**Research Paper** 

# Mortality risk of COVID-19 in elderly males with comorbidities: a multi-country study

Guangdi Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Yacong Liu<sup>2</sup>, Xixi Jing<sup>2</sup>, Yali Wang<sup>2</sup>, Miao Miao<sup>2</sup>, Li Tao<sup>3</sup>, Zhiguo Zhou<sup>4</sup>, Yuanlin Xie<sup>5</sup>, Yaxiong Huang<sup>4</sup>, Jianhua Lei<sup>1</sup>, Guozhong Gong<sup>1</sup>, Ping Jin<sup>6</sup>, Yuantao Hao<sup>7</sup>, Nuno Rodrigues Faria<sup>8,9</sup>, Erik De Clercq<sup>10</sup>, Min Zhang<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Hepatology and Department of Infectious Diseases, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China

<sup>2</sup>Hunan Provincial Key Laboratory of Clinical Epidemiology, Xiangya School of Public Health, Central South University, Changsha, China

<sup>3</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central South University, Changsha, China

<sup>4</sup>The First Hospital of Changsha, Changsha, China

<sup>5</sup>The Fourth Hospital of Changsha, Changsha, China

<sup>6</sup>Department of Endocrinology, The Third Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China

<sup>7</sup>Department of Medical Statistics and Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China

<sup>8</sup>Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

<sup>9</sup>Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK

<sup>10</sup>Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Transplantation, Rega Institute for Medical Research, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

Correspondence to: Min Zhang; email: <a href="mailto:zhangmin001@csu.edu.cn">zhangmin001@csu.edu.cn</a>Keywords: COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, mortality, risk factors, comorbiditiesReceived: July 30, 2020Accepted: December 9, 2020Published: December 31, 2020

**Copyright:** © 2020 Li et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License</u> (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

# ABSTRACT

The COVID-19 pandemic causes severe morbidity and mortality. This multi-country study aimed to explore risk factors that drive mortality in COVID-19 patients who received neither dexamethasone nor remdesivir. We analyzed a cohort of 568 survivors and 507 non-survivors from China, European regions, and North America. Elderly males ≥70 years accounted for only 25% of survivors, but this rate was significantly higher in non-survivors from China (55%), European regions (63%), and North America (47%). Compared with survivors, non-survivors had more incidences of comorbidities such as cerebrovascular disease and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD, p-values<0.05). Survival analyses revealed age, male gender, shortness of breath, cerebrovascular disease, and COPD as mortality-associated factors. Survival time from symptom onset was significantly shorter in elderly versus young patients (median: 29 versus 62 days), males versus females (median: 46 versus 59 days), and patients with versus without comorbidities (mean: 41 versus 61 days). Mortality risk was higher in elderly males with comorbidities than in young females without comorbidities (p-value<0.01). Elderly male survivors with comorbidities also had longer hospital stays than other survivors (25 versus 18.5 days, p-value<0.01). Overall, the high mortality risk in elderly males with COVID-19-associated comorbidities supports early prevention and critical care for elderly populations.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a global pandemic that is causing significant, widespread increases in morbidity and mortality, while effective antiviral treatments are still under development [1]. As of November 26<sup>th</sup>, 2020, there were more than 60.4 million cases of COVID-19, and the overall case-fatality risk was approximately 2.4% according to an update by the World Health Organization (WHO). With a strong potential of sustained human-to-human transmission, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) can quickly spread to vulnerable populations such as elderly individuals with aging-related immune disorders.

Early studies of COVID-19-associated mortality and risk factors have mostly involved small cohorts of non-survivors in Wuhan [2-7]. For instance, COVID-19-associated mortality was observed in 32 (62%) of 52 critically ill patients in Wuhan who received medical care in the intensive care unit [2]. A singlecenter study reported an increased risk of mortality in COVID-19 patients who were severely or critically ill at hospitalization [3]. Additionally, mortality was observed in both non-severe (1.1%) and severe (32.5%) patients during the 32-day follow-up [4]. A retrospective study of 54 non-survivors showed the increasing odds of death in association with older age, a high sequential organ failure assessment score, and high d-dimer levels at baseline [5]. Another study in Wuhan reported strong associations of older ages with a high risk of acute respiratory distress syndrome and death [6]. Moreover, CD3<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and cardiac troponin I were found to be important risk factors based on a small cohort of 21 non-survivors and 158 survivors [7]. Despite the above findings, it remains unclear why some patients are more susceptible to a fatal outcome of COVID-19 and whether elderly patients outside Wuhan harbor unique features with regard to mortality.

To reveal mortality-associated factors, our study collected a large cohort of survivors who recovered from COVID-19 and non-survivors who died of the disease before May 1, 2020. Due to the authorization of remdesivir on May 1 and dexamethasone on June 17 that may affect our results of mortality-related factors, our data collection initially retrieved clinical records of COVID-19 patients who received neither remdesivir nor dexamethasone. By using epidemiological and clinical records of COVID-19 patients from different countries, this multi-country study revealed a high-risk population of elderly patients with specific comorbidities. Given the rapid spread of COVID-19 which is causing high morbidity and mortality worldwide, a special focus on elderly patients with specific comorbidities in current COVID-19 guidelines should be implemented.

#### RESULTS

#### **Epidemiological features**

This study retrieved a multi-country dataset of 1075 COVID-19 patients, including 232 survivors and 183 non-survivors in China, 208 survivors and 258 nonsurvivors in European regions, and 128 survivors and 66 non-survivors in North America (Table 1). None of the COVID-19 patients received remdesivir or dexamethasone – two approved drugs that may potentially reduce the mortality rate of COVID-19 [8, 9]. All COVID-19 patients were reported between January and April 2020. Our workflow and data summary are provided in Figure 1.

We analyzed the age distribution of the 1075 patients in our dataset (Figure 2A). The youngest and oldest nonsurvivors died at 5 and 99 years of age, respectively. COVID-19 survivors were significantly younger than non-survivors in China (median: 64 versus 71 years, p-value<0.001, Figure 2B), European regions (60 versus 75, p-value<0.001, Figure 2C), and North America (46 versus 71, p-value<0.001, Figure 2D). Elderly males  $\geq$ 70 years accounted for only 25% of 568 survivors, but this rate was significantly higher among non-survivors from China (55%, p-value<0.01), European regions (63%, p-value<0.01), and North America (47%, p-value<0.01).

Our gender analysis suggested that COVID-19 killed more males than females across China, European regions, and North America (Table 1). The proportion of males was significantly higher among non-survivors than survivors in China (64% versus 47%, p-value<0.01), European regions (66% versus 56%, p-value=0.041), and North America (68% versus 48%, p-value=0.01), but such differences were not observed between three sampling origins of non-survivors (p-values>0.05, Table 1).

#### Clinical features of survivors and non-survivors

We analyzed the proportions of those with pre-existing comorbidities in a subset of 359 survivors and 239 nonsurvivors whose comorbidities were recorded (Figure 1). As expected, proportions of comorbidities increased with age in males and females, though comorbidity proportions were slightly higher (but insignificant) in males than in females (Figure 3A and Supplementary Table 1). Moreover, non-survivors had higher proportions of comorbidities than survivors aged from

		Sample size	Male, n (%)	Age, median (IQR)
Survivors	China	232	108 (47%)	64 (54 to 71)
	European regions	208	117 (56%)	59 (46 to 71)
	North America	128	61 (48%)	46 (39 to 53)
	China	183	117 (64%)	71 (64 to 79)
Non-survivors	European regions	258	169 (66%)	75 (66 to 84)
	North America	66	45 (68%)	71 (56 to 77)

#### Table 1. Basic information of 1075 COVID-19 patients in our study.

IQR: interquartile range.

30 to  $\geq$ 80 years (Figure 3B). Compared with survivors, non-survivors harbored more comorbidities such as cerebrovascular disease (2% versus 8%, p-value<0.01), cardiovascular disease (24% versus 39%, p-value<0.01), and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD, 1% versus 9%, p-value<0.01, Supplementary Table 1). In the analysis of comorbidities than survivors (6% versus 16%, p-value=0.01, Supplementary Table 1). Hypertension was highly prevalent among male non-survivors than male survivors (41% versus 27%, p-value=0.006, Figure 3C).

We next analyzed baseline findings of computed tomography (CT) scans. Incidences of ground-glass opacity, pleural adhesions, and pleural effusion were significantly higher in non-survivors than in survivors (p-values<0.05). Figure 4 highlights the CT results of a non-survivor who had abnormal bilateral lungs with ground-glass opacities and pleural adhesions.

Death-related complications were recorded in a subset of 102 non-survivors. The most common complications were respiratory failure (51%), acute respiratory distress syndrome (28.4%), multiple organ failure (27.5%), shock (22.5%), and hypoxia (13.7%). Males and females had similar incidences of death-related complications (Supplementary Table 2).

#### Risk factors associated with COVID-19 mortality

To reveal mortality-associated factors, we examined a subset of 242 survivors and 157 non-survivors whose records contained complete information regarding age, gender, comorbidities, symptoms, clinical outcomes, and survival time from symptom onset to clinical outcomes (Figure 1). As shown in Table 2, the most common symptoms at baseline were fever, cough, shortness of breath, and fatigue (proportions  $\geq 25\%$ ). In the comparison of survivors and non-survivors, significant differences in patient age, male gender, shortness of breath, any comorbidity, cerebrovascular disease, cardiovascular disease, chronic liver disease, and COPD were detected (Table 2).

