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#### Abstract

The lack of efficacy of current antibacterials to treat multidrug resistant bacteria poses a life-threatening alarm. In order to develop enhancers of the antibacterial activity, we carried out a medicinal chemistry campaign aiming to develop inhibitors of enzymes that synthesise cysteine and belong to the reductive sulphur assimilation pathway, absent in mammals. Previous studies have provided a novel series of inhibitors for O-acetylsulfhydrylase - a key enzyme involved in cysteine biosynthesis. Despite displaying nanomolar affinity, the most active representative of the series was not able to interfere with bacterial growth, likely due to poor permeability. Therefore, we rationally modified the structure of the hit compound with the aim of promoting their passage through the outer cell membrane porins. The new series was evaluated on the recombinant enzyme from Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium, with several compounds able to keep nanomolar binding affinity despite the extent of chemical manipulation.
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## Introduction

The amino acid cysteine is the precursor of sulphur-containing biomolecules like Fe-S clusters, modified tRNAs, thiamine, biotin, and glutathione, which are essential components in bacteria for fitness and virulence. Moreover, in pathogens possessing long latent phases such as Trichomonas vaginalis, Mycobacterium tuberculosis, and Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (hereafter Salmonella), sulphur-containing biomolecules are pivotal in surviving the hostile oxidative environment created by the host defen-$\operatorname{ces}^{1-4}$. Interestingly, studies in macrophages as well as mouse models have demonstrated that the deletion of those genes involved in sulphur metabolism reduces both virulence and survival of M. tuberculosis, especially during chronic infection ${ }^{1,2,4-6}$. While mammals use sulphur-containing amino acids like methionine and cysteine from diet to fulfil sulphur supply, bacteria actively transport sulphate and thiosulphate and reduce sulphate to sulphide in a highly demanding energetic process. To this scope, O-acetylserine (OAS), synthesised by serine acetyl transferase (SAT), incorporates sulphide to produce cysteine in a $\beta$-replacement reaction catalysed by the pyridoxal $5^{\prime}$-phosphate (PLP)-dependent enzyme OAS sulfhydrylase (OASS). OASS is a well-studied enzyme that exists in two isoforms, namely OASS-A, -coded by cysK, and OASS-B, coded by cysM. The reaction mechanism of both isoforms is of a ping-pong type, in which OAS binds to the internal aldimine linkage between enzyme and PLP,
forming an $\alpha$-aminoacrylate intermediate with the release of acetate. In the second half reaction, bisulphide binds as the second substrate to the $\alpha$-aminoacrylate and cysteine is released ${ }^{1,7,8}$.

OASS-A is a homodimer of 315 amino acid residues per subunit and the two active sites are located on opposite side, far from the dimer interface. Each subunit is made of two domains, a C-terminal and an N -terminal domain. In turn, each domain is composed of an $\alpha / \beta$-fold with a central twisted $\beta$-sheet surrounded by $\alpha$-helixes ${ }^{8}$. Concerning the folding, $A$ and $B$ isozymes follow $a$ similar pattern, but in terms of active site the $B$ isoform presents two additional acidic residues, which increase its overall charge ${ }^{7}$.

OASS-A is highly expressed at basal levels and together with SAT forms the cysteine synthase complex, whose function is still controversial ${ }^{1-4,9}$. Interestingly, SAT physiologically inhibits OASS activity through its carboxy-terminal portion by competing with the natural substrate OAS for binding to the active site.

Expression of genes coding for OASS-A and OASS-B have already been correlated with antibiotic resistance in Salmonella, being the knock-out strains more susceptible to antibiotics ${ }^{2,4,10,11}$. Given these findings, we have explored the potential of cysteine biosynthesis shutdown as a strategy to obtain new adjuvants of antibacterial agents ${ }^{1,2}$. The first peptide inhibitors of Haemophilus influenzae OASS (HiOASS) were designed based on the C-terminal sequence of SAT, which acts as the physiological inhibitor of OASS ${ }^{12}$. Later, peptidomimetic compounds were designed in which the carboxylic acid moiety and the hydrophobic motif of
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Figure 1. Chemical structure of compounds I and II.
the final amino acid isoleucine were considered critical features for OASS binding, therefore both were kept as structural motives of small-molecule inhibitors (compound I, Figure 1). After these first speculative attempts, several rounds of optimisation of compound I led to the discovery of a number of derivatives, culminating with compound II (Figure 1), to our knowledge the most potent inhibitor of bacterial OASS described so far ${ }^{13}$.

Since E.coli CysK/CysM double mutant is a cysteine auxotroph ${ }^{14,15}$, compound II was evaluated in minimal medium against E.coli. Despite its high potency in the enzymatic assays, it failed to show appreciable inhibitory activity on cell growth. Further biological evaluation suggested poor permeability as the main reason for the lack of activity on bacterial cell growth, as the co-administration of sub-lethal concentrations of polymyxin B nonapeptide (PMBN), a permeabilizing agent, eventually led to a measurable MIC for this derivative [manuscript in preparation].

Poor permeability is considered most definitely one of the main hurdles in antibacterial drug discovery ${ }^{16,17}$. While hydrophobic drugs, such as macrolides, pass across the outer membrane lipid bilayer, small hydrophilic drugs, such as $\beta$-lactams, use preferentially porins to accumulate inside the cell ${ }^{17-19}$. Actually, difference in membrane composition, and therefore different permeability, is at the base of the antibacterial selectivity toward Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. A retrospective analysis has shown that antibacterials, in general, present higher molecular weight and lower lipophilicity than non-antiinfective drugs. In addition, Gram-negative active compounds tend to present lower molecular weight and higher polarity compared to the agents active against Gram-positive bacteria ${ }^{16,17,20,21}$.

Several attempts to rationalise the properties that allow small molecule penetration in Gram-negative bacteria and therefore increase the success of antibacterial drug-discovery programmes have been made ${ }^{21,22}$. Quantification of drug accumulation with a set of compounds exhibiting different properties was performed, and these studies provided an observation that positively charged compounds possessing a non-sterically hindered amine, show higher permeability. Also rigidity, low globularity and amphiphilicity contributed significantly to enhance the compound penetration ${ }^{16}$. However, despite the numerous attempts made, there are not unequivocal rules available to predict the physicochemical properties required for the accumulation of a small molecule into the bacterial cell, therefore the chemical manipulation of hit compounds still remains the most valuable means to improve activity in cells. These considerations prompted us to carry out a ligandbased drug design approach for the synthesis of analogues of compound II.

## Methods

## Synthetic chemistry

Compounds 6, 7, and 14 were synthesised using an already reported protocol ${ }^{13}$. The reaction of phosphonoacetate 2 with styrene oxides allowed the stereoselective synthesis of the
required stereodefined trans-R-phenyl-1-(4-methylbenzyl)cyclopro-pane-1-carboxylate esters 6, 7, and 14. On a similar vein, Wittig reaction between phosphonoacetate $\mathbf{2}$ and the proper aldehydes provided intermediates 3, 31, and 39-42, that were cyclopropanated under Corey-Chaykovsky conditions to give the corresponding esters 4, 32 and 43-46. Styrene oxides, when not commercially available, were synthesised from the styrene or the aldehyde derivatives in good overall yields. Nucleophilic substitution of chloride with $i$-propylamine in intermediate $\mathbf{7}$ gave an ester 9 which was hydrolysed to acid 10, whereas catalytic hydrogenation of nitro group in intermediate 6 and subsequent hydrolysis of ester 11 provided acid 12.

Hydrolysis of ester 14 gave raise to key intermediate acid 15, that was used as key intermediate for the synthesis of amides 16-22 using standard amide bond forming conditions. Carboxylic acid 15 was also subjected to Curtius rearrangement in the presence of diphenylphosphoryl azide, triethylamine, and using tertbutanol as a solvent. The resulting Boc-amine $\mathbf{2 3}$ was deprotected under acidic conditions and transformed to sulphonamides 24 and 25.

Tetrazole analogue 29 was prepared in two steps from diethyl (1-cyano-2-(p-tolyl)ethyl) phosphonate 27. The reaction of phosphonoacetate 27 with styrene oxide provided nitrile 28 which was subjected to the tetrazole forming reaction with sodium azide.

