Check for updates

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Marshal M. Mweu¹, Nickson Murunga², Juliet W. Otieno², D. James Nokes^{2,3}

¹School of Public Health, College of Health Sciences, University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya
²KEMRI-Wellcome Trust Research Programme, Kilifi, Kenya
³School of Life Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

 First published: 01 Jul 2020, 5:155 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16067.1
 Latest published: 01 Jul 2020, 5:155 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16067.1

Abstract

Background: Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV)-induced lower respiratory tract disease is a prominent cause of hospitalisation among children aged <5 years in developing countries. Accurate and rapid diagnostic tests are central to informing effective patient management and surveillance efforts geared towards quantifying RSV disease burden. This study sought to estimate the sensitivity (Se), specificity (Sp) (along with the associated factors) and predictive values of a direct immunofluorescence test (IFAT), and two real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (rRT-PCR) assays for RSV infection within a paediatric hospital population: a multiplex rRT-PCR (MPX) and Fast-Track Diagnostics[®] (FTD) Respiratory Pathogens 33 (Resp-33) rRT-PCR.

Methods: The study enlisted 1458 paediatrics aged ≤59 months admitted with acute respiratory illness at the Kilifi County Hospital between August 2011 and December 2013. A Bayesian latent class modelling framework was employed to infer the tests' estimates based on the patients' diagnostic data from the three tests.

Results: The tests posted statistically similar Se estimates: IFAT (93.7%, [90.7; 95.0]), FTD (97.8%, [94.6; 99.4]) and MPX (97.5%, [94.2; 99.3]). As for Sp, FTD registered a lower estimate (97.4%, [96.2; 98.2]) than MPX (99.7%, [99.0; 100.0]) but similar to IFAT (99.0%, [98.2; 99.6]). The negative and positive predictive values were strong (>91%) and closely mimicked the pattern given by the Se and Sp values respectively. None of the examined covariates (age, sex and pneumonia status) significantly influenced the accuracy of the tests. **Conclusions:** The evaluation found little to choose between the three diagnostic tests. Nonetheless, with its relative affordability, the conventional IFAT continues to hold promise for use in patient care and surveillance activities for RSV infection within settings where



Open Peer Review

1. Frank Coenjaerts, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

2. Henry Mwambi D, University of KwaZulu Natal, Pietermaritzburg, South Africa

3. **Oscar Ngesa**, Taita Taveta University, Taita Taveta, Kenya

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

children are hospitalised with severe acute respiratory illness.

Keywords

Bayesian latent class analysis; Respiratory syncytial virus; Direct immunofluorescence test; PCR; Test accuracy; Hospitalised children



This article is included in the KEMRI | Wellcome

Trust gateway.

Corresponding author: Marshal M. Mweu (marshal@uonbi.ac.ke)

Author roles: Mweu MM: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; Murunga N: Data Curation, Investigation, Project Administration, Resources, Writing – Review & Editing; Otieno JW: Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Project Administration, Resources, Writing – Review & Editing; Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Project Administration, Resources, Writing – Review & Editing; Nokes DJ: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Project Administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust (102975) and Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (48968). *The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.*

Copyright: © 2020 Mweu MM *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Mweu MM, Murunga N, Otieno JW and Nokes DJ. Accuracy of diagnostic tests for respiratory syncytial virus infection within a paediatric hospital population in Kilifi County, Kenya [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 2 approved with reservations] Wellcome Open Research 2020, 5:155 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16067.1

First published: 01 Jul 2020, 5:155 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16067.1

Introduction

Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is a leading cause of bronchiolitis and pneumonia globally, with an estimated 3.2 million hospital admissions and 59,600 in-hospital deaths being registered in children aged <5 years in 2015¹. In Kilifi, Kenya's coast, RSV is associated with seasonal epidemics characterised by high under-five hospitalisation rates^{2,3}.

As RSV clinical presentation is indistinguishable from other acute respiratory infections, virologic testing of respiratory secretions is necessary to establish an RSV diagnosis^{4,5}. Since 2002, longitudinal surveillance for RSV at the Kilifi County Hospital (KCH), seeking to strengthen the understanding of paediatric RSV epidemiology, has depended on a direct immunofluorescence test (IFAT) (RSV DFA kit, light DiagnosticsTM) as the standard diagnostic tool^{2,6}. Of note, IFAT's merits stem from its good performance (high sensitivity (Se) and specificity (Sp)) when used during peak RSV season, ease of use and interpretation, rapidity and affordability7. Nevertheless, the test's accuracy may vary depending on the virus strain targeted and sufficiency of the specimen⁸⁻¹⁰. Furthermore, the test's Se may suffer especially in immunocompromised individuals and during RSV inter-epidemic periods when virus transmission is low^{11,12}.

