BMI

Accounting for recent trends in the **Den** prevalence of diarrhoea in the Democratic **Republic of Congo (DRC): results from** consecutive cross-sectional surveys

Jacques B O Emina,^{1,2} Ngianga-Bakwin Kandala^{3,4}

To cite: Emina JBO, Kandala N-B. Accounting for recent trends in the prevalence of diarrhoea in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC): results from consecutive cross-sectional surveys. BMJ Open 2012;2:e001930.

doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2012-

001930

Prepublication history for this paper are available online. To view these files please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2012-001930).

Received 4 August 2012 Revised 23 October 2012 Accepted 5 November 2012

This final article is available for use under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 2.0 Licence: see http://bmjopen.bmj.com

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Ngianga-Bakwin Kandala; N-B.Kandala@warwick.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To analyse trends in diarrhoea prevalence by maternal education, access to clean water and improved sanitation, household wealth index; to identify the sources of variation and assess contribution of changes in socioeconomic characteristics in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC). Design: Consecutive cross-sectional surveys. Settina: DRC.

Participants: The databases contain information on 9748 children from the 2001 Multiple Indicators Cluster Survey and 7987 children from the 2007 Demographic and Health Survey.

Interventions: N/A.

Primary and secondary outcome measures:

Whether the child had diarrhoea 14 days preceding the survey.

Results: The overall prevalence of diarrhoea decreased by 26 percent (from 22.1% in 2001 to 16.4% in 2007). Findings from the three complementary statistical methods are consistent and confirm a significant decrease in diarrhoea regardless of socioeconomic characteristics. Changes in behaviour and/or in public health policy seem to be the likely main source of the change. There were no significant changes in diarrhoea prevalence associated with variation of the population structure. It is worth mentioning that the decrease in diarrhoea prevalence is in contrast to the generalised poor living conditions of the population. Therefore, it is difficult to ascertain whether the decline in diarrhoea prevalence was due to real improvement in public-health policy or to data quality issues.

Conclusions: The decline of diarrhoea prevalence in our study need to be further investigated by conducting district-based or provincial-based studies to validate findings from household surveys such as Demographic and Health Surveys and Multiple Indicators Cluster Survey taking into account the current context of the country: ongoing conflict, poor socioeconomic and poor health infrastructure. However, improvement in living conditions such as access to clean water and improved sanitation will contribute to accelerate the reduction of diarrhoea prevalence as well as reduction of child mortality.

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus

- With about 1% of the global population, the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) has the third highest diarrheal morbidity among under-five children and the fifth highest under-five death rate worldwide. Recent national surveys reports show a decrease in childhood diarrhoea prevalence from 22% in 2001 to 16% in 2007.
- Intuitively, the decrease in prevalence of diarrhoea could be explained by: (1) public health improvement nationally or selectively among some specific households and/or (2) increase in the proportion of children living in households with access to water, sanitation and hygiene. We apply appropriate statistical techniques (decomposition analysis and fixed effect regression models) to describe trends in diarrhoea prevalence, identify the actual sources of changes and assess the contribution of selected factors in the observed changes.
- We use data from two consecutive nationally rep-resentative household surveys to investigate trends in diarrhoea in the DRC.

Key messages

- Our results provide evidence of a significant decrease in diarrhoea prevalence regardless of socioeconomic characteristics considered.
- The findings indicate that changes in behaviour and/or in public health policy seem to be the likely main source of the observed changes. There were no significant changes in diarrhoea prevalence associated with variation of the population structure.
- However, childhood diarrhoea remains a very important public health issue in the DRC despite the observed decline.
- Moreover, the observed diarrhoea prevalence is in contrast to the poor living conditions and high mortality observed in the same period.
- Therefore, further studies at the district or pro-vincial level are needed to validate our findings. These studies should take into account the current context of the country: ongoing conflict, poor socioeconomic and lack of access to health infrastructures and poor health infrastructure.

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the first study of its kind in the DRC that describe trends, identify sources of changes and assess factors contributing to the changes in diarrhoea prevalence among under-five children using two national representative surveys. Furthermore, we used robust statistical techniques to assess changes. The study combines three complementary statistics techniques (trends analysis, decomposition and fixed effect regression models).
- The major limitation of this study is potential data quality issues since data were collected in the conflict and postconflict contexts. Indeed, the significant observed decrease in diarrhoea prevalence in the DRC contrasts with the generalised poor living conditions of the population.
- Another limitation of the study is the way in which diarrhoea was ascertained. It is self-reported and not determined by a medical examination of trained medical staff. Therefore, the respondent may be subjected to recall bias or misinterpretation of symptoms.

INTRODUCTION

Diarrheal disease is the second leading cause of death among children under five globally. About 22% of childhood deaths in developing countries are attributable to diarrhoea.^{1–3} It kills more young children than AIDS, malaria and measles combined.³

Diarrhoeal diseases are associated with poverty and unhygienic environments.^{3–5} This probably explains the high prevalence of diarrhoea among children whose mothers did not attend school and/or among children living in poorest households particularly in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia.³

With about 1% of the global population, the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) has the third highest diarrhoeal morbidity among under-five children and the fifth highest under-five death rate worldwide.^{3 6} About 13% of child deaths are diarrhoea related, approximately 60 450 deaths due to diarrhoea in 2010.⁷

However, recent data from national reports show a decrease in childhood diarrhoea prevalence from 2001 to 2010. The prevalence of children with diarrhoea decreased from 22% in 2001^8 to around 17% in 2007 and 2010.⁹ ¹⁰

Intuitively, the decrease in the prevalence of diarrhoea could be explained by: (1) public health improvement globally or selectively among some specific households and/or (2) increase in the proportion of children living in households with access to water, sanitation and hygiene (wealthy household, living in urban areas, whose mothers have secondary education or higher). The question of substantive interest in this context is: how much of the change is actually due to the improvement of public health suggesting the actual decrease in diarrhoea prevalence and how much is due to a compositional change in the population distribution, especially by maternal education, access to clean water and sanitation, household wealth index?

Against this background, this study aims to analyse diarrhoea prevalence by maternal education, access to clean water and improved sanitation and household wealth index. The study will also identify the sources of variation of diarrhoea prevalence in the DRC, and assess the contribution of each factor in the decline of diarrhoea prevalence. To our knowledge, this is the first study of its kind in the DRC as only some descriptive survey reports,^{8–11} and few systematic studies have analysed trends and factors that influence the prevalence of diarrhoea among young children in the DRC.¹²

DATA AND METHODS Data

This study uses two successive nationally representative household surveys: the 2001 Multiple Indicators Cluster Survey (MICS) and the 2007 DHS. During the 2001 MICS data collection from 21 May to 28 August 2001, three provinces were entirely under the control of the government (Kinshasa, Bas-Congo and Bandundu), four were partially administrated by rebels (Equateur, Katanga, Kasai-Oriental and Kasai Occidental) and four were entirely controlled by rebels (Oriental, Nord Kivu, Sud Kivu and Maniema). Though the 2007 DHS was carried out after the 2006 elections (2 February–30 April 2007 for Kinshasa, and from 10 May–31 August 2007 for the remaining provinces), some villages and municipalities in the Eastern provinces of Nord-Kivu, Sud-Kivu and Oriental were under armed conflict.

