Open Access Both TRIM5α and TRIMCyp have only weak antiviral activity in canine D17 cells Julie Bérubé, Amélie Bouchard and Lionel Berthoux*

Address: Laboratory of Retrovirology, GRBCM, University of Québec, Trois-Rivières, QC G9A 5H7, Canada

Email: Julie Bérubé - julie.berube1@uqtr.ca; Amélie Bouchard - amelie.bouchard1@uqtr.ca; Lionel Berthoux* - lionel.berthoux@uqtr.ca * Corresponding author

Published: 24 September 2007

Retrovirology 2007, 4:68 doi:10.1186/1742-4690-4-68

This article is available from: http://www.retrovirology.com/content/4/1/68

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{}^{\circ}}$ 2007 Bérubé et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 19 June 2007 Accepted: 24 September 2007

Abstract

Background: TRIM5 α , which is expressed in most primates and the related TRIMCyp, which has been found in one of the New World monkey species, are antiviral proteins of the TRIM5 family that are able to intercept incoming retroviruses early after their entry into cells. The mechanism of action has been partially elucidated for TRIM5 α , which seems to promote premature decapsidation of the restricted retroviruses. In addition, through its N-terminal RING domain, TRIM5 α may sensitize retroviruses to proteasome-mediated degradation. TRIM5 α -mediated restriction requires a physical interaction with the capsid protein of targeted retroviruses. It is unclear whether other cellular proteins are involved in the inhibition mediated by TRIM5 α and TRIMCyp. A previous report suggested that the inhibition of HIV-1 by the rhesus macaque orthologue of TRIM5 α was inefficient in the D17a canine cell line, suggesting that the cellular environment was important for the restriction mechanism. Here we investigated further the behavior of TRIM5 α and TRIMCyp in the D17 cells.

Results: We found that the various TRIM5 α orthologues studied (human, rhesus macaque, African green monkey) as well as TRIMCyp had poor antiviral activity in the D17 cells, despite seemingly normal expression levels and subcellular distribution. Restriction of both HIV-1 and the distantly related N-tropic murine leukemia virus (N-MLV) was low in D17 cells. Both TRIM5 α_{rh} and TRIMCyp promoted early HIV-1 decapsidation in murine cells, but weak levels of restriction in D17 cells correlated with the absence of accelerated decapsidation in these cells and also correlated with normal levels of cDNA synthesis. Fv1, a murine restriction factor structurally unrelated to TRIM5 α , was fully functional in D17 cells, showing that the loss of activity was specific to TRIM5 α /TRIMCyp.

Conclusion: We show that D17 cells provide a poor environment for the inhibition of retroviral replication by proteins of the TRIM5 family. Because both TRIM5 α and TRIMCyp are poorly active in these cells, despite having quite different viral target recognition domains, we conclude that a step either upstream or downstream of target recognition is impaired. We speculate that an unknown factor required for TRIM5 α and TRIMCyp activity is missing or inadequately expressed in D17 cells.

Background

TRIM5 α is a primate protein expressed in the cytoplasm of many cell types that is able to inhibit ("restrict") the replication of selected retroviruses [1-3]. Individual TRIM5 α alleles are able to restrict a few or many retroviruses (although never all of them). The specificity of the restriction, i.e. the viral targets for each particular TRIM5 α allele, is species-dependent more than it is cell type-dependent. The specific recognition of viral targets is determined by the SPRY/B30.2 region at the C-terminus of TRIM5 α [4-9]. On the virus side, capsid (CA) proteins seem to be the only determinant of sensitivity to TRIM5 α [10-12], and a physical interaction takes place between TRIM5α and capsid, as evidenced by pull-down assays [13,14]. It is worth noting, however, that the interaction has not yet been documented using purified TRIM5 α_i and thus it is possible that other cellular factors are relevant to this step. TRIM5a forms trimers and possibly multimers of higher orders of complexity [15,16]. TRIM5α multimerization is linked to its restriction activity [17]. In addition, TRIM5 α targets multimers of properly maturated and assembled retroviral CA constituting the capsid core of incoming viral particles [18,19]. Thus, the initial TRIM5 α -retrovirus interaction might involve the assembly of a multimer of TRIM5 α around the capsid core of incoming retroviruses very early after entry.

Following this initial interaction, replication of the restricted retrovirus can be impaired in several ways. First, TRIM5 α_{rh} and TRIM5 α_{hu} seem to promote premature decapsidation of HIV-1 and N-tropic murine leukemia virus (N-MLV), respectively [14,20]. More specifically, TRIM5α causes post-entry disappearance of CA in its particulate form, which is assumed to belong to not-yet-disassembled viruses. Second, replication is inhibited by a mechanism involving the proteasome. This is evidenced by the partial rescue of retroviral replication from TRIM5 α restriction in the presence of the proteasome inhibitor MG132 [21,22]. In addition, the ubiquitin ligase activity associated with the RING domain of TRIM5α is important for full restriction activity [3]. It has also been proposed recently that TRIM5 α_{rh} might promote the degradation of HIV-1 CA through a non-proteasomal, non-lysosomal pathway [23]. Thirdly, TRIM5α interferes with the nuclear transport of retroviral pre-integration complexes [21,22]. TRIM5 α from the squirrel monkey seems to restrict the mac251 strain of simian immunodeficiency virus (SIVmac251) mostly, if not only, by inhibiting this nuclear transport step [24].

Interestingly, a recent report pointed to late steps (i.e. assembly and release) of retroviral replication as possibly targeted by TRIM5 α , although the molecular basis for late-stage restriction specificity is distinct from that of early stages [25].

