LETTER TO EDITOR

CLINICAL AND TRANSLATIONAL MEDICINE

### WILEY

# Association of immune checknoint inhi

### Association of immune checkpoint inhibitor with survival in patients with cancers with protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor T mutation

#### Dear Editor,

We and others have shown that the tumor mutation burden (TMB) and several underlying oncogenic alterations could provide clinically predictive implications for immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI).1-3 Protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs) consist of a variety classes, and most of them are highly mutated in multiple cancers and are closely interact with innate and acquired immunity regulating immune cell activation and differentiation.<sup>4,5</sup> PTP receptor T (PTPRT) has been found to be the most frequently mutated PTP gene in cancers and could predict poor prognosis;<sup>4,6</sup> however, the association of PTPRT mutation with clinical outcomes of ICI remains unknown. Here, we performed a comprehensive pancancer investigation to clinically validate PTPRT mutation as a predictive biomarker for ICI therapy.

We collected clinical and PTPRT mutational data quantified by whole exome sequencing of 2129 cancer patients treated with ICI and 10,814 cancer patients without receiving ICI from the cBioPortal, PubMed, and The Cancer Genome Atlas. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Sun Yat-sen Memorial Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University. The requirement for informed consent of study participants and the permission to use the patient data were waived because the human data were obtained from publicly available datasets. All analyses were performed according to the STROBE guideline from September 18 through October 1, 2019. Overall survival (OS) were primary outcomes, which were computed using the Kaplan-Meier method and were assessed with the log-rank test and the hazard ratio (HR) calculated by the Cox regression model. The TMB in PTPRT wildtype versus mutant groups were compared with Wilcoxon rank-sum tests. All analyses were performed using R (version 3.4.4) and were considered statistically significant if P values < .05.

Among 2129 ICI-treated patients (250 [11.7%] PTPRT mutant; Figure 1A), 596 (28.0%) patients had melanoma, 510 (24.0%) patients had non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), and 1023 (48.1%) patients had 12 other cancer types. Patients treated with ICI showed significantly higher TMB in PTPRT mutant group versus PTPRT wildtype group (P < .001; Figure 1B). Thirty-five (6.9%) of 510 NSCLC patients and 151 (25.3%) of 596 melanoma patients harbored PTPRT mutation, who analogously displayed remarkably higher TMB than patients with PTPRT wildtype tumors (P < .001; Figure 1C and D). PTPRT mutations were identified in 687 (6.4%) out of 10,814 patients without receiving ICI across 33 cancer types, among which the mutation frequency was 28.4% in melanoma, 11.1% in esophagogastric adenocarcinoma, 10.9% in endometrial carcinoma, 8.6% in colorectal adenocarcinoma, and 8.0% in NSCLC (Figure 2A). Missense mutations were most commonly observed (82.6%), followed by truncating mutations (15.5%) (Figure 2B).

*PTPRT* mutation resulted in significantly longer OS in 2129 pancancer patients treated with ICI compared with *PTPRT* wild-type (HR 0.63, 95% CI 0.52-0.77, P < .001; Figure 3A). We further found the clinical usefulness of PRPRT mutation status was most prominent in ICI-treated patients with NSCLC and melanoma. Compared with *PTPRT* wild-type group, *PTPRT* mutation group had substantially longer OS in patients with NSCLC and melanoma (HR 0.61, 95% CI 0.48-0.77, P < .001; Figure 3B). However, among ICI-treated patients with cancers except NSCLC and melanoma, no significant difference in OS between *PTPRT* mutation and wild-type patients was observed (HR 0.95, 95% CI 0.64-1.43; P = .810).