To enhance the detection of RSV, as of 2008, RSV surveillance at KCH has integrated the use of real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (rRT-PCR) assays, namely: a custom (in-house) multiplex rRT-PCR (MPX) and Fast-Track Diagnostics® (FTD) Respiratory Pathogens 33 (Resp-33) rRT-PCR⁶. PCR assays possess superior Se to immunofluorescence tests, particularly during off-seasons, owing to their comparably lower viral detection limits¹³. They afford further advantages in permitting the quantification of viral loads in samples and the detection of multiple respiratory viruses. However, owing to the tests' costliness, their applicability in most resource-limited settings is restricted. Moreover, since viral particles may be detectable by the tests in healthy subjects, thereby compromising their Sp, the clinical usefulness of PCRs remains vague¹⁴. An understanding of the performance of these RSV diagnostics in a clinical setting is valuable for informing clinical decisionmaking and supporting RSV-targeted genetic studies.

Conventionally, evaluation of the performance of RSV diagnostics has relied on the use of imperfect reference tests^{15–18} that may introduce bias to the accuracy estimates of index tests. Further, a call for alternative evaluation approaches is justified especially when interest lies in demonstrating the superiority of a novel tests over an existing reference standard¹⁹. Bayesian latent class models (BLCMs) permit the quantification of test accuracy without knowledge on the true infection status of subjects²⁰.

When data are available on covariate factors believed to affect the characteristics of tests under evaluation, stratum-specific estimates are derivable and, arguably, afford greater utility than pooled estimates in inhomogeneous populations²¹. Notably, Se and Sp are properties specific to a test; unlike predictive values, they do not provide information as to the probability that an individual has a certain disease considering their test status. Thus, the objective of the present study was to derive the Se and Sp together with the predictive values of IFAT, MPX and FTD tests for RSV infection within a paediatric hospital population, while assessing the effect of specific factors on the accuracy of the tests.

Methods

Study setting and population

As part of the existing long-term surveillance for RSV disease in children at KCH², the study enrolled paediatric patients aged \leq 59 months admitted with syndromic pneumonia (as described by Hammitt *et al.*²²) between August 2011 and December 2013. Notably, KCH is the primary referral facility in Kilifi County admitting ~4000 paediatric patients annually. Here, for children aged <5 years, hospitalisation rates for RSV have been observed in the region of 271–317 admissions per 100,000 children annually². Additionally, RSV epidemics in Kilifi are strongly seasonal usually occurring between the months of November of one year and May of the next^{2,23}.

Sample collection

On admission, paired nasopharyngeal and oropharyngeal samples were collected and processed as previously described²⁴. The samples were stored in cooler boxes and delivered to the hospital's microbiology laboratory for processing within 2 hours. At the lab, the samples were stored at 4°C until processed – usually within 48 hours of collection. The specimens were screened for the presence of RSV by IFAT, MPX and FTD tests. Aside from the samples, the patients' sociodemographic characteristics (date of admission, sex, age, area of residence and presenting symptoms) were recorded.

Ethical considerations

Prior to enlisting the paediatric patients into the study, written informed consent was obtained from their parents/guardians. Approval for the study was granted by the Kenya Medical Research Institute (KEMRI) Ethical Review Committee (SERU No. 3178 and SCC Nos. 1858 and 1526) and Oxford Tropical Ethical Review Committee, UK (60-90).

Target condition

The infection (latent) status targeted by the three tests (IFAT, MPX and FTD) constitutes an RSV-laden respiratory sample carrying either the live virus or its antigens at any concentration.

IFAT

A Light Diagnostics[™] RSV DFA kit (Cat No. 3125, Chemicon, USA) was used to test for the presence of RSV in respiratory samples. The IFAT procedure was run as per manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 200 µl of specimen was used for slide preparation following a centrifugation process (Cytospin 3, Themo Shandon) at 1800 rpm for 10 min. A drop of Light Diagnostics RSV DFA reagent was added to the fixed cell preparation. The ready slide was then incubated in a moist chamber at 37°C for 30 min. Excess reagent was washed off in a fresh change of phosphate buffered saline, with the slide allowed to air dry at room temperature. After adding a drop of mounting fluid to the centre of each well, the stained specimen was examined with an epifluorescence microscope at $\times 200-500$ magnification. An observation of apple-green fluorescence in one or more cells of the stained specimen constituted a positive result.

MPX assay

The MPX assay has been detailed elsewhere²⁴. Briefly, 140 μ l of respiratory specimen was employed to extract RNA by Qiacube HT using an RNeasy extraction kit (Qiagen, Germany). The extracted RNA was subsequently tested for RSV (A and B) by a multiplex real-time PCR assay in triplex sets (Qiagen, ABI 7500 system). Samples yielding cycle threshold (Ct) values \leq 35 were deemed positive for RSV.

FTD assay

The procedure for the FTD assay is as previously described²⁵. Briefly, from a 400µl respiratory specimen, RNA was extracted using the NucliSENS easyMAG platform (bioMérieux, France). The extracted RNA was screened for RSV using the FTD Resp-33 PCR Kit. Quantitative PCR data were generated by creation of standard curves using serial dilutions of plasmid standards provided by FTD, with pathogen density being ascertained from the sample Ct values. As with the MPX assay, Ct values \leq 35 were considered positive for RSV.

