BMJ Open Current practice of postoperative fasting: results from a multicentre survey in China

Lifei Lai,¹ Lianghua Zeng,² Zhijing Yang,³ Yingling Zheng,⁴ Qianqian Zhu ¹

To cite: Lai L, Zeng L, Yang Z, *et al.* Current practice of postoperative fasting: results from a multicentre survey in China. *BMJ Open* 2022;**12**:e060716. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-060716

Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-060716).

LL, LZ and ZY are joint first authors.

Received 30 December 2021 Accepted 13 June 2022

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Department of Anesthesiology, The Seventh Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Shenzhen, China ²Department of Anesthesiology, Shenzhen Qianhai Shekou Free

Trade Zone Hospital, Shenzhen, China ³Department of Anesthesiology,

⁴Department of Anesthesiology,
 Shenzhen University General
 ⁴Department of Anesthesiology,
 Integrated Traditional Chinese
 and Western Medicine Hospital,
 Shenzhen, China

Correspondence to

Dr Qianqian Zhu; zhuxx25@mail.sysu.edu.cn and Ms Yingling Zheng; 1039902956@qq.com

ABSTRACT

Objective A gap between clinical practice and evidence is common. The present multicentre study was designed to explore the actual postoperative fasting practice, including the instructed fasting time from the ward staff and the actual postoperative fasting time.

Design Multicentre survey.

Setting Four tertiary hospitals in Shenzhen City, China. Participants A total of 988 patients completed a survey on instructed and actual postoperative fasting. Outcomes All patients received postoperative instructed fasting time from the ward staff. The median instructed fasting time for fluids from ward staff was 6 hours (IQR, 4-6 hours), and the median instructed fasting time for solid food was also 6 hours (IQR 5-6 hours) after surgery. The actual postoperative fasting time, including fluid and solid food intake, was significantly longer than the time recommended by the ward staff (both p<0.001). **Results** The median time to postoperative first flatus (FFL) was 16.5 hours (IQR 8-25.5 hours), and the median time to postoperative first faeces (FFE) was 41 hours (IQR 25-57 hours). The fasting time was significantly shorter than the time to FFL and the time to FFE, regardless of surgery type or anaesthesia type (all p<0.001). Postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) occurred in 23.6% of patients. After surgery, 58.70% of patients reported thirst, and 47.47% reported hunger. No ileus occurred. Conclusion Approximately half of the patients reported thirst and hunger postoperatively. Patients initiated oral intake earlier than the time to FFL or FFE without increasing serious complications. This study may support the rationale for interventions targeting postoperative oral intake time in future studies.

INTRODUCTION

Traditional postoperative oral nutrition is usually initiated after the indication of return of bowel function, including passage of flatus, passage of stool and bowel sounds. This traditional approach has been used to prevent postoperative complications. It is believed that tradition can reduce the incidence of paralytic ileus or anastomotic leakage. However, there is little evidence to support the traditional postoperative oral nutrition regime. In contrast, evidence indicates that early postoperative oral intake is safe and beneficial, even in gastrointestinal surgery.^{1–4} Postoperative

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- \Rightarrow The present study was a multicentre survey conducted in a large city in China.
- ⇒ The present study provides unique data on postoperative fasting times in a large cohort of patients from four tertiary hospitals.
- ⇒ This study may support the rationale for interventions targeting postoperative oral intake time in future studies.
- ⇒ The results of this study may not be generalisable to other regions or countries.

care in major gynaecologic surgery even recommends oral intake immediately after surgery.^{5 6} Meanwhile, a gap between clinical practice and feeding evidence or guidelines is common.^{7 8} Even in guidelines, there were little data about how early is 'early'.⁹ Patients were also confused about when to start oral intake, and had to endure thirst and hunger. Therefore, the present multicentre study was designed to explore actual oral intake practices after surgery.

METHODS

Data were collected from four tertiary hospitals: the Seventh Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Shenzhen Qianhai Shekou Free Trade Zone Hospital, Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine Hospital and Shenzhen University General Hospital. This study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Patients were assured that their answers were confidential, and that no data compromising privacy could be obtained. The patients were informed that they could quit the survey at any time. Verbal informed consent was obtained from all patients before they completed the survey. The requirement for written informed consent was waived by the Ethics Committee because the survey was retrospective.

