RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Systolic characteristics and dynamic changes of the mitral valve in different grades of ischemic mitral regurgitation – insights from 3D transesophageal echocardiography

Caroline Morbach^{1,2}, Diego Bellavia^{1,3}, Stefan Störk² and Lissa Sugeng^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Mitral regurgitation in ischemic heart disease (IMR) is a strong predictor of outcome but until now, pathophysiology is not sufficiently understood and treatment is not satisfying. We aimed to systematically evaluate structural and functional mitral valve leaflet and annular characteristics in patients with IMR to determine the differences in geometric and dynamic changes of the MV between significant and mild IMR.

Methods: Thirty-seven patients with IMR (18 mild (m)MR, 19 significant (moderate+severe) (s)MR) and 33 controls underwent TEE. 3D volumes were analyzed using 3D feature-tracking software.

Results: All IMR patients showed a loss of mitral annular motility and non-planarity, whereas mitral annulus dilation and leaflet enlargement occurred in sMR only. Active-posterior-leaflet-area decreased in early systole in all three groups accompanied by an increase in active-anterior-leaflet-area in early systole in controls and mMR but only in late systole in sMR.

Conclusions: In addition to a significant enlargement and loss in motility of the MV annulus, patients with significant IMR showed a spatio-temporal alteration of the mitral valve coaptation line due to a delayed increase in activeanterior-leaflet-area. This abnormality is likely to contribute to IMR severity and is worth the evaluation of becoming a parameter for clinical decision-making. Further, addressing the leaflets aiming to increase the active leaflet-area is a promising therapeutic approach for significant IMR. Additional studies with a larger sample size and post-operative assessment are warranted to further validate our findings and help understand the dynamics of the mitral valve.

Keywords: Three-dimensional echocardiography, Mitral valve, Functional regurgitation, Dynamic, Leaflet, Coaptation line, Tenting, Ischemic, Therapeutic approach

Background

Mitral regurgitation is a strong predictor of cardiac outcome in patients with ischemic heart disease and is associated with higher mortality [1, 2]. The treatment of mitral regurgitation in ischemic heart disease (IMR) has been debated for several decades: Mitral valve (MV) repair is favored due to lower perioperative morbidity and

* Correspondence: lissa.sugeng@yale.edu; Lissa.Sugeng@yale.edu

¹Yale School of Medicine, Section Cardiovascular Medicine, 330 Cedar Street, P.O Box 208017, New Haven, CT 06511, USA



IMR has been subject to detailed investigation using three-dimensional (3D) echocardiography and important changes of the MV apparatus have been found. The mitral annulus has been shown to increase in size, flatten its saddle-like shape and loose its dynamic function with

© The Author(s). 2018 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

higher degrees of IMR [8–19]. Nevertheless, little is known about the changes of the dynamic leaflet motion and function in significant IMR and the differences amongst diverse stages of IMR severity. We therefore aimed to systematically evaluate structural and functional mitral valve leaflet and annular characteristics in patients with IMR to determine the differences in geometric and dynamic changes of the MV between significant and mild IMR.

Methods

Study population

We analyzed the stored images of 37 consecutive patients with ischemic heart disease, who had a TEE out of clinical reasons. Inclusion criteria were 1) ischemic heart disease shown by cardiac catheterization, 2) mitral regurgitation of any degree and 3) structurally normal mitral valve in comprehensive echocardiographic evaluation. Exclusion criteria were 1) acute myocardial infarction, 2) contraindications to undergoing TEE, 3) structural mitral valve or subvalvular disease (i.e. degenerative mitral valve disease, prolapse, flail leaflet, cleft, post-endocarditic lesion), 4) significant regurgitation or stenosis of any other cardiac valve, 5) other cardiac disease. IMR severity was determined according to current guidelines [20, 21] and graded into mild (m)MR and significant (s)MR, the latter subsuming moderate and severe IMR. Thirty three subjects without valvular or structural heart disease undergoing TEE for other clinical reasons (i.e. exclusion of endocarditis or search of cardiac source of embolism after ischemic stroke) served as controls.

