

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect International Journal of Cardiology Cardiovascular Risk and Prevention

journal homepage: www.journals.elsevier.com/international-journal-of-cardiologycardiovascular-risk-and-prevention



Inflammatory cytokines differ between patients with high versus low CHA2DS2-VASc scores in sinus rhythm-a possible mechanism for adverse cardiovascular events^{\star}



Avishag Laish-Farkash^{*}, Ziv Sevilya, Olga Perelshtein Brezinov, Lior Fortis, Eli Lev

Cardiology Department, Samson Assuta Ashdod University Medical Center, Ashdod, and Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Israel

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T			
Keywords: Inflammatory cytokines TNF-α CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score Sinus rhythm Inflammation	<i>Background:</i> The CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score was shown to predict systemic thromboembolism and mortality in certain groups of patients in sinus rhythm (SR). Previous data showed that patients in SR with high CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score have higher plasma levels of inflammatory markers such as sP-selectin and <i>C</i> -reactive protein. We further investigated this group. <i>Methods:</i> Blood samples were collected from consecutive patients in SR. Plasma was extracted and stored at -80 °C. Concentrations of a panel of soluble markers IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, TNF-α and VEGF were measured by Magnetic Luminex Performance Assay. The PLF4 cytokine blood level was measured by ELISA. <i>Results:</i> 66 patients were enrolled (age 53 ± 18 years, 60% women). Patients with high CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc scores (n = 23) had significantly higher median IQR concentrations of TNF-α [10.34 (8.55, 14.92) vs. 7.69 (6.06, 9.85) pg/ml, p = 0.009] and a trend towards higher levels of IL-1β [0.59 (0.4, 0.8) vs. 0.44 (0.31, 0.62) pg/ml, p = 0.07] and IL-8 [5.92 (4.5, 9.4) vs. 5.04 (3.63, 6.04) pg/ml, p = 0.07], compared to the group with low scores (n = 43). Median IQR concentrations of VEGF, IL-6, IL-10 and PF4 did not significantly differ between the CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score groups. <i>Conclusion:</i> Patients in SR with high versus low CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc scores have high plasma concentrations of systemic inflammation cytokines. The already proven high levels of sP-selectin, that promotes release of inflammatory cytokines from leukocytes, is in line with these results. This pro-inflammatory state in patients with high CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score score show high plasma concentration of the plasma concentration state in the plane matory cytokines from leukocytes, is in line with these results. This pro-inflammatory state in patients with high CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score even without atrial fibrillation.			

1. Introduction

The CHA₂DS₂-VASc score, based on clinical risk factors (congestive heart failure, hypertension, age, female gender, diabetes mellitus, history of stroke and vascular disease), was first recommended by the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Practice Guide in 2010 [1]. This score is currently used in daily clinical practice to assess the risk of stroke and systemic thromboembolism in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) and guide the use of anticoagulation treatment [2]. However, trials failed to demonstrate a clear temporal association between AF and stroke occurrence, and only around 30–40% of subclinical AF events are temporally related to stroke events [3–5]. Thus, the association between AF and stroke is complex [6], and stroke in patients with AF probably

involves mechanisms additive to cardiac embolism, such as: myocardial fibrosis or hypertrophy, myocyte dysfunction, left atrial appendage dysfunction, and also a pro-inflammatory state.

Pre-existing inflammation can activate AF. AF further produces an inflammatory reaction that promotes atrial remodeling as well as AF persistency and progression [7,8]. In addition, elevated levels of interferon gamma (IFN-y) were shown to be an independent risk factor of stroke and all-cause mortality in patients with new-onset AF, and IFN-y levels had an incremental prognostic value supplementary to that obtained from the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score [9].

Sex type is included in the CHA_2DS_2 -VASc score. In women with paroxysmal AF, inflammatory biomarkers were higher, as opposed to men with paroxysmal AF, where biomarkers for vascular remodeling

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcrp.2022.200155

Received 29 June 2022; Received in revised form 17 October 2022; Accepted 19 October 2022 Available online 25 October 2022

^{*} All authors take responsibility for all aspects of the reliability and freedom from bias of the data presented and their discussed interpretation.

^{*} Corresponding author. Electrophysiology and Pacing Unit, Cardiology Department, Samson Assuta Ashdod University MC, Ashdod, Israel.

E-mail addresses: avishagl@inter.net.il, avishagl@assuta.co.il (A. Laish-Farkash).

^{2772-4875/© 2022} The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

were higher [10]. Thus, pathophysiological mechanisms in women and man in AF may be different and may underlie the higher risk for stroke in women with AF and other co-morbidities included in the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score [11].

Similar to AF patients, the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score was recently demonstrated to have a predictive role for systemic thromboembolism [12] and mortality in patients with sinus rhythm (SR) and no history of AF [13–15]. This was specifically demonstrated in patients with heart failure [16,17], sick sinus syndrome (SSS) [18], elderly patients [12], and in middle-aged individuals without AF [15]. An increased CHA₂DS₂-VASc score was associated with increased risk of developing new AF in patients with [19] and without prior ischemic stroke [15,20]. Our recent work demonstrated that patients in SR with high CHA2DS2-VASc score have higher plasma levels of *C*-reactive protein (CRP), neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and soluble *P*-selectin (sP-selectin) [21].

In the current study we further investigated the underlying mechanism of higher risk of stroke in patients at SR and high CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores, compared to patients with low scores, by a systematic comparison of serum cytokines between the two groups.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design and participants

Patients in SR without acute coronary syndrome (ACS)/ST elevation myocardial infarction and without a history of AF were considered for inclusion in the study. The patients were enrolled from cardiology or internal medicine departments and from cardiology outpatient clinic at our medical center between March 2018 and December 2020. Excluded were patients with anemia (hemoglobin <10 g/dL), thrombocytopenia (absolute platelet count <120 × 10^3 /µl), chronic hemato – oncologic diseases (leukemia, lymphoma etc.), blood products transfusion in the prior 30 days and pregnancy. Any patient with ACS, an infectious or acute inflammatory disease, or history of AF was not enrolled as well. Clinical and demographic data were obtained from medical charts. All echocardiographic exams were analyzed by a specialized cardiologist in our center.

Blood samples (5 ml) were collected from all the patients that were included. After centrifugation, plasma was extracted and stored at -80 °C. Human High Sensitivity Cytokine A pre-mixed Magnetic Luminex Performance Assay (7 Plex, R&D systems) was used to measure the concentrations of a panel soluble markers Interleukin (IL)-1 b, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, Tumor Necrosis Factor-alfa (TNF- α) and Vascular Endothelial Grown Factor (VEGF) in one sample at the same time. The Platelet Factor 4 (PLF4) cytokine blood level was measured by ELISA (Quantikine ELISA DPF40, R&D systems).

The patients were divided into two groups: those with low CHA2DS2-VASc score (male with score 1 or 0 and female with score ≤ 2) and those with high CHA2DS2-VASc score (male with score ≥ 2 and female with score ≥ 3). The definition of low and high CHA2DS2-VASc score was selected according to the current ESC AF guidelines, which differ across genders regarding class I indication for anticoagulation treatment in AF patients [2,11]. The association between CHA₂DS₂-VASc score and each biomarker (IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, TNF- α , PLF4 and VEGF) was evaluated. The study was approved by the local investigational review board (ethics committee) of our hospital, and all subjects provided written informed consent.