Population classification

The KCH is nested within the Kilifi Health and Demographic Surveillance System (KHDSS) area in coastal Kenya – stretching about 891 km² and spanning a resident population of approximately 260,000 persons. Of note, KHDSS was established in 2000 with a view to monitoring vital statistics as well as, among other goals, quantifying the magnitude of significant local childhood ailments²⁶. Consequently, the diagnostic data represented a sample drawn from this single target population that informed the estimation of the Se and Sp of the tests.

Statistical analysis

A Bayesian modelling framework was employed to derive the prevalence, Se and Sp estimates along with the predictive values of the tests. The BLCM was fitted in OpenBUGS software (v 3.2.2)²⁷ but called from R (v. 3.6.2) via the 'BRugs' package (v0.9-0)²⁸. Importantly, the model design and reporting were guided by the standards for the reporting of diagnostic accuracy studies that use BLCMs (STARD-BLCM)²⁹. The Bayesian code is available as underlying data³⁰.

Essentially, BLCMs are premised on three key assumptions: (i) the target population should consist of two or more subpopulations with different prevalences, (ii) the Se and Sp of the index tests should be conditionally independent given the disease status and (iii) the Se and Sp of the tests should remain constant across the subpopulations³¹. By definition, conditional independence implies that given a subject's disease status, knowledge of results of one test does not alter the expectation about the result of a subsequent test³². In our context, given an individual's RSV infection status, the IFAT test was presumed to be conditionally independent to the two PCR assays considering the tests' distinct targets: antigens versus genes. However, to allow for dependence between the two PCRs, we specified two conditional covariances between pairs of the Se and Sp (γ_{s} , γ_{s_n}) of the assays as detailed by Gardner *et al.*³³. Values of γ_{s_n} or $\gamma_{s_n}^r$ excluding zero denote significant dependence in Se or Sp estimates of the tests. To assess the separate effects of 'sex', 'age' (categorised into <6 months and \geq 6 months³⁴) and 'pneumonia status' on the Se and Sp of the tests, the assumption on constancy of the test characteristics was relaxed by stratifying the single population into subpopulations specified by the covariate levels. As for pneumonia, a child's presenting symptoms following admission were used to infer their pneumonia status (severe or very severe) as previously defined²². The stratification permitted the estimation of stratum-specific tests estimates. Differences between the stratified estimates were tested using a Bayesian P-value.

Counts (O_m) of the different test combinations (e.g. +,+,+) were assumed to follow a multinomial distribution of the form:

$$O_m | Se_{km} Sp_{km} P_m \sim multinomial (prob_m, n_m)$$

Where Se_{km} and Sp_{km} represent the respective test characteristics for test k (k = 1,2,3) in subpopulation m and P_m is the specific prevalence for the m^{th} (m = 1,2) subpopulation. $Prob_m$ is a vector of probabilities of observing the different combinations of test results, and n_m provides the number of subjects tested for the m^{th} subpopulation. For instance, in the 1st subpopulation for a child testing positive to each of the three tests, incorporating dependence between the PCR assays, $prob_i$ is given by:

$$prob_{1} = Pr\left(T_{1}^{+}T_{2}^{+}T_{3}^{+} \mid D^{+}\right) + Pr\left(T_{1}^{+}T_{2}^{+}T_{3}^{+} \mid D^{-}\right)$$

= $Se_{11}\left(Se_{21}Se_{31} + \gamma_{se1}\right)P_{1} + \left[1 - Sp_{11}\right]\left(\left[1 - Sp_{21}\right]\left[1 - Sp_{31}\right] + \gamma_{sp1}\right)\left[1 - P_{1}\right]$

Since for each covariate, the two available subpopulations furnished only 14 degrees of freedom insufficient to compute the required 18 parameters (stratum-specific Se and Sp of the three tests, two subpopulation prevalences and four conditional covariances), prior information on at least four of the parameters was necessary to achieve model identifiability³⁵. As per available literature, the Se and Sp estimates of the standard IFAT test for paediatric RSV infection fall within the ranges: Se (77.8% - 95.1%) and Sp (82% - 99.6%)^{16,17,36-40}. Accordingly, these test ranges were used to specify the uniform prior distributions for the IFAT test within the Bayesian model. For the remaining parameters, since no prior information was available, non-informative priors (*beta*(1,1)) were utilised.

Positive and negative predictive values (PPV and NPV respectively) specific for test k and subpopulation m were derived as follows:

$$ppv = P_m Se_{km} / (P_m Se_{km} + [1 - P_m] [1 - Sp_{km}])$$
$$npv = [1 - P_m] Sp_{km} / (P_m [1 - Se_{km}] + [1 - P_m] Sp_{km})$$

Additionally, a separate non-stratified model (disregarding differences in Se and Sp estimates across covariate levels) was built and the relative goodness of fit for the two model specifications compared using the Deviance Information Criterion (DIC) – model preferability being pegged on the smallness of the DIC value.