The two datasets have comparable information on household characteristics and child diarrhoea at the time of the survey. The sample design and questionnaire are described elsewhere.⁸ ⁹ Consequently, the two surveys offer the opportunity of analysing change in diarrhoea prevalence in the DRC. In total, the 2001 MICS database includes information about 8600 households and 9748 under-five children, whereas the 2007 DHS database had information about 8886 households and 7987 children.

For each child under the age of five, the survey respondent in the household was asked whether the child has had diarrhoea in the past 2 weeks prior to the surveys as indicated in the Box 1 and in French language.

Box 1 Question on diarrhoea among under-five children

- 1. 2001 MICS: ((*Nom de l'enfant*) a-t-il/elle eu la diarrhée au cours des 2 dernières semaines, c'est-à-dire, depuis (*jour de la semaine*) de l'avant dernière semaine ? in French). *Has (name of the child) had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks, that is, since (day of the week) of the week before last.*
- 2007 DHS:((Nom de l'enfant) a eu la diarrhée au cours des deux dernières semaines? In French) 'Has (name of the child) had diarrhoea in the past 2 weeks?

Therefore, diarrhoea is determined not by medical examination but it is self-reported by the mother or caretaker with symptoms of three or more loose or watery stools per day or blood in stool.

Variables

Exposure to diarrhoea-causing agents is frequently related to the use of contaminated water and to unhygienic practices in food preparation and disposal of excreta. Poor sanitation, lack of access to clean water and inadequate personal hygiene are responsible for an estimated 90% of childhood diarrhoea.^{3–5 13}

Exposure variables for this study include maternal education, access to clean water and sanitation and household wealth index. A large body of empirical work has shown association between these variables and the prevalence of diarrhoea among under-five children.³ ^{12–18}

We define clean water or drinking water as water of sufficiently high quality that can be consumed or used with low risk of immediate or long-term harm. It is drawn from an improved drinking water source protected from outside contamination, in particular from contamination with faecal matter including piped water (into residence or plot), public tap, tube well, protected dug wells and protected springs.^{19 20} An improved sanitation facility is defined as one that is likely to hygienically separate human excreta from human contact: public sewer, septic tank, pour-flush latrine, pit latrine with slab, ventilated improved pit and ecological sanitation.^{19 20} The MICS and DHS surveys collecting these variables use the same definition and categorisation.^{21 22}

In this study, household wealth index is measured with an asset index and wealth quintile constructed using the statistical Procedure Principal Component Analysis developed by Filmer and Pritchett.²³ The index measures economic status based on housing characteristics, household assets and possession of household consumer durables as well as access to clean water and improved sanitation. The 2001 MICS and 2007 DHS have collected these data. Using rank methods, households are classified by quintile of wealth.

Statistical methods

This study uses three complementary methods: trends analysis, decomposition and longitudinal multivariate models (fixed effect regression models). The Stata, 'nptrend' command performs a non-parametric test of trend for the ranks across ordered groups. The test is an extension of the Wilcoxon rank-sum test.²⁴ The test provides Z statistics and p value showing whether the change is statistically significant or not.

The decomposition approach divides the trends in child's diarrhoea prevalence into change in population structure and change in health behaviour and/or public health over the study period.^{25 26} This method assumes that the historical change in child diarrhoea prevalence depends on: (1) trends in distribution of under-five children by access to clean water and improved sanitation

facility, household wealth index and maternal education over time (composition effect); (2) actual change in diarrhoea prevalence due to change in health behaviour or improvement in public health (the basic effect) that is the regression intercept when x=0 (α); (3) variation of diarrhoea prevalence by exposure variables (β), and the residual effect of other variables not considered as e error term (μ). This change can be presented as follows:



The decomposition analyses are performed at an aggregated/cluster level (the national level by maternal education and household living conditions).

Finally, we use a fixed-effect regression model to explore the relationship between women education and modern contraceptive use within the country. The equation for the fixed effects model is displayed below:

$$Y_{it} = \beta_1 X_{it} + \alpha_i + \mu i_t$$

where

- α_i (i=1...n) is the unknown intercept for each entity (n entity-specific intercepts);
- ► Y_{it} is the dependent variable (diarrhoea prevalence) where i=children and t=time;
- X_{it} represents the independent variable (child' age, province of residence, household living conditions);
- β₁ is the coefficient for the independent variable (maternal education, access to clean water and sanitation, and household wealth index);
- \blacktriangleright μ_1 is the error term.

To perform the fixed effect models, we constructed three independent panel datasets (maternal education, access to clean water and improved sanitation and household wealth index). Each dataset has multiple observations about each category of the independent variable considered as individual (number of surveys, two in our case). Therefore, the maternal education database contains six observations, while numbers of observations for the access to clean water and improved sanitation and the household wealth index database are, respectively, estimated at 8 and 10 observations; each database contains the following information proportion of under-five children, year of survey and diarrhoea prevalence.

RESULTS Sample description

Table 1 presents the distribution of under-five children by selected background characteristics in each sample.

