# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

The Results of Whole Exome Sequencing Performed On Previously Undiagnosed Pediatric Neurology Patients

**How to Cite This Article:** Ahmadnia N, Beiraghi Toosi M, Ghayour Karimiani E, Ashrafzadeh F, Faraji Rad M. The Results of Whole Exome Sequencing Performed On Previously Undiagnosed Pediatric Neurology Patients. Iran J Child Neurol. Spring 2021; 15(2): 17-31

Negin AHMADNIA MD¹,
Mehran BEIRAGHI TOOSI MD²,
Ehsan GHAYOUR KARIMIANI MD³,
Farah ASHRAFZADEH MD⁴,
Mohammad FARAJIRAD MD⁵

- Medical Student, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.
- 2. Neuropediatric Division, Ghaem Hospital, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.
- 3. Molecular and Clinical Sciences Institute, St George's, University of London SW17 ORE, UK.
- 4. Neuropediatric Division, Ghaem Hospital, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.
- Neurosurgery Department, Ghaem Hospital, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences Mashhad, Iran.

#### **Corresponding Author**

Ashrafzadeh F. MD Neuropediatric Division, Ghaem Hospital, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. Email: ashrafzadehf@mums.ac.ir

Received: 20-Jul-2019

Accepted: 20- Jan-2020

Published: 1-Mar-2021

#### **Abstract**

# **Objective**

Whole exome sequencing (WES) is a new molecular diagnostic test, used in pediatric medicine, especially pediatric neurology. The diagnostic yield of WES is higher than conventional methods. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the diagnostic yield of WES in a pediatric neurology clinic and to report positive results.

#### **Materials & Methods**

This retrospective study was performed on patients, presenting to the pediatric neurology clinic of Ghaem Hospital in Mashhad, Iran, between March 2015 and March 2017, with various neurological disabilities and unrevealing workup before WES. The patients' clinical features and molecular diagnoses based on the WES results were reported in this study.

#### Results

The overall diagnostic yield of WES was 82.71% (67/81 patients). Two patients were excluded for the lack of data. Sixty-five patients with pathogenic or possibly pathogenic variants exhibited various abnormalities, including intellectual disability/developmental delay (n=44), seizure (n=27), developmental regression (n=11), myopathy (n=9), microcephaly (n=8), neuropathy (n=2), autism spectrum disorder (n=2), and neuromuscular disease (n=2). Overall, 93.84% of the patients were born to consanguineous parents. Also, 62 patients had an autosomal recessive disorder, and three patients had an autosomal dominant disorder.

#### Conclusion

The present findings indicating the high diagnostic yield of WES,

besides the important role of this test in determining the etiology of non-specific and atypical presentations of genetic disorders, support the use of WES in pediatric neurology practice.

**Keywords:** Whole exome sequencing; Diagnostic yield; Pediatric neurology

DOI:10.22037/ijcn.v15i2.26401

# Introduction

The causes of neurodevelopmental disorders are not identified in many patients (1). Accurate genetic diagnosis can improve the patient's health by identifying, starting, or changing the existing treatments (2). Current diagnostic approaches, including clinical assessments, laboratory tests, chromosomal microarrays, single gene sequencing, and gene panel sequencing, are effective in 46% of patients in pediatric clinics (3). Also, these approaches are diagnostic in 25% of patients with neurodevelopmental disorders (2).

Whole exome sequencing (WES) is a new molecular diagnostic test, with a higher diagnostic yield than conventional methods (2). The diagnostic yield of WES is variable in heterogeneous groups of patients (2, 4). In previous studies evaluating heterogeneous groups of pediatric neurology patients, the diagnostic yield of WES was estimated at 49.1%, 41%, and 19%, respectively (5-7). Therefore, the present study aimed to assess the diagnostic yield of WES in our pediatric neurology clinic and to report positive results between March 2015 and March 2017.

## **Materials & Methods**

In this retrospective study, we evaluated the medical records of patients, who presented to the pediatric neurology clinic of Ghaem Hospital in Mashhad, Iran between March 2015 and March 2017 and underwent WES. WES was ordered by pediatric neurologists when genetic causes were suspected based on their clinical judgment. We extracted and analyzed the patients' data, including age, sex, disease phenotype, family history, affected siblings, parental consanguinity, and gene variants. However, we excluded patients with insufficient medical records.