In the owl monkey, a New World species, the SPRY/B30.2 domain of TRIM5 α is replaced by the full coding sequence of the highly conserved, ubiquitously expressed peptidylprolyl isomerase Cyclophilin A (CypA), yielding a protein called TRIMCyp or TRIM5-CypA [26,27]. TRIMCyp inhibits HIV-1, the African green monkey strain of SIV (SIV_{AGM}), feline immunodeficiency virus (FIV) and equine infectious anemia virus (EIAV) [28-30]. CypA was isolated fifteen years ago as a cellular protein interacting with HIV-1 CA [31] and TRIMCyp binds CA through its CypA domain [27,28]. CypA-CA interaction and TRIM-Cyp-mediated restriction are abrogated in the presence of cyclosporine (CsA), a drug that targets the same structural motif in CypA to which CA binds [27,32]. Like TRIM5 α_{rh} TRIMCyp causes an early block to HIV-1 replication, preventing the accumulation of retroviral cDNA in the infected cells [16,28,33]. Prior to the present work, however, it was not known whether TRIMCyp promoted HIV-1 premature decapsidation.

Are other cellular factors important for the restriction mediated by TRIM5a? Efficient inhibition of HIV-1 by TRIM5a in several Old World monkey cell lines requires the presence of CypA, as seen by gene knock-down [34,35]. The proposed model [34] is that CypA catalyzes the cis-trans isomerization of HIV-1 CA at proline 90 [36], thus turning it into a target for some simian TRIM5 α orthologues. However, the impact of CypA on the restriction of HIV-1 is much less significant when TRIM5 α is over-expressed in non-primate cells [34,35,37]. It is not clear whether other cellular proteins are important in the steps leading to the initial viral recognition step. Downstream of this TRIM5*a*-target interaction, it is expected that cellular proteins take part in the targeting of restricted viruses to proteasome-dependent degradation, although the exact mechanism has not been elucidated yet. Whether cellular proteins other than TRIM5 α are also required for CA premature decapsidation and the inhibition of nuclear transport is totally unknown.

The restriction phenotype stemming from TRIM5 α and TRIMCyp activity is retained upon expression of these proteins in non-primate cells such as murine and feline cells, suggesting that if cellular factors other than TRIM5 α are required, they must be widely conserved among mammals. However, the Poeschla group recently reported that restriction of HIV-1 by the rhesus macaque TRIM5 α orthologue was inefficient in D17 cells, a canine osteosarcoma cell line [38]. As a first step toward the isolation of additional factors involved in the restriction by TRIM5 α , we decided to characterize further the restriction phenotype in the D17 cells.

Results

We transduced C-terminal FLAG versions of TRIM5a (rhesus macaque, African green monkey, and human) and TRIMCyp (owl monkey) into mus dunni tail fibroblasts (MDTF) and D17 cells. Cell lines homogeneously expressing each TRIM5 orthologue were obtained following puromycin treatment. Steady-state levels of TRIM5 expression were similar in MDTF and D17 cells, as judged by western blotting (Fig. 1A). Curiously, we could not detect the human TRIM5α orthologue in either cell line. However, N-tropic murine leukemia virus (N-MLV) was restricted in the MDTF cells expressing TRIM5 α_{hu} as expected (Fig. 2), and sequencing analysis of pMIP-TRIM5 α_{hu} confirmed the presence of the FLAG tag. Thus, it appears that TRIM5 α_{hu} -FLAG has constitutively small steady-state expression levels, an observation previously made by others [39]. We used immunofluorescence (IF) microscopy to analyze the subcellular distribution of TRIM5 α_{rh} and TRIMCyp in MDTF cells and in D17 cells (Fig. 1B). Both proteins were cytoplasmic and formed bodies in the two cell types. Thus, expression and localization of TRIM5 α and TRIMCyp were seemingly normal in the D17 cells.

We then challenged the cell lines generated with N-MLV and B-MLV vectors expressing GFP. Upon infection with multiple virus doses, we found as expected that N-MLV was 10- to 12-fold less infectious in the MDTF cells expressing the human or African green monkey orthologues of TRIM5 α , compared with the control cells (Fig. 2A). In the D17 cells, however, the magnitude of restriction by TRIM5 α_{hu} or TRIM5 α_{AGM} was only to 2- to 3-fold. As expected, B-tropic MLV replication was not affected by any of the TRIM5α orthologues. In an independent experiment, we infected all the MDTF and D17 cell lines generated with N-MLV_{GFP} and B-MLV_{GFP} at a single virus dose. $TRIM5\alpha_{AGM}$ and $TRIM5\alpha_{rh}$ each inhibited N-MLV infection by about 100-fold in the MDTF cells, and TRIM5 α_{hu} had an even greater inhibitory effect (Fig. 2B). In contrast, restriction in the D17 cells was much smaller (about 10fold) (Fig. 2B). As expected, N-MLV was not inhibited by TRIMCyp and B-MLV was not inhibited by either TRIM5 α or TRIMCyp.