Participants

No previous study has calculated the sample size. Therefore, referring to a previous survey which explored preoperative fasting,¹⁰ we conducted surveys for four consecutive work weeks from the four hospitals mentioned above. Inclusion criteria were adult patients with American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I–III without cognitive impairment who underwent elective surgery from 13 September 2021 to 17 October 2021 and were administered anaesthesia by anaesthesiologists. The exclusion criteria were day surgery, cardiovascular surgery, neurosurgery and transplant surgery. Patients whose anaesthesia was managed by the interviewers were also excluded. Patients who refused to complete the survey or who were lost to follow-up were excluded from the final analysis.

Survey

Patients were surveyed by the researchers from the second day after surgery until the day they were discharged from the hospital. The questions included whether they received instructions and who they received them from about when to initiate postoperative oral intake, the actual time that they started oral intake, whether they endured postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV), the time to postoperative first flatus (FFL) and the time to postoperative first flatus (FFL) and the time to collected from the medical records if the patients agreed to complete the survey.

Statistical analysis

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (V.21.0) was used to perform statistical analyses. Qualitative and ordinal data are expressed as absolute frequencies. The normality of the distribution of quantitative data was tested using the one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Quantitative data are expressed as the mean±SE or median values with IQRs for normally and non-normally distributed data, respectively. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare differences between paired data that were not normally distributed. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare differences between different populations. Differences were considered statistically significant when two-tailed p values were <0.05.

Patient and public involvement

No patients or public members were involved in the development of the research question, recruitment, outcome measures or design of the study. There are no plans to disseminate the results of this study to the participants.

RESULTS

In total, 1086 patients were approached to participate in the survey. After excluding 98 patients who refused to participate or were lost to follow-up, 988 patients completed the survey and were included in the final analysis. The characteristics of these patients are shown

Table 1 The sociodemographic characteristics of patients						
Variables	Ν	Percentage				
Gender						
Male	425	43.0%				
Female	563	57.0%				
Age	44.24±0.43*					
ASA						
I	268	27.1%				
II	702	71.1%				
III	18	1.8%				
Post opioids used	107	10.8%				
Surgery type						
Gastrointestinal surgery	65	6.6%				
Non-gastrointestinal surgery	923	93.4%				
Abdominal non- gastrointestinal surgery	91	9.2%				
Thyroid, breast and body surface surgery	143	14.5%				
Gynecologic surgery	186	18.8%				
Obstetric surgery	17	1.7%				
Thoracic surgery	57	5.8%				
Otolaryngology surgery	87	8.8%				
Urological surgery	213	21.6%				
Orthopaedic	109	11.0%				
Spinal surgery	20	2.0%				
Anaesthesia type						
General anaesthesia	815	82.5%				
Endotracheal intubation (EI)	524	53.0%				
Laryngeal mask (LMA)	203	20.5%				
Intravenous without El or LMA	88	8.9%				
Regional anaesthesia	173	17.5%				
Intraspinal anaesthesia	133	13.5%				
Peripheral nerve block	40	4.0%				
Complications						
PONV	233	23.6%				
lleus	0	0				
Thirsty	580	58.70%				
Hungry	469	47.47%				

*Mean±SE error.

ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists; PONV, postoperative nausea and vomiting.

in table 1. Of the 988 patients, 268 were ASA I, 702 were ASA II and 18 were ASA III. In total, 107 patients were prescribed opioids for postoperative analgesia. A total of 815 patients underwent general anaesthesia, and 173

 Table 2
 The instructed postoperative fasting time, actual postoperative fasting time and the time to FFL and FFE in different population

	Instructed fasting time for fluids (hours)	Instructed fasting time for solid food (hours)	Actual fasting time for fluids (hours)	Actual fasting time for solid food (hours)	Time to FFL (hours)	Time to FFE (hours)
Total populations*	6 (4–6)	6 (5–6)	6.5 (5.5–7.5)	7 (6–8)	16.5 (8–25.5)	41 (25–57)
†	6.18±0.19	7.52±0.28	7.46±0.18	9.72±0.31	18.57±0.44	44.58±0.87
Surgery type						
Non-gastrointestinal surgery*	6 (4–6)	6 (5–6)	6.5 (5.5–7.5)	7 (6–8)	16 (8–25)	41 (25–58.0)
†	6.12±0.19	7.44±0.28	7.35±0.18	9.59±0.32	18.33±0.45	44.73±0.90
Gastrointestinal*	6 (4–6)	6 (4–6)	7 (6–8.25)	7.5 (6–12.75)	20 (10.75–28.25)	36.5 (22–55.5)
†	7.05±0.90	8.71±1.36	9.02±0.89	11.65±1.34	21.92±1.65	42.49±2.97
Anaesthesia type						
General anaesthesia*	6 (4–6)	6 (4–6)	6.5 (5–7.5)	7 (6–8)	16 (8–25.5)	40.5 (25–57)
†	6.22±0.22	7.55±0.31	7.47±0.21	9.66±0.35	18.32±0.48	44.19±0.94
Regional anaesthesia*	6 (6–6)	6 (6–6)	7 (6–8)	7.5 (6.5–8.75)	18 (9–25)	41 (24–62)
†	6.00±0.28	7.36±0.61	7.41±0.30	9.99±0.65	19.71±1.00	46.45±2.23
*Median with IQR.						