Two Markov Chain Monte Carlo chains were used to initiate the models. For each chain, a total of 70,000 iterations were run with the initial 20,000 discarded as the burn-in phase. Convergence of the chains was assessed by visual inspection of the time series plots of selected variables and the Gelman-Rubin diagnostic plots. The posterior distribution of the Se and Sp test estimates, subpopulation prevalences together with the predictive values were recorded as the median and associated 95% posterior credible intervals (PCI).

Results

The cross-classified counts of the three-test results by covariate level are presented in Table 1. The data contained information from 1458 paediatric patients, of whom 43.8% (n = 638) were <6 months of age, 58.9% (n = 859) were male and 34.1% (n = 497) had very severe pneumonia.

The stratified estimates of Se and Sp for the three tests are displayed in Table 2. The estimated tests' characteristics did not vary significantly by any of the examined factors as given by the Bayesian *P*-value. As the non-stratified model had better fit (DIC = 42.5) to the data compared to the covariate-specific models (DICs = 76.2; 72.8; 72.3), subsequent analyses were based on the former model.

Estimates of the Se and Sp of the RSV diagnostics together with their predictive values are displayed in Table 3. All the tests exhibited high (>93%) Se and Sp values. The Se estimates were statistically similar. Nonetheless, FTD registered a lower Sp (97.4%; 95% PCI [96.2; 98.2]) than MPX (99.7%; 95% PCI [99.0; 100.0]) but similar to the IFAT test (99.0%; 95% PCI [98.2; 99.6]). Consequently, FTD's PPV estimate was similar to IFAT (96.5%; 95% PCI [93.5; 98.4]) but comparably lower (91.4%; 95% PCI [87.7; 94.2]) to that of MPX (99.1%; 95% PCI [96.3; 99.9]). The tests' NPV estimates were high and numerically similar. The proportion of truly RSV-infected children amongst those hospitalised with acute respiratory illness was 22.2% (95% PCI [20.1; 24.5]). The two PCR assays demonstrated statistically significant conditional dependence.

Discussion

We have estimated the accuracy and predictive values of IFAT, FTD and MPX for the diagnosis of RSV infection in hospitalised paediatric patients under a Bayesian paradigm. Arguably, this framework permits the quantification of accuracy of index tests devoid of misclassification errors inherent in diagnostic evaluations utilising imperfect reference standards⁴¹. Thus, the derived estimates can be deemed readily extendable to similar populations of hospitalised children with severe respiratory illness.

With observed disparities in nasopharyngeal RSV loads between ages⁴², sexes⁴³ and disease severity classes^{44,45}, it is plausible that performance of the RSV diagnostics may be affected by these covariates. This evaluation was conducted, but, as depicted in Table 2, the Se and Sp estimates of the three tests remained comparable across the examined covariate levels. This observation implies that the accuracy of any of the tests is unaffected by the age, sex and severity status of the presenting paediatric patient. Nevertheless, with advancing age (>5 years), owing to diminished viral titres in nasal secretions, the Se of most antigen-based assays (e.g. IFAT) may be undermined⁷, thus precluding their utility in RSV diagnosis in the aforementioned population.

Of the sample of paediatric patients admitted with acute respiratory illness, 22.2% had an RSV infection – asserting the pathogen's preponderance amongst pneumonic patients in the study setting²³. Correspondingly, the three tests recorded strong and similar Se estimates; although molecular assays, particularly for RNA viruses, may be affected by primer/probe mismatches which may impair their Se⁶. The FTD assay revealed a lower Sp than MPX but similar to the IFAT test. This

Table 1. Cross-classified counts by stratum for IFAT, FTD and MPX tests for diagnosis of RSV infection among paediatric patients admitted with acute respiratory illness at KCH, Kenya during the period August 2011–December 2013.

Stratum		Tests of	utcomes	combina	ations (I	FAT; F1	D; MPX	()	Total (%)
	+++	++-	+-+	-++	+	-+-	+		
Single population	295	4	3	20	13	27	1	1095	1458 (100%)
Age									
<6mths	177	2	1	7	6	7	1	437	638 (43.8%)
≥6mths	118	2	2	13	7	20	0	658	820 (56.2%)
Sex									
Female	132	0	2	11	6	12	0	436	599 (41.1%)
Male	163	4	1	9	7	15	1	659	859 (58.9%)
Pneumonia status									
Severe	215	4	2	12	9	16	1	702	961 (65.9%)
Very severe	80	0	1	8	4	11	0	393	497 (34.1%)

