a Open Access Full Text Article

LETTER

Validation of the Birefringent Amblyopia Screener (Retinal Polarization Scanner), the Rebion Blinq.™ [Letter]

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: *Clinical Ophthalmology*

David G Hunter 🝺

Department of Ophthalmology, Boston Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, 02115, USA

Correspondence: David G Hunter Department of Ophthalmology, Boston Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, 300 Longwood Ave, Boston, MA 02115, USA Tel +1 617-355-6766 Email david.hunter@childrens.harvard. edu



Dear editor

I write to clarify some of the conclusions made by Dr. Arnold in his study of the bling.TM vision screener.¹ The goal of vision screening is early detection of amblyopia and strabismus, which until now, due to limitations in technology, could only be achieved by detecting refractive risk factors. Unfortunately, risk factor screening results in under-detection of patients with strabismus and overreferral of children who would not benefit from treatment.² The bling, device was not designed to detect refractive risk factors; instead, the device detects amblyopia directly by performing a binocular retinal polarization scan to identify reduced binocularity, microstrabismus, and fixation instability without regard to refractive status.³ In his study, Dr. Arnold evaluated the ability of blinq. to detect amblyopia risk factors, but the performance of bling, and all vision screening technology should be evaluated in the context of how well it identifies patients who meet diagnostic criteria for amblyopia and strabismus.⁴ Out of concern that his study design might create confusion among readers, I contacted Dr. Arnold, who kindly provided his de-identified data to allow me to determine which patients had referralwarranted disease, not just risk factors.

Dr. Arnold's total cohort included 87 patients. However, on review of the spreadsheet available to me, 37 of these patients did not have sufficient best-corrected visual acuity or prism-and-cover testing data recorded to determine whether they met diagnostic criteria for amblyopia or strabismus. Of the remaining 64 patients, 39 had referral-warranted disease (met clinical criteria for amblyopia or had manifest strabismus), while 25 did not (no referral-warranted disease).

Of the 39 with referral-warranted disease, 38/39 were referred, for a sensitivity of 97%. This includes 38 with strabismus, unilateral or bilateral amblyopia, nys-tagmus, or monocular suppression. The 1 patient who received an incorrect "pass" result had >2 lines of visual acuity asymmetry (but this may or may not have been best-corrected acuity). Of the 25 who had no referral-warranted disease, 23 passed, for a specificity of 92%. Patients who passed included 22 with ≤ 1 line of visual acuity difference, fusion, stereopsis, and no strabismus, and 1 with a well-controlled intermittent exotropia at distance with equal visual acuity and good stereopsis. The 2 false referrals had normal visual acuity and stereopsis.

Clinical Ophthalmology 2020:14 2599-2600

2599

© 2020 Hunter. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://treativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the firms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4 2 and 5 of our Terms (http://www.dovepress.com/terms.php). In conclusion, when the blinq. device is evaluated according to its intended use, which is the detection of referralwarranted amblyopia or strabismus, we found a remarkable 97% sensitivity and 92% specificity using Dr. Arnold's own data. This performance is considerably higher than that reported for detecting amblyopia risk factors, for which the device was not designed, and which would be expected to give a lower yield of patients with referral-warranted disease. I hope that future studies of blinq. and of any vision screening technology will focus on detection of referral-warranted disease, which will allow for accurate assessment of the cost and benefit of a vision screening program.

Disclosure

The author owns stock in Rebion, Inc., manufacturer of the blinq. device and, as inventor, has patents related to retinal polarization scanning technology.

References

- Arnold RW. Comparative AAPOS validation of the birefringent amblyopia screener with isolated small-angle strabismus. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2020;14:325–329. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S242335
- Arnold RW. Amblyopia risk factor prevalence. J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus. 2013;50(4):213–217. doi:10.3928/ 01913913-20130326-01
- Jost RM, Yanni SE, Beauchamp CL, et al. Beyond screening for risk factors: objective detection of strabismus and amblyopia. *JAMA Ophthalmol.* 2014;132(7):814–820. doi:10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2014. 424
- Hunter DG. Targeting treatable disease–not just risk factors–in pediatric vision screening. J AAPOS. 2013;17(1):2–3. doi:10.1016/j.jaapos.2012.1 0.009

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Ophthalmology 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Ophthalmology editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical Ophthalmology

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on PubMed

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal

sis completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system