†Mean±SE error.

FFE, first faeces; FFL, first flatus.

underwent regional anaesthesia. Considering the surgery distribution, 65 patients underwent gastrointestinal surgery and 923 underwent non-gastrointestinal surgeries (table 1).

Instructed and actual postoperative oral feeding time among patients

All patients received postoperative instructions on fasting time after surgery from the ward staff. However, only 124 (12.55%) patients received information about the postoperative fasting time from anaesthesiologists. The median instructed fasting time for clear fluids from ward staff was 6 hours (IQR, 4–6 hours; table 2). The median instructed fasting time for solid food from ward staff was also 6 hours (IQR, 5–6 hours; table 2).

The instructed fasting time for fluids by ward staff was significantly shorter than that for solid food $(6.18\pm0.19$ vs 7.52 ± 0.28 hours, p<0.001, table 2 and figure 1). The actual fasting time for fluids was also significantly shorter than the actual fasting time for solid food $(7.46\pm0.18$ vs 9.72 ± 0.31 , p<0.001; table 2, figure 1).

Furthermore, regardless of the intake of oral fluids or solid food, the actual postoperative fasting time was significantly longer than that instructed by the ward staff (both p<0.001, figure 1). The above phenomenon was observed regardless of surgery or anaesthesia type (figures 2 and 3).

When comparing gastrointestinal and non-gastrointestinal surgeries, the instructed postoperative fasting times did not differ between them (figure 2). Of note, the actual postoperative fasting times were statistically longer in patients who underwent gastrointestinal than those who underwent un-gastrointestinal surgeries $(9.02\pm0.89 \text{ vs } 7.35\pm0.18 \text{ hours}, p=0.032 \text{ for fluids}, 11.65\pm1.34 \text{ vs } 9.59\pm0.32 \text{ hours}, p=0.036 \text{ for solid food; table 2, figure 2}.$

Considering the differences between anaesthesia types, the instructed postoperative fasting times did not differ significantly between general and regional anaesthesia (figure 3). Intriguingly, the actual postoperative fasting time differed significantly between general and regional anaesthesia (7.47 ± 0.21 vs 7.41 ± 0.30 hours, p=0.006 for fluids, 9.66 ± 0.35 vs 9.99 ± 0.65 hours, p=0.002 for solid food; table 2, figure 3).

The time to postoperative FFL and FFE

The median time to FFL was 16.5 hours (IQR, 8–25.5 hours, table 2), and the median time to FFE was 41 hours (IQR, 25–57 hours; table 2).

The time to FFL or FFE was significantly longer than the patients' actual fasting time (including fluids and solid food), regardless of surgery or anaesthesia type (all p<0.001; figures 1–3, table 2). The time to FFL was significantly longer in patients who underwent gastrointestinal surgery than in those who underwent non-gastrointestinal surgery (figure 2), whereas no significant difference was observed between the two surgery types when considering FFE (figure 2). There were no significant differences between general and regional anaesthesia with regard to FFL or FFE (figure 3).

Complications

PONV occurred in 23.6% of patients (table 1). A total of 98 patients experienced nausea after the first oral feeding,



Figure 1 The instructed and actual postoperative fasting time, and the time to FFL and FFE. *P<0.05; **p<0.01; and ***p<0.001. #1, comparison between the time to FFL and actual postoperative fasting time (fluids or solid food); #2, comparison between the time to FFE and actual postoperative fasting time (fluids or solid food). FFE, first faeces; FFL, first flatus.



Figure 2 The comparisons between gastrointestinal and non-gastrointestinal surgery. *P<0.05 and ***p<0.001. \$1, comparison between the time to FFL and actual fasting time (fluids or solid food) regardless of surgery type; \$2, comparison between the time to FFE and actual fasting time (fluids or solid food) regardless of surgery type. FFE, first faeces; FFL, first flatus.