We next used Cox proportional hazards models to evaluate time-dependent hazards of baseline factors for the clinical outcome of death. Univariate Cox regression analyses revealed seven risk factors: age, male gender, shortness of breath, any comorbidity, cerebrovascular disease, cardiovascular disease, and COPD (pvalues<0.05, Table 3). Multivariate Cox regression analyses further confirmed five significant factors: male gender (hazard ratio (HR): 1.41, p-value=0.039), age (HR: 1.03, p-value<0.01), shortness of breath (HR: 1.74, p-value=0.0008), cerebrovascular disease (HR: 3.28, p-value<0.01), and COPD (HR: 2.19, pvalue=0.03, Table 3). These five factors with the effect size of Cohen's d  $\geq 0.4$  remained significant in the propensity score-matched samples that potentially reduced the confounding effect (Table 4).

A tree model was built in Figure 5 to visualize proportions of survivors and non-survivors based on 8 possible conditions of three pre-existing factors at baseline: age (<70 or  $\geq$ 70 years), gender (male or female), and any comorbidity (yes or no). Among the 8 possible conditions, the highest proportion of mortality was observed in elderly males with comorbidities (28%, 44/157), whereas the highest proportion of survivors was found in young females without comorbidities (18%, 44/242). A higher proportion of non-survivors was often found among males, those  $\geq 70$  years, and/or those with comorbidities than among females, those <70years, and/or those without comorbidity (Figure 5). Similar patterns were also observed in the tree models of age, gender plus either cerebrovascular disease or COPD (Supplementary Figure 1).

# Impact of risk factors on survival time and length of hospital stay

Kaplan-Meier curves were evaluated to understand whether risk factors such as age, gender, and comorbidities affect survival time from symptom onset to death - the primary endpoint of clinical outcomes. As shown in Figure 6A, the median survival time of elderly patients  $\geq$ 70 years was significantly shorter than that of



Figure 1. A workflow of our data collection procedures and dataset summary.



Figure 2. Age distribution of COVID-19 patients. Proportions of survivors (left) and non-survivors (right) at different age classes are visualized for all patients (A), patients in China (B), patients in European regions (C), and patients in North America (D). For survivors, male and female proportions are visualized by blue and light-blue, respectively. For non-survivors, male and female proportions are visualized by blue and light-blue, respectively. For non-survivors, male and female proportions are visualized by black and gray, respectively.

patients <70 years (median: 29 versus 62 days, p-value<0.001). Male patients had a shorter survival time than female patients (median: 46 versus 59 days, p-value=0.021, Figure 6B). The survival time of patients with comorbidities was shorter than those without any comorbidity (mean: 41 versus 61 days, p-value=0.001,

Figure 6C). Furthermore, the survival time of patients with  $\geq$ 3 comorbidities (mean: 27, IQR: 20 to 33 days) was shorter than those with 1 or 2 comorbidities (mean: 43, IQR: 40 to 46.5 days) and those without any comorbidity (mean: 60, IQR: 55 to 66 days, p-values<0.01, Supplementary Figure 2A). Patients with



**Figure 3. Pre-existing comorbidities in males/females and survivors/non-survivors.** (A) Proportions of males (blue) and females (gray) with any comorbidity. (B) Proportions of survivors (green) and non-survivors (black) with any comorbidity. (C) Comorbidities in male survivors/non-survivors (left) and female survivors/non-survivors (right). Red stars indicate the significant difference in proportions between survivors and non-survivors (p-value<0.05).

cerebrovascular disease (median: 14 versus 59 days, p-value<0.001, Figure 6D) or COPD (median: 11 versus 59 days, p-value<0.001, Supplementary Figure 2B) also had a shorter survival time than patients without these comorbidities.

We next evaluated the length of hospital stay under preexisting conditions of age, gender, and comorbidities (Figure 6E). The length of hospital stay was shorter among non-survivors (median: 11, interquartile range (IQR): 7 to 21 days) than among survivors (median: 20,



**Figure 4.** CT images from an elderly male with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 (**A**) and a normal male without COVID-19 (**B**). CT images were illustrated in the upper zone (above the carina), the middle zone (below the carina up to the inferior pulmonary vein), and the lower zone (below the inferior pulmonary vein). After 9-day hospitalization, CT images showed minor ground-glass opacity (GGO) in subpleural areas of the lower left and right lobes in the COVID-19 male. After 12-day hospitalization, CT images showed progressing GGOs and newly-appeared reticulation. The vascular enlargement was observed in the lesion of the lower left lung. A small amount of bilateral pleural effusion was newly developed. After 22-day hospitalization, CT images showed progressing lesion with multiple newly-appeared GGO in both lungs, predominantly located in subpleural areas of lower lobes. Bronchiectasis of the anterior internal basal segment of the left lower lung was visible. Progressing bilateral pleural effusion was identified. The patient passed away after 24 days of hospitalization in The First Hospital of Changsha.

33

Characteristics	Total (N=399)	Survivors (N=242)	Non-survivors (N=157)	P-value
Male	215 (54%)	116 (48%)	99 (63%)	0.003
Age (years)	66 (58 to 74)	65 (55 to 72)	70 (63 to 78)	1.2×10 <sup>-5</sup>
Chinese patients	366 (92%)	224 (93%)	142 (90%)	0.45
Baseline symptoms				
Any symptom	392 (98%)	236 (98%)	156 (99%)	0.17
Fever	326 (82%)	203 (84%)	123 (78%)	0.16
Cough	256 (64%)	154 (64%)	102 (65%)	0.79
Shortness of breath	149 (37%)	70 (29%)	79 (50%)	1.6×10 <sup>-5</sup>
Fatigue	107 (27%)	65 (27%)	42 (27%)	0.98
Chills	63 (16%)	38 (16%)	25 (16%)	0.95
Diarrhea	59 (15%)	42 (17%)	17 (11%)	0.07
Myalgia	58 (14%)	41 (17%)	17 (11%)	0.09
Appetite loss	57 (14%)	39 (16%)	18 (11%)	0.19
Headache	31 (8%)	20 (8%)	11 (7%)	0.65
Nausea	29 (7%)	19 (8%)	10 (6%)	0.58
Comorbidity				
Hypertension	163 (41%)	94 (39%)	69 (44%)	0.31
Diabetes mellitus	103 (26%)	56 (23%)	47 (30%)	0.13
Cardiovascular disease	68 (17%)	31 (13%)	37 (24%)	0.005
Cerebrovascular disease	25 (6%)	5 (2%)	20 (13%)	1.7×10 <sup>-5</sup>
Cancer	20 (5%)	9 (4%)	11 (7%)	0.14
Chronic bronchitis	16 (4%)	7 (3%)	9 (6%)	0.16
COPD #	11 (3%)	2 (1%)	9 (6%)	0.003
Chronic liver disease	9 (2%)	2 (1%)	7 (5%)	0.017
Digestive disease	8 (2%)	3 (1%)	5 (3%)	0.18
Tuberculosis	6 (2%)	3 (1%)	3 (2%)	0.59
Any comorbidity	258 (65%)	141 (58%)	117 (75%)	9.0×10 <sup>-4</sup>
1 comorbidity	136 (34%)	80 (33%)	56 (36%)	
2 comorbidities	78 (20%)	47 (19%)	31 (20%)	0.004
$\geq$ 3 comorbidities	44 (11%)	14 (5%)	30 (12%)	
Time to clinical outcome				
Symptom onset to outcome	29 (19 to 42)	38 (27 to 47)	17 (12 to 27)	< 0.01
Length of hospital stay	17 (10 to 27)	20 (11 to 28)	11 (7 to 21)	2.2×10 <sup>-10</sup>

Table 2. Clinical features of COVID-19 patients with the complete records of symptoms, comorbidities, clinical outcomes, and survival time.

