

REVIEW

Educational and behavioral interventions for asthma: who achieves which outcomes? A systematic review

Noreen M Clark¹ Christopher Griffiths² Stephanie R Keteyian¹ Martyn R Partridge³

¹Center for Managing Chronic Disease, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; ²Primary Care, Queen Mary's School of Medicine and Dentistry, Barts and the London Centre for General Practice and Primary Care, London, UK; ³Department of Respiratory Medicine, Imperial College London, London, UK **Objectives:** Randomized clinical trial (RCT) data reviewed for outcomes and processes associated with asthma educational and behavioral interventions provided by different types of health professionals.

Methods: Cochrane Collaboration, MEDLINE, PUBMED, Google Scholar search from 1998 to 2009 identified 1650 articles regarding asthma educational and behavioral interventions resulting in 249 potential studies and following assessment produced a final sample of 50 RCTs.

Results: Approaches, intended outcomes, and program providers vary greatly. No rationale provided in study reports for the selection of specific outcomes, program providers, or program components. Health care utilization and symptom control have been the most common outcomes assessed. Specific providers favor particular teaching approaches. Multidisciplinary teams have been the most frequent providers of asthma interventions. Physician-led interventions were most successful for outcomes related to the use of health care. Multidisciplinary teams were best in achieving symptom reduction and quality of life. Lay persons were best in achieving self-management/self-efficacy outcomes. Components most frequently employed in successful programs are skills to improve patient—clinician communication and education to enhance patient self-management. Fifty percent of interventions achieved reduction in the use of health care and one-third in symptom control. A combination approach including self-management and patient—clinician communication involving multidisciplinary team members may have the greatest effect on most outcomes.

Conclusions: The extent to which and how different providers achieve asthma outcomes through educational and behavioral interventions is emerging from recent studies. Health care use and symptom control are evolving as the gold standard for intervention outcomes. Development of self-management and clinician—patient communication skills are program components associated with success across outcomes and providers.

Keywords: interventions, asthma, health professionals, systematic review

Introduction

Nonpharmacological interventions to support children and adults with asthma include self-management education and support, information giving, behavioral change techniques, and efforts to enhance communication between the person with asthma and health care professionals. These diverse interventions have been provided by an equally diverse range of individuals from physicians to nurses, multidisciplinary teams, pharmacists, and lay educators. Evaluation in these trials has utilized a variety of outcome measures. This review set out to determine the type of interventions offered by various professionals and what type of outcomes they achieve.

Correspondence: Noreen M Clark Myron E. Wegman Distinguished University Professor Director, Center for Managing Chronic Disease, University of Michigan, 1415 Washington Heights, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2029, USA Tel +1 734 763 1457 Fax +1 734 763 9115 Email nmclark@umich.edu

In the past decade, the quality and quantity of asthma educational and behavioral interventions have increased, probably as a result of high asthma prevalence, advances in the understanding of clinical management and management by patients, and increasing interest in theories of education and behavior change. Educational and behavioral programs evaluated in clinical trials have been delivered by a variety of health professionals and lay people. Rigorously evaluated interventions have aimed at achieving a variety of outcomes and have used a variety of program components.

The financial and material costs of delivering interventions for asthma, although not always discussed in reports of findings, can be expected to differ, at least, according to whose time must be covered to implement the program. Theoretically, different types of program providers may differ in their ability to produce desired outcomes. For example, it may be that clinicians could be expected to be more able to address correct use of medical regimens and lay persons more able to advise regarding day-to-day barriers to managing asthma effectively. However, such assumptions have not been tested empirically.

This review of interventions aimed at (a) describing the outcomes of clinical trials of asthma educational and behavioral interventions undertaken by different types of providers in the past decade and (b) exploring differences in program components employed by them.

Methods

Articles appearing in the English language in the Cochrane Collaboration Data Base, MEDLINE, PUBMED, and Google Scholar were searched. Reference lists on identified articles were also searched. Search terms included asthma self-management, asthma behavior, asthma randomized controlled trials, asthma outcomes, asthma education, and asthma patient education. Inclusion criteria were publication in a peer-reviewed journal between 1990 and 2009; randomized clinical trial (RCT) to assess an educational or behavioral intervention for asthma; and evidence of statistical assessment of asthma-related outcomes on at least one variable including asthma symptoms, pulmonary function, medicine use, psychosocial factors, days absent from work or school, days of restricted activity due to asthma, selfmanagement, self-efficacy, quality of life, emergency department use, hospital in-patient stays, and office visits. Success in achieving outcomes was accepted as statistical difference between interventions and control in a patient sample of at least 100 subjects. Virtually no study provided sample size calculations, and as asthma outcomes related to health care use generally require larger samples, 100 was considered a generous cut point. Studies failing to meet all of these criteria were excluded.

The initial search was broad, accepting any article related to evaluation of social and behavioral interventions to ensure a comprehensive view of available work, and generated 1650 articles. Preliminary application of study criteria identified 249 potential studies for inclusion that met one or more criteria. Further review of these investigations by two independent reviewers yielded 50 RCTs that fully met all inclusion criteria. No individual authors were contacted for information. No further review of methodological quality of the studies was conducted beyond that it appeared in a peer review journal and comprised an RCT. The 50 eligible articles were again closely examined by two individuals and data extracted using a standard protocol regarding target population, sample size, program provider, program content, intervention components, processes, and outcomes. Comparison among provider type was computation of differences between percent of successful program to number attempted. No further statistical analyses were employed.

Results

The majority of the 50 RCT evaluated programs were conducted by teams of providers (n = 20) and the least by pharmacists (n = 4). Just above 28% were offered to adults with asthma, just under 65% were for children, and 7% included both.

Table 1 illustrates that among the most frequently studied outcomes (health care use, symptoms, self-management/ self-efficacy, and quality of life), health care use was the outcome most frequently reported. In the majority of studies, no delineation was made as to which were primary versus secondary goals of the research. A number of studies described more than one outcome resulting from the program, and not all reported about the same or included all the major outcomes. Table 2 provides the outcomes achieved in programs by provider type. Considering the number of interventions undertaken by type of provider and the number reporting success achieving health care use reductions, physicians had a 83% success rate (ie, the percent of times positive health care reduction outcomes were reported given the number of studies by that type of provider), nurses reported success in 73% of their undertakings, pharmacists reported no success, multidisciplinary teams reported 50% success, and lay people 35% success. For reports of symptom reduction, reported success for physicians was 33%, nurses 36%, pharmacists 50%, multidisciplinary teams 51%, and lay

Table I Studies by provider and major outcomes (symptoms, health care use, quality of life, self-management/self-efficacy)