All families completed a written informed consent form before WES. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences (code: IR.MUMS. fm.REC.1396.390).

#### Results

WES was performed on DNA of 81 patients, presenting to the pediatric neurology clinic of Ghaem Hospital in Mashhad, Iran between March 2015 and March 2017. An unknown genetic disorder was suspected in all patients before WES. Diagnostic evaluations, such as clinical assessments, biochemical and metabolic tests, imaging tests, biopsy, and genetic tests (i.e., karyotyping, chromosomal microarray, and single gene sequencing), had been carried out prior to WES for the majority of patients.

Genomic DNA was extracted from the peripheral blood samples using standard methods and sent

#### The Results of Whole Exome Sequencing Performed On Previously Undiagnosed Pediatric Neurology Patients

to laboratories for WES. The result of WES was approved by the pediatric neurologist who had visited the patient. A proven or hypothesized molecular effect related to the patient's phenotype was required in the genetic variant; also, the variant should follow the Mendelian inheritance model, consistent with the status of each affected family member. All results were confirmed via Sanger sequencing.

The overall diagnostic yield of WES was estimated at 82.71% (67/81 patients); therefore, 67 patients had pathogenic or possibly pathogenic variants. Two patients were excluded for the lack of data. Full clinical and molecular data of all 65 patients are presented in Table 1. Of 65 eligible patients, 39 (60%) were male, and 26 (40%) were female, with the mean age of 8.125.15± years.

Sixty-five patients with pathogenic or possibly pathogenic variants exhibited various

abnormalities, including intellectual disability/ developmental delay (n=44), seizure (n=27), developmental regression (n=11), myopathy (n=9), microcephaly (n=8), neuropathy (n=2), autism spectrum disorder (n=2), and neuromuscular diseases (n=2). Moreover, of 65 eligible patients, 61 (93.84%) were born to consanguineous parents: first cousins, 48 (78.69%); second cousins, 6 (9.83%); and double cousins, 1 (1.64%). Forty-three (66.2%) out of 65 eligible patients had an affected sibling with a similar phenotype, although its severity varied.

Of 65 patients with a genetic diagnosis, 62 (95.39%) had an autosomal recessive disorder, and 3 (4.61%) had an autosomal dominant disorder. The variant types in 65 patients with a genetic diagnosis were missense (n=34), nonsense (n=12), frameshift (n=11), splice site (n=5), deletion (n=2), and insertion (n=1).

Table 1. Molecular and Clinical Features of Patients with a Genetic Diagnosis

Associated Disorder Or Evidence Of Pathogenicity [OMIM]	Joubert syndrome 6, [610688]	Sotos syndrome 3, [617169]	Neurodevelopmental disease [138275]	Microcephaly 5, primary, [608716]	Microcephaly 15, primary, [616486]	Pontocerebellar hypoplasia, type 7, [614969]	Spastic paraplegia 68 (8)	L-2-hydroxyglutaric aciduria, [236792]
Genes And Variants/ Inheritance And Genotype	TMEM67, c.725A>G, p.Asn242Ser/ AR HMZ	APC2, uc002lss.1:exon13:c.438_439del: p.s146fs* / AR HMZ	GAD2, c.C187A, p.63T/ AR HMZ	ASPM, c.9697C>T, (p.Arg3233Ter)/ AR HMZ	MFSD2A, .2:exon11:c.C1010T:p. P337L/ AR HMZ	TOE1:NM_025077:exon8:c.A1496G:p. H499R/ AR HMZ	FLRT1, c.931C>T, p.Arg311Cys/ AR HMZ	L2HGDH (NM_024884.2): c.[584A>G];[584A>G], p.[Tyr195Cys];[Tyr195Cys]/ AR HMZ
Parental Consanguinity	+ DC	+ FC	+ FC	SC +	+ FC	SC +	+ FC	+ FC
Affected Siblings	+	ı	+	+	1	+	+	+
Phenotype	Joubert syndrome	Lissencephaly posterior dominant type, cerebral hypoplasia, horizontal nystagmus and strabismus	DD, drug-resistant epilepsy, FTT	DD, microcephaly	Microcephaly, DD, spastic quadriplegia, Aqueductal stenosis, ventriculomegaly, FTT, bilat. Club foot	ID, Epilepsy, dandy walker malformation, Corpus callosum Agenesis, XY in karyotype and no uterus	ID, epilepsy, developmental regression since 5 y/o, optic nerve atrophy and cherry red spots around macula	L-2-hydroxyglutaric aciduria
Sex	ഥ	Ħ	Z	Σ	ഥ	ΪΉ	Σ	[14
Age*	15	0.5	4	7	4	13	6	12
		7	m	4	S	9		∞

Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease, dominant intermediate F, [615185]	Spastic paraplegia 35, autosomal recessive, [612319]	Macrocephaly, dysmorphic facies, and psychomotor retardation, [617011]	Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy 4, autosomal dominant, [612998]	Megalencephalic leukoencephalopathy with subcortical cysts, [604004]	Tay-Sachs disease, [272800]	Microcephaly 5, primary, autosomal recessive, [608716]	Glaucoma 3A, primary open angle, congenital, juvenile, or adult onset, [231300]
GNB4 c.665dupt p.Thr233HisF fs*24/ AD HTZ	FA2H, c.G772A, p.G258S, exon5/ AR HMZ	HERC1, NM_003922:exon38:c.7846+1G>A/ AR HMZ	SYNE1, NM_033071:exon145:c. C25954T:p.R8652X/ AD HTZ	Del exon 2 of gene MLC1/ AR HMZ	HEXA, NM_000520:exon3:c.C409T:p. R137X/ AR HMZ	ASPM, c.2650-2651insg, p.(Lys884Argfs*15)/ AR HMZ	CYP1B1:NM_000104:exon2:c. G182A:p.G61E/ AR HMZ
+	+ FC	+ FC	+ FC	+ FC	+ FC	+ FC	+ FC
	1	+	+	+	ı	+	ı
Epilepsy started since 2y/o, Myo Myo syndrome stage III in brain MRA	Progressive imbalance, talking difficulties, and spastic quadriplegia from 2 years ago, temporal disc paleness in eye examination, normal brain MRI	ID, epilepsy, myopathy, marphanoid feature, scoliosis	Myopathy	Macrocephaly, ID, leukodystrophy	GDD, Infantile spasms, seizure, developmental regression since 6m/o, cerebellar and cerebral atrophy in MRI	Microcephaly	GDD, congenital glaucoma, developmental regression since 1 y/o, leukodystrophy in brain MRI
M	ſτι	Ħ	M	M	M	M	M
3.5	∞	12	15	7	1.5	∞	2.5
6	10	11	12	13	41	15	16

12:c. Megalencephalic leukoencephalopathy with subcortical cysts 2A, [613925]	*/ AR Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease, type 4B1, [601382]	7Trp) Muscular dystrophy- dystroglycanopathy (limb- girdle), type C, 14, [615352]	Spinocerebellar ataxia, autosomal recessive 7, [609270]	11C:p. Coenzyme Q10 deficiency, primary, 7, [616276]	ro/ AR Troyer syndrome, [275900]	4Thr/ Schwartz-Jampel syndrome, type 1, [255800]	Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease, [606598]
HEPACAM:NM_152722:exon2:c. G100A:p.V34M/ AR HMZ	MTMR2, c.1164 G>A, p.W388*/ AR HMZ	(GMPPB):c.859C>T (p.Arg287Trp) / AR HMZ	TPP1:NM_000391:exon3:c.177_180del :p.e59fs/ AR HMZ	COQ4:NM_016035:exon6:c.T611C:p. I204T/ AR HMZ	SPG20, NM_015087, p.Ala442Pro/ AR HMZ	HSPG2, c.11830G>A, p.Ala3944Thr/ AR HMZ	GDAP1 (NM_018972.2): c.[154G>T];[154G>T], p.[(Glu52*)]; [(Glu52*)]/ AR HMZ
+ PC +	+ 77	+	+ PC	+ D	+ D	+ 2	+ FC
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	ı
GDD, Developmental regression since 3 y/o, Megalencephalic leukoencephalopathy with subcortical cysts	DD, HMSN, anemia, elevated CPK	Irritable myopathy	Neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis, cerebellar atrophy in brain MRI	ID, Epilepsy, elevated CPK, mildly abnormal EEG	Imbalance and Ataxia, GDD, FTT	Epilepsy, normal brain MRI, her sister had ID	Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease
N	Z	Σ	[14	Z	压	压	Σ
3.5	4	17	3	12	12	0.5	3
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24

