



Correspondence

Interpreting the impact of hydroxychloroquine prophylaxis on SARS-CoV-2 infection

Sir,

We read with interest the study by Gupta *et al*¹ which presented the findings of SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in a large cohort of healthcare workers (HCWs) from a tertiary healthcare facility in north India and examined the effect of various infection control measures. Various strategies were adopted during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic for reducing transmission as a result of occupational exposure among HCWs. One such strategy included search for medication that would reduce this risk by repurposing of existing drugs². Among these, hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) has attracted the attention of the scientific community globally, and there are many studies supporting or refuting the efficacy of HCQ in providing effective prophylaxis to those at a high risk of contracting the disease³⁻⁷.

HCQ prophylaxis was recommended by the ICMR National Task Force for COVID-19 for asymptomatic HCWs exposed to COVID-19 patients and asymptomatic household contacts of COVID-19 patients⁸. HCQ elevates the pH of endosomes and inhibits SARS-CoV-2 RNA-mediated inflammatory response⁹.

Gupta *et al*¹ reported that there was no difference in seropositivity between the subgroup of HCWs who did/did not receive the HCQ pre-exposure prophylaxis. Further, around 45 per cent of HCWs who developed antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 in the study were asymptomatic. Since the information on the percentage of HCWs who were on HCQ prophylaxis and asymptomatic despite developing seropositivity is lacking, this precludes commenting on the ability of HCQ in preventing clinically apparent disease in those who took the medication prophylactically. The present study¹ also has not taken into account the adequacy of doses of HCQ consumed by the HCWs which is

considered to be protective as reported in a case-control investigation conducted by Chatterjee *et al*¹⁰.

Findings from our cross-sectional serosurveillance study¹¹ has suggested that pre-exposure prophylaxis with HCQ may have a role in reducing the vulnerability to infection as depicted by the univariate and multivariate analysis [adjusted odds ratio (OR) 0.55, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.3-0.9, $P=0.047$]. The HCWs who took HCQ were divided into four groups – no HCQ and intake of HCQ for <6, 6-10 and >10 weeks. Running the HCQ prophylaxis numbers through logistic regression, for each increasing category of HCQ use, there exists a dose-response OR=0.70 (95% CI 0.50-0.99, $P=0.032$). This trend is much stronger among the ever-exposed categories (*i.e.*, excluding the never-used HCQ people), per-category OR=0.27 (95% CI 0.11-0.65, $P=0.0036$)¹¹. Two other observational studies from India^{12,13} have supported the evidence in favour of HCQ pre-exposure prophylaxis. All these observational studies from India taken together enrolled a total of 2660 participants and provided a corroborative evidence of the effectiveness of HCQ prophylaxis for frontline HCWs.

Although Gupta *et al*¹ have reported findings which do not suggest any added benefit of the use of HCQ as prophylaxis among HCWs, analyzing the relationship between the number of doses of HCQ consumed and seropositivity and the severity of disease among those who developed symptoms while on HCQ prophylaxis may be helpful in further refining the analysis. This might be particularly useful in the current situation of ongoing pandemic, with measures such as social distancing becoming increasingly difficult to practise and the vaccine yet to reach the masses.

Conflicts of Interest: None.

**Reetika Malik Yadav¹ &
Manisha Rajan Madkaikar^{†*}**

¹Department of Pediatric Immunology, [†]ICMR-National Institute of Immunohematology, Mumbai 400 012, Maharashtra, India

