# iScience



## Article

Machine Learning Analysis of the Bleomycin Mouse Model Reveals the Compartmental and Temporal Inflammatory Pulmonary Fingerprint



### Natalie Bordag, Valentina Biasin, Diana Schnoegl, ..., Malgorzata Wygrecka, Grazyna Kwapiszewska, Leigh M. Marsh

leigh.marsh@lvr.lbg.ac.at

#### HIGHLIGHTS

The inflammatory cell landscape continually evolves after bleomycin exposure

Data modeling provides the most complete description of immune cell-trajectories

Chronic inflammation persists in the late-stage bleomycin-treated mice

Distinct inflammatory cells changes are observed in BALF and lung tissue

Bordag et al., iScience 23, 101819 December 18, 2020 © 2020 The Author(s). https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.isci.2020.101819

## **iScience**

## Article

## Machine Learning Analysis of the Bleomycin Mouse Model Reveals the Compartmental and Temporal Inflammatory Pulmonary Fingerprint

Natalie Bordag,<sup>1</sup> Valentina Biasin,<sup>1,2</sup> Diana Schnoegl,<sup>1</sup> Francesco Valzano,<sup>1</sup> Katharina Jandl,<sup>1,3</sup> Bence M. Nagy,<sup>1</sup> Neha Sharma,<sup>1,4</sup> Malgorzata Wygrecka,<sup>5</sup> Grazyna Kwapiszewska,<sup>1,3</sup> and Leigh M. Marsh<sup>1,6,\*</sup>

#### SUMMARY

The bleomycin mouse model is the extensively used model to study pulmonary fibrosis; however, the inflammatory cell kinetics and their compartmentalization is still incompletely understood. Here we assembled historical flow cytometry data, totaling 303 samples and 16 inflammatory-cell populations, and applied advanced data modeling and machine learning methods to conclusively detail these kinetics.

Three days post-bleomycin, the inflammatory profile was typified by acute innate inflammation, pronounced neutrophilia, especially of SiglecF<sup>+</sup> neutrophils, and alveolar macrophage loss. Between 14 and 21 days, rapid responders were increasingly replaced by T and B cells and monocyte-derived alveolar macrophages. Multicolour imaging revealed the spatial-temporal cell distribution and the close association of T cells with deposited collagen.

Unbiased immunophenotyping and data modeling exposed the dynamic shifts in immune-cell composition over the course of bleomycin-triggered lung injury. These results and workflow provide a reference point for future investigations and can easily be applied in the analysis of other datasets.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Animal models of human disease are an invaluable tool to decipher disease-relevant pathomechanisms, discover therapeutic targets, and drive translation into clinical practice. To date, the mouse bleomycininduced lung injury model is the most frequently used animal model to investigate pulmonary fibrosis (Moore et al., 2013; Della Latta et al., 2015; Tashiro et al., 2017; Biasin et al., 2020). Similar to the human situation, in mice bleomycin exposure is characterized by epithelial damage, inflammatory cell infiltration, and expansion of fibroblasts and myofibroblasts as well as ECM deposition (Biasin et al., 2017; Tashiro et al., 2017; Xie et al., 2018). Although, the bleomycin model does not completely recapitulate human idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF), it still remains the most common and important animal model to study this disease.

IPF is a severe, rapidly progressing interstitial lung disease with high mortality rates and short median survival of 1.5–4 years (Wuyts et al., 2013; Marshall et al., 2018). IPF is characterized by extensive lung tissue scarring, limited inflammation, and extracellular matrix remodeling (Meltzer and Noble, 2008). Current treatment options slow the loss of lung function but are unable to halt or reverse disease progression (Maher and Strek, 2019). Accordingly, there is an urgent unmet clinical need for novel therapies for IPF patients. To date, the etiology and pathogenesis of IPF is still insufficiently understood; however, the role of inflammation remains undeniable yet controversial. The older concept that IPF is an inflammatory-driven process has been gradually replaced by the theory of recurrent injury and aberrant repair (Selman et al., 2001; Selman and Pardo, 2002; Wuyts et al., 2013). However, multiple inflammatory cells have been implicated in disease pathogenesis, including macrophages (Misharin et al., 2017; Reyfman et al., 2019) and T cells (Todd et al., 2013), which are connected with poorer prognosis (Balestro et al., 2016).

In the bleomycin model, the early phase post bleomycin administration is characterized by acute lung injury and inflammation, which is observed to last between 1 and 7 days (Peng et al., 2013)<sup>-</sup> This

<sup>1</sup>Ludwig Boltzmann Institute for Lung Vascular Research, Graz 8010, Austria

<sup>2</sup>Division of Endocrinology and Diabetology, Department of Internal Medicine, Medical University of Graz, Graz 8010, Austria

<sup>3</sup>Otto Loewi Research Center, Medical University of Graz, Graz 8010 Austria

<sup>4</sup>Division of Pulmonology, Department of Internal Medicine, Medical University of Graz, Graz 8010, Austria

<sup>5</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Universities of Giessen and Marburg Lung Center, Giessen 35392, Germany. Member of German Center for Lung Research

<sup>6</sup>Lead Contact

\*Correspondence: leigh.marsh@lvr.lbg.ac.at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci. 2020.101819

1





inflammatory phase is followed by active fibrosis, between 7 and 14 days, and late fibrosis, between 21 and 28 days (Izbicki et al., 2002; Peng et al., 2013; Della Latta et al., 2015; Tashiro et al., 2017). As most studies have only analyzed specific cell populations or time points, a comprehensive description of the inflammatory cell kinetics is still missing. For the detection and quantification of inflammatory cells, flow cytometry (FCM) is the method of choice. FCM is able to differentiate and quantify immune cell populations in unprecedented detail, not only from the circulation but also from disease relevant tissue (Misharin et al., 2017; Marsh et al., 2018; Tighe et al., 2019). In contrast to traditional immunofluorescent staining, which generally use 1–3 markers for cell identification, FCM applies multiple markers to simultaneously quantify numerous cell populations at a single cell resolution. Thus, FCM generates large quantities of complex data, where the analysis, visualization, and interpretation of which requires so-phisticated analysis techniques, such as computational flow cytometry (Saeys et al., 2016; Marsh et al., 2018).

In order to conclusively detail the inflammatory cell kinetics in the bleomycin model, we here assembled historical FCM data from 15 different experiments and applied advanced data modeling, including univariate [Box 1], multivariate [Box 1], and machine learning [Box 2] methods. We show how the combination of advanced data modeling and in-depth immune profiling can detail the dramatic changes in the inflammatory landscape in this model and also serves as a reference point for future studies.

#### RESULTS

## Pre-Processing of Flow Cytometric Data Substantially Improves Statistical Analysis Performance

Intra-tracheal administration of bleomycin in mice results in a time-dependent development of fibrosis (Figures 1A and 1B). To comprehensively describe the inflammatory cell kinetics following bleomycin treatment, we assembled and conjointly analyzed historical FCM data from 15 independent experiments, which resulted in 159 bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) and 144 lung tissue samples (Table S1). Using standard gating strategies (Misharin et al., 2013; Biasin et al., 2017; Nagaraj et al., 2017; Gungl et al., 2018), a total of 16 cell populations covering the main myeloid and lymphoid cell types (Table 1) were identified (Figure 1C). The aggregation of historical experiments inherently led to an unbalanced [Box 1] experimental design (Table S1), which was handled by robust statistical methods [Box 1].

In both tissues the distribution of all 16 analyzed cell populations was significantly non-normal with a positive skew [Box 1] (Figures 2A and S1 and Supplementary Data 1). To improve distribution, we trialed several common transformations: square root, reciprocal, Freeman Tukey, logit, LOG, LOG<sub>x+1</sub>, and 4RT. Only LOG, LOG<sub>x+1</sub> and 4RT improved data distribution ( $p_{BH}$ >0.05, Supplementary Data 1). As both LOG and LOG<sub>x+1</sub> gave virtually equivalent results, but as LOG<sub>x+1</sub> has additionally the advantage of not introducing missing values for zero value counts, consequent analysis was performed with only LOG<sub>x+1</sub> and 4RT (Figures 2A and 2B).

#### **Bleomycin Drives Strong Changes in the Inflammatory Profile**

To identify global changes in the inflammatory cell profile, we first applied unsupervised [Box 2] principal component analysis (PCA [Box 2]). This method reduces dimensionality by creating new variables, which successively maximizes variance and thereby aids data interpretability. Without data transformation, the scores plot was dominated by single sample differences, which obscured any experimental effects (Figure 2C, left panel). After transformation, pronounced differences in the inflammatory profile were revealed (Figure 2C). Both  $LOG_{x+1}$  and 4RT substantially improved the performance of the hierarchical clustering, yielding clearer clustering and heatmap results (Figure 2D). The highest influence on the inflammatory landscape came from the tissue compartment (BALF or lung), causing samples to separate along the first principal component (PC1). The second highest difference was caused by bleomycin, separating samples in the BALF along the second principal component (PC2; Figure 2C, middle and right panels). Similarly, hierarchical clustering was first driven by the tissue compartment, followed by some weaker subclustering due to bleomycin treatment. The majority of cell populations increased after bleomycin exposure, whereas alveolar macrophages (AM) decreased (Figure 2D). We next utilized macroPCA, a robust PCA method able to handle and identify all possible types of data contaminations [Box 1], including strong single value or sample outliers [Box





#### Box 1. Glossary of Analysis Terms

Robustness	<ul> <li>A measure for how easily outlier values distort results:</li> <li>Average: not robust, a single strong outlier deforms results severely</li> <li>Median: very robust, good results even with almost half of all values being strong outliers</li> </ul>
Unbalanced	Describes unequal group sizes or missing values, methods assuming balanced groups will have misleading results
Positive skew	Asymmetric distribution of data with more small than large values, common in flow cytometry and many other biological measures
Data pre-processing	<ul> <li>Pre-processing aims to normalize data distribution (i.e. make a bell-shape) by changing all values according to one or several defined mathematical equations <ul> <li>All types of pre-processing can be combined with each other</li> </ul> </li> <li>Centering and scaling <ul> <li>Cell count differences are not per se reflective of their biological importance; thus centering and scaling reduces the stark differences of cell numbers between the cell populations to allow comparisons of different cell populations. Are vital for multivariate statistical methods, otherwise results will be dominated by cells with highest counts or highest noise <ul> <li>Centering: subtraction of a constant from every value, e.g. the mean</li> <li>Scaling: normalize the range of measured values by dividing with a constant e.g. the standard deviation</li> <li>Can be combined, e.g. centering by mean, scaling by standard deviation is z-scaling</li> </ul> </li> <li>Transformation <ul> <li>Convert each measured value by a specific, often nonlinear, but defined mathematical function (e.g. log<sub>10</sub>(x)) to improve distribution</li> <li>Normal distribution is often a prerequisite for specific statistical methods or allows use of more powerful statistical methods (Keene, 1995; van den Berg et al., 2006)</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>
Data contaminations	Denotes all kinds of problematic values in the data, such as sample outliers, single value outliers, or missing values
Outlier	A value so different from the rest that it could be for example an analytical error
Univariate or multivariate	<ul> <li>Univariate methods investigate each measured data on its own (e.g. analyzing only CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells irrespective of the 15 other cell populations), whereas multivariate methods analyze multiple/all measured data at once (e.g. all 16 cell populations)</li> <li>Univariate methods can dissect in great detail several biological factors (e.g. treatment, substrain) and their interaction, but cannot directly compare different measured data with each other (e.g. is inflammation on a given driven more by T or B cells?)</li> <li>Multivariate methods allow a holistic comparison of various biological factors and their main drivers (e.g. inflammation at day 3 is strongly driven by PMN and less by CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in BALF Figure 6C) but are limited dissecting several biological factors or their interaction</li> </ul>

1] (Hubert et al., 2019). MacroPCA results were in good agreement with PCA (Figure S2A), which confirmed that this dataset is free of severe outliers, allowing the use of a wide variety of statistical methods (Rousseeuw and Hubert, 2018).

