Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of Pharmaceutical Analysis

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jpa

Original Research Article

Analysis of isoquinoline alkaloids from *Mahonia leschenaultia* and *Mahonia napaulensis* roots using UHPLC-Orbitrap- MS^n and UHPLC-QqQ_{LIT}-MS/MS



CrossMark

centical

Analysis

Awantika Singh^{a,b}, Vikas Bajpai^{a,b}, Sunil Kumar^a, Ajay Kumar Singh Rawat^c, Brijesh Kumar^{a,b,*}

^a Sophisticated Analytical Instrument Facility Division, CSIR-Central Drug Research Institute, Lucknow 226031, India

^b Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research, New Delhi 110001, India

^c Pharmacognosy and Ethnopharmacology Division, CSIR-National Botanical Research Institute, Lucknow 226001, India

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Orbitrap-MS QqQ_{LIT}-MS Mahonia leschenaultia Mahonia napaulensis Isoquinoline alkaloids

ABSTRACT

Mahonia leschenaultia (ML) and Mahonia napaulensis (MN) are less known and unexplored medicinal plants of the family Berberidaceae. They are used by the Todas of Nilgiris in their religious and medical practices but chemically less identified. Hence, we decided to do extensive phytochemical analysis to explore the potential of these plant extracts. An ultrahigh performance electrospray tandem mass spectrometry (UHPLC-ESI-MS/MS) method was successfully developed for qualitative analysis of the bioactive components in Mahonia species using Orbitrap Velos Pro mass spectrometer. Sixteen compounds were identified by comparison of their retention times and mass spectra (MS) with authentic standards and reported literature. Multi-stage mass spectra (MS²⁻⁸) for the identification of protoberberine and aporphine alkaloids showed the sequential expulsion of all the substituents attached with their basic skeleton followed by CO loss. Eight of the identified compounds (berberine, jatrorrhizine, palmatine, magnoflorine, isocorydine, glaucine, tetrahydropalmatine and tetrahydroberberine) were simultaneously determined by another UHPLC-ESI-MS/MS method under the multiple reactions monitoring (MRM) mode quantitatively using triple quadrupole linear ion trap mass spectrometer. The analytical method was validated for 8 bioactive compounds with overall recovery in the range 98.5%-103.6% (RSD≤2.2%), precise (RSD≤2.07%) and linear (r≥0.9995) over the concentration range of 0.5-1000 ng/mL and successfully applied in ML and MN roots, which suggests the suitability of the proposed approach for the routine analysis of Mahonia species and their quality control.

1. Introduction

Mahonia leschenaultia (Wight & Arn.) Takeda ex Gamble (*ML*) and *Mahonia napaulensis* DC (*MN*) belong to the family Berberidaceae and are the less known and unexplored medicinal plants of the genus Mahonia. Most of the *Mahonia* species are evergreen or semi-evergreen shrubs or small trees commonly distributed in the Himalayan region [1,2]. The principal chemical constituents reported for the genus Mahonia consist of isoquinoline alkaloids, mainly protoberberine (PBAs), benzylisoquinoline, and aporphine groups [3,4]. Usually, the need for phytochemical investigation of the plants is always a prerequisite for the search of new sources of medicinal plants [5–7]. The following isoquinoline alkaloids, namely berberine, jatrorrhizine, palmatine, magnoflorine, isocorydine and oxyacanthine, were previously isolated from different Mahonia species (Fig. 1) [3,4]. These constitu-

ents have been reported to possess an array of biological activities such as anti-oxidant, anti-hyperglycaemic, anti-inflammatory, hepato-protective and hypotensive properties [8–12]. The root and stem of ML and MN are a good source of berberine having antitumor activity and are also used as antimutagenic, stomachic, diaphoretic, astringent, gentle aperient, curative of piles and periodic neuralgia [11]. These plants are also used for antifungal textile dyeing based on their antifungal and anti-bacterial activity [8].

Mass spectrometry has become an indispensable tool in the investigation of the structures of molecules in complex mixtures of natural product extracts. The combination of a linear ion trap with a Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometer (FTICR–MS) has become a popular choice for the characterization of chemical constituents [13–15]. Orbitrap Velos mass spectrometry is a high performance MS and MSⁿ technique which combines the rapid ion trap

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpha.2016.10.002

Peer review under responsibility of Xi'an Jiaotong University.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Sophisticated Analytical Instrument Facility Division, CSIR-Central Drug Research Institute, Lucknow 226031, India. *E-mail addresses:* brijesh_kumar@cdri.res.in, gbrikum@yahoo.com (B. Kumar).

Received 7 May 2016; Received in revised form 5 October 2016; Accepted 17 October 2016 Available online 18 October 2016

^{2095-1779/ © 2017} Xi'an Jiaotong University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY-NC-ND/4.0/).



 Table 1

 The optimized compound dependent MRM parameters and transitions for each analyte in the UPLC-ESI-MS/MS analysis.

Peak No.	RT (min)	Analyte	Precursor ion (m/z)	DP (V)	EP (V)	CE (eV)	Quantifier ^a	Qualifier ^a
1	1.49	Magnoflorine	342.1 [M]+	50	10	27	342.1→296.7 (20)	342.1→282.0 (12)
2	1.71	Isocorydine	342.1 [M+H] ⁺	73	4.5	27	342.1→279.2 (12)	342.1→311.1 (8)
3	1.90	Glaucine	356.3 [M+H] ⁺	101	8	20	356.3→325.3 (16)	356.3→294.1 (15)
4	2.00	Jatrorrhizine	338.0 [M] ⁺	50	10	55	338.0→307.3 (15)	338.0→322.1 (11)
5	2.21	Tetrahydropalmatine	356.2 [M+H] ⁺	86	7	35	356.2→192.1 (7)	356.2→165.1 (5)
6	2.34	Tetrahydroberberine	340.0 [M+H] ⁺	55	10	35	340.0→176.0 (9)	340.0→149.1 (5)
7	2.50	Palmatine	352.2 [M] ⁺	32	10	40	352.2→336.0 (15)	352.2→308.1 (16)
8	3.11	Berberine	336.0 [M] ⁺	40	10	45	336.0→320.0 (5)	336.0→292.2 (8)

RT: Retention time; DP: Declustering potential; EP: Entrance potential; CE: Collision energy.

^a Cell exit potential (CXP in V) is given in brackets

(IT) data acquisition with the high mass accuracy [16]. Consequently, it can perform two types of data acquisition mode, FT–MS and IT–MS. In addition, it can perform multiple gas-phase fragmentation techniques such as collision induced dissociation (CID) and higher-energy C-trap dissociation (HCD), both of which offer versatility and facilitated structural characterization [17,18]. These alternative fragmentation capabilities are essential for proposing fragmentation pathways of compounds. Similarly, the combination of triple quadrupole/linear ion trap (QqQ_{LIT}) analyzers provides rapid quantification of multiple components in a complex mixture using multiple reactions monitoring (MRM) analysis [5,19]. Therefore, the UHPLC-Orbitrap-MSⁿ and UHPLC-QqQ_{LIT}-MS/MS methods were developed for the investigation of isoquinoline alkaloids in crude extracts of *ML* and *MN* roots.

2. Experimental

2.1. Plant materials

Mahonia leschenaultia (ML) and Mahonia napaulensis (MN) roots were collected from Nilgiri region of India, and voucher herbarium specimens (Nos. 254044 and 254043 for ML and MN, respectively) were maintained and deposited in the Herbarium of National Botanical Research Institute (NBRI), Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India. The identity of these vouchers was matched with the available vouchers of both the plant species.