*For correspondence:
madkaikarmanisha@gmail.com

References

- Gupta R, Dwivedi T, Gajendra S, Sahoo B, Gupta SK, Vikas H, *et al.* Seroprevalence of antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 in healthcare workers and implications of infection control practice in India. *Indian J Med Res* 2021; 153 : 207-13.
- Schlagenhauf P, Grobusch MP, Maier JD, Gautret P. Repurposing antimalarials and other drugs for COVID-19. *Travel Med Infect Dis* 2020; 34 : 101658.
- Bienvenu AL, Marty AM, Jones MK, Picot S. Systematic review of registered trials of hydroxychloroquine prophylaxis for COVID-19 health-care workers at the first third of 2020. *One Health* 2020; 10 : 100141.
- Abella BS, Jolkovsky EL, Biney BT, Uspal JE, Hyman MC, Frank I, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of hydroxychloroquine vs placebo for pre-exposure SARS-CoV-2 prophylaxis among health care workers: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med* 2021; 181 : 195-202.
- Boulware DR, Pullen MF, Bangdiwala AS, Pastick KA, Lofgren SM, Okafor EC, *et al.* A randomized trial of hydroxychloroquine as postexposure prophylaxis for COVID-19. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 383 : 517-25.
- Grau-Pujol B, Camprubí D, Marti-Soler H, Fernández-Pardos M, Carreras-Abad C, Velasco de Andrés M, *et al.* Pre-exposure prophylaxis with hydroxychloroquine for COVID-19: Initial results of a double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial. *Res Sq* 2021. doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-72132/v1.
- Mitjà O, Corbacho-Monné M, Ubals M, Alemany A, Suñer C, Tebé C, *et al.* A cluster-randomized trial of hydroxychloroquine for prevention of COVID-19. *N Engl J Med* 2021; 384 : 417-27.
- Indian Council for Medical Research. *Revised advisory on the use of hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) as prophylaxis for SARS-CoV-2 infection (in supersession of previous advisory dated 23rd March, 2020)*. Available from: https://www.icmr.gov.in/pdf/covid/techdoc/V5_Revised_advisory_on_the_use_of_HCQ_SARS_CoV2_infection.pdf, accessed on May 24, 2021.
- Al-Bari MA. Targeting endosomal acidification by chloroquine analogs as a promising strategy for the treatment of emerging viral diseases. *Pharmacol Res Perspect* 2017; 5 : e00293.
- Chatterjee P, Anand T, Singh KJ, Rasaily R, Singh R, Das S, *et al.* Healthcare workers and SARS-CoV-2 infection in India: A case-control investigation in the time of COVID-19. *Indian J Med Res* 2020; 151 : 459-67.
- Yadav RM, Pate A, Shankarkumar A, Athalye S, Shinde S, Bargir UA, *et al.* Serosurvey for health-care workers provides

supportive evidence for the effectiveness of hydroxychloroquine prophylaxis against SARS-CoV-2 infection. *J Epidemiol Glob Health* 2021. doi: 10.2991/jegh.k.210518.001.

- Goenka M, Afzalpurkar S, Goenka U, Das SS, Mukherjee M, Jajodia S, *et al.* Seroprevalence of COVID-19 amongst health care workers in a tertiary care hospital of a Metropolitan City from India. *J Assoc Physicians India* 2020; 68 : 14-9.
- Khurana A, Kaushal GP, Gupta R, Verma V, Sharma K, Kohli M. Prevalence and clinical correlates of COVID-19 outbreak among health care workers in a tertiary level hospital in Delhi. *MedRxiv* 2020. doi: 10.1101/2020.07.21.2015930141.

DOI: 10.4103/0971-5916.323967



Authors' response

We thank Yadav and Madkaikar for reading our article with interest and commenting regarding prophylactic use of hydroxychloroquine sulphate (HCQS) in asymptomatic healthcare workers (HCWs). Yadav *et al*¹ raised a relevant point that perhaps the HCQS prophylaxis reduced or abated symptomatic disease, the duration and dosage of HCQS prophylaxis influenced the outcome.

We reported that 769 HCWs received HCQS prophylaxis and 99 of 769 were seropositive compared to 388 of 2970 HCWs who did not receive HCQS prophylaxis ($P=0.89$)². On analyzing the correlation of symptomatic disease with HCQS prophylaxis, it was found that among the seropositive HCWs, 70.77 per cent (70/99) of those who received HCQS prophylaxis were symptomatic compared to 52.3 per cent (203/388) HCWs who were not on HCQS prophylaxis ($P<0.01$). In our study, higher numbers of HCWs with HCQS were symptomatic compared to those who did not take the HCQS prophylaxis, and thus, the HCQS prophylaxis did not seem to improve upon the symptomatic status in HCWs². Yadav *et al*¹ have reported the presence of symptoms in comparable numbers of patients with or without HCQS prophylaxis (39.78 vs. 35.3%; $P=0.3$).

Another relevant comment was on the correlation of the duration and dosage of HCQS prophylaxis with the likelihood of seropositivity or RT-PCR-positive SARS-CoV-2 infection. In this regard, Chatterjee *et al*³ have reported a relatively fewer numbers of

HCWs infected with SARS-CoV-2 in the subgroup who received more than six doses of HCQS (n=12), whereas the difference in incidence was not different in those who received less doses of HCQS. Goenka *et al*⁴ reported lower seroprevalence for SARS-CoV-2 in those who received adequate, *i.e.*, more than six doses of HCQS versus rest of the HCWs. However, we have concerns regarding the interpretations in the study by Yadav *et al*¹. First of all, the data shown for comparison within seropositive subjects (n=55) are for HCQS exposure of <4h versus >4h versus no HCQS, whereas the HCWs with HCQS prophylaxis were otherwise divided into those with <6, 6-10 and >10 wk of HCQS. The criterion on how the patients were divided into HCQS exposure of <4h versus >4h is not mentioned in this paper. Further, the data on dosage and duration of HCQS prophylaxis were not available in 36.2 per cent (101/279) of the HCWs in this study who were excluded from the subgroup analysis. As a result, the patients in the seropositive group on HCQS prophylaxis (<22; exact numbers not mentioned) in this study were perhaps too few for a meaningful subgroup analysis when split into three further subgroups².