As the strong compartment effect could mask weaker drivers that alter the inflammatory landscape, we analyzed BALF and lung samples separately (Figure 3). In the BALF, bleomycin exposure completely





#### Box 2. Glossary of Multivariate Methods

Unsupervised or supervised (multivariate)	<ul> <li>Unsupervised methods analyze measured data unbiased without any knowledge of biological factors (e.g. treatment, substrain), whereas supervised methods specifically use biological knowledge</li> <li>Unsupervised methods best detect strongest biological factors, general trends, outliers, and compar impact strength of various biological factors</li> <li>Supervised methods can determine significance of biological factors and unsupervised methods detect general trends; however, the prior knowledge of biological factors necessitates testing and confirmation strategies to avoid overfitting or spurious findings</li> </ul>
PCA	<ul> <li>Unsupervised method projecting the measured data into new principal components with decreasing variability per component (PC 1 to PC n)</li> <li>PC1 represents as much variability, i.e. information content (given as percentages), as possible, normally shown in the scores plot on the x axis</li> <li>PC2 represents from the remaining variability as much as possible (i.e. PC2 smaller than PC1), normally shown on the y axis</li> <li>Scores plot</li> <li>Each dot represents the whole measured data (i.e. all 16 cell populations) of one sample with closer dots being more similar samples and distant dots increasingly dissimilar</li> <li>Factors separating groups along PC1 (x axis ) are stronger, i.e. data are more dissimilar than separation in PC2 (y axis)</li> </ul>
UMAP	<ul> <li>Unsupervised method projecting the measured data (each marker for each cell) into new UMAP components but optimizing to represent high-dimensional data structure as similar as possible in the low dimensions</li> <li>Interpretation of UMAP plots is similar to PCA plots; closer dots represent samples that are more, t, the more separated the more dissimilar. UMAP can handle very large data</li> </ul>
Machine learning	<ul> <li>Algorithms that automatically learn from existing measured data (training data) with the aim to predict unknown data without specific human input</li> <li>Instead of defining a predefined diagnostic cut-off (e.g. AM in BALF below 0.5 · 10<sup>5</sup> cells are bleomycin treated) a classification model is trained on measured data (e.g. 16 cell counts from BALF of saline and bleomycin treated mice) to predict new, unknown samples (e.g. to verify that the bleomycin challenge worked)</li> <li>Underlying algorithms can be too complex for human comprehension, even if single elements are simple (e.g. decision tree) due to sheer number of coupled elements (e.g. here 5,000 trees in one random forest model)</li> </ul>
OPLS-DA	<ul> <li>Supervised machine learning method separating predictive variability into the T score (x axis) from non-predictive into the orthogonal T score (y axis) yielding a score plot similar to PCA</li> <li>i.e. the T score contains all variability attributed to the given biological grouping (e.g. saline or bleomycin after 3, 14, 21 days), whereas the orthogonal T score represents technical or inter-individual variability not connected to the biological group</li> <li>Model stability can be assessed by cross-validation and permutation testing, a predictive ability Q2 &gt;50% is considered significant, i.e. high probability to correctly predict new samples</li> </ul>
Random forest	<ul> <li>Supervised machine learning method classifying samples into their given biological group based on measured data using an ensemble of many decision trees</li> <li>The build-up from many trees hinders simple representations of results, so that several plots are used to assess model stability and main drivers</li> <li>MDS score plot</li> <li>PCA of the proximity matrix (i.e. similarity of samples) of the random forest model visually summarizes random forest found similarity/dissimilarity of samples</li> <li>Minimal depth distribution plot</li> <li>Counts how often the measured data (e.g. CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells) were used in an early node in the trees, sorted according to descending importance</li> <li>The smaller the mean minimal depth (or the more the distribution contains small values), the more the samples were classified based on this measure, the more important this measured data is for a good classification, i.e. drives the difference between groups</li> <li>Rank of mean accuracy decrease in group</li> <li>Determines importance (e.g. of CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells) by randomly permutating the measured data (i.e. scrambling it nonsensical) and evaluating how the model accuracy decreases; here the mean decrease was ranked between the groups to show which cell type was most important for which group</li> </ul>

**iScience** 







#### Figure 1. Overview of Study Design, Pathological Changes, and Gating Strategy

(A) Historical flow cytometry data from the bleomycin mouse model were pooled and collectively analyzed. Samples were collected 3, 14, or 21 days afterbleomycin or saline administration from the compartments BALF (159 samples) and lung tissue (144 samples). Five different C57BL/6 substrains were included

(B) Representative Masson's trichrome staining of lung sections, showing pathologic alterations in the bleomycin model. Zoomed images exemplify the increasing fibrosis accumulation from day 3-21 after bleomycin challenge; scale bar represents 1 mm and 100 µm, respectively. High-resolution versions of these images for use with the Virtual Microscope are available as eSlides: VM06176, VM06160, VM06162, VM06177.

(C) Representative flow cytometry gating strategy. The 16 cell populations taken for further analysis are highlighted in bold. Alveolar macrophages (AM), dendritic cells (DC), interstitial macrophages (IM), monocyte-derived AM (MoAM), monocyte-macrophages (MoMp), neutrophils (PMN); forward scatter (FSC), area (A), height (H), side scatter (SSC), and monolymph gate (ML).

See also Table S1 for overview of group distribution and Table S2 for antibody details.

altered the inflammatory landscape, separating samples along PC1 (explaining 63.9% of the variation in the dataset). However, the bleomycin effect only accounted for 12.4% of the variation in the lung, separating on PC2 (Figure S2A). Again, macroPCA gave similar results in the analysis of the separate compartments (Figure S2B), reconfirming the absence of critical outliers. Analogous to the PCA findings, hierarchical clustering showed a strong clustering after bleomycin exposure in BALF, which was less clear in lung tissue samples. The influence of day post-treatment and substrain (individual C57BL/6 lines) on cell population changes was less distinct, with only some indication toward a possible sub-clustering due to these factors (Figure 3B).

Panel	Cell Type	Abbreviation	Cell Population	SSC		Panel I Panel II											
					CD45	SiglecF	CD11c	Gr- 1	CD64	CD24	MHC- II	CD11b	CD3	CD4	CD8	CD19	gdTCR
	Cell count	Cell count	CD45 <sup>+</sup> live cell		+												
Myeloid	Macrophages <sup>a</sup>	AM <sup>a</sup>	Alveolar macrophages	hi	+	+	+		+			+/-					
		MoAM <sup>a</sup>	Monocyte-derived macrophages		+	Lo	+		+	-	+	+/-					
		IM <sup>a</sup>	Interstitial macrophages		+	-	-		+	-	+	+/-					
	DCs	DC <sup>b</sup>	CD11b <sup>+</sup> dendritic cells		+			-	-	+	+	+					
	Monocytes <sup>a</sup> Gr1	Gr1 <sup>+</sup> MoMp <sup>a</sup>	Inflammatory monocyte macrophages		+			+	+		-	+					
		Gr1⁻ MoMpª	Constitutive monocyte macrophages		+			-	+		-	+					
	Granulocytes <sup>a</sup>	EOSª	Eosinophils	hi	+	+	-					+					
		PMN <sup>a</sup>	Mature neutrophils	hi	+	+/-	-	+				+					
		SiglecF <sup>+</sup> PMN <sup>a</sup>	SiglecF <sup>+</sup> neutrophils	hi	+	+	-	+				+					
		Immature PMN <sup>a</sup>	Immature neutrophils	hi	+		-	+			-	+					
_ymphoid	B cells <sup>b</sup>	CD19 <sup>+</sup> B cells <sup>b</sup>	B cells	lo	+								-			+	
٦	T cells	$\rm CD3^+$ T cells <sup>b</sup>	T cells	lo	+								+			-	
		$CD4^+$ T cells <sup>b</sup>	T helper cells	lo	+								+	+	-	-	
		$CD8^+$ T cells <sup>b</sup>	Cytotoxic T cells	lo	+								+	-	+	-	
		γδ T cells <sup>b/a</sup>	γδ T cells	lo	+								+	+	_	_	+

Table 1. Inflammatory Cell Identification and Corresponding Markers

<sup>a</sup>Innate immune cells.

<sup>b</sup>Adaptive immune cells.

6

iScience 23, 101819, December 18, 2020

iScience Article







#### Figure 2. Data Transformation Improves Data distribution and Analytical Power

Analysis of cell count data (untransformed) or following transformation using  $LOG_{x+1}$  or 4RT (fourth root) using 159 BALF and 144 lung samples. Cell counts in BALF are 10<sup>5</sup> and in lung 10<sup>4</sup>/mg tissue. Examples of data distribution of neutrophils (PMN) as one representative population in BALF and lung samples by (A) histograms show the frequency of PMN cell counts. Data were grouped into 30 equal intervals (binned cell counts).

(B) Violin plots, total represents combined saline and bleomycin samples.

(C) PCA scores plots [Box 2] with each point representing the combined inflammatory cell profile (16 populations) in one sample; plots are colored to highlight different experimental conditions. In B and C, dots represent single sample values.