Health care use	Symptom reduction	Quality of life	Self-management
Physicians (programs n = 5)			
Cabana et al ⁴	Glasgow et al ⁶	_	_
Clark et al ⁵	Yoon et al ⁹	_	_
Glasgow et al ^{6*}	_	_	_
Hoskins et al ⁷	_	_	_
Moudgil et al ⁸	_	_	_
Nurses (programs n = 12)			
Bolton et al ¹⁰	Becker et al ¹⁸	Abdulwadud et al ²⁰	_
Charlton et al ¹¹	Clark et al ¹³	Cleland et al ²¹	_
Choy et al ¹²	Levy et al ¹⁴	_	_
Clark et al ¹³	Madge et al ¹⁵	_	_
Levy et al ¹⁴	Wilson et al ^{19*}	_	_
Madge et al ¹⁵	_	_	_
Webber et al ¹⁶	_	_	_
Wesseldine et al ¹⁷	_	_	_
Pharmacists (programs n = 4)			
Weinberger et al ²² (increased)	Armour et al ²³	Stergachis et al ^{25*}	_
_	Barbonel et al ⁵⁸	_	_
Teams of providers (programs n = 20)			
Butz et al ²⁶	Bruzzese et al ³⁶	Butz et al ²⁶	Chiang et al ²⁷
Chiang et al ²⁷	Cano-Garcinuno et al ³⁷	Krieger et al ³⁰	Clark et al ³⁸
Ghosh et al ²⁸ *	Clark et al ³⁸	Lahdensuo et al ³¹	Griffiths et al44
Glasgow et al ^{6*}	Garrett et al ³⁹	Magar et al ⁴⁰	_
Karnick et al ²⁹	Griffiths et al44	Shames et al ⁴³	_
Krieger et al ³⁰	Krieger et al ³⁰	_	_
Lahdensuo et al ³¹	Magar et al ⁴⁰	_	_
Robinson et al ³²	MeGhan et al41	_	_
Splett et al ³³	Sullivan et al ⁴²	_	_
Walders et al ³⁴	Yoon et al ⁹	_	_
Zeiger et al ³⁵	Zeiger et al ³⁵	_	_
Lay person (programs n = 9)			
Adams et al ⁴⁵	Canino et al ⁴⁸	Henry et al ⁴⁹	Bonner et al ⁵¹
Bryant-Stephens and Li ⁴⁶	_	Shah et al ⁵⁰	Griffiths et al ²⁴
Partridge et al ⁴⁷	_	_	Turner et al ⁵²
(outcomes compared against nurses)			
Total n = 28	21	10	6

Note: *No significant results.

people 11%. Multidisciplinary teams reported achieving quality of life outcomes in 50% of the studied programs and lay persons' self-management and/or self-efficacy outcomes in 33% of programs.

Auxiliary outcomes of a more mediating or psychosocial type beyond the most frequently reported major outcomes were described in some studies. Table 3 presents these other outcomes. The most frequently reported outcome of a mediating or psychosocial type was use of medicines and delivery devices. Team-provided programs reported these results most often.

Table 4 presents the components and processes of the interventions by provider type and outcome. Program approaches varied from providing highly specific asthma information along with specialist consultations, for example,

Levy et al,¹⁴ to enhancing patient–clinician interactions including emphasis on communication, for example, Cabana et al,⁴ to paying indirect attention to asthma in literacy education, for example, Robinson et al.³²

Table 5 presents program focus, content, and processes by outcome. Clinician—patient communication, self-management skills, control of the environment, and medicine and device use were all employed in programs that reduced health care use. Action plans, peak expiratory flow (PEF) monitoring, control of the environment, and clinician—patient communication skills were employed in interventions that reduced asthma symptoms. Patient—clinician communication and patient asthma self-management education were included in interventions improving quality of life and self-management outcomes. Two areas of focus, interactions between patients

Table 2 Which provider group reported major outcomes and percent success*

	Success in health care use	Success in symptom reduction	Success in quality of life	Success in self-management/ self-efficacy
Physician-led programs (n = 5)	83% (n = 5)	33% (n = 2)	_	_
Nurse (n = 12)	73% (n = 8)	36% (n = 4)	20% (n = 2)	_
Pharmacist (n = 4)	-	50% (n = 2)	_	_
Teams (n = 20)	50% (n = 10)	55% (n = 11)	25% (n = 5)	15% (n = 3)
Lay person (n = 9)	33% (n = 3)	II% (n = I)	2% (n = 2)	33% (n = 3)

Notes: *Percent of successful outcomes in number of programs by profession.

and clinicians and patient education for self-management, were evident in all interventions reporting major outcomes, that is, those related to health care use, symptoms, self-management/self-efficacy, or quality of life.

Table 6 presents activities most used by different types of program providers. Physician-directed programs emphasized one-on-one counseling, self-monitoring, and use of diaries/action plans. Nurses used individual, group, and telephone learning sessions and employed activities to elicit patient participation such as role plays and problem-solving exercises. They also engaged in home visiting. Teams used a range of these activities and, in addition, case managers. Lay people-led programs involved individual, group, and home visit sessions and use of peer educators. Pharmacists used one-on-one counseling.

Discussion and conclusion

Findings from this review of asthma interventions demonstrate that several types of providers have led programs assessed through RCTs using various program components and reporting varying results. No one common outcome has been sought by all the available studies. No rationale was provided in research reports for why given program planners sought to emphasize certain outcomes and not others or included certain program components and not others or deployed certain program providers and not others.

There is a degree of consistency in outcomes achieved across the interventions as measured by frequency of reports of reaching a category of major outcome. Almost half of the interventions achieved reductions in health care use and about one-third reduced frequencies of asthma symptoms. Proportionately, physician-led programs mostly reported health care use improvements.

This review suggests that there is an evolving gold standard for asthma interventions. So many have demonstrated symptom or health care use improvements that these may have become the unofficial bench mark of success. This review also suggests that clinician—patient communication and patient self-management may be the most promising

to include in efforts to change health care use and reduce asthma symptoms as these elements have been included in all programs to date reporting such outcomes.

A number of studies have described only outcomes related to self-efficacy, medicine use, school/work absenteeism, feelings about asthma, etc. Each of these clearly can be important outcomes for patients. Some, in fact, may be the mediating factors producing what we have termed major outcomes. The frequency with which these auxiliary results have been sought and achieved has been less than attempts to achieve change in symptoms, health care use, selfmanagement/self-efficacy, and quality of life. These more distal outcomes have likely been assumed by program planners to be associated with major outcomes. However, their connection has not, as yet, been empirically demonstrated in intervention research. In other words, support for these being the sole outcome sought and achieved in interventions, until they are proven to be the route to clinical changes, is questionable.

Important considerations regarding the type of program leader and interventions themselves could not be addressed in this exploration. For example, the relative costs of delivering a program and the cost of training different types of individuals to lead programs differ. Physician time is usually expensive whether providing an intervention solo or as part of a team. Teams may cost more than a nurse delivering a program alone. Peer leaders may be the least expensive in implementation but not in training and needed backup support. A program with many components may be the most powerful or as this study suggests one or two very effective elements may produce the best results. Knowing program costs and savings is important in choosing types of interventions.