Echocardiography

TEE was performed according to the American Society of Echocardiography Guidelines [22] using an x-7 T MTEE probe (Philips Medical Systems, Andover, MA) and standard views were acquired. Additionally, 3D volumes of the mitral valve were recorded. A 4-beat wideangled acquisition using ECG gating created a fullvolume scan of about $90^{\circ} \times 90^{\circ}$ volume. Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was determined by the Simpson's biplane method. Wall motion abnormalities (WMA) were determined and patients were scored according to their number of by WMA affected LV regions (septal, lateral, inferior, posterior, anterior, apical).

Offline analysis

Blinded to MR severity, we used custom 3D featuretracking software (TomTec[®] Imaging Systems GmbH, Unterschleißheim, Germany) to analyze the datasets. As ECG information is lost when data are exported to the software platform, we identified end-diastole as the first frame when the mitral valve was completely closed and endsystole as the frame preceding mitral valve opening. Initial frame of interest was mid-systole, the mid-frame between end-diastole and end-systole. Mitral annular tracking points were identified in two perpendicular planes in mid-systole. The aortic valve and the apical aortic annulus point were marked and the leaflet coaptation line was defined in a 3chamber view plane. After defining those landmarks, the program automatically tracked the valve in the initial frame and adjustments of the mitral annulus and the leaflets were performed when necessary (Fig. 1). Subsequently, the program automatically tracked the valve in all frames between end-diastole and end-systole and again, manual adjustments if appropriate. As final step the MV parameters (Figs. 2 and 3) were calculated, saved and exported for further analysis. For quality assurance, a random sample of 10 patients was selected for assessing inter- and intraobserver variability and read in a blinded fashion by an expert in echocardiography once and by another expert twice, more than 4 weeks apart.

Data analysis

Data analysis was performed using Prism6° (GraphPad, LaJolla, CA, USA). Patient characteristics and echocardiography variables are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Inter- and intra-observer variability are expressed as the absolute difference between two measurements as a percentage of their mean values. For categorical variables differences between groups were assessed using Fishers's exact test. The difference in WMA score between mMR and sMR was calculated using Mann-Whitney Test. For continuous variables differences between groups were analyzed by one-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), and corrected for multiple testing by the Holm-Sidak method. All variables were assessed for normality using the Shapiro-Wilks test, and nonparametric variables were logarithmically transformed prior to analysis. All tests were two-sided, and *p*-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Subject characteristics

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the three groups. Sex, age and height were not significantly different between the three groups. Patients with mMR had a significantly higher weight and body surface area. Left ventricular ejection fraction was significantly lower and left ventricular end-diastolic diameter larger in both patient groups compared to controls, but there was no difference amongst the IMR groups. Owing to the ischemic etiology, wall motion abnormalities were present in both IMR groups with a preponderance in the lateral and inferior wall sections in sMR. In the mMR and sMR group, one and six patients, respectively, had an IMR jet that was oriented in the posterior or posterolateral direction. All other patients had a central IMR jet.



Fig. 1 a Opening the 3D study, the system displays a four and a two chamber view where the observer has to manually set a total of four landmarks (orange dots) to indicate the mitral valve annulus in two perpendicular planes; **b** as a second step, the aortic valve has to be identified (red dot); **c** subsequently, a long axis view is displayed where the observer has to indicate the apical aortic annulus point (blue dot); **d** finally, the observer has to mark the mitral leaflets coaptation (yellow dot) in the same long axis view; **e** when all landmarks have been set, the program automatically tracks the mitral valve

Annular displacement (7.5 mm (normal) vs. 5.3 mm (mMR and sMR), p = 0.042) and annular displacement velocity (38 mm/s (normal) vs. 25 mm/s (mMR) and 24 mm/s (sMR), p < 0.0001), as assessed by 3D analysis, were markedly reduced in both IMR groups with no significant differences between both patients groups. Frame rates were similar amongst all three groups.

Inter- and intraobserver variability

Coefficients of variation were low (\leq 6%) for all diameters, annulus circumference, non-planar angle and annular displacement and velocity, whereas coefficients of variation were higher for annulus area and anterior and posterior leaflet area (Table 2).

Mitral valve structural characteristics in mid-systole

Initial measurements were performed in mid-systole (Table 3). There were no significant differences in diameters,

annulus circumference and area, and anterior and posterior leaflet area between controls and mMR, whereas all parameters were significantly increased in sMR compared to controls and mMR. The proportionate increase between controls and sMR in anterior-posterior (AP) diameter (18%) and anterolateral-posteromedial (AL-PM) diameter (18%) as well as in intercommissural diameter (17%) was of similar magnitude.

