRNA expression levels compared to the control group which is arbitrarily set as 1.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

ChIP assays were performed essentially as described before^{22,26,33–44}. In brief, chromatin in control and treated cells were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde. Cells were incubated in lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 25 mM Tris pH 7.5, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% deoxycholate) supplemented with protease inhibitor tablet and PMSF. DNA was fragmented into ~200 bp pieces using a Branson 250 sonicator. Aliquots of lysates containing 200 µg of protein were used for each immunoprecipitation reaction with anti-BRG1 (Santa Cruz, sc-10768), anti-Sp1 (Abcam, ab13370), anti-SRF (Cell Signaling Technology, 5147), (Santa Cruz, sc-585), anti-SLUG (Cell Signaling Technology, 9585), anti-ZEB1 (Cell Signaling Technology, 3396), anti-SNAIL (Cell Signaling Technology, 3879), anti-acetyl H3 (Millipore, 06-599), anti-acetyl H4 (Millipore, 06-598), anti-histone H3 (Millipore, 06-755), or preimmune IgG. For re-ChIP, immune complexes were eluted with the elution buffer (1% SDS, 100 mM NaCO₃), diluted with the re-ChIP buffer (1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris pH 8.1), and subject to immunoprecipitation with a second antibody of interest.

Histology

Histologic analyses were performed essentially as described before. Briefly, paraffin-embedded sections were stained with picrosirius red (Sigma-Aldrich) according to standard procedures. Pictures were taken using an Olympus IX-70 microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Quantifications were performed with Image J by two independent assessors. For each animal, at least three slides with ~5 fields for each slide were included for quantification.

Statistical analysis

One-way ANOVA with post-hoc Scheff'e analyses were performed by SPSS software (IBM SPSS v18.0, Chicago, IL, USA). Unless otherwise specified, values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

BRG1 deficiency attenuates Ang II-induced EndMT in cultured cells

We first sought to determine whether BRG1 might be involved in Ang II-induced EndMT in cultured endothelial cells. To this end, endogenous BRG1 expression was silenced by small interfering RNAs (siRNAs). As shown in Fig. 1a, b, Ang II stimulation resulted in downregulation of several signature endothelial marker genes (*CDH5, PECAM1,* and *VWF*) and simultaneous upregulation of mesenchymal marker genes (*COL1A1, COL1A2,* and *VIM*) in immortalized vascular endothelial cells (EAhy926) as well as primary human microvascular endothelial cells (HMVECs) indicative of an EnMT-like





Fig. 2 BRG1 mediates induction of SNAI2/SLUG expression by Ang II. a EAhy926 cells were treated with Ang II (1 μ M) and harvested at indicated time points. ChIP assays were performed with indicated antibodies. **b** EAhy926 cells and HMVECs were transfected with siRNAs targeting BRG1 or scrambled siRNA (SCR) followed by treatment with Ang II (1 μ M) for 48 h. Gene expression levels were examined by qPCR. **c**–**e** EAhy926 cells and HMVECs were transfected with siRNAs targeting BRG1 or scrambled siRNA (SCR) followed by treatment with Ang II (1 μ M) for 48 h. SLUG expression levels were examined by qPCR and Western. ChIP assays were performed with anti-SLUG. **f**–**h** EAhy926 cells and HMVECs were treated with Ang II (1 μ M) in the presence or absence of PFI-3. SLUG expression levels were examined by qPCR and Western. ChIP assays were performed siRNA error bars represent SEM. **p* < 0.05.

process. BRG1 depletion, however, partially reversed the Ang II-induced EndMT by blocking *CDH5* down-regulation and *COL1A2* up-regulation. Next, the endo-thelial cells were treated with Ang II in the presence or absence of a small-molecule BRG1 inhibitor (PFI-3). PFI-3 treatment antagonized Ang II induced down-regulation of *CDH5* expression and up-regulation of *COL1A2* expression in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1c, d). These data suggest that BRG1 may contribute to Ang II-induced EndMT in cultured cells.