(D) Heatmaps with hierarchical clustering of all 16 analyzed cell populations.

See also Figure S1 for data distribution and Figure S2 for macroPCA comparison.

#### Modeling of Inflammatory Cell Kinetics with Univariate Statistical Analysis

In order to examine the potential influence of other experimental factors in depth and to simultaneously control for the unbalanced [Box 1] design arising from the use of historical data, we applied univariate







[Box 1] linear mixed models with log10-transformation (LOGLME, Figure S3). As the multivariate [Box 1] analysis showed a strong bleomycin effect, the fixed factor [Box 3] *Treatment* {Saline, Bleo} was included in all models [Box 3]. Other fixed factors included *Day* {3,14,21} and *Substrain* {A,B,C,D,E}. The addition of each factor, either alone or together and with or without their interaction [Box 3] with *Treatment*, notably improved the fit [Box 3] of all simple models, increasing the goodness of fit and reducing Akaike information criterion (AIC; Figure S3). Thus, both the *Day* post bleomycin exposure and *Substrain* significantly influenced the cellular landscape.

As each independent experiment could have similarities, the experimental ID was then included as a random factor (~1|Exp\_ID). These mixed models significantly outperformed the aforementioned simple models. Finally, complex mixed models (combining the mixed models with the interactions of *Treatment* with *Substrain* or *Day*) notably outperformed all simple models (with or without interactions). The most complex mixed model [*Treatment + Day + Substrain + Treatment:Substrain + Treatment:Day,* ~1|*Exp\_ID*] outperformed all other models, although more prominently in BALF than in lung (Figure S3A).

As complex models risk overfitting [Box 3], especially in light of the unbalanced design, we then investigated model simplification. We first tested whether it was possible to create one control group of all saline animals. In all mixed and complex models (i.e. with random factor  $Exp_{-}ID$ ) only 4 of the over 10,000 investigated pairwise comparisons of a saline subgroup with another saline subgroup had a  $p_{BH}$ <0.01 in any of the 16 cell types. This means saline-treated animals were sufficiently similar to be combined into one control group. Consequently, *Treatment* and *Day* can be then merged into one fixed factor with four groups: saline (all days) and bleomycin after days 3, 14, and 21, which was termed *Saline-Day* {Saline,3,14,21}, generating the simplified model [*SalineDay* + *Substrain*] and the simplified mixed model [*SalineDay* + *Substrain* ~ 1|  $Exp_{-}ID$ ]. The performance of the simplified mixed model was slightly lower than in the most complex mixed model, but well within the range of the other top performing mixed models (Figure S3B).

To compare the models in more detail we also directly compared the fitted values [Box 3] of the simplified mixed model with the most complex mixed model. The fitted values from both models strongly correlated (Pearson correlation  $R^2 > 0.96$ , Figure S3B). This underlines the validity of model simplification and that no unexpected or systematic skew was introduced. As the simplified mixed model [SalineDay + Substrain ~ 1]Exp\_ID] also gives more easily interpretable results and has a lower risk





Box 3. Glossa	y of	Univariate	Model	Terms	(LOGLME)
---------------	------	------------	-------	-------	----------

Model	<ul> <li>A mathematical equation describing the relationship of measured data to biological factor(s)</li> <li>You assume that the inflammation, i.e. the CD45<sup>+</sup> cell count, increases with day after bleomycin challenge, then the biological factor is DAY, the measured data are the cell count</li> <li>A linear model would have the equation: cell_count = a DAY + b where the fit parameters are a the inclination (steepness of the line) and b the intercept (weight at height = 0)</li> </ul>
Fitting	Finding the parameter values best describing the measured data, often assessed by the residuals
Residuals	Difference between fitted value and measured value (in linear models the distance from the measured data dot to the line)
Fixed factor	Also called between-subject effect, a biological factor that (possibly) affects the outcome, e.g. treatment or day after treatment
Interaction	<ul> <li>The impact of one biological factor depends on the occurrence of another biological factor</li> <li>e.g. The inflammatory effect of treatment depends on the day after treatment, such as CD45<sup>+</sup> cell count is higher after 14 days than 3 days (in BALF)</li> </ul>
Random factor	<ul> <li>Also called within-subject effect, a factor that (possibly) affects baseline level such as repeated measures from the same source or working in experimental batches</li> <li>e.g. In one experimental run the cell isolation yielded in all populations higher cell counts than in another experimental run (higher baseline) but does not impact relative findings</li> </ul>
Simple/mixed	Simple models contain only fixed factors factor; mixed models include random factors
Fitted value	The value suggested by the model from the fitted equation (measured value minus the fitted values is the residual), if the model is correct that would be the real value without measurement error
Predicted value	Similar to fitted values the predicted value is suggested by the model equation, but for formerly unknown or not measured points (e.g. CD3 <sup>+</sup> T cells day 21 in BALF in Figure S4B)
Overfitting	<ul> <li>The model contains more parameters than the existing data allow to fit well and thus the model will fail to predict new data correctly</li> <li>e.g. by including irrelevant factors such as mouse color, tail length, ear size, etc., one could build perfect models without any relevant foundation or prediction of new data</li> </ul>

of overfitting [Box 3], it was chosen to examine the inflammatory cell kinetics underlying bleomycin mouse model.

This model was then applied to explore how individual substrains may influence the kinetics of different inflammatory cells. All mice included in this study are on the C57BL/6 background; however, they were obtained from different sources, e.g. commercial sources (C57BL/6J, substrain A) or are the wild-type littermates from in-house breedings (substrains B-E). Although some lines were inbred for up to 15 generations, all lines produced similar inflammatory responses in both lung compartments, which only differed in magnitude (Figure S4). This consistency allows to readout the compartmental kinetics of each cell population after bleomycin treatment for all substrains combined.

#### Inflammatory Cell Kinetics after Bleomycin-Induced Lung Injury Are Robust and Reproducible

Analysis of the inflammatory response in the BALF identified a non-resolving inflammatory response, with the total number of inflammatory cells continuing to increase over the investigated time course of 21 days. In the lung tissue, inflammation was characterized by an immediate increase at day 3, stagnating at day 14,







#### Figure 4. Linear Mixed Models with log10-Transformation Reveal Complex Immune Cell Dynamics Occurring in the Lung Following Bleomycin-Induced Lung Injury

Plot of back transformed, fitted cell counts (line represents mean  $\pm$  95% confidence intervals) using the simplified mixed model [SalineDay + Substrain ~ 1]  $Exp_{LD}$ ] of LOG<sub>x+1</sub> transformed cell counts for BALF (counts  $\cdot 10^{5}$ ) and lung tissue (counts  $\cdot 10^{4}$ /mg tissue). Animal numbers were in BALF in total n = 159 (Saline 60; 3d 23; 14d 39; 21d 37) and in lung in total n = 144 (Saline 56; 3d 23; 14d 32; 21d 33). See also Figure S3 for model comparisons and Figure S4 for modeling of all 16 cell populations separated by strain.

and mostly resolved 21 days post-bleomycin exposure (Figure 4). This suggests that the inflammatory response is persistent, yet compartment dependent.

Early inflammatory changes were mostly dominated by the innate immune system, including both immature and mature neutrophils (immature and mature PMN), monocyte-derived alveolar macrophages (MoAM), and interstitial macrophages (IM). In contrast we observed a concomitant decrease in AM. Interestingly, the (inverted) trajectories of AM were comparable to the rise in MoAM, suggesting a functional replacement by the latter, and support observations in earlier studies (Misharin et al., 2017). Following the rapid increase in the first-line responders, PMN, their numbers later stagnated or gradually decreased and even returned to baseline levels in the lung tissue. We also identified a time-dependent increase in SiglecF<sup>+</sup> PMN following bleomycin application. These cells have recently been described to be important for cancer progression and murine myocardial infarction (Engblom et al., 2017; Vafadarnejad et al., 2020). Similarly, eosinophils (EOS) and dendritic cells (DC) exhibited a bell-shape response curve. In contrast, monocyte populations (both Gr1<sup>+</sup> and Gr1<sup>-</sup> MoMp) exhibited a slower, but consistent, stepwise temporal increase, which could be attributed to their contribution to both the innate and adaptive immunity and their role in tissue repair.

At later time points, inflammation was dominated by immune cells from adaptive immunity, with a clear preference to the alveolar compartment. In the BALF, CD3<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes (CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, respectively) had a steep, yet non-resolving, rise early in the inflammatory response. CD19<sup>+</sup> B cells peaked at





в

UMAP1



#### Figure 5. Temporal and Spatial Localization of Inflammatory Cell Kinetics in BALF and Lung Tissue

(A) Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) plots of concatenated CD45<sup>+</sup> populations (min 3 independent samples with max 10'000 CD45<sup>+</sup> cells per sample), cells were clustered according to their similarity in signal intensity of all parameters measured by flow cytometry and overlayed with manually gated populations in the BALF. For clarity axis labels are shown only on the first panel of the model.

(B) Spatial localization of alveolar macrophages (AM:  $CD11c^+/SiglecF^+$ ), neutrophils (PMN: LY6G<sup>+</sup>), CD19<sup>+</sup> B cells, and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells during the time course of bleomycin challenge. Nuclei are stained with DAPI (dark blue). Representative pictures of three independent mice at each time point. D3, D14, and D21 represent days 3, 14, and 21 post-bleomycin treatment, respectively; scale bar represents 20  $\mu$ m. High-resolution versions of these images are available in Virtual Microscope as eSlides: VM06172, VM06173, VM06174, VM06175, respectively.

See also Table S3 for antibody details and Table S4 for instrument configuration.

14 days post-bleomycin challenge. Interestingly, at the latest investigated time point, 21 days, T cell numbers continued to rise, implicating their involvement at later stages in this model (Figure 4A).

Taken together, each inflammatory cell population shows dynamic and distinct inflammatory kinetics with some compartmental preference. With time, the involved immune cells shifted from the innate (e.g. PMN) to the adaptive immune system (e.g. T and B cells), with the BALF being more prominently affected than the lung tissue. However, after 21 days the inflammatory profile was still chronically altered in both compartments, suggesting ongoing inflammation.

Based on these results we went back to our FCM data and visualized the kinetics of the most dynamically altered populations using uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP)[Box 2]-derived plots (Figure 5A).