Several limitations to this description of interventions are apparent. The number of studies in each provider category was uneven and often very small. For example, many trials involving teams have been conducted, while only four concern pharmacists. Exclusion of studies of fewer than 100 subjects may have worked against some studies where

Table 3 Success in reaching auxiliary outcomes reported by profession*

		Pulmonary	Appropriate	Less limited	Less limited Environmental	Work/school	School grades Depression	Depression	Parent/patient
		function	medication/device use	activity	modifications	absenteeism			feelings
Physicians									
	Glasgow et al ⁶	Moudgil et al ⁸	Cabana et al⁴	ı	ı	1	1	1	Colland ⁵³
Nurses									
	Choy et al ¹²	Choy et al ¹²			Becker et al ¹⁸	Heard et al ⁵⁴			
	Levy et al ¹⁴	Levy et al ¹⁴				Lahdensuo et al ³¹			
	1	1	1	1		1	1	ı	1
Teams of providers	oviders								
	I	ı	Chiang et al ²⁷	MeGhan et al⁴¹	ı	Bruzzese et al³6	Clark et al ³⁸	ı	ı
			Lozano et al ⁵⁵	Shames et al ⁴³		Clark et al ³⁸			
			Magar et al⁴0	ı		Glasgow et al ⁶			
			MeGhan et al⁴'			ı			
			Windsor et al ⁵⁶			ı			
Lay person									
1	ı	ı	I	ı	Bryant-Stephens and Li ⁴⁶	ı	ı	Griffiths et al ²⁴ Canino et al ⁴⁸	Canino et al ⁴⁸
Total	8	3	9	2	2	2	_	_	2

sample size recalculation would indicate smaller numbers could ascertain differences. Studies of teams of providers did not describe fully the relative roles of team members or assess which provider had the most influence on success. No multifactorial research designs were used in the studies included here to uncover which element or combination of elements in the intervention produced the outcome. Reports of only five negative studies could be located. The publication of negative studies in the literature is quite rare. Thus, our findings may be subject to publication bias. In one study, for example, Griffiths et al24 not all patients had asthma and the whole may not reflect subgroup differences. A few studies focused on specific ethnic/racial groups (eg, African-American, Chinese, South Asian), but no comparison between approaches for differing ethnicities was available. As components of interventions may have differing effects on subgroups of the population, comparative effective studies appear needed. Further, investigations in this review comprise those targeted at children, at adults, and sometimes both. The relative advantages of approaches identified here for younger and older patients were not clear in the available data and deserve attention in future studies.

How, by necessity, we have looked at the extant studies that also reflect weaknesses in the field more generally. For example, measures used to assess asthma outcomes are not standard and/or are not applied in a standard way. The rationale and/or theory underlying the components of an intervention were not described in study reports inhibiting theoretical conclusions regarding why an intervention may or may not have worked. Descriptions of the organizational context for program delivery, or success in institutionalizing an effective intervention, were not presented, so characteristics of sustainability or longevity of programs cannot be assessed. Nonetheless, the findings from this review are instructive concerning the current situation regarding the type of providers and components of interventions apparently associated with specific asthma outcomes.

A number of recommendations are evident in the results of this review. One, as noted, is the need for standard asthma outcome measures and uniform application of them. New efforts by the US National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and a joint Committee of the European Respiratory Association and American Thoracic Society to identify and assess the validity and reliability of asthma outcome measures should help in this regard. Another is to consider health care use and symptom reduction as the gold standards of intervention success. If programs do not, at minimum, achieve these results, their added value and a strong rationale for their

Provider	Outcome	Investigator	Focus of intervention	
Physicians		•		
	Symptom reduction	Glasgow et al ⁶	Education of MD	 Psychological component
		I	• 3+ plan review	 Pt interviewing
		I	 Action plan 	PEF review
		Yoon et al ⁹	Patient and family one session group management skills	IIs
	Health care use	Glasgow et al ⁶	As above	I
		Moudgil et al ⁸	Emphasis on treatment plan	I
		I	PFM	ı
		I	Individualized management plan	I
		Clark et al ⁵	Education of MD	1
		I	 MD self-regulation 	 Psychosocial considerations
		I	 I0 MD communication behaviors 	 Focus on inflammation reduction
		1	• 10 Pt education message	1
		Cabana et al⁴	As above (Clark et al)	1
		Hoskins et al ⁷	Education of MD	1
			 Individualized, three-step management 	
7			plan for use with patients	
Nurses	Symptom reduction	Levy et al ¹⁴	 Asthma specialist consultation 	 Step up medications
		ı	• Telephone follow-up	 PFM and SX monitoring
		Becker et al ¹⁸	 Encasement of mattresses 	 Benzyl benzoate application
		I	 Instructions for bed washing 	 Pt counseling retriggers
		Madge et al ¹⁵	 Current attacks as a model for management 	3
)	of future attacks	
		1	 Telephone advice regarding individual 	1
			management plans	
			• Written management information	ı
	Health care use	Webber et al ¹⁶	Individualized management plan	1
		Choy et al ¹²	 Pathophysiology of asthma 	 Self-management skills
		I	• Triggers	 Pt self-rating of asthma
		1	 Use of medications/devices 	1
		Wesseldine et al ¹⁷	 Discharge education 	 Nature of asthma and risk factors
		I	 Guided self-management plan 	 Medications/devices
		Levy et al ¹⁴	As above	1
		Madge et al ¹⁵	As above	I
		Charlton et al'	 Nurse review of self-monitoring and 	I
		I	self-management	
			 Patient priority questions addressed 	I
		Bolton et al ¹⁰	Three education sessions	1
		I	 Medication 	 Relaxation exercises
		I	 Attack prevention and control 	 Smoking cessation
	Quality of life	Cleland et al ²¹	 Patient teaching techniques 	 Role play with feedback
		ı	Communication skills	 Clinical priorities

ı	- Triggers	 Importance of compliance 		Medications	 Six-step management plan 	 Adherence assessment 	ı	I	1	I	1	• ED use info	 PT ed materials 	1	nt services	hool	d actions plan	 Education outreach by nurse 	1	actice site	1	 Self-management tools for patients 	 Active follow-up 	ourage asthma management plans	children alone, then together	 Action plans 	ı	 Environmental education for all students 	ı	CHW provide visits reaction plans, education, social support, resources given to reduce environmental		 Self-management 	 Accessing medical care 	► PFM	1	• Instruction	• MDI	1	t Pt brochure	 Asthma education 	1
Education of nurses	 Asthma group counseling skills Self-management skills 	Meds and side effects	As above	Education of pharmacists	 Asthma education manual 	 Risk assessment 	 Pathophysiology 	 Inhaler technique 	 Trigger avoidance 	 Self-management skills 	 Smoking cessation 	Pharmacist given	• Pt data	• FEV info	Training for individualized asthma management services	Parent teacher asthma awareness event at school	Information letter for doctors with suggested actions plan	 Pt reviews by clinic nurse 	 Liaison with physicians 	Physician education regarding guidelines at practice site	Asthma nurse	 Standard assessments 	 Care planning 	Teams worked with families and PCP to encourage asthma management plans	Asthma management skills for parents alone, children alone, then together	 Team interview techniques 	 Teaching skills 	Education for all school personnel	 Education for students with asthma 	CHW provide visits reaction plans, educatior	exposures: bedding, vacuums, etc.	Community health worker instruction	 Trigger avoidance 	 Medications 	As above	Written asthma instructions for:	 PFM and spacer 	 Attack management 	Group session with nurse and physiotherapist Pt brochure	 Literacy training 	• Oral reading
Abdulwadud et al ²⁰	– van der Palen et al ⁵⁷		Abdulwadud et al²º	Armour et al ²³	1	ı	ı	Barbanel et al ^{s8}	1	I	1	Weinberger et al ²²	1	1	Stergachis et al ²⁵	MeGhan et al⁴¹	1	Griffiths et al ⁴⁴	1	Sullivan et al ⁴²	1	ı	ı	Bruzzese et al³6	Cano-Garcinuno et al ³⁷	Magar et al⁴0	1	Clark et al ³⁸	ı	Krieger et al³º	ı	Garrett et al ³⁹	I	1	Yoon et al ⁹	Zeiger et al³5	ı	1	Butz et al ²⁶	Robinson et al ³²	I
	Self-management/self-efficacy	(2000)		Symptom reduction								Health care use (increased)			No outcomes	Symptom reduction																							Health care use		
			ā	Pharmacists (4)											Tome of provident (20)	realits of providers (20)																									

