

# 

**Citation**: Iwasaki M, Minder P, Cai Y, Kuhn JH, Yates JR, III, Torbett BE, et al. (2018) Interactome analysis of the lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus nucleoprotein in infected cells reveals ATPase Na<sup>+</sup>/ K<sup>+</sup> transporting subunit Alpha 1 and prohibitin as host-cell factors involved in the life cycle of mammarenaviruses. PLoS Pathog 14(2): e1006892. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. ppat.1006892

Editor: Sean P. J. Whelan, Harvard Medical School, UNITED STATES

Received: August 9, 2017

Accepted: January 22, 2018

Published: February 20, 2018

**Copyright:** This is an open access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the <u>Creative</u> Commons CC0 public domain dedication.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This research was supported by the US National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) (https://www.niaid.nih.gov/) grants RO1 AI77719 and R21 AI128556 to JCT, 2P30 AI036214 to BET, and the US National Institute of RESEARCH ARTICLE

Interactome analysis of the lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus nucleoprotein in infected cells reveals ATPase Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> transporting subunit Alpha 1 and prohibitin as host-cell factors involved in the life cycle of mammarenaviruses

Masaharu Iwasaki<sup>1</sup>, Petra Minder<sup>1</sup>, Yíngyún Caì<sup>2</sup>, Jens H. Kuhn<sup>2</sup>, John R. Yates III<sup>3</sup>, Bruce E. Torbett<sup>1</sup>, Juan C. de la Torre<sup>1</sup>\*

1 Department of Immunology and Microbiology, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California, United States of America, **2** Integrated Research Facility at Fort Detrick, Division of Clinical Research, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Frederick, Maryland, United States of America, **3** Department of Molecular Medicine, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California, United States of America

\* juanct@scripps.edu

# Abstract

Several mammalian arenaviruses (mammarenaviruses) cause hemorrhagic fevers in humans and pose serious public health concerns in their endemic regions. Additionally, mounting evidence indicates that the worldwide-distributed, prototypic mammarenavirus, lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV), is a neglected human pathogen of clinical significance. Concerns about human-pathogenic mammarenaviruses are exacerbated by of the lack of licensed vaccines, and current anti-mammarenavirus therapy is limited to off-label use of ribavirin that is only partially effective. Detailed understanding of virus/host-cell interactions may facilitate the development of novel anti-mammarenavirus strategies by targeting components of the host-cell machinery that are required for efficient virus multiplication. Here we document the generation of a recombinant LCMV encoding a nucleoprotein (NP) containing an affinity tag (rLCMV/Strep-NP) and its use to capture the NP-interactome in infected cells. Our proteomic approach combined with genetics and pharmacological validation assays identified ATPase Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> transporting subunit alpha 1 (ATP1A1) and prohibitin (PHB) as pro-viral factors. Cell-based assays revealed that ATP1A1 and PHB are involved in different steps of the virus life cycle. Accordingly, we observed a synergistic inhibitory effect on LCMV multiplication with a combination of ATP1A1 and PHB inhibitors. We show that ATP1A1 inhibitors suppress multiplication of Lassa virus and Candid#1, a live-attenuated vaccine strain of Junín virus, suggesting that the requirement of ATP1A1 in virus multiplication is conserved among genetically distantly related mammarenaviruses. Our findings suggest that clinically approved inhibitors of ATP1A1, like digoxin, could be repurposed to treat infections by mammarenaviruses pathogenic for humans.

General Medical Sciences (NIGMS) (https://www. nigms.nih.gov/Pages/default.aspx) 8 P41 GM103533 to JY and by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (http://www.jsps.go.jp/ english/), Daiichi Sankyo Foundation of Life Science (http://www.ds-fdn.or.jp/index.html), and KANAE Foundation for the Promotion of Medical Science (http://www.kanae-zaidan.com/index.html) to MI. This work was also funded in part through Battelle Memorial Institute's prime contract with the US National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) under Contract No. HHSN272200700016I. Subcontractors to Battelle Memorial Institute who performed this work are: YC and JHK, employees of Tunnell Government Services, Inc. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### Author summary

Viral hemorrhagic fever-causing mammalian viruses of the family *Arenaviridae* pose serious threats to humans in Africa and South America as the associated infections are highly lethal. The worldwide-distributed lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) is a relative of these dangerous viruses that can be worked with more safely in the laboratory. Although LCMV does not cause viral hemorrhagic fever, it can cause disease in humans. Currently, anti-arenavirus therapy options are very limited, not very effective, and associated with side effects. Development of new therapies has been hampered because knowledge on how arenaviruses interact with proteins of the host cells they infect is limited. Using a modified LCMV, we identified two host-cell proteins called ATPase Na+/K+ transporting subunit alpha 1 (ATP1A1) and prohibitin (PHB) as factors that promote arenavirus infection. Inhibitors of ATP1A1 (cardiac glycosides already used clinically for treatment of other diseases) suppressed multiplication in cell culture of Lassa virus and Junín virus, the two most significant viral hemorrhagic fever-causing mammarenaviruses. Therefore, our data suggest that these inhibitors could be used clinically to treat people infected with arenaviruses.

#### Introduction

Mammarenaviruses (Arenaviridae: Mammarenavirus) cause chronic infections of rodents worldwide [1]. Invasion of human dwellings by infected rodents can result in human infections through mucosal exposure to aerosols or by direct contact of abraded skin with infectious material. Several mammarenaviruses cause viral hemorrhagic fevers (VHFs) in humans and pose important public health problems in their endemic areas [2-6]. Mammarenaviruses are classified into two main groups, Old World (OW) and New World (NW) [1]. The OW Lassa virus (LASV), causative agent of Lassa fever (LF), is the most significant OW mammarenaviral pathogen. LASV is estimated to infect several hundred thousand individuals annually in Western Africa, resulting in a high number of LF cases associated with high morbidity and lethality. Moreover, LASV endemic regions are expanding [7], and the association of the recently identified mammarenavirus Lujo virus with a VHF outbreak in Southern Africa [8, 9] has raised concerns about the emergence of novel VHF-causing mammarenaviruses. The most significant NW mammarenavirus is Junín virus (JUNV), which causes Argentinian hemorrhagic fever [10]. The worldwide-distributed OW mammarenavirus lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) is a neglected human pathogen of clinical significance especially in congenital infections [11-15]. Moreover, LCMV poses a particular threat to immunocompromised individuals, as has been illustrated by fatal cases of LCMV infection associated with organ transplants [16, 17]. However, LCMV research can be safely performed at BSL-2 containment, rather than the BSL-4 containment necessary for live LASV or JUNV research [18].

No US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-licensed vaccines are available for the treatment of arenavirus infections, although a live attenuated vaccine strain of JUNV, Candid#1, is licensed in Argentina. Likewise, current anti-mammarenavirus therapy is limited to an offlabel use of the nucleoside analogue ribavirin that is only partially effective and can cause significant side effects [19–21]. Development of effective anti-mammarenavirus drugs has been hampered by the lack of detailed understanding of virus/host-cell interactions required for mammarenavirus multiplication that could represent amenable targets for antiviral therapy. Such therapies include repurposing of already existing, FDA-approved drugs with strong safety profiles.

Mammarenaviruses produce enveloped virions containing bi-segmented ambisense RNA genomes [1]. Each genome segment, large (L) and small S (small), directs the synthesis of two proteins from open readings frames that are separated by a non-coding intergenic region (IGR) [1]. The S RNA segment encodes the viral nucleoprotein (NP) and glycoprotein precursor (GPC). GPC is co-translationally cleaved by signal peptidase to generate a stable signal peptide (SSP) and is post-translationally processed by site 1 protease (S1P) to generate the mature virion surface glycoproteins GP<sub>1</sub> and GP<sub>2</sub>. These mature glycoproteins together with SSP form the GP complex that mediates virion receptor recognition and cell entry. The L RNA segment encodes the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (L) and the matrix really interesting new gene (RING) finger protein Z, which is bona fide matrix protein analogous to those found in many negative-sense RNA viruses [22, 23].

Mammarenavirus NP is a multifunctional protein that is involved in different steps of the virus life cycle. A primary role of NP is to encapsidate the viral genomic and antigenomic RNAs to form the nucleocapsid templates. These templates together with L form the virus ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex that is responsible for directing the biosynthetic processes of virus RNA replication and gene transcription. In addition, the C-terminal region of NP contains distinct functional domains involved in interaction with Z, which regulates viral RNA synthesis and may facilitate virion budding [24]. NP also counteracts the host-cell type I interferon (IFN-I) response to infection by blocking the activation of interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) through the retinoic acid inducible gene-I (RIG-I) and mitochondrial antiviral signaling (MAVS) pathway [25, 26]. NP's IFN-I antagonistic activity has been associated with the 3'-5' exoribonuclease functional domain of the DEDDh family located within the NP carboxyl-terminus [25, 27–31]. In addition, amino acid residues located outside the enzyme active site critically contribute to the anti-INF-I activity of the NP of the New World mammarenavirus Tacaribe (TCRV) [32]

Although NP plays central roles in mammarenavirus multiplication and counteracting the anti-viral host defense, there is limited information about how the interaction of NP with host cell proteins may contribute to NP activities. To fill this knowledge gap and identify potential novel host-cell targets that could be exploited for therapeutic purposes, we generated a recombinant LCMV encoding a Strep-tagged NP (rLCMV/Strep-NP) to capture the NP-interactome in LCMV-infected cells. Our proteomics approach combined with genetics and pharmacological studies identified ATPase  $Na^+/K^+$  transporting subunit alpha 1 (ATP1A1) and prohibitin (PHB) as pro-viral factors. Use of RNA interference (RNAi)-mediated knock-down and pharmacological inhibitors of ATP1A1 and PHB reduced virus multiplication significantly. Cellbased assays revealed that inhibitors of ATP1A1 and PHB targeted different steps of the virus life cycle. Accordingly, we observed a synergistic inhibitory effect on LCMV multiplication using inhibitors to ATP1A1 and PHB. Importantly, ATP1A1 inhibitors (cardiac glycosides), which are currently being used in the clinic, suppressed multiplication of LASV and JUNV, suggesting that the requirement of ATP1A1 for LCMV multiplication is conserved among genetically distantly related mammarenaviruses. Thus, repurposing cardiac glycosides to treat infections by human pathogenic arenaviruses may be possible.

#### Results

#### Generation and characterization of rLCMV/Strep-NP and r3LCMV/ eGFP-Strep

Plasmid-mediated expression of viral genes in transfected cells has been often used successfully to identify virus-host-cell protein-protein interactions (VH PPI). However, this approach has

the potential problem that overexpression of a single viral gene product may potentiate PPI interactions that are not relevant during the course of a natural virus infection. To overcome this issue, we designed a recombinant LCMV (rLCMV) encoding a tandem [WSHPQFEK (GGGS)<sub>3</sub>WSHPQFEK] Strep-tag fused to the amino-terminus of NP (rLCMV/Strep-NP) (Fig **1A and 1B**). To facilitate the identification of specific PPI between NP and host cell proteins, we used our mammarenavirus tri-segmented (r3) platform [30] to design an r3LCMV expressing a C-terminus Strep-tag version of enhanced green fluorescent protein (r3LCMV/eGFP-Strep) that we used as a negative control (Fig 1A and 1B). We rescued rLCMV/Strep-NP and r3LCMV/eGFP-Strep and confirmed the expression of strep-tagged NP and eGFP in rLCMV/ Strep-NP- and r3LCMV/eGFP-Strep-infected cells, respectively (Fig 1C). Next, we examined the growth properties of rLCMV/Strep-NP and r3LCMV/eGFP-Strep in three different cells lines from hamsters, humans, and nonhuman primates (BHK-21, A549, and Vero E6 cells, respectively) (Fig 1D). The fitness of rLCMV/Strep-NP and r3LCMV/eGFP-Strep was modestly decreased compared to that observed with wild-type (WT) Armstrong (rLCMV ARM) and Clone 13 (rLCMV Cl-13) strains of LCMV. However, both rLCMV/Strep-NP and r3LCMV/eGFP-Strep had WT-like growth kinetics and reached high titers. As with WT LCMV, infection with rLCMV/Strep-NP prevented production of bioactive IFN-I by cells in response to Sendai virus (SeV) infection as determined using an IFN bioassay based on protection against the cytopathic effect (CPE) induced by infection with vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) (Fig 1E). Vero cells treated for 16 h with tissue cultured supernatants (TCS) from A549 cells infected first with WT LCMV or rLCMV/Strep, followed by 24 h infection with SeV, remained fully susceptible to VSV- induced CPE. In contrast, Vero cells treated with TCS from A549 cells infected with rLCMV/NP(D382A), a mutant unable to prevent induction of IFN-I [30], and subsequently with SeV, were protected against VSV induced CPE.

#### Identification of NP-interacting host-cell proteins in LCMV-infected cells

We selected the human A549 cell line because lung epithelial cells are one of the initial cell targets of humans following inhalation of mammarenavirions. We infected A549 cells (multiplicity of infection [MOI] = 0.1) with either rLCMV/Strep-NP or r3LCMV/eGFP-Strep (**Fig 2A**). At 48 h post-inoculation (pi), we prepared total cell lysates for pull-down (PD) assays using a sepharose resin coated with strep-tactin. Aliquots of the protein complexes present in the PD samples were fractionated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) (**Fig 2B**) followed by SYPRO Ruby protein gel staining. We compared the pattern of stained protein bands detected between rLCMV/Strep-NP- and r3LCMV/eGFP-Strepinfected samples and confirmed the presence of Strep-NP and eGFP-Strep in pertinent samples (**Fig 2B**). Protein complexes in the rest of eluates from the PD samples were concentrated by trichloroacetic acid (TCA) precipitation and subjected to trypsin digestion (**Fig 2A**). Digested peptides were subjected to liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis using a hybrid mass spectrometer consisting of linear quadrupole ion dual cell trap (LTQ) Velos and an Orbitrap analyser.

We classified host-cell proteins identified by LC-MS/MS analysis from two independent biological replicates into two groups: 1) proteins found only in Strep-NP PD samples with at least five spectral counts (Table 1), and 2) proteins found in both Strep-NP and eGFP-Strep PD samples with five or higher spectral counts in Strep-NP samples and at least 2-fold higher spectral counts in Strep-NP PD compared to eGFP PD samples (Table 2). Filtering the data using these criteria resulted in identification of 139 candidate host-cell proteins as NP-interacting partners. Among 53 proteins found present in both NP- and eGFP-PD samples, 36 had spectral counts in the NP-PD sample that were less than two-fold higher than their



Fig 1. Generation and characterization of rLCMV/Strep-NP and r3LCMV/eGFP-Strep. (A, B) Schematic of the genome organization of rLCMVs expressing Strep-NP or eGFP-Strep (A) and amino acid composition of N- and C-terminus regions of Strep-NP and eGFP-strep, respectively (B). (C)

Expression of Strep-tagged proteins. A549 cells seeded  $(5.0 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/well})$  in a 6-well plate and cultured overnight were infected (MOI = 0.1) with the indicated rLCMVs. At 48 h pi, total cell lysates were prepared, and protein expression was analyzed by western blotting. **(D)** Growth properties of rLCMV expressing Strep-tagged proteins. BHK-21 ( $1.75 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/well}$ ), A549 ( $1.25 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/well}$ ), or Vero E6 ( $1.25 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/well}$ ) cells seeded in 24-well plates and cultured overnight were infected (MOI = 0.01) with the indicated rLCMVs. At the indicated times pi, TCSs were collected and virus titers determined by IFFA. Results represent means  $\pm$  SD of the results of three independent experiments. **(E)** Lack of induction of IFN-I in cells infected with rLCMV/Strep-NP. A549 cells were infected (MOI = 0.1) with the indicated rLCMV or mock-infected, and 36 h later infected with SeV (MOI = 1). At 24 h pi with SeV, TCS were collected and used, following virus inactivation by U.V., to treat Vero E6 cells for 16 h, followed by infection with rVSV (MOI = 0.1) [rVSV(+)] or mock-infection [rVSV(-)]. At 24 h pi with rVSV, cells were fixed with 4% PFA and stained with crystal violet to assess rVSV-induced cytopathic effect. We used as control rLCMV/NP(D382A) that contains mutation D382A within NP, which has been shown to abrogate the NP's ability to counteract the induction of IFN-I production.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006892.g001

corresponding spectral counts in the eGFP-PD sample (Fig 2C and S1 Table). Based on the criteria we described above, we considered these proteins to be highly unlikely specific NP-interactors with an involvement in the LCMV life cycle, and therefore we did not consider these hits for further analysis. However, we acknowledge that we cannot formally rule out that some of these hits could still play a role on the life cycle of LCMV.

The protein annotation through evolutionary relationship (PANTHER) protein family classification (Biological Process) of the NP-interacting protein candidates revealed that a large number of proteins were involved in metabolic and cellular processes (Fig 2D). We also analyzed the molecular functions of the NP-interacting protein candidates according to the PAN-THER protein profile classification (Fig 2E), which revealed diversified biochemical functions enriched for nucleic acid-binding proteins and chaperones.

#### Effect of RNAi-mediated knock down expression of NP-interacting hostcell proteins on LCMV multiplication

To initially assess pro- or anti-viral roles of NP-interacting host-cell proteins identified by LC-MS/MS, we examined the effect of small interfering RNA (siRNA)-mediated knockdown (kd) of each of the corresponding genes on multiplication of rLCMV expressing reporter gene ZsGreen (ZsG) in A549 cells (Fig 3A). The siRNAs we used were from the genome-wide ON TARGET-Plus (OTP) Human siRNA library (18,301 genes, 4 siRNAs/gene). OTPs are latest generation of siRNAs and offer significant advantages over previous generations. Off-target effects are primarily driven by antisense strand microRNA (miR)-like seed activity. In OTPs, the sense strand is modified to favor antisense strand uptake whereas the antisense strand seed region is modified to drastically reduce seed-related off-targeting [33]. In addition, OTPs are designed on the foundation of the SMARTselection algorithm (Dharmacon), widely considered to be the best algorithm for rational siRNA design strategy. Numerous host-cell factors showed an anti-LCMV effect (increased ZsG expression by kd of the genes), including microtubule-associated protein 1B (MAP1B) [34], which has been shown to inhibit multiplication of other viruses. Host-cell factors exhibiting pro-viral activity (decreased ZsG expression by kd of the gene) included ATP1A1 and PHB, which are involved in multiplication of a variety of viruses including Ebola virus [35], coronaviruses [36], hepatitis C virus (HCV) [37], influenza A virus (FLUAV) subtype H5N1 [38], dengue virus 2 (DENV-2) [39], and chikungunya virus (CHIKV) [40].