2.2. Statistical analysis

The results are presented as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables with normal distribution, as median with interquartile range-IQR (25th; 75th percentiles) for continuous variables with abnormal distribution, and as number and percentage of total patients for categorical data. T test was used for comparison of continuous variables. When the distribution was abnormal, the Mann-Whitney test was applied accordingly. Chi-square test and Fisher's exact test were used for categorical data. A two-sided p-value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. The statistical analysis was performed with SPSS version 21 statistical software (IBM Inc. Chicago, Illinois).

Stepwise multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed with TNF- α levels as the dependent variable and the predictors were: age, sex, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, congestive heart failure (CHF), dyslipidemia, smoking, ischemic heart disease (IHD), history of cerebrovascular accident (CVA), and chronic renal failure (CRF). The Stepwise criteria were as follow: Probability-of-F-to-enter \leq 0.050, Probability-of-F-to-remove \geq 0.100.

3. Results

3.1. Baseline characteristics

A total of 66 consecutive patients in sinus rhythm with no history of AF were included in the study. Mean age was 53 \pm 18 years, 40 (60%) were women, and 10 (15%) had diabetes. Of them, 23 patients (35%) had high CHA₂DS₂-VASc score, and 43 (65%) had low CHA₂DS₂-VASc score. Baseline characteristics of the patients based on the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score are presented in Table 1.

Patients with low CHA₂DS₂-VASc score were younger (mean age 42.4 \pm 9.7 vs. 72.7 \pm 11.6 y, p < 0.001), had less comorbidities and smaller left atrium (LA) area than those with high scores [15 (IQR 12.2,21) vs. 22.6 (19,28.3) cm², p = 0.002]. Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was not significantly different between the groups. Patients with low CHA₂DS₂-VASc score were less often treated with statins, beta-blockers, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, anti-platelet medications and anticoagulants, compared to patients with high scores (Table 1).

3.2. Cytokines levels

Table 2 describes biomarker's levels according to CHA_2DS_2 -VASc score. Patients with high scores had significantly higher median IQR concentrations of TNF- α [10.3 (8.6,14.9) vs. 7.7 (6.1, 9.9) pg/ml, p = 0.009]. This group of patients with high scores also had a trend toward higher levels of IL-1 β [0.59 (0.4,0.8) vs 0.44 (0.31, 0.62) pg/ml, p = 0.073] and IL-8 [5.9 (4.5,9.4) versus 5 (3.6, 6) pg/ml, p = 0.073], compared to the group with low scores. No statistically significant differences were observed between the groups regarding the median IQR concentrations of VEGF, IL-6, IL-10 and PF4 (Table 2).

The distribution of TNF- α levels stratified by CHA₂DS₂-VASc score is presented graphically in Fig. 1. TNF- α concentrations were significantly different between CHA₂DS₂-VASc score = 3 and CHA₂DS₂-VASc score = 4 [median 9.61 (6.66, 9.76) vs. 13.05 (9.70, 14.92) pg/ml respectively, p = 0.038] and between CHA₂DS₂-VASc score = 3 and CHA₂DS₂-VASc score = 7 [median 9.61 (6.66, 9.76) vs. 18.41 (15.62, 19.22) pg/ml, respectively, p = 0.015].

3.3. Conditioning of TNF- α concentrations by different factors

Despite the small study group, TNF- α was shown to be conditioned by some components of the CHA2DS2-VASc score and by some other factors (Table 3): Age difference had significant impact on TNF- α concentrations; Hypertension, CHF, dyslipidemia and history of IHD disease also had significant influence on the levels of TNF- α . However, diabetes mellitus, sex, smoking, history of stroke and CRF did not significantly affect these levels.

Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to investigate whether the differences in TNF- α levels between the groups were independent from patient's associated factors. Multivariate regression analysis (stepwise) was performed with TNF- α levels as the dependent variable and the predictor variables were: age, sex, diabetes mellitus,

Table 1

Comparison between baseline clinical characteristics of patients with high versus low CHA_2DS_2 -VASc scores.