We next investigated the levels of restriction of HIV-1 in the various cell lines. Upon challenge at multiple virus doses, we found HIV-1_{GFP} to be strongly inhibited (about 100-fold; Fig. 3A) in the MDTF cells expressing either TRIM5 α_{rh} or TRIMCyp, as expected. In contrast, the level of restriction by these TRIM5 proteins was much smaller in the D17 cells (about 3-fold). In another experiment, we infected MDTF, HeLa, and D17 cells expressing either TRIM5 α_{rh} or TRIM_{Cyp} with HIV-1_{GFP} at a fixed virus dose. In these conditions, we found that TRIM5 α_{rh} and TRIM-Cyp caused a \approx 100-fold decrease in infection by HIV-1_{GFP} in MDTF or HeLa cells. In D17 cells, however, the decrease in infectivity was of only 5-fold. Thus, restriction of both N-MLV and HIV-1 by either TRIM5 α or TRIMCyp was inefficient in the D17 cells.

Restriction of lentiviruses by TRIMCyp is abrogated in the presence of cyclosporine A (CsA), a competitive inhibitor of cyclophilins. We reasoned that if TRIMCyp inhibited HIV-1 more efficiently in MDTF cells compared to the D17 cells, then the level of enhancement of HIV-1 infection by CsA should also be greater. Thus, we infected MDTF and D17 cells with HIV-1_{GFP} at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1 to 3% infected cells and in the presence of increasing CsA concentrations. In MDTF-TRIM-Cyp cells, CsA enhanced HIV-1 infection by 60-fold (Fig. 4A), consistent with the high level of restriction in these cells. In contrast, CsA-mediated enhancement of HIV-1 replication in D17-TRIMCyp cells was much smaller (5fold). We performed an additional experiment using an optimal CsA concentration (6 μ M) and multiple MOIs (Fig. 4B). CsA completely abrogated TRIMCyp-mediated restriction in both MDTF and D17 cells, but the magnitude of CsA-mediated enhancement of HIV-1 replication was about 20-fold greater in MDTF-TRIMCyp cells compared to D17-TRIMCyp.

Restriction of both HIV-1 and N-MLV by TRIM5a has been associated with a loss of particulate CA [14,20]. Postentry particulate CA is believed to be a marker of viruses not yet disassembled, as disassembly of the retroviral core leads to increased CA solubility. Using a 50% sucrose cushion, we separated particulate CA from soluble CA following HIV-1 virus-like particles (VLPs) infection of MDTF and D17 cells expressing TRIM5 α_{rh} or TRIMCyp. Examination of CA in whole lysates and in soluble "supernatant" fractions revealed a larger amount of CA in D17 cells compared with the MDTF cells (Fig. 5). Presumably, this could be due to more efficient virus entry in the D17 cells. Uncleaved Gag proteins and Gag maturation intermediates were detected in whole lysates and in some pellets, but this observation did not fit any obvious trend and had low reproducibility (not shown). As expected, there was a decrease (6-fold) in particulate CA in the MDTF-TRIM5 α_{rh} cells, compared with the control MDTF cells. The same phenotype was observed in the MDTF-TRIMCyp cells, indicating that TRIM5 α and TRIMCyp, despite differences in the CA-binding region, inhibit retroviral replication through similar mechanisms. We also noted that the decrease in particulate CA was not accompanied by an obvious increase in soluble CA (Fig. 5 and Fig. 6). In the D17 cells, TRIM5 α_{rh} and TRIMCyp both decreased the levels of particulate HIV-1 CA compared with the control cells, but the magnitude of the effect was significantly lesser than in the MDTF cells.



Figure I

Expression and subcellular distribution. <u>A</u>, FLAG-tagged TRIM5 α (rhesus, human, or African green monkey orthologues) and TRIMCyp were stably expressed in MDTF and in D17 cells, and expression was assessed by western blotting with antibodies directed against FLAG (top) or actin (bottom). The percentage of transduced cells was roughly similar for all cell lines created, as judged by the percentage of puromycin-resistant cells (not shown). The presence of the FLAG tag in TRIM5 α_{hu} was confirmed by sequencing of the plasmid DNA. <u>B</u>, MDTF or D17 cells expressing TRIM5 α_{rh} or TRIMCyp were fixed and stained using an antibody against FLAG and counterstained with Hoechst33342 to reveal DNA.



Restriction of N-MLV. <u>A</u>, MDTF or D17 cells expressing TRIM5 α_{hu} , TRIM5 α_{AGM} , or control cells were infected with multiple dilutions of N-MLV and B-MLV vectors expressing GFP. The percentage of infected cells was determined by flow cytometry. <u>B</u>, MDTF or D17 cells expressing various orthologues of TRIM5 α , or expressing TRIMCyp, were infected with GFP-expressing N-MLV or B-MLV vectors. The virus dose used in each cell type was first adjusted so that 5–10% of control cells would be infected and the same volume of virus preparation was then used to infect the various TRIM5-expressing cell lines from that cell type. The percentage of infected cells was determined 2 days later by flow cytometry, and results are shown as % of the values obtained for the control cells. The experiment was carried out in triplicates, and standard deviations are shown.





Restriction of HIV-1. <u>A</u>, MDTF or D17 cells expressing TRIM5 α_{rh} , TRIMCyp, or control cells were infected with multiple dilutions of HIV-1_{GFP}, an HIV-1 vector expressing GFP. The percentage of infected cells was determined by flow cytometry. <u>B</u>, MDTF, HeLa or D17 cells expressing TRIM5 α_{rh} or TRIMCyp, and control cells were infected with HIV-1_{GFP}. The virus dose used in each cell type was first adjusted so that 5–10% of control cells would be infected and the same volume of virus preparation was then used to infect the various TRIM5-expressing cell lines from that cell type. The percentage of infected cells was determined 2 days later by flow cytometry, and results are shown as % of the values obtained for the control cells. The experiment was carried out in triplicates, and standard deviations are shown.