2.2. Chemicals and solvents

AR grade ethanol (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was used in the preparation of ethanolic extract. LC–MS grade acetonitrile, methanol and formic acid (Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) were used in mobile phase and sample preparation. Ultra-pure water (Type 1) was obtained from Direct-Q system (Millipore, Milford, MA, USA). The standard reference samples of berberine hydrochloride (10 mg; purity \geq 96%), palmatine chloride (10 mg; purity \geq 97%), jatrorrhizine hydrochloride (10 mg; purity \geq 98%) and *D*-tetrahydropalmatine (THP) (10 mg; purity \geq 98%) were purchased from Shanghai Tauto Biotech Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). Glaucine HBR (25 mg; lot no. 00007241-807; purity \geq 94.9%), tetrahydroberberine (THB) (10 mg; lot no. 00009230-213; purity \geq 99.9%) were purchased from ChromaDex (Irvine, California, USA).

2.3. Extraction and preparation of sample

1 g powder of shade dried plant materials of ML and MN roots (pooled five plant both) was suspended with 20 mL ethanol (100%), sonicated for 30 min at 25 °C in an ultrasonic water bath (Bandelin SONOREX, Berlin) and left for 24 h at room temperature. The extract

Table 2

HCD-MS/MS and CID-MSⁿ (n=2-8) data obtained in FT and IT acquisition modes of Orbitrap-MS for standard compounds by direct infusion analysis.

