

Open camera or QR reader and
scan code to access this article
and other resources online.



Assessing the Burden of Illness Associated with Acquired Generalized Hypoactive Sexual Desire Disorder

James A. Simon, MD, CCD, NCMP, IF, FACOG,¹ Amod Athavale, PhD,² Rahul Ravindranath, MEng,²
Nandini Hadker, MA,² Amama Sadiq, MD, MPH,³ Michelle Lim-Watson, MBA, MPH,³
Laura Williams, MD, MPH,³ and Julie Krop, MD³

Abstract

Background: Hypoactive sexual desire disorder (HSDD), which affects ~10% of women in the United States, is defined as the persistent or recurrent deficiency/absence of sexual desire accompanied by personal distress. Although HSDD impacts patient quality of life and interpersonal relationships, the disorder often goes unaddressed or untreated. Recent studies of the burden of illness in women with HSDD, especially premenopausal women, are limited.

Materials and Methods: A 45-minute web-based survey was designed to investigate the experience of women seeking treatment for HSDD and the impact of this disorder on several psychosocial aspects of women's lives. Women were recruited from an online panel of patients who participated in research studies for compensation. Validated questionnaires assessed sexual function (Female Sexual Function Index) and health-related quality of life (12-Item Short Form Survey [SF-12]), including mental and physical component scores.

Results: A total of 530 women, aged ≥ 18 years, diagnosed with acquired generalized HSDD were included in the study. Premenopausal women indicated greater overall HSDD symptom burden compared with postmenopausal women. Patients with HSDD reported lower SF-12 scores compared with the general population. A multivariable regression analysis demonstrated that psychosocial factors influencing the burden of HSDD, including interference with their relationship with their partner ($\beta = -0.18$; $p < 0.005$), mental and emotional well-being ($\beta = -0.23$; $p < 0.005$), and household and personal activities ($\beta = -0.23$; $p = 0.02$), negatively affected SF-12 mental component scores.

Conclusions: HSDD symptom burden was found to be negatively and statistically significantly associated with patients' mental health; the impact was greater among premenopausal women compared with postmenopausal women.

Keywords: female sexual dysfunction, hypoactive sexual desire disorder, mental health, quality of life, premenopausal

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, George Washington University and *IntimMedicine*TM Specialists, Washington, District of Columbia, USA.

²TRINITY, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA.

³AMAG Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Waltham, Massachusetts, USA.

© James A. Simon et al. 2022; Published by Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. This Open Access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons License [CC-BY] (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Correction added on May 14, 2022 after first online publication on April 26, 2022: The article reflects Open Access, with copyright transferring to the author(s), and a Creative Commons License [CC-BY] (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>). JOURNAL OF WOMEN'S HEALTH Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. DOI: 10.1089/jwh.2021.0255.

Introduction

FEMALE SEXUAL DYSFUNCTION (FSD) encompasses physiological, psychological, and social components, including several sexual concerns that can be distressing to women. In the United States, the most prevalent form of FSD is hypoactive sexual desire disorder (HSDD).^{1,2} The *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, Text Revision* (DSM-IV-TR) defines HSDD as a disorder characterized by an absence or deficiency of sexual desire or fantasies accompanied by marked distress that is not due to a coexisting medical or psychiatric condition, problems with the relationship, or the effect of a medication.³ In 2013, HSDD and female sexual arousal disorder (FSAD) were absorbed into a single condition termed female sexual interest and arousal disorder (FSIAD) in the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition* (DSM-5).⁴

Consistent with the DSM-IV-TR definition of HSDD, the International Society for the Study of Women's Sexual Health consensus panel characterized HSDD as the persistent or recurrent deficiency or absence of sexual desire and/or receptiveness to erotic stimulation, accompanied by distress.² A cross-sectional population-based study of the prevalence of FSD (PRESIDE) showed that ~1 in 10 U.S. women suffer from low sexual desire and associated distress: HSDD affects 8.9% of women aged 18–44 years, 12.3% of women aged 45–65 years, and 7.4% of women aged 65 years and older.⁵ Although HSDD impacts women's health-related quality of life (HRQoL), the disorder often goes unaddressed or untreated.⁶

Women may delay or not seek treatment for HSDD for various reasons, including the belief that their sexual difficulties are temporary and will resolve or their experience is a normal part of aging or long-term relationships, an aversion to discussing what they see as a private or embarrassing matter, and uncertainty about where to seek help.⁷ Additionally, physicians who frequently treat HSDD, such as primary care providers (PCPs) and obstetricians/gynecologists (OB/GYNs), are often hesitant to initiate discussions about sexual health due to concerns of causing discomfort in their relationships with their patients as well as the physicians' own underlying attitudes regarding sexuality.¹

PCPs and OB/GYNs may also be less confident in their ability to treat HSDD due to limited awareness of screening tools and treatment options, as well as a lack of training in human sexuality during their formal medical education.^{1,8}

The impact of HSDD on HRQoL was previously evaluated only in postmenopausal women.⁹ To gain further insight into the burden of HSDD, this study investigated the experience of women seeking treatment for HSDD and characterized the impact of this disorder on several psychosocial aspects of women's lives. It also assessed the unmet medical needs relevant to this condition in these women.