Figure 3 The comparisons between general and regional anaesthesia. **P<0.01: and ***p<0.001. &1. comparison between the time to FFL and actual fasting time (fluids or solid food) regardless of anaesthesia type; &2, comparison between the time to FFE and actual fasting time (fluids or solid food) regardless of anaesthesia type. FFE, first faeces; FFL, first flatus.

and 81 vomited after the first oral feeding. Furthermore, both the time to oral fluids and solid food were significantly shorter in patients without PONV than those with PONV (6.72±0.15 vs 9.86±0.58 hours, p<0.001 for fluids, 8.40±0.26 vs 14.00±0.95 hours, p<0.001 for solid food, results are not shown in table 1).

Paralytic ileus was not observed. Of the patients, 58.70% reported thirst, and 47.47% reported hunger after surgery (table 1). No cases of ileus were observed.

DISCUSSION

This multicentre study demonstrated that all patients received information regarding postoperative intake initiation from ward staff. The actual initiation times for oral fluid and solid food intake were significantly higher than those instructed by the ward staff. Notably, the instructed postoperative fasting time did not differ regardless of the surgery or anaesthesia type. Furthermore, the time to initiate drinking or eating was significantly earlier than the time to FFL or FFE. PONV occurred in 23.6% of the patients, and no paralytic ileus was observed.

A previous study demonstrated that risk factors, including opioid use, surgical complexity and fluid overload, are associated with postoperative ileus.¹¹ Chewing gum, the advent of laparoscopy, and perioperative nutrition are beneficial in the recovery of bowel function and prevention of postoperative ileus.¹² Various studies and guidelines have clearly recommended preoperative fasting times,¹³¹⁴ and preoperative fasting abbreviation protocols such as enhanced recovery after surgery

could avoid nausea, vomiting and ileus and accelerate postoperative recovery.^{15–18} As an important part of perioperative nutrition, early feeding after surgery has been shown to accelerate postoperative bowel function recovery.^{19 20} Previous studies have supported early intake after gastrointestinal surgery and total laryngectomy, with some guidelines recommending early oral feeding after surgery.²¹⁻²⁴ A guideline from the European Society of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care recommends that patients resume drinking soon after elective surgical procedures.¹⁴ However, the evidence for the guideline was from only four articles, of which two evaluated oral fluid intake after caesarean delivery under regional anaesthesia.^{25 26} A survey of French anaesthesiology practices demonstrated that the majority of anaesthesiologists allowed fluid intake 2 hours or immediately after surgery, and solid food 4 hours after surgery, based on airway management.⁸ In the present study, patients' actual postoperative fasting times were significantly longer than those instructed by ward staff. In line with the preoperative fasting in our previous study, the patients might always be conservative.²⁷ Notably, in the present study, patients initiated oral intake before the passage of flatus or stool, and no serious ileus occurred.

In addition to postoperative ileus, PONV is another concern for postoperative oral intake. Early oral intake (within 24 hours), irrespective of the presence or absence of the indicated return of bowel function after major abdominal gynaecologic surgery, although safe, was associated with increased PONV.²⁸ In contrast, another study demonstrated that in an outpatient setting, early postoperative oral fluid intake was associated with a reduction in the incidence of PONV.²⁹ The present study found that the incidence of PONV was 23.6%, and fewer than 10% of patients reported nausea or vomiting after the first postoperative oral feeding. Furthermore, both the time to first drink and eat was significantly shorter in patients without PONV than those with PONV. Therefore, PONV should not be the main concern of early oral intake.

Early oral intake after surgery was associated not only with bowel function recovery and PONV incidence reduction but also with fewer infectious complications and shortened hospitalisation.³⁰ Irrespective of the different scheduled surgeries, including those involving the gastrointestinal system, or different anaesthesia types, including intraspinal anaesthesia, early oral intake has shown benefits and no harm.^{3 20 22} However, the gap between clinical practice and evidence is common.^{7 31} Patients scheduled for surgery usually undergo a much longer preoperative fasting than the evidence-based guidelines recommend,²⁷ and most patients still have to endure long postoperative fasting. In the present study, the ward staff always instructed the patients to initiate oral feeding 6 hours after surgery. The guidelines encouraged patients to drink when they were awake and nausea free after the operation.¹⁸ An oral diet can usually be started within 4 hours after surgery.¹⁸ Approximately half of the patients in the present study experienced thirst and hunger after surgery. There are several reasons for this finding; first, although guidelines recommend early oral intake after surgery, the exact time is not always as clearly mentioned as that in preoperative fasting guidelines. Therefore, the clinical staff were cautious and conservative about early postoperative oral intake. The reason for the gap between guidelines and clinical practice remains to be explored in future studies. Second, the patients were more conservative than the clinical staff. Similar to preoperative fasting, which lasted longer than the durations instructed by clinical staff from our previous observation,²⁷ patients' actual postoperative fasting time was also longer than that instructed by clinical staff. The reason for the conservative nature of the patients also needs to be elucidated in future studies.