Pyridylmethyl substituted carboxylic acid $\mathbf{3 3}$ was prepared starting form triethyl phosphonoacetate (1). This was transformed to ethyl 2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-3-(pyridin-4-yl) propanoate (30) by alkylation with 4 -(bromomethyl) pyridine in the presence of sodium hydride. The reaction of intermediate $\mathbf{3 0}$ with benzaldehyde provided substrate $\mathbf{3 1}$ for Corey-Chaykovsky cyclopropanation that gave ester 32. The condensation of triethyl phosphonoacetate (1) with paraformaldehyde gave unsaturated phosphonoacetate 34 which was subjected to Michael addition with a set of heterocycles, affording compounds 35-38. Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction of these intermediates gave the corresponding acrylates 39-42, that were subjected to cyclopropanation using Corey-Chaykovsky conditions, as described above.

All of the title carboxylic acids herein reported, were obtained after hydrolysis of the corresponding ethyl ester with lithium hydroxide.

## Protein preparation

StOASS-A and StOASS-B, expressed in E. coli, were purified by metal affinity chromatography as described previously ${ }^{13}$. Proteins were more that $95 \%$ pure based on SDS-PAGE analysis (data not shown).

## Determination of dissociation constants

Ligand affinity was measured by direct fluorimetric titrations, monitoring the fluorescence emission spectrum of cofactor PLP upon excitation at $412 \mathrm{~nm} .0 .1-1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ OASS was titrated by increasing concentrations of ligand in 100 mM HEPES pH 7.0, $1 \%$ DMSO, at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The dependence of fluorescence emission intensity on the concentration of compound was fitted to a binding isotherm:

$$
I=I_{0}+\frac{\Delta I \cdot[\text { ligand }]}{K_{\mathrm{d}}+[\text { ligand }]}
$$

where, $l$ is the fluorescence emission intensity at a given ligand concentration, $I_{0}$ is the fluorescence emission intensity in the
absence of ligand, $\Delta I$ is the amplitude and $K_{d}$ is the dissociation constant. When the $K_{\mathrm{d}}$ was lower than the concentration of the protein used in the assay, the dependence of the fluoresence emission intensity on ligand concentration was fitted to a quadratic equation that describes tight binding ${ }^{23}$. The fluorescence competitive binding assay, described elsewhere ${ }^{24}$, was used to evaluate whether compounds bind at the active site of the enzyme or to an allosteric site. The assay is based on the formation of a low-affinity, highly fluorescent complex between OASS and 1-ethylcyclopropane-1,2-dicarboxylic acid. Binding of a higher affinity compound to the active site displaces 1-ethylcyclopro-pane-1,2-dicarboxylic acid and leads to a decrease in the fluorescence emission intensity.

## Activity assays

Enzyme activity under steady-state conditions was measured by a discontinuous method as described previously ${ }^{23}$. Briefly, the reaction was carried out in 100 mM HEPES pH 7.0 in the presence of 6 nM OASS, 60 nM BSA to prevent enzyme adhesion to tube wall, 1 mM OAS (equal to the $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ value of this subsrate), $0.6 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (a saturating concentration), $1 \%$ DMSO and variable concentrations of inhibitor. Reaction was stopped at time intervals by the addition of acetic acid and concentration of cysteine was determined by a modification of the ninhydrin assay ${ }^{25}$. The fractional velocity as a function of inhibitor concentration was determined and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ was calculated by the following equation:

$$
\frac{v_{i}}{v_{0}}=\frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{[\mid]}{c_{50}}\right)}
$$

where, $v_{0}$ is the reaction velocity in the absence of inhibitor and $v_{\mathrm{i}}$ is reaction velocity in the presence of inhibitor at concentration [I].

For a competitive inhibitor against OAS the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ measured under these conditions is about $2 \cdot K_{d}{ }^{26}$.

## MIC determination

Minimal inhibitory concentration assays were performed according to the CLSI guidelines (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Fourth Informational Supplement; CLSI document M100S24, CLSI; 2014.). The compounds were dissolved in DMSO to obtain a stock solution 100 -fold more concentrated than the desired higher concentration and then 10 serial dilutions were performed. One microliter of each dilution was transferred to the microtiter plate.

Escherichia coli ATCC25922 was grown until log phase on liquid Mueller-Hinton broth at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a shaker at 225 rpm . Bacterial suspension was then centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 15 min and diluted with phosphate buffer to obtain a solution with a turbidity of about 0.5 McFarland. Bacterial suspension was further diluted (1:100) with fresh LB $20 \%$. Fifty microliters of the bacterial suspension containing $10^{6} \mathrm{CFU} / \mathrm{mL}$ were inoculated into each well, to obtain a final concentration of $5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{CFU} / \mathrm{mL}$. Each plate contained growth control wells and sterility check wells. The plates were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ without agitation during $16 \mathrm{~h}-24 \mathrm{~h}$ and MIC was determined.

## Experimental

## General information

All the reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO), Alfa-Aesar (Haverhill, MA), or Fluorochem (Hadfield, UK) at reagent purity and, unless otherwise noted, were used without any further purification. Dry solvents used in the reactions were obtained by distillation of technical grade materials over appropriate dehydrating agents. MCRs were performed using CEM Microwave Synthesizer-Discover model. Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography on silica gel-coated aluminium foils (silica gel on AI foils, SUPELCO Analytical, Sigma-Aldrich) at 254 and 365 nm . Where indicated, intermediates and final products were purified by silica gel flash chromatography (silica gel, $0.040-0.063 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), using appropriate solvent mixtures. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a BRUKER AVANCE spectrometer at 400 and 100 MHz , respectively, with TMS as internal standard. 1H NMR spectra are reported in this order: multiplicity and number of protons. Standard abbreviation indicating the multiplicity were used as follows: s: singlet, d: doublet, dd: doublet of doublets, t : triplet, q : quadruplet, m : multiplet, and br: broad signal. HPLC/MS experiments were performed with an Agilent 1100 series HPLC apparatus, equipped with a Waters Symmetry $\mathrm{C} 18,3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 75 \mathrm{~mm}$ column and an MS: Applied Biosystem/MDS SCIEX instrument, with API 150EX ion surce. Analytical, preparative HPLC and Electron Spray Ionization condition (ESI) mass spectra were performed on an Agilent uHPLC (1290 Infinity) and an Agilent Prep-HPLC (1260 Infinity) both equipped with a Diode Array Detector and a Quadrupole MS Dusing mixture gradients of Formic acid/water/acetonitrile as system solvent. HRMS experiments were performed with an LTQ ORBITRAP XL THERMO apparatus. All compounds were tested as 95\% purity or higher (by HPLC/MS).

Ethyl 2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-3-(p-tolyl)propanoate (2). Ethyl 2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)acetate ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a cooled suspension of NaH ( $196 \mathrm{mg}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DME ( 9 ml ). After stirring at room temperature for $2 \mathrm{~h}, 1$-(bromomethyl)-4-methylbenzene ( $910 \mathrm{mg}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for additional 2 h . After quenching with water, the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{ml}$ ), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified through flash chromatography using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (3:7) to give the title compound as colourless oil in $75 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{Mhz}-\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta=7.2-7.0(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.2-4.1(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.3-3.1(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.3(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.4-1.1(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

Following a similar procedure, but using (Bromomethyl)pyridine hydrobromide in place of 1-(bromo-methyl)-4-methylbenzene, and THF/DMF (1:1) as the solvent, compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ was obtained.

Ethyl 2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-3-(pyridin-4-yl)propanoate (30). Purification with acetone/ethyl acetate (15:85 $\rightarrow 20: 80$ ) afforded the desired compound as a yellow oil in $20 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

General procedure for the Wittig-Horner reaction (synthesis compounds 6, 7, and 14). n-BuLi (2 equiv) was added dropwise to a solution of compound 2 ( 2 equiv) in dry DME ( $2.5 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ), stirring under nitrogen at room temperature. After 30 min , the proper styrene oxide (1 equiv) was added in one portion. The reaction was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for about 18 h and then quenched with the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ aqueous Solution ( 8 ml ). The
product was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and the combined organic layers were separated, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a residue that is purified by flash chromatography on silica gel. Yields, purification methods and other analytical data are reported below.