Compounds	HCD-MS/MS data in FT-MS mode	CID–MS ⁿ data in IT–MS mode
Berberine <i>m/z</i> 336.1230 [M] ⁺	336.1220 (CE 50): 321.07(40),320.0905 (100), 306.0752 (40),304.0604 (28), 292.0961 (77),278.0808 (10), 275.0941 (1)	$\begin{split} &MS^2 \left[336 (CE \ 30) \right]: \ 321, \ 306, \ 292 \\ &MS^3 \left[336 \rightarrow 321 \ (CE \ 30) \right]: \ 320, \ 318, \ 304, \ 292 \\ &MS^4 \left[336 \rightarrow 321 \rightarrow 320 \ (CE \ 38) \right]: \ 318, \ 290 \\ &MS^4 \left[336 \rightarrow 321 \rightarrow 320 \ (CE \ 42) \right]: \ 277, \ 264, \ 262, \ 249, \ 234 \\ &MS^4 \left[336 \rightarrow 321 \rightarrow 304 \ (CE \ 42) \right]: \ 277, \ 264, \ 262, \ 249, \ 234 \\ &MS^5 \left[336 \rightarrow 321 \rightarrow 320 \rightarrow 318 \ (CE \ 40) \right]: \ 290, \ 274, \ 262 \\ &MS^5 \left[336 \rightarrow 321 \rightarrow 292 \ -277 \ (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 249, \ 219 \\ &MS^5 \left[336 \rightarrow 321 \rightarrow 320 \rightarrow 290 \ (CE \ 40) \right]: \ 249, \ 234 \\ &MS^5 \left[336 \rightarrow 321 \rightarrow 320 \rightarrow 290 \ (CE \ 30) \right]: \ 245, \ 262 \\ &MS^6 \left[336 \rightarrow 321 \rightarrow 320 \rightarrow 290 \ (CE \ 38) \right]: \ 245, \ 262 \\ &MS^6 \left[336 \rightarrow 321 \rightarrow 320 \rightarrow 290 \ (CE \ 40) \right]: \ 275, \ 262 \\ &MS^6 \left[336 \rightarrow 321 \rightarrow 320 \rightarrow 318 \rightarrow 290 \ (CE \ 40) \right]: \ 275, \ 262 \\ &MS^6 \left[336 \rightarrow 321 \rightarrow 320 \rightarrow 318 \rightarrow 290 \ (CE \ 30) \right]: \ 261, \ 232, \ 204, \ 192 \\ &MS^7 \left[336 \rightarrow 321 \rightarrow 320 \rightarrow 318 \rightarrow 290 \rightarrow 248 \ (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 218 \end{split}$
Jatrorrhizine <i>m/z</i> 338.1387 [M] ⁺	338.1377 (CE 50): 323.1131 (50),322.1061 (100), 308.0909 (60),306.0756 (28), 294.1128 (70),280.0959(11), 279.090 (10),265.0740 (1)	$\begin{split} &MS^2 \ [338 \ (CE \ 32)]: \ 323, \ 322, \ 294 \\ &MS^3 \ [338 \rightarrow 323 \ (CE \ 30)]: \ 322, \ 294 \\ &MS^4 \ [338 \rightarrow 323 \rightarrow 322 \ (CE \ 33)]: \ 320, \ 307 \\ &MS^4 \ [338 \rightarrow 323 \rightarrow 294 \ (CE \ 33)]: \ 279 \\ &MS^5 \ [338 \rightarrow 323 \rightarrow 322 \rightarrow 307 \ (CE \ 33)]: \ 306, \ 305, \ 279 \\ &MS^5 \ [338 \rightarrow 323 \rightarrow 322 \rightarrow 307 \ (CE \ 33)]: \ 251, \ 250 \\ &MS^6 \ [338 \rightarrow 323 \rightarrow 322 \rightarrow 307 \rightarrow 279 \ (CE \ 32)]: \ 278, \ 276, \ 262, \ 251 \\ &MS^6 \ [338 \rightarrow 323 \rightarrow 322 \rightarrow 307 \rightarrow 279 \ (CE \ 32)]: \ 278, \ 276, \ 262, \ 251 \\ &MS^6 \ [338 \rightarrow 323 \rightarrow 324 \rightarrow 279 \rightarrow 279 \rightarrow 251 \ (CE \ 35)]: \ 250, \ 234, \ 222 \\ &MS^7 \ [338 \rightarrow 323 \rightarrow 294 \ \rightarrow 279 \rightarrow 251 \ (CE \ 35)]: \ 250, \ (CE \ 33)]: \ 233, \ 232, \ 222 \end{split}$
Palmatine <i>m/z</i> 352.1543 [M]⁺	352.1532 (CE 50): 337.1315 (15),336.1216 (100), 322.1060 (42),320.0917 (15), 308.1270 (50),294.1113 (8), 292.0960 (5)	$ \begin{split} & MS^2 \left[352 (CE \ 33) \right]: \ 337, \ 336, \ 308 \\ & MS^3 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 (CE \ 33) \right]: \ 336, \ 320, \ 308 \\ & MS^4 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 336 (CE \ 33) \right]: \ 334, \ 321, \ 320, \ 292 \\ & MS^4 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 320 (CE \ 33) \right]: \ 318, \ 304 \\ & MS^4 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 308 (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 292, \ 264 \\ & MS^5 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 336 \rightarrow 321 (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 290 \\ & MS^5 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 336 \rightarrow 324 (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 290 \\ & MS^5 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 336 \rightarrow 324 (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 290 \\ & MS^5 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 336 \rightarrow 324 (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 292, \ 265, \ 264 \\ & MS^6 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 336 \rightarrow 321 \rightarrow 320 (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 292, \ 265, \ 264 \\ & MS^6 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 336 \rightarrow 321 \rightarrow 320 (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 262 \\ & MS^6 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 336 \rightarrow 321 \rightarrow 290 (CE \ 38) \right]: \ 277, \ 262, \ 246 \\ & MS^6 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 308 \rightarrow 293 \rightarrow 265 (CE \ 38) \right]: \ 264 \\ & MS^7 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 308 \rightarrow 293 \rightarrow 265 (CE \ 38) \right]: \ 264 \\ & MS^7 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 308 \rightarrow 293 \rightarrow 265 - 264 (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 249, \ 236, \ 208 \\ & MS^8 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 336 \rightarrow 321 \rightarrow 320 \rightarrow 318 (CE \ 40) \right]: \ 290 \\ & MS^8 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 336 \rightarrow 293 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 264 (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 249, \ 236, \ 208 \\ & MS^8 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 308 \rightarrow 293 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 264 (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 249, \ 236, \ 208 \\ & MS^8 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 308 \rightarrow 293 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 264 (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 249, \ 236, \ 208 \\ & MS^8 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 308 \rightarrow 293 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 264 (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 249, \ 236, \ 208 \\ & MS^8 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 306 \rightarrow 293 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 264 (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 249, \ 236, \ 208 \\ & MS^8 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 306 \rightarrow 293 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 264 (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 249, \ 256, \ 208 \\ & MS^8 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 306 \rightarrow 293 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 264 (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 249, \ 256, \ 250 \\ & MS^8 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 306 \rightarrow 293 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 264 (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 249, \ 236, \ 208 \\ & MS^8 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 306 \rightarrow 293 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 264 (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 249, \ 236, \ 208 \\ & MS^8 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 306 \rightarrow 293 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 264 (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 249, \ 256, \ 250 \\ & MS^8 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 306 \rightarrow 293 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 264 (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 249, \ 256, \ 250 \\ & MS^8 \left[352 \rightarrow 337 \rightarrow 306 \rightarrow 321 \rightarrow 320 \rightarrow 318 \rightarrow 290 (CE \ 35) \right]: \ 249, \ 246 \ 246 \ 246 \ 246 \ 246 \ 246 \ 246 \ 246 \ 246 \ 246 \ 246 \ 246 \ 246 \ 246 \ 246 \ 246 \ 246$
Tetrahydroberberine <i>m/z</i> 340.1542 [M+H] ⁺	340.1534 (CE 45): 324.1224 (4),176.0704 (100), 174.0537 (4),149.0594 (9)	$MS^{2} [340 (CE 28)]: 176, 149, 119$ $MS^{3} [340 \rightarrow 176 (CE 33)]: 161, 159, 149, 146$ $MS^{3} [340 \rightarrow 149 (CE 25)]: 119, 91, 77$ $MS^{4} [340 \rightarrow 176 \rightarrow 149 (CE 25)]: 119, 91, 77$
Tetrahydropalmatine <i>m/z</i> 356.1855 [M+H] ⁺	356.1853 (CE 42): 340.1535 (4),192.1018 (100), 190.0868 (3),165.0910 (25), 150.0675 (6)	MS^2 [356 (CE 32)]: 339, 192, 190, 165, 150 MS^3 [356 \rightarrow 192 (CE 32)]: 177, 176, 148 MS^3 [356 \rightarrow 165 (CE 32)]: 150, 135, 133, 119 MS^4 [356 \rightarrow 192 \rightarrow 177 (CE 30)]: 176, 174, 162, 160, 159, 148
Magnoflorine <i>m/z</i> 342.1704 [M] ⁺	342.1691 (CE 40): 297.1114 (100),282.0876 (14), 265.0856 (78),237.0905 (8), 219.0801 (2),58.0664 (80)	$\begin{split} & MS^2 \left[342 (CE 30) \right]: 297, 265 \\ & MS^3 \left[342 \rightarrow 297 (CE 28) \right]: 282, 265 \\ & MS^4 \left[342 \rightarrow 297 \rightarrow 282 (CE 30) \right]: 267, 264 \\ & MS^4 \left[342 \rightarrow 297 \rightarrow 265 (CE 30) \right]: 250, 247, 237 \\ & MS^5 \left[342 \rightarrow 297 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 2267 (CE 30) \right]: 222 \\ & MS^5 \left[342 \rightarrow 297 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 2250 (CE 30) \right]: 222 \\ & MS^5 \left[342 \rightarrow 297 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 2247 (CE 30) \right]: 219, 203 \\ & MS^5 \left[342 \rightarrow 297 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 237 (CE 30) \right]: 222, 219, 209, 191 \\ & MS^6 \left[342 \rightarrow 297 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 227 (CE 30) \right]: 221, 211, 193 \\ & MS^6 \left[342 \rightarrow 297 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 227 (CE 30) \right]: 221, 211, 193 \\ & MS^6 \left[342 \rightarrow 297 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 227 \rightarrow 226 (CE 30) \right]: 194 \\ & MS^6 \left[342 \rightarrow 297 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 227 \rightarrow 209 (CE 30) \right]: 191 \\ & MS^6 \left[342 \rightarrow 297 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 237 \rightarrow 209 (CE 30) \right]: 194, 191, 181 \\ & MS^7 \left[342 \rightarrow 297 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 227 \rightarrow 209 (CE 30) \right]: 193, 166, 165 \\ & MS^7 \left[342 \rightarrow 297 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow 247 \rightarrow 219 \rightarrow 191 (CE 33) \right]: 189, 165 \\ \end{split}$
Isocorydine <i>m/z</i> 342.1705 [M+H] ⁺	342.1694 (CE 42): 311.1260 (18),296.1016 (15), 279.0989 (100),264.0757 (24), 248.0809 (23), 236.0807 (13), 219.0793 (4)	$\begin{split} & MS^2 \left[342 (CE 25) \right]: 311, 297, 279 \\ & MS^3 \left[342 - 311 (CE 25) \right]: 296, 279 \\ & MS^4 \left[342 - 311 - 296 (CE 25) \right]: 296, 281 \\ & MS^4 \left[342 - 311 - 279 (CE 28) \right]: 264, 248 \\ & MS^5 \left[342 - 311 - 296 - 281 (CE 25) \right]: 263, 253, 204 \\ & MS^5 \left[342 - 311 - 279 - 264 (CE 32) \right]: 236 \\ & MS^6 \left[342 - 311 - 279 - 264 (CE 32) \right]: 235 \\ & MS^6 \left[342 - 311 - 279 - 264 - 236 (CE 25) \right]: 208, 206, 178 \\ & MS^7 \left[342 - 311 - 279 - 264 - 236 (CE 32) \right]: 207, 179 \end{split}$
Glaucine <i>m/z</i> 356.1856 [M+H] ⁺	356.1840 (CE 25): 325.1422 (100),310.1186 (25), 294.1238 (40)	MS ² [356 (CE 32)]: 325 MS ³ [356→325 (CE 25)]: 310, 294 MS ⁴ [356→325→310 (CE 28)]: 295

Table 2 (continued)

