



Tarlatamab: a potential new option for recurrent small cell lung cancer

Alfredo Addeo¹, Giuseppe Luigi Banna², Alex Friedlaender^{1,3}

¹Department of Oncology, University Hospital Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland; ²Department of Oncology, Portsmouth Hospitals University NHS Trust, Cosham, Portsmouth, UK; ³Oncology Unit, Clinique Générale Beaulieu, Geneva, Switzerland

Correspondence to: Prof. Alfredo Addeo. Department of Oncology, University Hospital Geneva, Rue Perret gentil 4, 1205 Geneva (CH), Geneva, Switzerland. Email: alfredo.addeo@hcuge.ch.

Comment on: Paz-Ares L, Champiat S, Lai WV, *et al.* Tarlatamab, a First-In-Class DLL3-Targeted Bispecific T-Cell Engager, in Recurrent Small Cell Lung Cancer: An Open-Label, Phase I Study. *J Clin Oncol* 2023. [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1200/JCO.22.02823.

Keywords: Small cell lung cancer (SCLC); tarlatamab; bispecific T-cell engager (BiTE)

Submitted Mar 23, 2023. Accepted for publication May 24, 2023. Published online Jun 05, 2023.

doi: 10.21037/tlcr-23-215

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tlcr-23-215>

Small cell lung cancer (SCLC) is a highly aggressive malignancy with limited therapeutic options, particularly after the frontline setting.

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines on SCLC recommend that if, the relapse occurs within, 6 months, the options are either oral or intravenous (IV) topotecan, lurbinectedin, or a clinical trial (1). Other recommended regimens are taxanes, irinotecan, temozolomide in the presence of brain disease especially, and CAV (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine) chemotherapy. Bendamustine is category 2B because it showed some activity in a clinical trial (2). In patients who have relapsed for more than 6 months after initial therapy, the recommendation is to give the original regimen (3). Lurbinectedin is the only drug that has been approved recently, and this was in June 2020 (4). It is standard practice to rechallenge for relapse after 6 months from treatment based on a French study. In this study patients with SCLC who have progressed at least 90 days after completion of first-line treatment were randomly assigned to topotecan or platinum rechallenge (5). Interestingly, the median progression-free survival (PFS) was better in patients who were rechallenged with platinum at 4.7 *vs.* 2.7 months in patients treated with topotecan (HR, 0.57; 90% CI: 0.41–0.73; P=0.0041) There was a larger overall response rate (ORR) difference. It was 49% for platinum therapy *vs.* 25% for topotecan (P=0.0024). Not clear benefit

in OS. However, recent advances in novel therapeutic approaches have shown promising results in treating this challenging disease.

The notch signaling pathway is a regulator of neuroendocrine differentiation in SCLC (6). The inhibitory notch ligand delta-like ligand 3 (DLL3) is aberrantly expressed on the surface of up to 85% of SCLC cells and minimally expressed in normal tissues, making it a compelling therapeutic target (7). The DLL3-targeted antibody-drug conjugate rovalpituzumab tesirine showed clinical antitumor activity in patients with SCLC (8).

One of the first drugs specifically developed to target DLLE was rovalpituzumab-Tesirine, an antibody-drug conjugate containing a DLL3-targeting antibody tethered to a cytotoxic agent pyrrolobenzodiazepine by means of a protease-cleavable linker. Despite initial encouraging data, Rova-T failed to showed superiority to topotecan in the 2nd line (9). The disappointing result was more likely consistent with general effects of the pyrrolobenzodiazepine payload rather than the DLL3 target.

Unlike Rova-T, Tarlatamab, a first-in-class DLL3-targeted bispecific T cell engager. DLL3 is a cell surface protein that is overexpressed in the majority of SCLC tumors but is not expressed in normal adult tissues, making it an attractive therapeutic target.

Tarlatamab binds to both DLL3 on cancer cells and CD3 on the surface of T cells, bringing them in close proximity

and triggering T cell-mediated killing of cancer cells. This targeted approach may spare healthy cells treatment-related toxicity.

A recent open-label, phase 1 study evaluated the safety and efficacy of tarlatamab in patients with recurrent SCLC who had previously received at least one line of therapy (10). The study enrolled 107 patients. Patients had received a median of two prior lines of therapy, with roughly 50% exposed to programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) or programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1) inhibitors. The response rate was 23.4% (95% CI: 15.7–32.5%) and two patients exhibited radiologic complete responses. The median duration of response was 12.3 months (95% CI: 6.6–14.9) and progression-free survival was 3.7 months (95% CI: 2.1–5.4). The median overall survival was 13.2 months (95% CI: 10.5 to not reached). DLL3 expression appeared to be correlated to clinical benefit. Finally, the toxicity profile showed grade 3 or higher adverse events in 30.8% of participants. All cytokine release syndrome (CRS)-related toxicities were reversible, and none resulted in discontinuation of tarlatamab although 12 patients (11.2%) experienced grade ≥ 3 neurologic AEs.

