microbial biotechnology

Open Access

Effects of dual deletion of *glnR* and *mtrA* on expression of nitrogen metabolism genes in *Streptomyces venezuelae*

Yanping Zhu, Jiao Wang, Wenya Su, Ting Lu,

Aiying Li 🝺 and Xiuhua Pang^{*} 🝺

The State Key Laboratory of Microbial Technology, Shandong University, Qingdao, 266237, China.

Summary

GInR activates nitrogen metabolism genes under nitrogen-limited conditions, whereas MtrA represses these genes under nutrient-rich conditions in Streptomyces. In this study, we compared the transcription patterns of nitrogen metabolism genes in a double deletion mutant ($\Delta mtrA$ -glnR) lacking both mtrA and glnR and in mutants lacking either *mtrA* ($\Delta mtrA$) or *glnR* ($\Delta glnR$). The nitrogen metabolism genes were expressed similarly in $\Delta mtrA$ -glnR and $\Delta glnR$ under both nitrogenlimited and nutrient-rich conditions, with patterns distinctly different from that of $\Delta mtrA$. suggesting a decisive role for GInR in the control of nitrogen metabolism genes and further suggesting that regulation of these genes by MtrA is GInR-dependent. MtrA and GInR utilize the same binding sites upstream of nitrogen metabolism genes, and we showed stronger in vivo binding of MtrA to these sites under nutrient-rich conditions and of GInR under nitrogen-limited conditions, consistent with the higher levels of MtrA or GlnR under those respective conditions. In addition, we showed that both *mtrA* and *glnR* are self-regulated. Our study provides new insights into the regulation of nitrogen metabolism genes in Streptomyces.

Introduction

Nitrogen sources, whether organic or inorganic, are a necessity for all living organisms, including microbes,

*For correspondence. E-mail: pangxiuhua@sdu.edu.cn; Tel. +86-532-58631505; Fax +86-532-58631505

Microbial Biotechnology (2022) 15(6), 1795-1810

doi:10.1111/1751-7915.14016

Funding Information

This work was supported by grants from the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2019MC062 to XP), the Open Funding Project of the State Key Laboratory of Microbial Metabolism (MMLKF21-02 to XP) and the National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFA0900400 to AL). and the regulation of nitrogen metabolism is complex and varied in bacteria (Leigh & Dodsworth, 2007; Merrick & Edwards, 1995). Under nitrogen-limited growth conditions, genes involved in nitrogen assimilation are expressed to enable the acquisition and conversion of inorganic nitrogen sources into organic nitrogen sources such as glutamine and glutamate. Streptomyces is a genus of Gram-positive and filamentous actinobacteria mostly known for their potential in producing antibiotics and for their complex development cycle, including spore formation (Hopwood, 2007; Chater, 2011). Within members of this genus, multiple nitrogen assimilation genes have been identified, including amtB, encoding a protein that transports extracellular ammonium into the cell; nar-GHIJ, encoding nitrate reductase, which reduces nitrate into nitrite; nirBCD, encoding nitrite reductase, which reduces nitrite into ammonium; ureABC, encoding a urease for the cleavage of urea into NH_4^+ ; glnA and glnII, encoding glutamine synthetase (GS) I and II respectively; and gltDB, encoding a glutamate synthase, referred to as GOGAT. GS makes glutamine, whereas GOGAT uses glutamine and ketoglutarate to produce two glutamates (Wolfgang Wohlleben, 2011).

Under nitrogen-limited conditions, most Streptomyces genes for nitrogen assimilation are activated by the response regulator GInR (Wolfgang Fink et al., 2002; Tiffert et al., 2008; Wohlleben, 2011). GlnR boxes were identified for amtB, glnII and other nitrogen assimilation genes, indicating that these nitrogen metabolism genes are targeted by GlnR (Fink et al., 2002; Tiffert et al., 2008, 2011; Pullan et al., 2011). Under nutrient-rich growth conditions, surplus nitrogen is present, and therefore, nitrogen assimilation genes do not need to be expressed and appear to be silent. We revealed that this silencing, or only basal level of expression of nitrogen assimilation genes, is the result of repression by MtrA (Zhu et al., 2019), a global response regulator that is also required for cellular development (Zhang et al., 2017), antibiotic production (Som et al., 2017a, 2017b; Zhu et al., 2020c) and phosphate metabolism (Zhu et al., 2021). Intriguingly, the sequence recognized by MtrA (MtrA site) is similar to the GInR box (Zhang et al., 2017), and thus, MtrA can interact with the GInR boxes upstream of the nitrogen metabolism genes that are targeted by GInR (Zhu et al., 2019), suggesting that MtrA potentially competes with GlnR in the

© 2022 The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by Society for Applied Microbiology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

Received 3 September, 2021; revised 28 January, 2022; accepted 30 January, 2022.

regulation of nitrogen metabolism genes. GlnR and MtrA have been characterized as the two major regulators for nitrogen metabolism in *Streptomyces* and potentially in other actinobacteria (Wang *et al.*, 2015; Zhu *et al.*, 2019). Although MtrA and GlnR function as the major regulators for nitrogen metabolism under nitrogen-rich and nitrogen-limited conditions, respectively, minor regulatory effects on nitrogen metabolism genes by PhoP and AfsQ1 have also been observed under specific conditions (Rodriguez-Garcia *et al.*, 2009; Wang *et al.*, 2013).

Although it is known that MtrA and GlnR function by binding their target sites under nitrogen-limited and nutrient-rich conditions, respectively, it is not known whether MtrA or GlnR still have a role under the contrasting condition that does not favour their function. The combined regulatory effect of MtrA and GlnR on nitrogen metabolism genes is also unknown. In this study, we investigated the binding of MtrA and GlnR under different nitrogen conditions and explored the combined effect of MtrA and GlnR on nitrogen metabolism genes, thus providing new insights into the understanding of nitrogen metabolism in *Streptomyces*.

Results

The role of MtrA on nitrogen metabolism is similar in S. venezuelae and S. coelicolor

Our previous study showed that MtrA represses nitrogen metabolism genes such as amtB and glnII in S. coelicolor and S. lividans (Zhu et al., 2019), which are closely related species (Kawamoto & Ochi, 1998, Lewis et al., 2010). As S. venezuelae is more distantly related to S. coelicolor, we compared the expression levels of known nitrogen metabolism genes in the wild-type strain S. venezuelae ATCC10712 and $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, an mtrA deletion mutant of this strain (Zhu et al., 2020c). The nitrogen metabolism genes amtB, glnK, glnD, nirB and glnII were more highly expressed in the mutant on rich medium, including YBP (Fig. S1) and R2YE (Fig. 1A). Additionally, MtrA from S. venezuelae bound the GInR boxes of multiple nitrogen metabolism genes, including glnR (Figs S2-S9). Altogether, our data indicated that MtrA recognizes GInR boxes and represses multiple nitrogen metabolism genes in S. venezuelae, consistent with its role in S. coelicolor (Zhu et al., 2019).

The two nitrogen metabolism regulators mtrA and glnR are self-regulated

The impact of MtrA on its own expression was not known. Therefore, to determine whether *mtrA* is self-regulated, a set of primers was designed to target a region of *mtrA* still present in $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, and these primers were used in transcriptional analysis by real-time

PCR. To facilitate comparison, the expression level of mtrA at the first time point in the wild-type strain was arbitrarily set to one (Fig. 2). For the wild-type strain, an expression level of about one (ranging from 0.74 to 1.1) was detected for *mtrA* throughout the entire time course, whereas the fold change in expression of mtrA in $\Delta mtrA_{SVF}$ ranged from 170 \pm 37 to 287 \pm 153 on R2YE (Fig. 2A). mtrA also maintained a basal expression level throughout the time course for the wild-type strain on the nitrogen-limited medium N-Evans (Fig. 2B), and although its upregulation in $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ was not so striking as on R2YE, mtrA expression in the mutant ranged from 59 ± 24 to 82 ± 25 , indicating that MtrA represses its own expression under both nitrogen-limited and nutrientrich conditions. Statistical analysis indicated that expression of mtrA differed significantly between R2YE and N-Evans (Fig. S10). Notably, no expression was detected for mtrA in transcriptional analysis using a second set of primers that target the deleted sequence of mtrA (Fig. S11), confirming the removal of a portion of mtrA. However, analysis using each set of primers showed that mtrA was overexpressed in a glnR mutant strain of S. venezuelae ($\Delta g ln R_{SVF}$) on N-Evans medium (Fig. 2B, Fig. S11A), indicating that GInR represses mtrA under nitrogen-limited conditions, which is in agreement with our previous report on S. coelicolor (Zhu et al., 2019).