Per cent Per Number Per cent Number Child sex Male 49.8 4855 49.5 3956 Female 50.2 4893 50.5 4031 Child age in months 0-5 11.1 1070 11.5 919 6-11 11.3 1090 10.8 865 12-23 22.3 2162 20.4 1632 24-35 19.2 1864 19.8 1582 36-47 17.6 1709 19.0 1519 48-59 18.5 1792 18.4 1470 Access to clean water and sanitation None 11.8 1153 13.0 1039 Water only 6.7 654 1.4 111 Toilet only 41.1 4008 57.6 4604 Water and toilet 40.4 3933 28.0 2233 Place of residence Urban 35.4 3446 41.1 3282 Rural 64.6 6302		2001 MICS		2007 DHS							
cent Number cent Numb Child sex Male 49.8 4855 49.5 3956 Female 50.2 4893 50.5 4031 Child age in months -5 11.1 1070 11.5 919 6-11 11.3 1090 10.8 865 12-23 22.3 2162 20.4 1632 24-35 19.2 1864 19.8 1582 36-47 17.6 1709 19.0 1519 48-59 18.5 1792 18.4 1470 Access to clean water and sanitation None 11.8 1153 13.0 1039 Water only 6.7 654 1.4 111 Toilet only 41.1 4008 57.6 4604 Water and toilet 40.4 3933 28.0 2233 Place of residence Urban 35.4 3446 41.1 3282 Rural 64.6 6302 58.9		Per Per									
Child sex Male 49.8 4855 49.5 3956 Female 50.2 4893 50.5 4031 Child age in months 0–5 11.1 1070 11.5 919 6–11 11.3 1090 10.8 865 12–23 22.3 2162 20.4 1632 24–35 19.2 1864 19.8 1582 36–47 17.6 1709 19.0 1519 48–59 18.5 1792 18.4 1470 Access to clean water and sanitation None 11.8 1153 13.0 1039 Water only 6.7 654 1.4 111 Toilet only 41.1 4008 57.6 4604 Water and toilet 40.4 3933 28.0 2233 Place of residence Urban 35.4 3446 41.1 3282 Rural 64.6 6302 58.9 4705 Province of residence Kinshasa 13.9 1352 10.7 852 Bas-Congo 5.3 515 7.4 590 Bandundu 11.9 1162 9.2 734 Equateur 11.4 1107 9.9 789 Orientale 10.0 974 7.1 567 Nord-Kivu 7.3 708 8.7 691 Maniema 6.1 593 9.2 736 Sud-Kivu 2.6 253 8.9 1702 Katanga 11.3 1100 9.6 766 Kasai Oriental 10.9 1058 10.3 826 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental Maternal education None 27.5 2680 23.9 1909 Primary 41.2 4015 42.2 3369 Second 19.5 1903 20.2 1609 Middle 19.6 1911 19.5 1555 Fourth 19.3 1877 20.9 1669		cent	Number	cent	Number						
Male 49.8 4855 49.5 3956 Female 50.2 4893 50.5 4031 Child age in months -5 11.1 1070 11.5 919 6-11 11.3 1090 10.8 865 12-23 22.3 2162 20.4 1632 24-35 19.2 1864 19.8 1582 36-47 17.6 1709 19.0 1519 48-59 18.5 1792 18.4 1470 Access to clean water and sanitation None 11.8 1153 13.0 1039 Water only 6.7 654 1.4 111 Toilet only 41.1 4008 57.6 4604 Water and toilet 40.4 3933 28.0 2233 Place of residence Urban 35.4 3446 41.1 3282 Rural 64.6 6302 58.9 4705 Province of residence Kinshasa 13.9 <	Child sex										
Female 50.2 4893 50.5 4031 Child age in months 0–5 11.1 1070 11.5 919 6–11 11.3 1090 10.8 865 12–23 22.3 2162 20.4 1632 24–35 19.2 1864 19.8 1582 36–47 17.6 1709 19.0 1519 48–59 18.5 1792 18.4 1470 Access to clean water and sanitation None 11.8 1153 13.0 1039 Water only 6.7 654 1.4 111 Toilet only 41.1 4008 57.6 4604 Water and toilet 40.4 3933 28.0 2233 Place of residence Urban 35.4 3446 41.1 3282 Rural 64.6 6302 58.9 4705 Province of residence Kinshasa 13.9 1352 10.7 852 Bas-Congo 5.3	Male	49.8	4855	49.5	3956						
Child age in months 0-5 11.1 1070 11.5 919 6-11 11.3 1090 10.8 865 12-23 22.3 2162 20.4 1632 24-35 19.2 1864 19.8 1582 36-47 17.6 1709 19.0 1519 48-59 18.5 1792 18.4 1470 Access to clean water and sanitation None 11.8 1153 13.0 1039 Water only 6.7 654 1.4 111 Toilet only 41.1 4008 57.6 4604 Water and toilet 40.4 3933 28.0 2233 Place of residence Urban 35.4 3446 41.1 3282 Rural 64.6 6302 58.9 4705 Province of residence Kinshasa 13.9 1352 10.7 852 Bas-Congo 5.3 515 7.4 590 590 591 567 Mord-Kivu 7.3 708 8.7 691 576	Female	50.2	4893	50.5	4031						
0-5 11.1 1070 11.5 919 6-11 11.3 1090 10.8 865 12-23 22.3 2162 20.4 1632 24-35 19.2 1864 19.8 1582 36-47 17.6 1709 19.0 1519 48-59 18.5 1792 18.4 1470 Access to clean water and sanitation None 11.8 1153 13.0 1039 Water only 6.7 654 1.4 111 Toilet only 41.1 4008 57.6 4604 Water and toilet 40.4 3933 28.0 2233 Place of residence Urban 35.4 3446 41.1 3282 Rural 64.6 6302 58.9 4705 Province of residence Kinshasa 13.9 1352 10.7 852 Bas-Congo 5.3 515 7.4 590 Sandundu 11.9 1162 9.2 734 Equat	Child age in months										
6-11 11.3 1090 10.8 865 12-23 22.3 2162 20.4 1632 24-35 19.2 1864 19.8 1582 36-47 17.6 1709 19.0 1519 48-59 18.5 1792 18.4 1470 Access to clean water and sanitation None 11.8 1153 13.0 1039 Water only 6.7 654 1.4 111 Toilet only 41.1 4008 57.6 4604 Water and toilet 40.4 3933 28.0 2233 Place of residence Urban 35.4 3446 41.1 3282 Rural 64.6 6302 58.9 4705 Province of residence Kinshasa 13.9 1352 10.7 852 Bas-Congo 5.3 515 7.4 590 Bandundu 11.9 1162 9.2 734 Equateur 11.4 1107 9.9 </td <td>0–5</td> <td>11.1</td> <td>1070</td> <td>11.5</td> <td>919</td>	0–5	11.1	1070	11.5	919						
12-23 22.3 2162 20.4 1632 24-35 19.2 1864 19.8 1582 36-47 17.6 1709 19.0 1519 48-59 18.5 1792 18.4 1470 Access to clean water and sanitation None 11.8 1153 13.0 1039 Water only 6.7 654 1.4 111 Toilet only 41.1 4008 57.6 4604 Water and toilet 40.4 3933 28.0 2233 Place of residence Urban 35.4 3446 41.1 3282 Rural 64.6 6302 58.9 4705 Province of residence Kinshasa 13.9 1352 10.7 852 Bas-Congo 5.3 515 7.4 590 Bandundu 11.9 1162 9.2 734 Equateur 11.4 1107 9.9 789 Orientale 10.0 974 7.1 567 Sud-Kivu 2.6 253 8.9	6–11	11.3	1090	10.8	865						
24–35 19.2 1864 19.8 1582 36–47 17.6 1709 19.0 1519 48–59 18.5 1792 18.4 1470 Access to clean water and sanitation None 11.8 1153 13.0 1039 Water only 6.7 654 1.4 111 Toilet only 41.1 4008 57.6 4604 Water and toilet 40.4 3933 28.0 2233 Place of residence Urban 35.4 3446 41.1 3282 Rural 64.6 6302 58.9 4705 Province of residence Kinshasa 13.9 1352 10.7 852 Bas-Congo 5.3 515 7.4 590 590 Bandundu 11.9 1162 9.2 734 Equateur 11.4 1107 9.9 789 Orientale 10.0 974 7.1 567 Nord-Kivu 7.3 708 8.7 691 Maniema 6.1 593	12–23	22.3	2162	20.4	1632						
36-47 17.6 1709 19.0 1519 48-59 18.5 1792 18.4 1470 Access to clean water and sanitation None 11.8 1153 13.0 1039 Water only 6.7 654 1.4 111 Toilet only 41.1 4008 57.6 4604 Water and toilet 40.4 3933 28.0 2233 Place of residence Urban 35.4 3446 41.1 3282 Rural 64.6 6302 58.9 4705 Province of residence Kinshasa 13.9 1352 10.7 852 Bas-Congo 5.3 515 7.4 590 Bandundu 11.9 1162 9.2 734 Equateur 11.4 1107 9.9 789 Orientale 10.0 974 7.1 567 Nord-Kivu 7.3 708 8.7 691 Maniema 6.1 593 <td>24–35</td> <td>19.2</td> <td>1864</td> <td>19.8</td> <td>1582</td>	24–35	19.2	1864	19.8	1582						