Ethyl 1-(4-methylbenzyl)-2-(4-nitrophenyl)cyclopropane-1carboxylate (6). The product was obtained as a yellow oil in 9\% yield after purification by flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:99) as eluent. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{q}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.1,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.99-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47$ (dd, $J=7.2,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl 2-(4-(chloromethyl)phenyl)-1-(4-methylbenzyl)cyclo-propane-1-carboxylate (7). Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:9 $9 \rightarrow 3: 97)$ afforded the product as a colourless oil in $30 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98$ (d, $J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
(1R,2S)-1-Ethyl 1-(4-methylbenzyl)-2-phenylcyclopropane-1carboxylate (14). Flash chromatography on silica gel using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:99) afforded the product as yellow oil in $65 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.30$ (dddd, $J=13.4$, $11.6,7.5,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~s}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.22-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86-2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.83$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl 2-(4-((isopropylamino)methyl)phenyl)-1-(4-methylben-zyl)cyclopropane-1-carboxylate (9). Isopropylamine ( $26 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.292 mmol ) was added dropwise to compound 7 ( 50 mg , 0.15 mmol ) in neat at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 24 h . The reaction was quenched with the addition of 4 M NaOH aq. solution, extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{ml}$ ), and the combined organic layers were separated, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using methanol/dichloromethane (2:98) to obtain the title compound as a colourless oil in $60 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.19 (d, J=15.6 Hz, 1H), 2.87 (ddd, $J=16.3,13.4,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.93-1.79 (m, 2H), 1.40 (dd, J=7.2, $5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.22(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl 2-(4-aminophenyl)-1-(4-methylbenzyl)cyclopropane-1carboxylate (11). $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ( 18 mg ) and triethylsilane ( $73 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.46 mmol ) were added portion-wise to a solution of compound 6 $(44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry methanol ( 6 ml ), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under argon until complete consumption of the starting material. After filtration through a plug of celite, the organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the target compound as a yellow oil in quantitative yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 7.05-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 6.72 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{dq}, J=11.0,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.06 (d, $J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68$ (dd, $J=9.2,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.98 (d, $J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74$ (ddd, $J=9.2,4.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.38 (dd, $J=7.2,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

General procedure for the synthesis amides 16-22. To a solution of 1-(4-methylbenzyl)-2-phenylcyclopropanecarboxylic acid (1 equiv) in dry DMF ( $20 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $N$-ethyldiisopropylamine (5 equiv), 1-hydroxybenzotriazole hydrate (1.5 equiv) and

N -(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (2 equiv) were added in one portion. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min and then the proper amine (2 equiv) was added. Afterwards, reaction mixture was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Volatiles were evaporated and the residue was solubilised in acetonitrile ( 1 ml ), filtered and purified by HPLC. Yields and other analytical data are reported below.
(1R,2S)-1-N-methyl-1-(4-methylbenzyl)-2-phenyl-N-(tetrahy-dro-2H-pyran-4-yl)cyclopropane-1-carboxamide (16). Colourless oil in $45 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.41-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.31-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50$ (s, 1H), $3.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{t}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.51-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.77-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36$ (dd, $J=6.6,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.83$ (dd, $J=6.5$, $3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 179.7,147.7,136.6,135.7$, 131.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.2, 126.4, 67.1, 59.8, 36.9, 29.5, 27.8, 25.4, 22.5, 20.8, 13.9. HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ 364.22711 found 364.22717 .
(1R,2S)-1-N-((1-ethylpyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)-1-(4-methylben-zyl)-2-phenylcyclopropane-1-carboxamide (17). White oil in $34 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.70-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, ~ 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.44-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.23-1.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.07-0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 168.8,136.9,136.1,135.1,129.0,128.7$, 128.6, 128.1, 126.5, 53.2, 52.8, 40.7, 33.8, 33.2, 31.1, 30.4, 28.1, 23.0, 20.7, 17.1, 10.4. HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{ON}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ 377.25874 found 377.25821 .
(1R,2S)-1-N-benzyl-1-(4-methylbenzyl)-2-phenylcyclopro-pane-1-carboxamide (18). Pearl powder in $55 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.42-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.9,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-6.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.44 (dd, $J=7.0,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 173.8$, 138.4, 137.7, 136.6, 135.9, 129.8, 129.6, 128.8, 128.7, 128.3, 127.6, 127.5, 127.1, 44.3, 34.6, 31.0, 30.8, 21.4, 18.9. HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{ON}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 356.20089$ found 356.20071.
(1R,2S)-1-(4-methylbenzyl)-2-phenyl-N-(thiophen-2-ylme-thyl)cyclopropane-1-carboxamide (19). Pearl powder in 56\% yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{q}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.96$ (dd, $J=9.1,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.8,141.4,137.7,136.6,135.7,129.8,129.6,128.7$, 128.4, 127.2, 127.1, 125.8, 125.2, 39.2, 34.5, 31.3, 30.8, 21.4, 18.8. HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ONS}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] \quad 362.15731$ found 362.15735 .
(1R,2S)-1-N-((1-methyl-1H-imidazol-2-yl)methyl)-1-(4-methyl-benzyl)-2-phenylcyclopropane-1-carboxamide (20). Yellow oil in $52 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28$ (tdd, $J=7.7,4.9,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.47 (dd, $J=5.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55$ (d, $J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.96$ (d, $J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.1,5.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0$, $5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 173.8,167.0,144.9,137.1$, 135.8, 129.3, 129.1, 128.4, 128.1, 126.8, 125.3, 121.3, 34.7, 34.1, 33.2, 31.2, 30.8, 21.0, 17.4. HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ $[M+H] 360.20704$ found 360.20645.
(1R,2S)-1-(4-methylbenzyl)-2-phenyl-N-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)cyclo-propane-1-carboxamide (21). Pearl powder in $57 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.34-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.13-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93$ (tddd, $J=32.3,27.2,5.3$, $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{t}$, $J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93$ (ddd, $J=9.1,4.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41$ (dd, $J=7.1$, $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 173.6,137.2,136.4,135.3$, 129.6, 129.3, 128.9, 128.4, 128.1, 126.9, 79.6, 71.4, 33.9, 31.1, 29.8, 21.1, 18.6. HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ON}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 304.16959$ found 304.16946.
(1R,2S)-1-(4-methylbenzyl)-2-phenyl-N-((tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)methyl)cyclopropane-1-carboxamide (22). White oil in 51\% yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.40-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-6.96$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.21$ (d, J=13.6Hz, 1H), 2.93-2.79 (m, 2H), 2.36-2.19 (m, 4H), 2.01 (dd, $J=8.2,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.65$ (m, 2H), 1.39 (ddd, $J=10.4,7.6,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.4,128.9,128.9,128.8,127.9,127.8,127.6,126.4,67.7$, 42.8, 42.1, 33.8, 30.3, 27.9, 27.4, 25.4, 25.3, 20.7, 18.0. HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 350.21146$ found 350.21121 .
(1R,2S)-1-Tert-butyl (1-(4-methylbenzyl)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)carbamate (23). Dry triethylamine ( $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diphenylphosphoryl azide $(365 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a solution of compound 15 ( $409 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $t$-BuOH ( 5 ml ). After stirring overnight at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen atmosphere, the reaction mixture was then concentrated under vacuum and gently poured into a $10 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ aq. solution. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 40 \mathrm{ml})$ and the organic layers separated, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure, to give a black pitch that was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:9 $9 \rightarrow 3: 97$ ). The title compound was obtained as a yellowish oil in $50 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=36.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97$ (d, $J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.93(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, J=53.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