Neurodevelopmental syndrome with symptoms of mild endosomal-lysosomal dysfunction (9)	Spastic paraplegia 55, autosomal recessive, [615035]	Neurodegeneration, childhood- onset, stress-induced, with variable ataxia and seizures, [617180]	Pontocerebellar hypoplasia, type 1B, [614678]	Auditory neuropathy and optic atrophy, [617717]	Epileptic encephalopathy, early infantile, [617276]	Succinic semialdehyde dehydrogenase deficiency, [271980]
PPP1r21, c.1607dupt p.(Leu536Phefs*7)/ AR HMZ	Deletion of the third exon of c12orf65/ AR HMZ	ADPRHL2, (c.530C>T (p.Ser177Leu))/ AR HMZ	EXOSC3, {NM_016042.3} p.Asp132Ala/ AR HMZ	FDXR c.A791G p.D264G/ AR HMZ	AP3B2 {NM_004644.4} p.Arg67Ter/ AR HMZ	ALDH5A1:NM_001080:exon9:c. T1397A:p.L466X / AR HMZ
+ PC	+ FC	+ FC	SC +		+	+ FC
	1	+	+	+	+	+
Hypotonia, DD, facial dysmorphism, loss of white matter, and thinning of the corpus callosum, respiratory distress, recurrent respiratory infections, Hepatosplenomegaly, Optic nerve atrophy, Hypothyroidism	ID, spastic quadriplegia, dystrophy of retinal cone cells, FTT, an elevated level of Arg and Ala in metabolic studies, hypospadias, bilateral hydrocele	ID, imbalance, episodic ataxia, developmental regression since 1.5 y/o, expired in 6 y/o	Myopathy, brain MRI: vermis atrophy and mild lateral ventriculomegaly	Microcephaly, ID, Epilepsy, normal brain MRI	DD, Epilepsy	ID, Epilepsy
[14	N	Σ	ഥ	M	M	Σ
7	6	9	12	8	5	18
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

CHIME syndrome, [280000]	Succinic semialdehyde dehydrogenase deficiency, [271980]	Epileptic encephalopathy, early infantile, 3, [609304]	Megaconial Congenital Muscular Dystrophy (10)	Mitochondrial DNA depletion syndrome 2 (myopathic type), [609560]	Muscular dystrophy, congenital, due to ITGA7 deficiency, [613204]	Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease, type 4B2, [604563]	Mitochondrial recessive ataxia syndrome, [607459]
PIGL p.Met244Leu/ AR HMZ	ALDH5A1:NM_001080:exon9:c. T1397A:p.L466X / AR HMZ	SLC25A22, NM_001191060, exon7, c.458dela, p.q153fs / AR HMZ	CHKB, c.259T>C, p.Leu87Pro/ AR HMZ	TK2, c.388C>T, p.Arg130Trp/ AR HMZ	ITGA7 RS760407686 NM_002206.2:c.2779C>T R [CGG] > W [TGG]/ AR HMZ	SBF2, (c.1395+1G>A)/ AR HMZ splicing mutation	POLG, c.911T>G, p.Leu304Arg/ AR HMZ
+ FC	+	+ FC	+ FC	+ FC	+ FC	+ FC	+ FC
1	+	- Affected cousin+	+	,	+	1	+
DD, drug-resistant epilepsy, Conductive hearing loss, developmental regression since 1 y/o	ID, drug-resistant epilepsy, floppy baby	Microcephaly, GDD, drug- resistant epilepsy	Progressive proximal weakness, vitiligo, DM I, muscle biopsy: muscular dystrophy with peripherally located large mitochondria	Myopathy, normal brain MRI, muscle weakness started since 2 months old	Myopathy, floppy baby since infancy, recurrent rhabdomyolysis	Distal sensorimotor peripheral polyneuropathy, axonal type, inability to walk from 2 years ago	Epilepsy and ataxia since 7 y/o
ĮΤ	N	Ţ	Z	Z	N	Σ	Ħ
2	10	0.7	12	0.4	12	11	15
32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39