We also investigated whether GInR is self-regulated using a set of primers that targeted at the undeleted sequence of glnR and a glnR mutant strain of S. venezuelae (Zhu et al., 2020c). While a level of about one was detected for glnR in the wild-type strain through time course on both media, glnR reached a level ranging from 10 \pm 1.7 to 12.9 \pm 1.5 on N-Evans and a level ranging from 31 \pm 5.2 to 42 \pm 12.9 on R2YE in $\Delta gln R_{SVE}$ (Fig. 2), indicating that GlnR represses its own expression under both nitrogen-limited and nutrient-rich conditions. Statistical analysis indicated that the expression of glnR differed significantly between R2YE and N-Evans (Fig. S12). However, when a second set of primers that targets a segment of glnR deleted in $\Delta gln R_{SVE}$ was used, no expression was detected for glnR (Fig. S11), confirming the deletion. Overexpression of glnR was detected in the mtrA mutant strain using both sets of primers on R2YE (Fig. 2A, Fig. S11B), validating that MtrA represses glnR in S. venezuelae under nutrient-rich conditions, similar to our findings with S. coelicolor (Zhu et al., 2019).

mtrA *is the target of both* MtrA *and* GlnR *in* S. venezuelae

To explore the potential mechanism for the selfregulation of *mtrA*, the sequence upstream of *mtrA* was examined, and a potential MtrA site was identified



Fig. 1. Transcriptional analysis of nitrogen metabolism genes in $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$ and $\Delta mtrA-glnR$ mutants by real-time PCR. *Streptomyces* strains were cultured on solid (A) R2YE, (B) N-Evans or (C) shifted from liquid YBP to liquid N-Evans, and RNA samples from 10712 (WT), $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$ and $\Delta mtrA-glnR$ were prepared at the indicated times. Expression of *hrdB*, encoding the major sigma factor, was used as an internal control. For each gene, the expression level in the wild-type strain (WT) at the first time point was arbitrarily set to one. The y-axis shows the fold change in expression in WT, $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$ and $\Delta mtrA-glnR$ over the levels in WT at the first time point. Results are the means (±SD) of triplet biological experiments.

(Fig. 3A). To determine whether MtrA interacts with this site, an electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was performed using purified MtrA and short oligonucleotides containing the predicted MtrA site as probe. The EMSA analysis showed that MtrA binds the probe with the predicted MtrA site, but not the probes with mutations at the conserved nucleotides of the site, upstream of *mtrA in vitro* (Fig. 3B). To determine whether MtrA also binds this site *in vivo*, the integrative plasmid pMtrA-FLAG, which expresses an MtrA-FLAG fusion protein under the

control of the native *mtrA* promoter, was constructed and was introduced into $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$. Whereas $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ exhibited a delay in spore formation and defective pigment production, these defects were reversed in MtrA-FLAG- $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ (Fig. S13), indicating that FLAG-tagged MtrA is expressed and functional. MtrA-FLAG- $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ was therefore used for ChIP analysis with anti-FLAG antibody. The binding level detected at the *mtrA* promoter remained at around background levels for the control wild-type strain, whereas a relative binding level of about



Fig. 2. Transcriptional analysis of *mtrA* and *glnR* in $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$ and $\Delta mtrA$ -*glnR* mutants by real-time PCR. *Streptomyces* strains were cultured on solid R2YE (A) and N-Evans (B), and RNA samples from the wild-type strain (WT), $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$ and $\Delta mtrA$ -*glnR* were isolated at the indicated times. Expression of *hrdB*, encoding the major sigma factor, was used as an internal control. For each gene, the expression level in WT at the first time point was arbitrarily set to one. The y-axis shows the fold change in expression in WT, $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$ and $\Delta mtrA$ -*glnR* over the levels in WT at the first time point. Primer sets that target remaining regions of *mtrA* or *glnR* in $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ and $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$ respectively, were used. Results are the means (±SD) of triplet biological experiments.

four was detected for MtrA-FLAG- $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ on R2YE and N-Evans (Fig. 4A), indicating that MtrA binds this site *in vivo* under both conditions.

To determine whether GlnR also binds the MtrA site upstream of *mtrA in vivo*, the integrative plasmid pGlnR-FLAG expressing a GlnR-FLAG fusion protein under the control of the native *glnR* promoter was constructed and introduced into $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$. The delay in spore formation and the defect in pigment production by $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$ were restored to nearly wild-type levels in GlnR-FLAG- $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$ (Fig. S14), indicating that the FLAG-tagged GlnR was expressed and functional. This strain was then used for ChIP analysis. Our data showed that GlnR bound the MtrA site upstream of *mtrA in vitro* (Fig. 3C) and also *in vivo* on both R2YE and N-Evans (Fig. 4B). Altogether, we showed that the *mtrA* promoter is a target of both MtrA and GlnR, explaining the self-regulation of MtrA and its regulation by GlnR.

Transcription of nitrogen metabolism genes in Δ mtrA-glnR

Previously, we used mutant strains with deletions of either *mtrA* or *glnR* to investigate the impact of MtrA or

GInR, respectively, on nitrogen metabolism genes (Zhu et al., 2019). In this study, we asked what is the combined impact of MtrA and GlnR on nitrogen metabolism genes? To address this question, we generated the mutant strain $\Delta mtrA-glnR$, which has deletions of both mtrA and glnR. Next, we compared the expression of nitrogen metabolism genes in this strain and in the single mtrA and glnR deletion mutants. To facilitate the comparison, the expression level of each gene in the wild-type strain at the first time point was arbitrarily set to one. As noted previously, on R2YE, nitrogen genes such as amtB, glnII and nirB2 were markedly upregulated in $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, most notably at the three early time points (24, 36 and 48 h) (Fig. 1A), confirming a major role for MtrA under nutrient-rich conditions. In contrast, the expression level of these genes was reduced moderately, mostly at two time points (48 and 72 h) in $\Delta gln R_{SVE}$, suggesting a positive, although minor, role for GInR in their regulation under nutrient-rich conditions. Unexpectedly, in $\Delta mtrA$ -glnR, the expression levels of several nitrogen metabolism genes on R2YE, including amtB, nirB and glnII, were more similar to those in $\Delta gln R_{SVE}$, a transcription pattern distinctly different from that observed in $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ (Fig. 1A and Fig. S15). Two



Fig. 3. *mtrA* is a target of MtrA and GlnR. (A) The predicted MtrA site upstream of *mtrA* in *S. venezuelae*. The MtrA site is underlined and in boldface, and tested mutations are highlighted in red. (B) EMSA with MtrA and 59-bp probes containing the predicted MtrA site or the MtrA site with mutations. Reactions were carried out with the addition of no MtrA (lane 1), or with 1.18 μ M (lane 2), 4.74 μ M (lane 3) or 8.29 μ M (lane 4) MtrA. (C) EMSA with GlnR and a 59-bp probe containing the predicted MtrA site or the MtrA site with mutations. Reactions were carried out with the addition of no GlnR (lane 1), or with 0.45 μ M (lane 2), 1.78 μ M (lane 3) or 3.11 μ M (lane 4) GlnR. The red and black arrows indicate the positions of the shifted and free probes respectively.

exceptions were *gltB* and *gdhA*, whose transcription pattern in $\Delta mtrA$ -glnR was more similar to that in $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ at several time points (Fig. S15).

(A)

We next examined the transcription patterns in $\Delta mtrA$ glnR grown on N-Evans, a nitrogen-limited medium on which GInR functions as an activator for nitrogen metabolism genes (Tiffert et al., 2008; Zhu et al., 2019). In $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, the expression level of amtB, nirB and glnII was either comparable to that of the wild-type control or only slightly altered (Fig. 1B and Fig. S16), suggesting a minor role for MtrA under nitrogen-limited conditions. As expected, only a minimal level of expression was detected for these genes in $\Delta gln R_{SVE}$ (Fig. 1B and Fig. S16), consistent with the major role for GlnR under nitrogen-limited conditions. Similar to the results for $\Delta g ln R_{SVE}$, only minimal expression of these genes was detected in $\Delta mtrA-glnR$ on N-Evans (Fig. 1B and Fig. S16). The exception was gltB, which was upregulated in both $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ and $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$ (Fig. S16) but which showed even higher expression in the double mutant at the two early time points (24 and 36 h), suggesting a synergistic effect from the loss of mtrA and alnR.