Compounds	HCD–MS/MS data in FT–MS mode	CID–MS ⁿ data in IT–MS mode
		$ \begin{split} &MS^4 \left[356 \rightarrow 325 \rightarrow 294 \; (CE\; 30) \right]: 279 \\ &MS^5 \left[356 \rightarrow 325 \rightarrow 310 \rightarrow 295 \; (CE\; 30) \right]: 277, 267, 235 \\ &MS^5 \left[356 \rightarrow 325 \rightarrow 294 \rightarrow 279 \; (CE\; 30) \right]: 251 \\ &MS^6 \left[356 \rightarrow 325 \rightarrow 310 \rightarrow 295 \rightarrow 277 \; (CE\; 30) \right]: 262, 249, 234 \\ &MS^6 \left[356 \rightarrow 325 \rightarrow 310 \rightarrow 295 \rightarrow 267 \; (CE\; 30) \right]: 252, 239, 224, 208 \\ &MS^6 \left[356 \rightarrow 325 \rightarrow 294 \rightarrow 279 \rightarrow 251 \; (CE\; 30) \right]: 236, 220 \\ &MS^7 \left[356 \rightarrow 325 \rightarrow 310 \rightarrow 295 \rightarrow 277 \rightarrow 262 \; (CE\; 30) \right]: 234 \\ &MS^7 \left[356 \rightarrow 325 \rightarrow 310 \rightarrow 295 \rightarrow 277 \rightarrow 249 \; (CE\; 30) \right]: 224, 206 \\ &MS^7 \left[356 \rightarrow 325 \rightarrow 310 \rightarrow 295 \rightarrow 267 \rightarrow 239 \; (CE\; 30) \right]: 224, 208 \\ &MS^7 \left[356 \rightarrow 325 \rightarrow 310 \rightarrow 295 \rightarrow 267 \rightarrow 239 \; (CE\; 30) \right]: 224, 208 \\ &MS^7 \left[356 \rightarrow 325 \rightarrow 310 \rightarrow 295 \rightarrow 267 \rightarrow 239 \; (CE\; 30) \right]: 221, 219, 218, 208, 207 \\ &MS^8 \left[356 \rightarrow 325 \rightarrow 310 \rightarrow 295 \rightarrow 267 \rightarrow 239 \rightarrow 224 \; (CE\; 30) \right]: 209 \end{split}$

was collected and filtered through filter paper (Whatman No. 1) and the residue was re-extracted three times with fresh solvent following the same procedure. The combined filtrates of each sample were concentrated using a Buchi rotary evaporator (Flawil, Switzerland) under reduced pressure at 20-50 kPa at 40 °C yielding dark yellowbrown mass which was stored at -20 °C. A fresh solution (1 mg/mL) of each sample was prepared in methanol and filtered through a 0.22 µm polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (MILLEX GV filter unit, Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany).

2.4. Preparation of standard solutions

Stock solutions of eight reference standards (berberine, palmatine, jatrorrhizine, tetrahydroberberine, tetrahydropalmatine, magnoflorine, isocorydine and glaucine) were prepared separately in methanol (1.0 mg/mL). Then, methanol stock solution containing the mixture of eight analytes was prepared and diluted in appropriate concentration to yield a series of concentrations from 0.5 to 1000 ng/mL. The calibration curves were constructed by plotting the value of peak areas versus the value of concentrations of each analyte. All stock solutions were stored in the refrigerator at -20 °C until use.

2.5. UHPLC-Orbitrap-MSⁿ conditions for qualitative analysis

Qualitative analyses were performed with an Orbitrap Velos Pro^{TM} system, which is a hybrid ion trap-orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific; Bremen, Germany) equipped with an electrospray ion source which was hyphenated to an Accela UHPLC (Thermo Scientific; Bremen, Germany). Accela UHPLC system consisted of an Accela PDA, Accela open AS and Accela 1250 pump system.

Chromatographic separation was carried out on a Thermo Scientific Hypersil GOLD column (100 mm×2.1 mm, 1.9 μ m) operated at 20 °C. The mobile phase, which consisted of 0.1% formic acid aqueous solution (A) and acetonitrile (B), was delivered at a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min under a gradient program: 5%–15% (B) from 0 min to 3 min, 15%–60% (B) from 3 min to 8 min, 60%–90% (B) from 8 min to 10 min, and return to its initial condition over 4 min. The sample injection volume was 2 μ L. The UV spectra were obtained by scanning the samples in the range of 200–600 nm.

Conditions for the ESI positive ion mode were as follows: capillary temperature, 320 °C; sheath gas flow rate, 10 arb; auxiliary gas flow rate, 5 arb; source voltage, 4 kV; source current, 100 μ A; S-lens RF level, 67.50%; lens 0 voltage, -7.13 V; lens 1 voltage, -12.13 V; gate lens offset, -90 V; and front lens voltage, -13.67 V. Nitrogen was used as the sheath and auxiliary gas, and helium as the collision gas. The MS detector was programmed to perform a full scan and a data-dependent scan. For the full scan MS analysis, the spectra were recorded in the range of m/z 50–1000 and the FT resolution at 60000 (FWHM). The data-dependent MSⁿ analysis was carried out in the automatic mode on

the most abundant fragment ion in $MS^{(n-1)}$. The isolation window was maintained at m/z 2.0 and the normalized collision energy (NCE) ranging from 20% to 60% in CID and HCD modes was applied. Data acquisition and analysis were performed using XCalibur software version 2.0.7 (Thermo Scientific).

2.6. UHPLC-Qq Q_{LIT} -MS conditions for quantitative analysis

Quantitative analysis was performed on a 4000 QTRAP[™] MS/MS system, which is a hybrid triple quadrupole-linear ion trap mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystem; Concord, ON, Canada), hyphenated with a Waters ACQUITY UPLC[™] system (Waters; Milford, MA, USA) via an electrospray ion source (Turbo V[™] source with TurboIonSpray[™] probe and APCI probe) interface. Waters ACQUITY UPLC[™] system was equipped with binary solvent manager, sample manager, column compartment and photodiode array detector (PAD).

Chromatographic separation of compounds was obtained with an ACQUITY UPLC BEHTM C₁₈ column (100 mm×2.1 mm, 1.7 µm) operated at 25 °C. The mobile phase, which consisted of 0.1% formic acid aqueous solution (A) and acetonitrile (B), was delivered at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min under a gradient program: 5% (B) 0 to 1.0 min, 5%–20% (B) from 1.0 to 2.0 min, 20%–30% (B) from 2.0 to 3.0 min, 30%–90% (B) from 3.0 to 4.0 min, maintained at 90% (B) from 4.0 to 5.0 min and back to initial condition from 5.0 to 5.5 min. The sample injection volume used was 2 µL.

ESI-MS (positive ion mode) was used for sample introduction and ionization process and low-energy collision dissociation tandem mass spectrometry (CID-MS/MS) was operated in the MRM mode. A Turboionspray® probe was vertically positioned 11 mm from the orifice and charged with 5500 V. Each selected analyte (10 ng/mL) was directly injected into the ESI source of QqQLIT-MS by continuous infusion to optimize compound-dependent MRM parameters such as declustering potential (DP), entrance potential (EP), collision energy (CE) and cell exit potential (CXP). Analytes tetrahydroberberine, tetrahydropalmatine, isocorydine and glaucine showed [M+H]⁺ ion while analytes berberine, palmatine, jatrorrhizine and magnoflorine showed [M]⁺ ion in Q1 MS scan. DP and EP were optimized to obtain the maximum sensitivity of $[M+H]^+$ and $[M]^+$ ions in Q1 multiple ion scan (Q1 MI). Identification of the fragment ions and selection of CE for each analyte were carried out in the product ion scan. All the recorded MS/MS spectra are shown in Fig. S1. Furthermore, CE and CXP were optimized to acquire the maximum sensitivity of precursor ion \rightarrow product ion transition (MRM pair) in the MRM scan. Table 1 shows the optimized parameters for all the analytes.

