Dots represent patients and boxes denote median and interquartile range (IQR) The signature score of patients meeting a severe outcome on or after the day of blood draw is significantly (p < 0.001) higher than the signature score of non-severe patients.



Days from blood draw to earliest severe outcome

Dots represents patients and boxes denote median and IQR Kaplan-Meier survival estimates for signature score bins



Conclusion. The derived signature combined with a rapid measurement platform has potential to serve as an accurate predictive tool for early detection of COVID-19 patients at risk for severe outcome, facilitating timely care escalation and de-escalation and appropriate resource allocation.

Disclosures. Alon Angel, n/a, MeMed (Employee, Shareholder) Niv Samuel Mastboim, BSc, MeMed (Employee, Shareholder) Oded Shaham, PhD, MeMed (Employee, Shareholder) Tahel Ilan Ber, MD, MeMed (Employee, Shareholder) Roy Navon, MSc, MeMed (Employee, Shareholder) Einav Simon, PhD, MeMed (Employee, Shareholder) Michal Rosenberg, PhD, MeMed (Employee) Yael Israeli, PhD, MeMed (Employee) Mary Hainrichson, PhD, MeMed (Employee, Shareholder) Noa Avni, PhD, MeMed (Employee) Eran Reiner, MD, MeMed (Employee) Kfir Oved, MD, PhD, MeMed (Board Member, Employee, Shareholder) Ilya Kagan, MD, MeMed (Scientific Research Study Investigator) Shaul Lev, M.D, MeMed (Scientific Research Study Investigator) Dror Diker, MD, MeMed (Scientific Research Study Investigator) Amir Jarjou'i, MD, MeMed (Scientific Research Study Investigator) Ramzi Kurd, MD, MeMed (Scientific Research Study Investigator) Guy Danziger, MD, MeMed (Scientific Research Study Investigator) Cihan Papan, MD, MeMed (Scientific Research Study Investigator) Sergey Motov, MD, MeMed (Scientific Research Study Investigator) Maanit Shapira, Ph.D, MeMed (Scientific Research Study Investigator) Tanya Gottlieb, PhD, MeMed (Employee, Shareholder) Eran Eden, PhD, MeMed (Board Member, Employee, Shareholder)

33. Evaluation of Rural-Urban Differences in Hospitalization and Mortality Rates for US COVID-19 Patients in the United States

Alfred (Jerrod) Anzalone, MS¹; Ronald Horswell, PhD²; San Chu, MS, M.Ap.Stat.³; Brian Hendricks, PhD⁴; Jeremy Harper, MS⁵; William Beasley, PhD⁶; Lucio Miele, MD, PhD⁷; Clifford james Rosen, MD⁸; James McClay, MD, M.D.¹; Sally L. Hodder, M.D.⁹; ¹University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE; ²Pennington Biomedical Research Centre, Baton Rouge, Louisiana; ³Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Baton Rouge, Louisiana; ⁴West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia; ⁵Owl Health Works, Indianapolis, Indiana; ⁶University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma; ⁷LSUHSC School of Medicine, New Orleans, New Orleans, Louisiana; ⁸Maine Medical Center Research Institute, scarborough, Maine; ⁹West Virginia University School of Medicine, Morgantown, West Virginia

Session: O-07. COVID-19 Complications, Co-infections and Clinical Outcomes 2

Background. Rural communities are among the most vulnerable and resourcescarce populations in the United States. Rural data is rarely centralized, precluding comparability across regions, and no significant studies have studied this population at scale. The purpose of this study is to present findings from the National COVID Cohort Collaborative (N3C) to provide insight into future research and highlight the urgent need to address health disparities in rural populations.

N3C Patient Distribution

A. All Patient Distribution

B. Urban Patient Distribution





This figure shows the geospatial distribution of the N3C COVID-19 positive population. N3C contains data from 55 data contributors from across the United States, 40 of whom include sufficient location information to map by ZIP Code centroid spatially. Of those sites, we selected 27 whose data met our minimum robustness qualifications for inclusion in our study. This bubble map is to scale with larger bubbles representing more patients. A. shows all N3C patients. B. shows only urban N3C distribution. C. shows the urban-adjacent rural patient distribution. D. shows the nonurban-adjacent rural patient distribution, representing the most isolated patients in N3C.