The non-planar angle was significantly flattened in both IMR groups compared to controls with no difference between mMR and sMR patients.

The above detailed mid-systolic differences in MV characteristics between IMR groups and controls were present in end-diastole and end-systole, too (Table 3).

Mitral valve dynamics in systole

In controls, we observed an increase in AP diameter, AL-PM diameter, intercommissural diameter, annulus



circumference and annulus area which primarily occurred in early systole (Table 3, Fig. 4). Furthermore, the anterior leaflet area increased homogenously during systole whereas the posterior leaflet area showed a slight but non-significant decrease in early systole (Table 3, Fig. 4).

The widening of the mitral annulus in early systole occurred in mMR as well, but it occurred later in sMR, which was associated with an increase in anterior leaflet area. A decrease in posterior leaflet area in early systole was seen in all three groups but reached significant values in sMR only.

Leaflet tenting volume and height decreased significantly in early systole in all three groups with a slight but insignificant increase in late systole. This was accompanied by flattening of the non-planar angle, which was most obvious in the sMR group.



	Normal	mMR	sMR	P-value		
	N = 33	N = 18	N = 19	Normal vs. mMR	Normal vs. sMR	mMR vs. sMR
Males (%)	42	61	47	ns	ns	ns
Age (years)	58 ± 16	64±11	65 ± 10	ns	ns	ns
Height (cm)	172 ± 12	171 ± 10	166±9	ns	ns	ns
Weight (kg)	82±17	94 ± 20	78 ± 10	0.0394	ns	0.0133
BSA (m ²)	1.95 ± 0.25	2.05 ± 0.24	1.86 ± 0.15	ns	ns	0.0403
Frame rate (Hz)	23 ± 8	22 ± 4	25 ± 7	ns	ns	ns
LVEF (%)	60 ± 7	43 ± 18	38 ± 17	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	ns
LVEDD (mm)	36 ± 4	44 ± 8	46 ± 10	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	ns
WMA septal (%)	-	50	63	_	_	ns
WMA lateral (%)	-	33	74	-	-	0.0217
WMA inferior (%)	_	50	74	_	_	ns
WMA posterior (%)	_	28	58	_	_	ns
WMA anterior (%)	_	33	63	_	_	ns
WMA apical (%)	_	39	63	-	-	ns

Table 1 Basic characteristics

mMR mild mitral regurgitation, sMR significant mitral regurgitation, vs. versus, ns not significant, LVEF left ventricular ejection fraction, LVEDD left ventricular enddiastolic diameter, WMA wall motion abnormalities

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study reporting on the structural and functional dynamic modifications of the mitral valve in different grades of IMR, tracked from enddiastole to end-systole, and assessed by high resolution 3D TEE. The main findings of the present investigation were: 1) as compared to controls or patients with mild valve regurgitation, patients with significant IMR have a bidirectional dilated mitral annulus as well as an increase of the active area of both valve leaflets considered in absolute terms. Furthermore, this group of patients shows 2) loss of the normal mitral non-planar saddle shape, and 3) pronounced reduction of the active-posterior-leaflet-area in early systole as well as 4) delayed dynamic augmentation of the active-anterior-leaflet-area.

Table 2 Intra- and interobserver variability

MV Parameters	Coefficient of var	iation (%)
	Interobserver	Intraobserver
AP diameter	4.5	4.5
AL-PM diameter	5.4	4.3
Non planar angle	1.8	3.1
Annulus circumference	4.4	4.2
Annulus area (3D)	9.0	8.3
Annular displacement	3.2	3.2
Annular displacement velocity	3.4	4.7
Anterior leaflet area	8.3	9.1
Posterior leaflet area	14.6	15.4

AP anterior-posterior, AL-PM anterolateral-posteromedial, 3D three dimensional

We observed 37 patients with IMR and subdivided them by IMR severity. We pooled patients with moderate and severe IMR into one group (i.e., sMR) since it is this group for which mitral valve surgery in combination with coronary artery bypass surgery would be considered [23, 24]. By contrast, in subjects with mMR watchful waiting is advised. Both patient groups had a moderately decreased LVEF with similar LV dimensions and alteration of LV function. However, the frequency of wall motion abnormalities was higher in sMR with a preponderance of lateral LV wall hypokinesis and a posteriorly oriented regurgitation jet.

