

Recommendations for an Effective and Safe Extreme Oncoplastic Breast Surgery Combining Multiple Techniques

Gianluca Franceschini, MD

Sir,

greatly appreciated the article by Jean-Claude D. Schwartz¹ and would like to propose further recommendations to improve outcomes and overcome the limitations of extreme oncoplastic breast-conserving surgery (eOBCS) when using multiple techniques.

EOBCS includes a set of oncoplastic surgical techniques that allow breast conservation in patients with generally large or multifocal/multicentric cancers who would otherwise be candidates for a mastectomy.²

However, it should be emphasized that the trials reporting equivalence in terms of disease-free survival and overall survival between eOBCS and mastectomy are extremely few and exclusively retrospective, with all the limitations of these, such as unclear inclusion criteria, inhomogeneous treatments, limited number of patients, and short follow-up; well-designed prospective controlled trials providing rigorous evidence on the safety of eOBCS are not yet available.

Schwartz shows an interesting case of a breast cancer patient in whom three different oncoplastic techniques (the Wise-pattern split reduction, immediate nipple reconstruction, and autologous volume replacement) are used in one surgery to facilitate and optimize eOBCS.¹

I fully agree with Schwartz that the future of eOBCS will depend on the continued creativity of surgeons in combining various techniques in breast reconstruction¹; eOBCS is a highly specialized procedure that requires adequate and innovative surgical skills.

I nevertheless think that the technical dexterity alone is not enough to achieve a successful result; I believe that some specific recommendations should always be followed to improve oncological and aesthetic results when using eOBCS, such as^{3,4}:

- Accurate local staging of the disease by clinical examination, mammography, ultrasound, and magnetic resonance to choose the best candidates for eOBCS;
- Precise radiological preoperative study to assess the extent of disease, localize multifocal/multicentric tumors and/or

From the Department of Woman and Child Health and Public Health, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario Agostino Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy. Copyright © 2023 The Author. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health,

Inc. on behalf of The American Society of Plastic Surgeons. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal. Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open 2023; 11:e5325; doi: 10.1097/ GOX.000000000005325; Published online 26 October 2023. calcifications, by one of the available procedures (radioguided or magnetic seed localization);

- Multidisciplinary debate in a dedicated surgery committee to select the more appropriate eOBCS and reduce the risk of failure;
- Use of intraoperative ultrasound to calibrate the surgical resection and save as much glandular tissue as possible;
- Intraoperative radiological and histological study of the removed tissue to confirm the correct excision of all lesions and evaluate the resection margins;
- Systematic circumferential shaving of the tumor cavity to increase the probability of obtaining free surgical margins and minimize the risk of recurrence;
- Clinical and ultrasound assessment of the glandular tissue preserved at the end of eOBCS to rule out persistent macroscopic tumor residues;
- Placement of clips within the excision cavity as a marker to guide adjuvant radiotherapy;
- Use of oxidized regenerated cellulose in the breast surgical site as a hemostatic agent to control bleeding and as a filler for reconstructive purposes to repair the breast defect and improve the aesthetic results.⁵

Based on my experience, I strongly believe that the repetitive performance of the above tasks can be an additional tool to overcome the limitations of eOBCS and increase the chances of success in this complex surgical challenge.

Gianluca Franceschini, MD

Department of Woman and Child Health and Public Health Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore Fondazione Policlinico Universitario Agostino Gemelli IRCCS Largo Agostino Gemelli 8, Rome, Italy Email: gianlucafranceschini70@gmail.com

DISCLOSURE

The author has no financial interest to declare in relation to the content of this article.

REFERENCES

- 1. Schwartz JD. Combining multiple oncoplastic techniques to facilitate extreme oncoplastic breast conservation. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open*. 2023;11:e5211.
- Silverstein MJ, Savalia N, Khan S, et al. Extreme oncoplasty: breast conservation for patients who need mastectomy. *Breast J.* 2015;21:52–59.
- **3.** Franceschini G, Masetti R. Extreme oncoplastic breast conserving surgery: is surgical dexterity all that is need? *Am J Surg.* 2020;219:211–212.
- Franceschini G, Masetti R. Evidence-based surgery to realize a successful extreme oncoplastic breast conservation. *Eur J Surg Oncol.* 2020;46:924–925.
- Franceschini G, Visconti G, Sanchez AM, et al. Oxidized regenerated cellulose in breast surgery: experimental model. *J Surg Res.* 2015;198:237–244.