The present study has certain limitations. First, the existing knowledge of the clinical staff on postoperative fasting was not investigated, which might potentially affect patients. Whether the instructions from anaesthesiologists and ward staff influence the actual postoperative fasting time differently remains to be explored. However, in order to decrease the potential bias brought by the anaesthesiologists who were involved in the research of present study, patients whose anaesthesia were managed by the researchers were excluded from the survey. Second, some indicators of gastrointestinal function, such as bowel sounds, were not obtained in the present study. Bowel sounds appeared approximately 2 hours earlier than the passage of the flatus in a previous study on caesarean sections.³² The lag time between postoperative

oral intake and bowel sounds in clinical settings was not analysed in the present study. Third, the reason why some patients were conservative and initiated oral intake later than instructed by medical staff remains to be clarified. Fourth, 10.8% of patients were prescribed opioids for postoperative analgesia, which might affect postoperative feeding. Finally, the multicentre was from a big city in China. These results may not be generalisable to other regions or countries.

In conclusion, approximately half of the patients reported thirst and hunger after surgery; however, in practice, postoperative fasting lasts longer than 6 hours regardless of surgery or anaesthesia type. Most patients initiate oral intake earlier than the time to FFL or FFE, without increasing the rate of serious complications. The present results might support the rationale for interventions targeting postoperative oral intake time in future studies.

Acknowledgements The authors thank all the patients who participated in this study. We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.cn) for the English language editing.

Contributors LL, LZ, ZY and YZ: Submission for IRB approval, inclusion of participants and data collection. QZ: Data analysis and drafting. QZ and YZ: Study design and critical revision of the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript. All authors had full access to all of the data (including statistical reports and tables) in the study and can take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Funding This study was funded by the authors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Consent obtained directly from patient(s)

Ethics approval This study involves human participants and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Seventh Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University (chairperson: Professor Chun Chen, approval number: KY-2021-076-01).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as supplementary information.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iD

Qianqian Zhu http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6897-136X

REFERENCES

- Osland E, Yunus RM, Khan S, et al. Early versus traditional postoperative feeding in patients undergoing resectional gastrointestinal surgery. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr 2011;35:473–87.
- 2 Zhuang C-L, Ye X-Z, Zhang C-J, et al. Early versus traditional postoperative oral feeding in patients undergoing elective colorectal surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Dig Surg* 2013;30:225–32.
- 3 Willcutts KF, Chung MC, Erenberg CL, et al. Early oral feeding as compared with traditional timing of oral feeding after upper gastrointestinal surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Surg 2016;264:54–63.