Figure 4

Enhancement of HIV-1 infection in cells expressing TRIMCyp by cyclosporine. <u>A</u>, MDTF or D17 cells, expressing TRIMCyp or not (control cells), were infected with HIV-1_{GFP}. The virus dose was adjusted so that 1% to 3% of cells would be infected in the absence of cyclosporine for each cell line, and the infections were done in the presence of various cyclosporine concentrations. The percentage of infected cells was determined 2 days later by flow cytometry. <u>B</u>, as above, except that CsA concentration was constant (6 μ M) and cells were infected with multiple doses of HIV-1_{GFP}.

Both TRIMCyp-mediated restriction of HIV-1 and enhancement of HIV-1 replication by CsA are more efficient in the MDTF cells compared with the D17 cells (Fig. 3 and 4). Thus, we examined the effect of CsA on the levels of particulate CA in MDTF-TRIMCyp and D17-TRIMCyp cells (Fig. 6). Like before, the decrease in particulate CA caused by TRIMCyp was more acute in the MDTF cells compared with the D17 cells (5-fold versus 1.6-fold). In addition, CsA restored wild-type levels of particulate CA



Fate-of-capsid assay. MDTF or D17 cells expressing TRIM5 α_{rh} or TRIMCyp and control cells were infected with HIV-1 VLPs for 4 hours, then cells were allowed to grow for 2 more hours in a virus-free medium. Following the infection, cells were submitted to hypotonic lysis and the protein suspension was sedimented through a 50% sucrose gradient. HIV-1 CA was detected by western blotting of whole lysates, post-sedimentation pellets and supernatants (materials that did not enter the sucrose cushion). The mature CA (24 kDa) band was quantitated for the blot showing the pellet fractions and quantitation data are shown expressed as relative values.

in both cell types, although, as expected, the magnitude of this effect was greater in the MDTF cells.

TRIM5 α_{rh} and TRIMCyp both inhibit HIV-1 cDNA accumulation in their cognate species and this phenotype is maintained upon expression in non-primate cells. We used standard PCR and real-time PCR to analyze the levels of HIV-1 cDNA after a 12-hours HIV-1_{GFP} infection of MDTF and D17 cells expressing TRIM5 α_{rh} or TRIMCyp (Fig. 7). The oligodeoxynucleotide pair used amplified a sequence within the GFP cDNA. Compared with control cells, both TRIM5 α_{rh} and TRIMCyp caused a sharp decrease in the accumulation of viral cDNA in the MDTF cells. As expected, CsA rescued HIV-1 cDNA synthesis to near-normal levels. On the other hand, TRIM5 α_{rh} and TRIMCyp caused little or no effect on the levels of cDNA in the D17-TRIMCyp cells (Fig. 7).



Figure 6

Fate-of-capsid assay. MDTF and D17 cells expressing TRIMCyp, and control cells were infected with HIV-1 VLPs as in Fig. 5. The cells expressing TRIMCyp were infected in the presence or not of cyclosporine (5 μ M). CA was detected in post-sedimentation pellets and supernatants. Pellet CA was quantitated as in Fig. 5.

Fv1, the murine retroviral restriction factor described and cloned decades ago [40,41], also targets incoming retroviruses at an early post-entry step. Although fv1 is related to the gag region of murine endogenous retroviruses and bears no immediate similarities to TRIM5, residues in MLV CA proteins are determinants in both Fv1 and TRIM5α-mediated restrictions [42]. Consequently, Fv1 and TRIM5α compete with one another for the binding to putative restriction targets when co-expressed in the same cells [43]. We transduced the N-MLV-targeting Fv1^b in both D17 and MDTF cells and monitored the effect of its expression on the replication of N-MLV and, as a control, B-MLV (Fig. 8). As expected, Fv1^b strongly inhibited N-MLV in the MDTF cells (more than 100-fold) while it had little effect on B-MLV. Restriction of N-MLV in D17-Fv1b cells was efficient, albeit slightly less so than in MDTF-Fv1^b cells. Thus, loss of restriction activity in D17 cells seems to be specific to TRIM5 α .

Discussion

The mechanism by which TRIM5 α and TRIMCyp intercept and inhibit incoming retroviruses is incompletely understood. TRIM5 α is able to trimerize in cells, and it is probably in this form (or as a multimer of higher complexity) that it recognizes its viral target [15,17]. This initial interaction is followed by the disappearance of particulate CA but not soluble CA. The loss of particulate



Retroviral cDNA synthesis. MDTF or D17 cells expressing the indicated TRIM5 orthologues were infected for 12 hours with HIV-I_{GFP} at a MOI yielding about 20% infected cells for the control cells. In addition, infection of cells expressing TRIMCyp was carried out in the presence or absence of 5 μ M cyclosporine, and infection of control cells was done in the presence or absence of the reverse transcriptase inhibitor nevirapine (80 μ M). Top panel, total cellular DNAs were extracted and an aliquot of each DNA sample was subjected to a 30-cycle PCR amplification using ODNs annealing to GFP sequences. PCR products were separated on an agarose gel and revealed with ethidium bromide. Bottom panel, as above but HIV-I_{GFP}-specific DNAs were quantitated by real-time PCR, using dilutions of a plasmid containing the GFP sequence as a standard.