We next investigated the expression profiles of nitrogen metabolism genes in $\Delta mtrA$ -glnR following a shift from nutrient-rich (YBP broth) to nitrogen-limited conditions (N-Evans broth) (Fig. 1C and Fig. S17). RNA extracts were prepared directly from YBP and designated as the time 0 samples, prior to the transfer of culture to N-Evans broth for further growth for four or six hours. The expression level of each gene in the wild-type control at time 0 was arbitrarily set to one. As expected, the expression levels of nitrogen metabolism genes were all increased at time 0 in $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, and levels were either comparable to the wild-type strain or only slightly impacted in $\Delta g ln R_{SVE}$, with the expression patterns in $\Delta mtrA-glnR$ similar to those in $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$ (Fig. 1C and Fig. S17). After four and six hours of growth in N-Evans, the expression profiles of these genes in $\Delta mtrA-glnR$ remained nearly identical to those of $\Delta q \ln R_{SVF}$. In conclusion, the transcriptional patterns of nitrogen metabolism genes in $\Delta mtrA-glnR$ are similar to that in $\Delta gln R_{SVE}$ under nitrogen-limited or nutrientrich conditions, or under a nutrient shift from nutrientrich to nitrogen-limited conditions, implying a decisive role for GInR in the regulation of nitrogen metabolism aenes.

The relative expression levels of mtrA, glnR and nitrogen metabolism genes under different growth conditions

The expression pattern of *mtrA* under nutrient-rich and nitrogen-limited conditions had not been previously reported. Therefore, the expression level of *mtrA* on different growth media was examined in the wild-type strain, using *hrdB* expression as the internal reference (Fig. S18). Under nutrient-rich conditions (YBP and R2YE), *mtrA* expression was highest at the early time points. On N-Evans, levels remained around 0.26-0.28, comparable to the levels at the two later time points on R2YE (Fig. S18), suggesting that, although *mtrA* plays a minor role under nitrogen-limited conditions, it is still



Fig. 4. ChIP-qPCR analysis of MtrA and GlnR binding to the *mtrA* and *glnR* promoters. The wild-type strain (WT), MtrA-FLAG- $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ and GlnR-FLAG- $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$ were cultured on solid R2YE and N-Evans media and were processed at the indicated times. The y-axis shows the binding level of MtrA or GlnR relative to the background in WT, MtrA-FLAG- $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ and GlnR-FLAG- $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$. As WT contains native MtrA and GlnR only, the results for this strain are equivalent to background amplification of the target sequences. The data show the means (±SD) of triplet biological experiments.

moderately expressed under such conditions, with some influence from the growth phase.

glnR had low expression levels on YBP medium and R2YE (0.10-0.16) in the wild-type strain, with markedly higher levels, ranging from 0.34 to 0.52, on N-Evans (Fig. S18), consistent with GlnR's role as the major regulator for nitrogen metabolism genes under nitrogen-limited conditions but not nutrient-rich conditions (Tiffert *et al.*, 2008). The expression levels of several nitrogen metabolism genes were also notably higher on N-Evans

than on YBP and R2YE (Fig. S18 and Fig. S19), in agreement with a previous report on *S. coelicolor* (Tiffert *et al.*, 2008). However, *gdhA* expression was barely detectable on any of the media, while *gltB* expression was detectable and remained at roughly comparable levels on all three media types (Fig. S19), implying that these two genes respond differently from other nitrogen metabolism genes.

The expression levels of *mtrA*, *glnR* and other nitrogen metabolism genes under the same growth conditions

^{© 2022} The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by Society for Applied Microbiology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd., *Microbial Biotechnology*, **15**, 1795–1810

were also compared (Figs. S20 and S21). *mtrA* expression remained lower than *glnR* expression (0.26–0.28 vs. 0.33–0.52) on N-Evans (Fig. S16), whereas on R2YE, *mtrA* expression was consistently higher than that of *glnR* (0.27–0.49 vs. 0.095–0.164) (Fig. S18), with the large difference in expression levels indicating that *glnR* is more sensitive to nitrogen availability.

MtrA and GlnR bind differentially to the MtrA/GlnR sites of nitrogen metabolism genes under different growth conditions

To investigate whether the differential expression of mtrA on different media is reflected at the protein level, we performed Western blot analysis using MtrA-FLAG- $\Delta mtrA_{SVF}$ grown on solid R2YE and N-Evans. Crude cellular lysates were extracted at the same four time points. Whereas the level of MtrA was almost constant on either R2YE or N-Evans, the level on N-Evans was notably lower than on R2YE (Fig. 5A), which is consistent with the transcriptional analysis (Fig. S18). To explore whether different levels of MtrA lead to differential binding in vivo to the MtrA/GInR sites of nitrogen metabolism genes, previously tested in EMSAs (Fig. S2-S9), we performed ChIP-qPCR analysis and compared the binding level of MtrA in cultures grown on R2YE and N-Evans (Fig. 5B, 5C and Fig. S22). Higher binding levels were detected on R2YE than on N-Evans, and the levels are fairly constant for a given medium. For example, at 24 h, the levels on R2YE versus N-Evans were as follows: <code>glnA</code> (5.02 \pm 0.81 vs 3.36 \pm 0.83), <code>glnII</code> (5.30 \pm 0.75 vs 2.58 \pm 0.28), ureA (4.75 \pm 0.67 vs 2.91 \pm 0.71), amtB (4.56 \pm 0.51 vs 3.76 \pm 0.64). However, only minor differences in binding by MtrA were observed for *qdhA* and gltB (Fig. S22), and no in vivo binding was detected for nirB and sven_1860 (Fig. S22), although MtrA bound these two sites in vitro (Fig. S6, S9). These data suggested that MtrA binds differentially to some GInR boxes under different arowth conditions.

To investigate whether the differential expression of alnR on different media is also reflected at the protein level, we performed Western blot analysis using the complemented strain GlnR-FLAG- $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$, which expresses a functional GInR-FLAG (Fig. S14). Crude cellular lysates were extracted at the same four time points of GInR-FLAG- $\Delta g ln R_{SVE}$ grown on solid R2YE and N-Evans. Although the level of GInR was nearly constant on either R2YE or N-Evans, the level on N-Evans was notably higher than on R2YE (Fig. 6A), consistent with the transcriptional analysis (Fig. S18). To determine whether the different levels of GInR lead to differential binding in vivo to the MtrA/GInR sites for nitrogen metabolism genes, we performed ChIP-qPCR analysis and compared the binding level of GInR to nitrogen genes on R2YE and N-Evans (Fig. 6B, 6C and Fig. S23). Higher binding levels were detected on N-Evans than on R2YE, and the levels are fairly constant for a given medium. For example, at 24 h, the levels on N-Evans versus on R2YE were as follows: *glnA* (5.71 \pm 0.69) vs 4.35 \pm 0.69), *glnII* (4.77 \pm 0.60 vs 3.63 \pm 0.64), *ureA* (4.15 \pm 0.71 vs 3.24 \pm 0.52), *amtB* (4.76 \pm 0.35 vs 3.22 \pm 0.19)] and several other nitrogen genes (Fig. S23), suggesting that GlnR binds stronger under nitrogen-limited conditions than under nutrient-rich conditions.