#: COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

IQR: 11 to 28 days, p-value<0.01). The median time from hospital admission to discharge was significantly longer in elderly survivors (median: 23, interquartile range (IQR): 18.5 to 32 days) than in young survivors (median: 17, IQR: 10 to 23 days, p-value<0.001). Survivors with comorbidities had a longer period of hospital stay than survivors without comorbidities (median: 21 versus 15 days, p-value<0.001). For survivors, elderly males with comorbidities required 25 days (IQR: 18 to 35 days) of hospital stay, which was much longer than young females without comorbidities (median: 14, IQR: 9 to 19 days, p-value<0.001). For

	Univariate ana	lysis	Multivariate analysis		
	HR (95% CI)	P-value	HR (95% CI)	P-value	
Male	1.53 (1.29 to 1.80)	0.01	1.41 (1.19 to 1.67)	0.039	
Patient age*	1.04 (1.03 to 1.05)	6.4×10 <sup>-8</sup>	1.03 (1.02 to 1.04)	3.0×10 <sup>-5</sup>	
Region <sup>&amp;</sup>	0.52 (0.39 to 0.68)	0.06			
Signs or symptoms					
Any symptom	2.65 (0.97 to 7.23)	0.33			
Fever	0.76 (0.63 to 0.93)	0.16			
Cough	0.89 (0.75 to 1.05)	0.49			
Shortness of breath	1.86 (1.59 to 2.18)	$1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	1.74 (1.47 to 2.05)	0.0008	
Fatigue	0.90 (0.75 to 1.08)	0.55			
Myalgia	0.64 (0.49 to 0.82)	0.08			
Chills	0.92 (0.74 to 1.14)	0.69			
Diarrhea	0.63 (0.48 to 0.81)	0.07			
Headache	0.81 (0.59 to 1.11)	0.49			
Nausea	0.78 (0.56 to 1.09)	0.46			
Appetite loss	0.64 (0.50 to 0.82)	0.08			
Comorbidity					
Any comorbidity	1.79 (1.49 to 2.15)	0.002			
Hypertension	1.23 (1.05 to 1.45)	0.19			
Diabetes mellitus	1.27 (1.06 to 1.51)	0.18			
Cardiovascular disease	1.74 (1.44 to 2.10)	0.004			
Cerebrovascular disease	4.11 (3.23 to 5.24)	5.1×10 <sup>-9</sup>	3.28 (2.55 to 4.23)	2.9×10 <sup>-6</sup>	
Chronic liver disease	1.90 (1.29 to 2.80)	0.09			
Digestive disease	1.93 (1.23 to 3.05)	0.15			
Cancer	1.50 (1.10 to 2.05)	0.20			
Chronic bronchitis	1.76 (1.25 to 2.48)	0.10			
COPD	3.45 (2.44 to 4.88)	3.6×10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.19 (1.53 to 3.15)	0.03	
Tuberculosis	1.28 (0.71 to 2.29)	0.68			

#### Table 3. Risk factors in the survival model of COVID-19 using Cox proportional hazards models.

\* : Increase per year. &: Patients in China versus Europe/North America.

non-survivors, the median time from hospital admission to death was 11.5 days (IQR: 7 to 22 days). Moreover, elderly non-survivors had a shorter length of hospital stay compared with young non-survivors (median: 10 versus 14 days, p-value=0.003). The length of hospital stay was shorter in elderly male non-survivors (median: 10, IQR: 6 to 18.5 days) than in young male nonsurvivors (median: 15, IQR: 9.5 to 27 days, pvalue=0.003). Overall, mortality-associated risk factors exert an impact on the hospital stay and survival time in COVID-19 patients.

#### **DISCUSSION**

To understand why some COVID-19 patients are more susceptible to fatal outcomes, we explored epidemiological and clinical records of survivors and non-survivors from China, European regions, and North America. Our study revealed three major findings. (i) High mortality risk of COVID-19 was consistently found among elderly males from China, Europe, and North America. (ii) Risk factor and survival analyses revealed mortality-associated risk factors of age, male gender, shortness of breath, and chronic comorbidities such as cerebrovascular disease and COPD. (iii) Mortality-associated risk factors exert an impact on the survival time and hospital stays of elderly patients. To reduce mortality rates and hospital burden, we carried out a multi-country study on COVID-19-induced mortality, and the results support the early prevention and critical care of elderly males with specific comorbidities.

#### Elderly adults with an increased risk of COVID-19

In agreement with previous findings [2, 4, 10, 11], our survival analyses suggested that the mortality risk of COVID-19 increased with patient age (HR: 1.04

			Original sample		
	HR (95% CI)	<b>P-value</b>	OR (95% CI)	<b>P-value</b>	Cohen's d
Male	1.53 (1.29 to 1.80)	0.01	1.85 (1.23 to 2.80)	0.003	0.339
Patient age	1.04 (1.03 to 1.05)	6.4×10 <sup>-8</sup>	-	-	0.586
Shortness of breath	1.86 (1.59 to 2.18)	1.0×10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.49 (1.64 to 3.78)	$1.9 \times 10^{-5}$	0.503
Any comorbidity	1.79 (1.49 to 2.15)	0.002	2.20 (1.42 to 3.42)	4.3×10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.435
Cardiovascular disease	1.74 (1.44 to 2.10)	0.004	2.10 (1.24 to 3.56)	0.006	0.409
Cerebrovascular disease	4.11 (3.23 to 5.24)	5.1×10 <sup>-9</sup>	6.92 (2.54 to 18.85)	$1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	1.066
COPD	3.45 (2.44 to 4.88)	3.6×10 <sup>-4</sup>	7.30 (1.56 to 34.24)	0.01	1.096
		Ν	Matched sample*		
	HR (95% CI)	<b>P-value</b>	OR (95% CI)	P-value	Cohen's d
Male	1.76 (1.19 to 2.62)	0.005	2.07 (1.28 to 3.34)	0.003	0.401
Patient age	1.07 (1.04 to 1.09)	1.2×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-	-	0.859
Shortness of breath	6.92 (3.8 to 12.77)	6.1×10 <sup>-10</sup>	11.20 (5.65 to 22.20)	4.4×10 <sup>-12</sup>	1.332
Any comorbidity	1.00 (0.63 to 1.56)	0.99	1.08 (0.63 to 1.85)	0.78	0.042
Cardiovascular disease	1.48 (0.82 to 2.67)	0.19	1.79 (0.84 to 3.80)	0.13	0.321
Cerebrovascular disease	52.3 (6.7 to 407.9)	1.6×10 <sup>-4</sup>	96.0 (10.4 to 890.6)	5.9×10 <sup>-5</sup>	2.516
COPD	4.93 (1.01 to 24.1)	0.049	12.3 (1.3 to 113.1)	0.03	1.381

Table 4. Mortality-associated factors in the original sample and the propensity score-matched samples.

\*: The propensity score-matched samples are described in Supplementary Table 3.1 to Supplementary Table 3.7.

-: The OR of patient age was not measured because patient age was a continuous variable.

increase per year), and most deaths were observed in elderly adults, especially those  $\geq$ 70 years (>50% of nonsurvivors). Of interest, the high mortality risk of elderly adults was consistently observed in China. European regions, and North America (Figure 2). Indeed, similar findings have been consistently reported by singlecountry studies of COVID-19 patients in many countries such as America [12], Belgium [13], Canada [14], France [15], Germany [16], Italy [17], Mexico [18], Poland [19], Romania [20], Russia [21], Spain [22], and the United Kingdom [23]. Of note, age, gender, and chronic comorbidities are key factors that determine hospitalization rather than outpatient care [10]. For instance, elderly survivors required a longer length of hospital stay than non-survivors (Figure 6). The elderly required longer hospital stays than young adults, probably because close monitoring of their recovery requires more time in the hospital. Similar to our findings, a small-cohort study of 17 non-survivors reported a short time (median: 11.5 days) from symptom onset to death in patients  $\geq 70$  years [24]. Overall, our study and literature findings support the early prevention of COVID-19 in elderly adults due to their high risk.

Why are elderly adults more vulnerable to COVID-19? Although the exact mechanisms remain unclear, several hypotheses have been proposed [25]. First, compared with young patients, elderly adults with

compromised immunity might have slower, less coordinated, and less efficient immune responses to COVID-19 [26, 27]. Additionally, the innate and adaptive systems are possibly suboptimal in elderly patients. For instance, T and B lymphocytes are critical components of adaptive immune responses to emerging infections, but elderly patients may experience age-related dysfunction with a decreased production of T and B lymphocytes [28]. Second, elderly adults may have an increased risk of exposure to COVID-19, problems with access to health services, and less opportunity to receive respiratory support in resource-limited settings [29]. Third, elderly adults usually have comorbid conditions such as acute respiratory distress syndrome, which may diminish their recovery from COVID-19 [30].

#### Elderly males with a high mortality risk

In agreement with previous studies [12–23], our study showed gender disparities in that COVID-19 killed more males than females especially in elderly populations (Figure 2), and our survival analyses revealed age and male gender as risk factors to be associated with mortality (Table 3). Of note, the fatality rate was higher in males (2.84%, 653/22981) than females (1.71%, 370/21691) in mainland China [31]. In Italy, males accounted for 82% of 1591 critically ill patients with ICU admission [32]. During the screening



![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 6. Kaplan-Meier curves and plots of hospital stays. (A) Survival probability of elderly patients ≥70 years versus young patients <70 years. (B) Survival probability of males versus females with COVID-19. (C) Survival probability of patients with versus without any comorbidity. (D) Survival probability of patients with versus without cerebrovascular disease. (E) Length of hospital stays under the conditions of patient age, gender, and comorbidities. Colored cells indicate the number of observed cases regarding the length of hospital stay. Days from symptom onset to clinical outcomes are shown in Supplementary Figure 3.

of COVID-19 in Iceland, a higher proportion of positive cases was observed in males (1.1%, 46/5004) than females (0.7%, 41/5793) [33]. Accumulated evidence shows that more males than females are dying of COVID-19 across more than 100 countries according to sex-disaggregated data from Global Health 50/50 (http://globalhealth5050.org/covid19/).