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c } Chincal All Patients High Low P. \\ Characteristics (n = 66) CHA_2DS_2- CHA_2DS_2- VASc (n = 23) 43) \\ \hline \\ Age (years), mean \pm 53.0 \pm 17.9 72.7 \pm 11.6 42.4 \pm 9.7 < <0.001 SD \\ Men, n (%) 26 (39.4) 11 (47.8) 15 (34.9) 0.31 \\ Diabetes Mellitus, n 10 (15.2) 8 (38.4) 2 (4.7) 0.002 (\%)^5 \\ (\%)^5 \\ \hline \\ Dyslipidemia, n (\%) 31 (47.0) 22 (95.7) 9 (20.9) < <0.001 \\ Hypertension, n (\%) 27 (40.9) 21 (91.3) 6 (14.0) < <0.001 \\ Smoking, n (\%)^5 9 (13.6) 16 (21.6) 3 (7.0) 0.01 \\ Disease, n (\%)^5 \\ Stroke, n (\%)^5 \\ Stroke, n (\%)^5 \\ Chronic Heart 13 (19.7) 13 (56.6) 0 (0) < 0.001 \\ Disease, n (\%)^5 \\ Chronic Renal 4 (6.1) 4 (17.4) 0 (0) < 0.001 \\ Peripheral Artery 1 (1.5) 1 (4.3) 0 (0) < <0.001 \\ Paiment 5 (7.8) 4 (18.2) 1 (2.4) 0.04 \\ Pacemaker, n (\%)^5 \\ Sick sinus syndrome, 3 (4.6) 2 (3.1) 1 (2.4) 0.28 \\ n (\%)^5 \\ Laboratory test \\ Hemoglobin (g/dL), 239 230 243 0.36 \\ median (QR)^3 (201.287) (210.287) (210.306) \\ Creatinine (mg/dL), 239 230 243 0.36 \\ median (QR)^3 (201.287) (210.287) (210.306) \\ Creatinine (mg/dL), 0.9 (0.7,1.0) 0.9 (0.9,1.3) 0.9 (0.7,1.0) 0.40 \\ mean \pm SD \\ Plateles (103/dL), 239 230 243 0.36 \\ (201.287) (210.287) (210.306) \\ Creatinine (mg/dL), 0.9 (0.7,1.0) 0.9 (0.9,1.3) 0.9 (0.7,1.0) 0.40 \\ median (QR)^3 (50.0,60.0) (43.8,4.5) 3.2 (3.0,3.9) 0.022 \\ median (QR)^3 (50.0,60.0) (43.8,4.5) 3.2 (3.0,3.9) 0.022 \\ median (QR)^3 (30.0) 26.2 (26.0) 0.01 \\ (QR)^6 (16.0,24.0) 28.3) (12.2,21.0) \\ SPAP, median (QR) (20.5) 27 (21.9) (21.5,27.0) \\ Treatment \\ Statins, n (\%) 30.0 26.2 (26.0) 0.01 \\ Acjiotensin receptor blockers, n (\%) 16 (25.0) 15 (65.2) 1 (2.4) < 0.001 \\ Angiotensin receptor blockers, n (\%) 7 (10.8) 7 (30.4) 0 (0) < 0.351 \\ Charding (QR) (16.0,24.0) 25.0 (15.0) (21.5,27.0) \\ (\%)^5 (10.0,11 A (15.0) 1 (0.0) < 0.359 \\ (\%)^5 (10.0,11 A (15.0) 1 (0.0) < 0.359 \\ (\%)^5 (10.0,11 A (15.0) 1 (0.0) < 0.359 \\ (\%)^5 (10.0,11 A (15.0) 1 (0.0) < 0.359 \\ (\%)^5 (10.0,11 A (15.0) 1 (0.0) < 0.001 \\ Angiotensin receptor blockers, n (\%) 7 (10.8) 7 (30.4) 0 (0) < 0.001 \\ Anticoagulants, n$		ibe beores.			
23)43)Age (years), mean \pm 53.0 \pm 17.972.7 \pm 11.642.4 \pm 9.7<0.001	Clinical Characteristics	All Patients $(n = 66)$	CHA2DS2-	CHA2DS2-	<i>P-</i> Value
SD 11 (47.8) 15 (34.9) 0.31 Diabetes Mellitus, n 10 (15.2) 8 (38.4) 2 (4.7) 0.002 $(\%)^{0}$ 0 22 (95.7) 9 (20.9) <0.001					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		53.0 ± 17.9	$\textbf{72.7} \pm \textbf{11.6}$	$\textbf{42.4} \pm \textbf{9.7}$	<0.001
$\begin{array}{c} (\%_{0})^{5} \\ \text{Dyslipidemia, n } (\%_{0}) & 31 (47.0) & 22 (95.7) & 9 (20.9) & <0.001 \\ \text{Hypertension, n } (\%_{0}) & 27 (40.9) & 21 (91.3) & 6 (14.0) & <0.001 \\ \text{Smoking, n } (\%_{0})^{5} & 9 (13.6) & 6 (21.6) & 3 (7.0) & 0.04 \\ \text{Ischemic Heart} & 13 (19.7) & 13 (56.6) & 0 (0) & <0.001 \\ \text{Disease, n } (\%_{0})^{5} & 6 (9.1) & 6 (26.1) & 0 (0) & 0.001 \\ \text{Dripheral Artery} & 1 (1.5) & 1 (4.3) & 0 (0) & 0.035 \\ \text{Disease, n } (\%_{0})^{5} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Men, n (%)	26 (39.4)	11 (47.8)	15 (34.9)	0.31
Hypertension, n (%)27 (40.9)21 (91.3)6 (14.0)<0.001Smoking, n (%)9 (13.6)6 (21.6)3 (7.0)0.04Ischemic Heart13 (19.7)13 (56.6)0 (0)<0.001		10 (15.2)	8 (38.4)	2 (4.7)	0.002
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Dyslipidemia, n (%)	31 (47.0)	22 (95.7)	9 (20.9)	< 0.001
Ischemic Heart Disease, n (%) ^b 13 (19.7) 13 (56.6)0 (0)<0.001Stroke, n (%) ^b 6 (9.1)6 (26.1)0 (0)0.001Peripheral Artery Disease, n (%) ^b 1 (1.5)1 (4.3)0 (0)0.35Disease, n (%) ^b 7 (10.6)7 (30.4)0 (0)<0.001	Hypertension, n (%)	27 (40.9)	21 (91.3)	6 (14.0)	< 0.001
Disease, n (%) ^b 6 (9.1) 6 (26.1) 0 (0) 0.001 Peripheral Artery 1 (1.5) 1 (4.3) 0 (0) 0.35 Disease, n (%) ^b 7 (10.6) 7 (30.4) 0 (0) <0.001	Smoking, n (%) ^b	9 (13.6)	6 (21.6)	3 (7.0)	0.04
Peripheral Artery Disease, n (%) ^b 1 (1.5) 1 (4.3) 0 (0) 0.35 Chronic Heart Failure, n (%) ^b 7 (10.6) 7 (30.4) 0 (0) <0.001		13 (19.7)	13 (56.6)	0 (0)	< 0.001
$\begin{array}{c cccc} Disease, n~(\%)^{5} \\ \hline Chronic Heart Failure, 7~(10.6) 7~(30.4) 0~(0) <0.01 \\ n~(\%)^{5} \\ \hline Chronic Renal 4~(6.1) 4~(17.4) 0~(0) 0.01 \\ \hline Failure, n~(\%)^{5} \\ \hline Permanent 5~(7.8) 4~(18.2) 1~(2.4) 0.04 \\ \hline Pacemaker, n~(\%)^{5} \\ \hline Sick sinus syndrome, 3~(4.6) 2~(3.1) 1~(2.4) 0.28 \\ n~(\%)^{5} \\ \hline Laboratory tests \\ \hline Hemoglobin (g/dL), 13.6 \pm 1.5 13.4 \pm 1.5 13.8 \pm 1.5 0.40 \\ mean \pm SD \\ \hline Platelets (10^3/dL), 239 230 243 0.36 \\ median (IQR)^{a} (201,287) (196,279) (210,306) \\ \hline Creatinine (mg/dL), 0.9~(0.7,1.0) 0.9~(0.9,1.3) 0.9~(0.7,1.0) 0.40 \\ median (IQR)^{a} \\ \hline Echocardiographic parameters \\ \hline LVEF, \%, median 60.0 60 60 60 0.14 \\ (IQR)^{a} (50.0,60.0) (43.8,60.0) (60.0,60.0) \\ \ LA diameter, mm, 3.9~(3.2,4.4) 4.3~(3.8,4.5) 3.2~(3.0,3.9) 0.002 \\ median (IQR)^{a} \\ \hline LA Area, cm2, median 20.6 22.6~(19.0, 15.0 0.002 \\ (IQR)^{a} (16.0,24.0) 28.3) (12.2,21.0) \\ SPAP, median (IQR)^{a} 30.0 26.2 26.0 0.01 \\ (23.5,39.0) (20.3,31.9) (21.5,27.0) \\ \hline Treatment \\ Statins, n~(\%) 27~(41.5) 21~(91.3) 6~(14.3) <0.001 \\ Arigotensin receptor blockers, n (\%) 16~(25.0) 15~(65.2) 1~(2.4) <0.001 \\ Angiotensin receptor blockers, n (\%) 16~(24.6) 15~(65.2) 1~(2.4) <0.001 \\ Antiarrhythmics, n 1~(1.6) 1~(4.3) 0~(0) 0.359 \\ (\%)^{5} \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	Stroke, n (%) ^b	6 (9.1)	6 (26.1)	0 (0)	0.001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-	1 (1.5)	1 (4.3)	0 (0)	0.35
Failure, n (%) ^b Fermanent 5 (7.8) 4 (18.2) 1 (2.4) 0.04 Pacemaker, n (%) ^b Sick sinus syndrome, 3 (4.6) 2 (3.1) 1 (2.4) 0.28 n (%) ^b Laboratory tests Hemoglobin (g/dL), 13.6 \pm 1.5 13.4 \pm 1.5 13.8 \pm 1.5 0.40 mean \pm SD Platelets (10 ³ /dL), 239 230 243 0.36 median (IQR) ^a (201,287) (196,279) (210,306) Creatinine (mg/dL), 0.9 (0.7,1.0) 0.9 (0.9,1.3) 0.9 (0.7,1.0) 0.40 median (IQR) ^a (201,287) (196,279) (210,306) Creatinine (mg/dL), 0.9 (0.7,1.0) 0.9 (0.9,1.3) 0.9 (0.7,1.0) 0.40 median (IQR) ^a (201,287) (196,279) (210,306) Creatinine (mg/dL), 0.9 (0.7,1.0) 0.9 (0.7,1.0) 0.40 median (IQR) ^a (50.0,60.0) (43.8,60.0) (60.0,60.0) LA 14 (1QR) ^a 0.002 IA Area, cm2, median 20.6 22.6 (19.0, 15.0 0.002 (QR) ^a 0.002 (QR) ^a (23.5,39.0) (20.3,31.9) (21.5,27.0) Teatment Statins, n (%)<		7 (10.6)	7 (30.4)	0 (0)	< 0.001
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		4 (6.1)	4 (17.4)	0 (0)	0.01