Methods. This retrospective cohort of 573,018 patients from 27 hospital systems presenting with COVID-19 between January 2020 and March 2021, of whom 117,897 were admitted (see Data Analysis Plan diagram for inclusion/exclusion criteria), analyzes outcomes and 30-day survival for the hospitalized population by the degree of rurality.

Multivariate Cox regression analysis and mixed-effects models were used to estimate the association between rurality, hospitalization, and all-cause mortality, controlling for major risk factors associated with rural-urban health discrepancies and differences in health system outcomes. The difference in distribution by rurality is described as well as supplemented by population-level statistics to confirm representativeness.

Data Analysis Plan



This data analysis plan includes an overview of study inclusion and exclusion criteria, the matrix for data robustness to determine potential sites to include, and our covariate selection, model building, and residual testing strategy.

Results. This study demonstrates a significant difference between hospital admissions and outcomes in urban versus urban-adjacent rural (UAR) and

nonurban-adjacent rural (NAR) lines. Hospital admissions for UAR (OR 1.41, p< 0.001, 95% CI: 1.37 – 1.45) and NAR (OR 1.42, p< 0.001, 95% CI: 1.35 – 1.50) were significantly higher than their urban counterparts. Similar distributions were present for all-cause mortality for UAR (OR 1.39, p< 0.001, 95% CI: 1.30 – 1.49) and NAR (OR 1.38, p< 0.001, 95% CI: 1.22 – 1.55) compared to urban populations. These associations persisted despite adjustments for significant differences in BMI, Charlson Comorbidity index Score, gender, age, and the quarter of diagnosis for COVID-19.

Baseline Characteristics Hospitalized COVID-19 Positive Population by Rurality Category, January 2020 – March 2021