To begin assessing the potential biological implications of the identified NP-host cell protein interactions, we selected ATP1A1 and PHB given the availability of reagents, existing knowledge about their roles in cell physiology, and evidence of participation in multiplication of other viruses. We confirmed that cells transfected with siRNA specific to ATP1A1 and PHB exhibited the predicted reduced levels in ATP1A1 and PHB protein expression (Fig 3B). Likewise, we examined whether siRNA-mediated reduced expression levels of ZsGreen correlated with reduced LCMV progeny titers. For this, we transfected A549 cells with siRNA targeting



LC-MS/MS	analysis
----------	----------

D	Biological Process Category name (Accession)	# genes	Percent of gene hit against total # genes	Percent of gene hit against total # Process hits
	metabolic process (GO:0008152)	72	53.30%	34.10%
	cellular process (GO:0009987)	70	51.90%	33.20%
	cellular component organization or biogenesis (GO:0071840)	34	25.20%	16.10%
	localization (GO:0051179)	10	7.40%	4.70%
	response to stimulus (GO:0050896)	7	5.20%	3.30%
	multicellular organismal process (GO:0032501)	6	4.40%	2.80%
	biological regulation (GO:0065007)	5	3.70%	2.40%
	developmental process (GO:0032502)	5	3.70%	2.40%
	immune system process (GO:0002376)	2	1.50%	0.90%

Ε	Protein Class Category name (Accession)	# genes	Percent of gene hit against total # genes	Percent of gene hit against total # Protein Class hits
	nucleic acid binding (PC00171)	42	31.10%	36.50%
	chaperone (PC00072)	12	8.90%	10.40%
	hydrolase (PC00121)	11	8.10%	9.60%
	cytoskeletal protein (PC00085)	10	7.40%	8.70%
	enzyme modulator (PC00095)	9	6.70%	7.80%
	transporter (PC00227)	7	5.20%	6.10%
	oxidoreductase (PC00176)	4	3.00%	3.50%
	ligase (PC00142)	4	3.00%	3.50%
	transferase (PC00220)	3	2.20%	2.60%
	calcium-binding protein (PC00060)	3	2.20%	2.60%
	structural protein (PC00211)	3	2.20%	2.60%
	membrane traffic protein (PC00150)	2	1.50%	1.70%
	transfer/carrier protein (PC00219)	2	1.50%	1.70%
	transcription factor (PC00218)	2	1.50%	1.70%
	cell junction protein (PC00070)	1	0.70%	0.90%

**Fig 2. LC-MS/MS analysis of NP-binding proteins. (A)** Flow chart of the experimental approach to identify NP-interacting host-cell proteins in LCMV-infected cells. A549 cells prepared in six 15-cm dishes (total of  $1.0 \times 10^8$  cells) were infected (MOI = 0.1) with either rLCMV/Strep-NP or r3LCMV/eGFP. At 48 h pi, total cell lysates were prepared, and NP- or eGFP-interacting proteins were pulled down (PD) using Streptactin-coated sepharose resin. Protein complexes bound to the resin were eluted using 2.5 mM of desthiobiotin. Eluates were precipitated using TCA followed by trypsin digestion. Tryptic peptides were subjected to LC-MS/MS analysis. **(B)** Detection of proteins present in PD samples. Protein complexes present in PD samples were separated by SDS-PAGE and visualized by SYPRO staining. Some protein bands present only in the Strep-NP PD sample are indicated by asterisks. **(C)** Venn diagram of the NP- and eGFP-interacting proteins identified by LC-MS/MS analysis of the NP-interacting proteins identified by LC-MS/MS. Bioinformatic analysis by PANTHER was performed showing the number of genes of identified NP-interacting proteins classified by biological process **(D)** and protein class **(E)**.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006892.g002

ATP1A1 or with NC siRNA 72 h prior to infection with rLCMV/ZsG. We found that siRNAmediated kd of ATP1A1 dramatically inhibited ZsGreen expression (Fig 3Ci), which was associated with a significant reduction of infectious LCMV progeny (Fig 3Cii). Our attempts to see interaction between NP and ATP1A1 or NP and PHB by co-immunoprecipitation were unsuccessful. Several possibilities could account for this, including interactions of low affinity or high on/off rate or both. Another consideration is that only a minor fraction of NP might be engaged in the interaction with a given host cell protein, and therefore, detection of these interactions would require highly sensitive methods such as LC-MS/MS. To overcome this problem we used confocal microscopy to examine the co-localization of NP with ATP1A1 and PHB in LCMV-infected cells. Weighted co-localization coefficients (CC), determined by taking into consideration the brightness of each channel signal, were significantly higher than non-weighted CC, indicating the presence of brighter pixels in the co-localized regions compared to the non-co-localized regions (Fig 4).

# Effect of pharmacological inhibition of ATP1A1 and PHB on LCMV multiplication

The cardiac glycoside ouabain is an inhibitor of ATP1A1 that has been used to treat congestive heart failure in European countries [41]. The PHB inhibitor rocaglamide is a flavagline from an *Aglaia* tree used in traditional Chinese medicine [42] that has potent anticancer activity [43]. To examine whether pharmacological inhibition of ATP1A1 or PHB inhibited LCMV multiplication, we pretreated human (A549 and HEK 293T), nonhuman primate (Vero E6), and rodent (murine L929 and hamster BHK-21) cells with ouabain or rocaglamide and infected them with rLCMV/eGFP (**S1 Fig**). Ouabain treatment resulted in a strong dose-dependent inhibition of eGFP expression in infected human- and nonhuman primate cells, but did not affect eGFP expression intensity in infected rodent cells (**S1A Fig**). This finding is consistent with rodents expressing an ATP1A1 allele that is resistant to ouabain inhibition [44].

Likewise, we observed a dose-dependent rocaglamide inhibition of eGFP expression in all cell lines infected with rLCMV/eGFP (S1B Fig). Consistent with these findings, production of infectious LCMV progeny was reduced by treatment with either ouabain or rocaglamide (Fig 5A) within a concentration range that had minimal impact on cell viability (Fig 5B). To examine the correlation between efficacy and cytotoxicity of these compounds, we determined their therapeutic index (TI =  $CC_{50}/IC_{50}$ ). Ouabain had TIs of 4.99 ( $CC_{50} = 28.9$  nM,  $IC_{50} = 5.79$  nM [log10–8.237M]) and 3.81 ( $CC_{50} = 70.0$  nM,  $IC_{50} = 18.4$  nM) in A549 and Vero E6 cells, respectively (Fig 5Bi); whereas rocaglamide had TIs of >105 ( $CC_{50} > 1000$  nM,  $IC_{50} = 9.51$  nM) and 10.3 ( $CC_{50} = 100$  nM,  $IC_{50} = 9.75$  nM) in A549 and Vero E6 cells, respectively (Fig 5Bii). Moreover, the ATP1A1 antagonist inhibitor, bufalin, also exhibited robust anti-LCMV activity with TIs of 8.92 ( $CC_{50} = 16.4$  nM,  $IC_{50} = 1.85$  nM) and 5.90 ( $CC_{50} = 73.8$  nM,  $IC_{50} = 12.5$  nM) in A549 and Vero E6 cells, respectively (S2 Fig). Multiplication of vesicular stomatitis Indiana virus (VSV) was not significantly affected by either ouabain (10 nM) or

#### Table 1. List of identified host-cell proteins found only in NP-strep pull down samples with at least five spectral counts.