BRG1 mediates induction of SNAI2/SLUG expression by Ang II

The zinc finger E-box family of transcription repressors, including SNAIL, SLUG, and ZEB, are implicated in the regulation of EMT and EndMT. ChIP assay showed that Ang II treatment robustly augmented occupancies of SLUG, but not SNAIL or ZEB1, on the CDH5 promoter (Fig. 2a). Of note, no significant BRG1 binding was detected on the CDH5 promoter, suggesting that BRG1 likely contributed to Ang II-induced CDH5 transrepression indirectly. RNAi-mediated knockdown of SLUG (encoded by SNAI2) normalized CDH5 expression in endothelial cells (Fig. 2b). On the contrary, overexpression of SLUG circumvented the deficiency in BRG1 expression (Fig. S1) or activity (Fig. S2) to partially restore Ang II-induced CDH5 repression without altering COL1A2 expression, confirming that BRG1 may rely on SLUG to repress endothelial cell marker genes. We thus hypothesized BRG1 may directly activate SNAI2, which subsequently turns off CDH5 transcription. Indeed, qPCR (Fig. 2c) and Western blotting (Fig. 2d) showed that Ang II treatment markedly up-regulated SNAI2 expression; BRG1 knockdown by siRNAs suppressed SNAI2 induction. Of note, BRG1 knockdown did not alter the expression of SNAIL and ZEB1 (Fig. 2c). Consistent with the changes in SLUG expression, ChIP assay demonstrated that Ang II treatment increased SLUG recruitment to the CDH5 promoter, which was blunted by BRG1 depletion (Fig. 2e). Likewise, BRG1 inhibition by PFI-3 blocked Ang II-induced SLUG expression as well as its recruitment to the CDH5 promoter (Fig. 2f-h). Again, neither SNAIL expression nor ZEB1 expression was altered by BRG1 inhibition (Fig. 2f).

BRG1 activates SNAI2 transcription by interacting with Sp1 We next explored the mechanism by which BRG1 regulates SNAI2 transcription. A series of SNAI2 promoter-luciferase constructs were transfected into endothelial cells with or without BRG1 followed by Ang II treatment. As shown in Fig. 3a, Ang II treatment activated the SNAI2 promoter activity and BRG1 over-expression further enhanced the activation. The responsiveness to Ang II treatment and BRG1 over-expression was lost on the SNAI2 promoter when the progressively inward deletion extended to -100 relative to the transcription start site suggesting that an Ang II/BRG1 response element might be located between -500 and -100 (Fig. 3a). Because a conserved Sp1-binding site (GC-rich) could be found within this region of the SNAI2 promoter, we proposed that BRG1 might interact with Sp1 to activate SNA12 transcription. We performed the following experiments to test this proposal. First, FLAG-tagged Sp1 and Myc-tagged BRG1 were co-transfected into HEK293 cells. Immunoprecipitation assay showed that Sp1 and BRG1 formed a complex in the cells (Fig. 3b, upper panel). In addition, endogenous BRG1 and Sp1 were also discovered to be in the same complex (Fig. 3b, bottom panel). ChIP assay confirmed that in response to Ang II treatment both Sp1 and BRG1 started to occupy the same GC-rich region of the SNAI2 promoter (Fig. 3c). More importantly, Ang II stimulation promoted the assembly of an Sp1-BRG1 complex on the SNAI2 promoter as evidenced by Re-ChIP assay (Fig. 3d). The reliance of BRG1 on Sp1 to activate SNAI2 transcription was further supported by the observation that depletion of Sp1 by siRNA drastically reduced BRG1 binding on the SNAI2 promoter (Fig. 3e). Finally, mutation of the Sp1 motif (GC-rich region) on the SNAI2 promoter completely abrogated its activation by Ang II plus BRG1 (Fig. 3f).

BRG1 interacts with SRF to activate COL1A2 transcription

We next examined the possibility that BRG1 might directly activate *COL1A2* transcription. To this end, human *COL1A2* promoter-luciferase constructs of different lengths were transfected into endothelial cells. Ang II treatment stimulated the *COL1A2* promoter activities, which were further augmented by BRG1 over-expression (Fig. 4a). Activation of the *COL1A2* promoter by Ang II



Fig. 3 BRG1 activates SNAI2 transcription by interacting with Sp1. a SNAI2 promoter-luciferase constructs were transfected into EAhy926 cells with or without BRG1 followed by treatment with Ang II (1 μ M). Luciferase activities were normalized by both protein concentration and GFP fluorescence. **b** (Upper panel) Nuclear lysates from HEK293 cells were immunoprecipitated with indicated antibodies. (Bottom panel) Nuclear lysates from EAhy926 cells were immunoprecipitated with indicated antibodies. (Bottom panel) Nuclear lysates from EAhy926 cells were immunoprecipitated with indicated antibodies. **c** EAhy926 cells were treated with Ang II (1 μ M) and harvested at indicated time points. ChIP assays were performed with indicated antibodies. **d** EAhy926 cells were treated with or without Ang II (1 μ M) for 48 h. Re-ChIP assays were performed with indicated antibodies. **e** EAhy926 cells were transfected with siRNAs targeting Sp1 or scrambled siRNA (SCR) followed by treatment with Ang II (1 μ M) for 48 h. ChIP assays were performed with indicated antibodies. **f** Wild type and mutant SNAI2 promoter-luciferase constructs were transfected into EAhy926 cells with or without BRG1 followed by treatment with Ang II (1 μ M). Luciferase activities were normalized by both protein concentration and GFP fluorescence. Data represent averages of three independent experiments and error bars represent SEM. *p < 0.05.