Why does COVID-19 kill more males than females? Although the exact mechanisms remain unknown, several hypotheses have been proposed to explain gender disparities. (i) The X chromosome encodes the human receptor angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2, gene ID: 59272), which is the human receptor mediating SARS-CoV-2 entry into human cells. Expression of ACE2 might vary in different populations due to genetic differences [34]. (ii) Males and females have different immune responses to COVID-19 due to the sex chromosomes (XY in males, XX in females), sex hormones (androgens, estrogens), and regulatory genes associated with the immune system [35]. Since the X chromosome encodes the largest number of immunerelated genes in the human genome, females carrying two copies of the X chromosome may have immunological advantages regarding resistance to viral pathogens, but they also develop more autoimmune diseases [36, 37]. (iii) Different socioeconomic and lifestyle behaviors such as smoking, alcohol consumption, and personal hygiene may also play a role in gender differences in susceptibility to COVID-19 [38].

#### Comorbidities increase the risk of COVID-19

In agreement with previous studies [39-41], we observed a higher proportion of comorbidities (e.g. cerebrovascular disease, cardiovascular disease, COPD) in non-survivors than in survivors (Table 2). Furthermore, pre-existing comorbidities may reduce the survival time in non-survivors and increase the length of hospital stay of survivors (Figure 6). Similar to our results in Table 2, severely ill patients had more comorbidities, including hypertension (56%), heart disease (21%), diabetes (18%), cerebrovascular disease (12%), and cancer (7%) [42]. Comorbidities were also observed in 94% of 5700 COVID-19 patients hospitalized in New York [43]. Our study showed slightly higher (but insignificant) proportions of comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease, cerebrovascular disease, chronic bronchitis, and COPD in males than females (Supplementary Table 1). Multisystem organ dysfunction in males might be associated with a high rate of mortality [32].

What are the impacts of comorbidities on the morbidity and mortality of COVID-19? Although the possible mechanisms are still under investigation, answers likely

depend on the type of comorbidity. First, our analyses revealed that the comorbidities of cerebrovascular disease and COPD are time-dependent factors associated with a fatal outcome of COVID-19 (Tables 3, 4). Of interest, cerebrovascular disease was also recognized as a risk factor in a retrospective study of 50 non-survivors and 1540 survivors [40]. Furthermore, a meta-analysis reported an approximately 2.5-fold increase in the odds of severe disease in the presence of cerebrovascular disease [44]. Pre-existing COPD was previously confirmed as a key factor that contributes to the worse progression and outcome of COVID-19 [45]. Due to SARS-CoV-2 invasion of the central nervous system, severely ill patients are more likely to develop neurologic manifestations, especially acute cerebrovascular disease [46]. Second, possible crosstalk between diabetes and COVID-19 might be involved with ACE2 and dipeptidyl peptidase-4, two important human proteins in the biological pathways of both diseases [47, 48]. Third, cardiovascular disease involves an increased risk of inhospital death in COVID-19, which might be mediated by ACE2-dependent myocardial infection [49] or the high inflammatory burden that can induce vascular inflammation, myocarditis, and cardiac arrhythmias [50]. Fourth, patients with lung cancer, hematological cancer, or cancers in metastatic stages have higher rates of severe outcomes than patients without cancer [51]. Overall, comorbidities are likely associated with the severity of COVID-19 [39, 41].

#### Limitations

First, we initially intended to collect all available online records of COVID-19 cases worldwide, but detailed medical records of individual patients were mostly lacking probably because the privacy of patient data is protected and detailed records are often not published in an emergent outbreak. Nevertheless, our major findings of elderly males at high risk for COVID-19 have been consistently confirmed by single-country studies [12-23]. Future multi-country studies are yet needed to retrieve complete records worldwide and characterize COVID-19 mortality from a global perspective. Second, our retrospective study describes potential associations of risk factors with mortality, but crosstalk between human and viral proteins in the lifecycle of SARS-CoV-2 needs to be clarified by future studies. Third, the role of treatments in fatal outcomes of COVID-19 was not analyzed because multiple treatments (e.g. ventilation, antiviral/antibiotic/antifungal therapies, oxygen therapy) are often administered. The possible role of prevention strategies in reducing mortality was not analyzed due to limited data. Moreover, controlled cohorts were not available for our study. Randomized controlled cohorts are thus needed to assess the use of potential treatments in elderly patients with COVID-19.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Given the rapid spread of COVID-19 worldwide, a better understanding of high-risk populations is important for decision making in the treatment and prevention of the disease. The clinical features of nonsurvivors inside and outside Wuhan are similar [28]. supporting universal strategies to handle COVID-19 across different cities and countries. As of today, there is no cure to eliminate COVID-19 so that prevention strategies are critical for reducing COVID-19 infections. In addition to prevention measures (e.g. face masks, good hygiene, social distancing, contract tracing, travel restrictions, lockdowns, and quarantine) that limit the spread of COVID-19, intensive surveillance and hospital/outpatient caregiving should be adapted for elderly patients with COVID-19. Current guidelines should also pay more attention to high-risk populations elderly patients with COVID-19-associated of comorbidities, complications, and polypharmacy.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Data collection of COVID-19 patients**

Our workflow, as shown in Figure 1, was conducted to collect clinical records for COVID-19 patients in China, North America, and European regions. First, we collected epidemiological and clinical records of COVID-19 patients who were hospitalized before May 1<sup>st</sup>, 2020, in the Sino-French New-City Tongji Hospital in Wuhan. At hospital admission, all patients were diagnosed with COVID-19. Nasal and pharyngeal swab specimens were collected to assess the presence of SARS-CoV-2 using real-time RT-PCR tests according to WHO interim guidelines. All survivors, who fulfilled discharge criteria, were discharged for 14-day home quarantine based on the New Coronavirus Diagnosis and Treatment Guidelines in China (Supplementary Method 1). A total of 232 survivors and 60 non-survivors were included in our dataset. Second, to increase the sample size, we extracted clinical records for all COVID-19 non-survivors from The First Hospital of Changsha in China. Third, we attempted to extract online records for COVID-19 individuals in China, European regions, and North America. Online collections were conducted using a four-fold procedure. (i) We searched online records of COVID-19 patients in China, 15 countries in North America, and 43 countries in Europe (Supplementary Table 4) where a large number of COVID-19 patients were reported between January and April 2020. (ii) We searched records of COVID-19 individuals in the scientific literature, official media/conferences, hospital websites, government (state, provincial, national) websites, and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Only individual cases with epidemiological and clinical information were retrieved, while clinical studies or meta-analyses that shared no individual records were not considered. (iii) We used keywords such as: "COVID", "SARS-CoV-2", "2019-nCoV", "new coronavirus", "mortality", "death" and "survivor" to screen online data. (v) Duplicates were removed and all records were cross-checked by at least two investigators.

The data extraction (as described above) retrieved a total of 1267 patients, including 666 survivors and 601 nonsurvivors. Because remdesivir and dexamethasone significantly reduce COVID-19 mortality [8, 9], our analyses excluded patients who received remdesivir (N=8) and/or dexamethasone (N=73). Moreover, 42 patients were excluded because they had no treatment records and were hospitalized after May 1, 2020. Of 59 countries in our search list, 35 had fewer than five extracted records in our dataset, which is unlikely to be representative; therefore, 73 cases from these countries were excluded. Supplementary Table 4 summarizes the country list and case numbers in 59 countries. For data transparency, the compiled online resources of 981 COVID-19 patients are shared in Supplementary Data 1.

A total of 568 survivors and 507 non-survivors with basic information regarding age, gender, country, and clinical outcomes were included in our age-gender analysis (Table 1 and Figure 2). Additional information on baseline symptoms and comorbidities was also recorded in a subset of 359 survivors and 239 non-survivors, which was used for comorbidity analyses (Figure 3). Survival time, as defined by the days from symptom onset of clinical outcomes, was identified in a subset of 242 survivors and 157 non-survivors and, subsequently used for risk-factor analyses and survival analyses (Tables 2–4 and Figures 5, 6). Figure 1 summarizes our workflow and data structures.

#### Data management

Our datasets included demographic features (age, gender, cities), signs and symptoms, pre-existing comorbidities, complications before death, and other available data (e.g. symptom onset date, admission date, computerized tomography data) for COVID-19 survivors and non-survivors. Symptoms, comorbidities, and complications were treated as categorical variables (yes or no). Clinical outcomes were defined by the status of death (non-survivors) or hospital discharge after the clearance of COVID-19 (survivors). For non-survivors, the survival time was defined by days from symptom onset to the date of death. Since death was not observed before hospital discharge, survivors were treated as censored data in which the observation time was defined by days from symptom onset to hospital discharge.

#### Statistical analysis

In comparisons of COVID-19 survivors and nonsurvivors, continuous variables were analyzed using Mann-Whitney U tests, while categorical variables were analyzed using  $\chi^2$  tests or Fisher's exact tests as appropriate. Survival analyses were performed using Cox proportional hazards models. Hazard ratios with the 95% confidence interval were assessed to reveal the effects of risk factors on survival-time outcomes: either death for non-survivors or hospital discharge for survivors. Kaplan-Meier curves were built to show survival time among patient groups (e.g. elderly versus young patients), and significant differences were examined by log-rank tests. A common approach called pairwise deletion was applied to handle missing data. Since no random sampling was conducted, all statistical analyses were descriptive. To reduce the confounding effect in this nonrandomized study, the propensity-score matching method in SPSS V26.0 was applied with default settings (e.g. one-to-one matching, matching tolerance: 0.02) to assess whether any observed confounding was adequately reduced. The effect size of risk factors was estimated by Cohen's d [52]. In all significance tests, two-tailed tests were performed and P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

#### Ethics declarations

This retrospective study was performed in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the Ethics Committees of The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University (ID: LYF2020060), and The First Hospital of Changsha (ID: KX2020002). Written informed consent was waived for analyzing archived records in this retrospective study.