Discussion

From the model that we proposed for the regulation of nitrogen metabolism genes (Zhu et al., 2019), MtrA binds the MtrA sites of nitrogen assimilation genes and represses these genes to prevent the unnecessary expression of these genes when nitrogen resources are in surplus under nutrient-rich conditions; however, GInR binds the GInR boxes of these genes and activates the genes under nitrogen-limited conditions. As there is strong similarity in the core sequence of the MtrA site and the GInR box and as MtrA and GInR compete in vitro to bind to these sequences (Zhu et al., 2019), we hypothesized that MtrA would bind the MtrA sites/GlnR boxes under nutrient-rich conditions and disassociate from them under nitrogen-limited conditions in vivo, whereas GInR would exhibit the opposite pattern, with binding under nitrogen-limited conditions and disassociation under nutrient-rich conditions. However, the hypothesis was partially contradicted by the data obtained from this study. We showed that MtrA and GlnR bound the MtrA sites/GInR boxes under both nitrogen-limited and nutrient-rich conditions, although the binding levels differed. In general, MtrA bound more strongly to these target sites under nutrient-rich conditions than under nitrogen-limited conditions, in agreement with a moderately higher levels of MtrA under nutrient-rich conditions than under nitrogen-limited conditions. However, GlnR bound at notably stronger levels to the MtrA sites/GlnR boxes under nitrogen-limited conditions than under nutrient-rich conditions, consistent with a markedly higher level of GInR under nitrogen-limited conditions. Although it has only a minor role in the regulation of nitrogen metabolism genes under nitrogen-limited conditions, MtrA still bound the MtrA sites/GInR boxes under these conditions; likewise, GInR still bound these targets under nutrient-rich conditions, implying co-occupancy of the MtrA sites/GInR boxes by MtrA and GInR under the conditions tested. However, when both proteins are bound to the same site, it is unclear how MtrA exerts its role under nutrient-rich conditions and GInR exerts its role under nitrogen-limited conditions. Nevertheless, as



Fig. 5. Comparison of the level of MtrA and its binding to the promoters of nitrogen metabolism genes under nitrogen-limited and nutrient-rich conditions. (A) Western blot analysis using 10 μ g total cellular lysates extracted at indicated times from mycelia of indicated strains grown on R2YE or N-Evans. (B-C) ChIP-qPCR analysis of the binding of MtrA to the promoters of nitrogen metabolism genes from cultures grown on R2YE or N-Evans. Analysis was performed using strains MtrA-FLAG- $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ and the wild-type 10712 (WT) grown on R2YE or N-Evans for the indicated times. The y-axis shows the binding levels of MtrA-FLAG in MtrA-FLAG- $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ and WT relative to background levels, which was determined by recovery of target sequences. Results are the means (\pm SD) of triplet biological experiments.

MtrA demonstrated a higher binding level than GInR under nutrient-rich conditions, MtrA may occupy more of the MtrA sites/GlnR boxes than GlnR does under nutrient-rich conditions, enabling MtrA to manifest its repressor role when nitrogen sources are abundant. In contrast, as GInR generally displayed a higher binding level than MtrA did under nitrogen-limited conditions, GInR may occupy more of these sites when nitrogen is limited, consistent with its role as an activator of nitrogen metabolism genes. The similarity in recognition sequences for MtrA and GInR also provides the potential for manipulating the recognition sequence of a specific gene or family of genes to reduce or block the binding by one regulator (MtrA or GlnR) but enhance or promote binding by the other regulator. Such manipulation could enable the redesign of the nitrogen response network, including the addition of new control circuits to regulate, for example, the production of antibiotics or other compounds by industrial strains in response to altered nitrogen levels.

The lower level of MtrA under nitrogen-limited and of GInR under nutrient-rich conditions could be caused at the transcriptional level and potentially at the posttranscriptional level. In addition to changes in the levels of these regulators, modification at the post-translational level has been reported for GInR in Streptomyces and MtrA in Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Amin et al., 2016; Singh et al., 2020; Singhal et al., 2020). Acetylated and phosphorylated forms of GInR have been identified (Amin et al., 2016); GlnR phosphorylation correlated with nitrogen-rich conditions, and phosphorylation inhibited the binding of GInR to its target genes, whereas acetylation had only a minor influence on the binding of GInR to its target genes (Amin et al., 2016). Acetylation and methylation of MtrA influenced its repressor activity in M. tuberculosis (Singh et al., 2020; Singhal et al., 2020),



Fig. 6. Comparison of the level of GlnR and its binding to the promoters of nitrogen metabolism genes under nitrogen-limited and nutrient-rich conditions. (A) Western blot analysis. For details, see legend to Figure 5, panel A. (B-C) ChIP-qPCR analysis of the binding of GlnR to the promoters of nitrogen metabolism genes from cultures grown on R2YE or N-Evans. Analysis was performed using strains GlnR-FLAG- $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$, and the wild-type 10712 (WT) grown on R2YE for N-Evans for the indicated times. The y-axis shows the binding levels of GlnR-FLAG in GlnR-FLAG- $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$, and WT relative background levels, which was determined by recovery of target sequences. Results are the means (\pm SD) of

triplet biological experiments.

and MtrA of *Streptomyces* may be similarly subject to post-translational modification, with different forms of MtrA having different binding affinities for target genes (Singh *et al.*, 2020; Singhal *et al.*, 2020). However, the role of any such modifications needs to be further investigated in *Streptomyces*.

The transcriptional data obtained from mutant strains with deletion of a single gene ($\Delta mtrA$ or $\Delta glnR$) in this and a previous study (Zhu *et al.*, 2019) indicated that MtrA and GlnR function under nutrient-rich and nitrogenlimited conditions respectively. Therefore, we initially hypothesized that, under nutrient-rich conditions, the transcriptional pattern of nitrogen metabolism genes in the double mutant $\Delta mtrA$ -glnR would follow the pattern of $\Delta mtrA$, while under nitrogen-limited conditions, the pattern would follow that of $\Delta glnR$. Consistent with our hypothesis, the transcriptional pattern in $\Delta mtrA$ -glnR was similar to that of $\Delta glnR$ under nitrogen-limited conditions (Fig. 1B). However, unexpectedly, the transcriptional pattern in $\Delta mtrA$ -glnR was also similar to that of $\Delta glnR$ under nutrient-rich conditions (Fig. 1A), implying a GlnRdependent regulatory effect of MtrA, although GlnR maintains a lower level of expression under this condition. This is a new and interesting finding, although it is difficult to explain from our current understanding. In general, nitrogen metabolism genes tested in this study were normally induced under nitrogen-limited conditions but repressed under nutrient-rich conditions. However, *gltB* and *gdhA* were two exceptions, with *gdhA* always repressed and *gltB* always induced under both conditions, which may explain the different expression patterns of these two genes in $\Delta mtrA$ -glnR.

In this study, we also provided evidence that MtrA and GlnR are self-regulated. Although some response regulators are autoactivators, MtrA and GlnR are autorepressors, similar to SCO1979 (Zhu *et al.*, 2020a). MtrA expression levels showed moderate fluctuation compared to GlnR levels, under nitrogen-limited and nitrogen-rich conditions. The self-repression of MtrA or GlnR may prevent overexpression of MtrA (under

nitrogen-rich conditions) or GInR (under nitrogen-limited conditions) and therefore their regulon genes, resulting in a balanced expression of these regulatory genes and their regulons under different growth conditions (Martin and Liras, 2020).

Streptomyces can produce a vast variety of secondary metabolites of clinical importance, including antibiotics. antifungals, antihelminthics, antivirals and immunosuppressives (Hopwood, 2007). Production of secondary metabolites is controlled by cascades of regulatory factors at different levels in response to environmental changes such as nutrient shifts, including shifts in carbon and nitrogen levels (Hoskisson & Fernandez-Martinez, 2018, Ruiz-Villafan et al., 2021). Primary metabolism, such as central carbon metabolism, not only supports cell growth but also provides precursors for secondary metabolism; for example, carbon catabolism regulates formation of secondary metabolites including antibiotics (Ruiz-Villafan et al., 2021). Similarly, nitrogen is not only required for robust cellular growth but also as a component for nitrogen-containing secondary metabolites, and therefore, the availability and sources of nitrogen govern the production of secondary metabolites (Voelker & Altaba, 2001). As the major nitrogen metabolism regulator, MtrA represses nitrogen assimilating genes under nitrogen-rich conditions, thus preventing the unnecessary synthesis of organic nitrogen compounds and saving cellular energy for other physiological activities, including secondary metabolism, whereas GInR activates nitrogen assimilating genes to synthesize organic nitrogen compounds under nitrogen-limited conditions, supporting cell growth and providing nitrogen for secondary metabolites. Therefore, different levels of nitrogen would control the production of secondary metabolites mediated by MtrA and GlnR. In addition, both MtrA and GlnR can directly regulate the production of antibiotics in Streptomyces (He et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2020c), and they are cross-regulatory (Zhu et al., 2019), and therefore, it should be possible to improve the production of some antibiotics regulated by MtrA and GInR by altering the nitrogen response network and by engineering the levels of MtrA and GlnR.