nemper protein labouit heatlengthlengthlengthlengthlengthT-complex protein labouit addaCCI3P4980349320T-complex protein labouit gamm.CCI3P4980348121T-complex protein labouit gamm.CCI3P4980348121T-complex protein labouit gamm.CCI3P4980348121T-complex protein labouit pathTCPIP17871241416T-complex protein labouit pathCCI5P40423349348T-complex protein labouit pathCCI7P4643407468349T-complex protein labouit pathTUBUAQ18860.01348348Maha-schina-ATUBUAQ18860.01348348Maha-schina-BP1801Q18740.021348348Maha-schina-BP1801Q1874Q161210348Maha-schina-BP1801Q1874Q161Q12241Maha-schina-BP1801Q1874Q161Q12Q12Maha-schina-BP1801Q1874Q161Q12Q12Maha-schina-BP1801Q1874Q161Q12Q12Maha-schina-BP1801Q1814Q12Q12Q12Maha-schina-BP1801Q181Q14Q12Q12Maha-schina-BP1801Q181Q14Q12Q12Maha-schina-BP1801Q184Q14Q12Q12Maha-schina-BP1801Q	Description	Gene Symbol	Accession		Spectral count	
"complex protein 1 subunit dataCCT8P59991947320323T complex protein 1 subunit dataCCT3P19488A43I 233T complex protein 1 subunit dataCCT3Q19482A54I 66I 15T complex protein 1 subunit dataCCT1Q19842A54I 67I 51T complex protein 1 subunit dataCCT6AP40227I 62A64A53T complex protein 1 subunit dataCCT6AP40237I 62I 66A53T complex protein 1 subunit dataCCT6P4831A9I 62I 68T complex protein 1 subunit dataCCT6P4843A9I 68I 68T complex protein 1 subunit dataCCT6P4843A9I 68I 68Apha schini-4FUBAQ1885A6I 68I 68I 68Nakar cap-binding protein subunit 1CTFP1Q1961I 61I 68I 68S (palsamic/PMG) internating protein ShaRPSAP08865I 61I 68I 68S (palsamic/PMG) internating protein ShRPSAP08865I 61I 68I 68<				Exp. 1	Exp. 2	Ave.
T-complex protein 1 subunit gammaCCT4P5991949940940T-complex protein 1 subunit gammaCCT3P49368343123T-complex protein 1 subunit gahaTCP1P17972441161785T-complex protein 1 subunit gahaTCP1P17972441161785T-complex protein 1 subunit gataCCT6AP402710126641835T-complex protein 1 subunit gataCCT5P4864307226641845T-complex protein 1 subunit gataCCT5P486430722865144865Tabulin beta-2A chainTUB82AQ1885Q18364365145365Spha-actinia-4CCT8P48643Q17660121865Flaarin bFLNBQ71576G0Q11405405Stedar cap-binding protein subunit 1CNTP1Q71576G0Q12405Stedar cap-binding protein subunit 1CNTP1Q71576G0Q12405Stedar cap-binding protein subunit 1CNTP1Q71576G0Q12205Stedar cap-binding protein subunit 1CNTP1Q71576G0Q12205Stedar cap-binding protein subunit 910KK11010060218Q14Q135205Stedar cap-binding protein Subunit Cap-binding Protein Subunit 910KK11010060218Q14Q125Stedar cap-binding protein Subunit Cap-binding Protein Subunit 910KK11010Q124Q125Stedar c	T-complex protein 1 subunit theta	CCT8	P50990	467	320	393.5
T-complex protein 1 subunit agumaCCT3P49368343123223T-complex protein 1 subunit aghaTCP1P179972.4111.617.55T-complex protein 1 subunit aghaTCP1P179972.4111.617.55T-complex protein 1 subunit aghaCCI6AP402271026.66.75T-complex protein 1 subunit aghaCCI5P486439.796.21.86T-complex protein 1 subunit agianCCI5P486439.796.21.86T-complex protein 1 subunit agianCCI5P486439.762.68.85Tubulin beta 2A chainCCI5P486439.762.61.88.65Tubulin beta 2A chainCCI5P486439.756.61.44.8Nackar cap-binding protein subunit 1NCRP1Q01613.13.64.5Yophasmic FARL-interacting protein 1CYIPIPQ15766.61.43.3Ado-kor orductase family 1 member 810A.KH1110O602132.94.43.13Ado-kor orductase family 1 member 810A.KP3AP102474.22.22.5Sarcoplexmic-indoplasmic ericulum calcium ATPase 2ATP2A2P16153.12.4Prolubel ATP dependent RNA helicase DDX6DDX6P20964.42.22.5Sarcoplexmic-indoplasmic ericulum calcium ATPase 2ATP2A2P16153.12.42.15Sh Shoomal protein S17KRM2P18903.66.252.53.63.62.5	T-complex protein 1 subunit delta	CCT4	P50991	394	80	237
T-complex protein 1 submit alphaCCT7Q998.2M36M760175Toromplex protein 1 submit alphaTCP1P12987C41IOSIOST-complex protein 1 submit bratCCT6AP10277C41C46R35T-complex protein 1 submit bratCCT2P48643C97C42C95Toulin beta-2A chainTUBB2AQ13985C96C41C35Toulin beta-2A chainCT15P46643C97C2C48C35Subain beta-2A chainCCT2P48643C97C2C43C35Subain beta-2A chainCCT3P48643C97C2C43C35Subain beta-2A chainCCT4Q43707C2C43C43C44C43C44 <td>T-complex protein 1 subunit gamma</td> <td>CCT3</td> <td>P49368</td> <td>343</td> <td>123</td> <td>233</td>	T-complex protein 1 subunit gamma	CCT3	P49368	343	123	233
Teompley protein 1 subunit alphaTCP1P1987Q41Q108Q45Tubulin alpha-4A chainCU18AAAP48266Q102Q48S35Teompley protein 1 subunit betaCC16AP4027Q102Q4Q45Teompley protein 1 subunit betaCC175P48644Q97Q42Q55Tubulin beta-2A chainCU18D2AQ13885Q66Q11Q45Apha-actinin-4LNBWQ75360G55Q13Q45Apha-actinin-4KC1NQ9161Q515Q65Q41Q455Stroplasmic PMR-interacting protein 1CVFP1Q9151Q615Q41Q455Affor boompl protein SARFSAP08805Q23Q41Q45Affor boompl protein SARFSAP08805Q20Q41Q45Affor boompl protein SARFSAP08805Q20Q41Q45Affor boompl protein SARFSAP08805Q21Q42Q45Affor boompl protein SARFSAP08805Q44Q21Q45Stroplasmic-Indoplasmic reliculur alcium AlTase ZATPA2P1615Q41Q42Q42Stroplasmic-Indoplasmic reliculur alcium AlTase ZATPA2P1615Q41Q42Q42Stroplasmic-Indoplasmic reliculur alcium AlTase ZATPA2P1615Q41Q42Q42Stroplasmic Protein SADX6P2059Q44Q42Q42Q45Affor boomplotin SARFS17P0870Q44Q42Q42Q45Affo	T-complex protein 1 subunit eta	CCT7	Q99832	354	76	215
Tubuln alpha-4A.chainTUUMANP083661144203835T.complex protein 1 subunit teataCCT6AP402270.000.07T.complex protein 1 subunit featoCCT5P184510.970.6077T.complex protein 1 subunit featoCCT5P184530.660.21855Alpha-actinin-4ACTN40437070.820.18580Alpha-actinin-4ACTN40437070.600.100.43Stader cap-binding protein subunit 1CVTFP1Q151610.510.45Cytoplasmic FMR1-interacting proteinCYTP1Q15760.600.210.45Alck-star ordicutas fumily 1CVTFP1Q15760.600.210.45Alck-star ordicutas fumily 1CXTP1Q151610.400.410.45Alck-star ordicutas fumily 1CXARP160510.210.420.75Starcoplasmic reticulum calcium Al?Pare 2APSAP160510.310.710.42Probable ATP-dependent RXAh hoicase DTX6DDX6P310.600.230.610.23Probable ATP-dependent RXAh hoicase DTX6DDX6P4190.600.230.650.23Probable ATP-dependent RXAh hoicase DTX6RS17P06700.410.200.210.55Stribonomal protein S17-4RPS17P06700.410.200.550.55RN-binding protein 25RS4P6180P61800.400.100.160.16Stribonomal protein S17-	T-complex protein 1 subunit alpha	TCP1	P17987	241	116	178.5
"complex protein 1 subunit zetaCCT6P4027102646977T-complex protein 1 subunit egalonCCT3P486497742695Tubulin beta-2A chainTUBRAQ138597642885Balman-BTUBRAQ13856653.13486Flamin-BFLNBO753696653.14645Nuclear cap-binding protein subunit 1CCF1PQ0161516646435405 ribosomal protein subunit 1CFP1PQ71276000.21435405 ribosomal protein SARPSAP6885254413315405 ribosomal protein SARPSAP6124422277SarcoplasmiC-indoplasmic retraclum calcium ATPase 2ATPA2P16615211424Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX6DDX6P26196441420225405 ribosomal protein S71RPS17P194340662225405 ribosomal protein S17RPS17P6079431422215405 ribosomal protein S17RPS17P60794344420405 ribosomal protein S16REXP407504334545 <tr< td=""><td>Tubulin alpha-4A chain</td><td>TUBA4A</td><td>P68366</td><td>144</td><td>23</td><td>83.5</td></tr<>	Tubulin alpha-4A chain	TUBA4A	P68366	144	23	83.5
Teomplex protein 1 subunit peakCCT2PR871946077T-complex protein 1 subunit peakCCT3P486439742685Alpha-actinin 4CTNMQ13885Q6221885.Alpha-actinin 4ACTNAQ370782All845Inlaine-BFLNBQ73569G6334486Nackar cap binding protein subunit 1NCBP1Q0161G14G435Nackar cap binding protein subunit 1CYTPIQ7576G60Q144315Ado-keto reductase family 1 member B10AKR1810G60218G59G44313Ado-keto reductase family 1 member B10AKR1810G60218G31G4422Colcycle and apoptosi regulator protein 2CCAR2Q8N163G14G40G42Probate ATP-dependent RNN helicase DDX6DDX6P26196G41G22215G0 ribosomal protein S17-fikeRPS17P1040G39G6G21RNA-binding protein S17-fikeRPS17P08708G41G20215S0 ribosomal protein S17-fikeRPS19P3019G2G14201S0 ribosomal protein S17-fikeRPS19P3019G26G41G20S0 ribosomal protein S17-fikeRPS19P3019G2G16G55S0 ribosomal protein S17RPS19P3019G2G16G55S0 ribosomal protein S17RPS1P16897G36G41G20S0 ribosomal protein S17RPS1R	T-complex protein 1 subunit zeta	CCT6A	P40227	102	64	83
Teomplex protein 1 submit equilonCCT3P48543.97.42.495.5Tubulin beta 2A chainTUBB2AQ13885.96.21.585.5Alpha-actinin-4ACTN4Q4707.828.021.405.5Filamin-BKLNB075369.665.311.408.5Nachar cap-binding protein submit 1CYEIPIQ7176.606.21.405.5405 ribosomal protein SARPSAP8865.25.411.333Aldo-keto reductase family 1 member B10AKIR1810.60218.212.227Saccoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase 2.712A.2.16615.213.228Cell cycle and apoptosis regulator protein 2.CD2.6.2014.223.221Pobable ATP-dependent NA helcase DDX6.DDX6.2616.44.223Polyademylate-binding protein 1.DDX6.2616.44.215.215Strosomal protein S17.RPS17.96708.41.215.215Strosomal protein S17.215.215.215.215.216.215.215Strosomal protein S17.216.216.216.215.216.216.215Strosomal protein S19.215.216.216.216.216.216Coll charding protein 310.216.216.216.216.216Coll charding protein 310.216.216.216.216.216Coll charding protein 31.216.216.216.216.216 </td <td>T-complex protein 1 subunit beta</td> <td>CCT2</td> <td>P78371</td> <td>94</td> <td>60</td> <td>77</td>	T-complex protein 1 subunit beta	CCT2	P78371	94	60	77
Tubule hen-A-A chainUIBBAQ13885962188.5Alpha-actini-4ACTN4O437070218050Filamin-BFINBO7539006531048Nucker cap-binding protein aubunt 1NCMP1Q091615136.044.5Cytoplasmic FMR1-interacting protein 1CYTIP1Q7157606021045.5Gor rhosonal protein SARPSAP886522504.131.540s rhosonal protein SARPSAP6147421227.7Stroplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase 2ATPA2P161531.012.124.2Pobable ATP-dependent RAA helicase DDXSDDXSP216904.40.22.3.3Hetrogeneous anclear ribonucleoprotein HHNRNPH1P314304.0062.2.540S ribosonal protein S17-likeRPS1P8070P1194030642.0.540S ribosonal protein S17-likeRPS1P8070P1194030644.0.040S ribosonal protein S17-likeRPS1P8070P3019261.4.19.0.540S ribosonal protein S17-likeRPS1P3019260.4.19.0.540S ribosonal protein S17-likeRPS1P30192.61.4.19.0.540S ribosonal protein S16REXP6147P2642.0.11.6.540S ribosonal protein S16REXP2634P261.6.51.6.540S ribosonal protein S17REXP2634P2631.6.1 </td <td>T-complex protein 1 subunit epsilon</td> <td>CCT5</td> <td>P48643</td> <td>97</td> <td>42</td> <td>69.5</td>	T-complex protein 1 subunit epsilon	CCT5	P48643	97	42	69.5
Alpha-actimin-4ACTN4Q43707R2[18](9)Flamin BIKNBQ7369G53.148Nuckar cap-binding protein subuni 1NCRP1Q0161C515.45Cytoplasmic FMR1-interacting protein 1CYTP11Q71576G602.140.5405 ribosomal protein SARPSAP088652.54.43.3406-ktor exelucate family 1 member B10AKR1610G002182.02.12.2Sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase 2ATPA2P166152.12.22.3Florabbe ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX6DDX6P261964.42.22.3Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX6DDX6P261964.42.22.2109/aderylact-binding protein 10PABPC1P087084.42.22.2405 ribosomal protein S17RPS17P067884.42.02.1405 ribosomal protein S17RPS19P05093.64.42.0212 cell-surface anigen heavy chainSIC3A2P068952.61.42.0405 ribosomal protein S19RPS14P05452.61.61.62.0405 ribosomal protein S16RPS14P05452.61.6 <td>Tubulin beta-2A chain</td> <td>TUBB2A</td> <td>Q13885</td> <td>96</td> <td>21</td> <td>58.5</td>	Tubulin beta-2A chain	TUBB2A	Q13885	96	21	58.5
Filamin-BFIXB0733996631448Naclear cap-binding protein suburit 1NCBP1Q09161S13646435Groplasmic FMR1-interacting protein 1CYFIP1Q71576G0G214G334Go-ktor ceductase family 1 member B10AKR1B10O60218G9G4G315Sdor obsonal protein SARPSAP1615C1G2G2Sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase 2ATP2A2P16615C1G2G2Sdor apoptosis regulator protein 2CCAR2Q8N163G1G2G2Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX6DDX6P31943G0G6G2G2Sdor inbosonal protein S17-tikePABPC1P11940G3G6G2G2Sdor inbosonal protein S17-tikeRPS17P60782G1G2G1G2Sdor Snbosonal protein S17-tikeRPS16P3919G6G2G2G3Sdor Snbosonal protein S17-tikeRPS16P3919G6G2G3G3G2G1G1Sdor Snbosonal protein S16RPS17P60792G1	Alpha-actinin-4	ACTN4	O43707	82	18	50
Nuclear cap-binding protein subunit 1NCRP1Q0916151363643.5Cytoplasmic FMR1-interacting protein 1CYFIP1Q71.5766.002.140.5363 rhosonal protein SARPSAP088652.54.4133.3Aldo-keto reductase family 1 member BI0AKR1B100602185.94.431.5405 rhosonal protein SARPSAP161174.22.22.7Scrooplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase 2ATP2A.2P166152.12.82.4Probabe ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX6DDX6P261964.40.62.3Probabe ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX6DDX6P219604.40.62.3Polyadenylate-binding protein 1HNRNPTI1P19434.062.3Polyadenylate-binding protein 1PABPC1P119403.062.25RNA-binding protein S17RPS17P087084.122.15RNA-binding protein S17RPS10P049253.082.05Y-box-binding protein S17RPS10P049293.44.00457 rbosomal protein S17RPS10P049293.04.00.0457 cell-scraft and gene heavy chainSLG3A2P081952.01.01.0457 cell-scraft and gene heavy chainSLG3A2P081952.01.01.0RP2 cell-scraft and gene heavy chainSLG3A5P051412.74.61.6AD7 ATP translocase 2SLC3A5P051	Filamin-B	FLNB	O75369	65	31	48
Cytoplasmic FMR1-interacting protein 1CYFIP1Q7L57660214405405 nbosomal protein SARPSAP08865254133406 reto reductase family 1 member B10AKR1B10O60218594121405 ribosomal protein S3aRPS3AP61247421227Sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase 2ATP2A2P16615212828Cell cycle and apoptosis regulator protein 2CCAR2Q8N163311724Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX6DDX6P2619644223Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein HHNRNPF11P319434066223Polyadenylate-binding protein 17RPS17P0670841221.5405 ribosomal protein S17RPS17P0670841221.5405 ribosomal protein S17RPS17P0670841221.5405 ribosomal protein S17RPS17P06708412042405 ribosomal protein S19RPS19P39019261420412 cell-urface antigen heavy chainSLC3A2P08195291019.5405 ribosomal protein S19RPS1P27694261018Preliation protein A70 kDa DNA-binding subunitRPA1P27694261016RPS10P0729191416.5ADP/ATP translocase 2KR77P08729191416.5405 ribosomal protein S1 <td>Nuclear cap-binding protein subunit 1</td> <td>NCBP1</td> <td>Q09161</td> <td>51</td> <td>36</td> <td>43.5</td>	Nuclear cap-binding protein subunit 1	NCBP1	Q09161	51	36	43.5
405 ribosomal protein SARPSAP08865254133Aldo-ktor reductase family 1 member B10AKK1B10O00218594431.5405 ribosomal protein S3aRPS3APf61247421227Sacroplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase 2ATPA2P16615212824.5Cell cycle and apoptosis regulator protein 2CCAR2Q8N16333117724Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX6DDX6P2619644222.5Robsomal protein S17RPS17P07808441222.5405 ribosomal protein S17RPS17P07808441221.587A-binding protein 25RBN25P4975633820.5Y-box-binding protein 31YEX3P1698936420405 ribosomal protein S19RPS19P39192614120472 cell-striftse antigen heavy chainSIC.3A2P08195291019.5405 ribosomal protein S16RPS10P6224934419Replacitor protein A70 kDa DNA-binding submitRPA1P27942610.018.572 cell-striftse antigen heavy chainSIC.23A5P05141276616.5805 ribosomal protein S16RPS10P0829191416.5805 ribosomal protein S16RPS10P0829191416.5805 ribosomal protein S2RPS10Q9247211116805 ribosoma	Cytoplasmic FMR1-interacting protein 1	CYFIP1	Q7L576	60	21	40.5
Aldo-keto reductase family 1 member B10AKR IB10O6021859431.5405 rbosomal protein S3aRPS3AP6127421227Strooplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum ATPase 2ATP2A2P16615212824.5Cell cycle and apoptosis regulator protein 2CCAR2Q8N163311724Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX6DDX6P26196444223Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein HHNRNPH1P194340622.5405 ribosomal protein S17RPS17P0870841221.5405 ribosomal protein S17RPS17P0870841221.5FNA-binding protein 25RBM25P4975633820.5Y box-binding protein 3YBX3P1698936420405 ribosomal protein 519RPS19P30190261420457 chosomal protein 516RPS16P6224934419Replication protein A70 kDa DNA-binding subunitRPA1P2704261018Prelami-A/CLMNAP025425816.5Kartin, type II cytoskeletal 7KRT7P08729211116405 ribosomal protein S2RPS4P62701221116Rubosomal protein S2RPS4P62701221116Rubosomal protein S2RPS4P62701231116Rubosomal protein S2RPS4P6270123 <td>40S ribosomal protein SA</td> <td>RPSA</td> <td>P08865</td> <td>25</td> <td>41</td> <td>33</td>	40S ribosomal protein SA	RPSA	P08865	25	41	33
408 ribosomal protein S3aRPS3AP612474.21.22.7Sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase 2ATP2A2P160152.12.82.45Cell cycle and apoptosis regulator protein 2CCAR2Q8N1633.11.72.4Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX6DDX6P261964.422.33Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein HHNRNPH1P319434.062.25405 ribosomal protein S17PABPC1P119403.962.25805 ribosomal protein S17RPS17P087084.122.15RNA-hinding protein 3RPS17P067083.8820.5Y-box-binding protein 3YBX3P169893.6420405 ribosomal protein 519RPS19P30192.61.420405 ribosomal protein 516RPS19P30192.61.420405 ribosomal protein 516RPS14P276943.441.9Replication protein 3 0LINXAP225452.581.65ADP/ATP translocase 2SLC25A5P051412.761.65ADP/ATP translocase 2RPS4XP627012.21.01.6Ribosomal protein 52RPS4XP627012.21.01.6Ribosomal protein 52RPS4XP627012.21.01.6ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P122362.41.41.6ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P12	Aldo-keto reductase family 1 member B10	AKR1B10	O60218	59	4	31.5
Sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase 2ATP2A2P16615212824.5Cell cycle and apoptosis regulator protein 2CCAR2Q8N163311724Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX6DDX6P2619644223Polyadenylate-binding protein 1PABPC1P1194039622.540S ribosomal protein S17RPS17P0670841221.540S ribosomal protein S17RPS17P0702241221.540S ribosomal protein 31YBX3P1698936442040S ribosomal protein 3YBX3P1698936442040S ribosomal protein 31YBX3P1698936442040S ribosomal protein 519RPS16P62249344419Replication protein A 70 kDa DNA-binding subunitRPA1P276942610010.5ADP/ATP translocase 2SIC25A5P051412766.65Kertari, type II cytosketal 7KRT7P08729191416.5Kosonal protein 1NCKAP1Q972A7211116Nck associated protein 1NCKAP1Q972A7211114ADP/ATP translocase 3SIC25A6P1236242444ADP/ATP translocase 3SIC25A6P123624314ADP/ATP translocase 3SIC25A6P1236241414ADP/ATP translocase 3SIC25A6P123624 </td <td>40S ribosomal protein S3a</td> <td>RPS3A</td> <td>P61247</td> <td>42</td> <td>12</td> <td>27</td>	40S ribosomal protein S3a	RPS3A	P61247	42	12	27
Cell cycle and apoptosis regulator protein 2CCAR2Q8N163311724Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX6DDX6P2619644223Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein HHNRNPHIP3194340622.540S ribosomal protein S17RPS17P0870841221.540S ribosomal protein S17-likeRPS17LP0CW2241221.5RNA-binding protein 3RPS17P0670233820040S ribosomal protein S17-likeRPS17LP0CW2241221.5RNA-binding protein 3RPS17P0670233820040S ribosomal protein 519RPS19P390192614200412 cell-surface antigen heavy chainSLC3A2P08195291019.540S ribosomal protein S16RPA1P27694261019.5Replication protein A70 kDa DNA-binding subunitRPA1P27694261018Prelamin-A/CLMNAP0254525816.5Karatin, type II cytoskeltal 7KR17P08729101416.5Kossonial protein S2RPS4XP6270122714.5A0S ribosomal protein S2RPS4XP6270122714.5Kossonial protein S2RPS4XP6270122714.5A0S ribosomal protein S2RPS4XP6270122714.5A0S ribosomal protein S2RPS4XP62701 <t< td=""><td>Sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase 2</td><td>ATP2A2</td><td>P16615</td><td>21</td><td>28</td><td>24.5</td></t<>	Sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase 2	ATP2A2	P16615	21	28	24.5
Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX6DDX6P2619644223Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein HHNRNPH1P3194340623Polyadenylate-binding protein 1PABPC1P1140396221.5405 ribosomal protein S17RPS17P0870841221.5RA-binding protein S17RPS17LP0CW2241221.5RA-binding protein S17RPS17LP0CW2241221.5RA-binding protein S1RPS17LP0CW2241221.5RA-binding protein 3YBX3P1698936420405 ribosomal protein S19RPS19P3919261420425 cell-surface antigen heavy chainSLC3A2P08195291019.5405 ribosomal protein S16RPS16P6224934419Replaciton protein A 70 kDa DNA-binding subunitRPA1P27694261018Prelamin-A/CLMNAP0245525816.5Karatin, type II cytoskeletal 7KRT7P08729191416.5Karatin type II cytoskeletal 7RPS4XP62701221016Ribosomal protein S2RPS4XP6270122714.5Karasociated protein 1NCKAP1Q92F29211116Nck-associated protein 1NCKAP1Q92F3624414ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P123624414 <td>Cell cycle and apoptosis regulator protein 2</td> <td>CCAR2</td> <td>Q8N163</td> <td>31</td> <td>17</td> <td>24</td>	Cell cycle and apoptosis regulator protein 2	CCAR2	Q8N163	31	17	24
Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein HHNRNPH1P31943406623Polyadenylate-binding protein 1PABPC1P11940396622.540S ribosomal protein S17RPS17P0670841221.580S ribosomal protein S17-likeRPS17LP0CW2241221.5RNA-binding protein 25RBM25P49756338820.5Y-box-binding protein 3YBX3P169893642040S ribosomal protein 519RPS19P3901926144204F2 cell-surface antigen heavy chainSLC3A2P081952910019.580S ribosomal protein A70 kDa DNA-binding subunitRPS16P6224934441Prelamin-A/CLMNAP02545258816.5ADP/ATP translocase 2SLC25A5P05141276616.540S ribosomal protein 1RRP1Q92E92111116Nck-associated protein 1NCKAP1Q92A7211111640S ribosomal protein 1RRP1Q92E92111116Nck-associated protein 1NCKAP1Q92A7211111640S ribosomal protein 1ARCN1P128402333340S ribosomal protein 1NCKAP1Q92A7211111640S ribosomal protein 1NCKAP1Q92A52344444DP/ATP translocas 3SLC25A6P12236244	Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX6	DDX6	P26196	44	2	23
Polyadenylate-binding protein 1PABPC1P1194039622.540S ribosomal protein S17RPS17P08708411221.580S ribosomal protein S17-likeRPS17LPOCW22441221.5RNA-binding protein 25RBM25P4975633820.540S ribosomal protein 310YBX3P1688936642040S ribosomal protein 519RPS19P39019266142040S ribosomal protein S19RPS16P62249344419Replication protein A70 kDa DNA-binding subunitRPS16P62249344419Replication protein A70 kDa DNA-binding subunitRPS16P62249344419Replication protein A70 kDa DNA-binding subunitRPA1P2769426610018Prelamin-A/CLMNAP025452258816.5ADP/ATP translocase 2SLC25A5P05141276616.5Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 7KRT7P087291914416.540S ribosomal protein 1RRBP1Q9259211111640S ribosomal protein 52RPS2P158022714.5Heat shock protein 11NCKAP1Q972A72111111640S ribosomal protein 52RPS2P158022714.5Heat shock protein HSP 90-betaHSP90AB1P082381111714AD/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1236 <td< td=""><td>Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein H</td><td>HNRNPH1</td><td>P31943</td><td>40</td><td>6</td><td>23</td></td<>	Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein H	HNRNPH1	P31943	40	6	23
40S ribosomal protein \$17RP\$17P0870841221.540S ribosomal protein \$17-likeRP\$17LP0CW2241221.5RNA-binding protein 25RBM25P49756338820.5Y-box-binding protein 31YBX3P169893642040S ribosomal protein \$19RP\$19P3901926142040S ribosomal protein \$19RP\$19P3901926142040S ribosomal protein \$19RP\$10P624934419Replication protein \$16RP\$16P62249344419Replication protein \$16RP\$11P27694261018Prelamin-A/CLMNAP0254525816.5ADP/ATP translocase 2SLC25A5P0514127616.5Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 7KRT7P08729191416.5Robsomal protein 1RRBP1Q92E9211116Nck-associated protein 1NCKAP1Q972A72111116ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P123624414ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1224624331.5Prefoldin subunit 2PFDN2Q9UHV9151213.5Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX57DDX5P1784462013Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX17DDX17Q284162013	Polyadenylate-binding protein 1	PABPC1	P11940	39	6	22.5
40S ribosomal protein \$17-likeRPS17LPOCW2241221.5RNA-binding protein 25RBM25P4975633820.5Y-box-binding protein 3YBX3P169893642040S ribosomal protein S19RPS10P390192614204F2 cell-surface antigen heavy chainSLC3A2P08195291019.5Q6S ribosomal protein S16RPS16P6224934419Replication protein A 70 kDa DNA-binding subunitRPA1P27694261018Prelamin-A/CLMNAP0254525816.5ADP/ATP translocase 2SLC25A5P0514127616.5Keratin, type II cytoskeleta 7KRT7P08729191416.6Rbosomal protein 1RRBP1Q9P2E9211116NcK-associated protein 1NCKAP1Q9Y2A7211116ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P123624414ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1236241414ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1236241414ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1236241414ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P123624313.5Prefoldin gnotein 14RBM14Q96PK622614RNA-binding motif protein 14RBM14Q96PK622614RNA-binding motif protein, X chro	40S ribosomal protein S17	RPS17	P08708	41	2	21.5
RNA-binding protein 25RBM25P4975633820.5Y-box-binding protein 3YBX3P169893642040S ribosomal protein S19RPS19P30192614204F2 cell-surface antigen heavy chainSLC3A2P08195291019.540S ribosomal protein S16RPS16P6224934419Replication protein A70 kDa DNA-binding subunitRPA1P27694261018Prelamin-A/CLMNAP0254525816.5ADP/ATP translocase 2SLC2A5P0514127616.5Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 7KRT7P08729191416.540S ribosomal protein S4, X isoformRPS4XP62701221016Ribosome-binding protein 1RRBP1Q972F9211116Nck-associated protein 12NCKAP1Q972A7211116Nck-associated protein S2RPS2P1588022714.5Heat shock protein HSP 90-betaHSP90AB1P08238111714ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1223624414Alpha-actinin-1ACTN1P1281423514RNA-binding protein 14RBM14Q96PK622614AN-binding motif protein, X chromosomeRBMXP3815924331.5Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX5DDX5P1784462013<	40S ribosomal protein S17-like	RPS17L	P0CW22	41	2	21.5
Y-box-binding protein 3YBX3P169893642040S ribosomal protein S19RPS19P390192614204F2 cell-surface antigen heavy chainSLC3A2P08195291019.540S ribosomal protein S16RPS16P6224934419Replication protein A 70 kDa DNA-binding subunitRPA1P27694261018Prelamin-A/CLMNAP0254525816.5ADP/ATP translocase 2SLC25A5P0514127616.5Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 7KRT7P08729191416.540S ribosomal protein S4, X isoformRPS4XP62701221016Ribosome-binding protein 1NCKAP1Q972A7211116Nck-associated protein 1NCKAP1Q972A7211116ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1223624414ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1223624414ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1223624414Alpha-actinin-1ACTN1P1281423514RNA-binding motif protein, X chromosomeRBMXP3815924313.5Prefoldin subunit 2PFDN2Q9HV9151213.5Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX5DDX5P1784462013Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX17DDX17Q928162013<	RNA-binding protein 25	RBM25	P49756	33	8	20.5
40S ribosomal protein S19RPS19P390192614204F2 cell-surface antigen heavy chainSLC3A2P08195291019.540S ribosomal protein S16RPS16P6224934419Replication protein A 70 kDa DNA-binding subunitRPA1P27694261018Prelamin-A/CLMNAP0254525816.5ADP/ATP translocase 2SLC25A5P0514127616.5Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 7KRT7P08729191416.540S ribosomal protein S4, X isoformRRBP1Q9P2E9211116Nck-associated protein 1NCKAP1Q9Y2A72111116ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P12236244144DS ribosomal protein 1NCKAP1Q9Y2A72111116Nck-associated protein 1NCKAP1Q9Y2A72111116ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1223624414ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1223624414Alpha-actinin-1ACTN1P1281423514RNA-binding motein 14RBM14Q96PK622614RNA-binding motein 12PFDN2Q9UHV9151213.5Prefoldin subunit 2PFDN2Q9UHV9151213.5Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX5DDX5P1784462013Probable ATP-	Y-box-binding protein 3	YBX3	P16989	36	4	20
4F2 cell-surface antigen heavy chain SLC3A2 P08195 29 10 19.5   40S ribosomal protein S16 RPS16 P62249 34 4 19   Replication protein A 70 kDa DNA-binding subunit RPA1 P27694 26 10 18   Prelamin-A/C LMNA P02545 25 8 16.5   ADP/ATP translocase 2 SLC25A5 P05141 27 6 16.5   Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 7 KRT7 P08729 19 14 16.5   40S ribosomal protein 1 RRBP1 Q972E9 21 11 16   Ribosome-binding protein 1 NCKAP1 Q972A7 21 11 16   A0S ribosomal protein S2 RPS2 P15880 22 7 14.5   Heat shock protein HSP 90-beta HSP90AB1 P08238 11 17 14   ADP/ATP translocase 3 SLC25A6 P12236 24 4 14   Alpha-actinin-1 ACTN1 P12814 23 5 14   RNA-binding protein 14 RBM1X P38159 24 3	40S ribosomal protein S19	RPS19	P39019	26	14	20
40S ribosomal protein S16RPS16P6224934419Replication protein A 70 kDa DNA-binding subunitRPA1P27694261018Prelamin-A/CLMNAP0254525816.5ADP/ATP translocase 2SLC25A5P0514127616.5Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 7KRT7P08729191416.540S ribosomal protein S4, X isoformRPS4XP62701221016Ribosome-binding protein 1RRBP1Q9P2E9211116Nck-associated protein 1NCKAP1Q9Y2A721111640S ribosomal protein S2RPS2P1588022714.5Heat shock protein HSP 90-betaHSP90AB1P08238111714ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1223624414ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1223624414ADh/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1223624414ADh/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1223624414ADh/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1223624313.5Prefoldin subunit 2PFDN2Q9UHV9151213.5Prefoldin subunit 2PFDN2Q9UHV9151213.5Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX5DDX5P1784462013Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX17DDX17Q9284162013 <td>4F2 cell-surface antigen heavy chain</td> <td>SLC3A2</td> <td>P08195</td> <td>29</td> <td>10</td> <td>19.5</td>	4F2 cell-surface antigen heavy chain	SLC3A2	P08195	29	10	19.5
Replication protein A 70 kDa DNA-binding subunit   RPA1   P27694   26   10   18     Prelamin-A/C   LMNA   P02545   25   8   16.5     ADP/ATP translocase 2   SLC25A5   P05141   27   6   16.5     Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 7   KRT7   P08729   19   14   16.5     405 ribosomal protein S4, X isoform   RPS4X   P62701   22   10   16     Ribosome-binding protein 1   RRBP1   Q9P2E9   21   11   16     Nck-associated protein S2   RPS2   P15880   22   7   14.5     Heat shock protein HSP 90-beta   HSP90AB1   P08238   11   17   14     ADP/ATP translocase 3   SLC25A6   P12236   24   4   14     Alpha-actinin-1   ACTN1   P12814   23   5   14     RNA-binding motif protein, X chromosome   RBMX   P38159   24   3   13.5     Prefoldin subunit 2   PFDN2   Q9UHV9   15   12   13.5 </td <td>40S ribosomal protein S16</td> <td>RPS16</td> <td>P62249</td> <td>34</td> <td>4</td> <td>19</td>	40S ribosomal protein S16	RPS16	P62249	34	4	19
Prelamin-A/C   LMNA   P02545   25   8   16.5     ADP/ATP translocase 2   SLC25A5   P05141   27   6   16.5     Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 7   KRT7   P08729   19   14   16.5     405 ribosomal protein S4, X isoform   RPS4X   P62701   22   10   16     Ribosome-binding protein 1   RRBP1   Q9P2E9   21   11   16     Nck-associated protein 1   NCKAP1   Q9Y2A7   21   11   16     405 ribosomal protein S2   RPS2   P15880   22   7   14.5     Heat shock protein HSP 0-beta   HSP90AB1   P08238   11   17   14     ADP/ATP translocase 3   SLC25A6   P12236   24   4   14     Alpha-actinin-1   ACTN1   P12814   23   5   14     RNA-binding motif protein, X chromosome   RBMX   P38159   24   3   13.5     Prefoldin suburit 2   PFDN2   Q9UHV9   15   12   13.5	Replication protein A 70 kDa DNA-binding subunit	RPA1	P27694	26	10	18
ADP/ATP translocase 2SLC25A5P0514127616.5Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 7KRT7P08729191416.540S ribosomal protein S4, X isoformRPS4XP62701221016Ribosome-binding protein 1RRBP1Q9P2E9211116Nck-associated protein 1NCKAP1Q9Y2A721111640S ribosomal protein S2RPS2P1588022714.5Heat shock protein HSP 90-betaHSP90AB1P08238111714ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1223624414Alpha-actinin-1ACTN1P1281423514RNA-binding protein 14RBM14Q96PK622614RNA-binding motif protein, X chromosomeRBMXP3815924313.5Prefoldin subunit 2PFDN2Q9UHV9151213.5Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX5DDX5P1784462013Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX17DDX17Q9284162013	Prelamin-A/C	LMNA	P02545	25	8	16.5
Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 7   KRT7   P08729   19   14   16.5     40S ribosomal protein S4, X isoform   RPS4X   P62701   22   10   16     Ribosome-binding protein 1   RRBP1   Q9P2E9   21   11   16     Nck-associated protein 1   NCKAP1   Q9Y2A7   21   11   16     40S ribosomal protein S2   RPS2   P15880   22   7   14.5     Heat shock protein HSP 90-beta   HSP90AB1   P08238   11   17   14     ADP/ATP translocase 3   SLC25A6   P12236   24   4   14     Alpha-actinin-1   ACTN1   P12814   23   5   14     RNA-binding protein 14   RBM14   Q96PK6   22   6   14     RNA-binding motif protein, X chromosome   RBMX   P38159   24   3   13.5     Prefoldin subunit 2   PFDN2   Q9UHV9   15   12   13.5     Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX5   DDX5   P17844   6   20   13 </td <td>ADP/ATP translocase 2</td> <td>SLC25A5</td> <td>P05141</td> <td>27</td> <td>6</td> <td>16.5</td>	ADP/ATP translocase 2	SLC25A5	P05141	27	6	16.5
40S ribosomal protein S4, X isoform RPS4X P62701 22 10 16   Ribosome-binding protein 1 RRBP1 Q9P2E9 21 11 16   Nck-associated protein 1 NCKAP1 Q9Y2A7 21 11 16   40S ribosomal protein S2 RPS2 P15880 22 7 14.5   Heat shock protein HSP 90-beta HSP90AB1 P08238 11 17 14   ADP/ATP translocase 3 SLC25A6 P12236 24 4 14   Alpha-actinin-1 ACTN1 P12814 23 5 14   RNA-binding motif protein, X chromosome RBM14 Q96PK6 22 6 14   RNA-binding motif protein, X chromosome RBMX P38159 24 3 13.5   Prefoldin subunit 2 PFDN2 Q9UHV9 15 12 13.5   Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX5 DDX5 P17844 6 20 13   Filamin-C FLNC Q14315 24 2 13   Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX17 DDX17 Q92841 6	Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 7	KRT7	P08729	19	14	16.5
Ribosome-binding protein 1RRBP1Q9P2E9211116Nck-associated protein 1NCKAP1Q9Y2A721111640S ribosomal protein S2RPS2P1588022714.5Heat shock protein HSP 90-betaHSP90AB1P082381111714ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1223624414Alpha-actinin-1ACTN1P1281423514RNA-binding protein 14RBM14Q96PK622614RNA-binding motif protein, X chromosomeRBMXP3815924313.5Prefoldin subunit 2PFDN2Q9UHV9151213.5Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX5DDX5P1784462013Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX17DDX17Q9284162013	40S ribosomal protein S4, X isoform	RPS4X	P62701	22	10	16
Nck-associated protein 1   NCKAP1   Q9Y2A7   21   11   16     40S ribosomal protein S2   RPS2   P15880   22   7   14.5     Heat shock protein HSP 90-beta   HSP90AB1   P08238   11   17   14     ADP/ATP translocase 3   SLC25A6   P12236   24   4   14     Alpha-actinin-1   ACTN1   P12814   23   5   14     RNA-binding protein 14   RBM14   Q96PK6   22   6   14     RNA-binding motif protein, X chromosome   RBMX   P38159   24   3   13.5     Prefoldin subunit 2   PFDN2   Q9UHV9   15   12   13.5     Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX5   DDX5   P17844   6   20   13     Filamin-C   FLNC   Q14315   24   2   13     Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX17   DDX17   Q92841   6   20   13	Ribosome-binding protein 1	RRBP1	Q9P2E9	21	11	16
40S ribosomal protein S2RPS2P1588022714.5Heat shock protein HSP 90-betaHSP90AB1P08238111714ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1223624414Alpha-actinin-1ACTN1P1281423514RNA-binding protein 14RBM14Q96PK622614RNA-binding motif protein, X chromosomeRBMXP3815924313.5Prefoldin subunit 2PFDN2Q9UHV9151213.5Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX5DDX5P1784462013Filamin-CFLNCQ1431524213Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX17DDX17Q9284162013	Nck-associated protein 1	NCKAP1	Q9Y2A7	21	11	16
Heat shock protein HSP 90-betaHSP90AB1P08238111714ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1223624414Alpha-actinin-1ACTN1P1281423514RNA-binding protein 14RBM14Q96PK622614RNA-binding motif protein, X chromosomeRBMXP3815924313.5Prefoldin subunit 2PFDN2Q9UHV9151213.5Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX5DDX5P1784462013Filamin-CFLNCQ1431524213Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX17DDX17Q9284162013	40S ribosomal protein S2	RPS2	P15880	22	7	14.5
ADP/ATP translocase 3SLC25A6P1223624414Alpha-actinin-1ACTN1P1281423514RNA-binding protein 14RBM14Q96PK622614RNA-binding motif protein, X chromosomeRBMXP3815924313.5Prefoldin subunit 2PFDN2Q9UHV9151213.5Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX5DDX5P1784462013Filamin-CFLNCQ1431524213Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX17DDX17Q9284162013	Heat shock protein HSP 90-beta	HSP90AB1	P08238	11	17	14
Alpha-actinin-1ACTN1P1281423514RNA-binding protein 14RBM14Q96PK622614RNA-binding motif protein, X chromosomeRBMXP3815924313.5Prefoldin subunit 2PFDN2Q9UHV9151213.5Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX5DDX5P1784462013Filamin-CFLNCQ1431524213Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX17DDX17Q9284162013	ADP/ATP translocase 3	SLC25A6	P12236	24	4	14
RNA-binding protein 14RBM14Q96PK622614RNA-binding motif protein, X chromosomeRBMXP3815924313.5Prefoldin subunit 2PFDN2Q9UHV9151213.5Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX5DDX5P1784462013Filamin-CFLNCQ1431524213Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX17DDX17Q9284162013	Alpha-actinin-1	ACTN1	P12814	23	5	14
RNA-binding motif protein, X chromosomeRBMXP3815924313.5Prefoldin subunit 2PFDN2Q9UHV9151213.5Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX5DDX5P1784462013Filamin-CFLNCQ1431524213Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX17DDX17Q9284162013	RNA-binding protein 14	RBM14	Q96PK6	22	6	14
Prefoldin subunit 2PFDN2Q9UHV9151213.5Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX5DDX5P1784462013Filamin-CFLNCQ1431524213Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX17DDX17Q9284162013	RNA-binding motif protein, X chromosome	RBMX	P38159	24	3	13.5
Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX5DDX5P1784462013Filamin-CFLNCQ1431524213Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX17DDX17Q9284162013	Prefoldin subunit 2	PFDN2	Q9UHV9	15	12	13.5
Filamin-C   FLNC   Q14315   24   2   13     Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX17   DDX17   Q92841   6   20   13	Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX5	DDX5	P17844	6	20	13
Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX17 DDX17 Q92841 6 20 13	Filamin-C	FLNC	Q14315	24	2	13
	Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX17	DDX17	Q92841	6	20	13