#### Availability of clinical data

Supplementary Data 1 shares online records of COVID-19 patients.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

GL, YL, and YW performed statistical analyses and drafted the manuscript; YL, XJ, MM, and YW performed data acquisition of non-survivors; MZ, JL, and PJ performed data acquisition of survivors; ZZ, YX, YH, JL, and GG conducted clinical practice and data interpretation; YTH, NRF, and EDC contributed with discussions and writing of the manuscript; GL and MZ supervised the study, obtained funding, and revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the final article.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the study investigators, coordinators, nurses, and patients for their contributions in this study.

#### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The authors disclose no conflicts of interest.

## FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (31871324, 81730064, 31571368), Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (2018JJ3713, 2018JJ2452), Hunan Youth Elite Project (2018RS3006), National Science and Technology Major Project (2018ZX10715004), Emergency Projects against the Novel Coronavirus in Hunan Province (2020SK3013, 2020SK3014), and a Sir Henry Dale Fellowship (204311/Z/16/Z). The funders had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report.

# REFERENCES

- Li G, De Clercq E. Therapeutic options for the 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2020; 19:149–50. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/d41573-020-00016-0</u> PMID:32127666
- Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J, Shu H, Xia J, Liu H, Wu Y, Zhang L, Yu Z, Fang M, Yu T, Wang Y, Pan S, et al. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a single-centered, retrospective, observational study. Lancet Respir Med. 2020; 8:475–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30079-5

PMID:<u>32105632</u> Wang Y Lu X Li X Chen H Chen T Su N Hua

- Wang Y, Lu X, Li Y, Chen H, Chen T, Su N, Huang F, Zhou J, Zhang B, Yan F, Wang J. Clinical course and outcomes of 344 intensive care patients with COVID-19. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2020; 201:1430–34. <u>https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202003-0736LE</u> PMID:<u>32267160</u>
- Li X, Xu S, Yu M, Wang K, Tao Y, Zhou Y, Shi J, Zhou M, Wu B, Yang Z, Zhang C, Yue J, Zhang Z, et al. Risk factors for severity and mortality in adult COVID-19 inpatients in Wuhan. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2020; 146:110–18.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2020.04.006 PMID:<u>32294485</u>

5. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, Xiang J, Wang Y, Song B, Gu X, Guan L, Wei Y, Li H, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet. 2020; 395:1054–62. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3</u> PMID:<u>32171076</u>

- Wu C, Chen X, Cai Y, Xia J, Zhou X, Xu S, Huang H, Zhang L, Zhou X, Du C, Zhang Y, Song J, Wang S, et al. Risk Factors Associated With Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome and Death in Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA Intern Med. 2020; 180:934–43. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.0994</u> PMID:32167524
- Du RH, Liang LR, Yang CQ, Wang W, Cao TZ, Li M, Guo GY, Du J, Zheng CL, Zhu Q, Hu M, Li XY, Peng P, Shi HZ. Predictors of mortality for patients with COVID-19 pneumonia caused by SARS-CoV-2: a prospective cohort study. Eur Respir J. 2020; 55:2000524. <u>https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00524-2020</u> PMID:<u>32269088</u>
- Olalla J. Remdesivir for the treatment of covid-19 preliminary report. N Engl J Med. 2020; 383:993–94. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2022236</u> PMID:<u>32649077</u>
- RECOVERY Collaborative Group, Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR, Mafham M, Bell JL, Linsell L, Staplin N, Brightling C, Ustianowski A, Elmahi E, Prudon B, Green C, et al. Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19 - Preliminary Report. N Engl J Med. 2020. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2021436</u>
  - PMID:<u>32678530</u>
- Petrilli CM, Jones SA, Yang J, Rajagopalan H, O'Donnell L, Chernyak Y, Tobin KA, Cerfolio RJ, Francois F, Horwitz LI. Factors associated with hospital admission and critical illness among 5279 people with coronavirus disease 2019 in New York city: prospective cohort study. BMJ. 2020; 369:m1966. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1966</u> PMID:32444366
- Munayco C, Chowell G, Tariq A, Undurraga EA, Mizumoto K. Risk of death by age and gender from CoVID-19 in Peru, march-may, 2020. Aging (Albany NY). 2020; 12:13869–81. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.103687</u> PMID:32692724
- 12. Harrison SL, Fazio-Eynullayeva E, Lane DA, Underhill P, Lip GY. Comorbidities associated with mortality in 31,461 adults with COVID-19 in the United States: a federated electronic medical record analysis. PLoS Med. 2020; 17:e1003321.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003321 PMID:<u>32911500</u>  Catteau L, Dauby N, Montourcy M, Bottieau E, Hautekiet J, Goetghebeur E, van Ierssel S, Duysburgh E, Van Oyen H, Wyndham-Thomas C, Van Beckhoven D, and Belgian Collaborative Group on COVID-19 Hospital Surveillance. Low-dose hydroxychloroquine therapy and mortality in hospitalised patients with COVID-19: a observational study of 8075 participants. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2020; 56:106144.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106144 PMID:<u>32853673</u>

- Stall NM, Wu W, Lapointe-Shaw L, Fisman DN, Giannakeas V, Hillmer MP, Rochon PA. Sex- and agespecific differences in COVID-19 testing, cases, and outcomes: a population-wide study in Ontario, Canada. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2020; 68:2188–91. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.16761 PMID:32743827
- Boëlle PY, Delory T, Maynadier X, Janssen C, Piarroux R, Pichenot M, Lemaire X, Baclet N, Weyrich P, Melliez H, Meybeck A, Lanoix JP, Robineau O. Trajectories of hospitalization in COVID-19 patients: an observational study in France. J Clin Med. 2020; 9:3148. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9103148</u> PMID:33003375
- Karagiannidis C, Mostert C, Hentschker C, Voshaar T, Malzahn J, Schillinger G, Klauber J, Janssens U, Marx G, Weber-Carstens S, Kluge S, Pfeifer M, Grabenhenrich L, et al. Case characteristics, resource use, and outcomes of 10 021 patients with COVID-19 admitted to 920 German hospitals: an observational study. Lancet Respir Med. 2020; 8:853–62. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30316-7</u> PMID:32735842
- Poletti P, Tirani M, Cereda D, Trentini F, Guzzetta G, Marziano V, Buoro S, Riboli S, Crottogini L, Piccarreta R, Piatti A, Grasselli G, Melegaro A, et al. Age-specific SARS-CoV-2 infection fatality ratio and associated risk factors, Italy, February to April 2020. Euro Surveill. 2020; 25:2001383. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.31. 2001383 PMID:32762797
- Carrillo-Vega MF, Salinas-Escudero G, García-Peña C, Gutiérrez-Robledo LM, Parra-Rodríguez L. Early estimation of the risk factors for hospitalization and mortality by COVID-19 in Mexico. PLoS One. 2020; 15:e0238905.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0238905 PMID:32915872

 Raciborski F, Pinkas J, Jankowski M, Sierpiński R, Zgliczyński WS, Szumowski Ł, Rakocy K, Wierzba W, Gujski M. Dynamics of the coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak in Poland: an epidemiological analysis of the first 2 months of the epidemic. Pol Arch Intern Med. 2020; 130:615–21. https://doi.org/10.20452/pamw.15430 PMID:<u>32520475</u>

- Barbu MG, Thompson RJ, Thompson DC, Cretoiu D, Suciu N. The impact of SARS-CoV-2 on the most common comorbidities-A retrospective study on 814 COVID-19 deaths in Romania. Front Med (Lausanne). 2020; 7:567199. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2020.567199</u> PMID:33015111
- 21. Munblit D, Nekliudov NA, Bugaeva P, Blyuss O, Kislova M, Listovskaya E, Gamirova A, Shikhaleva A, Belyaev V, Timashev P, Warner JO, Comberiati P, Apfelbacher C, et al. StopCOVID cohort: an observational study of 3,480 patients admitted to the sechenov university hospital network in Moscow city for suspected COVID-19 infection. Clin Infect Dis. 2020. [Epub ahead of print].