Based on our data, we propose a new model for nitrogen regulation by MtrA and GlnR (Fig. 7). In this model, both MtrA and GlnR are self-regulated, repressing their own expression. Under nitrogen-poor conditions, expression of GlnR is highly induced, and therefore, GlnR binds more strongly (or more GlnR binds) to the MtrA sites/GlnR boxes of the nitrogen metabolism genes, and thus, these genes are activated. Under nutrient-rich conditions, expression of GlnR is minimal whereas MtrA is induced, and therefore, MtrA binds more strongly (or more MtrA binds) to the target sites, resulting in repression of the nitrogen genes. The molecular mechanism underlying the dependence of MtrA on GlnR for the regulation of nitrogen metabolism genes is not clear and is not yet explained in our model. Nevertheless, our study does provide new insights into the understanding of the complex regulation of nitrogen metabolism in microbes.

Experimental procedures

Strains, plasmids, primers and culture conditions

All strains and primers are listed in Tables S1 and S2 respectively. Streptomyces venezuelae ATCC 10712 was used as the wild-type strain in this study. The Streptomyces strains were cultivated on solid maltose-yeast extract-malt extract (MYM) medium (Frojd & Flardh, 2019) for sporulation, and on N-Evans (Fink et al., 2002), YBP (Ou et al., 2009) and R2YE (Kieser et al., 2000) for phenotypic observation, RNA extraction, cellular lysate purification and ChIP analysis. N-Evans was used as a nitrogen-limited medium, and YBP and R2YE as nitrogen-rich media in this study. All Escherichia coli strains were cultured in Luria-Bertani (LB) agar or liquid medium. When necessary, apramycin (50 μ g ml⁻¹), hygromycin (50 μ g ml⁻¹), ampicillin (100 μ g ml⁻¹), chloramphenicol (25 µg ml⁻¹), kanamycin (50 µg ml⁻¹) or thiostrepton (25 µg ml⁻¹) was added.



Fig. 7. Model for regulation of nitrogen metabolism genes by MtrA and GlnR. (A) MtrA and GlnR are self-regulated, repressing their own expression. (B) Under nitrogen-poor conditions, more GlnR binds to the MtrA sites/GlnR boxes, activating the nitrogen metabolism genes. (C) Under nutrient-rich conditions, more MtrA binds to the MtrA sites/GlnR boxes, repressing the nitrogen metabolism genes.

Deletion of both mtrA and glnR from the genome of S. venezuelae

The mutant strain $\Delta mtrA$ -glnR with deletions of both *mtrA* and glnR was obtained using the mutation plasmid pJTU-*mtrA* to delete *mtrA* from the mutant strain $\Delta glnR$ (Zhu *et al.*, 2020c). Plasmid pJTU-*mtrA*, which is apramycin resistance (Zhu *et al.*, 2020c), was transformed into *E. coli* ET12567 (pUZ8002) and then introduced into the kanamycin-resistant $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$ (Zhu *et al.*, 2020c) by conjugation. After several rounds of selection on MS agar containing both apramycin and kanamycin, the deletion of *mtrA* from $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$ was confirmed by PCR using MtrA V-F/R.

Expression and purification of MtrA and GInR

His-tagged MtrA and GlnR were expressed and purified essentially as described (Zhu *et al.*, 2019). In brief, protein production was induced by addition of 1 mM IPTG, and bacterial cells were collected after overnight culture at 16°C and then re-suspended and sonicated in binding buffer [50 mM NaH₂PO₄ (pH 8.0), 200 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole] on ice. Crude lysates were centrifuged to remove cell debris, and soluble proteins in supernatant were purified by Ni affinity column (Qiagen, USA). Purified proteins were examined by sodium dodecyl sulfatepolyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), and their concentration was determined by the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific, USA).

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs)

All primers for EMSAs (Table S2) were labelled with biotin at the 5'-terminus. The complementary forward and reverse 59 nt primers were mixed and annealed to produce probes. The conditions for EMSAs were as described previously (Zhang *et al.*, 2015). Signal detection was conducted by the ECL Western Blotting Analysis System kit (GE Healthcare) and was displayed by exposure to X-ray film or visualized by myECL imager (Thermo Scientific) instrument.

Extraction of crude cellular lysates, SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis

S. venezuelae strains were cultivated on solid R2YE or N-Evans (supplemented with 2 mM glutamine), and mycelia were harvested at indicated times. The harvested mycelia were ground in liquid nitrogen, dissolved in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM EDTA, PH8.0) and centrifuged to remove cellular debris. The concentration of the crude lysates was determined by the Pierce Protein Assay Kit. Equal amounts of crude

lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE (12%) and then transferred to Hybond-ECL membranes (GE Amersham), which were blocked with 5% fat-free milk at room temperature for 2 hours, washed twice and incubated with anti-FLAG mAb (1:3000; Boster Biological Technology) at 4°C overnight (Lu *et al.*, 2020b; Yan *et al.*, 2020). Next, the membranes were washed twice before incubating with the HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (H + L) secondary antibody (1:5000; Boster Biological Technology) for 50 min at room temperature. Finally, the membranes were washed twice, and the signal was revealed by the ECL Western Blotting Analysis System kit or imaged by the myECL imager system.

Total RNA extraction, reverse-transcription PCR (RT-PCR) and real-time PCR

Equal amounts of spores of Streptomyces strains were inoculated onto solid YBP, R2YE and N-Evans media, and cultures were collected at indicated times. For the media-shift experiment, the Streptomyces strains were first cultured in liquid YBP medium for 24 h at 30.0°C and at 220 rpm. After the optical density of the culture reached 2.0 at OD_{450nm}, one portion of the culture was centrifuged and collected for RNA isolation at the base time (0 h). An equal portion of the YBP culture was centrifuged, washed twice with liquid N-Evans and dispersed into 50 ml N-Evans medium supplemented with 2 mM glutamine for extended growth (4 h or 6 h) at 30.0°C and at 220 rpm. Cell cultures were collected, ground in liquid nitrogen and processed for RNA extraction as described previously (Zhang et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2019, 2020a). Reversetranscription PCR for cDNA synthesis and real-time PCR assays were carried out as described previously (Zhu et al., 2020b, 2020c). Specificity and melting curves of the PCR products were determined using the Roche LightCvcler480 thermal cycler according to the manufacturer's protocol. Transcription levels of measured genes were normalized relative to the level for hrdB, which was used as the internal control. For experiments determining the expression pattern of *mtrA* in the wild-type strain, the expression level of *hrdB* was arbitrarily set to one at each time point, and the expression of *mtrA* at each time point was calculated relative to hrdB.

Construction of engineered strains expressing FLAGtagged MtrA or GlnR

To express the MtrA-FLAG fusion protein, the plasmid pMtrA-FLAG was constructed following the described strategy (Liu *et al.*, 2019; Lu *et al.*, 2020a). Briefly, DNA fragment I containing the promoter and coding region of *mtrA* of *S. venezuelae* was amplified using the primer set MtrA Fcom-F/R and the template genomic DNA, and

DNA fragment II containing the linker and $3 \times$ FLAG sequence (including stop codon) was amplified using primer set Linker-Flag-F/R with the template pMacR-FLAG, which contains the linker sequence and the coding sequence of FLAG (Liu *et al.*, 2019); the two sets of primers were designed so that there would be overlapping sequences between these two amplified fragments. The two PCR fragments were purified, mixed and ligated with pMS82 to obtain pMtrA-FLAG, which was then introduced into $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ and $\Delta mtrA$ -glnR by conjugation to obtain the complemented strain MtrA-Flag- $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$. The plasmid expressing the GlnR-FLAG fusion protein and complemented strain GlnR-Flag- $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$ were constructed similarly, using primers listed in Table S2.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation and qPCR

S. venezuelae strains were grown on R2YE and N-Evans agar and harvested at indicated times. For the chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP), the M2 mouse monoclonal anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma) was used. The cross-linking, chromosomal DNA sonication, immunoprecipitation, reverse of the cross-links and elution steps were conducted essentially as described previously (Bush *et al.*, 2013, 2019; Liu *et al.*, 2019). The elution was quantified and subjected to qPCR analysis. The qPCR reactions were performed as above. To calculate the binding level of protein in the ChIP samples, the relative quantities of each DNA fragment were normalized with the housekeeping gene *hrdB*, which served as an internal control, and the binding level of at each target in the input chromosomal DNA was arbitrarily set to one.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2019MC062 to XP), the Open Funding Project of the State Key Laboratory of Microbial Metabolism (MMLKF21-02 to XP) and the National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFA0900400 to AL).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest with the contents of this article.