In our study, intra- and interobserver variability for onedimensional measurements like annular diameters and circumference was excellent and was similar compared to other studies using semi-automated analysis software [9, 10, 25, 26]. The variability was higher for squared values like annulus and valve areas, where minimal measurement differences induce considerable variation.

Mitral valve annulus

Patients with mMR showed no significant differences in anatomical annular parameters (diameters, area and circumference) compared to controls, whereas these parameters were significantly larger in sMR. Applying the Pringle Principle, the significant increase in annular circumference and area in absence of difference in planarity in sMR indicates a real dilation of the mitral annulus, not only a change in shape. We further observed smaller absolute and relative intra-systolic changes in annulus area in both IMR groups as correlate for the mitral annulus' loss of motility, its ability to modify its shape throughout the

Table 3 Dynamic mitral valve	characteristics ir	n systole							
MV Parameters	End-diastole			Mid-systole			End-systole		
	normal	mMR	sMR	normal	mMR	sMR	normal	mMR	sMR
AP diameter (cm)	2.60s ± 0.48	2.56 ± 0.48	3.18 ± 0.49 ^{a,b}	2.75 ± 0.46 ^c	2.75 ± 0.42^{c}	3.25 ± 0.49 ^{a,b,c}	2.78 ± 0.47	2.69 ± 0.41	$3.33 \pm 0.50^{a,b,d}$
AL-PM diameter (cm)	3.16 ± 0.50	3.21 ± 0.50	$3.78 \pm 0.62^{a,b}$	3.23 ± 0.49 ^c	3.28 ± 0.46 ^c	$3.81 \pm 0.61^{a,b,c}$	3.25 ± 0.49 ^d	3.29 ± 0.47	$3.83 \pm 0.60^{a,b,d}$
Intercommissural diameter (cm)	3.11 ± 0.49	3.18 ± 0.49	$3.72 \pm 0.66^{a,b}$	3.18 ± 0.64 ^c	3.25 ± 0.45 ^c	$3.72 \pm 0.65^{a,b}$	3.20 ± 0.48^{d}	3.26 ± 0.45	$3.77 \pm 0.64^{a,b,d}$
Annulus circumference (cm)	9.85 ± 1.54	9.59 ± 1.47	11.69 ± 1.99 ^{a,b}	$10.14 \pm 1.47^{\circ}$	9.81 ± 1.29 ^c	$11.75 \pm 1.92^{a,b}$	10.19 ± 1.49	9.85 ± 1.29	$11.86 \pm 1.94^{a,b,d}$
Annulus area 3D (cm ²)	7.23 ± 2.29	6.95 ± 2.11	$10.40 \pm 3.72^{a,b}$	7.71 ± 2.23 ^c	7.27 ± 1.91 ^c	$10.56 \pm 3.67^{a,b}$	7.82 ± 2.27	7.36 ± 1.91	$10.82 \pm 3.73^{a,b,d}$
Anterior leaflet area (cm^2)	4.65 ± 1.29	4.69 ± 1.56	$7.23 \pm 2.79^{a,b}$	4.82 ± 1.32 ^c	4.75 ± 1.43	7.21 ± 2.78 ^{a,b}	5.00 ± 1.44^{d}	4.85 ± 1.42	7.44 ± 2.91 ^{a,b,d}
Posterior leaflet area (cm ²)	3.78 ± 1.65	3.51 ± 1.33	5.36 ± 0.82 ^{a,b}	3.66 ± 1.51	3.40 ± 1.24	4.88 ± 1.94 ^{a,b,c}	3.79 ± 1.53	3.46 ± 1.22	$5.01 \pm 2.15^{a,b}$
Non planar angle (°)	143 ± 11	155 ± 10^{a}	157 ± 10^{a}	143 ± 11	154 ± 11 ^a	157 ± 10^{a}	144 ± 11 ^d	155 ± 11 ^{a,d}	$160 \pm 9^{a,d}$
Tenting volume (cm ³)	1.62 ± 0.96	1.82 ± 1.03	$3.78 \pm 2.13^{a,b}$	1.05 ± 0.89^{c}	1.42 ± 0.82^{c}	$2.98 \pm 1.76^{a,b,c}$	1.33 ± 1.12	1.50 ± 0.82	$3.30 \pm 2.15^{a,b}$
Tenting height (mm)	6.86 ± 2.17	6.39 ± 1.75	$9.28 \pm 2.10^{a,b}$	$5.26 \pm 1.80^{\circ}$	5.42 ± 1.82^{c}	$7.74 \pm 2.25^{a,b,c}$	5.68 ± 2.14	5.39 ± 1.41	$7.80 \pm 2.56^{a,b}$
AP anterior-posterior, AL-PM anterolat ^a Significant difference compared to n ^b Significant difference compared to en ^c Significant difference compared to en ^d Significant difference compared to m	eral-posteromedial, ormal in the same p nild MR in the same nd-diastolic value in nid-systolic value in	<i>mMR</i> mild mitral r bhase of cardiac cy phase of cardiac of the same patient the same patient	egurgitation, <i>sMR</i> sign cle ($p < 0.05$) cycle ($p < 0.05$) group ($p < 0.05$) group ($p < 0.05$)	ificant mitral regurg	tation, <i>3D</i> three dir	nensional			