https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1535 PMID:33035307

- Borobia AM, Carcas AJ, Arnalich F, Álvarez-Sala R, Monserrat-Villatoro J, Quintana M, Figueira JC, Torres Santos-Olmo RM, García-Rodríguez J, Martín-Vega A, Buño A, Ramírez E, Martínez-Alés G, et al. A cohort of patients with COVID-19 in a major teaching hospital in Europe. J Clin Med. 2020; 9:1733. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9061733</u> PMID:<u>32512688</u>
- Docherty AB, Harrison EM, Green CA, Hardwick HE, Pius R, Norman L, Holden KA, Read JM, Dondelinger F, Carson G, Merson L, Lee J, Plotkin D, et al, and ISARIC4C investigators. Features of 20 133 UK patients in hospital with covid-19 using the ISARIC WHO clinical characterisation protocol: prospective observational cohort study. BMJ. 2020; 369:m1985. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1985 PMID:32444460
- 24. Wang W, Tang J, Wei F. Updated understanding of the outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in Wuhan, China. J Med Virol. 2020; 92:441–47. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25689 PMID:31994742
- Mueller AL, McNamara MS, Sinclair DA. Why does COVID-19 disproportionately affect older people? Aging (Albany NY). 2020; 12:9959–81. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.103344</u> PMID:<u>32470948</u>
- 26. Nikolich-Zugich J, Knox KS, Rios CT, Natt B, Bhattacharya D, Fain MJ. SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19 in older adults: what we may expect regarding pathogenesis, immune responses, and outcomes. Geroscience. 2020; 42:505–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11357-020-00186-0</u> PMID:<u>32274617</u>
- 27. Jiang C, Wang Y, Hu M, Wen L, Wen C, Wang Y, Zhu W, Tai S, Jiang Z, Xiao K, Faria NR, De Clercq E, Xu J, Li G. Antibody seroconversion in asymptomatic and symptomatic patients infected with severe acute

respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). Clin Transl Immunology. 2020; 9:e1182. https://doi.org/10.1002/cti2.1182 PMID:33005417

- 28. Zhou Z, Zhang M, Wang Y, Zheng F, Huang Y, Huang K, Yu Q, Cai C, Chen D, Tian Y, Lei J, Xiao X, Clercq E, et al. Clinical characteristics of older and younger patients infected with SARS-CoV-2. Aging (Albany NY). 2020; 12:11296–305. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.103535</u> PMID:32575073
- 29. Lloyd-Sherlock P, Ebrahim S, Geffen L, McKee M. Bearing the brunt of covid-19: older people in low and middle income Countries. BMJ. 2020; 368:m1052. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1052 PMID:32169830
- Deng Y, Liu W, Liu K, Fang YY, Shang J, Zhou L, Wang K, Leng F, Wei S, Chen L, Liu HG. Clinical characteristics of fatal and recovered cases of coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective study. Chin Med J (Engl). 2020; 133:1261–67. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/CM9.00000000000824</u> PMID:<u>32209890</u>
- Epidemiology Working Group for NCIP Epidemic Response, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. [The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in China]. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi. 2020; 41:145–51. https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.0254-

https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.0254-6450.2020.02.003 PMID:32064853

Grasselli G, Zangrillo A, Zanella A, Antonelli M, Cabrini L, Castelli A, Cereda D, Coluccello A, Foti G, Fumagalli R, lotti G, Latronico N, Lorini L, et al, and COVID-19 Lombardy ICU Network. Baseline characteristics and outcomes of 1591 patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 admitted to ICUs of the Lombardy region, Italy. JAMA. 2020; 323:1574–81.

https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.5394 PMID:<u>32250385</u>

 Gudbjartsson DF, Helgason A, Jonsson H, Magnusson OT, Melsted P, Norddahl GL, Saemundsdottir J, Sigurdsson A, Sulem P, Agustsdottir AB, Eiriksdottir B, Fridriksdottir R, Gardarsdottir EE, et al. Spread of SARS-CoV-2 in the Icelandic population. N Engl J Med. 2020; 382:2302–15.

https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2006100 PMID:<u>32289214</u>

34. Cao Y, Li L, Feng Z, Wan S, Huang P, Sun X, Wen F, Huang X, Ning G, Wang W. Comparative genetic analysis of the novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV/SARS-CoV-2) receptor ACE2 in different populations. Cell Discov. 2020; 6:11.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41421-020-0147-1 PMID:<u>32133153</u>

- 35. Walter LA, McGregor AJ. Sex- and gender-specific observations and implications for COVID-19. West J Emerg Med. 2020; 21:507–09. <u>https://doi.org/10.5811/westjem.2020.4.47536</u> PMID:<u>32302282</u>
- 36. Reardon S. Infections reveal inequality between the sexes. Nature. 2016; 534:447. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/534447a</u> PMID:<u>27337319</u>
- 37. Jaillon S, Berthenet K, Garlanda C. Sexual dimorphism in innate immunity. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol. 2019; 56:308–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12016-017-8648-x</u> PMID:28963611
- 38. The Lancet. The gendered dimensions of COVID-19. Lancet. 2020; 395:1168. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30823-0</u> PMID:<u>32278370</u>
- 39. Wang B, Li R, Lu Z, Huang Y. Does comorbidity increase the risk of patients with COVID-19: evidence from meta-analysis. Aging (Albany NY). 2020; 12:6049–57. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.103000</u> PMID:<u>32267833</u>
- 40. Chen R, Liang W, Jiang M, Guan W, Zhan C, Wang T, Tang C, Sang L, Liu J, Ni Z, Hu Y, Liu L, Shan H, et al, and Medical Treatment Expert Group for COVID-19. Risk factors of fatal outcome in hospitalized subjects with coronavirus disease 2019 from a nationwide analysis in China. Chest. 2020; 158:97–105. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2020.04.010</u> PMID:<u>32304772</u>
- Fang X, Li S, Yu H, Wang P, Zhang Y, Chen Z, Li Y, Cheng L, Li W, Jia H, Ma X. Epidemiological, comorbidity factors with severity and prognosis of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Aging (Albany NY). 2020; 12:12493–503. https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.103579 PMID:32658868
- 42. Ji HL, Zhao R, Matalon S, Matthay MA. Elevated plasmin(ogen) as a common risk factor for COVID-19 susceptibility. Physiol Rev. 2020; 100:1065–75. <u>https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00013.2020</u> PMID:<u>32216698</u>
- 43. Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, Crawford JM, McGinn T, Davidson KW, Barnaby DP, Becker LB, Chelico JD, Cohen SL, Cookingham J, Coppa K, Diefenbach MA, et al, and the Northwell COVID-19 Research Consortium. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes among 5700 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in the New York City area. JAMA. 2020; 323:2052–59.

https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.6775 PMID:<u>32320003</u>

- 44. Aggarwal G, Lippi G, Michael Henry B. Cerebrovascular disease is associated with an increased disease severity in patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): A pooled analysis of published literature. Int J Stroke. 2020; 15:385–89. https://doi.org/10.1177/1747493020921664 PMID:32310015
- Zhao Q, Meng M, Kumar R, Wu Y, Huang J, Lian N, Deng Y, Lin S. The impact of COPD and smoking history on the severity of COVID-19: a systemic review and meta-analysis. J Med Virol. 2020; 92:1915–21. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25889 PMID:32293753
- 46. Mao L, Jin H, Wang M, Hu Y, Chen S, He Q, Chang J, Hong C, Zhou Y, Wang D, Miao X, Li Y, Hu B. Neurologic manifestations of hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. JAMA Neurol. 2020; 77:683–90. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.1127</u> PMID:<u>32275288</u>
- Bornstein SR, Rubino F, Khunti K, Mingrone G, Hopkins D, Birkenfeld AL, Boehm B, Amiel S, Holt RI, Skyler JS, DeVries JH, Renard E, Eckel RH, et al. Practical recommendations for the management of diabetes in patients with COVID-19. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2020; 8:546–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(20)30152-2

https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(20)30152-2 PMID:<u>32334646</u>

- Zhu L, She ZG, Cheng X, Qin JJ, Zhang XJ, Cai J, Lei F, Wang H, Xie J, Wang W, Li H, Zhang P, Song X, et al. Association of blood glucose control and outcomes in patients with COVID-19 and pre-existing type 2 diabetes. Cell Metab. 2020; 31:1068–77.e3. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2020.04.021</u> PMID:<u>32369736</u>
- 49. Clerkin KJ, Fried JA, Raikhelkar J, Sayer G, Griffin JM, Masoumi A, Jain SS, Burkhoff D, Kumaraiah D, Rabbani L, Schwartz A, Uriel N. COVID-19 and cardiovascular disease. Circulation. 2020; 141:1648–55. <u>https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.04694</u> 1 PMID:32200663
- Madjid M, Safavi-Naeini P, Solomon SD, Vardeny O. Potential effects of coronaviruses on the cardiovascular system: a review. JAMA Cardiol. 2020; 5:831–40. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamacardio.2020.1286

PMID:<u>32219363</u>

51. Dai M, Liu D, Liu M, Zhou F, Li G, Chen Z, Zhang Z, You H, Wu M, Zheng Q, Xiong Y, Xiong H, Wang C, et al. Patients with cancer appear more vulnerable to SARS-CoV-2: a multicenter study during the COVID-19 outbreak. Cancer Discov. 2020; 10:783–91. https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-20-0422 PMID:<u>32345594</u> 52. Ruscio J. A probability-based measure of effect size: robustness to base rates and other factors. Psychol Methods. 2008; 13:19–30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.13.1.19</u> PMID:<u>18331151</u>

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

# **Supplementary Figures**

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

Supplementary Figure 1. A tree model shows proportions of COVID-19 survivors and non-survivors based on patient age and gender plus either cerebrovascular disease (A) or COPD (B).