General procedure for the preparation of sulphonamides 24 and 25. Trifluoroacetic acid (55 equiv) was added dropwise to a solution of tert-butyl (1-(4-methylbenzyl)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)carbamate (1 equiv) in dichloromethane ( $18 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) kept at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 1 h . After removal of the solvent in vacuum, the residue was dissolved in dry dichloromethane ( $7 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and cooled to $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen atmosphere. Dry triethylamine (3 equiv) and the proper sulphonyl chloride ( 1 equiv) were then added, and, after stirring at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , additional triethylamine ( 3 equiv) and sulphonyl chloride ( 0.1 equiv) were added dropwise. After 90 min , the reaction was quenched with the addition of water ( 2 ml ), and the organic layers were separated, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated and concentrated under reduced pressure to obtain a residue that is purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel using ethyl acetate/ petroleum ether (9:91). Yields, purification methods and other analytical data are reported below.
(1R,2S)-1-N-(1-(4-methylbenzyl)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methanesulfonamide (24). The product was obtained as a pearl powder in $61 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.49-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.31$ (dd, $J=18.9,11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09$ (dd, $J=21.7,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.76$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 136.7,136.3,134.5,129.4,129.3,129.2,128.4,126.9,44.0$, 41.9, 38.9, 29.8, 21.0, 18.1. HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NS}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 316.13658$ found 316.13721 .
(1R,2S)-4-methyl-N-(1-(4-methylbenzyl)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)benzenesulfonamide (25). The product was obtained as a white powder in $50 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.81$ (d,
$J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87$ (d, J=7.9Hz, 2H), $4.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64$ (dt, $J=15.5,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.35-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 143.4, 139.6, 137.0, 136.1, 134.5, 129.7, 129.3, 129.2, 129.1, 128.3, 127.1, 126.7, 41.8, 38.2, 28.8, 21.5, 21.0, 17.8. HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NS}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 392.16788$ found $414.15045[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]$.

Ethyl 2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)acrylate (34). Triethyl phosphonoacetate ( $2.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 440 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added to a suspension of paraformaldehyde ( $4.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 138 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in piperidine ( $0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 22 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and methanol ( 5.4 ml ) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for about 36 h until consumption of the starting material. The solvent was then evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue obtained was taken up in toluene ( $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ), treated with $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( $0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 38 \mathrm{mg}$ ), and refluxed in a Dean-stark apparatus for 16 h . After removal of the solvent, the desired compound was obtained as a yellow-brownish oil in quantitative yield and used in the next reaction step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.98$ (dd, $J=42.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.74 (dd, $J=20.4$, $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.41-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.32$ (tdd, $J=13.6,7.9,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

General procedure for the synthesis of phosphonoacetates 35-38. The desired heterocycle ( 1.1 equiv) was added to a solution of ethyl 2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)acrylate (1 equiv) in triethylamine (1 equiv). The solution gradually turned pale yellow and after 15 min at room temperature the starting material was completely consumed and the volatiles were evaporated to dryness. The crude material obtained was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient of ethyl acetate in petroleum ether to afford the desired compounds. Yields, purification methods and other analytical data are reported below.

Ethyl 2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-3-(1H-imidazol-1-yl)propanoate (35). Compound 35 was synthesised without triehtylamine and using dichloromethane ( $5 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as solvent. Light yellow oil in quantitative yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.51$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59$ (ddd, $J=14.4,10.8,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40$ (ddd, $J=14.3,7.4,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.28-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 1.29-1.13 (m, 3H).

Ethyl 2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-3-(1H-pyrazol-1-yl)propanoate (36). Light yellow oil in $35 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70$ (ddd, $J=13.8,10.5,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57$ (ddd, $J=13.8,6.7$, $4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.75$ (ddd, $J=23.2,10.5,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35$ (tdd, $J=7.0,2.0,0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl 2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-3-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl)propanoate (37). Light yellow oil in $30 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta$ $6.65(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.01(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47$ (ddd, $J=14.1$, $10.5,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.32 (ddd, $J=14.1,6.8,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.05$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.56$ (ddd, $J=22.9,10.5,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 1.19 (dd, $J=9.4,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).

Ethyl 2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-3-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)propanoate (38). Light yellow oil in $86 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, MeOD) $\delta 8.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86-4.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61$ (dd, $J=14.1,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.0,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

General procedure for Wittig reaction (synthesis of compounds 3, 31, 39-42). t-BuOK ( 1 M in THF, 1.2 eq ) was added dropwise to a solution of the properly functionalised propanoate ( 1.1 equiv) in dry THF ( $0.9 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen atmosphere. After reacting for 30 min , the proper aldehyde (1 equiv) was added to the mixture, and the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature. After complete consumption of
the starting material according to TLC volatiles were evaporated. The residue was taken up with ethyl acetate ( 15 ml ), that was washed with water ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ), brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a residue that was purified by flash column chromatography. Yields, purification methods and other analytical data are reported below.

Ethyl (E)-2-(4-methylbenzyl)-3-(pyridin-4-yl)acrylate (3). Flash column chromatography eluting with ethyl acetate/petroleum ether ( $1: 9 \rightarrow 3: 7$ ) afforded the desired product as a yellowish oil in $42 \%$ yield. E: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24$ (q, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26$ (dd, $J=12.0,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl (E)-3-phenyl-2-(pyridin-4-ylmethyl)acrylate (31). Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether ( $2: 8$ ) allowed the isolation of the desired product in $40 \%$ yield as an orange oil. $E$ and $Z$ isomers were obtained. E: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24$ ( q , $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.95 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.26 (dd, $J=12.0,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).

Ethyl (E)-2-((1H-imidazol-1-yl)methyl)-3-phenylacrylate (39). Purification using methanol/dichloromethane (5:95) allowed the isolation of the product as a brown oil; $48 \%$ yield. E: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40$ (ddd, $J=32.4,20.1,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.30(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl (E)-2-((1H-pyrazol-1-yl)methyl)-3-phenylacrylate (40). Purification using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (5:9 $5 \rightarrow 2: 8$ ) allowed the isolation of the product as a colourless oil in $31 \%$ yield. E: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67$ (dd, $J=7.7$, $1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.54 (dd, $J=8.7,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $6.32-6.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).

Ethyl (E)-2-((1H-pyrrol-1-yl)methyl)-3-phenylacrylate (41). Purification using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:99) allowed the isolation of the product as a colourless oil in $69 \%$ yield. It wasn't possible to separate $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}$ isomers and they were used as a mixture in the next step. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=7.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.32-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.65(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), $4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09$ ( q, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl (E)-2-((1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)methyl)-3-phenylacrylate (42). Purification using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether ( $2: 8 \rightarrow 1: 1$ ) allowed the isolation of the product as a white powder in $44 \%$ yield. E: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26$ ( $\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

General procedure for Corey-Chaykovsky reaction (synthesis of compounds 4, 32, 43-46). Trimethylsufoxonium iodide (1.2 equiv) was added to a suspension of NaH ( 1.2 equiv) in dry DMSO ( $5 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) stirred under nitrogen atmosphere, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . After cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a solution of the desired acrylate intermediate (1 equiv) in dry DMSO ( $2 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise over 20 min , and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight. Water ( 80 ml ) was added and the resulting solution was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$. The organic phases were separated, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a residue that is purified by flash column chromatography to yield the desired intermediate. Yields, purification methods and other analytical data are reported below.

Ethyl-1-(4-methylbenzyl)-2-(pyridin-4-yl)cyclopropane-1carboxylate (4). Purification by column chromatography eluting ethyl acetate/petroleum ether ( $1: 9 \rightarrow 3: 7$ ) afforded the product as a yellowish oil in $72 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ \delta$ : $7.17-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.05-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.19-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.12$ (d, J=15.54, 1H); $2.82(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1,1 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.07$ (d, $J=15.69,1 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.93-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.46(\mathrm{t}, J=6.72,1 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.24(\mathrm{t}$, $J=9.03,3 H$ ).

Ethyl 2-phenyl-1-(pyridin-4-ylmethyl)cyclopropane-1-carboxylate (32). Purification by flash column chromatograpy using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $(2: 8 \rightarrow 4: 6)$ allowed the isolation of the desired compound in $48 \%$ yield as a yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.08$ (d, $J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.13$ (qd, $J=7.1,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90$ (dd, $J=20.2,12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11$ (d, $J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92$ (ddd, $J=9.2,5.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42$ (dd, $J=7.2,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.17$ (t, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).