CA has been attributed to an acceleration of viral uncoating in restrictive conditions [14,20]. However, as observed here and by others [14], the decrease in particulate HIV-1 CA in restrictive conditions is not necessarily accompanied by an increase in soluble CA. Thus, it remains possible that incoming retroviral cores are not disassembled faster under TRIM5 α /TRIMCyp restriction but instead are specifically targeted to a degradation pathway. Accordingly, pharmacological approaches have revealed a role for the proteasome in the restriction mediated by TRIM5 α [21,22]. Of course, the two models are not mutually exclusive, as proteasome-mediated degradation might well follow premature decapsidation.

We find retroviral restrictions mediated by either TRIM5 α or TRIMCyp (but not Fv1) to be poorly efficient in the



Figure 8

Restriction by Fv1. MDTF or D17 cells, expressing Fv1^b or not (control cells), were infected with multiple dilutions of N-MLV and B-MLV vectors expressing GFP. The percentage of infected cells was determined by flow cytometry 2 days later.

canine cells D17. These results confirm and extend previous findings by Saez and colleagues [38]. The restriction defect did not appear to be caused by poor expression or mislocalization of TRIM5a or TRIMCyp. Consistent with the HIV-1_{GFP} transduction data, TRIM5 α and TRIMCyp had little effect on the accumulation of HIV-1 cDNA in D17 cells. In addition, TRIM5α and TRIMCyp induced the disappearance of HIV-1 particulate CA at relatively low rates in D17 cells, compared with the MDTF cells. Therefore, D17 cells provided a poor environment for the restriction. We hypothesize that a cellular factor important for the activity of TRIM5 α and TRIMCyp is not functional or is expressed at low levels in these cells. The missing factor might be important for TRIM5 multimerization or for its interaction with the proteasome. Conversely, a dominant negative factor might be expressed in the D17 cells. That both N-MLV and HIV-1 were less restricted in D17 cells implies that CypA is not relevant to the observed phenotype. Reciprocally, it is unlikely that the SPRY/B30.2 domain of TRIM5 α is relevant to its loss

of function in the D17 cells, since a similar effect was observed with TRIMCyp.

Conclusion

The canine D17 cells offer a cellular context that is unfavorable to the restriction mechanism mediated by TRIM5 α and TRIMCyp. This cell line may thus represent a unique opportunity to isolate and characterize cellular genes regulating retroviral restrictions.

Methods

Plasmid DNAs

pMIP-TRIM5 α_{rh} -FLAG, pMIP-TRIM5 α_{AGM} -FLAG, pMIP-TRIM5 α_{hu} -FLAG, and pMIP-TRIMCyp-FLAG express Cterminal FLAG tagged versions of cDNAs amplified respectively from rhesus macaque FRhK4 cells, African green monkey Vero cells, human TE671 cells, or owl monkey OMK cells, and were generous gifts from Jeremy Luban [39]. pCLNCX-Fv1^b [43], which encodes both Fv1^b and the red fluorescent protein (RFP), was a kind gift of Greg Towers (University College, London). pMD-G, pAR8.9, pTRIP-CMV-GFP, pCL-Eco, pCIG3N, pCIG3B and pCNCG have all been extensively described before [44-49].

Cells and virus production

Human embryonic kidney 293T, human cervical epithelial carcinoma cells HeLa, mus dunni tail fibroblasts (MDTF; a gift from Jeremy Luban) and canine osteosarcoma D17 cells (a kind gift from Monica Roth) were all grown in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and antibiotics. All viruses used in this study were produced through transient transfection of 293T cells using polyethylenimine. For that, a mixture of the appropriate DNAs diluted in 1 ml of DMEM without serum or antibiotics was mixed with 45 μ l of a 1 mg/ml solution of polyethylenimine (Polysciences). This transfection mix was then added to 70% confluent 293T cells in a 10-cm tissue culture dish. The next day, cells were PBS-washed once and put back in culture in fresh medium. 2 days after transfection, virus-containing supernatants were collected, clarified by low-speed centrifugation and stored in 1-ml aliquots at -80°C.

To produce the CLNCX and MIP vectors used to transduce $f\nu 1^{b}$ and the various *TRIM5* alleles, the transfection mix included 10 µg of pCL-Eco, 5 µg of pMD-G, and 10 µg of the appropriate pMIP or pCLNCX construct. To produce the N-MLV_{GFP} and B-MLV_{GFP} vectors, the transfection mix included 10 µg of pCIG3 N or B, 5 µg of pMD-G, and 10 µg of pCNCG. To produce the HIV-1_{GFP} vector, cells were transfected with 10 µg of p Δ R8.9, 5 µg of pMD-G, and 10 µg of pTRIP-CMV-GFP.

TRIM5-expressing cell lines

HeLa and D17 cells were plated at 300,000 cells per well and MDTF cells were plated at 140,000 cells per well in 6well plates. The next day, supernatants were aspirated and replaced with MIP-TRIM5 α or MIP-TRIMCyp vector preparations (2 ml per well). 2 days later, cells were placed in medium containing 1 µg/ml (HeLa, D17) or 3 µg/ml (MDTF) of puromycin (EMD Biosciences). These puromycin concentrations were determined to kill all sensitive cells after one or two days of treatment. Puromycin selection was allowed to proceed for 4 days, and then again periodically during the course of this work. Expression of the transduced TRIM5 cDNAs was analyzed by western blotting, using antibodies directed against the FLAG epitope (mouse monoclonal; Sigma) or actin (goat polyclonal; Santa Cruz).