and BRG1 was indiscernible once the deletion went beyond -500 relative to the transcription start site. Small et al. have previously identified a conserved binding site (CArG box) for the sequence-specific transcription factor SRF between -500 and -100 of the COL1A2 promoter. We therefore investigated a potential interplay between SRF and BRG1 in mediating Ang II-induced COL1A2 transcription in endothelial cells Coimmunoprecipitation assay confirmed that BRG1 and SRF interacted with each other in HEK293 cells (Fig. 4b, upper panel) and in EAhy926 cells (Fig. 4b, bottom panel). When the endothelial cells were exposed to Ang II, SRF and BRG1 were recruited to the proximal COL1A2 promoter with similar kinetics (Fig. 4c). In addition, Ang II treatment promoted the formation of an SRF-BRG1 complex on the COL1A2 promoter (Fig. 4d). SRF knockdown by small-interfering RNA (Fig. 4e) or inhibition by a small-molecule chemical (CCG-1423, Fig. 4f) compromised BRG1 recruitment to the COL1A2 promoter. Functionally, disruption of the CArG box by mutagenesis rendered the COL1A2 promoter irresponsive to Ang II treatment and BRG1 over-expression (Fig. 4g).

BRG1 facilitates the bindings of Sp1 and SRF by evicting histones from chromatin

Sequence-specific transcription factors rely on effective chromatin remodeling to access their binding motifs. We next evaluated whether BRG1 deficiency might influence the activities of Sp1 and SRF during Ang II-induced EndMT. ChIP assays revealed that BRG1 depletion (Fig. 5a, b) or inhibition (Fig. 5c, d) was concordant with weakening of Sp1 binding on the SNAI2 promoter and dampening of SRF binding on the COL1A2 promoter. Ang II treatment resulted in reduced levels of histones associated with the SNAI2 promoter (Fig. 5a, c) and the COL1A2 promoter (Fig. 5b, d), consistent with a loosened chromatin structure. BRG1 deficiency, however, restored the abundance of histones on the SNAI2 promoter and the COL1A2 promoter. In addition, Ang II treatment led to accumulation of acetylated histone H3 and H4 on the SNAI2 promoter (Fig. 5a, c) and the COL1A2 (Fig. 5b, d) promoter, which was dampened by BRG1 deficiency. Thus, it appeared that BRG1 might have contributed to Ang II-induced EndMT by modulating the chromatin structure to aid the binding of transcription factors.

Endothelial-specific BRG1 deletion attenuates Ang Ilinduced EndMT and cardiac fibrosis in mice

We finally attempted to tackle the guestion as to whether the data obtained from cell culture could be extrapolated to an animal model. BRG1 was specifically deleted in vascular endothelial cells in mice by Cdh5-Cre driven removal of the floxed Smarca4 allele (Fig. 6a). Both endothelial BRG1 CKO mice and the control (WT) mice were subjected to chronic Ang II infusion for 4 weeks to induce cardiac fibrosis. Quantitative PCR analysis performed in primary cardiac microvascular endothelial cells isolated from the mice revealed that Ang II infusion led to a decrease in Cdh5 expression and a simultaneous increase in Colla2 expression suggesting that the EndMT-like process observed in cell culture could be replicated in the murine hearts (Fig. 6b). More important, Snai2 expression was lower in the primary microvascualr endothelial cells isolated from the Ang II-infused CKO hearts than from the Ang II-infused WT hearts (Fig. 6c). Changes in gene expression patterns were consistent with the observation that cardiac fibrosis was attenuated in the CKO mice as evidenced by weaker picrosirius red staining of collagenous tissues in the heart (Fig. 6d). In accordance, suppression of heart function, indicated by echocardiographic measurements of ejection fraction (EF, Fig. 6e) and fractional shortening (FS, Fig. 6f) following chronic Ang II infusion was alleviated in the CKO mice compared to the WT mice.

Discussion

Endothelial plasticity is essential to embryonic development. Aberrant and inadvertent activation of EndMT, however, is associated with the pathogenesis of a myriad of human diseases including cardiac fibrosis^{45–47}. Here we describe a novel epigenetic pathway in which Ang II-induced EndMT can be ascribed to dual roles of BRG1, a chromatin remodeling protein (Fig. 6g). BRG1 on the one hand cooperates with Sp1 to activate the transcription of SLUG