Test parameter ^a	Cova	Bayesian <i>P</i> -value ^b	
	Ag		
	<6 months Estimate (95% PCI)	≥6 months Estimate (95% PCI)	
Se _{IFAT}	94.3 (91.5; 95.1)	91.0 (84.8; 94.8)	0.89
Se _{FTD}	98.2 (94.7; 99.8)	96.7 (91.5; 99.3)	0.75
Se _{MPX}	97.6 (94.0; 99.5)	96.7 (91.2; 99.5)	0.64
$Sp_{\rm IFAT}$	98.8 (97.3; 99.6)	99.1 (98.0; 99.6)	0.37
$Sp_{_{FTD}}$	98.1 (96.4; 99.1)	96.7 (94.9; 97.9)	0.91
Sp	99.5 (98.4; 100.0)	99.7 (98.5; 100.0)	0.39
	Se		
	Female Estimate (95% PCI)	Male Estimate (95% PCI)	
Se _{IFAT}	92.5 (87.5; 95.0)	93.8 (90.2; 95.1)	0.29
$Se_{_{FTD}}$	97.1 (92.5; 99.3)	98.2 (94.1; 99.8)	0.31
Se _{MPX}	98.7 (94.2; 100.0)	96.2 (91.8; 98.7)	0.86
$Sp_{_{IFAT}}$	98.8 (97.4; 99.6)	99.1 (98.0; 99.6)	0.35
$Sp_{_{FTD}}$	96.9 (94.8; 98.3)	97.5 (96.1; 98.5)	0.27
Sp	99.6 (98.1; 100.0)	99.7 (98.8; 100.0)	0.48
	Pneumon	ia status	
	Severe Estimate (95% PCI)	Very severe Estimate (95% PCI)	
Se _{IFAT}	94.0 (90.9; 95.1)	91.3 (84.0; 94.9)	0.83
Se _{FTD}	97.8 (94.2; 99.5)	96.9 (91.1; 99.5)	0.64
Se _{MPX}	96.9 (93.1; 99.0)	98.3 (92.6; 99.9)	0.29
$Sp_{\rm IFAT}$	98.9 (97.8; 99.6)	99.0 (97.7; 99.6)	0.47
$Sp_{_{FTD}}$	97.5 (96.1; 98.5)	96.8 (94.6; 98.3)	0.75
Sp	99.7 (98.8; 100.0)	99.6 (98.0; 100.0)	0.56

 Table 2. Stratified estimates of sensitivity and specificity of IFAT, FTD and MPX tests for paediatric

 RSV infection and a Bayesian *P*-value for the difference in the stratified estimates.

^a Median estimates

^b Value is significant if outside the interval 0.025; 0.975

Table 3. Estimates of prevalence, sensitivityand specificity of IFAT, FTD and MPXtests for paediatric RSV infection andtheir respective predictive values alongwith the conditional covariances for thedependence between FTD and MPX assays.

Parameter	Estimate (95% PCI)
Se _{IFAT}	93.7 (90.7; 95.0)
Se _{FTD}	97.8 (94.6; 99.4)
Se _{MPX}	97.5 (94.2; 99.3)
$Sp_{_{IFAT}}$	99.0 (98.2; 99.6)
$Sp_{_{FTD}}$	97.4 (96.2; 98.2)

Parameter	Estimate (95% PCI)
Sp	99.7 (99.0; 100.0)
Р	22.2 (20.1; 24.5)
NPV	98.2 (97.3; 98.7)
NPV _{FTD}	99.4 (98.4; 99.8)
NPV	99.3 (98.3; 99.8)
PPV _{IFAT}	96.5 (93.5; 98.4)
PPV _{FTD}	91.4 (87.7; 94.2)
PPV _{MPX}	99.1 (96.3; 99.9)
γse ^a	0.89 (0.01; 3.67)
γspª	0.13 (0.001; 0.83)

^aValue is significant if the 95% PCI excludes zero.

discordance in the Sp results between the two assays may be reflective of the non-Sp of the RSV matrix gene targeted by FTD as compared to MPX's nucleoprotein gene target that permits the latter to effectively discriminate between RSV A and B viruses⁶.

All the tests yielded high NPV and PPV values. As for PPVs, the FTD estimate was lower than MPX but comparable to IFAT - a pattern explained by the tests' specificities. The strong confidence in both negative and positive test outcomes realised from these high predictive values is especially pivotal in patient management so as to: (1) establish the diagnosis of viral as opposed to bacterial cause of respiratory illness hence lessen unnecessary antibiotic prescriptions⁴⁶, (2) minimise supplementary testing such as blood tests and chest X-rays⁴⁷, (3) support cohorting of children to limit nosocomial spread within facilities⁴⁸ and (4) shorten the duration of hospital stay⁴⁹. Despite the observed good performance of the PCR diagnostics for RSV, their applicability in many resource-limited settings (outside research) is constrained attributable to their high costs and requirement of well-trained personnel. Accordingly, granted IFAT's similar performance (to the PCR assays) coupled with its relative affordability, these attributes lend the test suitable for long-term use in resource-poor settings to foster patient care and surveillance activities aimed at quantifying RSV burden.

A noteworthy caution is that the estimates derived in the present study relate strictly to severely ill hospitalised children. Since patients presenting to outpatient facilities with less severe illness may, conceivably, harbour lower viral titres in respiratory secretions, generalisability of our findings to this population is not guaranteed.