Source dependent parameters such as temperature (TEM), GS1, GS2 and curtain (CUR) gas were set at 550 °C, 50 psi, 50 psi and 20 psi, respectively, in the flow injection analysis (FIA) by operating UHPLC with QqQ_{LIT} -MS. The collision-activated dissociation (CAD)





Fig. 3. ESI-MSⁿ spectra of the [M+H]⁺ ion of tetrahydroberberine and tetrahydropalmatine.

gas was set as medium and the interface heater was on. High-purity nitrogen was used for all the processes. Quadrupole 1 and quadrupole 2 were maintained at unit resolution. AB Sciex Analyst software version 1.5.1 was used to control the LC–MS/MS system and for data acquisition and processing.

2.7. Validation of quantitative method

The proposed MRM method was validated for linearity, lower limits of detection (LODs), limits of quantification (LOQs), interday and intraday precisions, stability and recovery according to the International Conference on Harmonization (ICH, Q2R1) guidelines, 2005, using UHPLC-QqQ_{LIT}-MS [20].

This method was employed to analyze two MRM transitions in the sample matrix for each analyte but only one transition was monitored in quantitative analysis of samples due to the lack of sensitivity of the other observed product ions. The most prominent MRM transition was selected as a quantifier and the other as a qualifier (Table 1 and Figs. S1 and S2). All the peaks of the reference compounds in ML and MN roots were unambiguously identified by comparison of retention time, quantifier and qualifier transitions with MRM chromatogram of standards (Table 1). The linearity calibration curves were made from at least five experiments of each analyte and evaluated by the linear correlation coefficient (r) of the calibration curves. The LODs and LOQs were defined as a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) equal to 3.3 and 10, respectively. The intra- and inter-day precisions were determined by analyzing known concentrations of the eight analytes in the three replicates during a single day and by triplicating the experiments on three consecutive days. The stability of sample solution stored at room temperature was investigated by replicate injection of the sample solution at 0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14 and 24 h. Recovery test was carried out to investigate accuracy of this method by adding the mixed



Fig. 4. ESI-MSⁿ spectra of the [M]⁺ ion of magnoflorine.



Fig. 5. Total ion chromatogram (TIC) of (A) *M. leschenaultia (ML)* and (B) *M. napaulensis (MN)* roots.

standard solutions with three different spike levels (low, middle and high) into the sample.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Fragmentation analysis of reference standards using ESI-Orbitrap- MS^n

10 ng/mL (in MeOH) reference standards were injected into the ESI source by continuous infusion. (+)-ESI–MS was found to be adequate for measuring sensitivity to all isoquinoline alkaloids. As expected, the quaternary protoberberines (berberine, jatrorrhizine and palmatine) and one aporphine (magnoflorine) formed their respective

molecular ions $[M]^+$, whereas the tetrahydroprotoberberines (THB and THP) and other aporphines (isocorydine and glaucine) afforded the protonated molecules $[M+H]^+$ (Fig. 1). The precursor $[M]^+$ and $[M+H]^+$ ions were selected for HCD and CID fragmentation in FT mode to produce high resolution tandem mass (HRMS/MS) spectra. Furthermore, the MSⁿ spectra (*n*=2 to 8) were generated in IT mode to elucidate sequential fragmentation pathways (Table 2). The compounds were classified into three groups: quaternary protoberberines, tetrahydroprotoberberines and aporphines according to their chemical structures and fragmentation patterns.

3.1.1. Fragmentation of quaternary protoberberines

The [M]⁺ ions of berberine, jatrorrhizine and palmatine were observed at m/z 336.1230, 338.1387 and 352.1543, respectively in FT mode. When CID was performed in IT mode on the berberine, the $[M]^+$ ion produced the prominent product at m/z 321, which was formed by the loss of methyl radical (Fig. 2). This product ion was further subjected to MS^3 analysis which produced ions at m/z 320 ('1a') and 292 ('1b') corresponding to sequential losses of hydrogen radical and carbon monoxide (Scheme S1). Ion '1a' at m/z 320 was further subjected to MS^4 analysis which afforded the product ion at m/z 318 corresponding to loss of H₂ molecule. In the MS⁵ fragmentation analysis, m/z 318 afforded the product ions at m/z 290 and 262 corresponding to sequential loss of CO molecules which was also supported by MS^6 fragmentation of ion at m/z 290. Loss of CO and H₂ molecules was observed in the MS^7 fragmentation of ion at m/z 262 which showed the expulsion of all oxygen atoms attached as substituents in protoberberine moiety. Similarly, product ion '1b' at m/z 292 was subjected to MS^4 analysis which afforded the fragment ions at m/z277, 264, 262 and 234 corresponding to loss of CH₃ radical, CO molecule, 2CH₃ radical and 2CH₃+CO, respectively. When CID analyses in IT mode were performed on the jatrorrhizine and palmatine, they followed the similar type of fragmentation behavior as discussed for berberine (Figs. S3 and S4). Furthermore, the [M]⁺ ions were selected for HCD in FT mode to obtain HRMS/MS spectra. Their exact mass information of product ions acquired in FT mode gave additional support for MSⁿ data which was acquired in IT mode. On the basis of CID-MSⁿ and HCD-MS/MS fragmentation analysis, the following

Table 3	
Identification of isoquinoline alkaloids in the ethanolic extracts of M. leschenaultia (ML) and M. nap	paulensis (MN).

No.	RT (min)	Ion	HR–MS		Molecular formula	Assigned identity	Class	ML	MN	
			m/z (calc)	m/z (obs)	Error (Δppm)					
1	2.7	[M+H]+	330.1700	330.1701	0.30	C19H23NO4	Reticuline	BIQS	Y	Y
2	4.2	[M] ⁺	342.1705	342.1700	-1.46	C ₂₀ H ₂₄ NO ₄ ⁺	Magnoflorine [⋕]	Aporphine	Y	Y
3	4.5	$[M+H]^+$	328.1543	328.1540	-0.91	C ₁₉ H ₂₁ NO ₄	Isoboldine	Aporphine	Y	Y
4	4.9	[M] ⁺	324.1236	324.1239	0.93	C19H18NO4+	Demethyleneberberine	PBA	-	Y
5	5.0	$[M+H]^+$	354.1336	354.1326	-2.82	C20H19NO5	8-oxojatrorrhizine	PBA	Y	Y
6	5.0	$[M+H]^{+}$	342.1705	342.1701	-1.17	C ₂₀ H ₂₃ NO ₄	Isocorydine	Aporphine	Y	Y
7	5.2	[M] ⁺	314.1751	314.1749	-0.64	C19H24NO3+	Oblongine	BIQS	-	Y
8	5.7	[M+H] ⁺	356.1856	356.1850	-1.68	C ₂₁ H ₂₅ NO ₄	Glaucine	Aporphine	Y	Y
9	5.8	[M] ⁺	338.1387	338.1381	-1.77	$C_{20}H_{20}NO_4^+$	Jatrorrhizine [#]	PBA	Y	Y
10	6.2	[M] ⁺	352.1543	352.1542	-0.28	$C_{21}H_{22}NO_4^+$	Palmatine	PBA	Y	Y
11	6.4	[M] ⁺	336.1230	336.1231	0.30	$C_{20}H_{18}NO_4^+$	Berberine [#]	PBA	Y	Y
12	6.6	[M] ⁺	322.1074	322.1077	0.93	$C_{19}H_{16}NO_4^+$	Thalifendine	PBA	Y	Y
13	7.0	[M+H] ⁺	356.1856	356.1851	-1.40	$C_{21}H_{25}NO_4$	Tetrahydropalmatine [‡]	PBA	Y	Y
14	7.2	[M] ⁺	322.1074	322.1081	2.17	$C_{19}H_{16}NO_4^+$	Berberrubine	PBA	-	Y
15	7.7	$[M+H]^{+}$	340.1543	340.1540	-0.88	$C_{20}H_{21}NO_4$	Tetrahydroberberine [#]	PBA	Y	Y
16	11.5	[M+H] ⁺	352.1179	352.1181	0.57	C ₂₀ H ₁₇ NO ₅	8-oxoberberine	PBA	Y	Y

 $\frac{4}{7}$ Compounds matched with the authentic standards; Y: Presence; -: Absence.

characteristic features for quaternary protoberberines were observed: (i) the presence of dehydrogenated fragment ions, (ii) the successive dissociations of the substituents and (iii) the absence of fragment ions below m/z 200.