Materials and Methods

Study design

We designed a 45-minute, web-based cross-sectional survey, approved by an institutional review board, that explored the patient experience, including the physical, social, mental, economic, and emotional burden related to HSDD. Participants were anonymously recruited from the Curizon online patient research panel, an entity that individuals join for the purpose of

participating in research studies for compensation. When they enter the panel, participants are asked about all diagnosed conditions they have and are then sorted into the database.

Pretest evaluations were conducted via cognitive interviews among participants to minimize potential response error and to evaluate the quality of responses. One pretest was conducted for each age group of interest: 18–30, 31–45, 46–60, and 61–80 years. Screening criteria for the pretest evaluation were identical to participant eligibility criteria for this study. Participants who qualified for the pretest evaluation were asked to identify questions or response options that were unclear, not comprehensive, or potentially erroneous. No sources of response error were identified.

Participants were offered reward points equivalent to ~\$28 in exchange for their time. Those who met the eligibility criteria and completed the study survey were included in the study analyses. The survey was administered from August 24, 2018, through September 18, 2018.

Survey description

The final survey, approved by the University of Mississippi's Institutional Review Board, consisted of customized questions that assessed demographics, HSDD symptom details, diagnosis and initial perceptions, management of HSDD, and disorder impact on HRQoL. Validated questionnaires assessed sexual function (Female Sexual Function Index [FSFI] scale¹⁰) and HRQoL (12-Item Short Form Survey [SF-12]).¹¹ The contextual relevance of the survey was validated and strengthened with input from discussions with sexual medicine experts.

Participant eligibility

The study recruited women aged ≥18 years who were in a stable monogamous relationship for ≥6 months. Menopausal status was classified according to Stages of Reproductive Aging Workshop criteria¹²; HSDD diagnosis was based on self-reported physician diagnosis or symptoms of HSDD in accordance with the Decreased Sexual Desire Screener (DSDS) (Supplementary Table S1).¹³ Exclusion criteria included prior hysterectomy or bilateral oophorectomy.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 24 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

Descriptive analyses. Means were calculated for continuous variables; frequencies and percentages were calculated for categorical variables. Student's *t*-tests with Bonferroni corrections and chi-squared tests were conducted as appropriate. The Bonferroni correction was applied to account for multiple comparisons and was performed by multiplying the uncorrected *p*-value with the number of comparisons. If this multiplied *p*-value is <0.05, the comparison was deemed to be statistically significant.

Multivariable linear regression analyses. Two independent multivariable linear regression analyses were performed. A multivariable linear regression model was used to evaluate the relationship between psychosocial aspects of burden and the overall burden of HSDD; independent

variables were psychosocial factors, and the dependent variable was the overall burden of HSDD. Mean scores for the independent variables were calculated and included in multivariable linear regression analyses. The internal consistency of the mean scores for “mental and emotional well-being” (Cronbach’s $\alpha=0.909$) and “household and personal activities” (Cronbach’s $\alpha=0.968$) was validated.

Another multivariable linear regression model assessed the relationship between psychosocial factors (independent variables) and the SF-12 mental component score (MCS) (dependent variable). Both multivariable regression models included the following control variables: age, race, education, marital status, and social desirability bias (as measured by egoistic and moralistic response tendencies).¹⁴

Results

Sample description and demographics

Of the 32,178 participants in the panel who were initially recruited for the web-based survey, 1,508 (4.6%) received HSDD diagnoses, 1,108 (3.4%) had a physician diagnosis of HSDD, and 418 (1.3%) qualified based on the DSDS criteria. Among these 1,508 participants, 857 (50%) met the eligibility requirements to participate in the study, and 530 (62%) completed the survey and were included in the study analyses. The majority of participants were premenopausal, married, Caucasian, college graduates, and in a heterosexual relationship (Table 1).

Unmet medical needs in diagnosis and management of HSDD

Among all participants, 75% indicated that they had spoken to a doctor or therapist about their HSDD symptoms, whereas the remaining 25% had not (Table 1). Of those who had spoken to a doctor or therapist, 82% of participants initiated the conversation with their health care provider (HCP) (Table 1). On average, it took 10 months for participants to approach a physician after experiencing initial symptoms of HSDD, and those participants experienced ~2 months’ delay in diagnosis with HSDD (Supplementary Fig. S1).

The most frequently cited reasons for not approaching a doctor/therapist were assumptions that HSDD symptoms were normal for their age (35%) and embarrassment (30%) (Supplementary Fig. S2). Diagnosis of HSDD was often determined by the same doctor/therapist respondents initially approached ($n=324$) (Supplementary Table S2). Interestingly, 21% of women who initially approached a PCP were ultimately diagnosed by a gynecologist. Symptoms were initially dismissed or misdiagnosed by doctors/therapists as depression or anxiety in up to 44% of cases (Supplementary Fig. S1). Of note, 18% of the participants who experienced delay in diagnosis indicated that their HCPs did not know HSDD was a medical condition, whereas 13% of those participants were uncomfortable discussing HSDD as a medical condition.