Viral challenges

Cells were plated at 25,000 cells (HeLa, D17) or 10,000 cells (MDTF) in 0.4 ml per well of 24-well plates. Cells were infected the next day with HIV-1_{GFP}, N-MLV_{GFP}, or B-MLV_{GEP} vectors. When CsA (Sigma) or nevirapine were used, they were added 15 min prior to the virus. Cell supernatants were replaced with fresh medium without drugs 16 h after infection. 2 days after infection, cells were trypsinized and fixed in 2% formaldehyde-PBS. Flow cytometry was done on a FC500 MPL instrument (Beckman Coulter) using the CXP software for analysis. Intact cells were identified based on light scatter profiles, and only those cells were included in the analysis. Ten thousand cells per sample were processed, and cells positive for GFP expression were gated and counted as a percentage of total intact cells. Cells expressing Fv1^b and RFP were first gated for RFP expression and infected cells were computed as % of cells expressing both RFP and GFP among all RFP-positive cells. False-positive results were insignificant, as shown by controls corresponding to uninfected cells (not shown).

IF microscopy

Cells were plated at 24,000 (MDTF) or 50,000 (D17) on LabTek II four-chamber slides (LabTek). The next day, cells were washed with PBS, fixed for 30 min in 4% formaldehyde-PBS, washed three times in PBS and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 2 min on ice. Cells were then washed again with PBS and treated with 50 mM NH₄Cl (in PBS) for 10 min at RT. Then, cells were washed 3 times in PBS and treated with 10% normal goat serum (Vector laboratories) for 30 min at RT. This saturation step was followed by incubation with an antibody against FLAG (M2 mouse monoclonal; Sigma) at a 1:400 dilution in PBS with 10% normal goat serum. Fluorescent staining was done using an Alexa488-conjugated goat anti-mouse antibody (Molecular Probes) at a 1:500 dilution. Cells were washed 4 times in PBS before mounting in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories). Hoechst33342 (0.8 µg/ml; Molecular Probes) was added along with the penultimate PBS wash to reveal DNA. Pictures were generated using a Olympus BX-60 microscope with the Image-Pro Express software.

Fate-of-capsid assay

The protocol used was adapted from Stremlau et al [14]. Cells were plated at 80% confluence in 10-cm culture dishes. 12 hours later, they were layered with 8 ml of HIV-1 VLPs, which is a high MOI (equivalent to 50-80% infected control cells by HIV-1_{GFP}). VLP infections were performed in the presence or absence of nevirapine (80 μ M) or CsA (5 μ M). 4 hours later, supernatants were replaced with fresh media containing the appropriate drugs and the cells were put back in culture for an additional 2 hours. Cells were then lysed in 1.5 ml of a hypotonic lysis buffer (100 µM Tris-Cl pH8.0, 0.4 mM KCl, 2 µM EDTA) containing a protease inhibitor mix (Sigma). After Dounce homogenization (15 strokes) and clarification by low-speed centrifugation, 50 μ l of the lysate were saved ("whole lysate"), and 1 ml was layered on top of a 50% sucrose cushion prepared in PBS. Particulate CA was sedimented by ultracentrifugation using a Beckman SW41Ti rotor. The centrifugation was carried in Beckman Ultraclear tubes for 2 hours at 32,000 rpm and at 4°C. Following this step, 200 µl of the supernatants were carefully transferred to a fresh tube and lysed in SDS sample buffer. Remaining supernatant and sucrose cushions were discarded by carefully inverting the tubes, and pellets were resuspended in 50 µl of SDS sample buffer. Equal volumes of whole cell lysate, supernatant, and pellet fractions were processed for western blotting using a anti-CA mouse monoclonal antibody (clone 183; a gift of Jeremy Luban)

Monitoring HIV-1 cDNA synthesis

50,000 cells (D17) or 20,000 cells (MDTF) were plated in 0.4 ml per well in 24-well plates. 12 hours later, cells were infected with 10 µl HIV-1_{GFP} that had been treated with DNase I (NEB; 23 U/ml of virus preparation) for 10 min at 25 °C. Cells were washed with PBS and trypsinized after 12 hours of infection. Total cellular DNA was extracted using the DNeasy kit (Qiagen) and digested for one hour at 37°C with Dpn1 to further reduce contamination of the samples with plasmid DNA. Aliquots (5 µl out of 200 µl) of each sample were submitted to a 30-cycle PCR analysis using the following oligodeoxynucleotides: GFPs, 5'-GACGACGGCAACTACAAGAC and GFPas, 5'-TCGTC-CATGCCGAGAGTGAT. PCR products were separated on a 2% agarose-TAE gel, and revealed with ethidium bromide staining. For real-time PCR analysis, 2 µl of each DNA preparation were subjected to a 45-cycle PCR in 20 µl total volume containing 10 µl of QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR master mix (Qiagen). Amplification curves were analyzed

with Light Cycler relative quantification software v1.0, and quantifications were determined relative to dilutions of pTRIP-CMV-GFP.

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

LB and JB designed the study. JB and AB performed experiments. LB and JB drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Jeremy Luban, Greg Towers and Monica Roth for the generous gift of reagents. We also thank Valérie Leblanc and Marie-Claude Déry for their help with real-time PCR analysis and IF microscopy. Nevirapine was obtained through the AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH. This work was supported by the Canadian Institutes for Health Research, Institute of Infection and Immunity.