UMAP, like PCA, is a dimensionality reduction technique that can utilize the entire flow cytometry dataset (i.e. the positivity or negativity and intensity of each marker for each cell) and reduces this information into a new twodimensional space. As predicted in our modeling data and easily apparent in the UMAP plots, AM populations strongly decreased following bleomycin exposure, whereas PMN vastly increased after three days. Adaptive immune cells, such as CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, and CD19<sup>+</sup> B cells, expanded more at later time points and were virtually absent in saline-treated mice (Figure 5A). Visualization, using multicolour immunofluorescence, revealed the presence of CD11c<sup>+</sup>/SiglecF<sup>+</sup> AM and Ly6G<sup>+</sup> PMN in saline and day 3 treated mice, whereas CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells and CD19<sup>+</sup> B cells were more prominent at later time points (days 14 and 21) (Figure 5B). Interestingly, CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were commonly spatially localized to the collagen-rich fibrotic lung tissue (Figure 5B).

#### The Inflammatory Cell Landscape Continually Evolves following Bleomycin Exposure

The combination of unsupervised multivariate methods and univariate modeling [Box 3] (LOGLME) identified the kinetics of each cell type with an early innate response followed later by adaptive immune response. However, the question how the entire landscape differs between different time points or which cell types define each stage is still open. In order to answer these questions, we applied three robust machine learning [Box 2] approaches.

Our first approach, OPLS-DA [Box 2] separates the dataset into predictive and non-predictive components. Predictive means the ability to discern between groups in the given classification factor, which was here *SalineDay* {Saline,3,14,21}. The OPLS-DA model quality was thoroughly investigated by cross-validation and permutations tests, which showed that in both compartments the models were highly significant ( $\Omega$ 2>50%, p < 0.001). Similar to our PCA results (Figure 3), the inflammatory reaction was more pronounced in the BALF than in the lung, as apparent from a clearer group separation, higher percentages of variability in the predictive component, and higher predictive ability ( $\Omega$ 2; Figure 6A). In BALF, the inflammatory landscape at 14 and 21 days post-bleomycin were very similar, but very different from the saline controls, whereas the landscape at 3 days bridged these two poles.

We next investigated conditional inference trees and random forest [Box 2] models to infer which cell populations were the driving factors behind the group differences. Conditional inference trees in the BALF demonstrated that CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells levels separated early (Saline, day 3) and later time points (days 14 and 21). Separating samples on low and high CD19<sup>+</sup> B cells distinguishes between days 14 and 21, respectively. On the other hand, low levels of PMN strongly predicts saline-treated mice and the combination of low AM and SiglecF<sup>+</sup> PMN aiding the separation between saline, days 3 and 14 (Figure 6B). In the lung compartment, both innate cells (MoAM, AM) and adaptive cells (CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells and CD19<sup>+</sup> B cells) were needed to define the different groups. Saline mice were defined by low levels of MoAM and high AM, whereas bleomycin treatment by high MoAM and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. Similar to the BALF, day 21 was marked by high CD19<sup>+</sup> levels, whereas D14 by was defined by lower B cell and MoAM levels (Figure 6B). A combination of low MoAM and low AM defined day 3.

Random forest models were then used to compare the ability of all cell populations to drive group separation. In agreement with previous results, again group separation was clearer in BALF than in lung, as demonstrated by multidimensional scaling plots of the random forest proximity matrix and higher accuracy (Figure 6C). In BALF, especially the adaptive immune cells CD8<sup>+</sup> and CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells as well as the innate SiglecF<sup>+</sup> PMN differed most, as became apparent from their low minimal depth. Between the different groups high CD8<sup>+</sup>, CD3<sup>+</sup>, and CD19<sup>+</sup> levels were most predictive for late inflammation, whereas low SiglecF<sup>+</sup> PMN levels were most predictive for the cellular landscape in saline samples. The random forest suggests some fine but distinct differences between the global inflammatory landscape 14 and 21 days after bleomycin exposure (Figure 6C). Although both are highly inflamed (OPLS-DA), higher levels of adaptive cells are rather predictive for day 21 (e.g. all T and B cells), whereas higher levels of some innate cells are more predictive for day 14 than day 21 (e.g. DC, IM, immature PMN, MoAM, EOS) or day 3 (PMN). In contrast, lung models were dominated by macrophage cell populations differing most between the inflammatory stages, foremost the depletion of AM. The random forest models underline that the inflammatory landscape differs notably between lung and BALF.

#### DISCUSSION

In this study, we have combined computation FCM, advanced data modeling, and machine learning approaches to conclusively define the inflammatory cell kinetics following bleomycin treatment in mice. By





Figure 6. Exploration of Inflammatory Cell Landscape Differences with Machine Learning in BALF and Lung Tissue (A) Scores plot of OPLS-DA[Box 2] models per compartment for the factor SalineDay {Saline,3,14,21} with 95% confidence ellipses for each group. The predictive ability of the models Q2 was calculated by 7-fold cross validation, and 1,000 permutation tests reconfirmed model significance with p < 0.001.

(B) Conditional inference trees per compartment, showing cell types and cut-offs that define each group; saline, days 3, 14, and 21 post-bleomycin treatment (*SalineDay*). Model accuracy was evaluated with a stratified split into 65% trainings and 35% test set.

(C) Multidimensional scaling (MDS) [Box 2] plot (left panel) of the proximity matrix of random forest [Box 2] models grown with 5,000 trees. Model accuracy was evaluated with a stratified split into 65% trainings and 35% test set. The distribution of the minimal depth [Box 2] is shown for each cell type according to the number of trees; the mean of the minimal depth is shown (middle panel). The rank of the mean decrease in accuracy [Box 2] within each group is shown for each cell

population (right panel). Animal numbers in all models from A-C were in BALF in total n = 159 (Saline 60; 3d 23; 14d 39; 21d 37) and in lung in total n = 144 (Saline 56; 3d 23; 14d 32; 21d 33). Models were based on  $LOG_{x+1}$ -transformed cell counts for BALF (counts  $\cdot 10^5$ ) and lung tissue (counts  $\cdot 10^4$ /mg tissue).

(D) Schematic, abstracted summary of the five lead cell types (highlighted in bold in panel C) and scaled 0 to 1 to highlight relative changes between cell types and compartments BALF and lung tissue.

combining the data from 15 independent experiments, we amassed very large sample numbers, which were far in excess of those normally found in animal experiments. The aggregation of historical samples inherently led to an unbalanced experimental design, which was handled by sophisticated, robust statistical methods. By using pre-processing techniques such as data transformation, we substantially improved analysis power, which crucially contributed to clearer data interpretation. Changes in the inflammatory profile were dissected using multivariate and univariate statistical methods, including linear mixed models with log<sub>10</sub> transformation. Only by applying these techniques in unison were we able to create the most





comprehensive picture of inflammatory cell trajectories to date and characterize the sustained inflammation in the bleomycin model of pulmonary fibrosis. Importantly, these techniques and workflow can be easily applied for analysis of other datasets.

FCM data are normally highly asymmetric i.e. it has many larger values but no values smaller than zero; this non-normal distribution prevents the use of more powerful analysis methods. To re-establish normality we trialed several transformations but ultimately settled on  $LOG_{x+1}$  as it normalized the data distribution, can be easier to interpret, and also slightly improved the scedasticity compared with 4RT. Our data modeling approach resulted in a very large sample size, which notably increased statistical power and outweighed the potential drawbacks of added confounding variation from experimental runs or the use of different substrains. Furthermore, when experimental covariance was accounted for as random factor in LOGLME models, the inflammatory profiles in the BALF and lung tissue of all saline-treated animals, irrespective of experiment, were sufficiently similar to be combined into one large control group. Secondly, the trajectories of inflammatory cell profiles were found to be consistent for all five substrains, although their magnitudes slightly differed, which is important for experimental reproducibility in light of using different knockout lines or mice sourced from different companies.

The application of unsupervised and supervised as well as multivariate and univariate methods demonstrated that the majority of cell populations showed consistent trajectories in both compartments. However, the changes for most cells were more prominent in BALF than in lung tissue. This is in part due to fact that in healthy mice the vast majority of cells in the BALF are AM, whereas in the lung tissue even at baseline conditions, a highly heterogeneous pool of inflammatory cells exists, including macrophages, PMN, T, and B cells. The most informative results will be achieved by sampling both BALF and lung tissue. The investigation of lung tissue alone could lead to misinterpreting the duration or intensity of inflammation due to weaker changes, whereas analysis of the BALF alone could potentially miss cell populations that are not normally found within this compartment, e.g. interstitial macrophages or to a lesser extent DC. Therefore, deep inflammatory cell phenotyping requires the analysis of both compartments to give the full picture of the inflammatory status of the lung.

Our comprehensive analysis of multiple inflammatory cell population at several time points describes the kinetics not only during disease development but also when it is fully established. The initial inflammatory phase after bleomycin exposure was dominated by early responder cell types from the innate immune system of the myeloid lineage. Neutrophils constitute the first-line defense of the immune system and consequently show very acute kinetics, being rapidly recruited and also being the first cell type to resolve, visible as pronounced decreases from day 3 to day 14 after the challenge. In contrast, cells from adaptive immune system, such as B and T cells, increased much slower but continue to expand even at 21 days. The worth of subtyping cell populations is apparent by the inverse kinetics displayed within macrophages, which is only possible by using multicolour analysis. We could show that although the number of AM quickly decreased, MoAM increased. These contrary trajectories would explain the early observation that macrophages numbers were unchanged in this model (Izbicki et al., 2002), but the closer analysis of macrophage subtypes revealed strong dependent changes, as shown by (Misharin et al., 2013, 2017) and now reconfirmed by our results.

Increasingly macrophage heterogeneity has been suggested to play an important role in the pathogenesis of lung fibrosis and have implications for therapeutic strategies. MoAM undergo marked transcriptional changes during their differentiation in the injured lung tissue. These changes are not only associated with a continuous downregulation of genes typically expressed in monocytes and upregulation of genes expressed in AM but also with markedly elevated expression of proinflammatory and profibrotic genes related to M1 and M2 phenotype. This unique transcriptomic signature of MoAM provides an explanation on how bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis is attenuated following selective depletion of these cells (Misharin et al., 2017; McCubbrey et al., 2018; Joshi et al., 2020). Interestingly, the existence of common profibrotic pathways in MoAM harvested from mice during fibrosis development and profibrotic macrophages obtained from the lungs of IPF patients has been reported (Misharin et al., 2017; Aran et al., 2019). All these observations strongly suggest that selective targeting profibrotic macrophages, rather than the M1 or M2 phenotype, is more likely to be of benefit in such a complex disease as IPF. The potential contribution of MoAM to the resolution of lung fibrosis remains the subject of future studies, although very recent data supports this hypothesis (Cui et al., 2020). Hence, MoAM could represent a very plastic cell population with distinct functions in different phases of lung fibrogenesis.