Regarding management and treatment of HSDD, participants reported that HCPs were far more likely to recommend nonpharmacological treatments (Supplementary Fig. S3). The commonly recommended nonpharmacological treatments were lubricants/moisturizers (52%), relaxation/meditation/yoga (43%), psychotherapy (39%), weight loss/

TABLE 1. PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS

<i>Demographic</i>	<i>Participants (n=530), n (%)</i>
Age, years	
18–45	307 (58)
46–60	180 (34)
61–80	43 (8)
Menopause status	
Premenopausal	409 (77)
Postmenopausal	121 (23)
Comorbid conditions	
Depression	201 (38)
Anxiety	180 (34)
Race/ethnicity	
Caucasian	398 (75)
African American	64 (12)
Hispanic/Latina	37 (7)
Asian	21 (4)
Other	10 (2)
Marital status	
Married	376 (71)
Living with partner	69 (13)
Single (never married)	53 (10)
Divorced	16 (3)
Other	16 (3)
Highest level of education	
College graduate or above	212 (40)
Some college or AA degree	191 (36)
High school	117 (22)
Middle school	10 (2)
Current employment status	
Full time	266 (50)
Homemaker	108 (20)
Part-time	74 (14)
Retired	32 (6)
Disabled	30 (6)
Unemployed/seeking employment	15 (3)
Full/part-time student	5 (1)
Reason for current employment status	
Not at all due to my decreased sexual desire	419 (79)
In small part due to my decreased sexual desire	58 (11)
In large part due to my decreased sexual desire	32 (6)
Entirely due to my decreased sexual desire	21 (4)
Nature of stable relationship	
Heterosexual	503 (95)
Homosexual	27 (5)
Spoken to a doctor/therapist?	
Yes	398 (75)
No	132 (25)
Who started the conversation?	
I initiated the conversation	435 (82)
My doctor/physician initiated	95 (18)

AA, Associate of Arts.

diet/exercise (32%), and herbal or homeopathic products (19%). At the time of this study, flibanserin was the only treatment approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for premenopausal women with HSDD,¹⁵ and it was prescribed to 7% of survey participants.




FIG. 1. Impact of HSDD on sexual function ($n=530$). Comparisons between premenopausal and postmenopausal women were analyzed using Student's t -tests. **(A)** Mean and median FSFI total score among all respondents and by menopausal status. Maximum score (perfect sexual functioning)=36. Scores ≤ 26.55 indicate female sexual dysfunction. **(B)** Mean FSFI domain scores among all respondents and by menopausal status. Maximum score (perfect sexual functioning)=6. For each of the six domains (arousal, desire, orgasm, lubrication, satisfaction, and pain), differences between premenopausal and postmenopausal were statistically significant (all $p < 0.001$). The difference in overall FSFI score between the two groups was also statistically significant ($p < 0.001$). FSFI, Female Sexual Function Index; HSDD, hypoactive sexual desire disorder.

Sexual health assessment

A total score of 36 on the FSFI scale¹⁰ indicates no sexual dysfunction, whereas scores ≤ 26.55 indicate sexual dysfunction.¹⁶ The mean FSFI total score of all participants was 17.5 ± 7.1 , indicating that sexual functioning was significantly below normal (Fig. 1A). Furthermore, the mean FSFI total score in postmenopausal women (15.0) was significantly lower ($p < 0.001$) than that in premenopausal women (18.3).

When assessed for each aspect of sexual function, participants reported a score of 2.6 in the arousal, desire, and or-

gasm domains out of a maximum score of 6 for each domain of the FSFI (Fig. 1B). Postmenopausal women exhibited significantly lower scores on the arousal ($p < 0.001$), desire ($p < 0.001$), orgasm ($p < 0.001$), and lubrication ($p < 0.001$) domains compared with premenopausal women. Sexual activity was measured by the mean number of events of carressing, foreplay, and vaginal intercourse/penetration; participants reported a 50% decrease in frequency of all three events after the onset of HSDD symptoms (data not shown). However, there were no statistically significant differences in the frequency of those sexual activities between premenopausal and postmenopausal women.

Impact of HSDD on social relationships

To understand the burden of HSDD on women's social relationships, the impact of HSDD on relationships with partners/spouses, friends, family, and co-workers was assessed. Participants were also asked to report the impact of HSDD on their overall lives. Responses were scored on a scale of 0–100, with 0 indicating no interference in the independent variables listed above and 100 indicating extreme interference. Notably, among divorced women (3% of total participants), 27% reported that their divorce was primarily due to decreased sexual desire.

Premenopausal women with HSDD showed significantly greater interference across all social relationships compared with postmenopausal women ($p=0.01$ for all relationships). Correspondingly, participants aged 61–80 years with partners ($n=42$) experienced the least interference across all social relationships compared with other age groups.