48–59 18.5 1792 18.4 1470 Access to clean water and sanitation None 11.8 1153 13.0 1039 Water only 6.7 654 1.4 111 Toilet only 41.1 4008 57.6 4604 Water and toilet 40.4 3933 28.0 2233 Place of residence Urban 35.4 3446 41.1 3282 Rural 64.6 6302 58.9 4705 Province of residence Kinshasa 13.9 1352 10.7 852 Bas-Congo 5.3 515 7.4 590 Bandundu 11.9 1162 9.2 734 Equateur 11.4 1107 9.9 789 Orientale 10.0 974 7.1 567 Nord-Kivu 7.3 708 8.7 691 Maniema 6.1 593 9.2 736 Sud-Kivu 2.6 253 8.9 710 Katanga 11.3 1100 9.6	36–47	17.6	1709	19.0	1519						
Access to clean water and sanitation None 11.8 1153 13.0 1039 Water only 6.7 654 1.4 111 Toilet only 41.1 4008 57.6 4604 Water and toilet 40.4 3933 28.0 2233 Place of residence Urban 35.4 3446 41.1 3282 Rural 64.6 6302 58.9 4705 Province of residence 4705 Province of residence 41.1 3282 Bas-Congo 5.3 515 7.4 590 Bandundu 11.9 1162 9.2 734 Equateur 11.4 1107 9.9 789 Orientale 10.0 974 7.1 567 Nord-Kivu 7.3 708 8.7 691 Maniema 6.1 593 9.2 736 Sud-Kivu 2.6 253 8.9	48–59	18.5	1792	18.4	1470						
None 11.8 1153 13.0 1039 Water only 6.7 654 1.4 111 Toilet only 41.1 4008 57.6 4604 Water and toilet 40.4 3933 28.0 2233 Place of residence Urban 35.4 3446 41.1 3282 Rural 64.6 6302 58.9 4705 Province of residence Kinshasa 13.9 1352 10.7 852 Bas-Congo 5.3 515 7.4 590 Bandundu 11.9 1162 9.2 734 Equateur 11.4 1107 9.9 789 Orientale 10.0 974 7.1 567 Nord-Kivu 7.3 708 8.7 691 Maniema 6.1 593 9.2 736 Sud-Kivu 2.6 253 8.9 710 Katanga 11.3 1100 9.6 766	Access to clean wa	ater and s	anitation								
Water only 6.7 654 1.4 111 Toilet only 41.1 4008 57.6 4604 Water and toilet 40.4 3933 28.0 2233 Place of residence Urban 35.4 3446 41.1 3282 Rural 64.6 6302 58.9 4705 Province of residence Kinshasa 13.9 1352 10.7 852 Bas-Congo 5.3 515 7.4 590 Bandundu 11.9 1162 9.2 734 Equateur 11.4 1107 9.9 789 Orientale 10.0 974 7.1 567 Nord-Kivu 7.3 708 8.7 691 Maniema 6.1 593 9.2 736 Sud-Kivu 2.6 253 8.9 710 Katanga 11.3 1100 9.6 766 Kasai 0riental 10.9 1058 10.3	None	11.8	1153	13.0	1039						
Toilet only 41.1 4008 57.6 4604 Water and toilet 40.4 3933 28.0 2233 Place of residence Urban 35.4 3446 41.1 3282 Rural 64.6 6302 58.9 4705 Province of residence Kinshasa 13.9 1352 10.7 852 Bas-Congo 5.3 515 7.4 590 Bandundu 11.9 1162 9.2 734 Equateur 11.4 1107 9.9 789 Orientale 10.0 974 7.1 567 Nord-Kivu 7.3 708 8.7 691 Maniema 6.1 593 9.2 736 Sud-Kivu 2.6 253 8.9 710 Katanga 11.3 1100 9.6 766 Kasai 0riental 10.9 1058 10.3 826 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 <t< td=""><td>Water only</td><td>6.7</td><td>654</td><td>1.4</td><td>111</td></t<>	Water only	6.7	654	1.4	111						
Water and toilet 40.4 3933 28.0 2233 Place of residence Urban 35.4 3446 41.1 3282 Rural 64.6 6302 58.9 4705 Province of residence Kinshasa 13.9 1352 10.7 852 Bas-Congo 5.3 515 7.4 590 Bandundu 11.9 1162 9.2 734 Equateur 11.4 1107 9.9 789 Orientale 10.0 974 7.1 567 Nord-Kivu 7.3 708 8.7 691 Maniema 6.1 593 9.2 736 Sud-Kivu 2.6 253 8.9 710 Katanga 11.3 1100 9.6 766 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental 10.9 1058 10.3 826 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726	Toilet only	41.1	4008	57.6	4604						
Place of residence Urban 35.4 3446 41.1 3282 Rural 64.6 6302 58.9 4705 Province of residence Kinshasa 13.9 1352 10.7 852 Bas-Congo 5.3 515 7.4 590 Bandundu 11.9 1162 9.2 734 Equateur 11.4 1107 9.9 789 Orientale 10.0 974 7.1 567 Nord-Kivu 7.3 708 8.7 691 Maniema 6.1 593 9.2 736 Sud-Kivu 2.6 253 8.9 710 Katanga 11.3 1100 9.6 766 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental 10.9 1058 10.3 826 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental None 27.5 2680 23.9	Water and toilet	40.4	3933	28.0	2233						
Urban 35.4 3446 41.1 3282 Rural 64.6 6302 58.9 4705 Province of residence Kinshasa 13.9 1352 10.7 852 Bas-Congo 5.3 515 7.4 590 Bandundu 11.9 1162 9.2 734 Equateur 11.4 1107 9.9 789 Orientale 10.0 974 7.1 567 Nord-Kivu 7.3 708 8.7 691 Maniema 6.1 593 9.2 736 Sud-Kivu 2.6 253 8.9 710 Katanga 11.3 1100 9.6 766 Kasai 0.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental 10.9 1058 10.3 826 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental None 27.5 2680 23.9 1909 Primary </td <td>Place of residence</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Place of residence										
Rural 64.6 6302 58.9 4705 Province of residence Kinshasa 13.9 1352 10.7 852 Bas-Congo 5.3 515 7.4 590 Bandundu 11.9 1162 9.2 734 Equateur 11.4 1107 9.9 789 Orientale 10.0 974 7.1 567 Nord-Kivu 7.3 708 8.7 691 Maniema 6.1 593 9.2 736 Sud-Kivu 2.6 253 8.9 710 Katanga 11.3 1100 9.6 766 Kasai 0.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental 10.9 1058 10.3 826 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental 10.9 41.2 4015 42.2 3369 Secondary and 31.3 3053 33.9 2709 h	Urban	35.4	3446	41.1	3282						
Province of residence Kinshasa 13.9 1352 10.7 852 Bas-Congo 5.3 515 7.4 590 Bandundu 11.9 1162 9.2 734 Equateur 11.4 1107 9.9 789 Orientale 10.0 974 7.1 567 Nord-Kivu 7.3 708 8.7 691 Maniema 6.1 593 9.2 736 Sud-Kivu 2.6 253 8.9 710 Katanga 11.3 1100 9.6 766 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental 10.9 1058 10.3 826 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental 10.9 1058 10.3 826 Kasai 9.5 2680 23.9 1909 Primary 41.2 4015 42.2 3369 Secondary an	Rural	64.6	6302	58.9	4705						
Kinshasa 13.9 1352 10.7 852 Bas-Congo 5.3 515 7.4 590 Bandundu 11.9 1162 9.2 734 Equateur 11.4 1107 9.9 789 Orientale 10.0 974 7.1 567 Nord-Kivu 7.3 708 8.7 691 Maniema 6.1 593 9.2 736 Sud-Kivu 2.6 253 8.9 710 Katanga 11.3 1100 9.6 766 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental 0.9 1058 10.3 826 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental 0 1058 10.3 826 Kasai 9.5 926 23.9 1909 Primary 41.2 4015 42.2 3369 Secondary and 31.3 3053 33.9 2709 high	Province of residen	ice									