Author contributions

XP conceived, supervised the study and wrote the paper; XP and YZ designed experiments; YZ, JW, TL and WS performed experiments; XP, YZ and AL analysed data; and all authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

References

- Amin, R., Franz-Wachtel, M., Tiffert, Y., Heberer, M., Meky, M., Ahmed, Y., *et al.* (2016) Post-translational serine/threonine phosphorylation and lysine acetylation: A novel regulatory aspect of the global nitrogen response regulator GlnR in *S. coelicolor* M145. *Front Mol Biosci* **3:** 38.
- Bush, M.J., Bibb, M.J., Chandra, G., Findlay, K.C., and Buttner, M.J. (2013) Genes required for aerial growth, cell division, and chromosome segregation are targets of WhiA before sporulation in Streptomyces venezuelae. *MBio* 4: e00684–e613.
- Bush, M.J., Chandra, G., Al-Bassam, M.M., Findlay, K.C., and Buttner, M.J. (2019) BldC delays entry into development to produce a sustained period of vegetative growth in streptomyces venezuelae. *MBio* 10: e02812-18.
- Chater, K. (2011) Differentiation in *Streptomyces*: the properties and programming of diverse cell-types. In *Streptomyces: Molecular Biology and Biotechnology*. Dyson, P. (ed). Norfolk: Caister Academic Press, pp. 43–86.
- Fink, D., Weissschuh, N., Reuther, J., Wohlleben, W., and Engels, A. (2002) Two transcriptional regulators GlnR and GlnRII are involved in regulation of nitrogen metabolism in *Streptomyces coelicolor* A3(2). *Mol Microbiol* **46**: 331–347.
- Frojd, M.J., and Flardh, K. (2019) Apical assemblies of intermediate filament-like protein FilP are highly dynamic and affect polar growth determinant DivIVA in *Streptomyces venezuelae. Mol Microbiol* **112:** 47–61.
- He, J.M., Zhu, H., Zheng, G.S., Liu, P.P., Wang, J., Zhao, G.P., *et al.* (2016) Direct involvement of the master nitrogen metabolism regulator GlnR in antibiotic biosynthesis in streptomyces. *J Biol Chem* **291**: 26443–26454.
- Hopwood, D.A. (2007) *Streptomyces in Nature and Medicine*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hoskisson, P.A., and Fernandez-Martinez, L.T. (2018) Regulation of specialised metabolites in Actinobacteria expanding the paradigms. *Environ Microbiol Rep* **10**: 231–238.
- Kawamoto, S., and Ochi, K. (1998) Comparative ribosomal protein (L11 and L30) sequence analyses of several *Streptomyces* spp. commonly used in genetic studies. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* **48 Pt 2:** 597–600.
- Kieser, T., Bibb, M.J., Buttner, M.J., Chater, K.F., and Hopwood, D.A. (2000) *Practical Streptomyces Genetics*. Norwich: John Innes Foundation.
- Leigh, J.A., and Dodsworth, J.A. (2007) Nitrogen regulation in bacteria and archaea. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **61:** 349– 377.
- Lewis, R.A., Laing, E., Allenby, N., Bucca, G., Brenner, V., Harrison, M., *et al.* (2010) Metabolic and evolutionary insights into the closely-related species Streptomyces coelicolor and *Streptomyces lividans* deduced from highresolution comparative genomic hybridization. *BMC Genom* **11**: 682.
- Liu, M., Zhang, P., Zhu, Y., Lu, T., Wang, Y., Cao, G., *et al.* (2019) Novel two-component system MacRS is a pleiotropic regulator that controls multiple morphogenic membrane protein genes in *Streptomyces coelicolor. Appl Environ Microbiol* **85**: e02178–e2118.
- Lu, T., Cao, Q., Pang, X., Xia, Y., Xun, L., and Liu, H. (2020a) Sulfane sulfur-activated actinorhodin production

and sporulation is maintained by a natural gene circuit in *Streptomyces coelicolor. Microb Biotechnol* **13:** 1917–1932.

- Lu, X.R., Liu, X.C., Chen, Z., Li, J.L., van Wezel, G.P., Chen, W., and Wen, Y. (2020b) The ROK-family regulator Rok7B7 directly controls carbon catabolite repression, antibiotic biosynthesis, and morphological development in *Streptomyces avermitilis. Environ Microbiol* **22**: 5090– 5108.
- Martin, J.F., and Liras, P. (2020) the balance metabolism safety net: integration of stress signals by interacting transcriptional factors in streptomyces and related actinobacteria. *Front Microbiol* **10:** 3120. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmicb.2019.03120
- Merrick, M.J., and Edwards, R.A. (1995) Nitrogen control in bacteria. *Microbiol Rev* **59:** 604–622.
- Ou, X.J., Zhang, B., Zhang, L., Zhao, G.P., and Ding, X.M. (2009) Characterization of rrdA, a TetR family protein gene involved in the regulation of secondary metabolism in *Streptomyces coelicolor*. *Appl Environ Microb* **75**: 2158–2165.
- Pullan, S.T., Chandra, G., Bibb, M.J., and Merrick, M. (2011) Genome-wide analysis of the role of GInR in *Streptomyces venezuelae* provides new insights into global nitrogen regulation in actinomycetes. *BMC Genom* **12:** 175.
- Rodriguez-Garcia, A., Sola-Landa, A., Apel, K., Santos-Beneit, F., and Martin, J.F. (2009) Phosphate control over nitrogen metabolism in *Streptomyces coelicolor*. direct and indirect negative control of glnR, glnA, glnII and amtB expression by the response regulator PhoP. *Nucleic Acids Res* **37**: 3230–3242.
- Ruiz-Villafan, B., Cruz-Bautista, R., Manzo-Ruiz, M., Passari, A.K., Villarreal-Gomez, K., Rodriguez-Sanoja, R., and Sanchez, S. (2021) Carbon catabolite regulation of secondary metabolite formation, an old but not wellestablished regulatory system. *Microb Biotechnol.* [e-pub ahead of print]. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.13791
- Singh, K.K., Athira, P.J., Bhardwaj, N., Singh, D.P., Watson, U., and Saini, D.K. (2020) Acetylation of response regulator protein MtrA in *M. Tuberculosis* regulates its repressor activity. *Front Microbiol* **11**: 516315.
- Singhal, A., Virmani, R., Naz, S., Arora, G., Gaur, M., Kundu, P., *et al.* (2020) Methylation of two-component response regulator MtrA in mycobacteria negatively modulates its DNA binding and transcriptional activation. *Biochem J* **477**: 4473–4489.
- Som, N.F., Heine, D., Holmes, N., Knowles, F., Chandra, G., Seipke, R.F., *et al.* (2017a) The MtrAB twocomponent system controls antibiotic production in *Streptomyces coelicolor* A3(2). *Microbiology* **163**: 1415–1419.
- Som, N.F., Heine, D., Holmes, N.A., Munnoch, J.T., Chandra, G., Seipke, R.F., *et al.* (2017b) The conserved actinobacterial two-component system MtrAB Coordinates chloramphenicol production with sporulation in *Streptomyces venezuelae* NRRL B-65442. *Front Microbiol* 8: 1145.
- Tiffert, Y., Franz-Wachtel, M., Fladerer, C., Nordheim, A., Reuther, J., Wohlleben, W., and Mast, Y. (2011) Proteomic analysis of the GInR-mediated response to nitrogen

limitation in *Streptomyces coelicolor* M145. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **89:** 1149–1159.