$\begin{array}{c cccc} Sick sinus syndrome, & 3 (4.6) & 2 (3.1) & 1 (2.4) & 0.28 \\ n (\%)^{5} \\ \hline \mbox{Laboratory tests} \\ Hemoglobin (g/dL), & 13.6 \pm 1.5 & 13.4 \pm 1.5 & 13.8 \pm 1.5 & 0.40 \\ mean \pm SD \\ \\ Platelets (10^{3}/dL), & 239 & 230 & 243 & 0.36 \\ median (IQR)^{a} & (201,287) & (196,279) & (210,306) \\ \hline \mbox{Creatinine (mg/dL), } & 0.9 (0.7,1.0) & 0.9 (0.9,1.3) & 0.9 (0.7,1.0) & 0.40 \\ median (IQR)^{a} & (201,287) & (196,279) & (210,306) \\ \hline \mbox{Creatinine (mg/dL), } & 0.9 (0.7,1.0) & 0.9 (0.9,1.3) & 0.9 (0.7,1.0) & 0.40 \\ median (IQR)^{a} & & & & & & & \\ \hline \mbox{Echocardiographic parameters} \\ \\ LVEF, \%, median & 60.0 & 60 & 60 & 0.14 \\ (IQR)^{a} & (50.0,60.0) & (43.8,60.0) & (60.0,60.0) \\ \hline \mbox{LA diameter, mm, } & 3.9 (3.2,4.4) & 4.3 (3.8,4.5) & 3.2 (3.0,3.9) & 0.002 \\ median (IQR)^{a} & & & & & \\ \hline \mbox{LA Area, cm2, median } 20.6 & 22.6 (19.0, & 15.0 & 0.002 \\ (IQR)^{a} & (16.0,24.0) & 28.3) & (12.2,21.0) \\ \hline \mbox{SPAP, median (IQR)^{a} } & 30.0 & 26.2 & 26.0 & 0.01 \\ (23.5,39.0) & (20.3,31.9) & (21.5,27.0) \\ \hline \mbox{Treatment} \\ \hline \mbox{Statins, n (\%) } & 27 (41.5) & 21 (91.3) & 6 (14.3) & <0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Acti inhibitors or } 15 (23.4) & 13 (56.6) & 2 (4.9) & <0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Angiotensin} & & & & \\ receptor blockers, n (\%) & 16 (25.0) & 15 (65.2) & 1 (2.4) & <0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Angiotensin} & & & & & \\ receptor blockers, n & 1 (1.6) & 1 (4.3) & 0 (0) & 0.359 \\ (\%)^{b} & & & & \\ \hline \mbox{Antiarrhythmics, n } 1 (1.6) & 15 (65.2) & 1 (2.4) & <0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Antiargregant, n (\%)} & 7 (10.8) & 7 (30.4) & 0 (0) & <0.001 \\ \hline \mbox{Antiaggregant, n (\%)} & 7 (10.8) & 7 (30.4) & 0 (0) & <0.01 \\ \hline \mbox{Antiaggregant, n (\%)} & 7 (10.8) & 7 (30.4) & 0 (0) & <0.043 \\ \hline \mbox{Antiaggregant, n (\%)} & 7 (30.4) & 0 (0) & <0.043 \\ \hline \mbox{Antiaggregant, n (\%)} & 7 (30.4) & 0 (0) & <0.043 \\ \hline \mbox{Antiaggregant, n (\%)} & 7 (30.4) & 0 (0) & <0.043 \\ \hline \mbox{Antiaggregant, n (\%)} & 7 (30.8) & 7 (30.4) & 0 (0) & <0.043 \\ \hline \mbox{Antiaggregant, n (\%)} & 7 (30.8) & 7 (30.4) & 0 (0) & <0.043 \\ \hline \mbox{Antiaggregant, n (\%)} & 7 ($	Permanent	5 (7.8)	4 (18.2)	1 (2.4)	0.04
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} Hemoglobin (g/dL), & 13.6 \pm 1.5 & 13.4 \pm 1.5 & 13.8 \pm 1.5 & 0.40 \\ mean \pm SD & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	Sick sinus syndrome,	3 (4.6)	2 (3.1)	1 (2.4)	0.28
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Laboratory tests				
$\begin{array}{c cccc} median (IQR)^a & (201,287) & (196,279) & (210,306) \\ \mbox{Creatinine (mg/dL), } 0.9 (0.7,1.0) & 0.9 (0.9,1.3) & 0.9 (0.7,1.0) & 0.40 \\ median (IQR)^a & & & & & & & \\ \hline {Echcardiographic parameters} \\ \mbox{LVEF, \%, median } & 60.0 & 60 & 60 & 0.14 \\ (IQR)^a & (50.0,60.0) & (43.8,60.0) & (60.0,60.0) \\ \mbox{LA diameter, mm, } & 3.9 (3.2,4.4) & 4.3 (3.8,4.5) & 3.2 (3.0,3.9) & 0.002 \\ median (IQR)^a & & & & & \\ \mbox{LA rea, cm2, median } & 20.6 & 22.6 (19.0, & 15.0 & 0.002 \\ (IQR)^a & (16.0,24.0) & 28.3) & (12.2,21.0) \\ \mbox{SPAP, median (IQR)^a } & 30.0 & 26.2 & 26.0 & 0.01 \\ & (23.5,39.0) & (20.3,31.9) & (21.5,27.0) \\ \hline {\mbox{Treatment}} \\ \mbox{Statins, n (\%) } & 27 (41.5) & 21 (91.3) & 6 (14.3) & <0.001 \\ \mbox{Acc inhibitors or } & 15 (23.4) & 13 (56.6) & 2 (4.9) & <0.001 \\ \mbox{Angiotensin} \\ & & & & & & & \\ \mbox{receptor blockers, n } \\ (\%) \\ \mbox{Antiarrhythmics, n } & 1 (1.6) & 1 (4.3) & 0 (0) & 0.359 \\ (\%)^b \\ \mbox{Aspirin, n (\%) } & 16 (24.6) & 15 (65.2) & 1 (2.4) & <0.001 \\ \mbox{Antiagregant, n (\%)^b } & 7 (10.8) & 7 (30.4) & 0 (0) & <0.043 \\ \end{tabular}$	0 0	13.6 ± 1.5	13.4 ± 1.5	13.8 ± 1.5	0.40
$\begin{array}{c cccc} Creatinine (mg/dL), & 0.9 (0.7,1.0) & 0.9 (0.9,1.3) & 0.9 (0.7,1.0) & 0.40 \\ median (IQR)^a & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline {Echocardiographic parameters} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Platelets (10 ³ /dL),	239	230	243	0.36
median (IQR) ^a Echocardiographic parameters LVEF, %, median 60.0 60 60 0.14 (IQR) ^a $(50.0, 60.0)$ $(43.8, 60.0)$ $(60.0, 60.0)$ LA diameter, mm, 3.9 ($3.2, 4.4$) 4.3 ($3.8, 4.5$) 3.2 ($3.0, 3.9$) 0.002 median (IQR) ^a 1.4 $(10.0, 24.0)$ 28.3) $(12.2, 21.0)$ LA Area, cm2, median 20.6 22.6 (19.0 , 15.0 0.002 (IQR) ^a $(16.0, 24.0)$ 28.3) $(12.2, 21.0)$ SPAP, median (IQR) ^a 30.0 26.2 26.0 0.01 $(23.5, 39.0)$ $(20.3, 31.9)$ $(21.5, 27.0)$ 7 Treatment 30.0 26.2 26.0 0.01 Beta blockers, n (%) 16 (25.0) 15 (56.2) 1 (2.4) <0.001 Argiotensin receptor blockers, n $(\%)$ $(\%)$ $(\%)$ $(\%)$ $(\%)$ 1 (1.6) 1 (4.3) 0 (0) 0.359 $(\%)$ $(\%)$ 1 (1.6) 1 (4.3) 0 (median (IQR) ^a	(201,287)	(196,279)	(210,306)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.9 (0.7,1.0)	0.9 (0.9,1.3)	0.9 (0.7,1.0)	0.40
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c } (IQR)^a & (50.0,60.0) & (43.8,60.0) & (60.0,60.0) \\ LA diameter, mm, & 3.9 (3.2,4.4) & 4.3 (3.8,4.5) & 3.2 (3.0,3.9) & 0.002 \\ median (IQR)^a & & & & & & & & & & \\ \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Echocardiographic pare	umeters			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LVEF, %, median	60.0	60	60	0.14
median (IQR) ^a 20.6 22.6 (19.0, 15.0 0.002 (IQR) ^a (16.0,24.0) 28.3) (12.2,21.0) SPAP, median (IQR) ^a 30.0 26.2 26.0 0.01 (23.5,39.0) (20.3,31.9) (21.5,27.0) 7 Treatment Statins, n (%) 27 (41.5) 21 (91.3) 6 (14.3) <0.001	(IQR) ^a	(50.0,60.0)	(43.8,60.0)	(60.0,60.0)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		3.9 (3.2,4.4)	4.3 (3.8,4.5)	3.2 (3.0,3.9)	0.002
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LA Area, cm2, median	20.6	22.6 (19.0,	15.0	0.002
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(IQR) ^a	(16.0,24.0)	28.3)	(12.2,21.0)	
Treatment Statins, n (%) 27 (41.5) 21 (91.3) 6 (14.3) <0.001	SPAP, median (IQR) ^a	30.0	26.2	26.0	0.01
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(23.5,39.0)	(20.3,31.9)	(21.5,27.0)	
Beta blockers, n (%) 16 (25.0) 15 (65.2) 1 (2.4) <0.001 ACE inhibitors or 15 (23.4) 13 (56.6) 2 (4.9) <0.001	Treatment				
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} ACE inhibitors or & 15 (23.4) & 13 (56.6) & 2 (4.9) & <0.001 \\ Angiotensin & & & \\ receptor blockers, n & & & \\ (\%) & & & \\ Antiarrhythmics, n & 1 (1.6) & 1 (4.3) & 0 (0) & 0.359 \\ (\%)^b & & & \\ Aspirin, n (\%) & 16 (24.6) & 15 (65.2) & 1 (2.4) & <0.001 \\ Antiaggregant, n (\%)^b & 7 (10.8) & 7 (30.4) & 0 (0) & <0.001 \\ Anticoagulants, n & 3 (4.7) & 3 (13.0) & 0 (0) & 0.043 \\ \end{array}$	Statins, n (%)	27 (41.5)	21 (91.3)	6 (14.3)	< 0.001
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Angiotensin} & & & \\ \mbox{receptor blockers, n} & & \\ (\%) & & \\ \mbox{Attiarrhythmics, n} & 1 (1.6) & 1 (4.3) & 0 (0) & 0.359 \\ (\%)^b & & \\ \mbox{Aspirin, n} (\%) & 16 (24.6) & 15 (65.2) & 1 (2.4) & <0.001 \\ \mbox{Antiaggregant, n} (\%)^b & 7 (10.8) & 7 (30.4) & 0 (0) & <0.001 \\ \mbox{Anticogulants, n} & 3 (4.7) & 3 (13.0) & 0 (0) & 0.043 \\ \end{array}$	Beta blockers, n (%)	16 (25.0)	15 (65.2)	1 (2.4)	< 0.001
$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Attiarrhythmics, n} & 1 (1.6) & 1 (4.3) & 0 (0) & 0.359 \\ (\%)^{b} & & & & \\ \mbox{Aspirin, n} (\%) & 16 (24.6) & 15 (65.2) & 1 (2.4) & <0.001 \\ \mbox{Antiaggregant, n} (\%)^{b} & 7 (10.8) & 7 (30.4) & 0 (0) & <0.001 \\ \mbox{Anticoagulants, n} & 3 (4.7) & 3 (13.0) & 0 (0) & 0.043 \\ \end{array}$	Angiotensin receptor blockers, n	15 (23.4)	13 (56.6)	2 (4.9)	<0.001
Antiaggregant, n (%) ^b 7 (10.8) 7 (30.4) 0 (0) <0.001 Anticoagulants, n 3 (4.7) 3 (13.0) 0 (0) 0.043	Antiarrhythmics, n	1 (1.6)	1 (4.3)	0 (0)	0.359
Antiaggregant, n (%) ^b 7 (10.8) 7 (30.4) 0 (0) <0.001 Anticoagulants, n 3 (4.7) 3 (13.0) 0 (0) 0.043	Aspirin, n (%)	16 (24.6)	15 (65.2)	1 (2.4)	< 0.001
Anticoagulants, n 3 (4.7) 3 (13.0) 0 (0) 0.043	· · · · · · ·				< 0.001
	Anticoagulants, n				