Bas-Congo 5.3 515 7.4 590 Bandundu 11.9 1162 9.2 734 Equateur 11.4 1107 9.9 789 Orientale 10.0 974 7.1 567 Nord-Kivu 7.3 708 8.7 691 Maniema 6.1 593 9.2 736 Sud-Kivu 2.6 253 8.9 710 Katanga 11.3 1100 9.6 766 Kasai Oriental 10.9 1058 10.3 826 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental 1109 1058 10.3 826 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 0ccidental 976 Maternal education 41.2 4015 42.2 3369 Secondary and 31.3 3053 33.9 2709 1194 high	Kinshasa	13.9	1352	10.7	852						
Bandundu 11.9 1162 9.2 734 Equateur 11.4 1107 9.9 789 Orientale 10.0 974 7.1 567 Nord-Kivu 7.3 708 8.7 691 Maniema 6.1 593 9.2 736 Sud-Kivu 2.6 253 8.9 710 Katanga 11.3 1100 9.6 766 Kasai Oriental 10.9 1058 10.3 826 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental 11.2 4015 42.2 3369 Secondary and 31.3 3053 33.9 2709 1909 Primary 41.2 4015 42.2 3369 369 369 369 369 33.9 2709 191 high 41.2 4015 42.2 369 369 369 32.0 1759 369 <td>Bas-Congo</td> <td>5.3</td> <td>515</td> <td>7.4</td> <td>590</td>	Bas-Congo	5.3	515	7.4	590						
Equateur 11.4 1107 9.9 789 Orientale 10.0 974 7.1 567 Nord-Kivu 7.3 708 8.7 691 Maniema 6.1 593 9.2 736 Sud-Kivu 2.6 253 8.9 710 Katanga 11.3 1100 9.6 766 Kasai Oriental 10.9 1058 10.3 826 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental 755 2680 23.9 1909 Primary 41.2 4015 42.2 3369 Secondary and 31.3 3053 33.9 2709 high 759 22.0 1759 Second 19.5 1903 20.2 1609 Middle 19.6 1911 19.5 1555 50 1609 1655 1555 1605 1555 1605 1555 1555 1555	Bandundu	11.9	1162	9.2	734						
Orientale 10.0 974 7.1 567 Nord-Kivu 7.3 708 8.7 691 Maniema 6.1 593 9.2 736 Sud-Kivu 2.6 253 8.9 710 Katanga 11.3 1100 9.6 766 Kasai Oriental 10.9 1058 10.3 826 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental 0 0 736 826 Maternal education 10.9 1058 10.3 826 None 27.5 2680 23.9 1909 Primary 41.2 4015 42.2 3369 Secondary and 31.3 3053 33.9 2709 high	Equateur	11.4	1107	9.9	789						
Nord-Kivu 7.3 708 8.7 691 Maniema 6.1 593 9.2 736 Sud-Kivu 2.6 253 8.9 710 Katanga 11.3 1100 9.6 766 Kasai Oriental 10.9 1058 10.3 826 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental 0 0 766 766 Maternal education 700 726 726 None 27.5 2680 23.9 1909 Primary 41.2 4015 42.2 3369 Secondary and 31.3 3053 33.9 2709 high 700 1953 22.0 1759 Household Wealth Index 700 1953 22.0 1759 Second 19.5 1903 20.2 1609 Middle 19.6 1911 19.5 1555 Fourth 19.3 1877	Orientale	10.0	974	7.1	567						
Maniema 6.1 593 9.2 736 Sud-Kivu 2.6 253 8.9 710 Katanga 11.3 1100 9.6 766 Kasai Oriental 10.9 1058 10.3 826 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental 0 0 736 826 Maternal education 736 736 736 None 27.5 2680 23.9 1909 Primary 41.2 4015 42.2 3369 Secondary and 31.3 3053 33.9 2709 high 75 1903 22.0 1759 Household Wealth Index 700 1953 22.0 1759 Second 19.5 1903 20.2 1609 Middle 19.6 1911 19.5 1555 Fourth 19.3 1877 20.9 1659	Nord-Kivu	7.3	708	8.7	691						
Sud-Kivu 2.6 253 8.9 710 Katanga 11.3 1100 9.6 766 Kasai Oriental 10.9 1058 10.3 826 Kasai Oriental 10.9 1058 10.3 826 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental 0 0 1058 10.3 826 Maternal education 0 0 1058 10.3 826 None 27.5 2680 23.9 1909 1999 Primary 41.2 4015 42.2 3369 369 Secondary and 31.3 3053 33.9 2709 high	Maniema	6.1	593	9.2	736						
Katanga 11.3 1100 9.6 766 Kasai Oriental 10.9 1058 10.3 826 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental 9.5 926 9.1 726 Maternal education 75 2680 23.9 1909 Primary 41.2 4015 42.2 3369 Secondary and 31.3 3053 33.9 2709 high 700 1953 22.0 1759 Household Wealth Index 700 1953 22.0 1759 Second 19.5 1903 20.2 1609 Middle 19.6 1911 19.5 1555 Fourth 19.3 1877 20.9 1669	Sud-Kivu	2.6	253	8.9	710						
Kasai Oriental 10.9 1058 10.3 826 Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental 725 2680 23.9 1909 Primary 41.2 4015 42.2 3369 Secondary and 31.3 3053 33.9 2709 high 759 2600 1759 Household Wealth Index 759 20.0 1953 22.0 1759 Second 19.5 1903 20.2 1609 1609 1609 1609 1609 1609 Middle 19.6 1911 19.5 1555	Katanga	11.3	1100	9.6	766						
Kasai 9.5 926 9.1 726 Occidental Maternal education 1909 1909 None 27.5 2680 23.9 1909 Primary 41.2 4015 42.2 3369 Secondary and 31.3 3053 33.9 2709 high 100 1953 22.0 1759 Household Wealth Index 20.0 1953 22.0 1759 Second 19.5 1903 20.2 1609 Middle 19.6 1911 19.5 1555 Fourth 19.3 1877 20.9 1669	Kasai Oriental	10.9	1058	10.3	826						
Occidental Maternal education None 27.5 2680 23.9 1909 Primary 41.2 4015 42.2 3369 Secondary and 31.3 3053 33.9 2709 high	Kasai	9.5	926	9.1	726						
Maternal education None 27.5 2680 23.9 1909 Primary 41.2 4015 42.2 3369 Secondary and 31.3 3053 33.9 2709 high	Occidental										
None 27.5 2680 23.9 1909 Primary 41.2 4015 42.2 3369 Secondary and 31.3 3053 33.9 2709 high	Maternal education	I									
Primary 41.2 4015 42.2 3369 Secondary and 31.3 3053 33.9 2709 high	None	27.5	2680	23.9	1909						
Secondary and high 31.3 3053 33.9 2709 Household Wealth Index Poorest 20.0 1953 22.0 1759 Second 19.5 1903 20.2 1609 Middle 19.6 1911 19.5 1555 Fourth 19.3 1877 20.9 1669	Primary	41.2	4015	42.2	3369						
high Household Wealth Index Poorest 20.0 1953 22.0 1759 Second 19.5 1903 20.2 1609 Middle 19.6 1911 19.5 1555 Fourth 19.3 1877 20.9 1669	Secondary and	31.3	3053	33.9	2709						
Household Wealth Index Poorest 20.0 1953 22.0 1759 Second 19.5 1903 20.2 1609 Middle 19.6 1911 19.5 1555 Fourth 19.3 1877 20.9 1669	high										
Poorest 20.0 1953 22.0 1759 Second 19.5 1903 20.2 1609 Middle 19.6 1911 19.5 1555 Fourth 19.3 1877 20.9 1669	Household Wealth	Index									
Second 19.5 1903 20.2 1609 Middle 19.6 1911 19.5 1555 Fourth 19.3 1877 20.9 1669 Distant 19.4 19.5 1255	Poorest	20.0	1953	22.0	1759						
Middle 19.6 1911 19.5 1555 Fourth 19.3 1877 20.9 1669 Diskert 0100 0100 175 1005	Second	19.5	1903	20.2	1609						
Fourth 19.3 1877 20.9 1669	Middle	19.6	1911	19.5	1555						
	Fourth	19.3	1877	20.9	1669						
Ricnest 21.6 2104 17.5 1395	Richest	21.6	2104	17.5	1395						
Total 100.0 9748 100.0 7987	Total	100.0	9748	100.0	7987						
Source: 2001DRC-MICS; 2007 DRC-DHS.	Source: 2001DRC-M	CS; 2007	DRC-DHS.								
Note: Sometime N <total due="" missing="" td="" to="" values.<=""><td>Note: Sometime N<to< td=""><td>tal due to</td><td>missing valu</td><td>es.</td><td></td></to<></td></total>	Note: Sometime N <to< td=""><td>tal due to</td><td>missing valu</td><td>es.</td><td></td></to<>	tal due to	missing valu	es.							