(Continued)

#### Table 1. (Continued)

Description	Gene Symbol	Accession		Spectral count	
			Exp. 1	Exp. 2	Ave.
Neuroblast differentiation-associated protein AHNAK	AHNAK	Q09666	15	10	12.5
60S ribosomal protein L23	RPL23	P62829	12	12	12
Cytoplasmic FMR1-interacting protein 2	CYFIP2	Q96F07	15	8	11.5
Keratin, type I cytoskeletal 19	KRT19	P08727	5	17	11
Dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase, mitochondrial	DLD	P09622	19	3	11
ATP synthase subunit alpha, mitochondrial	ATP5A1	P25705	7	15	11
DNA-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit	PRKDC	P78527	10	12	11
Splicing factor 3B subunit 3	SF3B3	Q15393	8	14	11
RuvB-like 2	RUVBL2	Q9Y230	10	11	10.5
Apoptosis-inducing factor 1, mitochondrial	AIFM1	O95831	3	17	10
Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins A2/B1	HNRNPA2B1	P22626	18	2	10
Protein disulfide-isomerase A6	PDIA6	Q15084	8	12	10
Histone H1x	H1FX	Q92522	17	3	10
CAD protein	CAD	P27708	8	11	9.5
Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein H3	HNRNPH3	P31942	15	4	9.5
Heat shock protein HSP 90-alpha	HSP90AA1	P07900	8	10	9
Endoplasmin	HSP90B1	P14625	9	9	9
Large neutral amino acids transporter small subunit 1	SLC7A5	Q01650	6	12	9
Keratin, type II cuticular Hb1	KRT81	Q14533	10	8	9
Putative helicase MOV-10	MOV10	Q9HCE1	8	10	9
Microtubule-associated protein 1B	MAP1B	P46821	6	11	8.5
Spectrin beta chain, non-erythrocytic 1	SPTBN1	Q01082	7	10	8.5
60S ribosomal protein L18	RPL18	Q07020	7	10	8.5
Pre-mRNA-processing-splicing factor 8	PRPF8	Q6P2Q9	14	3	8.5
60 kDa SS-A/Ro ribonucleoprotein	TROVE2	P10155	13	3	8
40S ribosomal protein S9	RPS9	P46781	11	5	8
Nuclear cap-binding protein subunit 2	NCBP2	P52298	7	9	8
39S ribosomal protein L12, mitochondrial	MRPL12	P52815	10	6	8
40S ribosomal protein S11	RPS11	P62280	13	3	8
Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein Sm D2	SNRPD2	P62316	3	13	8
Tight junction protein ZO-1	TJP1	Q07157	8	8	8
116 kDa U5 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein component	EFTUD2	Q15029	11	5	8
Sodium/potassium-transporting ATPase subunit alpha-1	ATP1A1	P05023	3	12	7.5
Low molecular weight phosphotyrosine protein phosphatase	ACP1	P24666	6	9	7.5
Myosin-9	MYH9	P35579	13	2	7.5
40S ribosomal protein S25	RPS25	P62851	5	10	7.5
Cytoplasmic dynein 1 heavy chain 1	DYNC1H1	Q14204	7	8	7.5
Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit L	EIF3L	Q9Y262	6	9	7.5
Septin-9	SEPT9	Q9UHD8	6	8	7
28S ribosomal protein S18b, mitochondrial	MRPS18B	Q9Y676	5	9	7
Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 75	KRT75	O95678	7	6	6.5
Proteasome subunit beta type-5	PSMB5	P28074	6	7	6.5
Prohibitin	РНВ	P35232	6	7	6.5
40S ribosomal protein S6	RPS6	P62753	4	9	6.5
60S ribosomal protein L19	RPL19	P84098	8	5	6.5
2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase, mitochondrial	OGDH	Q02218	6	7	6.5

(Continued)

#### Table 1. (Continued)

Description	Gene Symbol	Accession	Spectral count		
			Exp. 1	Exp. 2	Ave.
Leucine-rich repeat flightless-interacting protein 1	LRRFIP1	Q32MZ4	6	7	6.5
Thioredoxin domain-containing protein 5	TXNDC5	Q8NBS9	4	9	6.5
60S acidic ribosomal protein P0	RPLP0	P05388	5	7	6
U1 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein 70 kDa	SNRNP70	P08621	8	4	6
Fatty acid synthase	FASN	P49327	6	6	6
Poly(rC)-binding protein 1	PCBP1	Q15365	4	8	6
Septin-7	SEPT7	Q16181	5	7	6
Heat shock protein 105 kDa	HSPH1	Q92598	8	4	6
39S ribosomal protein L11, mitochondrial	MRPL11	Q9Y3B7	5	7	6
Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein family member 2	WASF2	Q9Y6W5	9	3	6
Lupus La protein	SSB	P05455	6	5	5.5
Bifunctional glutamate/proline—tRNA ligase	EPRS	P07814	9	2	5.5
Histone H1.5	HIST1H1B	P16401	3	8	5.5
Elongation factor 1-delta	EEF1D	P29692	5	6	5.5
Transgelin-2	TAGLN2	P37802	7	4	5.5
Arginine—tRNA ligase, cytoplasmic	RARS	P54136	7	4	5.5
60S ribosomal protein L11	RPL11	P62913	2	9	5.5
Elongation factor 1-alpha 1	EEF1A1	P68104	6	5	5.5
Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein	NONO	Q15233	8	3	5.5
Mitochondrial import inner membrane translocase subunit TIM50	TIMM50	Q3ZCQ8	9	2	5.5
DNL-type zinc finger protein	DNLZ	Q5SXM8	9	2	5.5
Putative elongation factor 1-alpha-like 3	EEF1A1P5	Q5VTE0	6	5	5.5
Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein U-like protein 1	HNRNPUL1	Q9BUJ2	8	3	5.5
Myosin-4	MYH4	Q9Y623	2	9	5.5
Heat shock protein beta-1	HSPB1	P04792	6	4	5
60S ribosomal protein L7	RPL7	P18124	5	5	5
60S ribosomal protein L29	RPL29	P47914	3	7	5
Clathrin heavy chain 1	CLTC	Q00610	8	2	5
LIM and SH3 domain protein 1	LASP1	Q14847	8	2	5
UPF0515 protein C19orf66	C19orf66	Q9NUL5	6	4	5

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006892.t001

rocaglamide (100 nM) (**Fig 5C**), further supporting a specific anti-LCMV activity of ouabain and rocaglamide that was not due to reduced cell viability.