Regardless of menopausal status, women with HSDD reported that relationships with their partners/spouses were impacted most (Fig. 2A). Despite the high interference in partner/spouse relationships in terms of negative impact and decreased relationship strength, participants still viewed their relationships as positive (Fig. 2B). Sexual elements in the relationship were negatively affected by HSDD and varied with menopausal status. The increase in overall stress in their relationship was significantly higher among premenopausal women compared with postmenopausal women ($p<0.001$), and the increase in trust and overall satisfaction was also lower among premenopausal women (Fig. 2C). Consistently, participants also reported increased avoidance of situations that may lead to sexual activity (Fig. 2D).

Impact of HSDD on mental wellness and daily activities

The effect of HSDD on overall mental well-being was assessed by measuring the degree of interference in emotional well-being, the ability to “stay in the moment,” satisfaction with life, being at peace with oneself, feeling happy, quality of sleep, positive feeling, mental ability, and ability to focus on tasks. The reliability of these endpoints was determined during the pretest evaluation, which was also developed based on input from sexual medicine experts. There was a significantly greater interference across all attributes of overall mental well-being and daily activities in premenopausal women versus postmenopausal women ($p\leq 0.0001$) (Fig. 3A). The impact of HSDD on daily activities was also evaluated using the validated endpoints to better understand the burden of HSDD on women's lives.

Daily activities included taking care of the partner/spouse, pursuing hobbies, exercising, and enjoying time with friends, family, and others. Although the interference across daily activities was low to moderate, the impact was greater on premenopausal women compared with postmenopausal women (Fig. 3B). HSDD symptoms did not result in significant interference with work or employment (Supplementary Table S3). Regardless of age, participants with HSDD reported that within the past 6 months, ~11.4 work hours were missed because of HSDD symptoms, suggesting minimal economic impact on women's work lives. Women aged 46–60 years were absent from work ~19.7 hours within the past 6 months.

Health-related quality of life

The HRQoL SF-12 score includes an MCS and physical component score (PCS).¹⁷ A score of 50 for both the MCS and PCS scales represents the general population norm; a score lower than 50 indicates poorer mental or physical health than the norm. Overall, participants reported an MCS of 40.6, whereas the PCS of participants (50.2) was similar to that of the general population norm. Additionally, premenopausal women reported a lower MCS (38.8) compared with postmenopausal women (46.8), whereas the PCS reported by premenopausal and postmenopausal women (50.4 vs. 49.6) were almost identical. Consistent with those results, 64.9% of premenopausal women and 40.7% of postmenopausal women had a MCS lower than that of the general population.

Among all participants, 73.2% had a normal PCS, which was similar between premenopausal (71.8%) and postmenopausal (78.0%) women. Classification of responses based on age showed that among participants with HSDD, younger women were more likely to report a lower SF-12 MCS than the general population, implying a greater impact on mental health among younger versus older women.

Bivariate impact of HSDD on HRQoL

Considering the psychosocial factors that contribute to HSDD burden such as social relationships, mental or emotional well-being, ability to perform household or personal activities, and ability to perform at work, participants were asked to report the impact of HSDD on their overall lives. Their responses were scored on a scale of 0–100, with 0 indicating no interference in any of the factors and 100 indicating maximum interference.

Premenopausal women had significantly higher interference scores compared with postmenopausal women (63.3 vs. 45.0, $p<0.001$) (Supplementary Fig. S4). Of note, women aged 18–45 years scored significantly higher ($p<0.001$) than women aged 46–60 years and 61–80 years. Additionally, participants with lower scores on the SF-12 MCS and PCS reported experiencing a greater impact of HSDD symptoms ($p<0.001$) compared with those with higher scores (data not shown).

Multivariable regression analyses

To understand which aspects contribute toward the overall burden of HSDD and lower SF-12 MCS, two distinct multivariable linear regression models were run and analyzed. The relationship with a partner or spouse (standardized regression coefficient [β]=0.39), mental and emotional well-being ($\beta=0.27$), and household and personal activities ($\beta=0.17$) were the largest contributors toward overall burden of HSDD (adjusted $R^2=0.56$) (Supplementary Table S3). Consistent with the factors influencing the overall burden of HSDD, interference with the relationship with a partner ($\beta=-0.18$), mental and emotional well-being ($\beta=-0.23$), and household and personal activities ($\beta=-0.23$) had a negative impact on the SF-12 MCS (adjusted $R^2=0.28$).