Conclusions

We have derived the Se and Sp and predictive values of IFAT, FTD and MPX for diagnosis of RSV infection in a paediatric population admitted with severe acute respiratory illness. The tests registered high (>93%) Se and Sp estimates, with correspondingly high NPV and PPV values. Notably, the tests' characteristics were unaffected by age, sex or pneumonia status of the admitted patient. The strong accuracy recorded by

the standard IFAT test renders it suitable for continued use in patient care and surveillance activities for RSV infection within populations of children hospitalised with severe respiratory illness.

Data availability

Underlying data

Since the raw dataset carries sensitive information on participants, it has been stored under restricted access. Access is available for researchers and can be applied for by placing a request to our Data Governance Committee at dgc@kemriwellcome.org. The replication data and analysis scripts for this manuscript are available from the Harvard Dataverse.

Harvard Dataverse: Replication data for: Accuracy of diagnostic tests for respiratory syncytial virus infection within a paediatric hospital population in Kilifi County, Kenya. https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/LWFP30³⁰.

This project contains the following underlying data:

- BLCM_RSV_tests_code.R (R script for analysis)
- KCH_RSV_tests_data.tab (Analysis dataset)
- MweuMarshal_RSV_Diagnostics_Codebook.pdf (Data dictionary containing variable description and value labels)
- MweuMarshal_RSV_Diagnostics_Readme.txt (Readme file)

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

Acknowledgments

We are sincerely indebted to the study participants and their caregivers together with the KCH administration and laboratory staff for facilitating the successful accomplishment of this work. This work is published with the permission of the KEMRI Director.

References

- Shi T, McAllister DA, O'Brien KL, et al.: Global, regional, and national disease burden estimates of acute lower respiratory infections due to respiratory syncytial virus in young children in 2015: a systematic review and modelling study. Lancet. 2017; 390(1008): 946–958.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Nokes DJ, Ngama M, Bett A, et al.: Incidence and severity of respiratory syncytial virus pneumonia in rural Kenyan children identified through hospital surveillance. Clin Infect Dis. 2009; 49(9): 1341–9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Okiro EA, Ngama M, Bett A, et al.: The incidence and clinical burden of respiratory syncytial virus disease identified through hospital outpatient presentations in Kenyan children. PLoS One. 2012; 7(12): e52520.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 4. Thornton HV, Blair PS, Lovering AM, et al.: Clinical presentation and microbiological diagnosis in paediatric respiratory tract infection: a systematic review.

Br J Gen Pract. 2015; 65(631): e69–81. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- Vallieres E, Renaud C: Clinical and economical impact of multiplex respiratory virus assays. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2013; 76(3): 255–61.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Kamau E, Agoti CN, Lewa CS, et al.: Recent sequence variation in probe binding site affected detection of respiratory syncytial virus group B by real-time RT-PCR. J Clin Virol. 2017; 88: 21–25.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Henrickson KJ, Hall CB: Diagnostic assays for respiratory syncytial virus disease. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2007; 26(11 Suppl): S36–40.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 8. Aldous WK, Gerber K, Taggart EW, et al.: A comparison of Thermo Electron RSV OIA to viral culture and direct fluorescent assay testing for respiratory

syncytial virus. *J Clin Virol.* 2005; **32**(3): 224–8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Borek AP, Clemens SH, Gaskins VK, et al.: Respiratory syncytial virus detection by Remel Xpect, Binax Now RSV, direct immunofluorescent staining, and tissue culture. J Clin Microbiol. 2006; 44(3): 1105–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Jonathan N: Diagnostic utility of BINAX NOW RSV--an evaluation of the diagnostic performance of BINAX NOW RSV in comparison with cell culture and direct immunofluorescence. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob. 2006; 5: 13. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Madhi SA, Venter M, Madhi A, et al.: Differing manifestations of respiratory syncytial virus-associated severe lower respiratory tract infections in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected and uninfected children. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2001; 20(2): 164–70.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Munywoki PK, Koech DC, Agoti CN, *et al.*: Influence of age, severity of infection, and co-infection on the duration of respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) shedding. *Epidemiol Infect.* 2015; 143(4): 804–12.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Kuypers J, Wright N, Morrow R: Evaluation of quantitative and type-specific realtime RT-PCR assays for detection of respiratory syncytial virus in respiratory specimens from children. J Clin Virol. 2004; 31(2): 123–9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Mahony JB: Detection of respiratory viruses by molecular methods. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2008; 21(4): 716–47.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Perkins SM, Webb DL, Torrance SA, *et al*: Comparison of a real-time reverse transcriptase PCR assay and a culture technique for quantitative assessment of viral load in children naturally infected with respiratory syncytial virus. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2005; 43(5): 2356–62.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Shafik CF, Mohareb EW, Youssef FG: Comparison of direct fluorescence assay and real-time rt-PCR as diagnostics for respiratory syncytial virus in young children. J Trop Med. 2011; 2011; 781919.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Shetty AK, Treynor E, Hill DW, et al.: Comparison of conventional viral cultures with direct fluorescent antibody stains for diagnosis of community-acquired respiratory virus infections in hospitalized children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2003; 22(9): 789–94.
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Zahran WA, Makled AF, Salama AA: Comparison of Reverse Transcription