Ethyl 1-((1H-imidazol-1-yl)methyl)-2-phenylcyclopropane-1carboxylate (43). Purification using methanol/dichloromethane $(1: 99 \rightarrow 5: 95)$ afforded the product as a brown oil in $64 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.34$ (ddd, $J=14.6,7.7,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.25-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34$ (dd, $J=14.9$, $0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21$ (qd, $J=7.1,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.13-3.01$ (m, 1H), 1.82 (ddd, $J=9.2,5.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.50 (dd, $J=7.4,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl 1-((1H-pyrazol-1-yl)methyl)-2-phenylcyclopropane-1carboxylate (44). Purification using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (5:9 $5 \rightarrow 1: 9$ ) afforded the product as a colourless oil in $58 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.46$ (dd, $\left.J=6.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.41-7.27$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-4.11$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.77$ (m, 2H), 1.27 (t, J=7.1 Hz, 3H).

Ethyl 1-((1H-pyrrol-1-yl)methyl)-2-phenylcyclopropane-1carboxylate (45). Purification using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (0.8:99.2) afforded the product as a slightly yellow solid in $33 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.31$ (ddd, $J=18.0,14.2,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83$ (dd, $J=8.7,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50$ (dd, $J=7.3$, $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl 1-((1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)methyl)-2-phenylcyclopro-pane-1-carboxylate (46). Purification using a gradient of ethyl acetate in petroleum ether afforded the product as a yellow oil in $38 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.29-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46$ (dd, $J=14.4,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-3.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86$ (ddt, $J=9.2,5.4,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## General procedure for the hydrolysis of the esters (synthesis of compounds 5, 8, 10, 12, 15, 33, 47-50):

LiOH (4 equiv) was added to a solution of the ester (1 equiv) in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1: 1,1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was heated in a microwave reactor set with the following parameters: $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}, 300 \mathrm{~W}, 250 \mathrm{psi}$. After concentration of the mixture, 2 N HCl aqueous solution ( 10 ml ) was added and the resulting slurry was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{ml})$. The collected organic phases were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a crude material that is purified by flash column chromatography. Yields, purification methods and other analytical data are reported below.

1-(4-methylbenzyl)-2-(pyridin-4-yl)cyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (5). Purification by column chromatography eluting with ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:9 $\rightarrow$ 3:7) afforded the desired product as a yellowish powder in $64 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $7.23-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.11-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.54$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.87(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1,1 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.69,1 \mathrm{H})$; $1.96-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.53(\mathrm{t}, J=6.72,1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{NMR}(100.6 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ §: 183.6; 142.3; 127.4; 126.7; 126.4; 125.2; 124.2; 122.0; 35.0; 33.6; 30.7; 18.7; 11.4. MS (ESI): m/z: 268.5 [M + H]

1-(4-methylbenzyl)-2-(4-nitrophenyl)cyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (8). The product was obtained as a pale yellow oil in $47 \%$ yield after purification with methanol/dichloromethane (1:99). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=20.6,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.45(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $1.63-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 180.1,147.3$, 144.3, 136.1, 136.1, 130.3, 129.2, 128.6, 123.9, 33.2, 32.9, 31.6, 21.2, 19.5. HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] 310.10738$ found 310.10849 .

2-(4-((isopropylamino)methyl)phenyl)-1-(4-methylbenzyl)cy-clopropane-1-carboxylic acid (10). Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel methanol/dichloromethane ( $8: 9 \quad 2 \rightarrow 1: 9$ ) afforded the product as using white powder in $55 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 7.48$ (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.38 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.00(\mathrm{q}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.1,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.37 (s, 1H), 3.05 (d, J=15.5 Hz, 1H), $2.93-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 180.6,139.2,137.3$, 134.7, 129.7, 129.7, 129.4, 128.1, 128.1, 50.4, 33.0, 31.6, 31.2, 19.5, 17.8, 16.6. HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] 336.19581$ found 336.19809.

2-(4-aminophenyl)-1-(4-methylbenzyl)cyclopropane-1-car-
boxylic acid (12). The target compound was obtained as an orange semi-solid compound in $40 \%$ yield after purification with methanol/dichloromethane (2:98). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $7.10-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.90-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.5,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36$ (dd, $J=7.2,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 180.8,145.2$, 137.1, 135.3, 130.2, 128.8, 128.5, 126.2, 115.2, 33.9, 32.6 30.6, 21.0, 18.5. HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ [M-H] 280.13321 found 280.13467 .

1-(4-methylbenzyl)-2-phenylcyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (15). Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel using methanol/dichloromethane (1:99) afforded the product as a yellow powder in $80 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.46-7.21(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.06$ (d, $J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

2-phenyl-1-(pyridin-4-ylmethyl)cyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (33). The ethyl acetate organic phase was discarded and the combined organic phases obtained with the chloroform/isopropanol mixture were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 110 mg of the desired compound as a brown solid ( $25 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 8.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.36-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75$ (dd, $J=18.3,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78$ (dd, $J=7.3,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 177.0,164.9$, 141.9, 137.2, 130.2, 129.5, 128.5, 35.9, 32.9, 30.6, 19.2. HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] 252.10191$ found 252.10334.

1-((1H-imidazol-1-yl)methyl)-2-phenylcyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (47). Extractions with chloroform/isopropanol of the water phase allowed the isolation of the product as a light brown solid in $65 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 8.41$ (s, 1H),
$7.47-7.17$ (m, 7H), 4.37 (d, $J=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 3.14 (dd, $J=10.0,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96$ (dd, $J=7.5,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.89-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 175.4,137.0$, 135.9, 130.0, 129.9, 128.8, 123.5, 120.3, 50.5, 33.0, 31.0, 19.0. HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] 241.09715$ found 241.09817.

1-((1H-pyrazol-1-yl)methyl)-2-phenylcyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (48). Purification by flash column chromatography using methanol/dichloromethane (2.5:97.5) with $0.01 \%$ formic acid allowed the isolation of the desired product as a light brown powder in $89 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.40-7.21$ (m, 5H), 7.17 (s, 1H), 6.16 (s, 1H), 4.53 (d, J=15.0 Hz, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92$ (dd, $J=8.8,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 177.8,139.0,135.1,130.6,129.2,128.8,127.7,105.4,50.5,33.8$, 31.0, 18.1. HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ [M-H] 241.09715 found 241.09776 .

1-((1H-pyrrol-1-yl)methyl)-2-phenylcyclopropane-1-carbox-
ylic acid (49). Purification by flash column chromatography using methanol/dichloromethane (1:99) afforded the product as beige powder in $63 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.44-7.21(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 6.57$ (s, 2H), 6.08 (s, 2H), 4.51 (d, J=15.1 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (dd, $J=17.8,11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.52(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 179.6,134.7,128.8,128.5,127.4$, 120.8, 107.6, 47.0, 33.9, 31.1, 17.5. HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] 240.10191$ found 240.10257.

1-((1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)methyl)-2-phenylcyclopropane-1carboxylic acid (50). Purification by flash column chromatography using methanol/dichloromethane (1:99) with $0.01 \%$ formic acid afforded the pure product as a white solid in $53 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.21$ (m, $5 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92$ (dd, $J=10.6,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 176.0,149.6,143.9,134.8,128.9,128.6,127.5,49.2,32.9$, 30.1, 17.4. HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}] 242.09240$ found 242.09300 .