Focus of intervention • Asthma management plans • PFM • Medications/devices Group education regarding self-management, demonstratif • Provided medication • Action plans • PFM • PFM • PFM • Teducations • Individualized education reinforcement of leadership • Case management Education • Medication use As above As					
Walders et al ¹³ - Astrina management plans - PRM - Action plans -	Provider	Outcome	Investigator	Focus of intervention	
- Medication/devices Change et al ¹⁷ - Provided medication - Pro			Walders et al ³⁴	 Asthma management plans 	 Risk profile
Chang et all Group education regarding self-management, demonstrati Spiere et all Spie			1	● PFM	 Problem solving
Chang et a]? Spleet et a]? Spleet et a]? Frouged medication Ramick et a]? Frouged medication Ramick et a]? Coulity of life Saff-management/self-efficacy Chang et a]* Some et a]* Some et a]* Some et a]* As above Chang et a]* Some et a]* As above Chang et a]* Saff-management educations Change et a]* Some et a]* As above Change et a]* As above Change et a]* Saff-management education regarding self-management Change et a]* As above Change et a]* Adams et a]* As above Change et a]* As above Change et a]* Adams et a]* As above Change et a]* As above Change et a]* Adams et a]* As above Change et a]* As above Change et a]* Adams et a]* As above Change et a]* As above Change et a]* Adams et a]* As above Change et a]* As above Change et a]* Adams et a]* As above Change et a]* As above Change et a]* Adams et a]* As above Change et a]* As above Change et a]* Adams et a]* As above Change et a]* As above Change et a]* Adams et a]* As above Change et a]* As above Change et a]* Adams et a]* As above Change et a]* As above Change et a]* Adams et a]* As above Change et a]* As above Change et a]* Adams et a]* As above Change et a]* As above Change et a]* Adams et a]* As above Change et a]* As above Change et a]* Adams et a]* As above Change et a]* As above Change et a]* Adams et a]* As above Change et a]* As above Change et a]* Adams et a]* As above Change et a]* As above Change et a]* Adams et a]* As above Change et a]* As above Change et a]* Adams et a]* As above Change et			1	 Medications/devices 	1
Splett et al ¹³ • Provided medication - Action plans - PRY - Action plans - Tr ducations Kamick et al ¹³ • Tr ducations Krieger et al ¹³ • Tr ducations Krieger et al ¹³ • Tr ducation - Self-management self-effcacy Criffith et al ¹⁴ As above Burz et al ¹³ As above Clark et al ¹³ As above Health care use Byann-Stephens and Li ¹⁴ Home valiers for environmental control; bedding pest re Partridge et al ¹³ Morbidity outcomes Adams et al ¹⁴ As above Clark et al ¹³ As above Clark et al ¹⁴ As above Clark et al ¹⁵ As above Clark et al ¹⁵ As above Clark et al ¹⁵ As above Clark et al ¹⁷ As above Clark et al ¹⁸ As above Clark et al ¹⁹ Problem solving outcomes and above Clark et al ¹⁹ Problem solving Clark et al ¹⁹ Problem solving Clark et al ¹⁹ Problem solving Clark et al ¹⁹ As above Clark et al ¹⁹ Problem solving Clark et al ¹⁹ As above Clark et al ¹⁹ Problem solving Clark et al			Chiang et al ²⁷	Group education regarding self-management, demonstra	ation of med use discussion
- Action plans - PFM - P			Splett et al ³³	 Provided medication 	• Communication between parents and school
- PPH - Individualized education reinforcement of leadership - Case management - Lahdensuo et al ¹⁰ - Case management - Self-management/self-efficacy - Self-management/self-efficacy - Case management - Case management - Self-management educations - Self-management educations - Case management - Case management - Self-management educations - Case management - Case management - Case management - Self-management educations - Case management - Case management - Self-management educations - Case management - Case management - Self-management - Case management - Self-management - Self-manageme			ı	 Action plans 	 Clinic activities regarding asthma guidelines
Karnick et al ¹²			I	► PFM	1
Karnick et al ¹⁹ Lahdensuo et al ³¹ Lahdensuo et al ³¹ Krieger et al ³² Zeiger et al ³³ Agar et al ⁴⁰ Self-management/self-efficacy Chang et al ²² Chang et al ²³ Chang et al ²⁴ Chang et al ³⁷ Adams et al ³⁹ Self-management/self-efficacy Bonner et al ³¹ Chiffish et al ³⁹ Self-management/self-efficacy Chang et al ³⁷ Adams et al ³⁹ Chang et al ³⁹ Chiffish et al ³⁹ Chang et al ³⁹ Adams et al ³⁹ Chang et al ³⁹ Chang et al ³⁹ Chiffish et al ³⁹ Chang et al ³⁹ Adams et al ³⁹ Chang et al ³⁹ Adams et al ³⁹ Chang et al ³⁹ Chang et al ³⁹ Chang et al ³⁹ Chang et al ³⁹ Adams et al ³⁹ Chang et al ³⁹ Chang et al ³⁹ Adams et al ⁴⁹ Chang et al ³⁹ Chang et a			I	• PT educations	
Lahdensuo et al ³¹ Krieger et al ³⁵ Zeiger et al ³⁵ Zeiger et al ³⁵ Agar et al ⁴⁰ Self-management/self-efficacy Ching et al ²⁶ Ching et al ²⁷ Griffiths et al ⁴⁷ Canino et al ⁴⁹ Symptom reduction Canino et al ⁴⁹ Fautypinen et al ⁴⁹ Adams et al ⁴⁹ Adams et al ⁴⁹ Partridge et al ⁴⁹ Canino et al ⁴⁹ Adams et al ⁴⁹ Partridge et al ⁴⁹ Adams et al ⁴⁹ Self-management/self-efficacy Bonner et al ⁵¹ Criffiths et al ⁴⁹ Adams et al ⁴⁹ Self-management/self-efficacy Canino et al ⁴⁹ Adams et al ⁴⁹ Canino et al ⁴⁹ Adams et al ⁴⁹ Canino et al ⁴⁹ Can			Karnick et al ²⁹	 Individualized education reinforcement of leadership 	1