40	6	Z	ID, epilepsy	+	+ FC	PLXNB1, chr3, 48422209, A>T, splice region variant; intro variant; NMD transcript variant/ AR HMZ	Developmental disability (11)
	9	Ţ	ASD	-	1	PLXNB1, chr3, 48422209, A>T, splice region variant; intro variant; NMD transcript variant/ AR HMZ	Developmental disability (11)
42	18	N	ID, epilepsy started from 7 months, congenital disorder of glycolysation	+	1	ALG1, NM_019109:exon11:c. C1076T:p.S359L/ AR HMZ	Congenital disorder of glycosylation, type Ik, [608540]
43	12	Z	ID, behavioral disorder, Microcephaly, Spasticity, no seizure occurred since 7 y/o	+	+ FC	AP4B1 NM_001253852:exon1:c.52_53del:p. c18fs/ AR HMZ	Spastic paraplegia 47, autosomal recessive, [614066]
4	11	Ţ	Fahr's syndrome	ı	+ FC	PDGFRB, c.2705C>T, c.2705C>T, p.Thr902lle/ AD HTZ	Basal ganglia calcification, idiopathic, 4, [615007]
45	2.5	Ī	DD, epilepsy, movement disorder, normal brain MRI	1	+ FC	UNC80 c.4963C to T p.Arg1655Cys / AR HMZ	Hypotonia, infantile, with psychomotor retardation and characteristic facies 2, [616801]
46	5.5	Z	DD, behavioral problems, amblyopia	+	+ FC	TMEM67 c.A725G p.Asn242ser/ AR HMZ	Joubert syndrome 6, [609884]
47	18	N	ID, epilepsy	+	SC +	MRPS35:NM_001190864:exon3:c. G281A:p.G94D / AR HMZ	mitochondrial multisystem disorder [611995] intellectual disability (12)
48	15	ŢŢ	ID, polydactyly, Bardet- Biedl syndrome	+	+ FC	BBS1, NM_018848.3, c.110A>G, p.(Tyr37Cys) c.882A>C, p.(Gln294His)/ AR HMZ	Bardet-Biedl syndrome 1, [209900]

Acute Intermittent Porphyria, [176000]	Warburg micro syndrome 2, [614225]	Muscular dystrophy- dystroglycanopathy (limb- girdle), type C, 15, [612937]	Congenital disorder of deglycosylation, [615273]	Neurodegeneration with brain iron accumulation 1, [234200]	Epileptic encephalopathy, early infantile, 42, [617106]	Muscular dystrophy, congenital, megaconial type, [602541]	Usher syndrome, type 2A, [276901]	Mental retardation, autosomal recessive 7, [611093]
HMBS, NM_001258209, c.C550T, p.R184W, rs118204109/ AR HMZ	RAB3GAP2 NM_012414:exon21:c.2227dupt:p. w743fs/ AR HMZ	DPM3:NM_018973:exon1:c.A221G:p. Y74C / AR HMZ	NGLY1 {NM_001145293}:exon9:c. C1351T:p.R451X / AR HMZ	PANK2:NM_153638:exon2:c.734dupt :p.1245fs/ AR HMZ	CACNA1A:NM_001127221:exon36:c. C5482G:p.H1828D / AR HMZ	CHKB, c.844 dup, p.Cys282Leufs*/ AR HMZ	USH2A, c.8713C/G p.His2905Asp/ AR HMZ	TUSC3 {NM_006765}:exon2:c. C163T:p.Q55X/ AR HMZ
+ <sup>FC</sup>	+ FC	+ FC	+ FC	-	+ FC	+ FC	+ FC	+
+	+	+	1	+	+	+	+	+
GDD, congenital heart disease, hepatomegaly, congenital cataract	ID, Microcephaly, congenital cataract	ID, Microcephaly, seizure and developmental regression since 1 y/o	ID, epilepsy, spasticity, developmental regression, brain atrophy in MRI	DD, dystonia, abnormal gait, developmental regression since 1.5 y/o	ID, drug resistance epilepsy, osteomalacia, mild atrophy of cerebellar vermis in brain MRI	ID, ASD, drug-resistant epilepsy, FTT	DD, epilepsy, decreased visual acuity, ventriculomegaly, cortical cerebral atrophy, and cerebral dysgenesis	ID, epilepsy, FTT, normal brain MRI
江	ഥ	[14	M	ഥ	Σ	Z	M	Z
7	7	9	10	3.5	17	11	5	13
49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57