Fig. 4 BRG1 interacts with SRF to activate COL1A2 transcription. a COL1A2 promoter-luciferase constructs were transfected into EAhy926 cells with or without BRG1 followed by treatment with Ang II (1 μ M). Luciferase activities were normalized by both protein concentration and GFP fluorescence. b (Upper panel) Nuclear lysates from EAhy926 cells were immunoprecipitated with indicated antibodies. (Bottom panel) Nuclear lysates from EAhy926 cells were immunoprecipitated with indicated antibodies. (Bottom panel) Nuclear lysates from EAhy926 cells were immunoprecipitated with indicated antibodies. c EAhy926 cells were treated with Ang II (1 μ M) and harvested at indicated time points. ChIP assays were performed with indicated antibodies. d EAhy926 cells were treated with or without Ang II (1 μ M) for 48 h. Re-ChIP assays were performed with indicated antibodies. e EAhy926 cells were transfected with siRNAs targeting SRF or scrambled siRNA (SCR) followed by treatment with Ang II (1 μ M) for 48 h. ChIP assays were performed with indicated antibodies. f EAhy926 cells and HMVECs were treated with Ang II (1 μ M) in the presence or absence of CCG-1423. ChIP assays were performed with indicated antibodies. g Wild type and mutant COL1A2 promoter-luciferase constructs were transfected into EAhy926 cells with or without BRG1 followed by treatment with Ang II (1 μ M). Luciferase activities were normalized by both protein concentration and GFP fluorescence. Data represent averages of three independent experiments and error bars represent SEM. *p < 0.05.



(SNAI2), which functions as a repressor of endothelial gene (CDH5) transcription. On the other hand, BRG1 interacts with SRF to activate the transcription of collagen type I (COL1A2), a mesenchymal marker. More important, endothelial-specific deletion of BRG1 significantly ameliorated Ang II-induced EndMT and cardiac fibrosis in mice. It should be noted that there are a few caveats with regard to the proposed model. First, it remains unknown why BRG1 selectively activates the transcription of SLUG (SNAI2), but not SNAIL or ZEB1, to promote the loss of endothelial markers because SNAIL⁴⁸ and ZEB1⁴⁹ have been shown to mediate EndMT in different settings. This preference could be attributed to a combination of specific cell types, stimuli, and sequence-specific transcription factors that recruit BRG1. For instance, ZEB1, but not SNAIL or SLUG, is activated by Wnt signaling to promote EMT in the kidneys⁵⁰. SNAIL, but not ZEB1 or SLUG, is found to be specifically up-regulated in poorly differentiated and mesenchymal-like breast cancer cells⁵¹ and colon cancer cells⁵². The redundancies among different EndMT/EMT factors are an intriguing issue⁵³ and our data suggest that BRG1 might be a contributing factor but this hypothesis deserves further attention. Second, BRG1 may contribute to Ang II-induced EndMT via alternative mechanisms. Accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) is considered a key driving force of EndMT⁵⁴. It has been found that BRG1 modulates intracellular ROS levels by transcriptionally activating the genes involved in ROS synthesis^{16,27}. In fact, we have previously shown that activation of NADPH oxidase 4 (NOX4) gene transcription in endothelial cells by BRG1 may be responsible for TGF-B-induced EndMT and liver fibrosis⁴⁰. The latter observation is not at variance but rather consistent with the present data because Ang II stimulation can trigger ROS accumulation and simultaneous upregulation of collagen type I expression^{55,56}. Third, BRG1mediated EndMT may not fully account for Ang II-induced cardiac fibrosis in vivo. Chronic inflammation is one of the major culprits for cardiac fibrosis^{57,58}. We have recently shown that BRG1 deficiency in endothelial cells attenuates inflammation in the vessel wall^{14,59} and in the kidneys⁶⁰, which can be partly explained by the fact that BRG1 activates



Fig. 6 Endothelial-specific BRG1 deletion attenuates Ang II-induced EndMT and cardiac fibrosis in mice. Endothelial-specific BRG1 knockout (CKO) mice and wild type (WT) mice were induced to develop cardiac fibrosis by chronic Ang II infusion as described in the "Methods" section. **a** A scheme of crossbreeding that generates the CKO mice. **b** Primary cardiac microvascular endothelial cells were isolated and gene expression levels were examined by qPCR. Inset, BRG1 knockout efficiency was verified by Western. **c** Primary cardiac microvascular endothelial cells were isolated and SNAI2 levels were examined by qPCR. N = 3 mice for each group. **d** Picrosirius red staining and quantification. N = 6 mice for each group. **e**, **f** Echocardiographic measurements of EF and FS values. N = 6 mice for each group. **g** A schematic model.