 Table 1
 Background characteristics of under-five children in DRC (2001 and 2007)
 in 2001 to 28% in 2007. Moreover, the proportion of under-five children by province of residence varies across surveys. In 2001, the largest proportion of children sample was from Kinshasa (14%) and in 2007 a large proportion of children came from Kasai Oriental and Kinshasa.

Trends in diarrhoea prevalence in the DRC

Table 2 describes trends in diarrhoea prevalence in the DRC from 2001 to 2007.

There is a decrease in the prevalence of diarrhoea. Overall, the prevalence of diarrhoea in the DRC declined by 26% (Z=-9.7, p<0.000) from 22% in 2001 to 16% in 2007. However, this decrease in diarrhoea prevalence is not statistically significant among children who reside in households with water alone without toilet (Z=-1.46, p=0.143).

Decomposition of diarrhoea prevalence changes in the DRC

We decompose changes in diarrhoea prevalence by maternal education, housing living conditions and household wealth index. This may contribute to the understanding on how the observed changes relate to variations in the survey population structure or to changes in public health and/or changes in behaviour. Table 3 presents results of the decomposition analysis.

Table 2 Prevalence of diarrhoea among under-five

Year		Variation (%)	nptrend test				
Background	2001	2007	2001–2007	z	p Value		
variables	1	2	3		0.000		
Maternal educ	ation						
None	22.5	15.8	-30.0	-4.24	0.000		
Primary	22.9	18.9	-17.4	-5.29	0.000		
Secondary	20.8	13.9	-33.4	-7.50	0.000		
and+							
Water and san	itation						
None	25.8	18.2	-29.3	-4.14	0.000		
Water	23.4	19.5	-16.8	-1.46	0.143		
alone							
Toilet	21.4	16.2	-24.2	-5.96	0.000		
alone							
Water and	21.6	15.9	-26.7	-6.46	0.000		
toilet							
Household wealth index							
Poorest	20.8	17.0	-18.2	-2.12	0.030		
Second	24.9	15.7	-37.0	-6.08	0.000		
Middle	23.4	16.2	-30.6	-5.65	0.000		
Fourth	23.3	18.3	-21.5	-4.82	0.000		
Richest	18.7	14.4	-22.8	-3.99	0.000		
Total (DRC)	22.1	16.4	-25.8	-9.74	0.000		

DHS, Demographic and Health Surveys; DRC, Democratic Republic of Congo; MICS, multiple indicators cluster survey.