LVEF- left ventricular ejection fraction, LA-left atrium, SPAP- systolic pulmonary artery pressure, ACE-angiotensin converting enzyme, SD-standard deviation, IQR- Interquartile range (25th; 75th percentiles).

^a Mann-Whitney *U* test.

^b Fisher Exact test.

hypertension, CRF, dyslipidemia, smoking, IHD, CRF and CVA. The differences in TNF- α between the groups were dependent on age and CHF (p value < 0.05) and were not dependent on sex, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia, smoking, IHD and history of CVA or CRF.

4. Discussion

In the current study we found that plasma concentrations of TNF- α were significantly higher in patients with SR and high CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores versus those with low scores. TNF- α levels were mainly dependent on age and history of CHF. This is in line with previous reports on the

Table 2

Cytokines' p	olasma	levels	stratified	by	CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score.
--------------	--------	--------	------------	----	---

Cytokines	High	Low	Р
	CHA2DS2-VASC	CHA2DS2-VASC	value
	(n = 23)	(n = 43)	
TNF alpha, median (IQR), pg/ml	10.34 (8.55–14.92)	7.69 (6.06–9.85)	0.009
VEGF, median (IQR), pg/ml	11.8 (6.98–15.23)	8.15 (4.37–16.01)	0.240
IL-1b, median (IQR), pg/ml	0.59 (0.40–0.80)	0.44 (0.31–0.62)	0.073
IL-6, median (IQR), pg/ml	2.58 (2.12–3.79)	1.61 (1.13–2.69)	0.691
IL-8, median (IQR), pg/ml	5.92 (4.5–9.4)	5.04 (3.63–6.04)	0.073
IL-10, median (IQR), pg/ml	1.07 (0.66–1.44)	0.80 (0.54–1.32)	0.317
PF4, median (IQR), pg/ml	946.22 (624.47–1060.92)	843.92 (341.22–1011.64)	0.268

significance of these factors in predicting long-term cardiac and thrombo-embolic adverse outcomes [12,15–17]. The group of patients with high scores also had a trend toward higher levels of cytokines IL-1 β and IL-8, compared to the group with low scores. No statistically significant differences were observed between the groups in the plasma concentrations of VEGF, IL-6, IL-10 and PF4.