the transcription of adhesion molecules (e.g., ICAM-1) and chemokines (e.g., CCL2) to promote macrophage trafficking. Alternatively, BRG1 may regulate the expression of endothelial-derived humoral factors to influence cardiac fibrosis. For instance, BRG1 has been shown to activate the transcription of endothelin (ET-1), a pro-fibrogenic factor⁶¹, and repress the transcription of eNOS (NOS3), an antifibrogenic factor⁶², in endothelial cells. Therefore, attenuation of cardiac fibrosis in the CKO mice may be construed as a consequence of skewed balance between endothelialderived pro-fibrogenic and anti-fibrogenic factors. It should be pointed out that BRG1 may be able to directly repress CDH5 transcription to promote EMT/EndMT-like processes. Sánchez-Tilló E et al. have reported that BRG1 can form a complex with ZEB1 to directly bind to the CDH5 promoter to repress its transcription and promote β -catenin nuclear trans-location in several different cancer cells⁶³. The discrepancies in our observations and those by Sánchez-Tilló E et al. likely reflect the complex nature regarding BRG1mediated transcription regulation in different cells and different circumstances. A ChIP-seq analysis with anti-BRG1 antibodies aiming to examine the dynamic association of BRG1 with target promoters during EndMT will likely provide additional mechanistic insight on the precise role BRG1 plays in regulating this process. Finally, Ang II not only promotes cardiac remodeling and fibrosis but vascular remodeling and hypertension. Ang II-induced endothelial dysfunction including altered endothelial gene expression is noted in model animals and in humans. Therefore, it would be of great interest to determine whether BRG1 deficiency normalizes blood pressure in the model as described in Fig. 6.

We show here that BRG1 contributes to Ang II-induced trans-activation of *SNAI2* and *COL1A2* by modulating histone status. Eviction of histones from the chromatin, as observed here, during nucleosome mobilization is one of the better understood functionalities of BRG1. For instance, regulation of *eNOS* transcription in endothelial cells exposed to hypoxia involves BRG1-mediated histone eviction from the *eNOS* promoter⁶⁴. We have previously shown that eviction of histones from the *CRP* promoter by BRG1 underlies its trans-activation by free fatty acids in hepatocytes⁴³. Of note, recent studies have portrayed a scenario wherein BRG1 relies on its interactions with histone-modifying enzymes to regulate the transcription of target genes. The observation that the status of histone

H3/H4 acetylation is associated with *SNAI2/COL1A2* transcription compels a natural question as to whether specific histone acetyltransferases may participate in EndMT and cardiac fibrosis through BRG1. A series of independent studies have shown that E1A-associated protein 300 (p300), a well-documented binding partner for BRG1, regulates EndMT by potentiating the TGF- β -signaling pathway⁶⁵. Although not investigated in the present study, other histone-modifying enzymes that play a role in EndMT, including histone deacetylase 3 (HDAC3)^{66,67}, histone H3K4 methyltransferase WDR5⁶⁸,

histone H3K27 methyltransferase EZH2⁶⁹, and histone demethylase JMJD2B⁴⁰, can all interact with BRG1 in various settings. A comprehensive mapping of BRG1-dependent histone modifications on a genomewide scale will likely provide novel insights on the epigenetic regulation of EndMT.

BRG1 relies on sequence-specific transcription factors to participate in locus-specific transcriptional regulation and, by extension, pathogenesis of human diseases. Our data indicate that BRG1 is recruited by Sp1 and SRF to the SNAI2 promoter and COL1A2 promoter, respectively, raising the possibility that targeting Sp1 and/or SRF in endothelial cells may phenocopy the BRG1 CKO mice in the model of Ang II-induced cardiac fibrosis. Both Sp1 and SRF have been demonstrated to contribute to myofibroblast maturation in the context of cardiac fibrosis although cell-specific roles remain undetermined^{70,71}. We have previously shown that BRG1 mediates EndMT induced by TGF- β , ROS, and hypoxia^{22,72}. In light of our new findings as summarized here, targeting the endothelial BRG1-Sp1-SRF cluster may be considered as a reasonable approach in the intervention of cardiac fibrosis.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported, in part, by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81725001, 81870349, 91939107, and 81770487), the Youth Fund Project of Natural Science Foundation of Hainan Province (819QN366), and the Program of Hainan Association for Science and Technology Plans to Youth R & D Innovation (QCXM201901).

Author details

¹Key Laboratory of Targeted Intervention of Cardiovascular Disease and Collaborative Innovation Center for Cardiovascular Translational Medicine, Department of Pathophysiology, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China. ²Institute of Biomedical Research, Liaocheng University, Liaocheng, China. ³Department of Endocrinology, Affiliated Nanjing Municipal Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China. ⁴Hainan Provincial Key Laboratory for Tropical Cardiovascular Diseases Research and Key Laboratory of Emergency and Trauma of Ministry of Education, Institute of Cardiovascular Research of the First Affiliated Hospital, Hainan Medical University, Haikou, China

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at (https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41419-020-02744-y).