#### Roles of ATP1A1 and PHB in different steps of the LCMV life cycle

To gain insights about the mechanisms by which ouabain and rocaglamide exert their anti-LCMV activity, we examined effects of these compounds on distinct steps of the LCMV life cycle. First, we asked whether ouabain and rocaglamide affected cell entry of LCMV. We conducted time-of-addition experiments in which we treated cells with ouabain or rocaglamide prior to virus inoculation (-1.5 h), at the time of inoculation (0 h), or 1.5 h pi (+1.5 h) (**Fig 6A**). In some samples, we used ammonium chloride starting at 4 h pi to block multiple rounds of virus infection. The timing of compound addition did not significantly change the number of eGFP-positive cells, indicating that neither ouabain nor rocaglamide inhibited cell entry of LCMV. The number of eGFP<sup>+</sup> cells in ouabain-treated cells was reduced at all time-of-addition points compared to vehicle dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)-treated cells, but was similar to that

Description	Gene Symbol	Accession	Spectral count				Fold change		
			NP eGFP						
			Exp. 1	Exp. 2	Ave.	Exp. 1	Exp. 2	Ave.	NP/EGFP
Tubulin alpha-1B chain	TUBA1B	P68363	169	51	110	6	27	16.5	6.666666667
Tubulin alpha-1C chain	TUBA1C	Q9BQE3	166	50	108	6	27	16.5	6.545454545
Nuclear fragile X mental retardation-interacting protein 2	NUFIP2	Q7Z417	358	40	199	21	51	36	5.52777778
Tubulin beta chain	TUBB	P07437	122	26	74	3	39	21	3.523809524
Filamin-A	FLNA	P21333	216	82	149	7	81	44	3.386363636
Guanine nucleotide-binding protein subunit beta-2-like 1	GNB2L1	P63244	80	8	44	3	24	13.5	3.259259259
Tubulin beta-4B chain	TUBB4B	P68371	110	24	67	7	36	21.5	3.11627907
Ataxin-2-like protein	ATXN2L	Q8WWM7	367	191	279	38	155	96.5	2.89119171
40S ribosomal protein S18	RPS18	P62269	76	41	58.5	13	28	20.5	2.853658537
Heat shock cognate 71 kDa protein	HSPA8	P11142	275	89	182	37	109	73	2.493150685
YTH domain-containing family protein 3	YTHDF3	Q7Z739	8	16	12	7	3	5	2.4
Heat shock-related 70 kDa protein 2	HSPA2	P54652	110	67	88.5	32	44	38	2.328947368
Pre-mRNA-processing factor 40 homolog A	PRPF40A	O75400	43	8	25.5	5	17	11	2.318181818
Stress-70 protein, mitochondrial	HSPA9	P38646	369	117	243	61	174	117.5	2.068085106
Protein SET	SET	Q01105	17	16	16.5	11	5	8	2.0625
Nuclease-sensitive element-binding protein 1	YBX1	P67809	54	11	32.5	28	4	16	2.03125
Transitional endoplasmic reticulum ATPase	VCP	P55072	31	11	21	4	17	10.5	2

#### Table 2. Identified host-cell proteins with spectral counts at least 2-fold higher in NP pull down samples than eGFP samples.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006892.t002

observed in ammonium chloride-treated cells. Thus, ouabain did not inhibit LCMV RNA replication and gene expression, but rather a late step of the LCMV life cycle. In contrast, rocaglamide treatment resulted in a negligible number of eGFP<sup>+</sup> cells, indicating that rocaglamide inhibited virus RNA replication and gene transcription.

To further investigate the effect of ouabain and rocaglamide on virus RNA synthesis, we infected A549 cells with a recombinant single-cycle infectious LCMV expressing eGFP (rLCMV $\Delta$ GPC/eGFP) and treated cells with either ouabain or rocaglamide. Seventy-two hours later, we determined percent normalized eGFP expression in infected cells (**Fig 6B**). Consistent with our results from the time-of-addition experiment, ouabain did not affect reporter eGFP expression. However, rocaglamide reduced eGFP expression, confirming inhibitory effect of rocaglamide on virus RNA synthesis.

We also examined the effect of ouabain and rocaglamide on the late step of the arenavirus life cycle, Z-mediated budding. For this experiment, we transfected cells with Z-Strep- and Z-FLAG (DYKDDDDK epitope)-expressing plasmids from LCMV and LASV, respectively. At 24 h post-transfection, we removed the tissue culture supernatant (TCS) and washed extensively transfected cells to eliminate already budded Z. We cultured the transfected cells in the presence or absence of ouabain or rocaglamide. At 24 h, we determined by WB levels of Z protein in both whole cell lysates and associated with virus-like particles (VLPs) collected from TCS. Treatment with rocaglamide, but not with ouabain, caused a reduction in both LCMV and LASV Z budding efficiency (**Fig 6C and 6D**). The reproducibility of these findings was confirmed based on results from four independent experiments (**Fig 6E**). We also examined whether ouabain could interfere with a step of assembly of infectious progeny that was not captured by the Z budding assay through two additional experiments. The first experiment involved the use of a newly generated single-cycle infectious recombinant LCMV expressing the reporter gene ZsGreen (scrLCMV/ZsG-P2A-NP) to infect (MOI = 0.1) A549 cells (1<sup>st</sup> infection). These cells were subsequently transfected with a plasmid expressing LCMV GPC.



**Fig 3. Effect of knock-down of genes identified LC-MS/MS analysis on LCMV multiplication. (A)** Effect of siRNA-mediated knockdown expression of genes identified by proteomics analysis. A549 cells (1,000 cells/well) in a 384-well plate were reverse transfected with siRNA pools targeting each indicated gene. At 72 h post-transfection, cells were infected (MOI = 0.05) with rLCMV/ZsG. At 48 h pi, cells were fixed and stained with DAPI. ZsGreen and DAPI signals were measured by a fluorescence plate reader. ZsGreen signal was normalized to DAPI signal. Normalized values from cells transfected with the control (NC, black bar) non-specific siRNA was set to 100%. A panel of 154 siRNAs including NC siRNA was evaluated three independent times. Results from three independent experiments were sorted by mean values and assigned to three graphs with SD. Each graph includes identical NC results (black bar) for reference. **(B)** Reduction of protein expression of ATP1A1 and PHB by siRNA-mediated gene knockdown. A549 cells (3.0 x 10<sup>4</sup> cells/well) were reverse transfected in a 24-well plate with siRNA pools against either ATP1A1 or PHB or with NC siRNA. At 72 h post-transfection, total cell lysate was prepared, and expression of ATP1A1 and PHB in cell lysates were determined by western blots. **(C)** Effect of siRNA-mediated kd of ATP1A1 on production of infectious LCMV progeny. A549 cells (1.5 x 10<sup>4</sup> cells/well; 48-well plate) were reverse-transfected with siRNA against ATP1A1 or with NC siRNA. 72 h lower collected. At 48 h pi, cells were fixed and ZsGreen expression examined by fluorescence microscopy (i). Bar, 200 µm. Virus titers in TCSs were collected. At 48 h pi, cells were fixed and ZsGreen expression examined by fluorescence microscopy (i). Bar, 200 µm. Virus titers in TCSs were determined by IFFA (ii). Data represent means ± SD of results from three independent experiments. LoD, limit of detection.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006892.g003

At 24 h pi, we washed the infected cells to remove the extracellular virus produced during the first 24 h of infection, and added fresh media containing ouabain (40 nM) or vehicle control (DMSO).

After 24 h, we used TCS to infect a fresh cell monolayer ( $2^{nd}$  infection) and identified infected cells based on ZsGreen expression. To assess the effect of ouabain on de novo assembly of infectious progeny we determined normalized ratios ( $2^{nd}/1^{st}$  infection) of ZsGreen + cells (**Fig 6F**). The second experiment involved infection (MOI = 0.1) of cells with WT LCMV, and 48 h later we washed infected cells three times to remove the extracellular infectious progeny produced during the first 48 h of infection. Then, fresh media containing ouabain or DMSO vehicle control were added, and 24 h later we determined virus titers in TCS (**Fig 6G**). Results from both experiments consistently showed that ouabain did not inhibit assembly de novo of extracellular infectious virus.

Combination therapy can significantly alleviate the problem posed by the rapid emergence of drug-resistant variants commonly observed during monotherapy strategies to control RNA virus infections. Since ouabain and rocaglamide inhibited different steps of the LCMV life cycle, we examined whether their use in combination results in a synergistic anti-LCMV effect. For this experiment, we infected A549 cells with rLCMV/eGFP and treated them with ouabain and rocaglamide using different concentrations and combinations. At 48 h pi, we determined percent eGFP expression (Fig 7). Combination treatment with ouabain and rocaglamide resulted in synergistic anti-LCMV activity that was enhanced under conditions using higher concentrations of ouabain and lower concentrations of rocaglamide.

# Effects of pharmacological inhibition of ATP1A1 and PHB on multiplication of LASV and JUNV

We next asked whether the ATP1A1 and PHB host-cell factors contributed also to multiplication of viral hemorrhagic fever-causing LASV. We treated A549 cells with ouabain, bufalin, or rocaglamide and inoculated the treated cells with recombinant LASV expressing eGFP (rLASV/eGFP). eGFP expression was examined 48 h later. Similar to rLCMV infection, LASV multiplication was restricted in ouabain-, bufalin-, or rocaglamide-treated cells at concentrations minimally impacting cell viability, although their IC<sub>50</sub> values were slightly higher than those found with the LCMV infection system (Fig 5B and S2 Fig) as ouabain had IC<sub>50</sub> of 9.34 nM, bufalin had IC<sub>50</sub> of 1.66 nM and rocaglamide had IC<sub>50</sub> of 37.0 nM (Fig 8). We also tested the effect of compounds targeting ATP1A1 and PHB on multiplication of JUNV. Consistent with our results obtained with LCMV and LASV, ouabain, bufalin, and rocaglamide strongly suppressed JUNV multiplication (S3 Fig). These findings indicate that ATP1A1 and PHB function as pro-viral factors of a range of mammarenaviruses.



**Fig 4. Co-localization of NP with ATP1A1 and PHB. (A)** Intracellular distributions of NP and ATP1A1 or PHB. A549 cells seeded (5 x 10<sup>°</sup> cells/ well) in a 24-well plate and cultured overnight were infected (MOI = 0.1) with rLCMV Cl-13 or remained uninfected (mock). At 48 h pi, cells were fixed, stained with primary mouse anti ATP1A1 or PHB antibody followed by secondary anti-mouse IgG antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 568 (anti-mouse IgG-AF568). Subsequently, cells were stained with VL-4-AF488 (anti-NP), and observed by a confocal microscope. Bars, 20 µm. **(B)** Comparison of non-weighted and weighted co-localization coefficients (CC). Non-weighted CC were determined by dividing the sum of both green and red positive pixels by the sum of green-positive pixels. Thresholds were determined based on the signal intensity of mock-infected sample stained with VL-4-AF488 and anti-mouse IgG-AF568. Weighted CC were determined by taking into consideration the brightness of each channel signal. *p* values were determined by a two-tailed paired *t* test using GraphPad Prism software.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006892.g004



**Fig 5. Effect of pharmacological inhibition of ATP1A1 and PHB on LCMV multiplication. (A)** A549 cells seeded (1.25 x 10<sup>5</sup> cells/well) in 24-well plates and cultured overnight were treated with either ouabain (OUA) (i) or rocaglamide (Roc-A) (ii) at indicated concentrations or with DMSO (vehicle control) for 2 h and then infected (MOI = 0.01) with rLCMV/eGFP. Compounds were present throughout the experiment. At 24 and 48 h pi, TCSs were collected, and virus titers determined by IFFA. Data represent means  $\pm$  SD of results from three independent experiments. LoD, the limit of detection. (**B**) Inhibitory effects of ouabain and Roc-A on virus propagation and cell viability. A549 cells seeded (2.0 x 10<sup>4</sup> cells/well) in 96-well plates and cultured overnight were treated with 3-fold serial dilutions of either ouabain (i) or Roc-A (ii) for 2 h and then infected (MOI = 0.01) with rLCMV/eGFP. Compounds were present throughout the experiment. At 48 h pi, cells were fixed to examine eGFP expression and cell viability as determined by CellTiter 96 AQ<sub>ueous</sub> one solution reagent. The data represent means  $\pm$  SD of the results from Roc-A treatment on rVSV/eGFP multiplication. A549 cells seeded (1.25 x 10<sup>5</sup> the results to 0.250 by IC<sub>50</sub>. (C) Effect of ouabain r Roc-A treatment on rVSV/eGFP multiplication. A549 cells seeded (1.25 x 10<sup>5</sup> cells/well) and cultured overnight were treated with either ouabain (10 nM) Roc-A (100 nM), or vehicle control (DMSO) for 2 h and infected (MOI = 0.01) with either rLCMV/eGFP or rVSV/eGFP. At 72 h pi, TCS was collected, and virus titers were determined by 1FFA (rLCMV/eGFP, expressed as FFUs) or a plaque assay (rVSV/eGFP, expressed as PFUs). Compounds were present to study endpoint. Results represent means  $\pm$  SD of the results of three independent experiments.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006892.g005

#### Discussion

We identified ATP1A1 and PHB as novel host-cell proteins that contribute to efficient multiplication of mammarenaviruses. Our approach using a recombinant LCMV expressing NP with an affinity tag facilitated defining the NP interactome in the context of LCMV infection. Using virus-infected cells, viral protein expression and interactions are regulated by the natural physiological conditions of the infection. These experimental conditions overcome concerns about potential artifactual interactions due to plasmid-mediated overexpression of a single viral protein in transfected cells. Infection with live virus can also overcome the technical



**Fig 6. ATP1A1 and PHB are involved in different steps of the mammarenavirus life cycle. (A)** Influence of time of addition of ouabain (OUA) or rocaglamide (Roc-A) on virus multiplication. A549 cells seeded ( $2.5 \times 10^5$  cells/well) in a 12-well plate and cultured overnight were infected (moi = 0.1) with rLCMV/eGFP or remained uninfected (mock). OUA (10 nM), Roc-A (100 nM), or DMSO (0.01%) was added to the culture media at the indicated time points and remained present throughout the end of the experiment. Ammonium chloride (20 mM) was added to culture medium at 4 h pi to prevent multiple rounds of virus infection. At 24 h pi, eGFP expression in infected cells was examined by flow cytometry. Data represent mean  $\pm$  SD of the results of three independent experiments. (**B**) Effect of ouabain and Roc-A on LCMV replication. A549 cells seeded ( $1.25 \times 10^5$  cells/well) in 24-well plates and cultured overnight were infected (MOI = 1) with rLCMV $\Delta$ GPC/eGFP, followed by addition of the indicated concentrations of ouabain or Roc-A. At 72 h pi, total cell lysates were prepared, and eGFP expression levels were measured using a fluorescent plate reader. Data represent mean  $\pm$  SD of three independent experiments. (**C**-**E**) Effect of ouabain and Roc-A on Z-mediated budding. Cells (HEK 293T) seeded ( $3.5 \times 10^5$  cells/well) in a 12-well plate and cultured overnight were transfected with 0.5 µg of either pC-Empty or pC-LCMV-Z-Strep (LCMV-Z-Strep) (**C**) or pC-LASV-Z-FLAG (LASV-Z-FLAG) (**D**, **E**). At 24

h post-transfection, cells were washed with fresh media to eliminate Z-mediated production of VLPs in the absence of compound treatment, and cultured for another 24 h in fresh media in the presence of ouabain or Roc-A at the indicated concentrations. VLPs present in TCS were collected by ultracentrifugation, and cell lysates were prepared. Z protein expression in VLPs and cell lysates were determined by western blots using antibodies to Strep-tag (C) and FLAG-tag (D). Budding efficiency for each sample was estimated by dividing the signal intensity of the Z protein associated with VLPs by that of Z detected in the cell lysate. Numbers on the bottom of panel C correspond to LCMV Z budding efficiencies determined in a representative experiment. Results shown in panel E correspond to the average and SD from four independent experiments including the one shown in panel D. The mean budding efficiency of DMSO treatedsamples was set to 100%. Data represent mean ± SD of four independent experiments. (F) Effect of ouabain on incorporation of viral glycoprotein into virions. 293T cells seeded ( $4.0 \times 10^5$  cells/well) in a 12-well plate and cultured overnight were infected (MOI = 0.1) with scrLCMV/ZsG ( $1^{st}$  infection) for 2 h and subsequently transfected with 0.5 µg of pC-GPC. At 24 h pi, cells were washed with fresh medium to eliminate infectious virus particle produced in the absence of compound treatment, and cultured for another 24 h in fresh media in the presence of ouabain at 40 nM (OUA). At 48 h pi, TCS was collected and used to infect fresh monolayer of BHK-21 cells (2<sup>nd</sup> infection) seeded (4.0 x 10<sup>5</sup> cells/well) in a 12-well plate 1 day before the infection, and 293T cell lysate was prepared. 24 h later, BHK-21 cell lysate was prepared. ZsGreen signal intensity was measured by a fluorescent plate reader. GP-incorporation efficiency was estimated by dividing ZsGreen signal intensity in BHK-21 cell lysate (2<sup>nd</sup>) by that in 293T cell lysate (1<sup>st</sup>). The mean GP-incorporation efficiency of DMSO treated samples was set to 100%. Data represent means ± SD from three independent experiments. (G) Effect of ouabain on the late stage of LCMV infection. A549 cells seeded (1.25 x 10<sup>5</sup> cells/well) and cultured overnight were infected (MOI = 0.1) with rLCMV/eGFP. At 48 h pi, cells were washed with fresh medium to eliminate infectious virus particle produced in the absence of compound treatment, and cultured for another 24 h in fresh medium in the presence of ouabain (OUA) at indicated concentrations. At 72 h pi, TCS was collected and virus titers were determined by IFFA. Data represent means ± SD from three independent experiments.

#### https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006892.g006

complications of transfection efficiency of plasmid-based expression systems, which limits the cell types that can be used to define viral protein interactomes. Our rLCMV/Strep-NP methodology can be used in primary cells, including dendritic cells and monocytes, which are important cell targets during natural mammarenavirus infections but have low transfection efficiency.