Similar to AF patients, the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score was recently demonstrated to have a predictive role for systemic thromboembolism and mortality in patients with SR and no history of AF [12–15]. A high CHA₂DS₂-VASc score was also associated with increased risk of developing new AF, even in patients without prior ischemic stroke [15,20].

We have recently shown that patients with high CHA₂DS₂-VASc score in SR have higher plasma levels of inflammatory markers, such as CRP, NLR and sP-selectin [21], compared to patients with low scores. Taken together with our current findings, we suggest that ongoing inflammation may contribute to the development of AF, thrombotic events, and higher mortality in patients with high CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores.

TNF-\alpha is a major inflammatory cytokine regulator that is upregulated in many inflammatory diseases. It is produced by activated macrophages/monocytes during acute inflammation, but is expressed also in endothelial and epithelial cells, smooth muscle cells and cardiac myocytes. It is responsible for a diverse range of signaling events within cells, including activation of genes participating in inflammatory and immunoregulatory responses, proliferation, growth inhibition, and cell death [22-25]. It has been shown that activation of TNF- α signaling can promote atrial electrical, structural, and contractile remodeling [26]. In addition, elevated TNF- α in mice may promote atrial fibrosis, slow conduction and alteration of extra-cellular matrix [23-26]. TNF-a represents a ligand for two types of TNF-a receptors. As demonstrated in animal models, the deleterious effects of TNF- α are mainly mediated by the prolonged or excessive activation of TNFR1, which is involved in disease progression, worse heart function and increased mortality, while TNFR2-dependent pathways mainly activate cardioprotective processes [23 - 25]

IL-1 β is a member of the interleukin-1 family of cytokines. This cytokine is produced by activated macrophages as a proprotein, which is proteolytically processed to its active form by caspase 1 (CASP1/ICE). IL-1 β production is critically regulated by cytosolic molecular complexes, termed inflammasomes. The mature form is secreted and serve as an inflammatory signaling-amplifier to perpetuate and spread the inflammatory response. This cytokine is an important mediator of the inflammatory response, and is involved in a variety of cellular activities, including cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis [22,27]. Emerging evidence points to an important role of NOD-like receptor 3 (NLRP3) inflammasome in a number of cardiovascular diseases,



Distribution of TNF α stratified by CHA₂DS₂-VASC score

Fig. 1. Distribution of TNF α stratified by CHA₂DS₂-VASC score.

 Table 3

 The conditioning of TNF-alfa concentrations by different factors.

		analysis	P value	with	without
1	Age	Pearson correlation = 0.575	P < 0.01		
2	DM	T test, equal variances not assumed, 2-tailed	p = 0.104	9	53
3	HTN	T test, equal variances not assumed, 2-tailed	P < 0.01	25	37
4	CHF	T test, equal variances not assumed, 2-tailed	P = 0.003	7	55
5	Sex	T test, equal variances not assumed, 2-tailed	P = 0.117	36	26
6	Dyslipidemia	T test, equal variances not assumed, 2-tailed	P = 0.02	29	33
7	Smoking	T test, equal variances not assumed, 2-tailed	P = 0.117	9	53
8	IHD	T test, equal variances not assumed, 2-tailed	P = 0.008	13	49
9	Stroke	T test, equal variances not	$\mathbf{P} =$	5	57
10	CRF	assumed, 2-tailed T test, equal variances not assumed, 2-tailed	0.813 P = 0.058	4	58

DM = diabetes mellitus, HTN = hypertension, CHF = congestive heart failure, IHD = ischemic heart disease, CRF = chronic renal failure.

including ischemic cardiomyopathy, atherosclerosis, which is likely attributed to its dual functions promoting both abnormal cytokine release (and structural remodeling) and perhaps cell death [28].

IL-8 is an important protein related to inflammation, where it plays a key role in the recruitment of neutrophils and other immune cells to the site of infection [8]. In addition to macrophages, *IL-8* is also released by epithelial cells, airway smooth muscle cells, and endothelial cells. IL-8 expression is induced by TNF- α through the JNK pathway, mediated by AP-1.

These three cytokines were found to be elevated in the group of patients with SR and high CHA_2DS_2 -VASc score in the current study. TNF- α , IL-1 β and IL-8 are all secreted from macrophages following induction by sP-selectin [29], a factor that was found to be increased in this group of patients in our previous work [21]. *P-selectin* is an adhesion molecule implicated in the initial interactions between leukocytes and platelets or endothelium that lead to inflammatory responses. It plays a crucial role in the recruitment of leukocytes to inflammatory and hemorrhagic sites, and it also affects cytokine production by leukocytes [29].

30]. It was proved that *P*-selectin-mediated-cell-adhesion induces and potentiates monocytes to secrete TNF- α , but also IL-1 β and IL-8. The secretion of IL-8 in a later phase is caused, at least in part, by stimulation with TNF- α secreted in the early phase [29]. These cytokines (along with others) are involved in cascade reactions of inflammation processes.

Inflammation plays an important role in the progression of many types of cardiovascular disease. Systemic inflammatory conditions enhance atherogenesis, leading to coronary artery disease, but can also promote diastolic and systolic heart failure [25], vascular dysfunction (by disruption of endothelial barrier, effects on NO formation, stimulation of vascular peroxidase production, apoptosis of endothelial cells), insulin resistance, and adverse cardiac remodeling after myocardial infarction [23]. In patients with AF, inflammatory markers, such as Interferon- γ , were found to predict AF ablation outcome, as well as stroke and mortality [8,9]. Inflammation is associated with electrophysiological remodeling through ion channel regulation and calcium homeostasis. It is also involved in structural remodeling, myocardial fibrosis, and prothrombotic states in AF [5,6,22]. There is accumulating evidence that inflammation plays an important role in the etiology of stroke and other cardiovascular diseases in addition to traditional risk factors such as arterial hypertension and hyperlipidemia, even in patients with no AF [31,32]. Moreover, previous studies had demonstrated that low grade inflammation is an independent risk factor for total mortality in an apparently healthy adult general population [33]. The presence of low-level inflammation may therefore explain the association of adverse cardiovascular events in patients with SR and elevated CHA2DS2-VASc score.

The significance of this finding is the potential anti-inflammatory treatment strategy that can target this underlying mechanism and hopefully improve prognosis in subgroups of patients with elevated CHA₂DS₂-VASc score. For example, TNF- α inhibition with etanercept, TNF- α gene ablation, or p38 inhibition – all prevented atrial structural remodeling and reduced AF inducibility in an exercise-induced AF model [34]; There is growing interest in selective blockade of soluble TNF- α or of TNFR1 while leaving TNFR2 signaling fully functional [23, 25,27]; Inhibition of NLRP3 reduced the susceptibility to pacing-induced AF in mice [35]; and colchicine (an anti-inflammatory drug) and low-dose methotrexate (an anticancer drug with anti-inflammatory properties) also inhibit the NLRP3 inflammasome [36,37]; The clinical study CANTOS showed that suppression of IL-1 β by the neutralizing antibody canakinumab, can significantly reduce cardiac events in patients at risk [38]; In addition, some clinical studies suggest

that inhibition of coagulation factors may also have anti-inflammatory effects [39]. Future large prospective clinical studies are needed to provide the effectiveness of this anti-inflammatory treatment approach.