cardiac cycle [9, 11, 13, 27], involving a flattening of the mitral annulus' non-planarity.

The dilation of the mitral annulus confirms reports of previous studies [9, 11, 13, 28] and is the basis of standard surgical treatment of functional MR using reduction annuloplasty with an undersized ring [2, 7]. Nevertheless, this treatment alone is not satisfying with persistently high recurrence rates [2, 5]. This brings forth the question, if, although imaging does not show any of the known abnormalities from degenerative valve disease, the leaflets are altered anyway and therefore significantly contribute to IMR severity and might be a target for successful treatment.

Mitral valve leaflets

Anterior and posterior leaflet areas were significantly larger in sMR compared to controls and mMR. This might be caused by mitral annular enlargement with subsequent augmentation of the leaflets' circumference but leaflet enlargement also occurs as response to hemodynamic stress and chronic tethering [12, 13, 29]. Despite leaflet augmentation, the leaflets' adaptation to the new situation does not seem to be sufficient in patients with sMR, and impaired leaflet adaptation rather than the increase in LV and MV size per se seems to cause IMR [30, 31].

The active-posterior-leaflet-area (measured in the closed MV from the annulus to the coaptation line) showed a decrease in early systole, non-significant in controls and mMR but significant in sMR, most probably due to tethering. In return, controls had a significant and homogenous increase in active-anterior-leaflet-area throughout systole, which occurred only in late systole in sMR. This systolic relocation of the coaptation zone with a posterior movement of the coaptation line in competent MVs implies an "anterior leaflet reserve" to compensate for the posterior movement [32, 33]. The MV is able to maintain competency until there is inadequate anterior leaflet coaptation length [33]. Though IMR is believed to be a primary response to ventricular remodeling, compromised anterior leaflet reserve or failure to increase the anterior leaflet area in sMR -

as seen in our study - might be a key aspect in the development of moderate to severe mitral regurgitation in ischemic heart disease.

On the one hand, this finding should trigger the proceeding in the development of novel treatment options like surgical elongation of the anterior mitral leaflet [34] or application of neo-chordae in the presence of "pseudoprolapse" of the anterior leaflet [35] as addendum to conventional annuloplasty [36–39]. On the other hand, this finding seems worth the evaluation as a parameter for clinical decision making regarding the optimal treatment time point and treatment option in larger patient cohorts to achieve the best patient's outcome.

Limitations

Owing to the study design, we had no consistent information on the onset of coronary artery disease, i.e. the duration of the disease and the dynamic development of IMR and IMR severity. Further, not all patients had a recent angiogram, however, we were able to assess wall motion abnormalities as a surrogate of coronary artery disease in the TEE images. Lastly, the intra- and interobserver variability for "squared" parameters, which could be an important limitation for common and easily practical application of the software, was higher when compared to "simple" values but still in the range of previous reports [25].