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

Supplementary Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curves of COVID-19 patients. (A) Kaplan-Meier curves of COVID-19 patients with  $\geq$ 3 comorbidities (brown), 1 or 2 comorbidities (green), or without any comorbidity (blue). (B) Kaplan-Meier curves of COVID-19 patients with or without COPD. Survival probabilities of patients with COPD (green) and without COPD (blue) are shown with the significant difference (p-value < 0.001).

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

Supplementary Figure 3. Days from symptom onset to clinical outcomes under the conditions of patient age, gender, and comorbidities.

# **Supplementary Tables**

	Total (N=598)	Survivors (N=359)	Non-survivors (N=239)	P-value	Females (N=338)	Males (N=260)	P-value
Any comorbidity	412 (69%)	218 (61%)	194 (81%)	<0.01	173 (67%)	239 (71%)	0.27
1 comorbidity	209 (35%)	122 (34%)	87 (36%)		95 (37%)	114 (34%)	
2 comorbidities	142 (24%)	73 (20%)	69 (29%)	0.016	58 (22%)	84 (25%)	0.20
$\geq$ 3 comorbidities	61 (10%)	23 (6%)	38 (16%)		20 (8%)	41 (12%)	
Hypertension	198 (33%)	109 (30%)	89 (37%)	0.08	85 (33%)	113 (33%)	0.85
Diabetes mellitus	161 (27%)	87 (24%)	74 (31%)	0.07	68 (26%)	93 (28%)	0.71
Cardiovascular disease	178 (30%)	85 (24%)	93 (39%)	<0.01	72 (28%)	106 (31%)	0.33
Cancers	47 (8%)	22 (6%)	25 (11%)	0.05	15 (6%)	32 (10%)	0.10
Cerebrovascular disease	28 (5%)	8 (2%)	20 (8%)	<0.01	9 (3%)	19 (6%)	0.22
COPD	26 (4%)	4 (1%)	22 (9%)	<0.01	9 (3%)	17 (5%)	0.35
Chronic bronchitis	16 (3%)	7 (2%)	9 (4%)	0.18	4 (2%)	12 (4%)	0.13
Chronic liver disease	11 (2%)	4 (1%)	7 (3%)	0.11	4 (2%)	7 (2%)	0.62
Digestive disease	8 (1%)	3 (1%)	5 (2%)	0.19	4 (2%)	4 (1%)	0.71
Tuberculosis	6 (1%)	3 (1%)	3 (1%)	0.61	1 (0.4%)	5 (1%)	0.18

<b>~ ·</b> ·			1	<b>c</b> 1 /		• •	•
Sunnlementary	Table 1 Pro	nortions of	comorbidifies ir	1 temales/m	nales and	survivors/r	on-survivors
Supplementary		poi cions oi -	connor brancies in	r remaies/ m	alco alla	501 414015/1	011 301 414013.

# Supplementary Table 2. Mortality-related complications in non-survivors before their death.

	Total (N=102)	Female (N=37)	Male (N=65)	P-value
Any complication	102 (100%)	37 (100%)	65 (100%)	1.0
Respiratory failure	52 (51.0%)	18 (48.6%)	34 (52.3%)	0.72
Acute respiratory distress syndrome	29 (28.4%)	9 (24.3%)	20 (30.8%)	0.49
Multiple organ failure	28 (27.5%)	11 (29.7%)	17 (26.2%)	0.70
Shock	23 (22.5%)	9 (24.3%)	14 (21.5%)	0.75
Нурохіа	14 (13.7%)	6 (16.2%)	8 (12.3%)	0.58
Coma	9 (8.8%)	2 (5.4%)	7 (10.8%)	0.36
Hepatic insufficiency	9 (8.8%)	3 (8.1%)	6 (9.2%)	0.85
Fluid and electrolyte balance	9 (8.8%)	4 (10.8%)	5 (7.7%)	0.59
Renal insufficiency	8 (7.8%)	4 (10.8%)	4 (6.2%)	0.40
Heart failure	7 (6.9%)	4 (10.8%)	3 (4.6%)	0.23
Acute myocardial injury	5 (4.9%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (7.7%)	0.08
Acidosis	4 (3.9%)	2 (5.4%)	2 (3.1%)	0.56
Sepsis	3 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (4.6%)	0.18
Disseminated intravascular coagulation	3 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (4.6%)	0.18

	Ori	ginal sample		Ma	tched sample	
	Female(n=184)	Male(n=215)	P-value	Female(n=157)	Male(n=157)	P-value
Age, median (IQR)	66 (56.3 to 73)	67 (58 to 74)	0.37	65 (55.5 to 73)	67 (57 to 74)	0.29
Region						
China	170 (92.4%)	196 (91.2%)	0.66	149 (94.9%)	145 (92.4%)	0.36
Europe/North America	14 (7.6%)	19 (8.8%)		8 (5.1%)	12 (7.6%)	
Signs or symptoms						
Fever	152 (82.6%)	174 (80.9%)	0.67	130 (82.8%)	129 (82.2%)	0.88
Cough	117 (63.6%)	139 (64.7%)	0.83	97 (61.8%)	100 (63.7%)	0.73
Shortness of breath	57 (31.0%)	92 (42.8%)	0.02	54 (34.4%)	56 (35.7%)	0.81
Fatigue	46 (25.0%)	61 (28.4%)	0.45	44 (28.0%)	43 (27.4%)	0.90
Myalgia	29 (15.8%)	29 (13.5%)	0.52	21 (13.4%)	23 (14.6%)	0.75
Chills	24 (13.0%)	39 (18.1%)	0.16	23 (14.6%)	27 (17.2%)	0.54
Diarrhea	28 (15.2%)	31 (14.4%)	0.82	22 (14.0%)	23 (14.6%)	0.87
Headache	16 (8.7%)	15 (7.0%)	0.52	12 (7.6%)	13 (8.3%)	0.84
Nausea	11 (6.0%)	18 (8.4%)	0.36	10 (6.4%)	11 (7.0%)	0.82
Appetite loss	25 (13.6%)	32 (14.9%)	0.71	22 (14.0%)	24 (15.3%)	0.75
Comorbidity						
Any comorbidity	114 (62.0%)	141 (65.6%)	0.45	93 (59.2%)	91 (58.0%)	0.82
Hypertension	75 (40.8%)	88 (40.9%)	0.97	62 (39.5%)	62 (39.5%)	1.00
Diabetes mellitus	50 (27.2%)	53 (24.7%)	0.57	41 (26.1%)	35 (22.3%)	0.43
Cardiovascular disease	30 (16.3%)	38 (17.7%)	0.72	20 (12.7%)	25 (15.9%)	0.42
Cerebrovascular disease	9 (4.9%)	16 (7.4%)	0.30	7 (4.5%)	10 (6.4%)	0.45
Chronic liver disease	3 (1.6%)	6 (2.8%)	0.52	3 (1.9%)	1 (0.6%)	0.63
Digestive disease	4 (2.2%)	4 (1.9%)	1.00	3 (1.9%)	1 (0.6%)	0.63
Cancer	4 (2.2%)	16 (7.4%)	0.02	4 (2.5%)	2 (1.3%)	0.68
Chronic bronchitis	4 (2.2%)	12 (5.6%)	0.08	4 (2.5%)	4 (2.5%)	1.00
COPD	3 (1.6%)	8 (3.7%)	0.20	3 (1.9%)	2 (1.3%)	1.00
Tuberculosis	1 (0.5%)	5 (2.3%)	0.22	1 (0.6%)	1 (0.6%)	1.00

Supplementary Table 3.1. Comparisons of baseline characteristics in the original sample and the propensity scorematched sample by gender.