References

- Perez O, Hope TJ: Cellular restriction factors affecting the early stages of HIV replication. Current HIV/AIDS reports 2006, 3(1):20-25.
- 2. Towers GJ: The control of viral infection by tripartite motif proteins and cyclophilin A. *Retrovirology* 2007, 4:40.
- Stremlau M, Owens CM, Perron MJ, Kiessling M, Autissier P, Sodroski J: The cytoplasmic body component TRIM5alpha restricts HIV-1 infection in Old World monkeys. Nature 2004, 427(6977):848-853.
- Li X, Li Y, Stremlau M, Yuan W, Song B, Perron M, Sodroski J: Functional replacement of the RING, B-box 2, and coiled-coil domains of tripartite motif 5alpha (TRIM5alpha) by heterologous TRIM domains. *Journal of virology* 2006, 80(13):6198-6206.
- Li Y, Li X, Stremlau M, Lee M, Sodroski J: Removal of arginine 332 allows human TRIM5alpha to bind human immunodeficiency virus capsids and to restrict infection. *Journal of virology* 2006, 80(14):6738-6744.
- Stremlau M, Perron M, Welikala S, Sodroski J: Species-specific variation in the B30.2(SPRY) domain of TRIM5alpha determines the potency of human immunodeficiency virus restriction. Journal of virology 2005, 79(5):3139-3145.
- Nakayama EE, Miyoshi H, Nagai Y, Shioda T: A specific region of 37 amino acid residues in the SPRY (B30.2) domain of African green monkey TRIM5alpha determines species-specific restriction of simian immunodeficiency virus SIVmac infection. Journal of virology 2005, 79(14):8870-8877.
- Perez-Caballero D, Hatziioannou T, Yang A, Cowan S, Bieniasz PD: Human tripartite motif 5alpha domains responsible for retrovirus restriction activity and specificity. *Journal of virology* 2005, 79(14):8969-8978.
- Ohkura S, Yap MW, Sheldon T, Stoye JP: All three variable regions of the TRIM5alpha B30.2 domain can contribute to the specificity of retrovirus restriction. *Journal of virology* 2006, 80(17):8554-8565.
- Cowan S, Hatziioannou T, Cunningham T, Muesing MA, Gottlinger HG, Bieniasz PD: Cellular inhibitors with FvI-like activity restrict human and simian immunodeficiency virus tropism. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2002, 99(18):11914-11919.
- Ikeda Y, Ylinen LM, Kahar-Bador M, Towers GJ: Influence of gag on human immunodeficiency virus type I species-specific tropism. Journal of virology 2004, 78(21):11816-11822.
- 12. Owens CM, Yang PC, Gottlinger H, Sodroski J: Human and simian immunodeficiency virus capsid proteins are major viral determinants of early, postentry replication blocks in simian cells. *Journal of virology* 2003, **77(1):**726-731.

- 13. Sebastian S, Luban J: TRIM5alpha selectively binds a restrictionsensitive retroviral capsid. *Retrovirology* 2005, 2:40.
- 14. Stremlau M, Perron M, Lee M, Li Y, Song B, Javanbakht H, Diaz-Griffero F, Anderson DJ, Sundquist WI, Sodroski J: Specific recognition and accelerated uncoating of retroviral capsids by the TRIM5alpha restriction factor. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2006, 103(14):5514-5519.
- Mische CC, Javanbakht H, Song B, Diaz-Griffero F, Stremlau M, Strack B, Si Z, Sodroski J: Retroviral restriction factor TRIM5alpha is a trimer. *Journal of virology* 2005, **79(22):**14446-14450.
- Berthoux L, Sebastian S, Sayah DM, Luban J: Disruption of human TRIM5alpha antiviral activity by nonhuman primate orthologues. *Journal of virology* 2005, 79(12):7883-7888.
- Javanbakht H, Yuan W, Yeung DF, Song B, Diaz-Griffero F, Li Y, Li X, Stremlau M, Sodroski J: Characterization of TRIM5alpha trimerization and its contribution to human immunodeficiency virus capsid binding. Virology 2006, 353(1):234-246.
- Forshey BM, Shi J, Aiken C: Structural requirements for recognition of the human immunodeficiency virus type I core during host restriction in owl monkey cells. *Journal of virology* 2005, 79(2):869-875.
- Shi J, Aiken C: Saturation of TRIM5 alpha-mediated restriction of HIV-1 infection depends on the stability of the incoming viral capsid. Virology 2006, 350(2):493-500.
- Perron MJ, Stremlau M, Lee M, Javanbakht H, Song B, Sodroski J: The human TRIM5alpha restriction factor mediates accelerated uncoating of the N-tropic murine leukemia virus capsid. *Jour*nal of virology 2007, 81(5):2138-2148.
- Anderson JL, Campbell EM, Wu X, Vandegraaff N, Engelman A, Hope TJ: Proteasome inhibition reveals that a functional preintegration complex intermediate can be generated during restriction by diverse TRIM5 proteins. *Journal of virology* 2006, 80(19):9754-9760.
- Wu X, Anderson JL, Campbell EM, Joseph AM, Hope TJ: Proteasome inhibitors uncouple rhesus TRIM5alpha restriction of HIV-1 reverse transcription and infection. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2006, 103(19):7465-7470.
- Chatterji U, Bobardt MD, Gaskill P, Sheeter D, Fox H, Gallay PA: Trim5alpha accelerates degradation of cytosolic capsid associated with productive HIV-1 entry. The Journal of biological chemistry 2006, 281(48):37025-37033.
- Ylinen LM, Keckesova Z, Wilson SJ, Ranasinghe S, Towers GJ: Differential restriction of human immunodeficiency virus type 2 and simian immunodeficiency virus SIVmac by TRIM5alpha alleles. *Journal of virology* 2005, **79(18)**:11580-11587.
 Sakuma R, Noser JA, Ohmine S, Ikeda Y: Rhesus monkey
- Sakuma R, Noser JA, Ohmine S, Ikeda Y: Rhesus monkey TRIM5alpha restricts HIV-I production through rapid degradation of viral Gag polyproteins. Nature medicine 2007, 13(5):631-635.
- Nisole S, Lynch C, Stoye JP, Yap MW: A Trim5-cyclophilin A fusion protein found in owl monkey kidney cells can restrict HIV-1. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2004, 101(36):13324-13328.
- Sayah DM, Sokolskaja E, Berthoux L, Luban J: Cyclophilin A retrotransposition into TRIM5 explains owl monkey resistance to HIV-1. Nature 2004, 430(6999):569-573.
- Diaz-Griffero F, Vandegraaff N, Li Y, McGee-Estrada K, Stremlau M, Welikala S, Si Z, Engelman A, Sodroski J: Requirements for capsidbinding and an effector function in TRIMCyp-mediated restriction of HIV-1. Virology 2006, 351(2):404-419.
- 29. Zhang F, Hatziioannou T, Perez-Caballero D, Derse D, Bieniasz PD: Antiretroviral potential of human tripartite motif-5 and related proteins. Virology 2006, 353(2):396-409.
- Lin TY, Emerman M: Cyclophilin A interacts with diverse lentiviral capsids. Retrovirology 2006, 3:70.
- Luban J, Bossolt KL, Franke EK, Kalpana GV, Goff SP: Human immunodeficiency virus type I Gag protein binds to cyclophilins A and B. Cell 1993, 73(6):1067-1078.
- Towers GJ, Hatziioannou T, Cowan S, Goff SP, Luban J, Bieniasz PD: Cyclophilin A modulates the sensitivity of HIV-1 to host restriction factors. Nature medicine 2003, 9(9):1138-1143.
- 33. Yap MW, Dodding MP, Stoye JP: Trim-cyclophilin A fusion proteins can restrict human immunodeficiency virus type I