Conclusions

In addition to a significant enlargement and loss in motility of the MV annulus, patients with significant IMR showed a spatio-temporal alteration of the mitral valve coaptation line due to a delayed increase in activeanterior-leaflet-area. This abnormality is likely to contribute to IMR severity and is worth the evaluation of becoming a parameter for clinical decision-making. Further, addressing the leaflets aiming to increase the active leaflet-area is a promising therapeutic approach for significant IMR. Our observations are based on a small but well characterized patient sample and should therefore be regarded hypothesis-generating; studies with a larger sample size and post-operative assessment are warranted to further validate our findings, explore their pathophysiologic etiology, and help understand the dynamics of the mitral valve.

Abbreviations

3D: Three-dimensional; AL-PM: Anterolateral-posteromedial; AP: Anteriorposterior; IMR: Ischemic mitral regurgitation; LV: Left ventricle; LVEF: Left ventricular ejection fraction; mMR: Mild mitral regurgitation; MV: Mitral valve; SD: Standard deviation; sMR: Significant mitral regurgitation; TEE: Transesophageal echocardiography; WMA: Wall motion abnormalities

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the contribution of Berthold Klaas, employee of TomTec, who contributed to this project with technical support exceeding the custom support. We further thank Lynn Weinert from the University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA, as well as Irena Vaitkeviciute MD, Pramod Bonde MD, Abeel A. Mangi MD, and David Yuh MD from the Yale New Haven Hospital who all contributed to image acquisition and the scientific discussion of the study results.

Funding

The Comprehensive Heart Failure Center, Würzburg, is supported by the German Ministry of Research and Education within the (BMBF 01EO1004 and 01EO1504). This publication was funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG) and the University of Würzburg in the funding programme Open Access Publishing.

Availability of data and materials

We analyzed clinical images, which cannot be made available.

Authors' contributions

CM contributed to the conceptualization of the present study, performed the image and parts of the statistical analyses, and drafted the manuscript. DB performed the image double readings for quality control and parts of the statistical analyses, contributed to the scientific discussion and interpretation of the results, and reviewed the manuscript. SS contributed to the scientific discussion and interpretation of the results and reviewed the manuscript. LS contributed to the conceptualization of the present study, to image acquisition, to the scientific discussion and interpretation of the results, and reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

For this study, clinical data have retrospectively been evaluated. For this type of retrospective analysis of clinical material, the ethics committee (Yale University Institutional Review Board Human Investigation Committee) waived the necessity for written informed consent.

Competing interests

Analyses have been performed using custom software (ImageArena®, TomTec). Lissa Sugeng and Caroline Morbach are in research cooperation with TomTec. There is no further relationship like grants or personal honoraria. TomTec did not influence the analysis or interpretation of the study results. The other authors have no competing interests regarding this work.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Yale School of Medicine, Section Cardiovascular Medicine, 330 Cedar Street, P.O Box 208017, New Haven, CT 06511, USA. ²Comprehensive Heart Failure Center and Department of Internal Medicine I, University of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany. ³Istituto Mediterraneo per i Trapianti e Terapie ad Alta Specializzazione (IRCCS-ISMETT), Palermo, Italy.