Recently, using an NP-specific monoclonal antibody (mAb) to precipitate NP and associated cellular protein partners in a mammarenavirus NP interactome, King *et al.* identified 348 host proteins that associated with LCMV NP [45]. We found 99 common proteins between our filtered LCMV NP interactome of 171 proteins and the LCMV NP interactome documented by King *et al.* Differences in both experimental conditions and analysis methodologies used to generate the LCMV NP interactome documented by King *et al.* and ours likely



**Fig 7. Synergistic antiviral effect of ouabain and rocaglamide on rLCMV/eGFP multiplication.** A549 cells seeded (2.0 x 10<sup>4</sup> cells/well) in 96-well plates and cultured overnight were treated with combinations of ouabain and Roc-A at indicated concentrations for 2 h and then infected (MOI = 0.01) with rLCMV/eGFP. Compounds were present throughout the end of experiment. At 48 h pi, cells were fixed and stained with DAPI. eGFP and DAPI signals were measured by a fluorescent plate reader. eGFP signal was normalized to DAPI signal, and the normalized data were used to analyze synergistic effect by MacSynergy II software. Data represent % synergy (% inhibition over the expected [additive effect]) at the 95% confidence interval from five independent experiments.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006892.g007



**Fig 8. Inhibitory effects of ouabain, bufalin, and rocaglamide on LASV propagation.** A549 cells seeded in a 96-well plate ( $3.0 \times 10^4$  cells/well) and cultured overnight were treated with 2-fold serial compound dilutions at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 2 h, followed by inoculation with rLASV/eGFP (MOI = 0.01). Compounds were present to study endpoint. At 48 h pi, cells were fixed with 4% PFA in PBS, and eGFP expression was examined by a fluorescent plate reader. Mean values obtained with DMSO-treated and rLASV/eGFP-infected cells were set to 100%. The data represent means  $\pm$  SD of the results of three replicates.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006892.g008

contributed to the observed differences between data sets. Despite progress in the implementation of global proteomics-based screens to identify virus-host protein-protein interactions, overlap between datasets for the same viral system is usually limited. However, the substantial overlap of 99 of the 171 NP-interacting proteins from both studies supports the overall reliability of both systems. We used results of the eGFP-Strep interactome, determined in r3LCMV/eGFP-Strep-infected cells, as a control to filter out non-specific NP interactions, which may have resulted in a higher degree of stringency than in the study by King *et al* for selection of NP-interacting candidates. The combined information provided by the NP interactome reported by King *et al*. and the one we present in this work, will facilitate future studies to further characterize the functional and biological implications of NP-host cell interacting proteins.

All tested mammarenavirus NPs, with exception of the NP from TCRV, blocked IRF-3-dependent IFN-I induction [25, 46]. The anti-IFN activity of NP was mapped to its C-terminal part and linked to the 3'-5' exonuclease domain present with the NP C-terminal part [30]. Inhibitor-B kinase  $\varepsilon$  (IKK $\varepsilon$ ) was identified as an NP-binding protein using plasmid-mediated overexpression in transfected cells [47], and the NP-IKK $\varepsilon$  binding affinity correlated with NP's ability to inhibit IFN-I induction [47]. We, as well as the work by King *et al.* [45], did not detect this NP-IKK $\varepsilon$  interaction. This discrepancy may have been caused by very low expression of IKK $\varepsilon$  in LCMV-infected cells, which prevented detection of IKK $\varepsilon$  by LC-MS/MS. Alternatively, NP-IKK $\varepsilon$  interaction could possibility be temporarily regulated and take place at early times pi, but could be mostly absent at 48 h pi, the time at which we prepared the cell lysates for our proteomics studies. Future studies comparing the NP interactome at different times during infection will contribute to a better understanding of the dynamics of NP/hostcell protein interactions.

Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase is a well-characterized membrane ion transporter and is composed of two functional subunits ( $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ) and one regulatory  $\gamma$  subunit [48]. ATP1A1 represents one of four  $\alpha$  subunits [49, 50]. Recent evidence has suggested that the Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase is involved in multiple cell signaling pathways that are independent of its ion-pumping function [51]. Cardiac glycoside inhibitors of the Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase (NKA), so-called cardiotonic steroids (CST; e.g., ouabain, bufalin), have been shown to inhibit multiplication of different viruses including Ebola virus [35], coronaviruses [36], herpes simplex virus 1 [52, 53], CHIKV [54], human immunodeficiency virus 1 (HIV-1) [55], adenovirus [56] and porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus 1 [57].

Different mechanisms are likely to contribute to the antiviral activity of CSTs, including altered cell functions modulated by the signaling activity of Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase [58]. Thus, a low concentration of ouabain induces a conformational change in ATP1A1 that results in activation and release of proto-oncogene tyrosine protein kinase, Src, from ATP1A1, followed by activation of as yet unknown downstream signaling that inhibits, for instance, cell entry of murine hepatitis virus (MHV) [59]. However, our results indicated that ouabain did not interfere with LCMV cell entry. In addition, treatment with the Src inhibitor 4-amino-5-(4-methylphenyl)-7-(t-butyl)pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine (PP1) did not counteract the anti-LCMV activity of ouabain (S4 Fig). Nevertheless, ATP1A1-mediated Src signaling could plausibly contribute to the inhibitory effect of ouabain on JUNV multiplication as similarly to that observed with MHV. Moreover, cell entry of JUNV occurs also by clathrin-mediated endocytosis [60], a process affected by Src signaling. Ouabain has been clinically used in several European countries for the management of congestive heart failure, whereas bufalin has been tested in clinical trials for cancer treatments [61], and the CST digoxin has been FDA-approved since 1997 to treat heart failure and atrial fibrillation. Hence, opportunities for the repurposing CSTs have potential as therapeutics to treat infections caused by viral hemorrhagic fever-causing arenaviruses.

The PHB inhibitor, rocaglamide, appeared to interfere with LCMV RNA synthesis and budding, but did not affect LCMV cell entry. In contrast, PHB was reported to be a cell entry receptor for DENV-2 [39] and CHIKV [40]. On the other hand, PHB did not act as a virus cell

entry receptor for HCV. Rather, PHB contributed to HCV cell entry through binding to cellular RAF (c-Raf; proto-oncogene serine/threonine-protein kinase) and subsequent Harvey rat sarcoma proto-oncogene (HRas) activation that induces a signal transduction pathway required for epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-mediated HCV cell entry [37]. In addition, siRNA-mediated kd of PHB decreased production of H5N1 FLUAV [38]. These findings indicate that PHB is involved in different steps of the life cycle of a variety of viruses, and thereby an attractive target for the development of broad-spectrum antiviral drugs.

Rocaglate is a group of natural compounds, which includes rocaglamide, that inhibits protein synthesis by targeting the ATP-dependent DEAD-box RNA helicase eukaryotic initiation factor 4A (eIF4A) and exerts anti-tumor activity [62, 63]. The rocaglate compound, silvestrol, inhibits Ebola virus multiplication likely by interfering with the role of eIF4A in viral protein translation [64].

While we focused on two host proteins, ATP1A1 and PHB, in this study, our proteomics approach also identified several NP-interacting host-cell proteins whose kd expression via siRNA resulted in increased LCMV multiplication. These proteins, which included MAP1B, might have anti-LCMV activity. MAP1B has been shown to bind to nonstructural proteins 1 (NS1) and 2 (NS2) of human respiratory syncytial virus (HRSV) [34]. NS1 and NS2 of HRSV antagonizes host IFN-I response by reducing the levels of TNF receptor associated factor (TRAF3), IKK $\epsilon$  (NS1), and STAT2 (NS2) [65]. NS2-MAP1B interaction interfered with HRSV NS2's ability to reduce levels of STAT2, whereas the role of NS1-MAP1B interaction remains to be determined [34]. Examining the role of NP-MAP1B interaction in modulating NP's ability to inhibit induction of type I IFN is of interest.

We identified among the NP-interacting host cell proteins the RNA helicase Moloney leukemia virus 10 (MOV10), which has been reported to be an antiviral factor for FLUAV [66], retroviruses [67–71], and DENV-2 [72]. We did not observe increased LCMV multiplication in cells subjected to siRNA-mediated kd of MOV10, a finding that would question an anti-LCMV activity of MOV10. However, we consider that LCMV has already optimal multiplication in A549 cells and further increases may occur only under rather unique conditions. MOV10 was shown to enhance IRF-3-mediated IFN-I induction following SeV infection through a tank binding kinase 1 (TBK1)-independent and IKK $\epsilon$ -dependent manner. This finding was further supported by demonstrating MOV10-IKK $\epsilon$  interaction by co-immunoprecipitation studies [73]. We documented that the anti-IFN activity of mammarenavirus NP correlated with its ability to interact with IKK $\epsilon$  [47]. Whether NP-MOV10 interaction prevents MOV10 from enhancing IRF-3-mediated IFN-I induction remains to be determined.

Several members of the mammalian chaperonin-containing T-complex (CCT) were identified as prominent hits in our NP interactome. The mammalian CCT is critical for folding of many proteins with important functions in diverse cellular processes [74], and may protect complex protein topologies within its central cavity during biosynthesis and folding [75]. The contribution of CCT members to NP assembly into a nucleocapsid structure could account for their presence in the NP, but not eGFP, interactome. Interestingly, members of the CCT have been implicated in multiplication of different viruses including rabies virus [76, 77], HCV [78] and FLUAV [79]. However, the role of these CCT proteins in virus multiplication remains unknown and may involve functions other than acting as molecular chaperones.

Previous studies documented the presence of several components of the of eIF4F, including 4A, within viral replication-transcription complexes (RTC) detected in cells infected with LCMV [80] and TCRV [81]. These findings, together with the detection of a number of ribosomal proteins in the NP interactome, suggest that translation of viral mRNAs may take place within RTC. However, rocaglamide interference with the activity of eIF4A within the viral RTC might contribute to its anti-LCMV activity. In this work, we documented the generation of rLCMV/Strep-NP and its use to define the NP-interactome in infected cells. We presented evidence that ATP1A1 and PHB contribute to efficient multiplication of mammarenaviruses using genetics and pharmacological inhibition of the genes. Consistent with our findings, bioinformatic analysis revealed that the protein network associated with ATP1A1 and PHB involves host cell proteins with functions in biological processes that have been implicated in virus multiplication (S5 Fig). The overall experimental approach described here can facilitate the identification of biologically relevant NP-interacting host-cell proteins. Future studies elucidating the roles of pro- and antiviral host-cell factors identified in this study in mammarenavirus multiplication will advance our understanding of the multiple functions of NP and uncover novel cellular targets for the development of anti-mammarenaviral drugs. In addition, by identifying proviral host-cell factors, drugs that are already approved can be repurposing as therapeutics to combat human pathogenic mammarenavirus infections.

### Materials and methods

#### Cells

Baby hamster kidney BHK-21 (American Type Culture Collection, ATCC, CCL-10), house mouse L929 (ATCC CCL-1), grivet Vero E6 (ATCC CRL-1586), human A549 (ATCC CCL-185), and human HEK 293T (ATCC CRL-3216) cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 2 mM of L-glutamine, 100 mg/ml of streptomycin, and 100 U/ml of penicillin at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

#### Generation of recombinant viruses

WT recombinant LCMVs, Armstrong (rLCMV ARM) and clone-13 (rLCMV Cl-13) strains, were generated as described [30, 82, 83]. Generation of rLCMV/NP(D382A) and SeV, strain Cantell, was described [30, 82, 83]. An rLCMV lacking GPC and expressing eGFP (rLCMV $\Delta$ GPC/eGFP) was generated by reverse genetics using procedures previously described [84]. rLCMV/Strep-NP and r3LCMV/eGFP-Strep were generated by reverse genetics using similar procedures to generate WT rLCMV and tri-segmented LCMV (r3LCMV) expressing eGFP [30]. For the generation of these novel rLCMVs, we created pollS Cl-13 plasmids that directed Pol1-mediated intracellular synthesis of recombinant LCMV S genome RNA species coding for Strep-tagged NP or eGFP, respectively (Fig 1A and 1B). The rLCMV expressing eGFP (rLCMV/eGFP) was generated as described [85], and the rLCMV expressing ZsGreen (rLCMV/ZsG) instead of eGFP was generated by reverse genetics using similar procedures to generate rLCMV/eGFP. Generation of rLASV expressing eGFP (rLASV/eGFP) will be described elsewhere. A tri-segmented recombinant live-attenuated Candid #1 strain of JUNV expressing eGFP (r3JUNV/eGFP) was generated as described [86]. For the generation of a novel single cycle rLCMV expressing ZsGreen (scrLCMV/ZsG-P2A-NP), a pol1S plasmid was created by omitting GPC open reading frame (ORF) from pol1S plasmid used for the generation of rLCMV/ZsG. scrLCMV/ZsG-P2A-NP was rescued by reverse genetics using similar procedures to generate rLCMV $\Delta$ GPC/eGFP [84].

#### Virus titration

LCMV titers were determined by immunofocus forming assay (IFFA) as described [87]. Briefly, 10-fold serial virus dilutions were used to infect Vero E6 cell monolayers in a 96-well plate, and at 20 h pi, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). After cell permeabilization by treatment with dilution buffer (DB) (0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS-containing 3% bovine serum albumin [BSA]), cells were stained with a rat mAb to NP (VL-4, Bio X Cell, West Lebanon, NH) conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 (VL-4-AF488, Protein Labeling Kit, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). VSV titers were determined by a plaque assay.

#### Western blot

Total cell lysates were prepared in PD lysis buffer (+) (250 mM of NaCl, 50 mM of Tris-HCl [pH = 7.5], 0.5% TritonX-100, 10% glycerol, 1 mM of MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 µM of CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 µM of ZnCl<sub>2</sub>) and clarified by centrifugation at 21,130 x g at 4°C for 10 min. Clarified lysates were mixed at a 1:1 ratio with loading buffer (100 mM of Tris [pH 6.8], 20% 2-mercaptoethanol, 4% SDS, 0.2% bromophenol blue, 20% glycerol) and boiled for 5 min. Proteins samples were fractionated by SDS-PAGE using 4–20% gradient polyacrylamide gels (Mini-PROTEAN TGX gels 4–20%, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), and proteins were transferred by electroblotting onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Immobilin Transfer Membranes, Millipore, Billerica, MA). To detect Strep-tagged proteins, membranes were reacted with mouse monoclonal antibodies to Strep (QIAGEN, Germantown, MD), eGFP (Takara Bio USA, Mountain View, CA), GP<sub>2</sub> (We33/ 36), ATP1A1 (TehrmoFisher Scientific, Rockford, IL), PHB (Abcam, Cambridge, MA) or rabbit polyclonal antibodies to α-tubulin (Cell Signaling Technologies, Danvers, MA) or glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH, Millipore), respectively, followed by incubation with appropriate horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse or anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA). SuperSignal West Pico or Femto chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to elicit chemiluminescent signals that were visualized using ImageQuant LAS 4000 Imager (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Pittsburgh, PA).

#### Identification of NP-binding host-cell proteins

**Pull down of strep-tagged proteins from infected cell lysate.** A549 cells prepared in six 15-cm dishes (approximately 1.0 x  $10^8$  cells in total) were infected with either rLCMV/Strep-NP or r3LCMV/eGFP at an MOI of 0.1. At 48 h pi, cells were washed three times with ice-cold PBS, scraped into fresh ice-cold PBS, and centrifuged at 400 x *g* at 4°C for 10 min. Supernatant was removed, and cells were lysed with 12 ml of PD lysis buffer (+) supplemented with halt protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 5 µg/ml of deoxyribonuclease I (Worthington Biochemical Corporation, Lakewood, NJ). Lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 3,900 x *g* at 4°C for 30 min to remove cell debris. Clarified cell lysate was then incubated with strep-tactin sepharose resin (QIAGEN) at 4°C. After 2 h of incubation, the resin was washed three times with PD lysis buffer (+) and once with PD lysis buffer without TritonX-100 (PD lysis buffer [–]). After the centrifugation at 1,600 x *g* and 4°C for 5 min, the last wash buffer was removed, and protein complexes associated with the resin were eluted into 2 ml of PD lysis buffer (-) containing 2.5 mM of desthiobiotin. The eluate was then subjected to TCA precipitation followed by trypsin digestion.

Multidimensional protein identification technology microcolumn. A MudPIT microcolumn was prepared by first creating a Kasil frit at one end of an un-deactivated 250-µm outside diameter (OD) capillary tube (interior diameter of 360 µm)(Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA). The Kasil frit was prepared by briefly dipping a 20–30-cm capillary tube in 300 µl of Kasil 1624 potassium silicate well-mixed solution (PQ Corporation, Malvern, PA) and 100 µl of formamide, curing at 100°C for 4 h, and cutting the frit to a length of  $\approx$ 2 mm. Strong cation exchange particles (SCX Luna, 5-µm diameter, 125 Å pores, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA) were packed in-house from particle slurries in methanol to 2.5 cm. Reversed phase particles (2 cm, C18 Aqua,  $3-\mu$ m diameter, 125 Å pores, Phenomenex) were then successively packed onto the capillary tube using the same method as SCX loading.

MudPIT analysis. An analytical reversed-phase liquid chromatography column was generated by pulling a 100-µm (interior diameter (ID) of 360 µm) OD capillary tube (Polymicro Technologies, Phoenix, AZ) to 5-µm ID tip. Reversed-phase particles (Luna C18, 3-µm diameter, 125 Å pores, Phenomenex) were packed directly into the pulled column at 5.5 mPa until 15 cm long. The column was further packed, washed, and equilibrated at 10 mPa with buffer B (80% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid) followed by buffer A (5% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid). MudPIT and analytical columns were assembled using a zero-dead volume union (Upchurch Scientific, Oak Harbor, WA). LC-MS/MS analysis was performed with an Agilent high-pressure LC pump (Agilent) and linear quadrupole ion dual cell trap Orbitrap Velos (Thermo) using an in-house built electrospray stage. Electrospray was performed directly from the analytical column by applying the electrospray ionization (ESI) voltage at a tee (150 µm ID, Upchurch Scientific) directly downstream of a 1:1,000 split flow to reduce the flow rate to 300 nl/min through the columns. MudPIT experiments (10-step) were performed in which each step corresponds to 0, 10, 20, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, and 100% buffer C (500 mM of ammonium acetate, 0.1% formic acid, and 5% acetonitrile) and was run for 3 min at the beginning of a 110-min gradient.

**Data analysis.** Protein and peptide identification were performed with Integrated Proteomics Pipeline—IP2 (Integrated Proteomics Applications, San Diego, CA. http://www. integratedproteomics.com/) using ProLuCID and DTASelect2 algorithms. DTASelect parameters were—p 2 -y 1—trypstat—pfp .01 –extra-pI-DB-dm-in. Spectrum raw files were extracted into ms2 files from raw files using open source RawExtract 1.9.9 (Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA; http://fields.scripps.edu/downloads.php), and the tandem mass spectra were searched against a human protein database (UniprotKB). To accurately estimate peptide probabilities and false discovery rates, we used a decoy database containing the reversed sequences of all the proteins appended to the target database. Tandem mass spectra were matched to sequences using the ProLuCID algorithm with a 600-ppm peptide mass tolerance. ProLuCID searches were done on an Intel Xeon cluster processor running under the Linux operating system. The search space included half and fully tryptic peptide candidates that fell within the mass tolerance window with no miscleavage constraint. Carbamidomethylation (+57.02146 Da) of cysteine was considered as a static modification.