Lahdensuo et al ³¹ Krieger et al ³⁵ Quality of life Self-management/self-effcacy Self-management/self-effcacy Canino et al ³⁶ Self-management/self-effcacy Canino et al ³⁷ Griffiths et al ³⁷ Griffiths et al ³⁷ Canino et al ⁴⁸ Health care use Symptom reduction Canino et al ⁴⁸ Adams et al ⁴⁵ — Quality of life Self-management/self-effcacy Bonner et al ⁵¹ Turner et al ⁵² Griffiths er al ⁴⁹ Self-management/self-effcacy Griffiths er al ⁴⁹ Self-management/self-effcacy Griffiths er al ⁵¹ Canino et al ⁴⁹ Self-management/self-effcacy Adams et al ⁴⁹ Self-management/self-effcacy Griffiths er al ⁵¹ Turner et al ⁵² Griffiths er al ⁵⁴ Canino et al ⁴⁹ Adams et al ⁴⁹ Self-management/self-effcacy Canino et al ⁴⁹ Adams et al ⁴⁹ Self-management/self-effcacy			1	• Case management	I
Covality of life Caeiger et al ¹⁰ Zeiger et al ¹³ Phagar et al ¹⁰ Shames et al ¹⁰ Shames et al ¹⁰ Lahdensuo et al ¹¹ Butz et al ¹² Clark et al ¹³ Clark et al ¹³ Griffths et al ¹⁴ Clark et al ¹³ Symptom reduction Canino et al ¹⁹ Symptom reduction Canino et al ¹⁹ Partridge et al ¹⁷ — Quality of life Self-management/self-efficacy Bonner et al ¹⁹ Cariffths er al ¹⁹ Canino et al ¹⁹ Adams et al ¹⁹ Canino et al ¹⁹ Turner et al ²¹ Cariffths er al ¹⁹ Canino et al ¹⁹ Canino et al ¹⁹ Adams et al ¹⁹ Canino et al ¹⁹ Canino et al ¹⁹ Adams et al ¹⁹ Canino			Lahdensuo et al ³¹	Education	► PFM
Krieger et al ³⁰ Zeiger et al ³⁵ Augar et al ⁴⁰ Shames et al ⁴⁰ Shames et al ⁴⁰ Lahdensuo et al ³¹ Butz et al ³² Chiang et al ³² Griffths et al ⁴¹ Clark et al ¹³ No outcomes Kauppinen et al ⁴⁹ Symptom reduction Canino et al ⁴⁹ Symptom reduction Health care use Adams et al ⁴⁵ Adams et al ⁴⁵ Partridge et al ⁴⁷ — Quality of life Shah et al ⁵⁰ Self-management/self-efficacy Bonner et al ⁵¹ Turner et al ⁵² Griffths er al ²⁴ Turner et al ⁵²			1	 Medication use 	1
Canino et al ¹³ Self-management/self-effcacy Symptom reduction Symptom reduction Canino et al ¹⁴ Clark et al ¹³ No outcomes Symptom reduction Canino et al ¹⁴ Clark et al ¹³ Adams et al ¹⁴ Clark et al ¹³ Adams et al ¹⁴ ———————————————————————————————————			Krieger et al ³⁰	As above	I
Quality of life Chames et al ⁴⁰ Shames et al ⁴³ Lahdensuo et al ³¹ Butz et al ²⁶ Chiang et al ⁴⁷ Griffiths et al ¹³ No outcomes Symptom reduction Canino et al ⁴⁸ Health care use Adams et al ⁴⁵ Adams et al ⁴⁶ Partridge et al ⁴⁷ Quality of life Self-management/self-effcacy Canino et al ⁴⁸ Henry et al ⁴⁹ Self-management/self-effcacy Canino et al ⁴⁸ Adams et al ⁴⁵ Partridge et al ⁴⁷ Adams et al ⁴⁹ Self-management/self-effcacy Canino et al ⁴⁸ Adams et al ⁴⁹ Turner et al ⁵¹ Turner et al ⁵² Griffiths er al ⁴⁹ Griffiths et al ⁴⁹ Canino et al ⁴⁹ Adams et al ⁴⁹ Self-management/self-effcacy Canino et al ⁴⁸ Adams et al ⁴⁹ Canino et al ⁴⁹ Adams et al ⁴⁹ Adams et al ⁴⁹ Canino et al ⁴⁹ Adams et al ⁴⁹ Adams et al ⁴⁹ Canino et al ⁴⁹ Adams et al ⁴			Zeiger et al ³⁵	As above	I
Shames et al ⁴³ Lahdensuo et al ²¹ Butz et al ²⁶ Chiang et al ²⁷ Griffiths et al ⁴⁴ Clark et al ¹³ No outcomes Kauppinen et al ⁵⁹ Symptom reduction Canino et al ⁴⁸ Health care use Bryant-Stephens and Li ⁴⁶ Adams et al ⁴⁷ ———————————————————————————————————		Quality of life	Magar et al ⁴⁰	As above	I
Lahdensuo et al ³¹ Butz et al ³² Chiang et al ³⁷ Griffiths et al ⁴⁴ Clark et al ¹³ No outcomes Symptom reduction Health care use Adams et al ⁴⁵ Adams et al ⁴⁶ Partridge et al ⁴⁷ Adams et al ⁴⁹ Self-management/self-effcacy Bonner et al ⁵¹ Turner et al ⁵² Griffiths er al ⁴⁹ Self-management/self-effcacy Griffiths er al ⁵⁴ Fartridge et al ⁴⁷ Adams et al ⁵⁹ Calling et al ⁴⁷ Adams et al ⁴⁹ Self-management/self-effcacy Calling et al ⁴⁷ Adams et al ⁵⁹ Adams et al ⁵⁹ Calling et al ⁴⁹ Adams et al ⁵⁹ Calling et al ⁴⁷ Adams et al ⁵⁹ Calling et al ⁴⁹ Ca			Shames et al ⁴³	• Case manager	 Video game
Lahdensuo et al ³¹ Butz et al ²⁶ Self-management/self-efficacy Chiang et al ²⁷ Griffiths et al ¹³ No outcomes Kauppinen et al ¹³ Symptom reduction Canino et al ⁴⁸ Partridge et al ⁴⁷ — Quality of life Self-management/self-efficacy Bonner et al ⁵¹ — Turner et al ⁵² Griffiths er al ⁵³ Cariffiths er al ⁵⁴			1	 Self-management educations 	 Visits with allergists
Lahdensuo et a ¹³¹ Butz et a ¹²⁶ Self-management/self-efficacy Chiang et a ¹²⁷ Griffiths et al ¹³ No outcomes Kauppinen et al ¹³⁹ Clark et al ¹³ Clark et al ¹³ Clark et al ¹³ Clark et al ¹³ Adams et al ¹⁴⁹ Adams et al ¹⁴⁹ Adams et al ¹⁴⁹ Partridge et al ¹⁴⁹ Caulity of life Adams et al ¹⁴⁹ Self-management/self-efficacy Bonner et al ¹⁵¹ - Turner et al ¹⁵¹ Griffiths er al ²⁵ Cariffiths er al ²⁵			1	• Follow-up	Hotline
Butz et al ²⁶ Self-management/self-efficacy Chiang et al ¹⁷ Griffiths et al ¹⁴ Clark et al ¹³ No outcomes Kauppinen et al ¹⁹ Symptom reduction Canino et al ⁴⁸ Health care use Bryant-Stephens and Li ⁴⁶ Adams et al ⁴⁵ Adams et al ⁴⁷ Quality of life Self-management/self-efficacy Bonner et al ⁵¹ Cariffiths et al ⁵⁰ Self-management/self-efficacy Gariffiths et al ⁵² Cariffiths et al ⁵³			Lahdensuo et al ³¹	As above	1
Self-management/self-efficacy Griffiths et al ¹⁴ Clark et al ¹³ No outcomes Kauppinen et al ¹³ Symptom reduction Canino et al ¹⁸ Health care use Adams et al ¹⁴ Clark et al ¹³ Adams et al ¹⁴ Self-management/self-efficacy Bonner et al ¹⁵ - Turner et al ¹² Griffiths er al ²³ Cariffiths er al ²⁴			Butz et al ²⁶	As above	I
Griffiths et al ¹⁴ Clark et al ¹³ No outcomes Kauppinen et al ¹⁵ Symptom reduction Canino et al ¹⁸ Health care use Bryant-Stephens and Li ¹⁴ Adams et al ¹⁵ Partridge et al ¹⁷ Quality of life Shah et al ¹⁹ Self-management/self-efficacy Bonner et al ¹¹ Turner et al ¹² Griffiths er al ¹² Griffiths er al ¹²		Self-management/self-efficacy	Chiang et al ²⁷	As above	I