Alstrom syndrome, [203800]	Myasthenic syndrome, congenital, 5, [603034]	Congenital myasthenic syndrome, [100725]	Osteoporosis-pseudoglioma syndrome, [259770]	Muscular dystrophy, limb-girdle, autosomal recessive 3, [608099]	Molybdenum cofactor deficiency A, [252150]	Bardet-Biedl syndrome 12, [615989]	Muscular dystrophy, limb-girdle, autosomal recessive 1, [253600]
ALMS1, c.3859_3860instat, {NM_015120.4}, Ser1286_ Gln1287insLeu*/ AR HMZ	COLQ, c.444G>A, p.Trp148*/ AR HMZ	CHRNE:NM_000080:exon12:c.1327del g:p.e443fs / AR HMZ	LRP5 NM_02335 EX6 c.1042C>T p.R348W/ AR HMZ	SGCA, c.718T>A, p.Gly91Ser/ AR HMZ	MOCS1:NM_005943:exon6:c.G777C:p. K259N / AR HMZ	BBS12, c.954C>A, p.(Cys318*)/ AR HMZ	CAPN3, c.2380+2T>G, rs761935462/ AR HMZ
SC +	SC +	+ FC	+ FC	+ FC	+ FC	+ FC	+
	1	+	+	+		,	
ID, obesity, congenital cataract, anemia, little sized testis	Neuromuscular junction disorder	Neuromuscular junction disorder	ID, developmental regression, congenital blindness, strabismus, epilepsy started at 3 y/o	Muscular dystrophy, developmental regression since 2 mo/old, DD, resistant epilepsy, elevated CPK	Megalencephaly, GDD	Obesity, polydactyly, DD	Muscular dystrophy
Σ	Z	江	[II]	M	M	M	江
11	10	13	6	1	ς.	1.5	10
58	59	09	61	62	63	4	99

Imaging, EEG: Electroencephalography, HMSN: Hereditary Motor and Sensory Polyneuropathy, FC: First Cousin, SC: Second Cousin, DC: Double Cousin Spectrum Disorder, CPK: Creatine Phosphokinase, MRA: Magnetic Resonance Angiography, DMI: Diabetes Mellitus type 1, MRI: Magnetic Resonance M: male, F: female, ID: Intellectual Disability, DD: Developmental Delay, GDD: Global Developmental Delay, FTT: Failure To Thrive, ASD: Autism

\*Age at referring to Genetic Clinics

# **Discussion**

The diagnostic yield of WES in the present study was remarkably high for 81 previously undiagnosed pediatric neurology patients, with a success rate of 82.71%. In heterogeneous pediatric neurology cohorts, the diagnostic yield of WES varies substantially. In this regard, a study on 78 children with undiagnosed neurological diseases showed that the diagnostic yield of WES was 41% (6). Moreover, in a neurogenetic clinic, the diagnostic yield of WES was 49% among 57 patients with various undiagnosed neurological diseases (5). Also, in a WES study of 118 patients with neurodevelopmental disorders, for whom conventional genetic tests were undiagnostic, 8% of cases had a mutation in known genes causing diseases, and 19% had a mutation in novel genes possibly causing diseases (7).

In a previous study evaluating 47 genetic centers, the success rate of WES was estimated at 22.8% (13). The diagnostic yield of WES was 25% in a study on 250 probands, who were referred from genetic, pediatric, and neurology clinics (14). Moreover, in a study on patients with neuromuscular diseases, associated with the parents' consanguineous marriage, the diagnostic yield of WES was 73.3% (3). The high diagnostic yield of WES in the present study, where 93.4% of the patients were born to consanguineous parents, could be attributed to our patient population, as WES was performed for those with highly suspected genetic factors.

As shown in Table 1, the same variant of TMEM67 gene was found in two patients (case 1 and case 46). The clinical and imaging findings suggested Joubert syndrome in case 1, whereas in case 46, developmental delay, behavioral disorder, and amblyopia were found, which are not the usual diagnostic signs of this disease. These findings

indicate that WES can be utilized for identifying the unusual manifestations of rare diseases.