5. Limitations

The main limitation of this study is the small study group; however, despite its small size, we showed significant differences in inflammatory markers between the two groups. Due to the small size of the study, we could not look into subgroups of patients (such as heart failure or SSS). In addition, the trend in high levels of IL-1 β and IL-8 may have turned into a statistically significant value if the study group was bigger. Yet, the difference in the level of inflammatory markers between the groups directs to the underlying mechanism of the worse prognosis in patients with high CHA₂DS₂-VASc. The clinical implications of our findings remain to be determined, and larger studies are warranted.

6. Conclusions

Patients in SR with high versus low CHA_2DS_2 -VASc scores have high plasma concentrations of TNF- α and a trend toward elevated IL-8 and IL-1 β , which are systemic inflammation cytokines released from macrophages. The previously proven higher plasma levels of sP-selectin (that activate macrophages to produce these cytokines ex-vivo) are in line with current results. These findings of a pro-inflammatory state in patients with high CHA_2DS_2-VASc scores (even without AF), may explain the higher rate of adverse cardiovascular events associated with elevated CHA_2DS_2-VASc score even without history of AF.

Funding

None declared.

Author contribution

Avishag Laish-Farkash, Ziv Sevilya and Eli Lev performed the conception and design, the laboratory work and the analysis and interpretation of data, as well as drafting the manuscript. Lior Fortis collected the blood samples from the patients after signing an informed consent and revised the article critically. Olga Perelshtein Brezinov performed the statistical workup and revised the article critically for important intellectual content.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

List of abbreviations

ACS	acute coronary syndrome
AF	atrial fibrillation

- CRP *C* reactive protein
- ESC European Society of Cardiology
- LA left atrium
- NLR neutrophils to lymphocytes ratio
- PLF4 Platelet Factor 4
- SR sinus rhythm
- TNF-α Tumor Necrosis Factor-alfa
- VEGF Vascular Endothelial Grown Factor

References

 European Heart Rhythm Association; European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery, A.J. Camm, P. Kirchhof, G.Y. Lip, U. Schotten, I. Savelieva, S. Ernst, et al., Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation: the task force for the management of atrial fibrillation of the European society of cardiology (ESC), Eur. Heart J. 31 (19) (2010 Oct) 2369–2429, https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehq278. Epub 2010 Aug 29.

- [2] G. Hindricks, T. Potpara, N. Dagres, E. Earbelo, J.J. Bax, C. Cblomström-Lundqvist, et al., ESC scientific document group, 2020 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with the European association for cardio-thoracic surgery (EACTS): the task force for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation of the European society of cardiology (ESC) developed with the special contribution of the European heart rhythm association (EHRA) of the ESC, Eur. Heart J. 42 (5) (1 February 2021) 373–498.
- [3] E.G. Daoud, T.V. Glotzer, D.G. Wyse, M.D. Ezekowitz, C. Hilker, J. Koehler, P. D. Ziegler, TRENDS Investigators. Temporal relationship of atrial tachyarrhythmias, cerebrovascular events, and systemic emboli based on stored device data: a subgroup analysis of TRENDS, Heart Rhythm 8 (9) (2011 Sep) 1416–1423.
- [4] M. Brambatti, S.J. Connolly, M.R. Gold, C.A. Morillo, A. Capucci, C. Muto, C.P. Lau, I.C. Van Gelder, S.H. Hohnloser, M. Carlson, E. Fain, J. Nakamya, G.H. Mairesse, M. Halytska, W.Q. Deng, C.W. Israel, J.S. Healey, ASSERT Investigators, Temporal relationship between subclinical atrial fibrillation and embolic events, Circulation 129 (21) (2014 May 27) 2094–2099.
- [5] A. AlTurki, M. Marafi, V. Russo, R. Proietti, V. Essebag, Subclinical atrial fibrillation and risk of stroke: past, present and future, Medicina 55 (10) (2019) 611.
- [6] L.Y. Chen, M.K. Chung, L.A. Allen, M. Ezekowitz, K.L. Furie, P. McCabe, P. A. Noseworthy, M.V. Perez, M.P. Turakhia, American heart association council on clinical cardiology; council on cardiovascular and stroke nursing; council on quality of care and outcomes research; and stroke council. Review. Atrial fibrillation burden: moving beyond atrial fibrillation as a binary entity: a scientific statement from the American heart association, Circulation 137 (20) (2018 May 15) e623–e644.
- [7] Y.F. Hu, Y.J. Chen, Y.J. Lin, S.A. Chen, Inflammation and the pathogenesis of atrial fibrillation, Nat. Rev. Cardiol. 12 (4) (2015 Apr) 230–243.
- [8] M. Harada, D.R. Van Wagoner, S. Nattel, Role of inflammation in atrial fibrillation pathophysiology and management, Circ. J. 79 (3) (2015) 495–502.
- [9] J. Huang, Y. Xiang, H. Zhang, N. Wu, X. Chen, L. Wu, B. Xu, C. Li, Z. Zhang, S. Tong, L. Zhong, Y. Li, Plasma level of interferon-y predicts the prognosis in patients with new-onset atrial fibrillation, Heart Lung Circ. 29 (7) (2020 Jul) e168–e176.
- [10] R.R. De With, V. Artola Arita, B.O. Nguyen, D. Linz, H. Ten Cate, H. Spronk, U. Schotten, A.J. van Zonneveld, Ö. Erküner, M.A. Bayón, A.S. Schmidt, J.G.L. M. Luermans, H.J.G.M. Crijns, I.C. Van Gelder, M. Rienstra, Different circulating biomarkers in women and men with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation: results from the AF-RISK and RACE V studies, Europace (2021 Jul 30), euab179.
- [11] P.B. Nielsen, F. Skjoth, T.F. Overvad, T.B. Larsen, G.Y.H. Lip, Female sex is a risk modifier rather than a risk factor for stroke in atrial fibrillation, Circulation 137 (2018) 832–840.
- [12] Y. Xing, Y. Sun, H. Li, M. Tang, W. Huang, K. Zhang, D. Zhang, D. Zhang, Q. Ma, CHA₂DS₂-VASc score as a predictor of long-term cardiac outcomes in elderly patients with or without atrial fibrillation, Clin. Interv. Aging 13 (2018 Mar 29) 497–504.
- [13] C. Parsons, S.I. Patel, S. Cha, W.K. Shen, S. Desai, A.M. Chamberlain, et al., CHA2DS2-VASc score: a predictor of thromboembolic events and mortality in patients with an implantable monitoring device without atrial fibrillation, Mayo Clin. Proc. 92 (3) (2017 Mar) 360–369.
- [14] C. Mazzone, G. Cioffi, C. Carriere, G. Barbati, G. Faganello, G. Russo, et al., Predictive role of CHA2DS2-VASc score for cardiovascular events and death in patients with arterial hypertension and stable sinus rhythm, Eur J Prev Cardiol 24 (15) (2017 Oct) 1584–1593.
- [15] G. Renda, F. Ricci, G. Patti, N. Aung, S.E. Petersen, S. Gallina, V. Hamrefors, O. Melander, R. Sutton, G. Engstrom, R. Caterina, A. Fedorowski, CHA₂DS₂VASc score and adverse outcomes in middle-aged individuals without atrial fibrillation, Eur J Prev Cardiol 26 (18) (2019 Dec) 1987–1997.
- [16] E. Wolsk, M. Lamberts, M.L. Hansen, et al., Thromboembolic risk stratification of patients hospitalized with heart failure in sinus rhythm: a nationwide cohort study, Eur. J. Heart Fail. 17 (8) (2015 Aug) 828–836.
- [17] L. Melgaard, A. Gorst-Rasmussen, D.A. Lane, et al., Assessment of the cha2ds2-VASc score in predicting ischemic stroke, thromboembolism, and death in patients with heart failure with and without atrial fibrillation, JAMA 314 (10) (2015 Sep 8) 1030–1038.
- [18] T.V. Glotzer, A.S. Hellkamp, K.L. Lee, G.A. Lamas, CHA2DS2-VAS(C) and CHADS2 scores predict adverse clinical events in patients with pacemakers and sinus node dysfunction independent of atrial fibrillation, Can. J. Cardiol. 31 (8) (2015 Aug) 1004–1011.
- [19] M.A. Baturova, A. Lindgren, J. Carlson, Y.V. Shubik, S.B. Olsson, P.G. Platonov, Predictors of new onset atrial fibrillation during 10-year follow-up after first-ever ischemic stroke, Int. J. Cardiol. 199 (2015 Nov 15) 248–252.
- [20] A. Sciacqua, M. Perticone, G. Tripepi, E.J. Tassone, A. Cimellaro, D. Mazzaferro, G. Sesti, F. Perticone, CHADS2 and CHA2DS2-VASc scores are independently associated with incident atrial fibrillation: the Catanzaro Atrial Fibrillation Project, Intern Emerg Med 10 (7) (2015 Oct) 815–821.
- [21] L.H. Fortis, E. Yahud, Z. Sevilya, O. Perelshtein-Berzinov, M. Rahkovich, E. Lev, A. Laish-Farkash, Comparison of immature platelet fraction (IPF) and factors associated with inflammation, thrombosis and platelet reactivity between patients with high versus low CHA2DS2-VASc without atrial fibrillation, Isr. Med. Assoc. J. 24 (3) (2022) 151–154.