Received: 24 October 2017 Accepted: 25 April 2018 Published online: 10 May 2018

References

- Grigioni F, Enriquez-Sarano M, Zehr KJ, Bailey KR, Tajik AJ. Ischemic mitral regurgitation: long-term outcome and prognostic implications with quantitative Doppler assessment. Circulation. 2001;103:1759–64.
- Timek TA, Miller DC. Another multidisciplinary look at ischemic mitral regurgitation. Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2011;23:220–31.
- Gillinov AM, Wierup PN, Blackstone EH, Bishay ES, Cosgrove DM, White J, et al. Is repair preferable to replacement for ischemic mitral regurgitation? J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2001;122:1125–41.
- Grossi EA, Goldberg JD, LaPietra A, Ye X, Zakow P, Sussman M, et al. Ischemic mitral valve reconstruction and replacement: comparison of long-term survival and complications. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2001;122:1107–24.
- LaPar DJ, Acker MA, Gelijns AC, Kron IL. Repair or replace for severe ischemic mitral regurgitation: prospective randomized multicenter data. Ann Cardiothorac Surg. 2015;4:411–6.
- Glower DD. Surgical approaches to mitral regurgitation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012;60:1315–22.
- Acker MA, Parides MK, Perrault LP, Moskowitz AJ, Gelijns AC, Voisine P, et al. Mitral-valve repair versus replacement for severe ischemic mitral regurgitation. N Engl J Med. 2014;370:23–32.
- Saito K, Okura H, Watanabe N, Obase K, Tamada T, Koyama T, et al. Influence of chronic tethering of the mitral valve on mitral leaflet size and coaptation in functional mitral regurgitation. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2012;5:337–45.
- Daimon M, Saracino G, Fukuda S, Koyama Y, Kwan J, Song JM, et al. Dynamic change of mitral annular geometry and motion in ischemic mitral regurgitation assessed by a computerized 3D echo method. Echocardiography. 2010;27:1069–77.
- Daimon M, Saracino G, Gillinov AM, Koyama Y, Fukuda S, Kwan J, et al. Local dysfunction and asymmetrical deformation of mitral annular geometry in ischemic mitral regurgitation: a novel computerized 3D echocardiographic analysis. Echocardiography. 2008;25:414–23.
- Veronesi F, Corsi C, Sugeng L, Caiani EG, Weinert L, Mor-Avi V, et al. Quantification of mitral apparatus dynamics in functional and ischemic mitral regurgitation using real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2008;21:347–54.
- Sprouse C, Mukherjee R, Burlina P. Mitral valve closure prediction with 3-D personalized anatomical models and anisotropic hyperelastic tissue assumptions. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 2013;60:3238–47.
- 13. Little SH, Ben Zekry S, Lawrie GM, Zoghbi WA. Dynamic annular geometry and function in patients with mitral regurgitation: insight from three-dimensional annular tracking. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23:872–9.
- Kwan J, Shiota T, Agler DA, Popovic ZB, Qin JX, Gillinov MA, et al. Geometric differences of the mitral apparatus between ischemic and dilated cardiomyopathy with significant mitral regurgitation: real-time threedimensional echocardiography study. Circulation. 2003;107:1135–40.
- Timek TA, Lai DT, Tibayan F, Liang D, Daughters GT, Dagum P, et al. Ischemia in three left ventricular regions: insights into the pathogenesis of acute ischemic mitral regurgitation. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2003;125:559–69.
- Gorman JH 3rd, Gorman RC, Jackson BM, Hiramatsu Y, Gikakis N, Kelley ST, et al. Distortions of the mitral valve in acute ischemic mitral regurgitation. Ann Thorac Surg. 1997;64:1026–31.
- Watanabe N, Ogasawara Y, Yamaura Y, Kawamoto T, Toyota E, Akasaka T, et al. Quantitation of mitral valve tenting in ischemic mitral regurgitation by transthoracic real-time three-dimensional echocardiography. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;45:763–9.
- Watanabe N, Ogasawara Y, Yamaura Y, Yamamoto K, Wada N, Kawamoto T, et al. Geometric differences of the mitral valve tenting between anterior and inferior myocardial infarction with significant ischemic mitral regurgitation: quantitation by novel software system with transthoracic realtime three-dimensional echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2006;19: 71–5.
- Nguyen TC, Itoh A, Carlhall CJ, Bothe W, Timek TA, Ennis DB, et al. The effect of pure mitral regurgitation on mitral annular geometry and threedimensional saddle shape. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2008;136:557–65.
- Nishimura RA, Otto CM, Bonow RO, Carabello BA, Erwin JP 3rd, Guyton RA, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of patients with valvular heart disease: executive summary: a report of the American College

of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force on practice guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;63(22):2438–88.