Eight different mutations have been found in Plexin B1 (PLXNB1) gene, five of which are considered pathogenic, and one is considered to be possibly pathogenic. However, there is not sufficient evidence to identify the phenotypes of these mutations (15). In the present study, we found the same mutation in two related patients with the central nervous system (CNS) involvement; however, their phenotypes were different (case 40 and case 41 in Table 1).

A mutation in the abnormal spindle-like microcephaly associated (ASPM) gene is the most common cause of genetic microcephaly. More than 100 mutations in this gene have been identified so far (16). We found two different variants of ASPM gene in two patients. The c.9697C>T (p.Arg3233Ter) variant was identified as a disease-causing variant (17), whereas the c.2650\_2651insG (p.Lys884Argfs\*15) variant was a novel mutation in our study.

In the present study, case 25 was one of the four patients, who had the same clinical manifestations and different mutations in the PPP1R21 gene. This patient had been evaluated for developmental delay since the age of eight months, and a thin cerebral cortex, especially in the frontotemporal regions, large lateral ventricles, thinning of the corpus callosum, and atrophy/hypoplasia of the optic nerve were detected in the brain MRI. The function of protein, translated from the PPP1R21 gene, was not definitely identified. However, based on previous studies, its deletion causes a specific neurodevelopmental syndrome with symptoms of mild endosomal/lysosomal dysfunction (9).

In routine clinical practice, indications for WES are not as complicated as the interpretation of its

results. Determination of the patient's phenotype is the first step in requesting WES. If the phenotype is compatible with a known syndrome, the next step is ordering conventional targeted genetic tests for evaluations. Based on the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG), WES should be performed for the following patients: (1) patients with a suspected genetic disorder, whose phenotype is not compatible with the suspected syndromes; (2) patients with clinical manifestations which have various genetic causes; and (3) patients without an acceptable cause for the phenotype (18). In this study, WES was requested after the routine diagnostic tests yielded unsuccessful results, while according to recent studies, it is more costeffective if clinicians request WES for patients with a suspected genetic disorder in the primary visits (2, 9, 15, 19).

Despite the high diagnostic yield of WES, this test has several limitations. WES cannot identify large insertions and deletions, structural chromosomal rearrangements, trinucleotide repeats, epigenetic modifications, or mutations in non-exon regulatory regions. There are also technical limitations in sequencing mitochondrial DNA (5, 6, 20). Also, uncertainty about the function of possible genetic findings is another limitation of WES, which can lead to the misidentification of genes and misdiagnosis (5, 6). On the other hand, the neurodevelopmental phenotype of a patient is affected by many factors, such as complicated interactions between various genes and environmental factors. Due to the unavailability of WES in Iran, in the present study, the extracted DNAs were sent abroad for sequencing; therefore, establishing the final diagnosis can be time-consuming.

## In conclusion

The diagnostic rate of WES was remarkably high in our pediatric neurology clinic, with a success rate of 82.71%. Considering the high diagnostic yield of WES, its application in prenatal care can expand the genetic knowledgebase; therefore, clinicians should consider requesting WES in their routine practice.

# Acknowledgement

We appreciated all patients who participated in this study, and would like to thank of all technicians in genetic lab for doing tests and had very cooperation with children during sampling.

# **Author's Contribution**

Negin AHMADNIA: Carried out the research and wrote the article.

Mehran BEIRAGHI TOOSI: Literature review and referred patients

Ehsan GHAYOUR KARIMIANI: Conceptualized the study, did the collection of data and reviewed articles.

Mohammad FARAJIRAD: Helped in literature review, and approved the final manuscript as submitted.

Farah ASHRAFZADEH: Conceptualized the study, reviewed articles and writing article All authors agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

## **Conflict of Interest**

This study was carried out with no specific grant or funding. This article has not been previously published and/or presented as a poster or an oral presentation in any other national or international meeting. All authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