Discussion

HSDD is associated with lower HRQoL, including less happiness and satisfaction with partners, and more frequent negative emotional states.¹ As mentioned, HSDD is




FIG. 2. Effect of HSDD on social relationships ($n = 530$). Comparisons between the groups were analyzed using Student's t -tests with Bonferroni corrections as appropriate. **(A)** Impact of HSDD on social relationships by age group and menopausal status. *Statistically significantly greater than postmenopausal women. †Statistically significantly greater than the 46–60 age group. ‡Statistically significantly greater than the 61–80 age group. **(B)** Overall impact of HSDD on partner relationship and relationship strength by age group. *Statistically significantly greater than the 18–45 age group. †Statistically significantly different from zero.

continued

underdetected and undertreated. Fewer than half of patients with sexual problems seek help from physicians or initiate discussions with them. This is due to fear of self-embarrassment or concern about embarrassment to physicians. Mainly, women prefer that physicians open any such

discussions with them.¹ When asked about experience with HCPs, subjects in another recent survey reported that their PCPs inquired infrequently about their sexual health. The subjects believed that OB/GYNs asked about sexual health more frequently than PCPs.




FIG. 2. (continued) **(C)** Impact of HSDD on nonsexual elements of partner relationship by age group and menopausal status. *Statistically significantly greater than the 18–45 group. †Statistically significantly different from zero. ‡Statistically significantly greater than the postmenopausal group. **(D)** Impact of HSDD on sexual elements of partner relationship among all participants. *Statistically significantly different from zero.

This is possibly due to the depth and specialization of expertise and training in female reproductive health through the life course that OB/GYNs have, allowing them to be better positioned to address sexuality issues with female patients.¹⁸ The DSDS, the tool used in the current survey for patient inclusion, detects and diagnoses HSDD and is validated for use in general practice; it was specifically designed for HCPs who do not specialize in FSD.¹⁹

Treatment for HSDD often starts with programs geared to biopsychosocial elements unique to a patient’s medical history and current symptoms.²⁰ Cognitive behavioral therapy, mindfulness meditation training, and couples therapies have

been suggested to be effective, although randomized controlled trials in women with HSDD should be performed.^{21–25} Pharmacotherapies that have been tried or utilized for HSDD include off-label bupropion and buspirone, although sufficient data are lacking for their efficacy in patients with HSDD.^{2,26–29} Off-label testosterone has shown efficacy in postmenopausal women with HSDD. However, potential serious adverse events have precluded its use in premenopausal women.^{30,31}

In 2015, flibanserin (Addyi®; Sprout Pharmaceuticals), indicated for acquired generalized HSDD in premenopausal women, was approved by the FDA. Flibanserin



FIG. 3. Impact of HSDD on (A) mental wellness by menopausal status and (B) daily activities by menopausal status. *Statistically significantly greater than the postmenopausal group. Comparisons between premenopausal and postmenopausal women were analyzed using Student’s *t*-tests.

(a postsynaptic 5-hydroxytryptamine 1A agonist and 2A antagonist) decreases serotonin levels and increases dopamine and norepinephrine levels, neurotransmitters that affect sexual desire.^{15,32} It is believed that flibanserin affects brain function through enhancement of excitatory elements and decreasing inhibitory responses to sexual cues.²⁹

Bremelanotide (Vyleesi[®]; AMAG Pharmaceuticals), a novel cyclic 7-amino acid melanocortin-receptor agonist with high affinity for the melanocortin-4-receptor, was ap-

proved by the FDA in June 2019 and is also indicated for the treatment of premenopausal women with acquired generalized HSDD.^{15,33} Results from preclinical studies indicated that bremelanotide acts on the physiological and neurobiological components of female sexual function, and it has the potential to modulate neural pathways involved in sexual desire and arousal in women with HSDD.³⁴

The efficacy and safety of bremelanotide, taken on demand over 24 weeks, demonstrated statistically significant and

clinically meaningful improvements in low sexual desire and related distress in two identically designed, phase 3, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled studies with a 6-month open-label extension.^{35,36}

Principal findings

In the current survey, HSDD had a significant negative impact on sexual and mental health, social relationships, and general well-being. The overall burden associated with HSDD was greater in premenopausal women than in postmenopausal women despite the generally higher prevalence of HSDD in postmenopausal women.^{5,37} While we cannot conclude that HSDD was the sole contributor to the negative impact on sexual and mental health in the reported bivariate results, HSDD likely played a role as all respondents qualified as having the condition based on either the DSDS or an HSDD diagnosis.

As measured by the SF-12 MCS, premenopausal women experienced a greater impact of HSDD on their mental health than did postmenopausal women. HSDD more profoundly affected partner/spouse relationships and the mental/emotional well-being of premenopausal women than postmenopausal women. Premenopausal women also experienced greater interference in their daily activities. In contrast, low sexual function scores had no significant impact on physical health regardless of menopausal status and/or age. The frequency of sexual activities did not differ significantly between premenopausal and postmenopausal women with HSDD. This result is consistent with findings that women may engage in sexual relations with their partners despite the absence of sexual desire or interest.³⁸

Multivariable regression analyses showed that the overall burden of HSDD was driven by interference with the relationship with the participant's partner, mental and emotional well-being, and household and personal activities and that the burden of HSDD was associated with lower SF-12 MCS (relative to the norms) regardless of menopausal status.