Diethyl (1-cyano-2-phenylethyl)phosphonate (27). Diethyl cyanomethylphosphonate $\mathbf{2 6}(2.43 \mathrm{ml}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added under nitrogen atmosphere to a suspension of NaH ( $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, $900 \mathrm{mg}, 2.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry dimethoxyethane ( 15 ml ) kept at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring 2 h at room temperature, benzyl bromide ( $2.14 \mathrm{ml}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Water ( 10 ml ) was carefully added and the resulting solution was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$. The organic phases were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a crude material that is purified by flash column chromatography using $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether as the eluent. The product was obtained as an oil in $90 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.39-7.21$ (m, 5H), 4.37-4.15 (m, 4H), 3.21 (ddt, $J=19.2,11.1$, $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38$ (td, $J=7.1,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).
(1R,2S)-1-benzyl-2-phenylcyclopropanecarbonitrile (28). nBuLi ( $5.4 \mathrm{ml}, 13.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added under nitrogen atmosphere dropwise to a solution of diethyl (1-cyano-2-phenylethyl)phosphonate 27 ( $3.6 \mathrm{~g}, 13.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry dimethoxyethane ( 15 ml ) at room temperature. After stirring for 30 min , styrene oxide $(1.03 \mathrm{ml}$, 9 mmol ) was added in one portion and the reaction mixture was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After consumption of the starting material, saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ aq. solution ( 10 ml ) was added and the resulting solution was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The organic phases were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a crude material that is purified by flash column chromatography using 5\% ethyl acetate in petroleum ether afforded the title
compound as a white solid in $25 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.46-7.25$ (m, 8H), 7.09 (dd, J=7.6, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.10-2.94$ (m, 1H), 2.74 (d, $J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.22 (d, $J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74$ (ddd, $J=9.3,5.9,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.49 (ddd, $J=11.6,5.5,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 137.0,133.9,129.2,129.0,128.8,128.7$, 128.6, 127.8, 127.2, 123.6, 35.4, 30.6, 17.3. HRMS (ESI): calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 234.1204$ found 234.1283.
(1R,2S)-5-(1-benzyl-2-phenylcyclopropyl)-1H-tetrazole (29). Sodium azide ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $167 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 1.2 mmol ) were added to a solution of 1-benzyl-2-phenylcyclopropanecarbonitrile 28 ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 3 ml ) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred under nitrogen atmosphere at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h until TLC monitoring revealed complete consumption of the starting material. 1 N HCl aq. solution $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ was added and the resulting solution was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$. The organic phases were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a crude material that is purified by flash column chromatography using $33 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether. The product was obtained as an oil in $46 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, 3.19 (d, $J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{dt}, J=22.9,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 2.01 (ddd, $J=9.1,5.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72$ (dd, $J=7.0,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 162.7, 139.1, 136.9, 129.3, 128.8, 128.5, 127.0, 126.7, 35.7, 32.4, 25.0, 19.1. MS (ESI): m/z: $275[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]$.

## Results

## Rationale for modifications

In our previous works, the structure of a poorly bioavailable peptide inhibitor was first simplified to obtain a substituted cyclopropane carboxylic acid, the further optimisation of which led to compound II, a nanomolar binder of OASS-A ${ }^{13}$. The structure activity relationships (SAR) for this series of 2-phenylcyclopropane carboxylic acid derivatives highlighted the fact that a carboxylic moiety and an aromatic substituent kept at a suitable distance are crucial for the activity ${ }^{27}$. The cyclopropane ring, that maintains the two moieties in the trans configuration, proved to be a valuable spacer. An additional substitution at the C1 of the cyclopropane strongly affects both the potency of the compound and its selectivity toward both StOASS-A and StOASS-B isoforms. Interestingly, stereochemistry proved to be extremely important in conferring high potency, as the $2 S$ enantiomer resulted more potent than the $2 R$ counterpart by a 1000 -fold average. Based on these preliminary hints, in this work we have further investigated the chemical space around compound II with the aim of maintaining high inhibitory potency of the enzyme while improving the bacterial cell penetration. The aromatic ring attached at the C2 of the cyclopropane, the carboxylic acid, and the benzylic moiety attached at the C1, were considered as key characteristics for the activity. Taking this into account, modifications of the molecule at three different parts were proposed (Figure 2).

Combination of funnel metadynamics with Saturation Transfer Difference (STD)-NMR technique has shown that the phenyl ring at the C2 can be variously substituted ${ }^{28}$. We have already demonstrated that bulky groups such as aromatic and heteroaromatic rings are allowed due to the presence of a hydrophobic pocket at the target binding site. Since a higher amphiphilic moiety may favour permeability ${ }^{16,17}$, amine groups were introduced at the para position of the C2 phenyl ring. Also, the phenyl ring was substituted by a pyridine, to improve the overall drug-likeness of the molecule.

To investigate whether the carboxylic acid functionality might be modified, we replaced it with isosteric groups. Among the set of possible substituents, sulphonamides, a tetrazole ring and amides were initially investigated. While the sulphonamide group is a nonplanar isoster of the carboxylic acid, the tetrazole is planar and presents a similar acidity. On a similar vein, substituted amides were prepared because it is well known that the nature of the substituents might affect the selectivity of action toward different bacterial strains, either Gram-positive or Gram-negative ${ }^{29,30}$. For instance, in the case of sulphonamide drugs, potency and selectivity are modulated by the substituent at the amidic nitrogen ${ }^{31}$. Finally, we investigated the benzyl group attached at the C1 of the cyclopropane ring, with the aim to evaluate its substitution with heteroaromatic structures like pyridine and five-terms heteroaromatic rings, leading to molecules characterised by a lower lipophilicity.


Figure 2. Proposed analogues of OASS inhibitor II.

Table 1. Inhibitory potency of compounds 5, 8, 10, 12, 16-22, 24, 25, 28, 29, 33, 47-50.

| Compound | OASS-A |  | OASS-B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $K_{\text {d }}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $K_{\text {d }}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| 5 | $0.4 \pm 0.1$ | ND | $6.1 \pm 0.5$ | ND |
| 8 | $61 \pm 6$ | ND | $145 \pm 2$ | ND |
| 10 | $155 \pm 5$ | ND | ¥ | NDS |
| 12 | $1.4 \pm 0.3$ | ND | $5.7 \pm 0.7$ | ND |
| 16 | ¥ | $17 \pm 2$ | ¥ | $137 \pm 10$ |
| 17 | $¥$ | $>100$ | $¥$ | $>100$ |
| 18 | $¥$ | $>100$ | $¥$ | $>100$ |
| 19 | $¥$ | $>100$ | $¥$ | $>100$ |
| 20 | $¥$ | $>100$ | $¥$ | $>100$ |
| 21 | $¥$ | $>100$ | $¥$ | $>100$ |
| 22 | $¥$ | >100 | $¥$ | >100 |
| 24 | $¥$ | PI (45\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $¥$ | PI (50\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 25 | $¥$ | PI (39\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $¥$ | PI (35\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 28 | $¥$ | >250 | $¥$ | NDS |
| 29 | $90 \pm 14$ | ND | NDS | ND |
| 33 | $24 \pm 2$ | ND | $275 \pm 42$ | ND |
| 47 | $7.6 \pm 0.5$ | ND | $397 \pm 42$ | ND |
| 48 | $1.5 \pm 0.2$ | ND | $96 \pm 7$ | ND |
| 49 | $0.5 \pm 0.1$ | ND | $22 \pm 2$ | ND |
| 50 | $18 \pm 5$ | ND | $250 \pm 14$ | ND |
| II | $0.03 \pm 0.01$ | ND | $0.5 \pm 0.1$ | ND |

ND: not determined; NDS: not determined due to solubility issues; PI: partial inhibitor.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ values in brackets are the percent inhibition measured at saturation of inhibitor or at the highest inhibitor concentration allowed by solubility.
$\nexists: K_{d}$ could not be calculated since compound binding does not cause any change in fluorescence emission.


Scheme 1. Synthetic routes to compounds 5, 8, 10 and 12. Reagents and Conditions: (a) NaH, DME, $4-\mathrm{MeBnBr}, \mathrm{RT}-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $63 \%$; (b) $n$-BuLi, DME, (R)-phenyloxirane, RT$90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $11 \%-20 \%$ (c) $t$-BuOK, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{RT}$; $86 \%$; (d) $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SOI}, \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMSO} ; 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{RT}$; $54 \%$; (e) LiOH, THF/MeOH/H2O, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $50-60 \%$; (f) $\mathrm{TES}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{RT} ; 100 \%$; (g) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{RT} ; 55 \%$;

## Discussion

Medicinal chemistry rationales were used to modify the structure of cyclopropane carboxylic acids as inhibitors of OASS in a Gramnegative bacterium. A total of 20 compounds were designed and synthesised in this study to evaluate the effect of the chemical modifications to both enzymatic and antibacterial activities, leading to additional SAR information. The cyclopropane motif was kept since it contributes to the favourable positioning of the substituents in OASS pocket, and, moreover, it provides rigidity to the molecule. The new set of molecules was then biochemically
evaluated on both isoforms of the recombinant OASS enzyme from Salmonella to determine their potency (Table 1). For most compounds the potency was assessed by fluorometric titration, exploiting the increase in the fluorescence emission of the cofactor upon binding to the active site. Compounds with substituents different from carboxylate were unable to elicit the fluorescence changes and for this reason their potency was measured by activity assays.