Early and late fibrotic stages were characterized by increased numbers of T and B cells in the BALF, whereas numbers in the lung tissue remained relatively stable; this reflects earlier reports describing the presence of T cells in IPF lungs (Todd et al., 2013; Balestro et al., 2016). Here B cells are of particular interest, as abnormal B cell aggregates have been described in IPF lungs (Marchal-Sommé et al., 2006) and diverse circulating IgG autoantibodies were found in IPF plasma (Ogushi et al., 2001; Kurosu et al., 2008; Taillé et al., 2011). Furthermore, individual auto-immunoglobulins were linked to severity and/or poor prognosis of IPF (Ogushi et al., 2001; Kahloon et al., 2013), thus suggesting the causal role of certain autoantigens in IPF. Accordingly, transcriptome-profiling of lung tissue derived from pirfenidone-treated patients revealed downregulation of B-cell-related genes (Kwapiszewska et al., 2018). Future studies will, however, demonstrate whether these findings open an exciting new avenue for immunotherapy-based approaches in IPF.

#### **Recommendations**

This study explored fundamental aspects of the bleomycin animal model with good power owing to the high sample numbers so that constructive recommendations can be inferred.

- (I) In order to ascertain technical success of the experiment we strongly recommend to always include a negative control (saline) and a positive control (bleomycin, transgenic, or knock out otherwise untreated) group with each  $n \ge 8$ . Foremost this serves to rate the strength of induced fibrosis and technical quality of the experiment. Statistical power gain is very high for every added sample in the single digit region. An  $n \ge 8$  leaves some safety margin to stay above the critical level of n = 5 to handle the occasional, unavoidable loss of samples due to premature death or technical problems.
- (II) For more sensitive and pronounced inflammatory readouts the BALF should be routinely sampled together with lung tissue and both samples subjected to analogous analysis.
- (III) For statistical analysis we strongly recommend to first investigate distribution and pre-processing (transformation, scaling, centering) paired with unsupervised multivariate methods.
- (IV) Specific and detailed analysis should be based on the main trends identified in unsupervised models. For detailed investigation of cell-specific differences univariate mixed models offer the highest flexibility and insights. Supervised multivariate methods are well capable to confirm wholistic trends and dissect main drivers of these trends. We strongly recommend to pre-process data before investigating first with unsupervised and second with supervised methods as well as relying on both multivariate and univariate methods, as they complement each other well in their type of generated insights.

#### Conclusions

The measurement of inflammatory cellular landscapes in the bleomycin-induced lung-injury mouse model with flow cytometry is very robust and suitable to quantify kinetic changes in multiple cell populations simultaneously. The results allowed to infer recommendations such as to add negative and positive control, apply data pre-processing, combine multivariate and univariate methods, and to routinely also investigate BALF. We also found that the unintended development of potential substrains does not per se hinder general reproducibility of results and that the approach to adapt bleomycin doses to the current experimental run is viable. This study underlines the relevance of combined analysis for more holistic insights into inflammatory profile changes. Cell populations show quite distinct trajectories in their kinetics. We also conclude that inflammatory cell-based response is active before, during, and after manifestation of fibrosis with a shift from the initial innate immune cell dominance toward the adaptive immunity, and importantly inflammatory cell accumulation is not resolved after three weeks.

#### **Limitations of the Study**

Despite analyzing three independent time points, which cover the major stages of the bleomycin model, some time points are still missing. However, we consciously wanted to reuse existing experiments and avoid sacrifice of new animals. Future investigation would profit from an expansion, e.g. by inclusion of existing measurements from other groups, to cover also the progression from the initial inflammation toward active fibrosis phase by including analysis between days 3 and 14. Similarly, inflammatory profiling during fibrosis resolution, i.e. after 28 or 35 days, would deliver valuable insights on the involvement of specific subtypes during resolution. From a statistical point of view, the unbalanced study design





with differing sample numbers in subgroups is unfavorable, which complicates analysis and loses some power. However, our use of robust methods such as LOGLME and machine learning methods (random forest) were able to overcome these limitations. Although over a dozen independent experimental runs were included, this is not a multicentric study. Quantitative comparison of results from other laboratories at other sites and other strains/substrains would allow to even better explore bleomycin model system robustness and reproducibility. In this study, manual gating was used to identify different cell populations, thereby including expert knowledge into the analysis, and gating specificity was confirmed by UMAP overlays. For some populations in the UMAP plots (e.g. AM), the populations were more spread than expected; this was most likely due to different marker intensity (in this case CD11c) between different experimental runs. The topic of auto-gating is rapidly developing and promises to considerably save hands-on time and foremost the potential to detect rare, otherwise undetected cell subpopulations. The focus of this study was to primarily determine the inflammatory cell kinetics; however, to further unravel the role of inflammation and potential therapeutic targets in fibrosis, a quantified link of cell subpopulations to fibrotic processes is warranted.

#### **Resource Availability**

#### Lead Contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to the Lead Contact, Leigh Marsh (leigh.marsh@lvr.lbg.ac.at)

#### Materials Availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

#### Data and Code Availability

All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper; the supplementary data are available on Mendeley Data: https://doi.org/10.17632/7j485t986v.1.

#### **METHODS**

All methods can be found in the accompanying Transparent Methods supplemental file.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2020.101819.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are very grateful for the technical assistance from Kerstin Schweighofer, Sabine Halsegger, Thomas Fuchs, Nina Treitler, Eva Grasmann, Camilla Götz, and Betty Flasch, as well as Slaven Crnkovic, Mathias Hochgerner, Chandran Nagaraj and Horst Olschewski for the valuable discussions and advice. Diana Schnögl, F.V. and N.S. are members of the MolMed graduate program. Diana Schnögl is funded by FFG, project number 870904 awarded to Leigh Marsh. F.V. is funded by FFG, project number 874229 awarded to G.K.. V.B. is funded by Austrian Science Fund (FWF; project number, T1032-B34).

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Conceptualization, Data curation, Software, Validation, Methodology, N.B. and L.M.M.; Formal analysis and Visualization N.B., D.S., F.V., and L.M.M.; Investigation, Resources, N.B., V.B., D.S., F.V., K.J., B.M.N., N.S., and L.M.M.; Writing—original draft, N.B., V.B., G.K., M.W., L.M.M.; Writing—Review & Editing, all authors; Project administration, Supervision, and Funding acquisition, G.K. and L.M.M.

#### **DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: August 5, 2020 Revised: October 28, 2020 Accepted: November 13, 2020 Published: December 18, 2020

#### REFERENCES

El Agha, E., Kramann, R., Schneider, R.K., Li, X., Seeger, W., Humphreys, B.D., and Bellusci, S. (2017). Mesenchymal stem cells in fibrotic disease. Cell Stem Cell *21*, 166–177.

Aran, D., Looney, A.P., Liu, L., Wu, E., Fong, V., Hsu, A., Chak, S., Naikawadi, R.P., Wolters, P.J., Abate, A.R., et al. (2019). Reference-based analysis of lung single-cell sequencing reveals a transitional profibrotic macrophage. Nat. Immunol. 20, 163–172.

Balestro, E., Calabrese, F., Turato, G., Lunardi, F., Bazzan, E., Marulli, G., Biondini, D., Rossi, E., Sanduzzi, A., Rea, F., et al. (2016). Immune inflammation and disease progression in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. PLoS One 11, e0154516.

van den Berg, R.A., Hoefsloot, H.C.J., Westerhuis, J.A., Smilde, A.K., and van der Werf, M.J. (2006). Centering, scaling, and transformations: improving the biological information content of metabolomics data. BMC Genomics 7, 142.

Biasin, V., Wygrecka, M., Marsh, L.M., Becker-Pauly, C., Brcic, L., Ghanim, B., Klepetko, W., Olschewski, A., and Kwapiszewska, G. (2017). Meprin  $\beta$  contributes to collagen deposition in lung fibrosis. Sci. Rep. 7, 39969.

Biasin, V., Crnkovic, S., Sahu-Osen, A., Birnhuber, A., El Agha, E., Sinn, K., Klepetko, W., Olschewski, A., Bellusci, S., Marsh, L.M., and Kwapiszewska, G. (2020). PDGFRa and aSMA mark two distinct mesenchymal cell populations involved in parenchymal and vascular remodeling in pulmonary fibrosis. Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol 318, L684–L697.

Cui, H., Jiang, D., Banerjee, S., Xie, N., Kulkarni, T., Liu, R.-M., Duncan, S.R., and Liu, G. (2020). Monocyte-derived alveolar macrophage apolipoprotein E participates in pulmonary fibrosis resolution. JCI Insight 5, e134539.

Engblom, C., Pfirschke, C., Zilionis, R., Da Silva Martins, J., Bos, S.A., Courties, G., Rickelt, S., Severe, N., Baryawno, N., Faget, J., et al. (2017). Osteoblasts remotely supply lung tumors with cancer-promoting SiglecFhigh neutrophils. Science 358, eaal5081.

Gungl, A., Biasin, V., Wilhelm, J., Olschewski, A., Kwapiszewska, G., and Marsh, L.M. (2018). Fra2 overexpression in mice leads to non-allergic asthma development in an IL-13 dependent manner. Front. Immunol. 9, 2018.

Hubert, M., Rousseeuw, P.J., and Van den Bossche, W. (2019). MacroPCA: an all-in-one pca method allowing for missing values as well as cellwise and rowwise outliers. Technometrics *61*, 1–18.

Izbicki, G., Segel, M.J., Christensen, T.G., Conner, M.W., and Breuer, R. (2002). Time course of bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis. Int. J. Exp. Pathol. *83*, 111–119.

Joshi, N., Watanabe, S., Verma, R., Jablonski, R.P., Chen, C.I., Cheresh, P., Markov, N.S., Reyfman, P.A., McQuattie-Pimentel, A.C., Sichizya, L., et al. (2020). A spatially restricted fibrotic niche in pulmonary fibrosis is sustained by M-CSF/M-CSFR signalling in monocyte-derived alveolar macrophages. Eur. Respir. J. 55, 1900646.

Kahloon, R.A., Xue, J., Bhargava, A., Csizmadia, E., Otterbein, L., Kass, D.J., Bon, J., Soejima, M., Levesque, M.C., Lindell, K.O., et al. (2013). Patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis with antibodies to heat shock protein 70 have poor prognoses. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 187, 768–775.

Keene, O.N. (1995). The log transformation is special. Stat. Med. 14, 811–819.