- 4 Pu H, Heighes PT, Simpson F, et al. Early oral protein-containing diets following elective lower gastrointestinal tract surgery in adults: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Perioper Med* 2021;10:10.
- 5 Fanning J, Hojat R. Safety and efficacy of immediate postoperative feeding and bowel stimulation to prevent ileus after major gynecologic surgical procedures. *J Osteopath Med* 2011;111:469–72.
- 6 Stone R, Carey E, Fader AN, et al. Enhanced recovery and surgical optimization protocol for minimally invasive gynecologic surgery: an AAGL white paper. J Minim Invasive Gynecol 2021;28:179–203.
- 7 Rattray M, Roberts S, Marshall A, et al. A systematic review of feeding practices among postoperative patients: is practice in-line with evidenced-based guidelines? J Hum Nutr Diet 2018;31:151–67.
- 8 Le Pape S, Boisson M, Loupec T, *et al.* Postoperative fasting after general anaesthesia: A survey of French anaesthesiology practices. *Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med* 2018;37:245–50.
- 9 Nelson G, Bakkum-Gamez J, Kalogera E, et al. Guidelines for perioperative care in gynecologic/oncology: enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) Society recommendations-2019 update. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2019;29:651–68.
- 10 Lim HJ, Lee H, Ti LK. An audit of preoperative fasting compliance at a major tertiary referral hospital in Singapore. *Singapore Med J* 2014;55:18–23.
- 11 Bragg D, El-Sharkawy AM, Psaltis E, et al. Postoperative ileus: recent developments in pathophysiology and management. *Clin Nutrit* 2015;34:367–76.
- 12 Venara A, Neunlist M, Slim K, et al. Postoperative ileus: pathophysiology, incidence, and prevention. J Visc Surg 2016;153:439–46.
- 13 Fawcett WJ, Thomas M. Pre-operative fasting in adults and children: clinical practice and guidelines. *Anaesthesia* 2019;74:83–8.
- 14 Smith I, Kranke P, Murat I, et al. Perioperative fasting in adults and children: guidelines from the European Society of Anaesthesiology. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2011;28:556–69.
- 15 Marquini GV, Pinheiro FEdaS, Vieira AUdaC, *et al.* Preoperative fasting abbreviation and its effects on postoperative nausea and vomiting incidence in gynecological surgery patients. *Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet* 2020;42:468–75.
- 16 Marquini GV, da Silva Pinheiro FE, da Costa Vieira AU, et al. Preoperative fasting abbreviation (enhanced recovery after surgery protocol) and effects on the metabolism of patients undergoing gynecological surgeries under spinal anesthesia: a randomized clinical trial. *Nutrition* 2020;77:110790.
- 17 Ackerman RS, Tufts CW, DePinto DG, *et al.* How sweet is this? A review and evaluation of preoperative carbohydrate loading in the enhanced recovery after surgery model. *Nutrit Clin Pract* 2020;35:246–53.
- 18 Gustafsson UO, Scott MJ, Hubner M, et al. Guidelines for Perioperative Care in Elective Colorectal Surgery: Enhanced

Recovery After Surgery (ERAS[®]) Society Recommendations: 2018. *World J Surg* 2019;43:659–95.

- 19 Nematihonar B, Salimi S, Noorian V, *et al*. Early versus delayed (traditional) postoperative oral feeding in patients undergoing colorectal anastomosis. *Adv Biomed Res* 2018;7:30.
- 20 Charoenkwan K, Matovinovic E. Early versus delayed oral fluids and food for reducing complications after major abdominal gynaecologic surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014;2014:CD004508.
- 21 Bulğurcu S, Çukurova İbrahim. Comparison of early versus delayed oral feeding after total laryngectomy in terms of pharyngocutaneous fistula development. *Turk Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2018;56:217–20.
- 22 Ogbadua AO, Agida TE, Akaba GO, et al. Early versus delayed oral feeding after uncomplicated cesarean section under spinal anesthesia: a randomized controlled trial. Niger J Surg 2018;24:6–11.
- 23 Le Guen M, Fessler J, Fischler M. Early oral feeding after emergency abdominal operations: another paradigm to be broken? *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* 2014;17:477–82.
- 24 Zhang C, Zhang M, Gong L, et al. The effect of early oral feeding after esophagectomy on the incidence of anastomotic leakage: an updated review. *Postgrad Med* 2020;132:419–25.
- 25 Teoh WHL, Shah MK, Mah CL. A randomised controlled trial on beneficial effects of early feeding post-Caesarean delivery under regional anaesthesia. *Singapore Med J* 2007;48:152–7.
- 26 Mulayim B, Celik NY, Kaya S, et al. Early oral hydration after cesarean delivery performed under regional anesthesia. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2008;101:273–6.
- 27 Zhu Q, Li Y, Deng Y, et al. Preoperative fasting guidelines: where are we now? findings from current practices in a tertiary hospital. J Perianesth Nurs 2021;36:388–92.
- 28 Charoenkwan K, Phillipson G, Vutyavanich T. Early versus delayed (traditional) oral fluids and food for reducing complications after major abdominal gynaecologic surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2007:CD004508.
- 29 Chauvin C, Schalber-Geyer AS, Lefebvre F, et al. Early postoperative oral fluid intake in paediatric day case surgery influences the need for opioids and postoperative vomiting: a controlled randomized trial. Br J Anaesth 2017;118:407–14.
- 30 Kato K, Omatsu K, Okamoto S, et al. Early oral feeding is safe and useful after rectosigmoid resection with anastomosis during cytoreductive surgery for primary ovarian cancer. World J Surg Oncol 2021;19:77.
- 31 Lassen K, Dejong CHC, Ljungqvist O, et al. Nutritional support and oral intake after gastric resection in five Northern European countries. *Dig Surg* 2005;22:346–52.
- 32 Malhotra N, Khanna S, Pasrija S, et al. Early oral hydration and its impact on bowel activity after elective caesarean section—our experience. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2005;120:53–6.