- Mascherbauer J, Rosenhek R, Bittner B, Binder J, Simon P, Maurer G, et al. Doppler echocardiographic assessment of valvular regurgitation severity by measurement of the vena contracta: an in vitro validation study. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2005;18:999–1006.
- 22. Hahn RT, Abraham T, Adams MS, Bruce CJ, Glas KE, Lang RM, et al. Guidelines for performing a comprehensive transesophageal echocardiographic examination: recommendations from the American Society of Echocardiography and the Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2013;26:921–64.
- Chan KM, Punjabi PP, Flather M, Wage R, Symmonds K, Roussin I, et al. Coronary artery bypass surgery with or without mitral valve annuloplasty in moderate functional ischemic mitral regurgitation: final results of the randomized ischemic mitral evaluation (RIME) trial. Circulation. 2012;126:2502–10.
- 24. Daimon M, Fukuda S, Adams DH, McCarthy PM, Gillinov AM, Carpentier A, et al. Mitral valve repair with Carpentier-McCarthy-Adams IMR ETlogix annuloplasty ring for ischemic mitral regurgitation: early echocardiographic results from a multi-center study. Circulation. 2006;114:1588–93.
- Veronesi F, Corsi C, Sugeng L, Mor-Avi V, Caiani EG, Weinert L, et al. A study of functional anatomy of aortic-mitral valve coupling using 3D matrix transesophageal echocardiography. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2009;2:24–31.
- Mihaila S, Muraru D, Miglioranza MH, Piasentini E, Peluso D, Cucchini U, et al. Normal mitral annulus dynamics and its relationships with left ventricular and left atrial function. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2015;31:279–90.
- Mihalatos DG, Joseph S, Gopal A, Bercow N, Toole R, Passick M, et al. Mitral annular remodeling with varying degrees and mechanisms of chronic mitral regurgitation. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2007;20:397–404.
- Bartels K, Thiele RH, Phillips-Bute B, Glower DD, Swaminathan M, Kisslo J, et al. Dynamic indices of mitral valve function using perioperative threedimensional transesophageal echocardiography. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2014;28:18–24.
- Dal-Bianco JP, Aikawa E, Bischoff J, Guerrero JL, Handschumacher MD, Sullivan S, et al. Active adaptation of the tethered mitral valve: insights into a compensatory mechanism for functional mitral regurgitation. Circulation. 2009;120:334–42.
- Beaudoin J, Handschumacher MD, Zeng X, Hung J, Morris EL, Levine RA, et al. Mitral valve enlargement in chronic aortic regurgitation as a compensatory mechanism to prevent functional mitral regurgitation in the dilated left ventricle. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;61:1809–16.
- Beaudoin J, Thai WE, Wai B, Handschumacher MD, Levine RA, Truong QA. Assessment of mitral valve adaptation with gated cardiac computed tomography: validation with three-dimensional echocardiography and mechanistic insight to functional mitral regurgitation. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2013;6:784–9.
- Zeng X, Nunes MC, Dent J, Gillam L, Mathew JP, Gammie JS, et al. Asymmetric versus symmetric tethering patterns in ischemic mitral regurgitation: geometric differences from three-dimensional transesophageal echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2014;27:367–75.
- Gogoladze G, Dellis SL, Donnino R, Ribakove G, Greenhouse DG, Galloway A, et al. Analysis of the mitral coaptation zone in normal and functional regurgitant valves. Ann Thorac Surg. 2010;89:1158–61.
- Rabbah JP, Siefert AW, Bolling SF, Yoganathan AP. Mitral valve annuloplasty and anterior leaflet augmentation for functional ischemic mitral regurgitation: quantitative comparison of coaptation and subvalvular tethering. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2014;148:1688–93.
- Hashim SW, Youssef SJ, Ayyash B, Rousou AJ, Ragnarsson S, Collazo S, et al. Pseudoprolapse of the anterior leaflet in chronic ischemic mitral regurgitation: identification and repair. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2012;143:S33–7.
- Rendon F, Aramendi JI, Rodrigo D, Baraldi C, Martinez P. Patch enlargement of the posterior mitral leaflet in ischemic regurgitation. Asian Cardiovasc Thorac Ann. 2002;10:248–50.
- Langer F, Rodriguez F, Cheng A, Ortiz S, Nguyen TC, Zasio MK, et al. Posterior mitral leaflet extension: an adjunctive repair option for ischemic mitral regurgitation? J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2006;131:868–77.
- Dobre M, Koul B, Rojer A. Anatomic and physiologic correction of the restricted posterior mitral leaflet motion in chronic ischemic mitral regurgitation. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2000;120:409–11.
- de Varennes B, Chaturvedi R, Sidhu S, Cote AV, Shan WL, Goyer C, et al. Initial results of posterior leaflet extension for severe type IIIb ischemic mitral regurgitation. Circulation. 2009;119:2837–43.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