 PCR and Viral Culture for Detection of Respiratory Syncytial Virus in Young Children: Relation to Epidemiological and Clinical Findings. *Egypt J Med Microbiol.* 2017; 26(2): 27–36.
 Publisher Full Text
- Johnson WO, Jones G, Gardner IA: Gold standards are out and Bayes is in: Implementing the cure for imperfect reference tests in diagnostic accuracy studies. Prev Vet Med. 2019; 167: 113–27. PubMed Abstract | Publisher FullText
- Joseph L, Gyorkos TW, Coupal L: Bayesian estimation of disease prevalence and the parameters of diagnostic tests in the absence of a gold standard. Am J Epidemiol. 1995; 141(3): 263–72.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Greiner M, Gardner IA: Epidemiologic issues in the validation of veterinary diagnostic tests. Prev Vet Med. 2000; 45(1–2): 3–22.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Hammitt LL, Kazungu S, Morpeth SC, et al.: A preliminary study of pneumonia etiology among hospitalized children in Kenya. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2012; 54(Suppl 2): S190–9.
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Berkley JA, Munywoki P, Ngama M, et al.: Viral etiology of severe pneumonia among Kenyan infants and children. JAMA. 2010; 303(20): 2051–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Hammitt LL, Kazungu S, Welch S, *et al.*: Added value of an oropharyngeal swab in detection of viruses in children hospitalized with lower respiratory tract infection. J Clin Microbiol. 2011; 49(6): 2318–20.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Driscoll AJ, Karron RA, Morpeth SC, et al.: Standardization of Laboratory Methods for the PERCH Study. Clin Infect Dis. 2017; 64(suppl_3): S245–S52. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Scott JAG, Bauni E, Moisi JC, et al.: Profile: The Kilifi Health and Demographic Surveillance System (KHDSS). Int J Epidemiol. 2012; 41(3): 650–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Lunn D, Spiegelhalter D, Thomas A, et al.: The BUGS project: Evolution, critique and future directions. Stat Med. 2009; 28(25): 3049–67.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Thomas A, O'Hara B, Ligges U, et al.: Making BUGS open. R News. 2006; 6(1): 12–7.
 - Reference Source
- Kostoulas P, Nielsen SS, Branscum AJ, et al.: STARD-BLCM: Standards for the Reporting of Diagnostic accuracy studies that use Bayesian Latent Class Models. Prev Vet Med. 2017; 138: 37–47.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Mweu M: Replication data for: Accuracy of diagnostic tests for respiratory syncytial virus infection within a paediatric hospital population in Kilifi County, Kenya. *Harvard Dataverse*, V1. 2020. http://www.doi.org/10.7910/DVN/LWFP30.
- Hui SL, Walter SD: Estimating the Error Rates of Diagnostic Tests. Biometrics. 1980; 36(1): 167–71.
 PubMed Abstract
- Branscum AJ, Gardner IA, Johnson WO: Estimation of diagnostic-test sensitivity and specificity through Bayesian modeling. Prev Vet Med. 2005; 68(2-4): 145–63.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Gardner IA, Stryhn H, Lind P, *et al.*: Conditional dependence between tests affects the diagnosis and surveillance of animal diseases. *Prev Vet Med.* 2000; 45(1–2): 107–22.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Simoes EAF: Environmental and demographic risk factors for respiratory syncytial virus lower respiratory tract disease. J Pediatr. 2003; 143(5 Suppl): 118–26.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Jones G, Johnson WO, Hanson TE, et al.: Identifiability of models for multiple diagnostic testing in the absence of a gold standard. *Biometrics*. 2010; 66(3): 855–63.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Bhandary R, Boloor R: Detection of Respiratory Syncytial Virus using Direct Fluorescent Antibody Assay in Paediatric Patients with Acute Respiratory Tract Infection. J Clin Diagn Res. 2016; 10(6): DC10–2.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Chowdhury D, Al-Howasi M, Ramia S, et al.: Respiratory syncytial virus in Saudi patients admitted to hospital with bronchiolitis: Use of direct fluorescent antibody tests as a rapid diagnostic tool. Ann Saudi Med. 1996; 16(1): 90–2.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Lauer BA: Comparison of virus culturing and immunofluorescence for rapid detection of respiratory syncytial virus in nasopharyngeal secretions: sensitivity and specificity. J Clin Microbiol. 1982; 16(2): 411–2.
 PubMed Abstract | Free Full Text
- Popow-Kraupp T, Aberle JH: Diagnosis of respiratory syncytial virus infection. *Open Microbiol J.* 2011; 5: 128–34.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Tong CY, Samuda GM, Chang WK, et al.: Direct and indirect fluorescentantibody staining techniques using commercial monoclonal antibodies for detection of respiratory syncytial virus. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 1989; 8(8): 728–30.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Enøe C, Georgiadis MP, Johnson WO: Estimation of sensitivity and specificity of diagnostic tests and disease prevalence when the true disease state is unknown. Prev Vet Med. 2000; 45(1–2): 61–81.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Sommer C, Resch B, Simões EAF: Risk Factors for Severe Respiratory Syncytial Virus Lower Respiratory Tract Infection. Open Microbiol J. 2011; 5: 144–54.
 PublMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Homaira N, Mallitt KA, Oei JL, et al.: Risk factors associated with RSV hospitalisation in the first 2 years of life, among different subgroups of children in NSW: a whole-of-population-based cohort study. *BMJ Open.* 2016; 6(6): e011398.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Fuller JA, Njenga MK, Bigogo G, et al.: Association of the CT values of real-time PCR of viral upper respiratory tract infection with clinical severity, Kenya. J Med Virol. 2013; 85(5): 924–32.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Houben ML, Coenjaerts FEJ, Rossen JWA, et al.: Disease severity and viral load are correlated in infants with primary respiratory syncytial virus infection in the community. J Med Virol. 2010; 82(7): 1266–71.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Keske S, Ergonul O, Tutucu F, et al.: The rapid diagnosis of viral respiratory tract infections and its impact on antimicrobial stewardship programs. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2018; 37(4): 779–783.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Woo PC, Chiu SS, Seto WH, et al.: Cost-effectiveness of rapid diagnosis of viral respiratory tract infections in pediatric patients. J Clin Microbiol. 1997; 35(6): 1579–81.
 PubMed Abstract | Free Full Text
- Jacobsen D, Ackerman P, Payne NR: Rapid identification of respiratory syncytial virus infections by direct fluorescent antibody testing: reliability as a guide to patient cohorting. Am J Infect Control. 1991; 19(2): 73–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Wabe N, Li L, Lindeman R, et al.: The impact of rapid molecular diagnostic testing for respiratory viruses on outcomes for emergency department patients. *Med J Aust.* 2019; 210(7): 316–320.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: 🤶 🗸 👘