3.1.2. Fragmentation of tetrahydroprotoberberines

The $[M+H]^+$ ions of tetrahydroberberine (THB) and tetrahydropalmatine (THP) were observed at m/z 340.1542 and 356.1855, respectively in FT mode. When CID was performed on these two protonated molecules (NCE 30%) with the orbitrap in IT mode, we observed the two prominent products at m/z 176 and 192, which were formed as a result from the retro Diels Alder cleavage for THB and THP, respectively. Similarly, the product ions at m/z 149 and 165 were produced by B ring cleavages of THB and THP, respectively. They were further subjected to MS³ analysis which produced ions corresponding to loss of CH₃ radical, NH₃, H₂ and CH₂O, as shown in Fig. 3. This identification was also supported by HRMS and HRMS/MS data observed in HCD analysis in FT mode.

3.1.3. Fragmentation of aporphines

In FT mode, magnoflorine showed the $[M]^+$ molecular ion at m/z342.1704; however, isocorydine and glaucine afforded the protonated molecules $[M+H]^+$ at m/z 342.1705 and 356.1856, respectively. In the CID analyses, aporphine alkaloids afforded product ions which were created by the loss of CH₃NH₂ and/or (CH₃)₂NH depending on the Nsubstitution on nitrogen and the B ring cleavage. When CID was performed on the magnoflorine (NCE 30%) in IT mode, it produced the prominent product at m/z 297 by loss of dimethylamine molecule $[(CH_3)_2NH]$ (Fig. 4). This product ion was further subjected to MS³ analysis which produced ions at m/z 282 and 265 corresponding to loss of methyl radical and methanol, respectively (Scheme S2). In the MS⁴ and MS^5 analysis, ion at m/z 265 afforded the fragment ion at m/z 237 and 209, respectively, corresponding to sequential loss of CO molecule. Similarly, MS^4 analysis of ion at m/z 282 afforded the fragment ion at m/z 267 by loss of methyl radical. When ion at m/z 267 was subjected to MS^5 analysis, it showed the ion at m/z 249 and 239 corresponding to loss of water and CO molecules. In the MS^6 and MS^7 analysis, ion at m/z 239 showed the fragment ion at m/z 221 and 193, respectively, corresponding to sequential loss of water and CO molecules. Glaucine and isocorydine followed similar fragmentation pattern with the

magnoflorine (Figs. S5 and S6). HRMS and HRMS/MS data observed in HCD analysis in FT mode are given in Fig. S7.

3.2. Isoquinolines analysis of ML and MN roots using UHPLC-Orbitrap- MS^n

The total ion chromatograms (TICs) of the *ML* and *MN* roots extract are presented in Fig. 5. The exact masses of targeted $[M]^+$ or $[M + H]^+$ ions of all possible isoquinoline alkaloids were extracted from their TICs using a mass tolerance window of ±5 ppm and the respective peak retention times (RT) are reported in Table 3. The mass spectra derived from these extracted ion chromatograms (EICs) showed intense $[M]^+$ and $[M+H]^+$ ions with the mass error < 2.9 ppm. These ions were further subjected to MSⁿ analysis at varied collision energies under CID and HCD type fragmentation (Table 4). Identified alkaloids showed distinguishable fragment ions with high mass accuracy.

Sixteen compounds have been tentatively identified based on their mass spectrometric features in which eight peaks (2, 6, 8-11, 13 and 15) were confirmed as magnoflorine, isocorydine, glaucine, jatrorrhizine, palmatine, berberine, tetrahydropalmatine and tetrahydroberberine, respectively, with the co-chromatography of reference standards. Peaks 1 and 7 showed the $[M+H]^+$ and $[M]^+$ ions at m/z 330.1701 and 314.1749, respectively. They produced the characteristic fragments of benzylisoquinoline alkaloids: (i) loss of the nitrogen as CH₃NH₂ or (CH₃)₂NH depending on the substitution on N followed by the removal of MeOH and (ii) isoquinoline and resonance-stabilized benzyl fragments by benzylic cleavage (cleavage beta to nitrogen) which provided information about the substitution on the A and C rings [5]. In IT mode, peak 1 produced the product ion at m/z 299 by loss of CH₃NH₂, m/z 192 and 137 corresponding to the isoquinoline and the benzylic cleavage fragment. Further, product ion at m/z 299 produced ions at m/z 267 and 175 by loss of methanol and benzene moiety, respectively, in the MS³ analysis. Ion at m/z 175 yielded fragments at m/z 145 and 115 by sequential loss of methanol and CO, respectively in MS⁴⁻⁵ analysis which indicated presence of methoxy and hydroxy substituent in isoquinoline moiety. Hence, peak 1 was tentatively identified as reticuline (Fig. 1). Likewise, MS² spectrum of peak 7 afforded a characteristic fragment at m/z 58 $[C_3H_8N]^+$ due to $[(CH_3)_2N=CH_2]^+$ ion which showed the presence of two methyl groups on the nitrogen corresponding to the RDA fragment. It showed loss of (CH₃)₂NH $(m/z \ 269)$, the isoquinoline fragment at $m/z \ 192$ and the benzylic

Table 4

HCD-MS/MS and CID-MSⁿ (n=2-8) data obtained in FT and IT modes for isoquinoline alkaloids present in ethanolic extracts of M. leschenaultia (ML) and M. napaulensis (MN).