Republic of Congo; MICS, multiple indicators cluster survey. The database contains information on 9748 children

from the 2001 MICS and 7987 children from the 2007 DHS. The characteristics of under-five children shown in table 1 reveal differences in structure across surveys except if one considers child' age and sex. For instance, the proportion of children living in households with access to clean water and to toilets decreased from 40%

	Behavioural effect					
	Base B1	Differentiation B2	Error B3	Total B	Effect of composition A	Contribution C
Maternal education						
None	-1.422	0.000	-0.243	-1.665	-0.764	43.4%
Primary	-2.317	-0.079	0.792	-1.604	0.149	26.0%
Secondary and+	-1.837	-0.125	-0.314	-2.277	0.566	30.6%
Overall	99.7%	3.6%	-4.2%	99.1%	0.9%	100.0
Water and sanitation						
None	-0.732	0.000	-0.349	-1.081	-0.447	27.3%
Water alone	-0.108	0.007	0.087	-0.014	-0.526	9.7%
Toilet alone	-3.201	0.438	-0.580	-3.343	0.945	42.8%
Water and toilet	-1.149	0.236	-0.169	-1.082	-0.050	20.2%
Overall	92.7%	-12.2%	18.0%	98.6%	1.4%	100.0
Household wealth index						
Poorest	-1.425	0.064	0.588	-0.773	0.499	4.9%
Second	-1.340	0.120	-0.605	-1.825	0.226	28.6%
Middle	-1.302	0.176	-0.245	-1.371	-0.038	25.2%
Fourth	-1.337	0.240	0.109	-0.988	0.323	11.9%
Richest	-1.270	0.286	0.185	-0.799	-0.845	29.4%
Overall	119.4%	-15.8%	-0.6%	103.0%	-3%	100.0

DHS, Demographic and Health Surveys; DRC, Democratic Republic of Congo; MICS, multiple indicators cluster survey.

In general, decomposition results indicate that changes in actual diarrhoea prevalence and/or health behaviour are the principal source of decline in diarrhoea prevalence between 2001 and 2007 regardless of the exposure variable (table 3, column B). The analysis of behavioural effect (table 3, columns B1–B3) suggests that the observed decline in diarrhoea prevalence is global (not specific to some socioeconomic characteristics). In other words, the observed changes are due to the general improvement in health behaviour in the DRC. The differentiation effect, the error terms and the composition effect are negligible.

Table 3 (column C) shows also the contribution of each socioeconomic category in the overall decrease of diarrhoea prevalence in the DRC between 2001 and 2007. Depending on the independent variable, decline in diarrhoea prevalence in the following groups have contributed more to the observed changes: Children whose mothers did not attend school (43%), among children who live in household with toilet but without access to clean water (43%) and among children living in the richest households (29%) and children living in the poorer households (29%) have contributed more to the diarrhoea decrease in the DRC between 2001 and 2007. In contrast, small contributions to the overall changes in diarrhoea prevalence are observed from children living in household with 'water alone without toilet' (10%) and from children who stay in poorest households (5%).

Fixed effects of trends in proportion of child's age, province of residence and household's living condition on trends in prevalence of diarrhoea in DRC

Table 4 displays results from fixed effects models. Overall there are no significant changes in diarrhoea prevalence associated with a variation of the population structure by maternal education, household access to clean water and sanitation as well as by household wealth index.

Table 4	Fixed effect of changes in proportion of children by selected characteristics on changes in diarrhoea prevalence in
DRC	

	β	Constant	Σ_u	Σ_ e	ρ
Change in proportion of children per maternal education	-0.1052	15.626	1.478	5.203	0.070
Change in proportion of children per living condition (access to clean water and sanitation)	-0.008	20.446	1.573	4.699	0.101
Change in proportion of children per household wealth index	-0.1546	22.363	1.691	4.922	0.106
Source: 2001DRC-MICS; 2007 DRC-DHS. ***p<0.01; **p<0.05; *p<0.1.		!			

DHS, Demographic and Health Surveys; DRC, Democratic Republic of Congo; MICS, multiple indicators cluster su

However, differences across years explain 7% of the variance in the distribution by maternal education, and about 10% if one considers under-five children's distribution by access to water and toilet and household wealth index, respectively.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

This study had a threefold objective. The first was to describe diarrhoea prevalence trends in the DRC, the second was to identify sources of observed changes in diarrhoea prevalence and the third was to assess the contribution of different categories to the observed changes. Exposure variables included maternal education, access to clean water and improved sanitation, and household wealth index. The study used data from the DRC 2001 MICS and 2007 DHS surveys.

Findings from the three complementary statistical methods (trend analysis, decomposition analysis and fixed effect regression models) are consistent. The significant decrease in diarrhoea prevalence observed in the DRC between 2001 and 2007 is regardless of socioeconomic characteristic and the results from trend analysis corroborate the absence of a composition effect revealed by the decomposition as well as no significant changes in diarrhoea prevalence associated with variation of the population structure (results from the fixed effect regression models). Likewise, these results support the decrease in under-five mortality observed in the country since 2001: 213/1000 live births in 2001⁸ and 158/1000 live births in 2010.¹⁰

Furthermore, children of mothers who never attended school, those living in households with toilet alone (without water) and children living in the poorer (second quintile) households as well as those living in the richest households have contributed more than other children to the observed decline in diarrhoea prevalence.

However, the decrease in diarrhoea prevalence in the DRC as well as the decline in child mortality contrast with the generalised humanitarian crisis, deterioration in environmental conditions and population poverty observed in the country in the same study period. The country is among the lowest-ranked nations in the 2011 Global Peace Index (148th of 153 countries).²⁷ Since 1996, the DRC has been hit by conflict, which has devastated and destabilised the country. People continue to live in crisis conditions in many parts of the country. The eastern provinces (Orientale, Katanga, Maniema, Nord Kivu and Sud Kivu) are afflicted by violence.

Moreover, the DRC's 2010 Human Development Index (HDI) is estimated at 0.239, which gives the country a rank of 168 of 169 countries with comparable data despite numerous natural resources.²⁸ Overall, the majority of people do not have access to clean drinking water (54%) and improved sanitation (77%).¹³ With reference to data used in this study, the proportion of children living in households with access to clean water and

improved sanitation diminished from 40% in 2001 to 28% in 2007.