Consistent with other studies, a substantial proportion of participants had not spoken or delayed speaking to an HCP about their HSDD symptoms; the majority of interactions on the subject were initiated by participants.^{1,7,8}

Clinical implications

Many participants reported that their clinicians were unaware of HSDD, suggesting that there is insufficient education on HSDD among physicians who manage patients with this disorder. The majority of participants were prescribed treatments with no evidence of clinical efficacy.

The results of this study demonstrate that despite its significant burdens for both premenopausal and postmenopausal women, HSDD is underrecognized and undertreated, underscoring substantial associated unmet health care needs. Because HSDD may manifest in several ways, such as feelings of low self-esteem, grief, incompetence, or loss, it is important that HCPs rule out these symptoms as the primary cause of low sexual desire.^{2,3,39} Validated screening tools, such as the DSDS, and educational efforts can help HCPs initiate sexual health conversations with their patients, decrease the time needed for a detailed patient history, make an accurate diagnosis, and begin treatments that have known and proven efficacy.¹³

Research implications

This study is the first to quantitate the differences between premenopausal and postmenopausal women in the HRQoL burden of HSDD and should therefore be replicated. The results also indicate the need for additional research on the causes and correlates of HSDD among both premenopausal and postmenopausal women, and the types of educational approaches that could foster awareness and discussion with their HCPs. It is also important to develop and test educational materials for HCPs to assist them in recognizing the extent and burden of HSDD to improve diagnosis and management.

Strengths and limitations

Web-based surveys may have certain advantages such as speed and cost of data collection as well as data quality. However, we understand that they may be biased by low and selective participation⁴⁰; while this aspect may be a limitation in some surveys, it may be less so in the current survey.

Additionally, there is limited guidance with little consensus regarding the optimal reporting of survey research. Although some key criteria are usually reported by authors publishing this research in peer-reviewed journals, many key criteria are underreported. As in other areas of research, poor reporting compromises both transparency and reproducibility. Therefore, there is a need for a well-developed reporting guideline for survey research. Possibly an extension of the guideline for observational studies in epidemiology (The Strengthening of Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) would provide a reasonable structure, ensuring complete reporting with an improved review and interpretation of the results.⁴¹

Nevertheless, the methodology of cross-sectional survey-based studies has been used to document the burden of illness in patients across diverse therapeutic areas, including celiac disease, depression, and peripheral/central neuropathic pain.⁴²⁻⁴⁴ These and other studies provide evidence that patient-reported surveys are effective in determining the burden of illness for medical conditions.

In this study, we used not only a customized web-based survey but also the validated FSFI and SF-12 questionnaires to evaluate the burden of HSDD. However, other potential limitations of the study are that participants were primarily white and were required to be in a stable, monogamous relationship of at least 6 months of duration. Thus, the results of the current survey are not generalizable, and additional research needs to be conducted to discover and evaluate the findings across other types of relationships and more diverse populations.

Conclusions

This comprehensive cross-sectional study assessed the burden of illness associated with HSDD in women, demonstrating its pervasive negative effects on several aspects of women's lives through multiple assessment instruments. The impact was consistently and significantly greater in premenopausal women than in postmenopausal women.

Acknowledgments

Editorial support in the preparation of this article was provided by Phase Five Communications, funded by AMAG

Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Palatin Technologies, Inc. Data from this study were previously presented in poster presentation sessions at the following conferences:

Authors' Contributions

The authors were responsible for all content and editorial decisions. All authors contributed to the research, writing, and reviewing of all drafts of this article and approved the final version.

- James A. Simon, Amod Athavale, Rahul Ravindranath, Nandini Hadker, Amama Sadiq, Michelle Lim-Watson. Hypoactive Sexual Desire Disorder Burden of Illness and Impact on Quality of Life in Premenopausal Women. Presented at International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research (ISPOR) Conference; May 19–23, 2018; Baltimore, MD (original presentation); American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP-FMX) Conference; October 9–13, 2018; New Orleans, LA (encore presentation); Association of Nurse Practitioners in Women's Health (NPWH) Conference; October 10–13, 2018; San Antonio, TX (encore presentation); American Association of Sexuality Educators, Counselors, and Therapists (AASECT) 2019 Annual Conference; June 13–16, 2019; Philadelphia, PA (encore presentation); Women's Health Conference 2019; September 28–October 1, 2019; New Orleans, LA (encore presentation).
- James A. Simon, Amod Athavale, Rahul Ravindranath, Nandini Hadker, Amama Sadiq. Burden of Illness in Women with a Diagnosis of Hypoactive Sexual Desire Disorder. Presented at North American Menopause Society (NAMS) Annual Meeting; September 30–October 3, 2020 (virtual presentation).