Kurosu, K., Takiguchi, Y., Okada, O., Yumoto, N., Sakao, S., Tada, Y., Kasahara, Y., Tanabe, N., Tatsumi, K., Weiden, M., et al. (2008). Identification of annexin 1 as a novel autoantigen in acute exacerbation of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. J. Immunol. 181, 756–767.

Kwapiszewska, G., Gungl, A., Wilhelm, J., Marsh, L.M., Puthenparampil, H.T., Sinn, K., Didiasova, M., Klepetko, W., Kosanovic, D., Schermuly, R.T., et al. (2018). Transcriptome profiling reveals the complexity of pirfenidone effects in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Eur. Respir. J. 52.

Della Latta, V., Cecchettini, A., Del Ry, S., and Morales, M.A. (2015). Bleomycin in the setting of lung fibrosis induction: from biological mechanisms to counteractions. Pharmacol. Res. 97, 122–130.

Maher, T.M., and Strek, M.E. (2019). Antifibrotic therapy for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: time to treat. Respir. Res. 20, 205.

Marchal-Sommé, J., Uzunhan, Y., Marchand-Adam, S., Valeyre, D., Soumelis, V., Crestani, B., and Soler, P. (2006). Cutting edge: nonproliferating mature immune cells form a novel type of organized lymphoid structure in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. J. Immunol. 176, 5735–5739.

Marsh, L.M., Jandl, K., Grünig, G., Foris, V., Bashir, M., Ghanim, B., Klepetko, W., Olschewski, H., Olschewski, A., and Kwapiszewska, G. (2018). The inflammatory cell landscape in the lungs of patients with idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension. Eur. Respir. J. *51*, 1701214.

Marshall, D.C., Salciccioli, J.D., Shea, B.S., and Akuthota, P. (2018). Trends in mortality from idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis in the European Union: an observational study of the WHO mortality database from 2001–2013. Eur. Respir. J. 51, 1701603.

McCubbrey, A.L., Barthel, L., Mohning, M.P., Redente, E.F., Mould, K.J., Thomas, S.M., Leach, S.M., Danhorn, T., Gibbings, S.L., Jakubzick, C.V., et al. (2018). Deletion of c-FLIP from CD11b <sup>hi</sup> macrophages prevents development of bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis. Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. *58*, 66–78.

Meltzer, E.B., and Noble, P.W. (2008). Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Orphanet J. Rare Dis. 3, 8.

Misharin, A.V., Morales-Nebreda, L., Mutlu, G.M., Budinger, G.R.S., and Perlman, H. (2013). Flow cytometric analysis of macrophages and dendritic cell subsets in the mouse lung. Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. 49, 503–510. Misharin, A.V., Morales-Nebreda, L., Reyfman, P.A., Cuda, C.M., Walter, J.M., McQuattie-Pimentel, A.C., Chen, C.-I., Anekalla, K.R., Joshi, N., Williams, K.J.N., et al. (2017). Monocytederived alveolar macrophages drive lung fibrosis and persist in the lung over the life span. J. Exp. Med. 214, 2387–2404.

Moore, B., Lawson, W.E., Oury, T.D., Sisson, T.H., Raghavendran, K., and Hogaboam, C.M. (2013). Animal models of fibrotic lung disease. Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. 49, 167–179.

Nagaraj, C., Haitchi, H.M., Heinemann, A., Howarth, P.H., Olschewski, A., and Marsh, L.M. (2017). Increased expression of p22phox mediates airway hyperresponsiveness in an experimental model of asthma. Antioxid. Redox Signal. 27, 1460–1472.

Ogushi, F., Tani, K., Endo, T., Tada, H., Kawano, T., Asano, T., Huang, L., Ohmoto, Y., Muraguchi, M., Moriguchi, H., and Sone, S. (2001). Autoantibodies to IL-1 alpha in sera from rapidly progressive idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. J. Med. Invest. *48*, 181–189.

Peng, R., Sridhar, S., Tyagi, G., Phillips, J.E., Garrido, R., Harris, P., Burns, L., Renteria, L., Woods, J., Chen, L., et al. (2013). Bleomycin induces molecular changes directly relevant to idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: a model for "active" disease. PLoS One 8, e59348.

Reyfman, P.A., Walter, J.M., Joshi, N., Anekalla, K.R., McQuattie-Pimentel, A.C., Chiu, S., Fernandez, R., Akbarpour, M., Chen, C.-I., Ren, Z., et al. (2019). Single-Cell transcriptomic analysis of human lung provides insights into the pathobiology of pulmonary fibrosis. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. *199*, 1517–1536.

Rousseeuw, P.J., and Hubert, M. (2018). Anomaly detection by robust statistics. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Data Min. Knowl. Discov. *8*, e1236.

Saeys, Y., Van Gassen, S., and Lambrecht, B.N. (2016). Computational flow cytometry: helping to make sense of high-dimensional immunology data. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 16, 449–462.

Selman, M., and Pardo, A. (2002). Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: an epithelial/fibroblastic cross-talk disorder. Respir. Res. 3, 3.

Selman, M., King, T.E., and Pardo, A. (2001). Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: prevailing and evolving hypotheses about its pathogenesis and implications for therapy. Ann. Int. Med. 134, 136.

Taillé, C., Grootenboer-Mignot, S., Boursier, C., Michel, L., Debray, M.-P., Fagart, J., Barrientos, L., Maileux, A., Cigna, N., Tubach, F., et al. (2011). Identification of periplakin as a new target for autoreactivity in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 183, 759–766.

Tashiro, J., Rubio, G.A., Limper, A.H., Williams, K., Elliot, S.J., Ninou, I., Aidinis, V., Tzouvelekis, A., and Glassberg, M.K. (2017). Exploring animal models that resemble idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Front. Med. 4.

Tighe, R.M., Misharin, A.V., Jakubzick, C.V., Brinkman, R., Curtis, J.L., Duggan, R., Freeman, C.M., Herold, S., Janssen, W., Nakano, H., et al. (2019). Improving the quality and reproducibility







of flow cytometry in the lung. Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. 61, 150–161.

Todd, N.W., Scheraga, R.G., Galvin, J.R., Iacono, A.T., Britt, E.J., Luzina, I.G., Burke, A.P., and Atamas, S.P. (2013). Lymphocyte aggregates persist and accumulate in the lungs of patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. J. Inflamm. Res. *6*, 63–70. Vafadarnejad, E., Rizzo, G., Krampert, L., Arampatzi, P., Arias-Loza, A.-P., Nazzal, Y., Rizakou, A., Knochenhauer, T., Bandi, S.R., Nugroho, V.A., et al. (2020). Dynamics of cardiac neutrophil diversity in murine myocardial infarction. Circ. Res. 127, e232–e249.

Wuyts, W.A., Agostini, C., Antoniou, K.M., Bouros, D., Chambers, R.C., Cottin, V., Egan, J.J., Lambrecht, B.N., Lories, R., Parfrey, H., et al. (2013). The pathogenesis of pulmonary fibrosis: a moving target. Eur. Respir. J. 41, 1207–1218.

Xie, T., Wang, Y., Deng, N., Huang, G., Taghavifar, F., Geng, Y., Liu, N., Kulur, V., Yao, C., Chen, P., et al. (2018). Single-cell deconvolution of fibroblast heterogeneity in mouse pulmonary fibrosis. Cell Rep. 22, 3625–3640. iScience, Volume 23

## **Supplemental Information**

## Machine Learning Analysis of the Bleomycin Mouse

## **Model Reveals the Compartmental**

## and Temporal Inflammatory Pulmonary Fingerprint

Natalie Bordag, Valentina Biasin, Diana Schnoegl, Francesco Valzano, Katharina Jandl, Bence M. Nagy, Neha Sharma, Malgorzata Wygrecka, Grazyna Kwapiszewska, and Leigh M. Marsh

## **Supplemental Information**

### **Supplementary Figures**

Α		
	transformation	equation
	square root	²√x
	reciprocal	$\frac{1}{x}$
	Freeman Tukey	$\sqrt[2]{x} + \sqrt[2]{x+1}$
	logit	$\ln\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_{map}}{(1-\mathbf{x}_{map})}\right) \text{ with } \mathbf{x}_{map} = \frac{(\mathbf{x}-Y_{lwr})^*((upr-d)-(lwr-d))}{(Y_{upr}-Y_{lwr})} + d$
		BALF $Y_{upr} = 30 \cdot 10^5$ , lung $Y_{upr} = 15 \cdot 10^4$ , $Y_{lwr} = 0$ , $upr = 1$ , $lwr = 0$ , $d = 0.25$
	LOG	$log_{10}(\mathbf{x})$
	LOGx+1	$log_{10}(x+1)$
	4RT	⁴√x

x – cell counts (BALF 105, lung 104/mg tissue)



**Figure S1**. Data transformations improve distribution and scedasticity. (A) List of tested data transformations with equations. (B) Normality and scedasticity was tested for each of the 16 cell populations in either 159 BALF or 144 lung samples for each of the transformations. The horizontal line denotes pBH= 0.05. Related to Figure 2.



**Figure S2.** MacroPCA and PCA deliver similar results. (A-C) MacroPCA scores plot of combined BALF (159 samples) and lung tissue (144 samples), before (untransformed, (A)) and after data transformation by  $LOG_{x+1}$  (B) or 4RT (fourth root; (C)). Samples are coloured to highlight effect of bleomycin (Saline or Bleo) and compartment (BALF or Lung). Middle and right panels show the linear fit of the first two principal components derived from the macroPCA and PCA results. (D-E) Separation of entire  $LOG_{x+1}$  transformed dataset into the tissue compartments, BALF (D) and lung (E). Middle and right panels show the linear fit of the first two principal components derived from the macroPCA and PCA results. Samples are coloured to highlight different days and substrains. Shapes are in all plots circles for saline and triangles for bleomycin. Related to Figure 2.



**Figure S3.** Simplified mixed[Box 3] models[Box 3] exhibit best performance. Overview of ANOVA model performances for model selection by: (A) Comparison of model performance by AIC and logLik for all 16 cell populations in BALF and lung, better performance is indicated by lower relative estimate of information loss (AIC; Akaike information criterion) and higher goodness of fit (log-likelihood, logLik). (B) Direct comparison of fitted[Box 3] values (on LOGx+1 scale) of the simplified mixed model versus the most complex mixed model. The Pearson correlation is shown as black line and R2 is given. Related to Figure 4.