Received: 21 January 2020 Revised: 1 July 2020 Accepted: 2 July 2020 Published online: 18 July 2020

References

- Talman, V. & Ruskoaho, H. Cardiac fibrosis in myocardial infarction—from repair and remodeling to regeneration. *Cell Tissue Res.* 365, 563–581 (2016).
- Gonzalez, A., Schelbert, E. B., Diez, J. & Butler, J. Myocardial interstitial fibrosis in heart failure: biological and translational perspectives. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 71, 1696–1706 (2018).
- van den Borne, S. W. et al. Myocardial remodeling after infarction: the role of myofibroblasts. Nat. Rev. Cardiol. 7, 30–37 (2010).
- Stempien-Otero, A., Kim, D. H. & Davis, J. Molecular networks underlying myofibroblast fate and fibrosis. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. 97, 153–161 (2016).
- Davis, J. & Molkentin, J. D. Myofibroblasts: trust your heart and let fate decide. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. 70, 9–18 (2014).
- Zeisberg, E. M. et al. Endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition contributes to cardiac fibrosis. *Nat. Med.* 13, 952–961 (2007).
- Wang, Z. et al. Cardiac fibrosis can be attenuated by blocking the activity of transglutaminase 2 using a selective small-molecule inhibitor. *Cell Death Dis.* 9, 613 (2018).
- Xu, L. et al. Endothelial-specific deletion of Ets-1 attenuates Angiotensin Ilinduced cardiac fibrosis via suppression of endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition. *BMB Rep.* 52, 595–600 (2019).
- You, S. et al. Schizandrin B attenuates angiotensin II induced endothelial to mesenchymal transition in vascular endothelium by suppressing NF-kappaB activation. *Phytomedicine* 62, 152955 (2019).
- Liu, L et al. Angiotensin II inhibits the protein expression of ZO1 in vascular endothelial cells by downregulating VEcadherin. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 18, 429–434 (2018).
- van Meeteren, L. A. & ten Dijke, P. Regulation of endothelial cell plasticity by TGF-beta. *Cell Tissue Res.* 347, 177–186 (2012).
- Bultman, S. et al. A Brg1 null mutation in the mouse reveals functional differences among mammalian SWJ/SNF complexes. *Mol. Cell* 6, 1287–1295 (2000).
- Hang, C. T. et al. Chromatin regulation by Brg1 underlies heart muscle development and disease. *Nature* 466, 62–67 (2010).
- 14. Fang, F. et al. Proinflammatory stimuli engage brahma related gene 1 and brahma in endothelial injury. *Circ. Res.* **113**, 986–996 (2013).
- Zhang, X. et al. Brg1 trans-activates endothelium-derived colony stimulating factor to promote calcium chloride induced abdominal aortic aneurysm in mice. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. 125, 6–17 (2018).
- Li, Z. et al. BRG1 regulates NOX gene transcription in endothelial cells and contributes to cardiac ischemia-reperfusion injury. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Basis Dis.* 1864, 3477–3486 (2018).
- Wang, Y. et al. Exosomes/microvesicles from induced pluripotent stem cells deliver cardioprotective miRNAs and prevent cardiomyocyte apoptosis in the ischemic myocardium. *Int. J. Cardiol.* **192**, 61–69 (2015).
- Li, Z. et al. The histone methyltransferase SETD1A regulates thrombomodulin transcription in vascular endothelial cells. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1861, 752–761 (2018).
- Zhao, Q. et al. Peli1 induction impairs cardiac microvascular endothelium through Hsp90 dissociation from IRE1alpha. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1865, 2606–2617 (2019).