Author Disclosure Statement

J.A.S. has served on advisory boards or has been a consultant for AbbVie, Allergan, AMAG Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Amgen, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., CEEK Enterprises, Covance, Inc., Daré Bioscience, Hologic, Inc., KaNDy Therapeutics Ltd., Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma, NeRRE Therapeutics Ltd., ObsEva, Radius Health, Sanofi, TherapeuticsMD, and Valeant. He has also served on the speaker's bureau for AMAG Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Duchesnay, Novo Nordisk, Shionogi, and Valeant. He has received grants/research funding from AbbVie, Allergan, Agile Therapeutics, Bayer Healthcare LLC, Endoceutics, Inc., GTx, Inc., Hologic, Inc., Myovant Sciences, ObsEva, Palatin Technologies, Symbio Research, TherapeuticsMD, and Viveve Medical. He owns stock in Sermonix.

A.A., R.R., and N.H. are employees of TRINITY, which has conducted research for AMAG Pharmaceuticals, Inc. J.K., A.S., M.L.-W., and L.W. were employees of AMAG Pharmaceuticals, Inc., at the time of this study.

Funding Information

This study was funded by AMAG Pharmaceuticals, Inc. The sponsor had a role in the study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the re-

port; and in the decision to submit the article for publication. Editorial support in the preparation of this article was provided by Phase Five Communications, supported by AMAG Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Palatin Technologies, Inc.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Figure S1
 Supplementary Figure S2
 Supplementary Figure S3
 Supplementary Figure S4
 Supplementary Table S1
 Supplementary Table S2
 Supplementary Table S3

References

1. Parish SJ, Hahn SR. Hypoactive sexual desire disorder: A review of epidemiology, biopsychology, diagnosis, and treatment. *Sex Med Rev* 2016;4:103–120.
2. Goldstein I, Kim NN, Clayton AH, et al. Hypoactive sexual desire disorder: International Society for the Study of Women's Sexual Health (ISSWSH) Expert Consensus Panel Review. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2017;92:114–128.
3. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, 4th ed, Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association, 2000.
4. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, 5th ed (DSM-5). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association, 2013.
5. Shifren JL, Monz BU, Russo PA, Segreti A, Johannes CB. Sexual problems and distress in United States women: Prevalence and correlates. *Obstet Gynecol* 2008;112:970–978.
6. Nappi RE, Cucinella L, Martella S, Rossi M, Tiranini L, Martini E. Female sexual dysfunction (FSD): Prevalence and impact on quality of life (QoL). *Maturitas* 2016;94:87–91.
7. Maserejian NN, Parish S, Shifren JL, Huang L, Gerstenberger E, Rosen RC. Healthcare utilization in women diagnosed with hypoactive sexual desire disorder: Interim baseline results from the HSDD Registry for Women. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)* 2010;19:2001–2009.
8. Berman L, Berman J, Felder S, et al. Seeking help for sexual function complaints: What gynecologists need to know about the female patient's experience. *Fertil Steril* 2003;79:572–576.
9. Biddle AK, West SL, D'Alosio AA, Wheeler SB, Borisov NN, Thorp J. Hypoactive sexual desire disorder in postmenopausal women: Quality of life and health burden. *Value Health* 2009;12:763–772.
10. Rosen R, Brown C, Heiman J, et al. The Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI): A multidimensional self-report instrument for the assessment of female sexual function. *J Sex Marital Ther* 2000;26:191–208.
11. Maruish ME, ed. User's manual for the SF-12v2 health survey. Lincoln, RI: QualityMetric, Inc., 2012.
12. Harlow SD, Gass M, Hall JE, et al. Executive summary of the Stages of Reproductive Aging Workshop +10: Addressing the unfinished agenda of staging reproductive aging. *Menopause* 2012;19:387–395.
13. Clayton AH, Goldfischer ER, Goldstein I, Derogatis L, Lewis-D'Agostino DJ, Pyke R. Validation of the Decreased Sexual Desire Screener (DSDS): A brief diag-