Clark et al ¹³ No outcomes Kauppinen et al ¹⁵ Symptom reduction Canino et al ¹⁸ Health care use Bryant-Stephens and Li ¹⁴ Adams et al ¹⁵ Partridge et al ¹⁷ — Quality of life Shah et al ¹⁹ Self-management/self-efficacy Bonner et al ¹⁵ — Turner et al ¹⁵ — Griffiths er al ²³ Griffiths er al ²⁴			Griffiths et al ⁴⁴	As above	I
No outcomes Symptom reduction Canino et al ⁴⁸ Health care use Adams et al ⁴⁵ Adams et al ⁴⁵ Partridge et al ⁴⁷ - Quality of life Self-management/self-efficacy Bonner et al ⁵¹ - Turner et al ⁵² Griffiths er al ⁵²			Clark et al ¹³	As above	1
Symptom reduction Canino et al ⁴⁸ Health care use Adams et al ⁴⁵ Partridge et al ⁴⁷ Quality of life Self-management/self-efficacy Bonner et al ⁵¹ Turner et al ⁵² Griffiths er al ²⁴			Kairania at al59		
Symptom reduction Health care use Bryant-Stephens and Li ⁴⁶ Adams et al ⁴⁵ Adams et al ⁴⁷ Partridge et al ⁴⁷ Augustry of life Self-management/self-efficacy Bonner et al ⁵¹ Turner et al ⁵² Griffiths er al ⁵²	Lay person (9)	No outcomes	Nauppinen et alf	ı	ı
Bryant-Stephens and Li ⁴⁶ Adams et al ⁴⁵ Partridge et al ⁴⁷ Henry et al ⁴⁹ Shah et al ⁵⁰ Fefficacy Bonner et al ⁵¹ — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		Symptom reduction	Canino et al ⁴⁸	Family education regarding self-management	1
Adams et al ⁴⁵ — Partridge et al ⁴⁷ — Henry et al ⁴⁹ Shah et al ⁵⁰ Bonner et al ⁵¹ — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		Health care use	Bryant-Stephens and Li ⁴⁶	Home visitors for environmental control: bedding, pest	reduction, self-management
Adams et al ⁴⁵ Monthly contacts to assess - Morbidity outcomes - Consultation - Telephone follow-up - Self-management counseling Henry et al ⁴⁹ Three-lesson package of asthma education Bonner et al ⁵¹ Peer leaders in schools provide asthma education Peer leaders in schools provide asthma education Per leaders in schools provide asthma education - Seamily education - Asthma management skills Griffiths er al ²⁴ As ahove				Classes, symptom diaries	ı
Partridge et al ⁴⁷ • Morbidity outcomes Partridge et al ⁴⁷ • Consultation - • Consultation - • Self-management counseling Henry et al ⁴⁹ • Three-lesson package of asthma education Shah et al ⁵⁰ • Peer leaders in schools provide asthma education Bonner et al ⁵¹ • Lay person facilitated interaction between - • Family education - • Family education - • Diaries Turner et al ⁵² • Problem solving - • Asthma management skills Griffiths er al ²⁴ • Asthma management skills			Adams et al ⁴⁵	Monthly contacts to assess	 Self-management plans
Partridge et al ⁴⁷ • Consultation - Telephone follow-up • Self-management counseling Henry et al ⁴⁹ Send-management counseling Three-lesson package of asthma education Peer leaders in schools provide asthma education Bonner et al ⁵¹ • Lay person facilitated interaction between pt and doctor • Family education • Diaries Turner et al ⁵² • Problem solving - Asthma management skills As above			1	 Morbidity outcomes 	Patient education
- • Telephone follow-up - • Self-management counseling Henry et al ⁴⁹ Three-lesson package of asthma education Shah et al ⁵⁰ Peer leaders in schools provide asthma education Bonner et al ⁵¹ • Lay person facilitated interaction between - • Family education - • Family education - • Diaries Turner et al ⁵² • Problem solving - • Asthma management skills Griffiths er al ²⁴ As above			Partridge et al ⁴⁷	Consultation	 Medications/devices
- • Self-management counseling Henry et al ⁴⁹ Three-lesson package of asthma education Shah et al ⁵⁰ Peer leaders in schools provide asthma education Bonner et al ⁵¹ • Lay person facilitated interaction between pt and doctor - • Family education - • Diaries Turner et al ⁵² • Problem solving - • Asthma management skills Griffiths er al ²⁴ As above			1	 Telephone follow-up 	 Pt history guides
Henry et al ⁴⁹ Three-lesson package of asthma education Shah et al ⁵⁰ Peer leaders in schools provide asthma education Bonner et al ⁵¹ • Lay person facilitated interaction between - • Family education - • Diaries Turner et al ⁵² • Problem solving - • Asthma management skills Griffiths er al ²⁴ As above			ı	 Self-management counseling 	 Counseling
Shah et al ⁵⁰ Peer leaders in schools provide asthma education Bonner et al ⁵¹ • Lay person facilitated interaction between pt and doctor Family education Diaries Turner et al ⁵² • Problem solving A sahove		Quality of life	Henry et al ⁴⁹	Three-lesson package of asthma education	ı
Bonner et al ⁵¹ - Lay person facilitated interaction between - Pamily education - Diaries Turner et al ⁵² - Asthma management skills Griffiths er al ²⁴ As above			Shah et al ⁵⁰	Peer leaders in schools provide asthma education	
Family education Diaries Problem solving Asthma management skills Asthore		Self-management/self-efficacy	Bonner et al ⁵¹	 Lay person facilitated interaction between 	
 Family education Diaries Problem solving Asthma management skills 			ı	pt and doctor	
 Diaries Problem solving Asthma management skills As showe 			I	• Family education	● PFM
 Problem solving Asthma management skills As showe 			I	 Diaries 	I
 Asthma management skills As ahove 			Turner et al ⁵²	 Problem solving 	 Management plan
			1	 Asthma management skills 	• PFM
			Griffiths et al ²⁴	As above	1