Characteristic	Urban, N = 104.051 ⁷	Urban-Adjacent Rural, N = 11,121 ⁷	Nonurban-Adjacent Rural, N = 2,725 ¹	P value ²			
Gender				< 0.001			
Female	53,905 (52%)	5,597 (50%)	1,310 (48%)				
Male	50,146 (48%)	5,524 (50%)	1,415 (52%)				
Age Group							
<18	4,261 (4.1%)	540 (4.9%)	91 (3.3%)				
18-29	10,199 (9.8%)	948 (8.5%)	194 (7.1%)				
30-49	24,602 (24%)	2,117 (19%)	400 (15%)				
50-64	26,583 (26%)	2,978 (27%)	770 (28%)				
>=65	38,406 (37%)	4,538 (41%)	1,270 (47%)				
Race				< 0.001			
White	48,067 (46%)	7,238 (65%)	1,974 (72%)				
Black or AA	25,858 (25%)	2,171 (20%)	473 (17%)				
Asian or NHPI	4,252 (4.1%)	69 (0.6%)	<20				
Other	772 (0.7%)	154 (1.4%)	47 (1.7%)				
Missing/Unknown	25,102 (24%)	1,489 (13%)	224 (8.2%)				
Ethnicity				< 0.001			
Not Hispanic or Latino	77,099 (74%)	9,649 (87%)	2,487 (91%)				
Hispanic or Latino	20,611 (20%)	1,166 (10%)	172 (6.3%)				
Missing/Unknown	6,341 (6.1%)	306 (2.8%)	66 (2.4%)				
BMI Category	L 0.050 /0.70()	L 0.00.00.000	74 (0.000)	< 0.001			
<18.5	3,856 (3.7%)	340 (3.1%)	71 (2.6%)				
18.5-24.9	21,035 (20%)	1,989 (18%)	496 (18%)				
25-29.9	20,721 (20%)	2,727 (25%)	669 (25%)				
>30	41,884 (40%)	5,367 (48%)	1,331 (49%)				
Unknown/wissing	10,555 (10%)	090 (0.3%)	156 (5.6%)	10.004			
Charison Comorbidity Index Comp	OSITE	E 070 (EAD()	4 228 (40%)	<0.001			
1000	04,937 (03%)	5,676 (51%)	1,320 (49%)				
>2.0	23,002 (22%)	2,203 (21%)	556 (20%) 920 (21%)				
Composite Score (CI)	0.00 (0.00, 3.00)	0.00 (0.00 3.00)	1 00 (0 00 3 00)				
Compriside Score (Cr)	0.00 (0.00, 0.00)	0.00 (0.00, 0.00)	1.00 (0.00, 3.00)				
Hypertension	38 141 (37%)	4 357 (39%)	1 115 (41%)	<0.001			
Disbetes Mellitus	23 646 (23%)	2 814 (25%)	720 (26%)	<0.001			
Myocardial Infarction	6 337 (6 1%)	799 (7 2%)	210 (7.7%)	<0.001			
Concestive Heart Failure	11.969 (12%)	1 501 (13%)	388 (14%)	<0.001			
Peripheral Vascular Disease	8 964 (8 6%)	1,166 (10%)	284 (10%)	<0.001			
Stroke	9.614 (9.2%)	1.087 (9.8%)	276 (10%)	0.061			
Dementia	4,395 (4,2%)	444 (4,0%)	129 (4.7%)	0.2			
Chronic Pulmonary Disease	17.371 (17%)	1,933 (17%)	482 (18%)	0.081			
Rheumatologic Disease	4,088 (3,9%)	460 (4.1%)	114 (4.2%)	0.5			
Mild or Severe Liver Disease	7,426 (7.1%)	807 (7.3%)	220 (8.1%)	0.2			
Hemiplegia or paraplegia	1,835 (1.8%)	261 (2.3%)	48 (1.8%)	< 0.001			
Renal Disease	13,731 (13%)	1,716 (15%)	476 (17%)	< 0.001			
Any malignancy (except skin)	10,134 (9.7%)	1,153 (10%)	317 (12%)	< 0.001			
Metastatic solid tumor	2,426 (2.3%)	276 (2.5%)	73 (2.7%)	0.3			
HIV/AIDS	1,039 (1.0%)	47 (0.4%)	<20	< 0.001			
Multiple Comorbidities	54,110 (52%)	5,940 (53%)	1,509 (55%)	< 0.001			
Current or former smoker	38,099 (37%)	2,384 (21%)	714 (26%)	< 0.001			
Outcomes							
Any Oxygen Support	13,388 (13%)	2,079 (19%)	588 (22%)	< 0.001			
Any Mechanical Ventilation	10,087 (9.7%)	1,867 (17%)	477 (18%)	< 0.001			
Hospital Readmission	3,306 (3.2%)	188 (1.7%)	32 (1.2%)	< 0.001			
ECMO or MACE	947 (0.9%)	174 (1.6%)	46 (1.7%)	<0.001			
All-Cause Mortality	11,240 (11%)	1,763 (16%)	455 (17%)	< 0.001			
Time to Death in Days (CI)	13 (6, 28)	14 (7, 27)	14 (5, 27)	0.6			
Quarter of Diagnosis				< 0.001			
Jan-Mar 2020	6,145 (5.9%)	85 (0.8%)	25 (0.9%)				
Apr-Jun 2020	24,478 (24%)	1,507 (14%)	438 (16%)				
Jui-Sep 2020	15,851 (15%)	2,114 (19%)	482 (18%)				
Oct-Dec 2020	32,856 (32%)	4,337 (39%)	995 (37%)				
Jan-Mar 2021	24,721 (24%)	3,078 (28%)	/85 (29%)				
² Statistical tests performed: chi-square te	st of independence						

* Statistical tests performed: chi-square test of independence

Survival Curves in Hospitalized Patients Over 30 Days from Day of Admission A. Rurality B. Charlson Comorbidity Index



This figure shows a survival plot of COVID-19 positive hospitalized patients in N3C by rural category (A), Charlson Comorbidity Index (B), Quarter of Diagnosis (C), and Age Group (D) from hospital admission through day 30. Events were censored at day 30 based on the incidence of death or transfer to hospice care. These four factors had the highest predictive power of the covariates evaluated in this study.