A few randomized controlled trials of HCQS as pre or post-exposure prophylaxis for COVID-19 suggested that HCQS did not significantly reduce laboratory confirmed COVID-19 or COVID-19-compatible illness among HCWs⁵⁻⁷. Kumar *et al*⁸ and Kashour *et al*⁹ in their meta-analysis studies also concluded that HCQS therapy for COVID-19 lacked efficacy in reducing short-term mortality in patients hospitalized with COVID-19 or risk of hospitalization in outpatients with COVID-19 and was associated with an increase in mortality and the negative effects were more pronounced in the hospitalized patients.

On March 28, 2020, the Food and Drugs Administration (FDA) granted emergency use authorization (EUA) for hydroxychloroquine as a COVID-19 prophylaxis. The emerging scientific data suggest that dosing for HCQS is unlikely to kill or inhibit the SARS-CoV-2 virus, and on June 15, 2020, the FDA revoked EUA to use HCQS and chloroquine to treat COVID-19 in hospitalized patients⁵⁻¹⁰. FDA also cautions against the use of HCQS for COVID-19 outside of the hospital setting or in a clinical trial due to risk of heart rhythm problems⁸⁻¹⁰. Therefore, use of HCQS for its potential benefits in COVID-19 does not outweigh its known and potential risks.

Ritu Gupta¹, Tanima Dwivedi¹, Smeeta Gajendra¹, Biswajeet Sahoo¹, Sanjeev Kumar Gupta¹, H. Vikas², Angel Rajan Singh², Anant Mohan⁴, Sushma Bhatnagar³, Sheetal Singh², Laxmitej Wundavalli² & Randeep Guleria^{4*}

Departments of ¹Laboratory Oncology Unit, ²Hospital Administration, ³Onco-Anaesthesia & Palliative Medicine & ⁴Pulmonary, Critical Care & Sleep Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi 110 029, India

*For correspondence:
randeepguleria2002@yahoo.com

References

1. Yadav RM, Pate A, Shankarkumar A, Athalye S, Shinde S, Bargir UA, *et al.* Serosurvey for health-care workers provides supportive evidence for the effectiveness of hydroxychloroquine prophylaxis against SARS-CoV-2 infection. *J Epidemiol Glob Health* 2021. doi: 10.2991/egh.k.210518.001.
2. Gupta R, Dwivedi T, Gajendra S, Sahoo B, Gupta SK, Vikas H, *et al.* Seroprevalence of antibodies to SARS- CoV-2 in healthcare workers & implications of infection control practice in India. *Indian J Med Res* 2021; 153 : 207-13.
3. Chatterjee P, Anand T, Singh KJ, Rasaily R, Singh R, Das S, *et al.* Health care workers & SARS-CoV-2 infection in India: A case-control investigation in the time of COVID-19. *Indian J Med Res* 2020; 151 : 459-67.
4. Goenka M, Afzalpurkar S, Goenka U, Das SS, Mukherjee M, Jajodia S, *et al.* Seroprevalence of COVID-19 amongst health care workers in a tertiary care hospital of a metropolitan city from India. *J Assoc Physicians India* 2020; 68 : 14-9.
5. Rajasingham R, Bangdiwala AS, Nicol MR, Skipper CP, Pastick KA, Axelrod ML, *et al.* Hydroxychloroquine as pre-exposure prophylaxis for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in healthcare workers: A randomized trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021; 72 : e835-43.
6. Boulware DR, Pullen MF, Bangdiwala AS, Pastick KA, Lofgren SM, Okafor EC, *et al.* A randomized trial of hydroxychloroquine as postexposure prophylaxis for COVID-19. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 383 : 517-25.
7. Mitjà O, Corbacho-Monné M, Ubals M, Alemany A, Suñer C, Tebé C, *et al.* A cluster-randomized trial of hydroxychloroquine for prevention of COVID-19. *N Engl J Med* 2021; 384 : 417-27.
8. Kumar J, Jain S, Meena J, Yadav A. Efficacy and safety of hydroxychloroquine/chloroquine against SARS-CoV-2 infection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Infect Chemother* 2021; 27 : 882-9.
9. Kashour Z, Riaz M, Garbati MA, AlDosary O, Tlayjeh H, Gerber D, *et al.* Efficacy of chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine

in COVID-19 patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2021; 76 : 30-42.

10. Food and Drugs Administration. *FDA Cautions against Use of Hydroxychloroquine or Chloroquine for COVID-19 Outside of the Hospital Setting or a Clinical Trial Due to*

Risk of Heart Rhythm Problems. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-cautions-against-use-hydroxychloroquine-or-chloroquine-covid-19-outside-hospital-setting-or>. accessed on May 15, 2021.