No.	Assigned identity	HCD-MS/MS data in FT-MS mode	CID–MS ⁿ data in IT–MS mode
1	Reticuline	299.1270 (7), 267.1012 (8),192.1020 (100), 175.0753 (20),151.0754 (9), 143.0490 (21),137.0571 (39), 115.0540 (4)	$\begin{array}{l} MS^2 \ [330]: \ 299, \ 192, \ 137 \\ MS^3 \ [330 {\rightarrow} 299]: \ 267, \ 175 \\ MS^4 \ [330 {\rightarrow} 299 {\rightarrow} 175]: \ 143, \ 115 \\ MS^5 \ [330 {\rightarrow} 299 {\rightarrow} 175 {\rightarrow} 143]: \ 115 \end{array}$
3	Isoboldine	297.1090 (60), 282.0877 (40),265.0840 (100), 253.0829 (9),237.0890 (38), 219.0966 (13),191.0838 (15)	
4	Demethyleneberberine	309.0999 (50), 308.0891 (100),306.0715 (8), 294.0739 (32),292.0970 (25), 280.0950 (71),266.0785 (23)	$MS^{2} [324]: 309$ $MS^{3} [324 \rightarrow 309]: 308, 294$ $MS^{4} [324 \rightarrow 309 \rightarrow 308]: 280$ $MS^{4} [324 \rightarrow 309 \rightarrow 294]: 292, 266$
5	8-oxojatrorrhizine	339.1040 (15), 338.1015 (100),324.0867 (19), 322.0950 (11),310.1093 (12), 296.0893 (20),280.1080 (10)	MS ² [354]: 339 MS ³ [354→339]: 338, 324 MS ⁴ [354→339→338]: 310 MS ⁴ [354→339→324]: 322, 296
7	Oblongine	269.1177 (15), 237.0916 (10),209.0963 (7), 192.1015 (10),175.0766 (10), 145.0623 (15),143.0496 (20), 121.0654 (11),115.0545 (11), 107.0490 (100),58.0663 (55)	MS^{2} [314]: 269, 192, 107, 58 MS^{3} [314 \rightarrow 269]: 237, 175 MS^{4} [314 \rightarrow 269 \rightarrow 175]: 143, 115 MS^{5} [314 \rightarrow 269 \rightarrow 175 \rightarrow 143]: 115
12	Thalifendine	307.0840 (100), 306.0742 (5),279.0870 (25), 278.0840 (15),250.0841 (5)	$MS^{2} [322]: 307$ $MS^{3} [322 \rightarrow 307]: 306, 279$ $MS^{4} [322 \rightarrow 307 \rightarrow 306]: 278$
14	Berberrubine	307.0838 (100), 306.0760 (3),279.0872 (20), 278.0771 (10),250.0767 (15)	MS^2 [322]: 307 MS^3 [322 \rightarrow 307]: 306, 279 MS^4 [322 \rightarrow 307 \rightarrow 306]: 278
16	8-oxoberberine	337.0925 (44), 336.1041 (30),322.0717 (100), 319.0815 (6),308.0933 (8), 294.0752 (29),279.0554 (5)	$\begin{array}{l} MS^2 \ [352]: \ 337 \\ MS^3 \ [352 {\rightarrow} 337]: \ 336, \ 322 \\ MS^4 \ [352 {\rightarrow} 337 {\rightarrow} 336]: \ 308 \\ MS^4 \ [352 {\rightarrow} 337 {\rightarrow} 322]: \ 320, \ 294 \end{array}$

cleavage fragment at m/z 107. In MS³ analysis, ion at m/z 269 produced fragments at m/z 237 and 175 by loss of methanol and benzene moiety, respectively. Ion at m/z 175 afforded similar fragments as appeared in the case of peak 1. Hence, peak 7 was tentatively identified as oblongine. In addition, HCD–MS/MS in FT mode provided the HRMS/MS spectrum which confirmed the identifications.

Peak 3 afforded the protonated $[M+H]^+$ ion at m/z 328.1540. It showed initial loss of methylamine (m/z 297) in MS² followed by loss of methanol (m/z 265) or methyl radical (m/z 282) in MS³ analysis. In MS⁴ analysis, ion at m/z 265 produced fragment ions at m/z 237 and 233 by loss of CO and methanol, respectively, which indicated presence of two vicinal methoxy and –OH group. Loss of methanol was also observed in MS⁴ analysis of ion at m/z 282 and MS⁵ analysis of ion at m/z 237 (Table 4). Therefore, peak 3 was tentatively identified as isoboldine.

Peaks 4, 12 and 14 afforded the molecular ions $[M]^+$ at m/z 324, 322, and 322, respectively. They showed fragmentation pattern of quaternary protoberberine alkaloids and tentatively identified as demethyleneberberine, thalifendine and berberrubine, respectively. Peaks 12 and 14 afforded similar fragmentation pattern, hence identified as an isomeric pair (different positions of methoxy and hydroxy group at C-9 and C-10). They showed initial loss of methyl radical in MS² analysis (4=m/z 309; 12, 14=m/z 307), followed by sequential loss of hydrogen radical and CO molecule in MS³⁻⁴ analysis. Peaks 5 and 16 showed strong $[M+H]^+$ ion at m/z 354.1327 and 352.1180, respectively, in FT mode. They afforded sequential loss of two CH₃ followed by CO and another parallel pathway showed sequential loss of CH₃ followed by hydrogen radical and CO in MS²⁻⁴ spectra as shown in Table 4. Furthermore, they showed 16 u higher mass to those of jatrorrhizine (9) and berberine (11), respectively.

Hence, they were tentatively assigned as 8-oxojatrorrhizine and 8-oxoberberine, respectively. All the 16 compounds were detected in root part of MN while compound 4, 7 and 14 were not detected in ML root.

3.3. Quantitative analysis of isoquinolines in ML and MN roots using $UHPLC-QqQ_{LIT}-MS/MS$

3.3.1. Linearity, precision and recovery results of the validated method

The calibration curve showed good linearity with correlation coefficient (*r*) of ≥ 0.9995 over the tested concentration range. The LODs and LOQs were in the range of 0.08–0.48 ng/mL and 0.24–1.46 ng/mL, respectively. Relative standard deviation (RSD) values for precision were in the range of 0.55%–2.07% for intraday assays and 0.87%–2.05% for interday assays. The RSD values for stability were found in the range of 1.01%–3.14% and recoveries of the analytes were 98.50%–103.60% (RSD 1.10%–2.20%), evaluated by calculating the ratio of amount detected versus the amount added (Table 5).

3.3.2. Method application

The established UHPLC–ESI–MS/MS analytical approach was subsequently applied to determine contents of eight bioactive compounds, namely magnoflorine, isocorydine, glaucine, jatrorrhizine, tetrahydropalmatine, tetrahydroberberine, palmatine and berberine in the ethanolic extracts of *ML* and *MN* roots. The quantitative results are summarized in Table 6, which shows remarkable differences of their contents among the *ML* and *MN* roots. For example, the total contents of eight bioactive constituents were abundant in *MN* root (155747.60 µg/g). The eight components differed greatly in their contents and quaternary protoberberine alkaloids are the major

Table 5

Linearity, LOD, LOQ, precisions, stability and recovery results of investigated components.

No.	Linearity			LOD (ng/	LOQ (ng/	Precision(RSD, %)		Stability (RSD, %)	Recovery	
	Regression equation	r	Linear range (ng/ mL)	IIIL)	IIIL)	Intraday (n=3)	Interday (<i>n</i> =9)	(11=5)	Mean (<i>n</i> =3)	RSD (%)
1	y=613x-41.7	0.9999	1.0-250	0.22	0.67	1.39	1.25	2.54	102.49	2.20
2	y=6060x-76.0	0.9995	1.0 - 1000	0.26	0.79	1.46	1.55	1.88	101.60	1.92
3	y=3690x-49.8	0.9995	1.0-1000	0.19	0.58	0.55	1.74	1.01	98.50	1.50
4	y=1100x-7.7	0.9999	0.5 - 1000	0.12	0.36	1.50	0.87	2.02	99.11	1.12
5	y=11800x-48.0	0.9999	1.0 - 200	0.18	0.55	2.07	1.67	2.17	102.0	1.40
6	y=5200x+110.0	0.9999	1.5 - 200	0.48	1.46	1.18	2.05	1.39	98.70	1.10
7	y=918x+1.9	0.9996	0.5-50	0.08	0.24	1.20	0.99	3.14	100.30	1.74
8	y=130x-9.7	0.9998	0.5 - 100	0.14	0.42	1.61	1.90	1.52	103.60	1.10

y: peak area; x: concentration of compound (ng/mL); LOD: limit of detection, S/N =3.3; LOQ: limit of quantification, S/N =10.

Table 6

Contents of eight analytes in the ethanolic extracts of the root of *M. leschenaultia (ML)* and *M. napaulensis (MN)*.