- Tiffert, Y., Supra, P., Wurm, R., Wohlleben, W., Wagner, R., and Reuther, J. (2008) The *Streptomyces coelicolor* GlnR regulon: identification of new GlnR targets and evidence for a central role of GlnR in nitrogen metabolism in actinomycetes. *Mol Microbiol* 67: 861–880.
- Voelker, F., and Altaba, S. (2001) Nitrogen source governs the patterns of growth and pristinamycin production in 'Streptomyces pristinaespiralis'. Microbiology (Reading) 147: 2447–2459.
- Wang, J., Wang, Y., and Zhao, G.P. (2015) Precise characterization of GlnR Box in actinomycetes. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **458**: 605–607.
- Wang, R., Mast, Y., Wang, J., Zhang, W., Zhao, G., Wohlleben, W., *et al.* (2013) Identification of two-component system AfsQ1/Q2 regulon and its cross-regulation with GlnR in *Streptomyces coelicolor. Mol Microbiol* **87**: 30–48.
- Wohlleben, W.M.A.J.R. (2011) Regulation of nitrogen assimilation in Streptomycetes and other actinobacteria. In: *Streptomyces-Molecular Biology and Biotechnologh*. Dyson, P. (ed). Norfolk: Caister Academic Press.
- Yan, H., Lu, X.R., Sun, D., Zhuang, S., Chen, Q., Chen, Z., et al. (2020) BldD, a master developmental repressor, activates antibiotic production in two Streptomyces species. *Mol Microbiol* **113**: 123–142.
- Zhang, P., Wu, L., Zhu, Y., Liu, M., Wang, Y., Cao, G., *et al.* (2017) Deletion of MtrA inhibits cellular development of streptomyces coelicolor and alters expression of developmental regulatory genes. *Front Microbiol* **8**: 2013.
- Zhang, P.P., Zhao, Z.L., Li, H., Chen, X.L., Deng, Z.X., Bai, L.Q., and Pang, X.H. (2015) Production of the antibiotic FR-008/candicidin in Streptomyces sp FR-008 is coregulated by two regulators, FscRI and FscRIV, from different transcription factor families. *Microbiol-Sgm* 161: 539–552.
- Zhu, Y., Lu, T., Zhang, J., Zhang, P., Tao, M., and Pang, X. (2020a) A novel XRE family regulator that controls antibiotic production and development in *Streptomyces coelicolor. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **104**: 10075–10089.
- Zhu, Y., Xu, W., Zhang, J., Zhang, P., Zhao, Z., Sheng, D., et al. (2020b) A hierarchical network of four regulatory genes controlling production of the polyene antibiotic candicidin in *Streptomyces* sp. strain FR-008. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **86:** e00055–e20.
- Zhu, Y., Zhang, P., Zhang, J., Xu, W., Wang, X., Wu, L., et al. (2019) The developmental regulator MtrA binds GlnR boxes and represses nitrogen metabolism genes in *Streptomyces coelicolor. Mol Microbiol* **112:** 29–46.
- Zhu, Y., Zhang, P., Zhang, J., Wang, J., Lu, Y., and Pang,
 X. (2020c) Impact on multiple antibiotic pathways reveals mtra as a master regulator of antibiotic production in *Streptomyces* spp. and potentially in other actinobacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 86: e01201–1220.
- Zhu, Y., Zhang, P., Lu, T., Wang, X., Li, A., Lu, Y., *et al.* (2021) Impact of MtrA on phosphate metabolism genes and the response to altered phosphate conditions in Streptomyces. *Environ Microbiol* **23**: 6907–6923.

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Fig. S1. Transcriptional analysis of nitrogen metabolism genes in the $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ mutant by real-time PCR. Streptomyces strains were cultured on solid YBP, and RNA samples from the wild-type strain 10712 (WT) and $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ were isolated at the indicated times. Expression of *hrdB*, encoding the major sigma factor, was used as an internal control. For each gene, the expression level in WT at the first time point was arbitrarily set to one. The y-axis shows the fold change in expression in WT and $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ over the expression levels in WT at the first time point. Results are the means (\pm SD) of triplet biological experiments.

Fig. S2. Mutational analyses of the MtrA site/GlnR box in the *glnR* promoter. (A) Consensus binding motifs for MtrA and GlnR. (B) The sequences of the WT and mutagenized probes for the MtrA site/GlnR box of the *glnR* promoter. The core nucleotides of the MtrA site/GlnR box are underlined, and mutagenized bases are shown in red. Probes were used in EMSAs with (C) MtrA or (D) GlnR. Reactions were carried out with the addition of no MtrA (lanes 1), 1.18 μ M MtrA (lanes 2), 4.74 μ M MtrA (lanes 3), or 8.29 μ M MtrA (lanes 4) for panel C; or no GlnR (lanes 1), 0.45 μ M GlnR (lanes 4) for panel D.

Fig. S3. Mutational analyses of the MtrA sites/GlnR boxes in the *glnA* promoter. (A) Consensus binding motifs for MtrA and GlnR. (B) The sequences of the WT and mutagenized probes for MtrA sites/GlnR boxes of the *glnA* promoter. The core nucleotides of the MtrA sites/GlnR boxes are underlined, and mutagenized bases are shown in red. Probes were used in EMSAs with (C) MtrA or (D) GlnR. Reactions were carried out with the addition of no MtrA (lanes 1), 1.18 μ M MtrA (lanes 2), 4.74 μ M MtrA (lanes 3), or 8.29 μ M MtrA (lanes 4) for panel C; or no GlnR (lanes 1), 0.45 μ M GlnR (lanes 2), 1.78 ug GlnR (lanes 3), or 3.11 μ M GlnR (lanes 4) for panel D.

Fig. S4. Mutational analyses of the MtrA/GlnR boxes in the *amtB* promoter. (A) Consensus binding motifs for MtrA and GlnR. (B) The sequences of the WT and mutagenized probes for MtrA sites/GlnR boxes of the *amtB* promoter. The core nucleotides of the MtrA sites/GlnR boxes are underlined, and mutagenized bases are shown in red. Probes were used in EMSAs with (C) MtrA or (D) GlnR. Reactions were carried out with the addition of no MtrA (lanes 1), 1.18 μ M MtrA (lanes 2), 4.74 μ M MtrA (lanes 3), or 8.29 μ M MtrA (lanes 4) for panel C; or no GlnR (lanes 1), 0.45 μ M GlnR (lanes 4) for panel D.

Fig. S5. Mutational analyses of the MtrA sites/GlnR boxes in the *glnll* promoter. (A) Consensus binding motifs for MtrA and GlnR. (B) The sequences of the WT and mutagenized probes for MtrA sites/GlnR boxes of the *glnll* promoter. The core nucleotides of the MtrA site/GlnR boxes are underlined, and mutagenized bases are shown in red. Probes were used in EMSAs with (C) MtrA or (D) GlnR. Reactions were carried out with the addition of no MtrA (lanes 1), 1.18 μ M MtrA (lanes 2), 4.74 μ M MtrA (lanes 3), or 8.29 μ M MtrA (lanes 4) for panel C; or no GlnR (lanes 1), 0.45 μ M GlnR (lanes 2), 1.78 ug GlnR (lanes 3), or 3.11 μ M GlnR (lanes 4) for panel D.

Fig. S6. Mutational analyses of the MtrA sites/GlnR boxes in the *nirB* promoter. (A) Consensus binding motifs for MtrA and GlnR. (B) The sequences of the WT and mutagenized probes for MtrA sites/GlnR boxes of the *nirB* promoter. The core nucleotides of the MtrAsites/GlnR boxes are underlined, and mutagenized bases are shown in red. Probes were used in EMSAs with (C) MtrA or (D) GlnR. Reactions were carried out with the addition of no MtrA (lanes 1), 1.18 μ M MtrA (lanes 2), 4.74 μ M MtrA (lanes 3), or 8.29 μ M MtrA (lanes 4) for panel C; or no GlnR (lanes 1), 0.45 μ M GlnR (lanes 2), 1.78 ug GlnR (lanes 3), or 3.11 μ M GlnR (lanes 4) for panel D.

Fig. S7. Mutational analyses of the MtrA site/GlnR box in the *ureA* promoter. (A) Consensus binding motifs for MtrA and GlnR. (B) The sequences of the WT and mutagenized probes for the MtrA site/GlnR box of the *ureA* promoter. The core nucleotides of the MtrA site/GlnR box are underlined, and mutagenized bases are shown in red. Probes were used in EMSAs with (C) MtrA or GlnR. Reactions were carried out with the addition of no MtrA (lanes 1), 1.18 μ M MtrA (lanes 2), 4.74 μ M MtrA (lanes 3), or 8.29 μ M MtrA (lanes 4); or no GlnR (lanes 1), 0.45 μ M GlnR (lanes 2), 1.78 ug GlnR (lanes 3), or 3.11 μ M GlnR (lanes 4).

Fig. S8. Mutational analyses of the MtrA site/GlnR box in the *gltB* promoter. (A) Consensus binding motifs for MtrA and GlnR. (B) The sequences of the WT and mutagenized probes for MtrA site/GlnR box of the *gltB* promoter. The core nucleotides of the MtrA site/GlnR box are underlined, and mutagenized bases are shown in red. Probes were used in EMSAs with (C) MtrA or GlnR. Reactions were carried out with the addition of no MtrA (lanes 1), 1.18 μ M MtrA (lanes 2), 4.74 μ M MtrA (lanes 3), or 8.29 μ M MtrA (lanes 4); or no GlnR (lanes 1), 0.45 μ M GlnR (lanes 2), 1.78 ug GlnR (lanes 3), or 3.11 μ M GlnR (lanes 4).