- Choi, J., Park, S. Y. & Joo, C. K. Transforming growth factor-beta1 represses Ecadherin production via slug expression in lens epithelial cells. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 48, 2708–2718 (2007).
- Luchsinger, L. L., Patenaude, C. A., Smith, B. D. & Layne, M. D. Myocardin-related transcription factor-A complexes activate type I collagen expression in lung fibroblasts. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 44116–44125 (2011).
- 22. Li, Z. et al. The chromatin remodeler Brg1 integrates ROS production and endothelial-mesenchymal transition to promote liver fibrosis in mice. *Front. Dev. Cell Biol.* **7**, 245 (2019).
- Xin, L. et al. SND1 acts upstream of SLUG to regulate the epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) in SKOV3 cells. *FASEB J.* 33, 3795–3806 (2019).
- 24. Sun, L. et al. A SUMOylation-dependent pathway regulates SIRT1 transcription and lung cancer metastasis. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **105**, 887–898 (2013).
- Camoretti-Mercado, B. et al. Inhibition of transforming growth factor betaenhanced serum response factor-dependent transcription by SMAD7. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 20383–20392 (2006).
- Li, Z., Xia, J., Fang, M. & Xu, Y. Epigenetic regulation of lung cancer cell proliferation and migration by the chromatin remodeling protein BRG1. *Oncogenesis* 8, 66 (2019).
- Liu, L. et al. A cross talk between BRG1 and males absent on the first contributes to reactive oxygen species production in a mouse model of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Antioxid. Redox Signal* **30**, 1539–1552 (2019).
- Liu, L. et al. BRG1 regulates endothelial-derived IL-33 to promote ischemiareperfusion induced renal injury and fibrosis in mice. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1865, 2551–2561 (2019).
- Liu, L. et al. Myocardin-related transcription factor A (MRTF-A) contributes to acute kidney injury by regulating macrophage ROS production. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1864, 3109–3121 (2018).
- Li, Z. et al. Epigenetic activation of PERP transcription by MKL1 contributes to ROS-induced apoptosis in skeletal muscle cells. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1861, 905–915 (2018).
- Li, N. et al. Brg1 regulates pro-lipogenic transcription by modulating SREBP activity in hepatocytes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1864(9 Part B), 2881–2889 (2018).
- Li, N. et al. The chromatin remodeling protein BRG1 regulates APAP-induced liver injury by modulating CYP3A11 transcription in hepatocyte. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1864, 3487–3495 (2018).
- Yang, Y. et al. The chromatin remodeling protein BRG1 links ELOVL3 transactivation to prostate cancer metastasis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1862, 834–845 (2019).
- Yang, Y., Liu, L., Fang, M., Bai, H. & Xu, Y. The chromatin remodeling protein BRM regulates the transcription of tight junction proteins: Implication in breast cancer metastasis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1862, 547–556 (2019).
- Weng, X. et al. Class II transactivator (CIITA) mediates IFN-gamma induced eNOS repression by enlisting SUV39H1. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1862, 163–172 (2019).
- Shao, J. et al. Angiotensin II induced CSF1 transcription is mediated by a crosstalk between different epigenetic factors in vascular endothelial cells. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1862, 1–11 (2019).
- Lu, Y. et al. A cAbl-MRTF-a feedback loop contributes to hepatic stellate cell activation. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 7, 243 (2019).
- Li, Z. et al. Activation of galectin-3 (LGALS3) transcription by injurious stimuli in the liver is commonly mediated by BRG1. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 7, 310 (2019).
- Li, Z. et al. A non-autonomous role of MKL1 in the activation of hepatic stellate cells. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1862, 609–618 (2019).
- Wei, Q. et al. JAZF1 ameliorates age and diet-associated hepatic steatosis through SREBP-1c -dependent mechanism. *Cell Death Dis.* 9, 859 (2018).
- Kong, M. et al. Ablation of serum response factor in hepatic stellate cells attenuates liver fibrosis. J. Mol. Med. 97, 1521–1533 (2019).
- Kong, M. et al. Serum response factor (SRF) promotes ROS generation and hepatic stellate cell activation by epigenetically stimulating NCF1/2 transcription. *Redox Biol.* 26, 101302 (2019).
- Fan, Z. et al. An interaction between MKL1, BRG1, and C/EBPbeta mediates palmitate induced CRP transcription in hepatocytes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1862, 194412 (2019).
- Zeng, S. et al. Hypermethylated in cancer 1 (HIC1) mediates high glucose induced ROS accumulation in renal tubular epithelial cells by epigenetically repressing SIRT1 transcription. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1861, 917–927 (2018).
- Piera-Velazquez, S. & Jimenez, S. A. Endothelial to mesenchymal transition: role in physiology and in the pathogenesis of human diseases. *Physiol. Rev.* 99, 1281–1324 (2019).