Analytes	$ML(\mu g/g)^{a}$	$MN(\mu g/g)^{a}$
Magnoflorine Isocorydine Glaucine Jatrorrhizine Tetrahydropalmatine Tetrahydroberberine	3552.50 ± 0.03 235.65 ± 0.05 40.79 ± 0.05 26637.50 ± 0.01 92.44 ± 0.10 32.10 ± 0.10	7107.75 \pm 0.06 232.88 \pm 0.06 45.95 \pm 0.03 47765.00 \pm 0.02 88.76 \pm 0.06 49.80 \pm 0.03
Palmatine Berberine Total ^b	$\begin{array}{c} 29127.50 {\pm} 0.01 \\ 62212.50 {\pm} 0.01 \\ 121930.68 \end{array}$	13877.50 ± 0.02 86580.00 ± 0.01 155747.60

^a Content=mean \pm SD (n=3);

^b Total: The total contents of eight compounds in ML and MN extracts.

constituents. Among them, berberine showed the highest amount $(ML=62212.50 \ \mu\text{g/g}$ and $MN=86580.00 \ \mu\text{g/g})$, followed by palmatine $(ML=29127.50 \ \mu\text{g/g})$ and $MN=13877.50 \ \mu\text{g/g})$ and jatrorrhizine $(ML=26637.50 \ \mu\text{g/g})$ and $MN=47765.00 \ \mu\text{g/g})$, which were disclosed as the important and main active constituents of the genus Mahonia [3,4].

4. Conclusion

The present study includes the qualitative analysis of isoquinoline alkaloids from ethanolic extract of the ML and MN roots using UHPLC-Orbitrap Velos Pro-MS in positive ion mode. Sixteen alkaloids have been identified and characterized in Mahonia species for the first time. A hierarchical key of CID-MSⁿ data is also proposed for the assignment of alkaloids using IT-MS mode. Results showed that the quaternary protoberberines and aporphines expelled all the substituents from their basic skeleton and also ring constricted by removal of carbon atom in the form of CO wherein the substituent is attached. Further, FT-MS mode was operated to get HRMS data for the identification of precursor as well as product ions under the HCD analysis. An UHPLC-QqQLIT-MS/MS method was also developed for quantification of eight bioactive compounds in root part of ML and MN plants under MRM mode. Quantitation of magnoflorine, isocorydine, glaucine, tetrahydropalmatine, tetrahydroberberine, jatrorrhizine, palmatine and berberine was successfully completed and quaternary protoberberines were found to be the prominent compounds in the selected Mahonia species.

Acknowledgments

A grateful acknowledgement is made to the SAIF-CDRI, Lucknow, India, where all the mass spectral studies were carried out. Awantika Singh is thankful to UGC, New Delhi, for a fellowship.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.jpha.2016.10.002.

References

- S. Karuppusamy, G. Muthuraja, K.M. Rajasekaran, Antioxidant activity of selected lesser known edible fruit from Western Ghats of India, Indian J. Nat. Prod. Resour. 2 (2011) 174–178.
- [2] H. Singh, T. Husain, P. Agnihotri, et al., An ethnobotanical study of medicinal plants used in sacred groves of Kumaon Himalaya, Uttarakhand, India, J. Ethnopharmacol. 154 (2014) 98–108.
- [3] D. Kostalova, B. Brazdovicova, J. Tomko, Isolation of quaternary alkaloids from Mahonia aquifolium (PURSH) Nutt. l, Chem. Pap. 35 (1981) 279–283.
- [4] T.J. Hsieh, Y.C. Chia, Y.C. Wu, et al., Chemical constituents from the stems of Mahonia japonica, J. Chin. Chem. Soc. 51 (2004) 443–446.
- [5] A. Singh, V. Bajpai, M. Srivastava, et al., Rapid profiling and structural characterization of bioactive compounds and their distribution in different parts of *Berberis petiolaris* Wall. ex G. Don applying hyphenated mass spectrometric techniques, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 28 (2014) 2089–2100.
- [6] S. Srivastava, A.K.S. Rawat, Quantification of Berberine in different *Berberis* species and their commercial samples from herbal drug markets of India through HPTLC, J. Adv. Chem. 8 (2014) 1700–1706.
- [7] A. Singh, S. Kumar, T.J. Reddy, et al., Screening of tricyclic quinazoline alkaloids in the alkaloidal fraction of *Adhatoda beddomei* and *Adhatoda vasica* leaves by highperformance liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization quadrupole time-offlight tandem mass spectrometry, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 29 (2015) 485–496.
- [8] D. Bajpai, P.S. Vankar, Antifungal textile dyeing with *Mahonia napaulensis* D.C. leaves extract based on its antifungal activity, Fiber Polym. 8 (2007) 487–494.
- [9] L. Slobodnikova, D. Kostalova, D. Labudova, et al., Antimicrobial activity of Mahonia aquifolium crude extract and its major isolated alkaloids, Phytother. Res. 18 (2004) 674–676.
- [10] P. Vijayan, C. Raghu, G. Ashok, et al., Antiviral activity of medicinal plants of Nilgiris, Indian J. Med. Res. 120 (2004) 24–29.
- [11] R.K. Radha, A.M. Varghese, S. Seeni, Conservation through in vitro propagation and restoration of *Mahonia leschenaultia*, an endemic tree of the Western Ghats, Sci. Asia 39 (2013) 219–229.
- [12] B. Duraiswamy, S.K. Mishra, V. Subhashini, et al., Studies on the antimicrobial potential of *Mahonia leschenaultia* Takeda root and root bark, Indian J. Pharm. Sci. 68 (2006) 389–391.
- [13] T. Kocher, R. Swart, K. Mechtler, Ultra-high-pressure RPLC hyphenated to an LTQ-Orbitrap Velos reveals a linear relation between peak capacity and number of identified peptides, Anal. Chem. 83 (2011) 2699–2704.
- [14] K. Mann, M. Mann, In-depth analysis of the chicken egg white proteome using an LTQ Orbitrap Velos, Proteome Sci. 9 (2011) 1–6.

- [15] A. Kalli, G.T. Smith, M.J. Sweredoski, et al., Evaluation and optimization of mass spectrometric settings during data-dependent acquisition mode: focus on LTQ Orbitrap mass analyzers, J. Proteome Res. 12 (2013) 3071–3086.
- [16] S. Kumar, A. Singh, V. Bajpai, et al., Identification, characterization and distribution of monoterpene indole alkaloids in Rauwolfia species by Orbitrap Velos Pro mass spectrometer, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 118 (2016) 183–194.
- [17] T.Y. Samgina, M.D. Tolpina, P. Trebse, et al., LTQ Orbitrap Velos in routine de novo sequencing of non-tryptic skin peptides from the frog Rana latastei with traditional and reliable manual spectra interpretation, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 30 (2016) 265–276.
- [18] D. Bode, L. Yu, P. Tate, et al., Characterization of two distinct nucleosome remodeling and Deacetylase (NuRD) complex assemblies in embryonic stem cells, Mol. Cell Proteom. 15 (2016) 878–891.
- [19] V. Bajpai, A. Singh, P. Chandra, et al., Analysis of phytochemical variations in dioecious *Tinospora cordifolia* stems using HPLC/QTOF MS/MS and UPLC/ QqQ_{LIT}-MS/MS, Phytochem. Anal. 27 (2016) 92–99.
- [20] International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) Guidelines, Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology Q2 (R1). International Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers and Associations: Geneva. (http://www.ich.org/ products/guidelines/quality/quality/single/article/validation-of-analytical procedures-textand-methodology.html) (accessed in February 2014), 2005.