infection at two distinct phases in the viral life cycle. *Journal* of virology 2006, **80(8)**:4061-4067.

- Berthoux L, Sebastian S, Sokolskaja E, Luban J: Cyclophilin A is required for TRIM5α-mediated resistance to HIV-1 in Old World monkey cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2005 in press.
 Keckesova Z, Ylinen LM, Towers GJ: Cyclophilin A renders
- 35. Keckesova Z, Ylinen LM, Towers GJ: Cyclophilin A renders human immunodeficiency virus type I sensitive to Old World monkey but not human TRIM5 alpha antiviral activity. Journal of virology 2006, 80(10):4683-4690.
- Bosco DA, Eisenmesser EZ, Pochapsky S, Sundquist WI, Kern D: Catalysis of cis/trans isomerization in native HIV-1 capsid by human cyclophilin A. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2002, 99(8):5247-5252.
- Stremlau M, Song B, Javanbakht H, Perron M, Sodroski J: Cyclophilin A: an auxiliary but not necessary cofactor for TRIM5alpha restriction of HIV-1. Virology 2006, 351(1):112-120.
- Saenz DT, Teo W, Olsen JC, Poeschla EM: Restriction of feline immunodeficiency virus by Refl, Lvl, and primate TRIM5alpha proteins. *Journal of virology* 2005, 79(24):15175-15188.
- Sebastian S, Sokolskaja E, Luban J: Arsenic counteracts human immunodeficiency virus type I restriction by various TRIMS orthologues in a cell type-dependent manner. *Journal of virology* 2006, 80(4):2051-2054.
- 40. Lilly F: Susceptibility to two strains of Friend leukemia virus in mice. Science (New York, NY 1967, 155(761):461-462.
- Best S, Le Tissier P, Towers G, Stoye JP: Positional cloning of the mouse retrovirus restriction gene Fv1. Nature 1996, 382(6594):826-829.
- Towers G, Bock M, Martin S, Takeuchi Y, Stoye JP, Danos O: A conserved mechanism of retrovirus restriction in mammals. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2000, 97(22):12295-12299.
- Passerini LD, Keckesova Z, Towers GJ: Retroviral restriction factors Fv1 and TRIM5alpha act independently and can compete for incoming virus before reverse transcription. *Journal* of virology 2006, 80(5):2100-2105.
- Berthoux L, Towers GJ, Gurer C, Salomoni P, Pandolfi PP, Luban J: As(2)O(3) enhances retroviral reverse transcription and counteracts Refl antiviral activity. *Journal of virology* 2003, 77(5):3167-3180.
- Bock M, Bishop KN, Towers G, Stoye JP: Use of a transient assay for studying the genetic determinants of Fv1 restriction. *Journal of virology* 2000, 74(16):7422-7430.
- Zennou V, Petit C, Guetard D, Nerhbass U, Montagnier L, Charneau P: HIV-I genome nuclear import is mediated by a central DNA flap. Cell 2000, 101(2):173-185.
- Zufferey R, Nagy D, Mandel RJ, Naldini L, Trono D: Multiply attenuated lentiviral vector achieves efficient gene delivery in vivo. Nat Biotechnol 1997, 15(9):871-875.
- Naviaux RK, Costanzi E, Haas M, Verma IM: The pCL vector system: rapid production of helper-free, high-titer, recombinant retroviruses. *Journal of virology* 1996, 70(8):5701-5705.
- Neil S, Martin F, Ikeda Y, Collins M. Postentry restriction to human immunodeficiency virus-based vector transduction in human monocytes. *Journal of virology* 2001, 75(12):5448-5456.