Regarding the C2 phenyl ring (Scheme 1), small electron donor groups (EDGs) such as the amino moiety (compound 12,



Scheme 2. Synthetic routes to compounds 16-22, 24, 25, 28 and 29. Reagents and Conditions: (a) $n$-BuLi, DME, ethyl 2 -(diethoxyphosphoryl)-3-(p-tolyl)propanoate (2), RT- $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $63 \%$; (b) LiOH, THF/MeOH/H2O, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $87 \%$; (c) HOBt, DIPEA, EDC.HCI, DMF, R1 $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$; 34-60\%; (d) TEA, DPPA, $t$-BuOH, RT, $50 \%$; (e) TFA, DCM, RT; $100 \%$; (f) TEA, $\mathrm{RSO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} ;-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 50-61 \%$. (g) NaH, DME, BnBr, RT- $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \%$; (h) $n$-BuLi, DME, Phoxirane, RT- $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 25 \%$; (i) NaN3, TEA, DMFA, $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 46 \%$.


Figure 3. Interaction of compound 25 with OASS-B. Panel (A) Dependence of the percent inhibition of OASS-B catalytic activity on compound 25 concentration. Activity assays were carried out in the presence of 0.6 mM bisulphide and 1 mM OAS at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Line through data points is the fitting to a hyperbolic function, drawn to guide the eye. Panel (B) Competitive binding assay. Fluorescence emission spectra upon excitation at 412 nm were collected in the absence and presence of $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 1-ethylcyclopropane-1,2-dicarboxylic acid (1-ECP-1,2-DCA). The increase in the fluorescence emission indicates the formation of a specific complex with OASS-B. Addition of $190 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound 25 does not change the emission spectrum. Spectra were collected in 100 mM Hepes buffer, $1 \% \mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{pH} 7,20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$K_{\text {dOASS-A }}=1.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), when not substituted, allowed to maintain good binding properties, whereas bulkier groups such as the nitro and a substituted alkylamine (compound 8, $K_{\text {dOASS-A }}=61 \mu \mathrm{M}$; compound $\mathbf{1 0}, K_{\text {dOASS-A }}=155 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) weakened the interaction of the molecule with the active pocket, thus decreasing the affinity. It is possible to conclude that expansion of the series towards the para position of the phenyl ring, unless carried out with small groups, is detrimental for the activity of the compounds. To increase the polarity of the molecule, substitution of the C2 phenyl ring with a
pyridine showed to be a more fruitful strategy, with high affinity toward both isoforms (compound 5, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{dOASS}-\mathrm{A}}=0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\mathrm{dOASS}-\mathrm{B}}=$ $6.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Even though the carboxylic acid group is essential for the binding to OASS ${ }^{13,27,28}$, its scarce diffusion across biological membranes is a well-known issue ${ }^{32,33}$. Therefore, to preserve the key interactions with the target, we carried out the replacement of the carboxylic acid by several isosters (Scheme 2). Substitution with a sulphonamide led to molecules unable to elicit significant changes in the fluorescence emission of the
cofactor, potentially indicating that the compounds did not bind to the active site of the enzyme. This prompted us to further investigate the mechanism of inhibition of these molecules, since the residual activity at saturating concentrations is significant (about $40-50 \%$, Figure 3, panel A). The molecules are poorly soluble in the buffer used for activity assays, compound 24 having a solubility limit of about $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and compound 25 of about $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$. This hampers the accurate determination of the inhibition mechanism due to limitations to the range of inhibitor concentration that can be explored. However, since partial inhibition is a typical feature of allosteric inhibitors, we used a method developed by our group ${ }^{24}$ to assess if compound $\mathbf{2 5}$ is able to displace a low affinity active site binder, 1-ethylcyclopro-pane-1,2-dicarboxylic acid, that forms a highly fluorescent complex with OASS. Compound 25 is unable to displace the compound up to an equimolar concentration of about $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$. This is a strong indication that compound 25 is not able to compete for binding to the active site with 1-ethylcyclopro-pane-1,2-dicarboxylic acid. Therefore, either the dissociation constant of compound $\mathbf{2 5}$ for OASS-B is much higher than $170 \mu \mathrm{M}$
(the $K_{\mathrm{d}}$ of 1-ethylcyclopropane-1,2-dicarboxylic acid for OASS-B) or compound $\mathbf{2 5}$ does not bind to the active site of the enzyme (Figure 3, panel B). Unfortunately, replacement of the carboxylic acid by a tetrazole resulted in the decrease of the binding affinity (compound 29, $K_{\text {dOASS-A }}=90 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Additional derivatization of the carboxylic acid group to deliver a small series of amides (compounds 16-22) was attempted as well in order to gain further insights into the anchoring groups that can be tolerated by StOASS. Either unsubstituted or substituted amides, regardless of the moiety attached to the nitrogen atom, had in general a detrimental effect on the binding constant. Rather surprisingly, the good activity of the tertiary amide 16 (compound 16, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ OASS-A $=17.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) represents an exception to the SAR information collected. Indeed, from the bulk of experimental data so far described, it can be speculated that carboxylic acid replacement is tolerable if at that position the presence of a sharable hydrogen is granted. By contrast, the lack of a hydrogen for H -bond formation in compound 16 makes difficult its collocation within the SAR. With the help of computational methods, further efforts on the study of the


Scheme 3. Synthetic routes to compounds 33, 47-50. Reagents and Conditions: (a) NaH, DMF/THF, 4-bromomethylpyridine, RT; 20\%; (b) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, THF , benzaldehyde, RT- $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 40 \%$ (c) $\mathrm{NaH},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SOI}, \mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{RT} ; 33-64 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 25-89 \%$; (e) MeOH, piperidine, paraformaldehyde, $p \mathrm{TSA}$, toluene, $100 \%$; (f) proper heterocycle, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{RT}$; (g) $t$-BuOK, THF, benzaldehyde, RT; 11-38\%;
mechanism of binding for this molecule will help in the explanation of this odd result.

Finally, the benzylic moiety at the C1 position showed to be the most suitable for chemical intervention (Scheme 3). Its substitution with smaller size heterocycles, endowed with ameliorated drug-like characteristics, in all cases allowed to maintain good binding properties in the low micromolar or sub-micromolar range (compounds 33, 47-50, Table 1). To some extent, a correlation between polarity of the heterocycle and binding to the target enzyme seems to be present. In fact, higher polarity of compound somehow correlates with the decrease in binding affinity, with the pyrrole derivative (compound 49, $K_{\text {dOASS-A }}=0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) being the most active of the series, and the triazole (compound 50, $\left.K_{\text {dOASS-A }}=18 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ exhibiting the highest $K_{\mathrm{d}}$.

It is worthwhile to notice that one of the main hurdles in the development of small molecules inhibitors of OASS is the consistent lower affinity that compounds display towards the B-isoform with respect to the A-isoform. Also in this case, the molecules reported showed dissociation constants in the high-micromolar range for the B -isoform and this could be too high to completely saturate the enzyme in vivo.

We investigated the ability of the new set of compounds to interfere with bacterial growth. Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) in a medium with limiting cysteine, using the Gram-negative model organism, E. coli ATCC25922, was calculated for the most potent enzyme binders: compounds 5, 12, 16, 24, $\mathbf{3 3}$ and 47-50. Unfortunately, none of the synthesised compounds was able to interfere significantly with bacterial growth (data not shown), which shows that the chemical modifications, although suitable to grant enzyme inhibition, did not allow to achieve sufficient inhibitor accumulation in bacteria.