**Figure S4**. Modelling<sup>[Box 3]</sup> of 16 cell populations in 159 BALF or 144 lung samples reveals complex cell kinetics. Overview of ANOVA model performances for model selection by: (A) Plot of median cell counts at each time point for each substrain and their standard deviation, coloured according to each substrain. (B) Plot of  $LOG_{x+1}$  back transformed, fitted<sup>[Box 3]</sup> or predicted<sup>[Box 3]</sup> mean cell counts for each substrain and their standard errors from linear mixed models with log<sub>10</sub>-transformation [*SalineDay+Substrain, ~1*| *Exp\_ID*] from cell counts (BALF ·10<sup>5</sup>, lung ·10<sup>4</sup>/mg tissue). Related to Figure 4.

Substrain	Α		В		(	C	1	)	Е		
Compartment	BALF	Lung									
Condition	Saline   Bleo										
									5 8	5 7	
Day 3					8 11	8 12			3 4	3 4	
			0 4								
Day 14	4 4		0 8	0 9	7 13	4 13	6 10	6 10			
			5 0	7 0							
	5 7								3 6	3 6	
Day 21	5 9	5 9				6 3			4 8	4 8	
	5 7	5 7									

## Supplementary Table S1. Overview of group distribution. Related to Figure 1.

Supplementary Table S2. Antibodies, fluorophores and sources for flow cytometry. Related to Figure 1.

Panel	Antigen	Label	Company	Catalogue	Clone	Isotype	Identifier	Dilution
	CD45	FITC	Thermo Fisher	11-0451-82	30-F11	Rat IgG2b, к	AB_2753206	1:200
	SiglecF	PE	BD Bioscience	562757	E50-2440	Rat IgG2a, κ	AB_2687994	1:20
	CD11c	ef450	Thermo Fisher	48-0114-82	N418	Armenian hamster IgG	AB_1548654	1:50
loid	CD11b	ef506	Thermo Fisher	69-0112-82	M1/70	Rat IgG2b, ĸ	AB_2637406	1:50
Mye	Gr-1 (Ly6G/Ly6C)	PE-Cy7	Biolegend	108402	RB6-8C5	Rat IgG2b, ĸ	AB_313367	1:800
	CD64a/b	AF647	BD Bioscience	558539	X54-5/7.1	Mouse NOD/Lt IgG1, κ	AB_647120	1:20
	CD24	PerCP Cy5.5	BD Bioscience	562360	M1/69	Rat IgG2b, ĸ	AB_11151895	1:500
	MHC-II	APC-Cy7	Biolegend	107628	M5/114.15.2	Rat IgG2b, ĸ	AB_2069377	1:400
	CD45	PerCP Cy5.5	eBioscience	45-0451-82	30-F11	Rat IgG2b, к	AB_1107002	1:200
	CD3	AF700	Thermo Fisher	56-0033-82	eBio500A2	Syrian hamster / IgG	AB_837094	1:50
hoid	CD19	BB515	BD Bioscience	564531	1D3	Rat IgG2a, ĸ	AB_2738836	1:50
ymp	CD8	PE	Biolegend	100708	53-6.7	Rat IgG2a, κ	AB_312747	1:100
	CD4	APC	Biolegend	17-0041-82	GK1.5	Rat IgG2b, ĸ	AB_469320	1:100
	gdTCR	ef450	Thermo Fisher	48-5711-82	eBiogL3	Armenian hamster IgG	AB_2574071	1:50

Supplementary	Table S3.	Antibodies.	fluoro	ohores and	l sources t	for immuna	ofluorescent	staining.	Related to	Figure	5.
The second se		,									

Antigen	Host	Brand	Catalogue	Identifier	Dilution
Collagen I	Goat	Southern Biotech	1310-01	AB_2753206	1:500
CD4	Rat	Synaptic Systems	HS-360 017	AB_2800530	1:300
CD11c	Rabbit	Thermo Fisher	PA5-79537	AB_2746652	1:150
SiglecF	Goat	R&D Systems	AF1706	AB_354943	1:500
Ly6G	Rat	Biolegend	127602	AB_1089179	1:150
CD19	Rat	eBioscience	14-0194-82	AB_2637171	1:500

Instrument	Laser lines	<b>Bandpass Filters</b>							
	488 nm	780/60	695/40	670/14	610/20	576/26 530/30 488/10			
LODU	633 nm	780/60	730/45	660/20					
LSKII	405 nm	610/20	525/50	440/40					
	355 nm	530/30	440/40						
	488 nm	690/50	525/40	488/8					
Casta Barr C	561 nm	780/60	690/50	610/20	585/42				
Cytoflex S	633 nm	780/60	712/25	660/20					
	405 nm	660/20	610/20	525/40	450/45				
			-	•	-	_			
Instrument	Parameter	Acquist	ion seq 1	Acquist	tion seq 2				
Leica TCS-SP8	Pinhole	67.9	θµm	67.	9 µm				
	PinholeAiry	1.	AU	1	AU				
	EmissionWavelength for PinholeAiry Calculation	580	) nm	580	) nm				
	Excitation Beam Splitter	TD 488	/552/638	TD 488	/552/638				
	HyD 1 (nm)			410	- 460	_			
	HyD 2 (nm)	492	- 522	560	- 571				
Hybrid Detectors	HyD 3 (nm)			613	- 630				
	HyD 4 (nm)	530	- 548	705	- 740				

645 - 675

-0.30

-0.30 0.30

-

0.40

0.04

### Supplementary Table S4. Instrument configurations. Related to Figure 5.

HyD 5 (nm)

Solid state lasers (nm)

405, Intensity (%):

488, Intensity (%):

552, Intensity (%):

638, Intensity (%):

## **Transparent methods**

#### Animals

All animal experiments were approved by the local authorities (Austrian Ministry of Science, Research and Economics) (BMWF-66.010-0038-II-3b-2013, BMWFW- 66.010/0038-WF/II/3b/2014, BMWFW-66.010/0049-WF/V/3b/2017, 66.010/0177-WF/3b/2017) and were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. Wild type groups of 15 independent experiments (unpublished and published (Biasin *et al.*, 2017)) were pooled and analysed. For each experimental run, wild type mice were obtained from Charles River, or bred in-house in case of wild type littermates, and are annotated as separate strains. Overview of all strains and group sizes is given in Supplementary Table S1. All mice were maintained with 12 h light/ dark cycles and had access to water and standard chow *ad libitum*.

#### Bleomycin challenge and animal handling

Male mice (19-32 g body weight, 7-18 weeks old) were anesthetized with isoflurane 2–2.5 % and intratracheal administered with bleomycin (Sigma, Vienna, Austria) or saline solution (0.9 % w/v NaCl) using a MicroSprayer® Aerosoliser (Penn-Century Inc., PA, Pennsylvania, USA), as previously described (Biasin *et al.*, 2017, 2020). Each bleomycin lot was titrated to give a comparable response for each strain; dose range was 0.7-3.5 U/kg b.w., Supplementary Data 1). After bleomycin instillation, mice were closely monitored till they completely recovered from anaesthesia. Bleomycin or saline solution administration was performed once and animals were sacrificed after 3, 14 or 21 days.

#### BALF and lung tissue preparation for flow cytometry

Mice were euthanized via exsanguination and the lungs were perfused with phosphate buffered saline (PBS; 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 1.8 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, pH 7.2), through the right ventricle. Mice were then lavaged with 1 ml PBS containing the Pierce protease inhibitor cocktail (ThermoFisher Scientific, Vienna, Austria) and 1 mM EDTA. The obtained BALF was centrifuged, washed with 1 ml MACS buffer (2 mM EDTA, 0.5 % BSA in 1X PBS), before being resuspended in 0.5 ml for cell counting and consequent FCM staining. Single cell lung tissue homogenates were performed as previously described (Nagaraj *et al.*, 2017). Briefly, the lower right lobe was weighed, cut into approximately 1 mm pieces and digested with 0.7 mg/ml Collagenase and 30 µg/ml DNAse in RPMI medium supplemented with 10 % FCS, 2 mM glutamine and 1 % penicillin-streptomycin (ThermoFisher Scientific) for 40 min at 37 °C with rotation at 350 rpm. The minced tissue was passed through a 100 µm cell strainer to obtain a single cell suspension. In case of red blood cell contamination, the cell suspension was treated with erythrolysis buffer (2.6 mM NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, 0.09 M KCO<sub>3</sub>, 0.6 M EDTA) for 5 min at room temperature. The number of live cells were counted using trypan blue exclusion and

then stained with fixable viability stain (ThermoFisher Scientific), washed and then fixed with 1 % paraformaldehyde for 15 min on ice before being resuspended in MACS buffer.

#### Flow cytometry

Single cell suspensions were initially incubated with an Fc-receptor-binding antibody (ThermoFisher Scientific) for 5 min on ice to prevent nonspecific binding. A master-mix containing one of two different antibody combinations against cell surface markers (Supplementary Table S2) was added and cells were incubated for 20 min at 4 °C. For each sample between 30'000 and 300'000 events were recorded on a LSRII Flow Cytometer (BD Biosciences, Vienna, Austria) or Cytoflex S (Beckman Coulter, Vienna, Austria). Samples were analysed either using FACSDiva (BD Biosciences) or FlowJo v10.6.2 (LLC, Ashland, Oregon) software by users blinded to treatment condition. Cells were initially gated on FSC and SSC characteristics and duplexes were removed using FSC-A / FSC-H dot blot, dead cells were gated out using viability exclusion. Cells positive for the pan-leukocyte marker CD45 were taken for further analysis, cell populations were identified using the gating strategy (Fig. 1C and Table 1), as described in the results and based on published studies (Misharin et al., 2013, 2017; Biasin et al., 2017; Gungl et al., 2018; Tighe et al., 2019). A complete description of all antibodies is given in Supplementary Table S2. Cell numbers are reported  $10^5$  in the BALF and  $10^4$ /mg tissue for the lung. Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) plots were performed in FlowJo, using default settings (nearest neighbours 15, minimum distance value 0.5, Euclidean distance). First, fcs files from at least three individual mice per analysis timepoint were downsampled to max 10'000 events and then concatenated. Manually gated populations were then overlaid on UMAP plots to determine they kinetics.