#### siRNA screening

A549 cells (1,000 cells/well) in a 384-well plate were reverse transfected with 0.5 pmol of siRNA pool (S2 Table) targeting each gene using 0.1  $\mu$ l of Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific) (final siRNA concentration was 10 nM), followed by incubation at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. At 72 h post-transfection, cells were infected (MOI = 0.05) with rLCMV/ZsG. siRNA target host-cell proteins were selected based on availability of validated siRNA sequences. The siRNAs we used to examine the effects on LCMV multiplication of knockdown expression of NP-interacting host cell protein candidate hits corresponded to the Genome-wide ON TAR-GET-Plus (OTP) Human siRNA library (18,301 genes, 4 siRNAs/gene; Dharmacon, Lafayette, CO).

#### Verification of siRNA knockdown of genes

A549 cells (3.0 x  $10^4$  cells/well) were reverse transfected in a 24-well plate with 6 pmol of siRNA pools targeting each gene using 1 µl of Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (final siRNA

concentration is 10 nM). At 72 h post-transfection, total cell lysate was prepared in modified lysis A buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl [pH = 8.0], 50 mM NaCl, 1%Triton X-100, 1.25% sodium deoxycholate) and clarified by centrifugation at 21,130 x g at 4°C for 10 min. The total protein concentration of clarified cell lysate was measured by Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The same amount of protein from each sample was subjected to SDS-PAGE, and the protein expression of siRNA-targeted genes was analyzed by western blots.

#### Measurement of green fluorescence and DAPI signals

Cells infected with eGFP- or ZsGreen-expressing rLCMV were fixed with 4% PFA in PBS. After cell permeabilization by treatment with DB, cells were stained with 4%,-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Green fluorescence (eGFP or ZsGreen) and DAPI signals were measured by a fluorescent plate reader (Synergy H4 Hybrid Multi-Mode Microplate Reader, Bio-Tek, Winooski, VT).

### Immunofluorescence assay and co-localization analysis

Mock- and virus-infected cells were fixed with 4% PFA. After cell permeabilization and blocking by treatment with DB containing 1% normal goat serum, cells were incubated with primary mouse anti ATP1A1 or PHB antibody followed by secondary anti-mouse IgG antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluore 568 (anti-mouse IgG-AF568). Subsequently, cells were stained with VL-4-AF488. In some samples, primary antibody against ATP1A1 or PHB was omitted to determine background fluorescence. To visualize nuclei, DAPI Fluoromount-G (SouthernBiotech, Birmingham, AL) was used to mount coverslips on a slide glass. Stained cells were observed under a confocal microscope (LSM 710, Zeiss) and data analyzed by ZEN software (Zeiss). Co-localization analysis was performed on a pixel by pixel basis using Zen software (Zeiss). Eight green (NP- positive) cells were marked and every pixel in the marked area was plotted in the scatter diagram based on its intensity level from each channel. Thresholds for green and red channels were determined using mock-infected cells stained with VL-4-AF488 (anti-NP) and anti-mouse IgG antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 568, without using anti-ATP1A1 or -PHB antibodies. Each pixel was assigned a value of 1. Co-localization coefficients (CC) (or non-weighted CC) were determined by dividing the sum of both green-and red-positive pixels by the sum of green positive pixels. This calculation was repeated for eight individual cells. To assess the specificity of co-localization, we determined weighted CC by taking into consideration the brightness of each channel signal. Comparison of non-weighted and weighted CC allowed us to determine whether brighter pixels were present in the co-localized regions compared to the non-co-localized regions. p values were determined by a two-tailed paired t test using GraphPad Prism software.

# IC<sub>50</sub> determination

A549 or Vero E6 cells seeded  $(2.0 \times 10^4 \text{ cells/well})$  in a 96-well plate and cultured overnight were treated with 3-fold serial compound dilutions at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 2 h, followed by infection with rLCMV/eGFP (MOI = 0.01). Compounds were present to study endpoint. At 48 h pi, cells were fixed with 4% PFA in PBS, and eGFP expression was examined by a fluorescent plate reader (Synergy H4 Hybrid Multi-Mode Microplate Reader, BioTek). Mean values obtained with DMSO-treated and rLCMV/eGFP-infected cells were set to 100%. The IC<sub>50</sub> concentrations were determined using GraphPad Prism.

# CC<sub>50</sub> determination

A549 or Vero E6 cells seeded in a 96-well plate (2.0 x  $10^4$  cells/well) and cultured overnight were treated with 3-fold serial compound dilutions and cultured at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 48 h. Then, CellTiter 96 AQ<sub>ueous</sub> one solution reagent (Promega, Madison, WI) was added. Thereafter, the assay was performed according to the manufacturer's recommendations, and the absorbance (490 nm) was obtained using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) reader (SPECTRA max plus 384; Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Mean values obtained with DMSO-treated cells were set to 100%. The CC<sub>50</sub> concentrations were determined using GraphPad Prism.

### Analysis of virus replication and gene expression

Cells were infected (MOI = 1) with rLCMV $\Delta$ GPC/eGFP for 1.5 h or remained uninfected (mock). Compounds were then added to TCS. At 72 h pi, total cell lysates were prepared in cell lysis buffer (150 mM of NaCl, 50 mM of Tris-HCl [pH = 7.5], 0.5% nonyl phenoxypolyethoxylethanol [NP-40], 1 mM of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid [EDTA]) and clarified by centrifugation at 21,130 x g at 4°C for 10 min. The total protein concentration of clarified cell lysate was measured by Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). eGFP levels in clarified cell lysates adjusted to same total protein concentration with cell lysis buffer were measured by a fluorescent plate reader (Synergy H4 Hybrid Multi-Mode Microplate Reader, BioTek).

### **Budding assay**

A plasmid expressing C-terminus Strep-tagged Z protein (pC-LCMV-Z-Strep) was generated using similar procedure to generate a plasmid expressing C-terminus FLAG-tagged LASV Z protein (pC-LASV-Z-FLAG), and the budding assay was performed as previously described [88]. Cells (HEK 293T) in a 12-well plate were transfected with 0.5  $\mu$ g of empty pCAGGS vector or pC-LCMV-Z-Strep or pC-LASV-Z-FLAG using Lipofectamine 2000. At 5 h post-transfection, media were replaced with fresh media and incubated at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 19 h. Then the cells were three times washed with fresh medium. After the removal of the last wash medium, cells were cultured in fresh medium containing ouabain (30 or 40 nM) or rocagla-mide (50 or 100 nM) or equivalent concentration of DMSO, and 24 h later, virion-like particle (VLP)-containing TCS and cells were collected. Total cell lysate was prepared by lysing the cells with lysis buffer (1% NP-40, 50 mM of Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 62.5 mM NaCl, 0.4% sodium deoxycholate). After clarification of TCS from cell debris by centrifugation at 400 x g and 4°C for 10 min, VLPs were collected by ultracentrifugation at 100,000 x g and 4°C for 30 min through a 20% sucrose cushion. VLPs were resuspended in PBS, and Z expression in total cell lysate and TCS (containing VLPs) were analyzed by western blots.

## Flow cytometry

A549 cells infected with rLCMV/eGFP were harvested using Accutase cell detachment solution (Innovative Cell Technologies, San Diego, CA) and fixed with 4% PFA in PBS. eGFP expression was examined by flow cytometry using a BD LSR II (Becton Dickson), and data were analyzed with FlowJo (Tree Star, Inc., Ashland, OR).

#### Incorporation of viral glycoprotein into mature infectious viral particles

293T cells seeded ( $4.0 \ge 10^5$  cells/well) in a 12-well plate and cultured overnight were infected with scrLCMV/ZsG-P2A-NP for 2 h and subsequently transfected with 0.5 µg of pC-GPC. At

24 h pi, cells were three times washed with fresh media to eliminate infectious virus particle produced in the absence of compound treatment, and cultured for another 24 h in fresh media in the presence of 40 nM of ouabain or vehicle control (DMSO). At 48 h pi, TCS was collected and used to infect fresh monolayers of BHK-21 cells seeded ( $4.0 \times 10^5$  cells/well) in a 12-well plate 1 day before the infection, and 293T cell lysate was prepared. 24 h later, BHK-21 cell lysate was prepared. Total cell lysate was prepared in cell lysis buffer (150 mM of NaCl, 50 mM of Tris-HCl [pH = 7.5], 0.5% [NP-40], 1 mM of EDTA] and clarified by centrifugation at 21,130 x g at 4°C for 10 min. ZsGreen signal intensity in clarified cell lysate was measured by a fluorescent plate reader (Synergy H4 Hybrid Multi-Mode Microplate Reader, BioTek).

#### Assessment of synergy in combination compounds treatment

A549 cells seeded  $(2 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/well})$  in a 96-well plate and cultured overnight were treated with combinations of different concentrations of ouabain and rocaglamide for 2 h and then infected (MOI = 0.01) with rLCMV/eGFP. Compounds were present in the culture medium throughout the experiment. At 48 h pi, cells were fixed, permeabilized by treatment with DB, and stained with DAPI. eGFP and DAPI signals were measured by a fluorescent plate reader (Synergy H4 Hybrid Multi-Mode Microplate Reader, BioTek). eGFP readouts were normalized by DAPI readouts, and normalized data were used to analyze synergistic effect of the two compounds by the MacSynergy II program [89].

#### **Statistics**

Data were analyzed for *p* values by a two-tailed unpaired *t* test using GraphPad Prism software.

# **Supporting information**

S1 Fig. Effect of pharmacological inhibition of ATP1A1 and PHB on LCMV multiplicaiton. (A, B) Effects of ouabain and rocaglamide (Roc-A) on LCMV propagation. A549 (1.25 x  $10^5$  cells/well), HEK 293T (1.75 x  $10^5$  cells/well), Vero E6 (1.25 x  $10^5$  cells/well), L929 (1.25 x  $10^5$  cells/well), or BHK-21 (1.75 x  $10^5$  cells/well) cells seeded in 24-well plates and cultured overnight were pretreated with either ouabain (A) or Roc-A (B) at the indicated concentrations or with equivalent concentrations of DMSO for 2 h, followed by inoculation with rLCMV/eGFP at an MOI of 0.01 (A549, 293T, Vero E6, and BHK-21 cells) or 0.1 (L929 cells). At 48 h pi, eGFP expression was observed by a fluorescent microscope. (EPS)

**S2 Fig. Effect of bufalin on LCMV propagation and cell viability.** A549 and Vero E6 cells seeded in a 96-well plate ( $2.0 \times 10^4$  cells/well) and cultured overnight were treated with 3-fold dilutions of bufalin for 2 h and then infected (moi = 0.01) with rLCMV/eGFP. Bufalin was present throughout the end of experiment. At 48 h pi, cells were fixed to examine eGFP expression and cell viability as determined by CellTiter 96 AQ<sub>ueous</sub> one solution reagent. The data represent means  $\pm$  SD of the results of four (cell viability assay) or six (virus spread assay) replicates.

(EPS)

S3 Fig. Effect of chemical inhibition of ATP1A1 and PHB on JUNV multiplication. (A, B) Effects of ouabain, bufalin, and rocaglamide (Roc-A) on JUNV multiplication. Vero E6 cells seeded in a 24-well plate ( $1.25 \times 10^5$  cells/well) and cultured overnight were inoculated (moi = 0.01) with r3JUNV/eGFP followed by addition of indicated concentrations of ouabain, bufalin, or Roc-A, or equivalent concentration of DMSO to TCS. At 24 h (A) and 48 h (B) pi, eGFP expression in infected cells was examined by a fluorescent microscope. (EPS)

S4 Fig. Src signaling is not involved in inhibitory effect of ouabain on LCMV multiplication. A549 cells seeded  $(2.0 \times 10^4 \text{ cells/well})$  in 96-well plates and cultured overnight were treated with 3-fold serial dilutions of a Src inhibitor, PP1, in the presence or absence of 10 nM of ouabain for 2 h and then inoculated (MOI = 0.01) with rLCMV/eGFP. Compounds were present throughout the experiment. At 48 h pi, cells were fixed and stained with DAPI. eGFP and DAPI signals were measured by a fluorescent plate reader. eGFP signal was normalized to DAPI signal. The mean normalized value of vehicle (DMSO)-treated to rLCMV/eGFPinfected sample was set to 100%. Data represent means  $\pm$  SD of the results from six replicates. (EPS)

**S5 Fig. Network analysis associated with ATP1A1 and PHB.** Bioinformatic analysis by GeneMANIA was performed showing protein network associated with ATP1A1 and PHB (**A**) and list of functions where those proteins are involved (**B**). (EPS)

S1 Table. Complete list of host-cell proteins identified in pull down samples with spectral count of 2 or higher.

(XLSX)

**S2** Table. siRNA pool sequences used in Fig 3A. (XLSX)

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank Laura Bollinger (NIH/NIAID Integrated Research Facility at Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD, USA) for critically editing the manuscript, and Udayan Chatterji for his help with the analysis of synergy in compound combination treatment. This is manuscript # 29557 from The Scripps Research Institute.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Masaharu Iwasaki, Juan C. de la Torre.

Data curation: Masaharu Iwasaki, Petra Minder, Yíngyún Caì, Juan C. de la Torre.

Formal analysis: Masaharu Iwasaki, Petra Minder, Yíngyún Caì.

**Funding acquisition:** Masaharu Iwasaki, Yíngyún Caì, Jens H. Kuhn, John R. Yates, III, Bruce E. Torbett, Juan C. de la Torre.

Investigation: Masaharu Iwasaki, Petra Minder, Yíngyún Caì, Juan C. de la Torre.

Methodology: Masaharu Iwasaki, Petra Minder, Juan C. de la Torre.

Project administration: Jens H. Kuhn, Bruce E. Torbett, Juan C. de la Torre.

Resources: Jens H. Kuhn, John R. Yates, III, Bruce E. Torbett, Juan C. de la Torre.

Supervision: Bruce E. Torbett, Juan C. de la Torre.

Validation: Masaharu Iwasaki, Petra Minder, Yíngyún Caì.

Visualization: Masaharu Iwasaki, Petra Minder.

Writing - original draft: Masaharu Iwasaki, Juan C. de la Torre.

Writing – review & editing: Masaharu Iwasaki, Petra Minder, Yíngyún Caì, Jens H. Kuhn, Bruce E. Torbett, Juan C. de la Torre.

#### References

- Buchmeier MJ, Peters CJ, de la Torre JC. Arenaviridae: the viruses and their replication. In: Knipe DM, Holey PM, editors. Field's virology. 2. 5 ed: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, PA; 2007. p. 1791–851.
- Enria DA, Briggiler AM, Sanchez Z. Treatment of Argentine hemorrhagic fever. Antiviral research. 2008; 78(1):132–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2007.10.010 PMID: 18054395.
- Geisbert TW, Jahrling PB. Exotic emerging viral diseases: progress and challenges. Nature medicine. 2004; 10(12 Suppl):S110–21. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1142 PMID: 15577929.
- Khan SH, Goba A, Chu M, Roth C, Healing T, Marx A, et al. New opportunities for field research on the pathogenesis and treatment of Lassa fever. Antiviral research. 2008; 78(1):103–15. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.antiviral.2007.11.003 PMID: 18241935.
- McCormick JB, Fisher-Hock SP. Lassa fever. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 2002; 262:75–110. PMID: 11987809
- Peters CJ. Human infection with arenaviruses in the Americans. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 2002; 262:65–74. PMID: 11987808
- Richmond JK, Baglole DJ. Lassa fever: epidemiology, clinical features, and social consequences. Bmj. 2003; 327(7426):1271–5. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.327.7426.1271 PMID: 14644972; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC286250.
- Briese T, Paweska JT, McMullan LK, Hutchison SK, Street C, Palacios G, et al. Genetic detection and characterization of Lujo virus, a new hemorrhagic fever-associated arenavirus from southern Africa. PLoS pathogens. 2009; 5(5):e1000455. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000455 PMID: 19478873; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2680969.
- Paweska JT, Sewlall NH, Ksiazek TG, Blumberg LH, Hale MJ, Lipkin WI, et al. Nosocomial outbreak of novel arenavirus infection, southern Africa. Emerg Infect Dis. 2009; 15(10):1598–602. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.3201/eid1510.090211</u> PMID: 19861052; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2866397.
- Weissenbacher MC, Laguens RP, Coto CE. Argentine hemorrhagic fever. Current topics in microbiology and immunology. 1987; 134:79–116. PMID: 3034513.
- 11. Barton LL, Mets MB. Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus: pediatric pathogen and fetal teratogen. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 1999; 18(6):540–1. PMID: 10391186.
- Barton LL, Mets MB. Congenital lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus infection: decade of rediscovery. Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2001; 33(3):370–4. https://doi.org/10.1086/321897 PMID: 11438904.
- **13.** Barton LL, Mets MB, Beauchamp CL. Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus: emerging fetal teratogen. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology. 2002; 187(6):1715–6. PMID: 12501090.
- Jahrling PB, Peters CJ. Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus. A neglected pathogen of man. Archives of pathology & laboratory medicine. 1992; 116(5):486–8. PMID: <u>1316111</u>.
- Mets MB, Barton LL, Khan AS, Ksiazek TG. Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus: an underdiagnosed cause of congenital chorioretinitis. American journal of ophthalmology. 2000; 130(2):209–15. PMID: 11004296.
- Fischer SA, Graham MB, Kuehnert MJ, Kotton CN, Srinivasan A, Marty FM, et al. Transmission of lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus by organ transplantation. N Engl J Med. 2006; 354(21):2235–49. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa053240">https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa053240</a> PMID: 16723615.
- Peters CJ. Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus—an old enemy up to new tricks. The New England journal of medicine. 2006; 354(21):2208–11. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp068021 PMID: 16723613.
- U. S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institutes of Health. Biosafety in microbiological and biomedical laboratories (BMBL) 5th Edition. HHS Publication No. (CDC) 21–1112. https://www.cdc.gov/biosafety/publications/ bmbl5/bmbl.pdf2009.
- Damonte EB, Coto CE. Treatment of arenavirus infections: from basic studies to the challenge of antiviral therapy. Advances in virus research. 2002; 58:125–55. PMID: 12205778.
- Moreno H, Gallego I, Sevilla N, de la Torre JC, Domingo E, Martin V. Ribavirin can be mutagenic for arenaviruses. Journal of virology. 2011; 85(14):7246–55. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00614-11 PMID: 21561907; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3126590.