- Sanchez-Duffhues, G., Garcia de Vinuesa, A. & Ten Dijke, P. Endothelial-tomesenchymal transition in cardiovascular diseases: developmental signaling pathways gone awry. *Dev. Dyn.* 247, 492–508 (2018).
- Hong, L. et al. EndMT: a promising and controversial field. *Eur. J. Cell Biol.* 97, 493–500 (2018).
- Kokudo, T. et al. Snail is required for TGFbeta-induced endothelial-mesenchymal transition of embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cells. *J. Cell Sci.* 121 (Part 20), 3317–3324 (2008).
- Yu, Q. C., Song, W., Wang, D. & Zeng, Y. A. Identification of blood vascular endothelial stem cells by the expression of protein C receptor. *Cell Res.* 26, 1079–1098 (2016).
- Wang, Y. et al. ASPP2 controls epithelial plasticity and inhibits metastasis through beta-catenin-dependent regulation of ZEB1. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 16, 1092–1104 (2014).
- Park, S. Y. et al. Combinatorial TGF-beta attenuation with paclitaxel inhibits the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and breast cancer stem-like cells. *Oncotarget* 6, 37526–37543 (2015).
- Jiao, L. et al. Reactive oxygen species mediate oxaliplatin-induced epithelialmesenchymal transition and invasive potential in colon cancer. *Tumour Biol.* 37, 8413–8423 (2016).
- Stemmler, M. P., Eccles, R. L., Brabletz, S. & Brabletz, T. Non-redundant functions of EMT transcription factors. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 21, 102–112 (2019).
- Evrard, S. M. et al. Endothelial to mesenchymal transition is common in atherosclerotic lesions and is associated with plaque instability. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 11853 (2016).
- Chen, K., Chen, J., Li, D., Zhang, X. & Mehta, J. L. Angiotensin II regulation of collagen type I expression in cardiac fibroblasts: modulation by PPAR-gamma ligand pioglitazone. *Hypertension* 44, 655–661 (2004).
- Fiaschi, T. et al. Hyperglycemia and angiotensin II cooperate to enhance collagen I deposition by cardiac fibroblasts through a ROS-STAT3-dependent mechanism. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1843, 2603–2610 (2014).
- Kania, G., Blyszczuk, P. & Eriksson, U. Mechanisms of cardiac fibrosis in inflammatory heart disease. *Trends Cardiovasc. Med.* 19, 247–252 (2009).
- Suthahar, N., Meijers, W. C., Sillje, H. H. W. & de Boer, R. A. From inflammation to fibrosis-molecular and cellular mechanisms of myocardial tissue remodelling and perspectives on differential treatment opportunities. *Curr. Heart Fail. Rep.* 14, 235–250 (2017).
- Zhang, Y. et al. An interaction between BRG1 and histone modifying enzymes mediates lipopolysaccharide-induced proinflammatory cytokines in vascular endothelial cells. J. Cell. Biochem. **120**, 13216–13225 (2019).

- Liu, L, Mao, L, Xu, Y. & Wu, X. Endothelial-specific deletion of Brahma-related gene 1 (BRG1) assuages unilateral ureteral obstruction induced renal injury in mice. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **517**, 244–252 (2019).
- Yang, Y. et al. Megakaryocytic leukemia 1 (MKL1) ties the epigenetic machinery to hypoxia-induced transactivation of endothelin-1. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, 6005–6017 (2013).
- Shao, J., Xu, Y. & Fang, M. BRG1 deficiency in endothelial cells alleviates thioacetamide induced liver fibrosis in mice. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 521, 212–219 (2020).
- Sanchez-Tillo, E. et al. ZEB1 represses E-cadherin and induces an EMT by recruiting the SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling protein BRG1. Oncogene 29, 3490–3500 (2010).
- Fish, J. E. et al. Hypoxic repression of endothelial nitric-oxide synthase transcription is coupled with eviction of promoter histones. J. Biol. Chem. 285, 810–826 (2010).
- Hulshoff, M. S., Xu, X., Krenning, G. & Zeisberg, E. M. Epigenetic regulation of endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition in chronic heart disease. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 38, 1986–1996 (2018).
- Lewandowski, S. L., Janardhan, H. P. & Trivedi, C. M. Histone deacetylase 3 coordinates deacetylase-independent epigenetic silencing of transforming growth factor-beta1 (TGF-beta1) to orchestrate second heart field development. J. Biol. Chem. 290, 27067–27089 (2015).
- Zeng, L. et al. Histone deacetylase 3 unconventional splicing mediates endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition through transforming growth factor beta2. J. Biol. Chem. 288, 31853–31866 (2013).
- Liu, L, Chen, J., Sun, L. & Xu, Y. RhoJ promotes hypoxia induced endothelial-tomesenchymal transition by activating WDR5 expression. *J. Cell. Biochem.* **119**, 3384–3393 (2017).
- Maleszewska, M., Gjaltema, R. A., Krenning, G. & Harmsen, M. C. Enhancer of zeste homolog-2 (EZH2) methyltransferase regulates transgelin/smooth muscle-22alpha expression in endothelial cells in response to interleukin-1beta and transforming growth factor-beta2. *Cell Signal.* 27, 1589–1596 (2015).
- Yang, W. B. et al. Sp1-mediated microRNA-182 expression regulates lung cancer progression. *Oncotarget* 5, 740–753 (2014).
- Angelini, A., Li, Z., Mericskay, M. & Decaux, J. F. Regulation of connective tissue growth factor and cardiac fibrosis by an SRF/MicroRNA-133a axis. *PLoS ONE* 10, e0139858 (2015).
- 72. Dong, W. et al. Activation of TWIST transcription by chromatin remodeling protein BRG1 contributes to liver fibrosis in mice. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **8**, 340 (2020).