Unadjusted and Adjusted Odds Ratios for Hospitalization and All-Cause Mortality by Rural Category, January 2020 – March 2021

A. Unadjusted Odds-Ratios for COVID-19 Hospitalization			B. Unadjusted Odds-Ratios for	atios for All-Cause Mortality	
Covariate	Odds ratio (95% CI)	r- value	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P Value	
Rurality					
Urban	Reference		Reference		
		< 0.001			
Urban-Adjacent Rural	1.62 (1.58, 1.66)		1.45 (1.37, 1.54)	<0.001	
Nonurban-Adjacent Rural	1.61 (1.54, 1.69)	< 0.001	1.56 (1.41, 1.74)	< 0.001	
C. Adjusted Odds-Ratios	for COVID-19		D Adjusted Odds Datiss for Al	Course Mantality	
Hospitalization			D. Adjusted Odds-Ratios for All-Cause Mortality		
		P Value			
Covariate	Odds ratio (95% CI)		Odds ratio (95% CI)	P Value	
Gender					
Female	Reference		Reference		
Male	1.25 (1.23, 1.27)	< 0.001	1.49 (1.43, 1.55)	< 0.001	
Race					
White	Reference		Reference		
Black or AA	1.65 (1.61, 1.68)	0.3	1.00 (0.95, 1.06)	0.9	
Asian or NHPI	1.61 (1.55, 1.69)	0.002	1.29 (1.15, 1.44)	< 0.001	
Other	1.68 (1.54, 1.84)	0.002	1.77 (1.43, 2.18)	< 0.001	
Missing or Unknown	1.51 (1.47, 1.55)	0.5	1.16 (1.08, 1.25)	0.14	
Ethnicity					
Not Hispanic/Latinx	Reference		Reference		
Hispanic/Latinx	1.44 (1.40, 1.48)	0.7	1.06 (0.98, 1.15)	< 0.001	
Missing or Unknown	0.61 (0.59, 0.64)	0.036	1.34 (1.20, 1.49)	< 0.001	
BMI	1.01 (1.01, 1.01)	< 0.001	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)	< 0.001	
Age	1.03 (1.03, 1.03)	< 0.001	1.06 (1.05, 1.06)	< 0.001	
CCI Index	1.17 (1.16, 1.17)	< 0.001	1.09 (1.08, 1.09)	< 0.001	
Quarter of Diagnosis					
Jan-Mar 2020	3.14 (3.00, 3.29)	< 0.001	2.83 (2.57, 3.12)	< 0.001	
Apr-Jun 2020	1.38 (1.35, 1.42)	< 0.001	1.92 (1.79, 2.06	< 0.001	
Jul-Sep 2020	Reference		Reference		
Oct-Dec 2020	0.90 (0.88, 0.92)	< 0.001	1.11 (1.04, 1.19	0.002	
Jan-Mar 2021	1.00 (0.97, 1.02)	< 0.001	0.88 (0.82, 0.95)	< 0.001	
Rurality					
Urban	Reference		Reference		
Urban-Adjacent Rural	1.41 (1.37, 1.45)	< 0.001	1.39 (1.30, 1.49)	< 0.001	
Nonurban-Adjacent Rural	1.42 (1.35, 1.50)	< 0.001	1.38 (1.22, 1.55)	< 0.001	

This figure shows the adjusted and unadjusted odds ratios for being hospitalized or dying after hospitalization for the COVID-19 positive population in N3C. Risk is similar between adjusted and unadjusted models, suggesting a real impact of rurality on all-cause mortality. A shows the unadjusted odds ratios for admission to the hospital after a positive COVID-19 diagnosis for all N3C patients. B shows the unadjusted odds ratios for all-cause mortality at any point after hospitalization for COVID-19 positive patients. C shows the adjusted odds ratios for being admitted to the hospital after a positive COVID-19 diagnosis for all N3C patients. D shows the adjusted odds ratios for all-cause mortality for all-cause mortality at any point after hospitalization for COVID-19 positive patients. Adjusted models include adjustments for gender, race, ethnicity, BMI, age, Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) composite score, rurality, and quarter of diagnosis. The data provider is included as a random effect in all models.