# References

- Gropman AL, Batshaw ML. Epigenetics, copy number variation, and other molecular mechanisms underlying neurodevelopmental disabilities: new insights and diagnostic approaches. Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics. 2010;31(7):582-91.
- 2. Fogel BL, Satya-Murti S, Cohen BH. Clinical exome sequencing in neurologic disease. Neurology: Clinical Practice. 2016;6(2):164-76.
- 3. Shashi V, McConkie-Rosell A, Rosell B, Schoch K, Vellore K, McDonald M, et al. The utility of the traditional medical genetics diagnostic evaluation in the context of next-generation sequencing for undiagnosed genetic disorders. Genetics in Medicine. 2013;16(2):176-82.
- 4. Livingston J. Next generation child neurologists. Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology. 2015;57(1):4-5.
- 5. Kuperberg M, Lev D, Blumkin L, Zerem A, Ginsberg M, Linder I, et al. Utility of whole exome sequencing for genetic diagnosis of previously undiagnosed pediatric neurology patients. Journal of child neurology. 2016;31(14):1534-9.
- 6. Srivastava S, Cohen JS, Vernon H, Barañano K, McClellan R, Jamal L, et al. Clinical whole exome sequencing in child neurology practice. Annals of neurology. 2014;76(4):473-83.
- 7. Dixon-Salazar TJ, Silhavy JL, Udpa N, et al. Exome sequencing can improve diagnosis and alter patient management. Sci Transl Med. 2012;4(138):138ra78.
- 8. Liberski PP, Blackstone C. Hereditary

- Spastic Paraplegia. Neurodegeneration. 2017:161.
- 9. Rehman AU, Najafi M, Kambouris M, Al-Gazali L, Makrythanasis P, Rad A, et al. Biallelic loss of function variants in PPP1R21 cause a neurodevelopmental syndrome with impaired endocytic function. Human mutation. 2018.
- 10. Castro-Gago M, Dacruz-Alvarez D, Pintos-Martínez E, Beiras-Iglesias A, Arenas J, Martín MÁ, et al. Congenital neurogenic muscular atrophy in megaconial myopathy due to a mutation in CHKB gene. Brain and Development. 2016;38(1):167-72.
- 11. Stessman HA, Xiong B, Coe BP, Wang T, Hoekzema K, Fenckova M, et al. Targeted sequencing identifies 91 neurodevelopmental-disorder risk genes with autism and developmental-disability biases. Nature genetics. 2017;49(4):515.
- 12. Monies D, Abouelhoda M, AlSayed M, Alhassnan Z, Alotaibi M, Kayyali H, et al. The landscape of genetic diseases in Saudi Arabia based on the first 1000 diagnostic panels and exomes. Human genetics. 2017;136(8):921-39.
- 13. Atwal PS, Brennan M-L, Cox R, Niaki M, Platt J, Homeyer M, et al. Clinical whole-exome sequencing: are we there yet? Genetics in Medicine. 2014;16(9):717-9.
- 14. Yang Y, Muzny DM, Reid JG, et al. Clinical whole-exome sequencing for the diagnosis of Mendelian disorders. N Engl J Med 2013; 369:1502–1511.
- 15. Landrum MJ, Lee JM, Benson M, Brown GR, Chao C, Chitipiralla S, et al. ClinVar: improving access to variant interpretations and supporting evidence. Nucleic acids research. 2017;46(D1):D1062-D7.
- 16. Bond J, Scott S, Hampshire DJ, Springell

#### The Results of Whole Exome Sequencing Performed On Previously Undiagnosed Pediatric Neurology Patients

- K, Corry P, Abramowicz MJ, et al. Proteintruncating mutations in ASPM cause variable reduction in brain size. The American Journal of Human Genetics. 2003;73(5):1170-7.
- 17. Muhammad F, Mahmood Baig S, Hansen L, Sajid Hussain M, Anjum Inayat I, Aslam M, et al. Compound heterozygous ASPM mutations in Pakistani MCPH families. American journal of medical genetics Part A. 2009;149(5):926-30.
- 18. Ng SB, Turner EH, Robertson PD, Flygare SD, Bigham AW, Lee C, et al. Targeted capture

- and massively parallel sequencing of 12 human exomes. Nature. 2009;461(7261):272-6.
- 19. De Ligt J, Willemsen MH, Van Bon BW, Kleefstra T, Yntema HG, Kroes T, et al. Diagnostic exome sequencing in persons with severe intellectual disability. New England Journal of Medicine. 2012;367(20):1921-9.
- 20. Ng SB, Buckingham KJ, Lee C, Bigham AW, Tabor HK, Dent KM, et al. Exome sequencing identifies the cause of a mendelian disorder. Nature genetics. 2010;42(1):30-5.

Copyright © 2022 The Authors. Published by Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences.

This work is published as an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4). Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.