Version 1

Reviewer Report 17 September 2020

https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.17625.r40231

© **2020 Ngesa O.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

	_
1	
	•

Oscar Ngesa

Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Physical Sciences, Taita Taveta University, Taita Taveta, Kenya

This is a well written paper aimed at applying a Bayesian approach to estimate the sensitivity (Se), specificity (Sp) and predictive values of three selected tests for Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) in the absence of a gold standard. In the statistical analysis, employing the Bayesian latent class models, the authors mention that some parameters did not have information and some vague priors were used (Uniform(0,1)/Beta(1,1)). However, the authors have not conducted any sensitivity analysis to evaluate the effect of changing the selected priors; this should be explored.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate? Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Statistical Modeling, Bayesian Analysis, Spatial Analysis, Resilience and Food Security Analysis.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Reviewer Report 08 September 2020

https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.17625.r40232

© **2020 Mwambi H.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Henry Mwambi 匝

School of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, University of KwaZulu Natal, Pietermaritzburg, South Africa

The paper is well written and easy to read yet applying a novel statistical approach namely Bayesian Latent Class Models. The paper employs Bayesian estimation to calculate posterior estimates for diagnostic test measures: sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values. A detailed comparison of the immunofluorescence test (IFAT), and two assays namely MPX and FTD assay was carried accounting for the effect of three covariate namely age, sex and disease severity. The statistical analysis is well articulated and results clearly reported and tabulated. A little bit more discussion on how the latency comes bout in the model description may be more helpful even to a statistically inclined reader although it is understood this is not a statistical methodology paper. Also, the authors should give a clear statement of why a frequentist approach to the problem is not suitable.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate? Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility? Partly

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Infectious disease modelling and Biostatistics methodology and application.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 19 August 2020

https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.17625.r39835

© **2020 Coenjaerts F.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

? Frank Coenjaerts

Department of Medical Microbiology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

This is a very well-written paper designed to report on the comparison of three well-defined diagnostic methods to detect the respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) in clinical specimen. The work is particularly elegant due to the author's choice to investigate the possible influence of coveriates (age, sex, and pneumonia status) on the outcome of the different diagnostic methods. These covariates are often present in similar studies, but usually neglected.

Major comments regarding the statistical analyses:

- 1. From a virological standpoint, the three key-assumptions required for the used Bayesian modelling framework are valid. In addition, the inclusion of the mentioned covariates offers important insights to the field.
- 2. From a merely technical standpoint, this referee is not qualified and highly recommends indepth reviewing by a statistician or computational virologist.

Minor remark:

In the Introduction the authors state that "Moreover, since viral particles may be detectable by the tests in healthy subjects, thereby compromising their Sp, the clinical usefulness of PCRs remains vague". Although authors are right that the clinical usefulness (if any) of detecting these low levels of virus "remains vague", I do not agree that this "compromises their Sp". Sp, specificity, is an exact finding, clinical usefulness the interpretation thereof.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

I cannot comment. A qualified statistician is required.

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Clinical Virology; Virological Diagnostics.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.