Conclusion. In N3C, we found that hospitalizations and all-cause mortality were greater among rural populations when compared to urban populations after adjustment for several factors, including age and co-morbidities. This study also identified key demographic and clinical disparities among rural patients that require further investigation.

Disclosures. Sally L. Hodder, M.D., Gilead (Advisor or Review Panel member)Merck (Grant/Research Support, Advisor or Review Panel member)Viiv Healthcare (Grant/Research Support, Advisor or Review Panel member)

34. Long-term clinical outcomes following SARS-CoV-2 infection include persistent symptoms and cardiovascular disease beyond 3 months post-infection Stephanie A. Richard, PhD, MHS¹; Simon Pollett, MBBS²; Nusrat J. Epsi, n/a³; Ryan C. Maves, MD⁴; Ryan C. Maves, MD⁴; Gregory Utz, MD⁵; Tahaniyat Lalani, MBBS⁶; Rupal Mody, MD⁷; Anuradha Ganesan, MBBS, MPH⁸; Rhonda E. Colombo, MD, MHS9; Chris Colombo, MD1 David A. Lindholm, MD¹¹; David A. Lindholm, MD¹¹; Cristian Madar, MD¹²; Sharon Chi, PhD¹³; Nikhil Huprikar, MD¹⁴; Derek Larson, MD¹⁵; Samantha Bazan, DNP, MS¹⁶; Celia Byrne, PhD¹ Caroline E. English, BA²; Edward Parmelee, MS¹³; Katrin Mende, PhD¹⁹; Mark Simons, PhD²⁰; Timothy Burgess, MD, MPH²¹; David Tribble, MD, DrPH²²; Brian Agan, MD²³; ¹Infectious Disease Clinical Research Program, Department of Preventive Medicine and Biostatistics, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, MD and Henry M. Jackson Foundation, Bethesda, MD, Bethesda, Maryland; ²Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland; ³HJF, Bethesda, Maryland; ⁴Naval Medical Center San Diego, San Diego, CA and Infectious Disease Clinical Research Program, Bethesda, MD, San DIego, California; ⁵Naval Medical Center San Diego, Infectious Disease Clinical Research Program, Bethesda, MD, and Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Inc., Bethesda, MD, San Diego, California; ⁶Infectious Disease Clinical Research Program, Bethesda, MD, The Henry M. Jackson Foundation, Bethesda, MD, and Naval Medical Center Portsmouth, VA, Portsmouth, Virginia; ⁷WBAMC, El Paso, Texas; ⁸Infectious Disease Clinical Research Program and the Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine and Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, MD; 9Madigan Army Medical Center, Tacoma, WA, Infectious Disease Clinical Research Program, Bethesda, MD, and Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Inc., Bethesda, MD, Tacoma, Washington; ¹⁰Madigan Army Medical Center, Joint Base Lewis-McChord, Washington; ¹¹Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences; Brooke Army Medical Center, San Antonio, TX; 12 Tripler Army Medical Center, Tripler Army Medical Center, Hawaii; 13TAMC, Honolulu, Hawaii; 14Walter Reed National Military Medical Center (WRNMC), Bethesda, Maryland; ¹⁵Fort Belvoir Community Hospital Infectious Disease, Fort Belvoir, Virginia; ¹⁶Carl R. Darnall Army Medical Center, Fort Hood, Texas; ¹⁷USUHS, Bethesda, Maryland; ¹⁸Infectious Disease