We also assessed *PTPRT* mutation in patients without receiving ICI. Among 10,814 pancancer patients, there was no difference in OS between *PTPRT* mutant and wild-type (HR 1.00, 95% CI 0.87-1.14; P = 0.980; Figure 3C). Among 986 NSCLC patients (101 [10.2%] *PTPRT* mutant)

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>© 2020</sup> The Authors. Clinical and Translational Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Shanghai Institute of Clinical Bioinformatics



**FIGURE 1** Frequency of *PTPRT* mutations and its association with tumor mutation burden during immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy. (A) Frequency of *PTPRT* mutations across 14 cancer types among patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitor. (B-D) Tumor mutation burden in *PTPRT* mutant versus wild-type in pancancer, melanoma, and NSCLC, respectively. ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor; *PTPRT*, protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor T; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer

and 431 melanoma patients (126 [29.2%] *PTPRT* mutant), no difference in OS between *PTPRT* mutant and wild-type patients was observed, either (HR 0.83 95% CI 0.67-1.03; P = .097; Figure 3D). These findings indicated that the status of *PTPRT* mutation was particularly predictive of ICI treatment.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to identify the mutation status of *PTPRT* as a key predictor

of ICI efficacy. We found that *PTPRT* mutation conferred an elevated TMB and better survival during ICI therapy in pancancer and specifically in melanoma and NSCLC, which collaborated with our previous research<sup>1</sup> showing a pronounced survival and response benefits of ICI among cancer patients with high TMB. *PTPRT* has not been suggested to be screened for mutations in current widely used gene panels such as Memorial Sloan Kettering

A Frequency of PTPRT mutations among patients without receiving ICI



• Truncating Mutations: Nonsense, Nonstop, Frameshift deletion, Frameshift insertion, Splice site

**FIGURE 2** Frequency and mutation location of *PTPRT* mutations among patients without receiving immune checkpoint inhibitor. (A) Frequency of *PTPRT* mutations across 33 cancer types among patients without receiving immune checkpoint inhibitor. (B) Protein domains and mutation location for *PTPRT* mutation. Color of circle indicates corresponding mutation types. In case of different mutation types at a single position, color of the circle is determined with respect to the most frequent mutation type. ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor; *PTPRT*, protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor T; CNA, copy number aberration; MAM, MAM domain, meprin/A5/mu; fn3, fibronectin type III domain; Y\_phosphatase, protein-tyrosine phosphatase

Cancer Center's Integrated Mutation Profiling of Actionable Cancer Targets (MSK-IMPACT) and FDA-approved FoundationOne CDx (F1CDx). Therefore, *PTPRT* should be considered together with other known essential genes to expand the landscape of immuno-oncological genomic panel, and should be integrated into multiomics to more fully realize the precision immunotherapy. In-deep characterization of PTP expression pattern could be informative for understanding patterns of immune escape and the selection of candidates for immunotherapy.

Moreover, PD-L1 inhibitor atezolizumab plus VGFR inhibitor bevacizumab plus platinum-based chemother-

apy was shown to have an encouraging survival benefit in recent randomized IMpower 150 trial.<sup>7</sup> We hypothesized that the efficacy of this strategy probably further enhanced through concurrently targeting *PTPRT*, since *PTPRT* mutation was demonstrated to be promisingly predictive of immunotherapy efficacy in our study and has been found to determine bevacizumab resistance in the study conducted by Hsu et el.<sup>8</sup> The study limitations included a potential random variability in the context of an exploratory analysis contributed by NSCLC and melanoma, our inability to assess the heterogeneity of other treatment between ICI and non-ICI groups and





**FIGURE 3** Association of *PTPRT* mutation with survival benefit of immune checkpoint inhibitor. (A) Overall survival of patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitor in *PTPRT* mutant versus wild-type in pancancer. (B) Same as (A) but describing patients with NSCLC and melanoma. (C) Overall survival of patient without receiving ICI in *PTPRT* mutant versus wild-type in pancancer. (D) Same as (C) but describing patients with NSCLC and melanoma. HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; *PTPRT*, protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor T

to clarify the mechanisms underlying the interaction between *PTPRT* mutation and ICI. Future prospective trials with a larger sample size, more detailed clinical treatment information and a longer follow-up are needed to validate the pancancer applicability of *PTPRT* mutation status and in-deep characterize how *PTPRT* mutation interact with immune system to influence ICI benefit.