#### Trichrome and immunofluorescence staining

After BALF, the lungs were inflated with 4 % formalin via the trachea and then paraffin embedded. Slides were cut at 2.5 μm thick and stained with Masson's trichrome according to standard protocols. Slides were scanned and imaged with a Virtual Slides VS120 Microscope and OlyVia Software (both from Olympus, Vienna, Austria). For multi-colour immunofluorescence staining, 2.5 μm paraffinembedded lung sections were dewaxed and subjected to heat induced antigen retrieval at pH6 (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA) using an antigen retrieval chamber for 15 min at 200 W. Slides were blocked with Perkin-Elmer Antibody Block solution for 20 min in a humidified chamber, and primary antibodies (Supplementary Table S3) were sequentially incubated o/n 4 °C in Perkin-Elmer Antibody Diluent. After washing with TBS-T (274 mM NaCl, 47.6 mM Tris HCl + 2 % v/v Tween20 in H<sub>2</sub>O) primary antibodies against CD4, SiglecF and CD19 were detected with the Opal Polymer HRP secondary antibody (Perkin-Elmer), using the Opal 540, 620, 690 substrates, respectively. Antibodies against Collagen I, CD11c and Ly6G were used simultaneously and detected with AlexaFluor-conjugated secondary antibodies, donkey anti-goat AlexaFluor488, donkey anti-rabbit AlexaFluor555, chicken anti-rat AlexaFluor647, respectively. Nuclear counterstaining was performed with DAPI solution 1 mg/ml (ThermoFisher Scientific).

#### **Confocal imaging**

For imaging immunofluorescence stained slides, a Leica TCS-SP8 (DMi8 inverted microscope with a LIAchroic scan head) lightning confocal microscope was used (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). The acquisition process followed a "sequential workflow" with well-defined settings (shown in Supplementary Table S4). In order to minimize fluorescent overlap the plugin "Channel Dye Separation" of Leica Imaging system was used. The following objectives were used: Plan Fluotar 20x/0.75 multi immersion objective and Plan Fluotar 40x/1.25 glycerol immersion objective. Images were acquired at 2048 x 2048 and a pixel size of 142 x 142nm.

#### Statistical analysis

Data visualisation and statistical analysis were performed with R v3.6.3 (R Core Team, 2020) (using the packages readxl, openxlsx, plyr, stringr, tidyr, reshape, colorspace, RColorBrewer, ggplot2, ggpubr, ggrepel, gridExtra, magrittr, cowplot, plotly, lemon, lawstat, dendsort, pheatmap, cellWise, missMDA, FactoMineR, nlme, emmeans, MetaboAnalystR 2.0, caret, randomForest, randomForestExplainer, partykit, e1071), TIBCO Spotfire v10.9.0, TIBCO, Palo Alto, CA and FlowJo v10 (LLC, Ashland, Oregon). Animals with >30% missing values in the investigated 16 cells populations were excluded from the analysis.

All reported p-values were adjusted for multiple testing according to Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) denoted as  $p_{BH}$  (R function *p.adjust*). Distribution and scedasticity were investigated with Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and Brown-Forsythe Levene-type test, respectively ( $p_{BH}$  Supplementary Data 1). Seven common transformations were tested: square root, reciprocal, Freeman Tukey, logit (on counts mapped to 0.25-0.75), LOG, LOG<sub>x+1</sub>, 4RT (Supplementary Fig. S1).

Principal component analysis (PCA)<sup>[Box 2]</sup> analysis (R function *prcomp*) was performed centred and scaled to unit variance (z-scaled) on total cell counts (untransformed,  $LOG_{x+1}$  or 4RT transformed). The dataset (303 samples, 16 cell populations) contained no missing values and 1.3 % zeros. MacroPCA analysis (R function *MacroPCA*) was performed centred and scaled to unit variance on total cell counts (untransformed,  $LOG_{x+1}$  or 4RT transformed). The number of components was set to cumulatively retain 80 % of explained variance, but to deliver between two and ten components. Hierarchical clustering analysis was performed centred and scaled to unit variance (R function *scale*) on total cell counts, for untransformed data per cell type than samples.  $LOG_{x+1}$  or 4RT data was centred and scaled only per cell type. The dendrograms were clustered by Lance-Williams dissimilarity update with complete linkage (R function *dist* and *hclust*) and sorted (R function *dendsort*) at every merging point

according to the average distance of subtrees and plotted at the corresponding heat maps (R function *pheatmap*).

Linear mixed models<sup>[Box 3]</sup> were fitted<sup>[Box 3]</sup> (R function simple models *gls* or mixed models *lme* with maximum likelihood (ML) using the integrated log<sub>10</sub>-transformation (LOGLME) reporting backtransformed readouts (R function *emmeans*, option *type* = "*response*"). This renders the approach nonlinear mixed models, however due to the name similarity to the *nlme* function we used LOGLME for clarity. No longitudinal covariance was applied, the mice were sacrificed at each time point. Model selection was based on the forward addition approach and complex models were rechecked by backward dropping of factors. Simple<sup>[Box 3]</sup> models were constructed using the forward addition approach incorporating the fixed<sup>[Box 3]</sup> factors *Treatment* {Saline,Bleo}, *Day* {3,14,21} post treatment and the mouse background, Substrain {A,B,C,D,E}. The interactions<sup>[Box 3]</sup>, Treatment:Substrain and Treatment: Day were include to determine whether the treatment effect depended on the Substrain or Day. Mixed<sup>[Box 3]</sup> models additionally included the experimental ID as a random<sup>[Box 3]</sup> factor (~1|Exp ID). Complex mixed models were created by combining mixed models with the interactions Treatment: Substrain and/or Treatment: Day. Models were then simplified by merging all saline samples into one control group generating the simple model [SalineDay+Substrain] and by including Exp ID as a random factor the mixed model [SalineDay+Substrain~1| Exp ID]. Due to rank deficiencies arising from the unbalanced<sup>[Box 1]</sup> design the model *SalineDay:Substrain* was not possible. Criteria for model performance and suitability were lower AIC (Akaike information criterion; relative estimate of information loss), higher log-likelihood (goodness of fit), significance in log likelihood ratio test comparing two models, quality of Q-Q plots and randomness in residual<sup>[Box 3]</sup> plots (Supplementary Data 1 and Supplementary Fig. S2). Post-hoc pairwise comparisons were readout as back transformed estimates (R function *emmeans*, type = "response") with  $p_{BH} \leq 0.05$  being considered statistically significant.

Orthogonal projections to latent structures discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA)<sup>[Box 2]</sup> on  $LOG_{x+1}$  data was performed centred and scaled to unit variance (R function *Normalization* with scaleNorm="AutoNorm" and R function *OPLSR.Anal*) with a standard 7-fold cross validation for the classification factor *SalineDay*. Model stability was additionally verified with 1000 random label permutations.

Conditional inference trees were fit with default settings (R function *ctree*) which limits tree size to include only significant splits avoiding overfitting, so that no further cross-validation or pruning was applied. The random forest<sup>[Box 2]</sup> (R function *randomForest*) error rates decrease markedly within the first 100 trees and stabilized fully after 1500 to 2500 trees. All reported random forests were grown with 5000 trees to guarantee stability and hyperparameter, mtry (8 in BALF and 2 in lung) was tuned to minimal out-of-bag errors (OOB) (R function *tuneRF*). The model stability and prediction quality (R function *confusionMatrix*) of conditional inference trees and random forest was evaluated by splitting

the  $LOG_{x+1}$  randomly into trainings/test set (65 % / 35 %) stratified for the classification factor *SalineDay* (R function *createDataPartition*).

## References

Biasin, V., Crnkovic, S., Sahu-Osen, A., Birnhuber, A., El Agha, E., Sinn, K., Klepetko, W., Olschewski, A., Bellusci, S., Marsh, L. M. and Kwapiszewska, G. (2020) 'PDGFRα and αSMA mark two distinct mesenchymal cell populations involved in parenchymal and vascular remodeling in pulmonary fibrosis', *American Journal of Physiology-Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology*. American Physiological Society Rockville, MD, 318(4), pp. L684–L697.

Biasin, V., Wygrecka, M., Marsh, L. M., Becker-Pauly, C., Brcic, L., Ghanim, B., Klepetko, W., Olschewski, A. and Kwapiszewska, G. (2017) 'Meprin  $\beta$  contributes to collagen deposition in lung fibrosis', *Scientific Reports*. Nature Publishing Group, 7(1), p. 39969.

Gungl, A., Biasin, V., Wilhelm, J., Olschewski, A., Kwapiszewska, G. and Marsh, L. M. (2018) 'Fra2 Overexpression in Mice Leads to Non-allergic Asthma Development in an IL-13 Dependent Manner', *Frontiers in Immunology*. Frontiers Media SA, 9, p. 2018.

Misharin, A. V., Morales-Nebreda, L., Mutlu, G. M., Budinger, G. R. S. and Perlman, H. (2013) 'Flow Cytometric Analysis of Macrophages and Dendritic Cell Subsets in the Mouse Lung', *American Journal of Respiratory Cell and Molecular Biology*, 49(4), pp. 503–510.

Misharin, A. V., Morales-Nebreda, L., Reyfman, P. A., Cuda, C. M., Walter, J. M., McQuattie-Pimentel, A. C., Chen, C.-I., Anekalla, K. R., Joshi, N., Williams, K. J. N., Abdala-Valencia, H., Yacoub, T. J., Chi, M., Chiu, S., Gonzalez-Gonzalez, F. J., Gates, K., Lam, A. P., Nicholson, T. T., Homan, P. J., Soberanes, S., Dominguez, S., Morgan, V. K., Saber, R., Shaffer, A., Hinchcliff, M., Marshall, S. A., Bharat, A., Berdnikovs, S., Bhorade, S. M., Bartom, E. T., Morimoto, R. I., Balch, W. E., Sznajder, J. I., Chandel, N. S., Mutlu, G. M., Jain, M., Gottardi, C. J., Singer, B. D., Ridge, K. M., Bagheri, N., Shilatifard, A., Budinger, G. R. S. and Perlman, H. (2017) 'Monocyte-derived alveolar macrophages drive lung fibrosis and persist in the lung over the life span', *The Journal of Experimental Medicine*, 214(8), pp. 2387–2404.

Nagaraj, C., Haitchi, H. M., Heinemann, A., Howarth, P. H., Olschewski, A. and Marsh, L. M. (2017) 'Increased Expression of p22phox Mediates Airway Hyperresponsiveness in an Experimental Model of Asthma', *Antioxidants & Redox Signaling*, 27(18), pp. 1460–1472.

R Core Team (2020) 'R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing'. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Tighe, R. M., Misharin, A. V., Jakubzick, C. V., Brinkman, R., Curtis, J. L., Duggan, R., Freeman, C. M., Herold, S., Janssen, W., Nakano, H., Redente, E. F., Singer, B. D., Sperling, A. I., Swaminathan, S., Yu, Y. R. and Zacharias, W. J. (2019) 'Improving the quality and reproducibility of flow cytometry in the lung', *American Journal of Respiratory Cell and Molecular Biology*, 61(2), pp. 150–161.