Two hypotheses could explain the observed discrepancies between the living conditions and changes in diarrhoea prevalence in the DRC. First, there may be some real improvement in health behaviour, particularly the use of boiled drinking water and adequate washing of hands after contact with adult and child stools. However, we cannot test such hypothesis because of lack of data.

Second, there may be some issues with data quality. In conflict situations, it might be very difficult to collect reliable data. For instance, during the 2001 MICS, in the Eastern part, often interviewers had to stop their work and resume after several days. Rebels arrested a fieldworker for more than 6 weeks (MICS2) (8)Furthermore, diarrhoea prevalence is based on selfreporting. Mothers or caregivers can mis-declare diarrhoea prevalence according to her understanding of diarrhoea definition in the local language. Also, duration of data collection varies considerably by province (1 month in Kinshasa and about 2 months in Nord-Kivu and Katanga for the 2001 MICS). In addition, the distribution of children by some socioeconomic characteristics varies across survey. This is probably due to the use of an old national sampling frame from the 1984 Census. However, the methods used (decomposition and fixed effect regression models) control for variation in proportion during analyses.

In conclusion, childhood diarrhoea remains a public health problem in the DRC despite the observed decline. It is noteworthy that the overall significant decrease in diarrhoea prevalence in the DRC is in contrast to the poor living conditions observed in the same period. Our study suggests further studies at the district or province levels to validate findings from national household surveys such as DHS and MICS considering the conflict context of the country when these data were collected and the continuing degradation of the country's socioeconomic and transport infrastructure and security. We hope that the next census in preparation will provide a more comprehensive sampling frame. However, improvement in access to clean water and improved sanitation will contribute to accelerate reduction of diarrhoea prevalence as well as reduction of child mortality.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Population and Development studies, University of Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo

 ²CEPS/INSTEAD, University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg
 ³Division of Health Sciences, Populations, Evidence and Technologies Group, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK
 ⁴Malaria Public Health and Epidemiology Group, Centre for Geographic Medicine, KEMRI-University of Oxford-Wellcome Trust Collaborative Programme, Nairobi, Kenya

Acknowledgements The authors thank Macro international and UNICEF for providing free the 2007 DHS data-sets for the DR Congo and MICS 1-2.

Contributors JBOE participated in conception and design, literature review, data analysis and interpretation, drafting the article, critical revisions for important intellectual content and approval of final article for submission.

KNB participated in conception and design, interpretation of results, critical revisions for important intellectual content and approval of final article for submission.

Funding This research was supported by the British Council under the DelPHE (Development Partnership in Higher Education) scheme (Grant No 788).

Competing interests None.

Patient consent Obtained.

Ethics approval Ministry of Plan, DRC.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement The data can be found at this website www. measuredhs.com

REFERENCES

- Black RE, Morris SS, Bryce J. Where and why are 10 million children dying every year? *Lancet* 2003;361:2–10.
- 2. UNICEF. The State of the World's Children 2008. New York: UNICEF, 2008.
- 3. UNICEF & WHO. *Diarrhoea: why children are still dying and what can be done.* New York: UNICEF & WHO, 2009.
- Daniels DL, Cousens SN, Makoae LN, *et al.* A case-control study of the impact of improved sanitation on diarrhea morbidity in Lesotho. *Bull World Health Organ* 1990;68:455–63.
- MacDougall J, McGahey C. Three community-based environmental sanitation and hygiene projects conducted in the Democratic Republic of Congo. New York: USAID, 2003.
- 6. http://www.easterncongo.org/mnch(20 Sep, 2012).
- http://www.who.int/gho/child_health/en/index.html (12 Oct, 2012).
 Congo RD, UNICEF & USAID. Enquête nationale sur la situation des enfants et des femmes MICS2/2001. Rapport d'analyse.
- Kinshasa: République Démocratique du Congo, 2002.
 République Démocratique du Congo. Enquête Démographique et de santé, Rapport final. Calverton: Macro International Inc, 2008.
- Institut National de la Statistique et Fonds des Nations Unies pour l'Enfance. Enquête par Grappes a Indicateurs Multiples en République Démocratique du Congo (MICS-RDC 2010), Rapport final. Kinshasa: INS and UNICEF, 2011.
- République du Zaïre. Enquête nationale sur la situation des enfants et des femmes au Zaïre en 1995. Rapport final. Kinshasa: UNICEF & Ministère du Plan, 1996.

- 12. Kandala N-b, Emina JB, Nzita Pd, *et al.* Diarrhoea, accute respiratory infection, and fever among children in the Democratic Republic of Congo. *Soc Sci Med* 2009:1728–36.
- WHO & UNICEF. Progress on sanitation and drinking water. 2010 Update. New York, Geneva: WHO-UNICEF, 2010.
- Curtis V, Cairncross S, Yonli R. Domestic hygiene and diarrhoea—pinpointing the problem. *Trop Med Int Health* 2000;5:22–32.
- Mosley WH, Chen LC. Child survival strategies for research. *Popul Dev Rev* 1984;10:25–45.
- Esrey SA, Habicht JP. Epidemiological evidence for health benefits from improved water and sanitation in developing countries. *Epidemiol Rev* 1986;8:117–28.
- Esrey SA, Potash JB, Roberts L, *et al.* Effects of improved water supply and sanitation on ascariasis, diarrhoea, dracunculiasis, hookworm infection, schistosomiasis, and trachoma. *Bull World Health Organ* 1991;69:609–21.
- Fewtrell L, Kaufmann RB, Kay D, et al. Water, sanitation, and hygiene interventions to reduce diarrhoea in less developed countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2005;5:42–52.
- http://www.wssinfo.org/definitions-methods/watsan-categories (18 Oct 2012).
- Huuhtanen S, Laukkanen A. A guide to sanitation and hygiene for those working in developing countries. Tampere: University of Applied Sciences Publications, 2006.
- 21. http://www.measuredhs.com(accessed 20 June 2012).
- 22. http://www.childinfo.org (accessed 20 June 2012).
- Filmer D, Pritchett LH. Estimating wealth effects without expenditure data-or tears: an application to educational enrollments in states of India. *Demography* 2001;38:115–32.
- 24. http://www.ats.ucla.edu/stat/stata/faq/test_trend.htm (accessed 20 June 2012).
- Eloundou-Enyengue P, Giroux S. Comprendre le changement social. Apport des méthodes de décomposition, support technique, panel UIESP sur le renforcement de la formation démographique en Afrique francophone. Yaoundé: UIESP, 2010.
- Romo V. Decomposition analysis in demography. PhD dissertation. Groningen, the Netherlands: Rijksuniversiteit Groningen, 2003.
- Institute for Economics and Peace. The 2011 Global Peace Index. Methodology, results and findings. Sydney: Institute for Economics and Peace, 2011.
- UNDP. Human Development Report 2010. The Real Wealth of Nations: pathways to human development. New York: UNDP, 2010.