While this study is still in early stages, the results are encouraging. Patients who have recurrent SCLC face a bleak prognosis, with limited treatment options and a median overall survival of less than a year. Tarlatamab offers a potential new approach to treating this aggressive cancer, and its early success has sparked interest in further research. Though the data are encouraging we have to bear in mind that the population in this study does not reflect the real world. Patients were, as expected, heavily selected, being rather fit despite being exposed to several lines before and rather younger, median age 63 and no brain metastases. Despite treating a rather fit and younger cohort compare to real world one, the grade III toxicity was up to 45% and the discontinuation rate reached 86%. We believe that, to succeed in later phase, a correct patient selection, possibly biomarker driven, will be explored. Further challenge might be represented by the need to administer the drug, given the risk of CRS, while in-patient, increasing financial burden on the health system.

Of course, there are still many questions that need to be answered. The study was small, and larger trials will be needed to confirm the drug's safety and efficacy. There is also the question of whether tarlatamab can be combined with other treatments to enhance its efficacy. As stated, 50% of patients had not received immunotherapy in the phase I

trial mentioned above. Immunotherapy provides long term benefits for a small subset of patients with SCLC (11). This could represent a potential combination, though cytokine release syndrome may be a limiting factor. The role of tarlatamab in patients with comorbidities or with poor performance status, a known negative prognostic factor, is also uncertain (12).

A better understanding of the pathologic and molecular characteristics of SCLC remain essential to the identification of biomarkers that will aid in drug development and/or better match patients' tumor subtypes with available treatment options. Without a clear improvement in patients' selection, we doubt that the strategy "one size fits them all" would go too far in SCLC.

However, the early results are promising, and tarlatamab represents a significant step forward in the treatment of recurrent SCLC. At the very least, it will represent an additional therapeutic option in a field with limited lines of treatment. As experts in the field, it is important for us to stay informed about this new therapy and to continue to follow its progress. We must also advocate for further research and development of innovative treatments like tarlatamab, as we work to improve outcomes for patients with hard-to-treat cancers such as SCLC.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Translational Lung Cancer Research*. The article has undergone external peer review.

Peer Review File: Available at <https://tlcr.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tlcr-23-215/prf>

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://tlcr.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tlcr-23-215/coif>). AA reports personal fees from Roche, Pfizer, Astellas, AstraZeneca, MSD, Sanofi, Novartis, Janssen, BMS, Takeda, Bayer, Amgen. GLB reports personal fees from AstraZeneca, Astellas, travel and conference expenses from Janssen, outside the submitted work. AF reports personal fees from Roche, Pfizer, Astellas, AstraZeneca, MSD, Sanofi,

Novartis, Janssen, BMS, Takeda, Bayer.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Available online: <https://www.nccn.org/patients/guidelines/content/PDF/SCLC-patient-guideline.pdf>.
2. Lammers PE, Shyr Y, Li CI, et al. Phase II study of bendamustine in relapsed chemotherapy sensitive or resistant small-cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2014;9:559-62.
3. Available online: https://www.nccn.org/login?ReturnURL=https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/sclc.pdf.
4. Singh S, Jaigirdar AA, Mulkey F, et al. FDA Approval Summary: Lurbinectedin for the Treatment of Metastatic Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2021;27:2378-82.
5. Baize N, Monnet I, Greillier L, et al. Carboplatin plus etoposide versus topotecan as second-line treatment for patients with sensitive relapsed small-cell lung cancer: an open-label, multicentre, randomised, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2020;21:1224-33.
6. George J, Lim JS, Jang SJ, et al. Comprehensive genomic profiles of small cell lung cancer. *Nature* 2015;524:47-53.
7. Saunders LR, Bankovich AJ, Anderson WC, et al. A DLL3-targeted antibody-drug conjugate eradicates high-grade pulmonary neuroendocrine tumor-initiating cells in vivo. *Sci Transl Med* 2015;7:302ra136.
8. Rudin CM, Pietanza MC, Bauer TM, et al. Rovalpituzumab tesirine, a DLL3-targeted antibody-drug conjugate, in recurrent small-cell lung cancer: a first-in-human, first-in-class, open-label, phase 1 study. *Lancet Oncol* 2017;18:42-51.
9. Blackhall F, Jao K, Greillier L, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Rovalpituzumab Tesirine Compared With Topotecan as Second-Line Therapy in DLL3-High SCLC: Results From the Phase 3 TAHOE Study. *J Thorac Oncol* 2021;16:1547-58.
10. Paz-Ares L, Champiat S, Lai WV, et al. Tarlatamab, a First-In-Class DLL3-Targeted Bispecific T-Cell Engager, in Recurrent Small Cell Lung Cancer: An Open-Label, Phase I Study. *J Clin Oncol* 2023. [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1200/JCO.22.02823.
11. Schmid S, Mauti LA, Friedlaender A, et al. Outcomes with immune checkpoint inhibitors for relapsed small-cell lung cancer in a Swiss cohort. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 2020;69:1605-13.
12. Friedlaender A, Liu SV, Passaro A, et al. The Role of Performance Status in Small-Cell Lung Cancer in the Era of Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2020;21:e539-43.

Cite this article as: Addeo A, Banna GL, Friedlaender A. Tarlatamab: a potential new option for recurrent small cell lung cancer. *Transl Lung Cancer Res* 2023;12(7):1628-1630. doi: 10.21037/tlcr-23-215