Table 4 (Continued)

Table 5 Most common intervention elements by outcome

Health care use	Symptoms	Quality of life	Self-management
Patient–clinician communication	Patient-clinician communication	Patient-clinician communication	Patient—clinician communication
 Patient education regarding self-management 	Action plans PEF monitoring	Patient education regarding asthma management	Patient education regarding asthma management
 Environment control/modification techniques/materials Use of medications/devices/action plans 	Environment control/modification		

association with clinical or quality of life improvements would appear to be needed. Also needed as part of standard practice in program planning is a clearer rationale for selection of a) intended outcomes b) program provider selected to pursue the outcomes, and c) the program components included to achieve it.

Specific intervention studies are needed that evaluate the comparative effectiveness of programs as provided by one type of health professional versus another. The only

Table 6 Teaching/learning approaches most used by provider

Provider	Teaching/learning approaches
Physicians	
	Individualized sessions with patients one-on-one
	Self-monitoring/regulation
	Patient diaries/action plans
Nurses	
	Group and individual patient education sessions
	face-to-face
	Role plays
	Problem solving
	Home visits for environment control and pt
	education
	Patient diaries
	Telephone counseling
Pharmacists	
	Patient assessment
	Individual pt medication monitoring and
	counseling
Teams of providers	
	Groups and individual pt educational sessions
	face-to-face
	Information for patient physician
	Peer educators
	Telephone consultation
	Web-based team discussion
	Telephone advice line
	Case managers
	Home visits for environmental control and
	pt education
Lay person	
	Groups and individual patient educations
	sessions
	Home visits for environmental control and
	pt education
	Peer educators

such study identified in this review was one by Partridge et al,⁴⁷ where lay providers were compared to nurse program providers. The relative advantage of different providers appears to have important implications for both the type of outcomes achieved and the frequency of achieving them, as well as, cost of program implementation. An implication of these findings is that those with a specific professional background may benefit from adopting the techniques successfully used by other professionals. Multifactorial studies are needed to compare program components for their relative effectiveness in producing outcomes. Needed personnel, supervision, as well as, intensity and duration evident in the interventions studied varied greatly. Research is needed to examine the costs of program delivery against the savings generated by outcomes. Cost pressures in most health care systems make acquisition of this information necessary to ensure adoption and institutionalization of interventions that can assist patients to reduce the burden of asthma on them, their families, and their communities.

Conclusion

In the past decade, multidisciplinary teams have been the most frequent providers of asthma educational and behavioral interventions. Health care use and symptom reduction have been the most frequent outcomes of interventions. Physician-led programs have most reported health care use reductions. Teams have most reported symptom reductions. Two elements, self-management skills and physician-patient communication, have been the program components most deployed by providers successfully reaching these outcomes. Costs have not been assessed. Apparent emerging gold standards for asthma interventions are outcomes related to reductions in symptoms and/or health care use. Outcomes produced by different program components and different providers vary with some having more success with clinically related results and some with more potentially mediating psychosocial-related results. Comparative effectiveness studies are needed to assess outcomes associated with different program providers and program components.

Acknowledgment

The study presented here was supported by grant # R 01 HL60884 from the Lung Division of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute of the National Institutes of Health.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- British Thoracic Society. British guidelines on the management of asthma: a national clinical guideline. *Thorax*. 2008;63 Suppl IV:iv1-iv121.
- National Asthma Education and Prevention Program. Expert panel report 3: guidelines for the diagnosis and management of asthma. National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute; 2007 Aug 28. Report No: 3.
- Noar SM, Chabot M, Zimmerman RS. Applying health behavior theory to multiple behavior change: considerations and approaches. *Prev Med.* 2008;46(3):275–280.
- Cabana MD, Slish KK, Evans D, et al. Impact of physician asthma care education on patient outcomes. *Pediatrics*. 2006;117(6):2149–2157.
- Clark NM, Gong M, Schork MA, et al. Long-term effects of asthma education for physicians on patient satisfaction and use of health services. Eur Respir J. 2000;16(1):15–21.
- Glasgow NJ, Ponsonby AL, Yates R, Beilby J, Dugdale P. Proactive asthma care in childhood: general practice based randomized controlled trial. BMJ. 2003;327(7416):659.
- Hoskins G, Neville RG, Smith B, Clark RA. Do self-management plans reduce morbidity in patients with asthma? Br J Gen Pract. 1996; 46(404):169–171.
- Moudgil H, Marshall T, Honeybourne D. Asthma education and quality
 of life in the community: a randomised controlled study to evaluate the
 impact on white European and Indian subcontinent ethnic groups from
 socioeconomically deprived areas in Birmingham, UK. *Thorax*. 2000;
 55(3):177–183.
- Yoon R, McKenzie DK, Bauman A, Miles DA. Controlled trial evaluation of an asthma education programme for adults. *Thorax*. 1993;48(11): 1110–1116.
- Bolton MB, Tilley BC, Kuder J, Reeves T, Schultz LR. The cost and effectiveness of an education program for adults who have asthma. *J Gen Intern Med*. 1991;6(5):401–407.
- Charlton I, Charlton G, Broomfield J, Mullee MA. Evaluation of peak flow and symptoms only self management plans for control of asthma in general practice. *BMJ*. 1990;301(6765):1355–1359.
- Choy DK, Tong M, Ko F, et al. Evaluation of the efficacy of a hospitalbased asthma education programme in patients of low socioeconomic status in Hong Kong. Clin Exp Allergy. 1999;29(1):84–90.
- Clark NM, Gong ZM, Wang SJ, Lin X, Bria WF, Johnson TR. A randomized trial of a self-regulation intervention for women with asthma. Chest. 2007;132(1):88–97.
- Levy ML, Robb M, Allen J, Doherty C, Bland JM, Winter RJ. A randomized controlled evaluation of specialist nurse education following accident and emergency department attendance for acute asthma. *Respir Med.* 2000;94(9):900–908.
- Madge P, McColl J, Paton J. Impact of a nurse-led home management training programme in children admitted to hospital with acute asthma: a randomised controlled study. *Thorax*. 1997;52(3):223–228.
- Webber MP, Hoxie AM, Odlum M, Oruwariye T, Lo Y, Appel D. Impact of asthma intervention in two elementary school-based health centers in the Bronx, New York City. *Pediatr Pulmonol*. 2005;40(6):487–493.
- Wesseldine LJ, McCarthy P, Silverman M. Structured discharge procedure for children admitted to hospital with acute asthma: a randomised controlled trial of nursing practice. *Arch Dis Child*. 1999;80(2): 110–114.