- nostic instrument for generalized acquired female hypoactive sexual desire disorder (HSDD). *J Sex Med* 2009;6:730–738.
14. Steenkamp JB, de Jong MG, Baumgartner H. Socially desirable response tendencies in survey research. *J Marketing Res* 2010;47:199–214.
 15. Addyi (flibanserin) [prescribing information]. Raleigh, NC: Sprout Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 2019.
 16. Wiegel M, Meston C, Rosen R. The female sexual function index (FSFI): Cross-validation and development of clinical cutoff scores. *J Sex Marital Ther* 2005;31:1–20.
 17. Ware J Jr, Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12-item short-form health survey: Construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. *Med Care* 1996;34:220–233.
 18. Fairchild PS, Haefner JK, Berger MB. Talk about sex: Sexual history-taking preferences among urogynecology patients and general gynecology controls. *Female Pelvic Med Reconstr Surg* 2016;22:297–302.
 19. Kingsberg SA, Simon JA. Female hypoactive sexual desire disorder: A practical guide to causes, clinical diagnosis, and treatment. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)* 2020;29:1101–1112.
 20. Clayton AH, Kingsberg SA, Goldstein I. Evaluation and management of hypoactive sexual desire disorder. *Sex Med* 2018;6:59–74.
 21. Ter Kuile MM, Both S, van Lankveld JJ. Cognitive behavioral therapy for sexual dysfunctions in women. *Psychiatr Clin North Am* 2010;33:595–610.
 22. Brotto LA, Basson R. Group mindfulness-based therapy significantly improves sexual desire in women. *Behav Res Ther* 2014;57:43–54.
 23. Linschoten M, Weiner L, Avery-Clark C. Sensate focus: A critical literature review. *Sex Relationship Ther* 2016;31:230–247.
 24. Pyke RE, Clayton AH. Psychological treatment trials for hypoactive sexual desire disorder: A sexual medicine critique and perspective. *J Sex Med* 2015;12:2451–2458.
 25. Pereira VM, Arias-Carrion O, Machado S, Nardi AE, Silva AC. Sex therapy for female sexual dysfunction. *Int Arch Med* 2013;6:37.
 26. Segraves RT, Croft H, Kavoussi R, et al. Bupropion sustained release (SR) for the treatment of hypoactive sexual desire disorder (HSDD) in non-depressed women. *J Sex Marital Ther* 2001;27:303–316.
 27. Segraves RT, Clayton A, Croft H, Wolf A, Warnock J. Bupropion sustained release for the treatment of hypoactive sexual desire disorder in premenopausal women. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 2004;24:339–342.
 28. Landén M, Eriksson E, Agren H, Fahlén T. Effect of buspirone on sexual dysfunction in depressed patients treated with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 1999;19:268–271.
 29. Kingsberg SA, Clayton AH, Pfaus JG. The female sexual response: Current models, neurobiological underpinnings and agents currently approved or under investigation for the treatment of hypoactive sexual desire disorder. *CNS Drugs* 2015;29:915–933.
 30. Tehrani FR, Noroozadeh M, Zahediasl S, Ghasemi A, Piryaei A, Azizi F. Prenatal testosterone exposure worsen the reproductive performance of male rat at adulthood. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e71705.
 31. Waldman T, Shufelt CL, Braunstein GD. Safety and efficacy of transdermal testosterone for treatment of hypoactive sexual desire disorder. *Clin Invest* 2012;2:423–432.
 32. Stahl SM, Sommer B, Allers KA. Multifunctional pharmacology of flibanserin: Possible mechanism of therapeutic action in hypoactive sexual desire disorder. *J Sex Med* 2011;8:15–27.
 33. Molinoff PB, Shadiack AM, Earle D, Diamond LE, Quon CY. PT-141: A melanocortin agonist for the treatment of sexual dysfunction. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2003;994:96–102.
 34. Pfaus J, Giuliano F, Gelez H. Bremelanotide: An overview of preclinical CNS effects on female sexual function. *J Sex Med* 2007;4(Suppl 4):269–279.
 35. Kingsberg SA, Clayton AH, Portman D, et al. Bremelanotide for the treatment of hypoactive sexual desire disorder: Two randomized phase 3 trials. *Obstet Gynecol* 2019;134:899–908.
 36. Simon JA, Kingsberg SA, Portman D, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of bremelanotide for hypoactive sexual desire disorder. *Obstet Gynecol* 2019;134:909–917.
 37. West SL, D'Aloisio AA, Agans RP, Kalsbeck WD, Borisov NN, Thorp JM. Prevalence of low sexual desire and hypoactive sexual desire disorder in a nationally representative sample of US women. *Arch Intern Med* 2008;168:1441–1449.
 38. Pollycove R, Simon J. Mercy sex: How much is “normal” depends upon where you live. *J Sex Med* 2018;15(Suppl 2):S113.
 39. Balon R, Clayton AH. Female sexual interest/arousal disorder: A diagnosis out of thin air. *Arch Sex Behav* 2014;43:1227–1229.
 40. Heiervang E, Goodman R. Advantages and limitations of web-based surveys: Evidence from a child mental health survey. *Soc Psychiatry Epidemiol* 2011;46:69–76.
 41. Bennett C, Khangura S, Brehaut JC, et al. Reporting guidelines for survey research: An analysis of published guidance and reporting practices. *PLoS Med* 2010;8:e1001069.
 42. Mattila E, Kurppa K, Ukkola A, et al. Burden of illness and use of health care services before and after celiac disease diagnosis in children. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2013;57:53–56.
 43. Cohen RM, Greenberg JM, IsHak WW. Incorporating multidimensional patient-reported outcomes of symptom severity, functioning, and quality of life in the Individual Burden of Illness Index for Depression to measure treatment impact and recovery in MDD. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2013;70:343–350.
 44. Schaefer C, Mann R, Sadosky A, et al. Burden of illness associated with peripheral and central neuropathic pain among adults seeking treatment in the United States: A patient-centered evaluation. *Pain Med* 2014;15:2105–2119.

Address correspondence to:

James A. Simon, MD, CCD, NCMP, IF, FACOG
 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
 George Washington University
 and IntimMedicine™ Specialists
 1850 M Street
 NW No. 450
 Washington, DC 20036
 USA

E-mail: jsimon@IntimMedicine.com