Fig. S9. Mutational analyses of the MtrA sites/GlnR boxes in the *sven_1860* promoter. (A) Consensus binding motifs for MtrA and GlnR. (B) The sequences of the WT and mutagenized probes for MtrA sites/GlnR boxes of the *sven_1860* promoter. The core nucleotides of the MtrA sites/GlnR boxes are underlined, and mutagenized bases are shown in red. Probes were used in EMSAs with (C) MtrA or (D) GlnR. Reactions were carried out with the addition of no MtrA (lanes 1), 1.18 μ M MtrA (lanes 2), 4.74 μ M MtrA (lanes 3), or 8.29 μ M MtrA (lanes 4) for panel C; or no GlnR (lanes 1), 0.45 μ M GlnR (lanes 2), 1.78 ug GlnR (lanes 3), or 3.11 μ M GlnR (lanes 4) for panel D.

Fig. S10. Comparison of the auto-regulatory effect of MtrA on R2YE and N-Evans. (A) Overall comparison of *mtrA* expression levels on R2YE and N-Evans. (B) Time-point to time-point comparison of *mtrA* expression levels on R2YE and N-Evans. RNA samples from the wild-type strain (WT) and $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ were isolated at the indicated times. Expression of *hrdB*, encoding the major sigma factor, was used as an internal control. For each gene, the expression level in WT at the first time point was arbitrarily set to one as in Figure 2. The y-axis shows the fold change in expression in $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ over the levels in WT at the first time point. Primer sets that target remaining regions of *mtrA* in $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ were

used. Results are the means (\pm SD) of triplet biological experiments. Student's t-test was used for comparison, * P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.005, ****P < 0.001.

Fig. S11. Transcriptional analysis of *mtrA* and *glnR* in $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$, and $\Delta mtrA-glnR$ mutants by real-time PCR. Streptomyces strains were cultured on solid R2YE (A), N-Evans (B), or shifted from liquid YBP to liquid N-R2YE (C), and RNA samples from WT, $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$, and $\Delta mtrA-glnR$ were isolated at the indicated times. Expression of *hrdB*, encoding the major sigma factor, was used as an internal control. For each gene, the expression level in WT at the first time point was arbitrarily set to one. The y-axis shows the fold change in expression levels in WT at the first time point. Primer sets that target the deleted sequences in $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ and $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$ were used. Results are the means (\pm SD) of triplet biological experiments.

Fig. S12. Comparison of the auto-regulatory effect of GInR on R2YE and N-Evans. (A) Overall comparison of *alnR* expression levels on R2YE and N-Evans. (B) Time-point to time-point comparison of *qlnR* expression levels on R2YE and N-Evans. RNA samples from the wild-type strain (WT) and $\Delta q ln R_{SVE}$ were isolated at the indicated times. Expression of *hrdB*, encoding the major sigma factor, was used as an internal control. For each gene, the expression level in WT at the first time point was arbitrarily set to one as in Figure 2. The y-axis shows the fold change in expression in $\Delta gln R_{SVE}$ over the levels in WT at the first time point. Primer sets that target remaining regions of *glnR* in $\Delta g ln R_{SVF}$ were used. Results are the means (\pm SD) of triplet biological experiments. Student's t-test was used for com-****P* < 0.005, parison. **P* < 0.05. ***P* < 0.01. *****P* < 0.001.

Fig. S13. Restoration of pigment production and aerial mycelium formation with an MtrA-FLAG construct. Streptomyces strains were incubated on MS-G medium for the indicated times. The white patches are aerial mycelia. WT, ATCC 10172; $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, mtrA deletion mutant; MtrA-FLAG- $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ complemented by pMtrA-FLAG; V- $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ containing pMS82.

Fig. S14. Restoration of pigment production and aerial mycelium formation with an GlnR-FLAG construct. Streptomyces strains were incubated on MS-G medium for the indicated times. The white patches are aerial mycelia. WT, ATCC 10172; $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$, glnR deletion mutant; GlnR-FLAG- $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$, $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$ complemented by pGlnR-FLAG; V- $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$, $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$ containing pMS82.

Fig. S15. Transcriptional analysis by real-time PCR of nitrogen metabolism genes in $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$, and $\Delta mtrA-glnR$ mutants grown on solid R2YE. RNA samples from WT, Δmtr_{SVE} , $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$, and $\Delta mtrA-glnR$ were isolated at the indicated times. Expression of *hrdB*, encoding the major sigma factor, was used as an internal control. For each gene, the expression level in WT at the first time point was arbitrarily set to one. The y-axis shows the fold change in expression levels in WT at the first time point. Results are the means (±SD) of triplet biological experiments.

Fig. S16. Transcriptional analysis by real-time PCR of nitrogen metabolism genes in $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$, and $\Delta mtrA$ glnR mutants grown on solid N-Evans. RNA samples from WT, $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$, and $\Delta mtrA-glnR$ were isolated at the indicated times, and gene expression was determined as for Figure S5. Results are the means (±SD) of triplet biological experiments.

Fig. S17. Transcriptional analysis by real-time PCR of nitrogen metabolism genes in $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$, and $\Delta mtrA-glnR$ mutants under nutrient-shift. Streptomyces strains were cultured in liquid YBP and then transferred to liquid N-Evans. RNA samples from WT, $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$, $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$, and $\Delta mtrA-glnR$ were isolated at the indicated times, and gene expression was determined as for Figure S5. Results are the means (±SD) of triplet biological experiments.

Fig. S18. Transcriptional analysis of *mtrA*, *glnR*, and nitrogen metabolism genes in the wild-type strain 10712 grown on different media. (A) Transcription analysis using YBP, R2YE, and N-Evans cultures. For each gene, the expression level of *hrdB* at each time point was arbitrarily set to one. The y-axis shows the fold change in expression of each gene over the expression level of *hrdB* for each time point. Results are the means (\pm SD) of triplet biological experiments. (B-C) Transcriptional analysis using R2YE or N-Evans cultures at various time points. Results are the means (\pm SD) of triplet biological experiments and were calculated as for panel A.

Fig. S19. Transcriptional analysis by real-time PCR of nitrogen metabolism genes in the wild-type strain 10712 grown on different media. The wild-type strain was grown on YBP, R2YE, and N-Evans. For each gene, the expression level of *hrdB* at each time point was arbitrarily set to one. The y-axis shows the fold change in expression of each gene over the expression level of *hrdB* for each time point. Results are the means (\pm SD) of triplet biological experiments.

Fig. S20. Transcriptional analysis by real-time PCR of nitrogen metabolism genes in the wild-type strain 10712 grown on R2YE. For each gene, the expression level of *hrdB* at each time point was arbitrarily set to one. The y-axis shows the fold change in expression of each gene over the expression level of *hrdB* for each time point. Results are the means (\pm SD) of triplet biological experiments.

Fig. S21. Transcriptional analysis by real-time PCR of nitrogen metabolism genes in the wild-type strain 10712 grown on N-Evans. For each gene, the expression level of *hrdB* at each time point was arbitrarily set to one. The y-axis shows the fold change in expression of each gene over the expression level of *hrdB* for each time point. Results are the means (\pm SD) of triplet biological experiments.

Fig. S22. ChIP-qPCR analysis of the binding of MtrA to the promoters of nitrogen metabolism genes from cultures grown on R2YE or N-Evans. Analysis was performed using strains MtrA-FLAG- $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ and the wild-type 10712 (WT) grown on R2YE or N-Evans for the indicated times. The y-axis shows the binding levels of MtrA-FLAG in MtrA-FLAG- $\Delta mtrA_{SVE}$ and WT relative to background levels, which was determined by recovery of target sequences. Results are the means (\pm SD) of triplet biological experiments.

Fig. S23. ChIP-qPCR analysis of the binding of GlnR to the promoters of nitrogen metabolism genes from cultures grown on R2YE or N-Evans. Analysis was performed using strains GlnR-FLAG- $\Delta glnR_{SVE}$, and the wild-type 10712 (WT) grown on R2YE for N-Evans for the indicated times. The y-axis shows the binding levels of GlnR-FLAG in GlnR-FLAG.

 $\Delta gln R_{SVE}$ and WT relative background levels, which was determined by recovery of target sequences. Results are the means (\pm SD) of triplet biological experiments. **Table S1**. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study.

Table S2. Primers used in this study.