## Conclusions

Small-molecule inhibitors of OASS enzymes have been developed since the inhibition of cysteine biosynthesis might be a valuable means to tackle down resistant infections. Despite the most potent molecule of the series exhibits a nanomolar affinity towards OASS isoforms, it was not able to interfere with E. coli growth in minimum medium, allegedly because of the poor permeability of the compound. Although the physicochemical properties that enable small molecule accumulation in the Gramnegative bacteria are yet not understood, previous analyses with different sets of compounds suggested that including nitrogen atoms in the structure is a fruitful option in order to obtain compounds able to cross Gram-negative cell wall. Therefore, we modified our hit molecule towards more polar derivatives by including in the structure nitrogen-containing groups or heterocycles. On the other side, the carboxylic acid group was replaced by several isosters. Most of the compounds synthesised were found to maintain good binding affinity in the biochemical assays, corroborating the rational design of the analogues and allowing additional body of SAR to be reported. Substitution of the carboxylic acid group by a sulphonamide not only was found to be tolerated, but a novel mechanism of partial inhibition, to be further investigated, was disclosed. The most potent enzyme binders, assayed on the Gram-negative model organism E. coli in minimum medium, did not show MIC lower than $256 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. It might be hypothesised that despite the increase of polarity through the introduction of nitrogen-containing functional groups is tolerated in terms of SAR, probably some features such as the negative charge of the carboxylic acid are detrimental for the accumulation of the
compounds. Based on these novel SAR insights, further chemical modifications are currently ongoing in our laboratories.

## Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

## Funding

This work was funded under the MSCA-ITN-2014-ETN project INTEGRATE [grant number 642620].

## References

1. Campanini B, Pieroni M, Raboni S, et al. Inhibitors of the sulfur assimilation pathway in bacterial pathogens as enhancers of antibiotic therapy. Curr Med Chem 2015;22: 187-213.
2. Mozzarelli A, Bettati S, Campanini B, et al. The multifaceted pyridoxal 5'-phosphate-dependent O -acetylserine sulfhydrylase. Biochim Biophys Acta 2011;1814:1497-510.
3. Spyrakis F, Felici P, Bayden AS, et al. Fine tuning of the active site modulates specificity in the interaction of O-acetylserine sulfhydrylase isozymes with serine acetyltransferase. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Proteins and Proteomics 2013;1834:169-81.
4. Spyrakis F, Singh R, Cozzini P, et al. Isozyme-specific ligands for O-acetylserine sulfhydrylase, a novel antibiotic target. PLoS ONE 2013;8:e77558.
5. Bhave DP, Muse WB, Carroll KS. Drug targets in mycobacterial sulfur metabolism. Infect Disord Drug Targets 2007;7: 140-58.
6. Palde PB, Bhaskar A, Pedró Rosa LE, et al. First-in-class inhibitors of sulfur metabolism with bactericidal activity against non-replicating M. tuberculosis. ACS Chem Biol 2016;11: 172-84.
7. Chattopadhyay A, Meier M, Ivaninskii S, et al. Structure, mechanism, and conformational dynamics of O-acetylserine sulfhydrylase from Salmonella typhimurium: comparison of $A$ and $B$ isozymes. Biochemistry 2007;46:8315-30.
8. Rabeh WM, Cook PF. Structure and mechanism of O-acetylserine sulfhydrylase. J Biol Chem 2004;279:26803-6.
9. Benoni R, Bei OD, Paredi G, et al. Modulation of Escherichia coli serine acetyltransferase catalytic activity in the cysteine synthase complex. FEBS Letters 2017;591:1212-24.
10. Turnbull AL, Surette MG. L-Cysteine is required for induced antibiotic resistance in actively swarming Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. Microbiology (Reading, Engl) 2008; 154:3410-9.
11. Turnbull AL, Surette MG. Cysteine biosynthesis, oxidative stress and antibiotic resistance in Salmonella typhimurium. Res Microbiol 2010;161:643-50.
12. Salsi E, Bayden AS, Spyrakis F, et al. Design of O-acetylserine sulfhydrylase inhibitors by mimicking nature. J Med Chem 2010;53:345-56.
13. Pieroni $M$, Annunziato $G$, Beato $C$, et al. Rational design, synthesis, and preliminary structure-activity relationships of $\alpha$-substituted-2-phenylcyclopropane carboxylic acids as inhibitors of salmonella typhimurium o-acetylserine sulfhydrylase. J Med Chem 2016;59:2567-78.
14. Hulanicka MD, Garrett C, Jagura-Burdzy G, Kredich NM. Cloning and characterization of the cysAMK region of Salmonella typhimurium. J Bacteriol 1986;168:322-7.
15. Hullo M-F, Auger S, Soutourina O, et al. Conversion of methionine to cysteine in bacillus subtilis and its regulation. J Bacteriol 2007;189:187-97.
16. Richter MF, Drown BS, Riley AP, et al. Predictive compound accumulation rules yield a broad-spectrum antibiotic. Nature 2017;545:299-304.
17. Tiz DB, Kikelj D, Zidar N. Overcoming problems of poor drug penetration into bacteria: challenges and strategies for medicinal chemists. Exp Opin Drug Disc 2018;13:497-507.
18. Delcour AH. Outer membrane permeability and antibiotic resistance. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Proteins and Proteomics 2009;1794:808-16.
19. Lambert PA. Cellular impermeability and uptake of biocides and antibiotics in Gram-positive bacteria and mycobacteria. J App Microbiol 2002;92:46S-54S.
20. Brown ED, Wright GD. Antibacterial drug discovery in the resistance era. Nature 2016;529:336-43.
21. O'Shea R, Moser HE. Physicochemical properties of antibacterial compounds: implications for drug discovery. J Med Chem 2008;51:2871-8.
22. Brown DG, May-Dracka TL, Gagnon MM, Tommasi R. Trends and exceptions of physical properties on antibacterial activity for Gram-positive and Gram-negative pathogens. J Med Chem 2014;57:10144-61.
23. Huczyński A, Janczak J, Stefańska J, et al. Synthesis and antimicrobial activity of amide derivatives of polyether anti-biotic-salinomycin. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2012;22:4697-702.
24. Benoni R, Beck CM, Garza-Sánchez F, et al. Activation of an anti-bacterial toxin by the biosynthetic enzyme CysK: mechanism of binding, interaction specificity and competition with cysteine synthase. Sci Rep 2017;7:8817.
25. Annunziato G, Pieroni M, Benoni R, et al. Cyclopropane-1,2dicarboxylic acids as new tools for the biophysical investigation of O-acetylserine sulfhydrylases by fluorimetric methods and saturation transfer difference (STD) NMR. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2016;31:78-87.
26. Gaitonde MK. A spectrophotometric method for the direct determination of cysteine in the presence of other naturally occurring amino acids. Biochem J 1967;104:627-33.
27. Yung-Chi C, Prusoff WH. Relationship between the inhibition constant (KI) and the concentration of inhibitor which causes 50 per cent inhibition (150) of an enzymatic reaction. Biochem Pharmacol 1973;22:3099-108.
28. Amori L, Katkevica S, Bruno A, et al. Design and synthesis of trans-2-substituted-cyclopropane-1-carboxylic acids as the first non-natural small molecule inhibitors of O-acetylserine sulfhydrylase. Med Chem Commun 2012;3:1111-6.
29. Magalhães J, Annunziato G, Franko N, et al. Integration of Enhanced Sampling Methods with Saturation Transfer Difference Experiments to Identify Protein Druggable Pockets. J Chem Inf Model 2018;58:710-23.
30. Fu J, Cheng K, Zhang Z, et al. Synthesis, structure and struc-ture-activity relationship analysis of caffeic acid amides as potential antimicrobials. Eur J Med Chem 2010;45:2638-43.
31. Hitchings GH. Curatore. Inhibition of folate metabolism in chemotherapy: the origins and uses of co-trimoxazole [Internet]. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag; 1983. Available from: //www.springer.com/us/book/9783642818929 [last accessed 22 Jun 2018].
32. Ballatore C, Huryn DM, Smith AB. Carboxylic acid (bio)isosteres in drug design. Chem Med Chem 2013;8:385-95.
33. Lassalas P, Gay B, Lasfargeas C, et al. Structure property relationships of carboxylic acid isosteres. J Med Chem 2016;59: 3183-203.

[^0]:    CONTACT Gabriele Costantino gabriele.costantino@unipr.it Department of Food and Drug, University of Parma, Parco Area Delle Scienze 27/A, Parma, 43124, Italy
    © 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor \& Francis Group.
    This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