In conclusion, *PTPRT* mutation status could serve as a predictive biomarker for ICI in pancancer and specifically in NSCLC and melanoma.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Science and Technology Major Project (grant number 2020ZX09201021); the Medical Artificial Intelligence Project of Sun Yat-Sen Memorial Hospital (grant number YXRGZN201902); the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant numbers 81572596, 81972471, and U1601223); the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (grant number 2017A030313828); the Guangzhou Science and Technology Major Program (grant number 201704020131): the Sun Yat-Sen University Clinical Research 5010 Program (grant number 2018007); the Sun Yat-Sen Clinical Research Cultivating Program (grant number SYS-C-201801); the Guangdong Science and Technology Department (grant number 2017B030314026); and the Special Funds for the Cultivation of Guangdong College Students' Scientific and Technological Innovation (grant number pdjh2019a0212); National Students' Innovation and Entrepreneurship training program (grant number 201910571001); and Guangdong Medical University College Students' Innovation Experiment Project (grant number ZZZF001). The preliminary results were presented in part as a Poster at the ESMO Immuno-Oncology 2019 Congress; December 12, 2019, Geneva, Switzerland.9

## ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Sun Yat-sen Memorial Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University. The requirement for informed consent of study participants and the permission to use the patient data were waived because the human data were obtained from publicly available datasets.

> Zifan He<sup>1,†</sup> Anlin Li<sup>1,2,†</sup> Dagui Lin<sup>3,†</sup> Yang Gu<sup>1,†</sup> Yongjian Chen<sup>4</sup> Qiyun Ou<sup>1</sup> Liren Li<sup>3</sup> Herui Yao<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Malignant Tumor Epigenetics and Gene Regulation, Department of Medical Oncology, Phase I Clinical Trial Centre, Sun Yat-sen Memorial Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China

<sup>2</sup> The First Clinical Medical College, Guangdong Medical University, Zhanjiang, China <sup>3</sup> Department of Colorectal Surgery, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China <sup>4</sup> Department of Medical Oncology, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China

#### Correspondence

Herui Yao and Yunfang Yu, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Malignant Tumor Epigenetics and Gene Regulation, Department of Medical Oncology, Phase I Clinical Trial Centre, Sun Yat-sen Memorial Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China. Email: yaoherui@mail.sysu.edu.cn; yuyf9@mail.sysu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

#### ORCID

Herui Yao D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5520-6469

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Yu YF, Zeng DQ, Ou QY, et al. Association of survival and immune-related biomarkers with immunotherapy in patients with non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis and individual patient-level analysis. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2019;2:e196879.
- Yu YF, Lin DG, Li AL, et al. Association of immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy with survival in patients with cancers with MUC16 variants. *JAMA Network Open*. 2020;3(6):e205837.
- Wang F, Zhao Q, Wang YN, et al. Evaluation of POLE and POLD1 mutations as biomarkers for immunotherapy outcomes across multiple cancer types. *JAMA Oncol.* 2019;5:1504-1506.
- Zhao S, Sedwick D, Wang Z. Genetic alterations of protein tyrosine phosphatases in human cancers. *Oncogene*. 2015;34:3885-3894.
- 5. Rhee I, Veillette A. Protein tyrosine phosphatases in lymphocyte activation and autoimmunity. *Nat Immunol.* 2012;13:439-447.
- Zhang X, Guo A, Yu J, et al. Identification of STAT3 as a substrate of receptor protein tyrosine phosphatase T. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2007;104:4060-4064.
- Socinski MA, Jotte RM, Cappuzzo F, et al. Atezolizumab for firstline treatment of metastatic nonsquamous NSCLC. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;378:2288-2301.
- Hsu HC, Lapke N, Chen SJ, et al. PTPRT and PTPRD deleterious mutations and deletion predict bevacizumab resistance in metastatic colorectal cancer patients. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2018;10:E314.
- Li AL, Lin DG, Yu YF. Association of PTPRT mutation with survival of immune checkpoint inhibitor in patients with cancer. *Ann Oncol.* 2019;30(Suppl 11):143.