- Parker WB. Metabolism and antiviral activity of ribavirin. Virus research. 2005; 107(2):165–71. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2004.11.006">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2004.11.006</a> PMID: 15649562.
- Perez M, Craven RC, de la Torre JC. The small RING finger protein Z drives arenavirus budding: implications for antiviral strategies. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2003; 100(22):12978–83. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2133782100 PMID: 14563923; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC240730.
- Strecker T, Eichler R, Meulen J, Weissenhorn W, Klenk HD, Garten W, et al. Lassa virus Z protein is a matrix protein and sufficient for the release of virus-like particles [corrected]. J Virol. 2003; 77 (19):10700–5. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.77.19.10700-10705.2003 PMID: 12970458; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC228511.
- Ortiz-Riano E, Cheng BY, de la Torre JC, Martinez-Sobrido L. The C-terminal region of lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus nucleoprotein contains distinct and segregable functional domains involved in NP-Z interaction and counteraction of the type I interferon response. Journal of virology. 2011; 85 (24):13038–48. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.05834-11 PMID: 21976642; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3233175.
- Martinez-Sobrido L, Zuniga EI, Rosario D, Garcia-Sastre A, de la Torre JC. Inhibition of the type I interferon response by the nucleoprotein of the prototypic arenavirus lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus. Journal of virology. 2006; 80(18):9192–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00555-06</u> PMID: <u>16940530</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1563941.
- Rodrigo WW, Ortiz-Riano E, Pythoud C, Kunz S, de la Torre JC, Martinez-Sobrido L. Arenavirus nucleoproteins prevent activation of nuclear factor kappa B. Journal of virology. 2012; 86(15):8185–97. https:// doi.org/10.1128/JVI.07240-11 PMID: 22623788; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3421694.
- Hastie KM, Kimberlin CR, Zandonatti MA, MacRae IJ, Saphire EO. Structure of the Lassa virus nucleoprotein reveals a dsRNA-specific 3' to 5' exonuclease activity essential for immune suppression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011; 108(6):2396–401. Epub 2011/01/26. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1016404108 PMID: 21262835; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3038715.
- Hastie KM, King LB, Zandonatti MA, Saphire EO. Structural basis for the dsRNA specificity of the Lassa virus NP exonuclease. PloS one. 2012; 7(8):e44211. Epub 2012/09/01. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0044211 PMID: 22937163; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3429428.
- Jiang X, Huang Q, Wang W, Dong H, Ly H, Liang Y, et al. Structures of arenaviral nucleoproteins with triphosphate dsRNA reveal a unique mechanism of immune suppression. J Biol Chem. 2013; 288 (23):16949–59. Epub 2013/04/26. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.420521 PMID: 23615902; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3675627.
- Martinez-Sobrido L, Emonet S, Giannakas P, Cubitt B, Garcia-Sastre A, de la Torre JC. Identification of amino acid residues critical for the anti-interferon activity of the nucleoprotein of the prototypic arenavirus lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus. Journal of virology. 2009; 83(21):11330–40. https://doi.org/10. 1128/JVI.00763-09 PMID: 19710144; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2772779.
- Qi X, Lan S, Wang W, Schelde LM, Dong H, Wallat GD, et al. Cap binding and immune evasion revealed by Lassa nucleoprotein structure. Nature. 2010; 468(7325):779–83. Epub 2010/11/19. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature09605</u> PMID: 21085117; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3057469.
- Harmon B, Kozina C, Maar D, Carpenter TS, Branda CS, Negrete OA, et al. Identification of critical amino acids within the nucleoprotein of Tacaribe virus important for anti-interferon activity. J Biol Chem. 2013; 288(12):8702–11. Epub 2013/02/06. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.444760 PMID: 23382389; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3605688.
- Jackson AL, Burchard J, Leake D, Reynolds A, Schelter J, Guo J, et al. Position-specific chemical modification of siRNAs reduces "off-target" transcript silencing. Rna. 2006; 12(7):1197–205. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1261/rna.30706</u> PMID: 16682562; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1484422.
- 34. Swedan S, Andrews J, Majumdar T, Musiyenko A, Barik S. Multiple functional domains and complexes of the two nonstructural proteins of human respiratory syncytial virus contribute to interferon suppression and cellular location. Journal of virology. 2011; 85(19):10090–100. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.</u> 00413-11 PMID: 21795342; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3196442.
- 35. Garcia-Dorival I, Wu W, Dowall S, Armstrong S, Touzelet O, Wastling J, et al. Elucidation of the Ebola virus VP24 cellular interactome and disruption of virus biology through targeted inhibition of host-cell protein function. Journal of proteome research. 2014; 13(11):5120–35. https://doi.org/10.1021/ pr500556d PMID: 25158218.
- Burkard C, Verheije MH, Haagmans BL, van Kuppeveld FJ, Rottier PJ, Bosch B- J, et al. ATP1A1-mediated Src signaling inhibits coronavirus entry into host cells. J Virol. 2015; 89(8):4434–48. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/JVI.03274-14 PMID: 25653449; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4442369.
- Liu S, Wang W, Brown LE, Qiu C, Lajkiewicz N, Zhao T, et al. A Novel Class of Small Molecule Compounds that Inhibit Hepatitis C Virus Infection by Targeting the Prohibitin-CRaf Pathway. EBioMedicine.

2015; 2(11):1600–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2015.09.018 PMID: 26870784; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4740292.

- Liu C, Zhang A, Guo J, Yang J, Zhou H, Chen H, et al. Identification of human host proteins contributing to H5N1 influenza virus propagation by membrane proteomics. Journal of proteome research. 2012; 11 (11):5396–405. https://doi.org/10.1021/pr3006342 PMID: 22985253.
- Kuadkitkan A, Wikan N, Fongsaran C, Smith DR. Identification and characterization of prohibitin as a receptor protein mediating DENV-2 entry into insect cells. Virology. 2010; 406(1):149–61. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.virol.2010.07.015 PMID: 20674955.
- Wintachai P, Wikan N, Kuadkitkan A, Jaimipuk T, Ubol S, Pulmanausahakul R, et al. Identification of prohibitin as a chikungunya virus receptor protein. J Med Virol. 2012; 84(11):1757–70. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/jmv.23403 PMID: 22997079.
- Fürstenwerth H. Rethinking Heart Failure. Cardiol Res. 2012; 3(6):243–57. Epub 2012/12/01. https:// doi.org/10.4021/cr228w PMID: 28352413; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5358298.
- Pan L, Woodard JL, Lucas DM, Fuchs JR, Kinghorn AD. Rocaglamide, silvestrol and structurally related bioactive compounds from Aglaia species. Natural product reports. 2014; 31(7):924–39. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1039/c4np00006d PMID: 24788392</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4091845.
- 43. Neumann J, Boerries M, Kohler R, Giaisi M, Krammer PH, Busch H, et al. The natural anticancer compound rocaglamide selectively inhibits the G1-S-phase transition in cancer cells through the ATM/ATR-mediated Chk1/2 cell cycle checkpoints. International journal of cancer. 2014; 134(8):1991–2002. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.28521 PMID: 24150948.
- Lingrel JB, Arguello JM, Van Huysse J, Kuntzweiler TA. Cation and cardiac glycoside binding sites of the Na,K-ATPase. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 1997; 834:194–206. PMID: 9405808.
- 45. King BR, Hershkowitz D, Eisenhauer PL, Weir ME, Ziegler CM, Russo J, et al. A map of the arenavirus nucleoprotein-host protein interactome reveals that Junin virus selectively impairs the antiviral activity of PKR. J Virol. 2017; 91(15):e00763–17. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00763-17 PMID: 28539447.
- 46. Martinez-Sobrido L, Giannakas P, Cubitt B, Garcia-Sastre A, de la Torre JC. Differential inhibition of type I interferon induction by arenavirus nucleoproteins. Journal of virology. 2007; 81(22):12696–703. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00882-07 PMID: 17804508; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2168988.
- Pythoud C, Shanaka I. Rodrigo WW, Pasqual G, Rothenberger S, Martínez-Sobrido L, de la Torre JC, et al. Arenavirus nucleoprotein targets interferon regulatory factor-activating kinase IKKε. J Virol. 2012; 86(15):7728–38. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00187-12</u> PMID: <u>22532683</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3421673.
- Kaplan JH. Biochemistry of Na,K-ATPase. Annual review of biochemistry. 2002; 71:511–35. https://doi. org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.71.102201.141218 PMID: 12045105.
- Sweadner KJ. Isozymes of the Na+/K+-ATPase. Biochimica et biophysica acta. 1989; 988(2):185–220. PMID: 2541792.
- 50. Woo AL, James PF, Lingrel JB. Characterization of the fourth alpha isoform of the Na,K-ATPase. The Journal of membrane biology. 1999; 169(1):39–44. PMID: 10227850.
- Cui X, Xie Z. Protein Interaction and Na/K-ATPase-Mediated Signal Transduction. Molecules. 2017; 22 (6). https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules22060990 PMID: 28613263.
- Dodson AW, Taylor TJ, Knipe DM, Coen DM. Inhibitors of the sodium potassium ATPase that impair herpes simplex virus replication identified via a chemical screening approach. Virology. 2007; 366 (2):340–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2007.05.001 PMID: 17544048; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2099250.
- Su C-T, Hsu JT-A, Hsieh H-P, Lin P-H, Chen T-C, Kao C-L, et al. Anti-HSV activity of digitoxin and its possible mechanisms. Antiviral Res. 2008; 79(1):62–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2008.01.156</u> PMID: 18353452.
- Ashbrook AW, Lentscher AJ, Zamora PF, Silva LA, May NA, Bauer JA, et al. Antagonism of the sodium-potassium ATPase impairs chikungunya virus infection. MBio. 2016; 7(3):e00693–16. https:// doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00693-16 PMID: 27222471; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4895112.
- Wong RW, Balachandran A, Ostrowski MA, Cochrane A. Digoxin suppresses HIV-1 replication by altering viral RNA processing. PLoS Pathog. 2013; 9(3):e1003241. Epub 2013/04/05. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.ppat.1003241 PMID: 23555254; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3610647.
- 56. Grosso F, Stoilov P, Lingwood C, Brown M, Cochrane A. Suppression of Adenovirus Replication by Cardiotonic Steroids. J Virol. 2017; 91(3). Epub 2016/11/25. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01623-16 PMID: 27881644; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5244322.
- Karuppannan AK, Wu KX, Qiang J, Chu JJ-H, Kwang J. Natural compounds inhibiting the replication of Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus. Antiviral Res. 2012; 94(2):188–94. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.antiviral.2012.03.008 PMID: 22487208.

- Matchkov VV, Krivoi II. Specialized Functional Diversity and Interactions of the Na,K-ATPase. Front Physiol. 2016; 7:179. Epub 2016/06/03. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2016.00179 PMID: 27252653; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4879863.
- 59. Burkard C, Verheije MH, Haagmans BL, van Kuppeveld FJ, Rottier PJ, Bosch BJ, et al. ATP1A1-mediated Src signaling inhibits coronavirus entry into host cells. Journal of virology. 2015; 89(8):4434–48. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.03274-14 PMID: 25653449; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4442369.
- Martinez MG, Cordo SM, Candurra NA. Characterization of Junin arenavirus cell entry. The Journal of general virology. 2007; 88(Pt 6):1776–84. https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.82808-0 PMID: 17485539.
- **61.** Meng Z, Yang P, Shen Y, Bei W, Zhang Y, Ge Y, et al. Pilot study of huachansu in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma, nonsmall-cell lung cancer, or pancreatic cancer. Cancer. 2009; 115(22):5309–18. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.24602 PMID: 19701908; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2856335.
- Bordeleau ME, Robert F, Gerard B, Lindqvist L, Chen SMH, Wendel HG, et al. Therapeutic suppression of translation initiation modulates chemosensitivity in a mouse lymphoma model. J Clin Invest. 2008; 118(7):2651–60. <u>https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI34753</u> PMID: <u>18551192</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2423864.
- Iwasaki S, Floor SN, Ingolia NT. Rocaglates convert DEAD-box protein eIF4A into a sequence-selective translational repressor. Nature. 2016; 534(7608):558–61. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17978 PMID: 27309803; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4946961.
- Biedenkopf N, Lange-Grünweller K, Schulte FW, Weißer A, Müller C, Becker D, et al. The natural compound silvestrol is a potent inhibitor of Ebola virus replication. Antiviral Res. 2017; 137:76–81. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2016.11.011 PMID: 27864075.
- Swedan S, Musiyenko A, Barik S. Respiratory syncytial virus nonstructural proteins decrease levels of multiple members of the cellular interferon pathways. Journal of virology. 2009; 83(19):9682–93. https:// doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00715-09 PMID: 19625398; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2748017.
- 66. Zhang J, Huang F, Tan L, Bai C, Chen B, Liu J, et al. Host Protein Moloney Leukemia Virus 10 (MOV10) Acts as a Restriction Factor of Influenza A Virus by Inhibiting the Nuclear Import of the Viral Nucleoprotein. Journal of virology. 2016; 90(8):3966–80. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.03137-15 PMID: 26842467; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4810528.
- Abudu A, Wang X, Dang Y, Zhou T, Xiang S-H, Zheng Y-H. Identification of molecular determinants from Moloney leukemia virus 10 homolog (MOV10) protein for virion packaging and anti-HIV-1 activity. J Biol Chem. 2012; 287(2):1220–8. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.309831 PMID: 22105071; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3256871.
- Arjan-Odedra S, Swanson CM, Sherer NM, Wolinsky SM, Malim MH. Endogenous MOV10 inhibits the retrotransposition of endogenous retroelements but not the replication of exogenous retroviruses. Retrovirology. 2012; 9:53. https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-4690-9-53 PMID: 22727223; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3408377.
- Burdick R, Smith JL, Chaipan C, Friew Y, Chen J, Venkatachari NJ, et al. P body-associated protein Mov10 inhibits HIV-1 replication at multiple stages. J Virol. 2010; 84(19):10241–53. https://doi.org/10. 1128/JVI.00585-10 PMID: 20668078; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2937795.
- 70. Furtak V, Mulky A, Rawlings SA, Kozhaya L, Lee K, Kewalramani VN, et al. Perturbation of the P-body component Mov10 inhibits HIV-1 infectivity. PloS one. 2010; 5(2):e9081. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009081 PMID: 20140200; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2816699.
- Wang X, Han Y, Dang Y, Fu W, Zhou T, Ptak RG, et al. Moloney leukemia virus 10 (MOV10) protein inhibits retrovirus replication. J Biol Chem. 2010; 285(19):14346–55. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110. 109314 PMID: 20215113; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2863248.
- Balinsky CA, Schmeisser H, Wells AI, Ganesan S, Jin T, Singh K, et al. *IRAV (FLJ11286)*, an interferon-stimulated gene with antiviral activity against dengue virus, interacts with MOV10. J Virol. 2017; 91(5):e01606–16. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01606-16 PMID: 27974568; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5309953.
- Cuevas RA, Ghosh A, Wallerath C, Hornung V, Coyne CB, Sarkar SN. MOV10 Provides Antiviral Activity against RNA Viruses by Enhancing RIG-I-MAVS-Independent IFN Induction. Journal of immunology. 2016; 196(9):3877–86. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1501359 PMID: 27016603; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4868630.
- 74. Horwich AL, Fenton WA, Chapman E, Farr GW. Two families of chaperonin: physiology and mechanism. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2007; 23:115–45. Epub 2007/05/11. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev. cellbio.23.090506.123555 PMID: 17489689.
- Yam AY, Xia Y, Lin HT, Burlingame A, Gerstein M, Frydman J. Defining the TRiC/CCT interactome links chaperonin function to stabilization of newly made proteins with complex topologies. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2008; 15(12):1255–62. Epub 2008/11/18. https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1515 PMID: 19011634; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2658641.

- 76. Zhang J, Wu X, Zan J, Wu Y, Ye C, Ruan X, et al. Cellular chaperonin CCTgamma contributes to rabies virus replication during infection. J Virol. 2013; 87(13):7608–21. Epub 2013/05/03. https://doi.org/10. 1128/JVI.03186-12 PMID: 23637400; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3700271.
- Zhang J, Ye C, Ruan X, Zan J, Xu Y, Liao M, et al. The chaperonin CCTalpha is required for efficient transcription and replication of rabies virus. Microbiol Immunol. 2014; 58(10):590–9. Epub 2014/08/02. https://doi.org/10.1111/1348-0421.12186 PMID: 25082455.
- Inoue Y, Aizaki H, Hara H, Matsuda M, Ando T, Shimoji T, et al. Chaperonin TRiC/CCT participates in replication of hepatitis C virus genome via interaction with the viral NS5B protein. Virology. 2011; 410 (1):38–47. Epub 2010/11/26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2010.10.026 PMID: 21093005.
- 79. Fislova T, Thomas B, Graef KM, Fodor E. Association of the influenza virus RNA polymerase subunit PB2 with the host chaperonin CCT. J Virol. 2010; 84(17):8691–9. Epub 2010/06/25. https://doi.org/10. 1128/JVI.00813-10 PMID: 20573828; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2919027.
- Knopp KA, Ngo T, Gershon PD, Buchmeier MJ. Single nucleoprotein residue modulates arenavirus replication complex formation. MBio. 2015; 6(3):e00524–15. Epub 2015/04/30. https://doi.org/10.1128/ mBio.00524-15 PMID: 25922393; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4436057.
- Baird NL, York J, Nunberg JH. Arenavirus infection induces discrete cytosolic structures for RNA replication. J Virol. 2012; 86(20):11301–10. Epub 2012/08/10. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01635-12 PMID: 22875974; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3457164.
- Flatz L, Bergthaler A, de la Torre JC, Pinschewer DD. Recovery of an arenavirus entirely from RNA polymerase I/II-driven cDNA. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2006; 103(12):4663–8. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0600652103 PMID: 16537369; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1450228.
- Sanchez AB, de la Torre JC. Rescue of the prototypic Arenavirus LCMV entirely from plasmid. Virology. 2006; 350(2):370–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2006.01.012 PMID: 16476461.
- 84. Rodrigo WW, de la Torre JC, Martinez-Sobrido L. Use of single-cycle infectious lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus to study hemorrhagic fever arenaviruses. Journal of virology. 2011; 85(4):1684–95. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02229-10 PMID: 21123370; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3028880.
- Ngo N, Cubitt B, Iwasaki M, de la Torre JC. Identification and Mechanism of Action of a Novel Small-Molecule Inhibitor of Arenavirus Multiplication. Journal of virology. 2015; 89(21):10924–33. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01587-15">https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01587-15</a> PMID: 26292327; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4621110.
- Emonet SF, Seregin AV, Yun NE, Poussard AL, Walker AG, de la Torre JC, et al. Rescue from cloned cDNAs and in vivo characterization of recombinant pathogenic Romero and live-attenuated Candid #1 strains of Junin virus, the causative agent of Argentine hemorrhagic fever disease. Journal of virology. 2011; 85(4):1473–83. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02102-10 PMID: 21123388; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3028888.
- **87.** Battegay M. [Quantification of lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus with an immunological focus assay in 24 well plates]. Altex. 1993; 10(1):6–14. PMID: 11178349.
- Urata S, Ngo N, de la Torre JC. The PI3K/Akt pathway contributes to arenavirus budding. Journal of virology. 2012; 86(8):4578–85. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.06604-11</u> PMID: 22345463; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3318602.
- Prichard MN, Shipman C Jr. A three-dimensional model to analyze drug-drug interactions. Antiviral research. 1990; 14(4–5):181–205. PMID: 2088205.