- Becker A, Watson W, Ferguson A, Dimich-Ward H, Chan-Yeung M. The Canadian asthma primary prevention study: outcomes at 2 years of age. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2004;113(4):650–656.
- Wilson SR, Scamagas P, German DF, et al. A controlled trial of two forms of self-management education for adults with asthma. *Am J Med*. 1993;94(6):564–576.
- Abdulwadud O, Abramson M, Forbes A, James A, Walters E. Evaluation of a randomized controlled trial of adult asthma education in a hospital setting. *Thorax*. 1999;54(6):493–500.
- Cleland JA, Hall S, Price D, Lee AJ. An exploratory, pragmatic, cluster randomised trial of practice nurse training in the use of asthma action plans. *Prim Care Respir J.* 2007;16(5):311–318.
- Weinberger M, Murray MD, Marrero DG, et al. Effectiveness of pharmacist care for patients with reactive airways disease: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2002;288(13):1594–1602.
- Armour C, Bosnic-Anticevich S, Brillant M, et al. Pharmacy Asthma Care Program (PACP) improves outcomes for patients in the community. *Thorax*. 2007;62(6):496–502.
- Griffiths C, Motlib J, Azad A, et al. Randomised controlled trial of a lay-led self-management programme for Bangladeshi patients with chronic disease. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2005;55(520):831–837.
- Stergachis A, Gardner JS, Anderson MT, Sullivan SD. Improving pediatric asthma outcomes in the community setting: does pharmaceutical care make a difference? J Am Pharm Assoc (Wash). 2002;42(5): 743–752.
- Butz A, Pham L, Lewis L, et al. Rural children with asthma: impact of a parent and child asthma education program. *J Asthma*. 2005;42(10): 813–821.
- Chiang LC, Huang JL, Yeh KW, Lu CM. Effects of a self-management asthma educational program in Taiwan based on PRECEDE-PROCEED model for parents with asthmatic children. *J Asthma*. 2004;41(2): 205–215.
- Ghosh CS, Ravindran P, Joshi M, Stearns SC. Reductions in hospital use from self management training for chronic asthmatics. Soc Sci Med. 1998;46(8):1087–1093.
- 29. Karnick P, Margellos-Anast H, Seals G, Whitman S, Aljadeff G, Johnson D. The pediatric asthma intervention: a comprehensive cost-effective approach to asthma management in a disadvantaged inner-city community. *J Asthma*. 2007;44(1):39–44.
- 30. Krieger JW, Takaro TK, Song L, Weaver M. The Seattle-King County Healthy Homes Project: a randomized, controlled trial of a community health worker intervention to decrease exposure to indoor asthma triggers. *Am J Public Health*. 2005;95(4):652–659.
- Lahdensuo A, Haahtela T, Herrala J, et al. Randomised comparison of guided self management and traditional treatment of asthma over one year. *BMJ*. 1996;312(7033):748–752.
- Robinson LD Jr, Calmes DP, Bazargan M. The impact of literacy enhancement on asthma-related outcomes among underserved children. J Natl Med Assoc. 2008;100(8):892–896.
- Splett PL, Erickson CD, Belseth SB, Jensen C. Evaluation and sustainability of the healthy learners asthma initiative. *J Sch Health*. 2006; 76(6):276–282.
- Walders N, Kercsmar C, Schluchter M, Redline S, Kirchner HL, Drotar D. An interdisciplinary intervention for under treated pediatric asthma. *Chest*. 2006;129(2):292–299.
- Zeiger RS, Heller S, Mellon MH, Wald J, Falkoff R, Schatz M. Facilitated referral to asthma specialist reduces relapses in asthma emergency room visits. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 1991;87(6):1160–1168.
- Bruzzese JM, Evans D, Wiesemann S, et al. Using school staff to establish a preventive network of care to improve elementary school students' control of asthma. J Sch Health. 2006;76(6):307–312.
- Cano-Garcinuno A, Diaz-Vazquez C, Carvajal-Uruena I, Praena-Crespo M, Gatti-Vinoly A, Garcia-Guerra I. Group education on asthma for children and caregivers: a randomized, controlled trial addressing effects on morbidity and quality of life. *J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol*. 2007; 17(4):216–226.

- Clark NM, Brown R, Joseph CL, Anderson EW, Liu M, Valerio MA. Effects of a comprehensive school-based asthma program on symptoms, parent management, grades, and absenteeism. *Chest.* 2004;125(5): 1674–1679.
- Garrett J, Fenwick JM, Taylor G, Mitchell E, Stewart J, Rea H. Prospective controlled evaluation of the effect of a community based asthma education centre in a multiracial working class neighbourhood. *Thorax*. 1994;49(10):976–983.
- Magar Y, Vervloet D, Steenhouwer F, et al. Assessment of a therapeutic education programme for asthma patients: 'un souffle nouveau'. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2005;58(1):41–46.
- MeGhan SL, Wong E, Jhangri GS, et al. Evaluation of an education program for elementary school children with asthma. *J Asthma*. 2003; 40(5):523–533.
- 42. Sullivan SD, Lee TA, Blough DK, et al. A multisite randomized trial of the effects of physician education and organizational change in chronic asthma care: cost-effectiveness analysis of the Pediatric Asthma Care Patient Outcomes Research Team II (PAC-PORT II). Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2005;159(5):428–434.
- Shames RS, Sharek P, Mayer M, et al. Effectiveness of a multicomponent self-management program in at-risk, school-aged children with asthma. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2004;92(6):611–618.
- 44. Griffiths C, Foster G, Barnes N, et al. Specialist nurse intervention to reduce unscheduled asthma care in a deprived multiethnic area: the east London randomised controlled trial for high risk asthma (ELECTRA). BMJ. 2004;328(7432):144.
- Adams RJ, Boath K, Homan S, Campbell DA, Ruffin RE. A randomized trial of peak-flow and symptom-based action plans in adults with moderate-to-severe asthma. *Respirology*. 2001;6(4):297–304.
- Bryant-Stephens T, Li Y. Outcomes of a home-based environmental remediation for urban children with asthma. *J Natl Med Assoc.* 2008; 100(3):306–316.
- Partridge MR, Caress AL, Brown C, et al. Can lay people deliver asthma self-management education as effectively as primary care based practice nurses? *Thorax*. 2008;63(9):778–783.
- Canino G, Vila D, Normand SL. Reducing asthma health disparities in poor Puerto Rican children: the effectiveness of a culturally tailored family intervention. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2008;121(3):665–670.
- Henry RL, Gibson PG, Vimpani GV, Francis JL, Hazell J. Randomized controlled trial of a teacher-led asthma education program. *Pediatr Pulmonol*. 2004;38(6):434–442.
- Shah S, Peat JK, Mazurski EJ, et al. Effect of peer led programme for asthma education in adolescents: cluster randomised controlled trial. BMJ. 2001;322(7286):583–585.

- Bonner S, Zimmerman BJ, Evans D, Irigoyen M, Resnick D, Mellins RB. An individualized intervention to improve asthma management among urban Latino and African-American families. *J Asthma*. 2002;39(2):167–179.
- Turner S, Eastwood P, Cook A, Jenkins S. Improvements in symptoms and quality of life following exercise training in older adults with moderate/ severe persistent asthma. *Respiration*. 2010. [Epub ahead of print].
- Colland VT. Learning to cope with asthma: a behavioural selfmanagement program for children. *Patient Educ Couns*. 1993;22(3): 141–152.
- Heard AR, Richards IJ, Alpers JH, Pilotto LS, Smith BJ, Black JA. Randomised controlled trial of general practice based asthma clinics. *Med J Aust*. 1999;171(2):68–71.
- Lozano P, Finkelstein JA, Carey VJ, et al. A multisite randomized trial of the effects of physician education and organizational change in chronic-asthma care: health outcomes of the Pediatric Asthma Care Patient Outcomes Research Team II Study. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2004;158(9):875–883.
- 56. Windsor RA, Bailey WC, Richards JM Jr, Manzella B, Soong SJ, Brooks M. Evaluation of the efficacy and cost effectiveness of health education methods to increase medication adherence among adults with asthma. *Am J Public Health*. 1990;80(12):1519–1521.
- 57. van der Palen J, Klein JJ, Zielhuis GA, van Herwaarden CL, Seydel ER. Behavioural effect of self-treatment guidelines in a self-management program for adults with asthma. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2001;43(2): 161–169.
- Barbanel D, Eldridge S, Griffiths C. Can a self-management programme delivered by a community pharmacist improve asthma control? A randomised trial. *Thorax*. 2003;58(10):851–854.
- Kauppinen R, Sintonen H, Tukiainen H. One-year economic evaluation of intensive vs. conventional patient education and supervision for self-management of new asthmatic patients. *Respir Med.* 1998;92(2): 300–307.
- 60. American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society Task Force on Asthma Control and Exacerbations. An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: asthma control and exacerbations: standardizing endpoints for clinical asthma trials and clinical practice. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2009;180(1): 59–99.

Journal of Asthma and Allergy

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Asthma and Allergy is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal publishing original research, reports, editorials and commentaries on the following topics: Asthma; Pulmonary physiology; Asthma related clinical health; Clinical immunology and the immunological basis of disease; Pharmacological interventions and

new therapies. Issues of patient safety and quality of care will also be considered. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

 $\textbf{Submit your manuscript here:} \ \texttt{http://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-asthma-and-allergy-jou$