A. Laish-Farkash et al.

International Journal of Cardiology Cardiovascular Risk and Prevention 15 (2022) 200155

- [22] L. Scott Jr., N. Li, D. Dobrev, Role of inflammatory signaling in atrial fibrillation, Int. J. Cardiol. 287 (2019) 195–200.
- [23] K. Urschel, I. Cicha, TNF-α in the cardiovascular system: from physiology to therapy, Int. J. Interferon Cytokine Mediat. Res. 7 (2015) 9–25.
- [24] M. Ren, X. Li, L. Hao, J. Zhong, Role of tumor necrosis factor alpha in the pathogenesis of atrial fibrillation: a novel potential therapeutic target? Ann. Med. 47 (4) (2015 Jun) 316–324.
- $[{\bf 25}]$ F. Rolski, P. Błyszczuk, Complexity of TNF- α signaling in heart disease, J. Clin. Med. 9 (10) (2020 Oct 12) 3267.
- [26] S. Saba, A.M. Janczewski, L.C. Baker, V. Shusterman, E.C. Gursoy, A.M. Feldman, G. Salama, C.F. McTiernan, B. London, Atrial contractile dysfunction, fibrosis, and arrhythmias in a mouse model of cardiomyopathy secondary to cardiac-specific overexpression of tumor necrosis factor-{alpha, Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol. 289 (4) (2005 Oct) H1456–H1467.
- [27] A. Abderrazak, T. Syrovets, D. Couchie, K. El Hadri, B. Friguet, T. Simmet, M. Rouis, NLRP3 inflammasome: from a danger signal sensor to a regulatory node of oxidative stress and inflammatory diseases, Redox Biol. 4 (2015) 296–307.
- [28] N.A. Bracey, P.L. Beck, D.A. Muruve, S.A. Hirota, J. Guo, H. Jabagi, J.R. Wright Jr., J.A. Macdonald, J.P. Lees-Miller, D. Roach, L.M. Semeniuk, H.J. Duff, The Nlrp3 inflammasome promotes myocardial dysfunction in structural cardiomyopathy through interleukin-1β, Exp. Physiol. 98 (2) (2013 Feb) 462–472.
- [29] J. Suzuki, E. Hamada, T. Shodai, G. Kamoshida, S. Kudo, S. Itoh, J. Koike, K. Nagata, T. Irimura, T. Tsuji, Cytokine secretion from human monocytes potentiated by P-selectin-mediated cell adhesion, Int. Arch. Allergy Immunol. 160 (2) (2013) 152–160.
- [30] J. Koike, K. Nagata, S. Kudo, T. Tsuji, T. Irimura, Density-dependent induction of TNF-alpha release from human monocytes by immobilized P-selectin, FEBS Lett. 477 (1–2) (2000 Jul 14) 84–88.
- [31] R. Magade, P. Weiner, A. Sotzkover, N. Berar-Yanay, C-reactive protein and vascular disease, Isr. Med. Assoc. J. 3 (2001) 50–52.

- [32] J. Ishikawa, Y. Tamura, S. Hoshide, et al., Low-grade inflammation is a risk factor for clinical stroke events in addition to silent cerebral infarcts in Japanese older hypertensives: the Jichi Medical School ABPM Study, wave 1, Stroke 38 (3) (2007) 911–917.
- [33] M. Bonaccio, A. Di Castelnuovo, G. Pounis, et al., A score of low-grade inflammation and risk of mortality: prospective findings from the Moli-sani study, Haematologica 101 (11) (2016) 1434–1441.
- [34] R. Aschar-Sobbi, F. Izaddoustdar, A.S. Korogyi, Q. Wang, G.P. Farman, F. Yang, W. Yang, D. Dorian, J.A. Simpson, J.M. Tuomi, D.L. Jones, K. Nanthakumar, B. Cox, X.H. Wehrens, P. Dorian, P.H. Backx, Increased atrial arrhythmia susceptibility induced by intense endurance exercise in mice requires TNFα, Nat. Commun. 6 (2015 Jan 19) 6018.
- [35] C. Yao, T. Veleva, L. Scott Jr., S. Cao, L. Li, G. Chen, P. Jeyabal, X. Pan, K.M. Alsina, I Dr Abu-Taha, S. Ghezelbash, C.L. Reynolds, Y.H. Shen, S.A. LeMaire, W. Schmitz, F.U. Müller, A. El-Armouche, N. Tony Eissa, C. Beeton, S. Nattel, X.H.T. Wehrens, D. Dobrev, N. Li, Enhanced cardiomyocyte NLRP3 inflammasome signaling promotes atrial fibrillation, Circulation 138 (20) (2018 Nov 13) 2227–2242.
- [36] A. Grebe, F. Hoss, E. Latz, NLRP3 inflammasome and the IL-1 pathway in atherosclerosis, Circ. Res. 122 (12) (2018 Jun 8) 1722–1740.
- [37] G.J. Martínez, D.S. Celermajer, S. Patel, The NLRP3 inflammasome and the emerging role of colchicine to inhibit atherosclerosis-associated inflammation, Atherosclerosis 269 (2018 Feb) 262–271.
- [38] P.M. Ridker, T. Thuren, A. Zalewski, P. Libby, Interleukin-1β inhibition and the prevention of recurrent cardiovascular events: rationale and design of the Canakinumab Anti-inflammatory Thrombosis Outcomes Study (CANTOS), Am. Heart J. 162 (4) (2011 Oct) 597–605.
- [39] H. Katoh, T. Nozue, I. Michishita, Anti-inflammatory effect of factor-Xa inhibitors in Japanese patients with atrial fibrillation, Heart Ves. 32 (9) (2017 Sep) 1130–1136.