	0	Original sample			Matched sample			
	<70 year (n=235)	≥70 year (n=164)	P-value	<70 year (n=129)	≥70 year (n=129)	P-value		
Male	123 (52.3%)	92 (56.1%)	0.46	56 (43.4%)	69 (53.5%)	0.11		
Region								
China	221 (94.0%)	145 (88.4%)		126 (97.6%)	119 (92.2%)			
European region	9 (3.9%)	11 (6.7%)	0.12	1 (0.8%)	8 (6.2%)	0.059		
North America	5 (2.1%)	8 (4.9%)		2 (1.6%)	2 (1.6%)			
Signs or symptoms								
Fever	194 (82.6%)	132 (80.5%)	0.60	105 (81.4%)	109 (84.5%)	0.51		
Cough	159 (67.7%)	97 (59.1%)	0.08	80 (62.0%)	80 (62.0%)	1.00		
Shortness of breath	87 (37.0%)	62 (37.8%)	0.87	41 (31.8%)	45 (34.9%)	0.60		
Fatigue	62 (26.4%)	45 (27.4%)	0.82	30 (23.3%)	38 (29.5%)	0.26		
Myalgia	37 (15.7%)	21 (12.8%)	0.41	19 (14.7%)	20 (15.5%)	0.86		
Chills	40 (17.0%)	23 (14.0%)	0.42	15 (11.6%)	19 (14.7%)	0.46		
Diarrhea	36 (15.3%)	23 (14.0%)	0.72	24 (18.6%)	21 (16.3%)	0.62		
Headache	20 (8.5%)	11 (6.7%)	0.51	11 (8.5%)	10 (7.8%)	0.82		
Nausea	19 (8.1%)	10 (6.1%)	0.45	8 (6.2%)	8 (6.2%)	1.00		
Appetite loss	28 (11.9%)	29 (17.7%)	0.11	14 (10.9%)	26 (20.2%)	0.06		
Comorbidity								
Any comorbidity	127 (54.0%)	128 (78.0%)	9.0×10 <sup>-7</sup>	89 (69.0%)	93 (72.1%)	0.59		
Hypertension	70 (29.8%)	93 (56.7%)	7.4×10 <sup>-8</sup>	60 (46.5%)	61 (47.3%)	0.90		
Diabetes mellitus	49 (20.9%)	54 (32,9%)	0.007	42 (32.6%)	42 (32.6%)	1.00		
Cardiovascular disease	23 (9.8%)	45 (27.4%)	4.0×10 <sup>-6</sup>	18 (14.0%)	18 (14.0%)	1.00		
Cerebrovascular disease	7 (3.0%)	18 (11.0%)	0.001	5 (3.9%)	4 (3.1%)	1.00		
Chronic liver disease	7 (3.0%)	2 (1.2%)	0.32	3 (2.3%)	1 (0.8%)	0.62		
Digestive disease	1 (0.4%)	7 (4.3%)	0.01	1 (0.8%)	1 (0.8%)	1.00		
Cancer	8 (3.4%)	12 (7.3%)	0.08	3 (2.3%)	9 (7.0%)	0.08		
Chronic bronchitis	6 (2.6%)	10 (6.1%)	0.08	2 (1.6%)	8 (6.2%)	0.05		
COPD	4 (1.7%)	7 (4.3%)	0.13	3 (2.3%)	3 (2.3%)	1.00		
Tuberculosis	5 (2.1%)	1 (0.6%)	0.41	4 (3.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0.12		

Supplementary Table 3.2. Comparisons of baseline characteristics in the original sample and the propensity scorematched sample by age.

	Original sample			Matched sample			
	Shortness of breath (n=149)	Non-Shortness of breath (n=250)	P-value	Shortness of breath (n=133)	Non-Shortness of breath (n=133)	P-value	
Male	92 (61.7%)	123 (49.2%)	0.02	81 (60.9%)	78 (58.6%)	0.71	
Age, median (IQR)	67 (58 to 73.5)	66 (56 to 74)	0.29	66 (58 to 73)	64 (55 to 73)	0.12	
Region							
China	129 (86.6%)	237 (94.8%)	0.004	123 (92.5%)	120 (90.2%)	0.51	
Europe/North America	20 (13.4%)	13 (5.2%)		10 (7.5%)	13 (9.8%)		
Signs or symptoms							
Fever	120 (80.5%)	206 (82.4%)	0.64	110 (82.7%)	109 (82.0%)	0.87	
Cough	100 (67.1%)	156(62.4%)	0.34	92 (69.2%)	81 (60.9%)	0.16	
Fatigue	36 (24.2%)	71 (28.4%)	0.36	34 (25.6%)	37 (27.8%)	0.68	
Myalgia	27 (18.1%)	31 (12.4%)	0.12	26 (19.5%)	24 (18.0%)	0.75	
Chills	31 (20.8%)	32 (12.8%)	0.03	26 (19.5%)	25 (18.8%)	0.88	
Diarrhea	24 (16.1%)	35 (14.0%)	0.57	21 (15.8%)	17 (12.8%)	0.48	
Headache	12 (8.1%)	19 (7.6%)	0.87	10 (7.5%)	10 (7.5%)	1.00	
Nausea	11 (7.4%)	18 (7.2%)	0.95	10 (7.5%)	8 (6.0%)	0.63	
Appetite loss	19 (12.8%)	38 (15.2%)	0.50	18 (13.5%)	17 (12.8%)	0.86	
Comorbidity							
Any comorbidity	100 (67.1%)	155 (62.0%)	0.30	84 (63.2%)	83 (62.4%)	0.90	
Hypertension	59 (39.6%)	104 (41.6%)	0.69	50 (37.6%)	51 (38.3%)	0.90	
Diabetes mellitus	42 (28.2%)	61 (24.4%)	0.40	37 (27.8%)	33 (24.8%)	0.58	
Cardiovascular disease	35 (23.5%)	33 (13.2%)	0.008	23 (17.3%)	18 (13.5%)	0.40	
Cerebrovascular disease	12 (8.1%)	13 (5.2%)	0.26	9 (6.8%)	3 (2.3%)	0.08	
Chronic liver disease	2 (1.3%)	7 (2.8%)	0.49	2 (1.5%)	2 (1.5%)	1.00	
Digestive disease	4 (2.7%)	4 (1.6%)	0.48	4 (3.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.12	
Cancer	6 (4.0%)	14 (5.6%)	0.49	6 (4.5%)	6 (4.5%)	1.00	
Chronic bronchitis	6 (4.0%)	10 (4.0%)	0.99	6 (4.5%)	4 (3.0%)	0.52	
COPD	9 (6.0%)	2 (0.8%)	0.003	1 (0.8%)	2 (1.5%)	1.00	
Tuberculosis	1 (0.7%)	5 (2.0%)	0.42	1 (0.8%)	4 (3.0%)	0.37	

Supplementary Table 3.3. Comparisons of baseline characteristics in the original sample and the propensity scorematched sample by shortness of breath.

	Original sample			Matched sample			
	Comorbidity (n=255)	Non- comorbidity (n=144)	P-value	Comorbidity (n=123)	Non- comorbidity (n=123)	P-value	
Male	141 (55.3%)	74 (51.4%)	0.45	64 (52.0%)	63 (51.2%)	0.90	
Age, median (IQR)	70 (62 to 76)	62 (50 to 69.8)	2.5×10 <sup>-10</sup>	64 (56 to 70)	63 (57 to 70)	0.92	
Region							
China	223 (87.5%)	143 (99.3%)	3.6×10 <sup>-5</sup>	121 (98.4%)	122 (99.2%)	1.00	
Europe/North America	32 (12.5%)	1 (0.7%)		2 (1.6%)	1 (0.8%)		
Signs or symptoms							
Fever	204 (80.0%)	122 (84.7%)	0.24	104 (84.6%)	105 (85.4%)	0.86	
Cough	159 (62.4%)	97 (67.4%)	0.32	79 (64.2%)	82 (66.7%)	0.69	
Shortness of breath	100 (39.2%)	49 (34.0%)	0.30	44 (35.8%)	46 (37.4%)	0.79	
Fatigue	67 (26.3%)	40 (27.8%)	0.75	35 (28.5%)	34 (27.6%)	0.89	
Myalgia	34 (13.3%)	24 (16.7%)	0.36	19 (15.4%)	22 (17.9%)	0.61	
Chills	35 (13.7%)	28 (19.4%)	0.13	18 (14.6%)	23 (18.7%)	0.39	
Diarrhea	38 (14.9%)	21 (14.6%)	0.93	20 (16.3%)	19 (15.4%)	0.86	
Headache	18 (7.1%)	13 (9.0%)	0.48	11 (8.9%)	9 (7.3%)	0.64	
Nausea	18 (7.1%)	11 (7.6%)	0.83	12 (9.8%)	8 (6.5%)	0.35	
Appetite loss	35 (13.7%)	22 (15.3%)	0.67	19 (15.4%)	18 (14.6%)	0.86	

Supplementary Table 3.4. Comparisons of baseline characteristics in the original sample and the propensity scorematched sample by any comorbidity.

	0	riginal sample		Matched sample			
	Cardiovascula r disease (n=68)	Non- cardiovascular disease (n=331)	P-value	Cardiovascula r disease (n=58)	Non- cardiovascular disease (n=58)	P-value	
Male	38 (55.9%)	177 (53.5%)	0.72	31 (53.4%)	34 (58.6%)	0.58	
Age, median (IQR)	73.5 (67 to 82)	65 (56 to 72)	$2.1 \times 10^{-10}$	73 (66 to 80)	73 (68 to 81)	0.66	
Region							
China	55 (80.9%)	311 (94.0%)	3.6×10 <sup>-4</sup>	51 (87.9%)	55 (94.8%)	0.19	
Europe/North America	13 (19.1%)	20 (6.0%)		7 (12.1%)	3 (5.2%)		
Signs or symptoms							
Fever	50 (73.5%)	276 (83.4%)	0.06	45 (77.6%)	45 (77.6%)	1.00	
Cough	41 (60.3%)	215 (65.0%)	0.47	36 (62.1%)	28 (48.3%)	0.14	
Shortness of breath	35 (51.5%)	114 (34.4%)	0.008	25 (43.1%)	26 (44.8%)	0.85	
Fatigue	14 (20.6%)	93 (28.1%)	0.20	13 (22.4%)	15 (25.9%)	0.66	
Myalgia	6 (8.8%)	52 (15.7%)	0.14	6 (10.3%)	7 (12.1%)	0.77	
Chills	11 (16.2%)	52 (15.7%)	0.92	10 (17.2%)	8 (13.8%)	0.61	
Diarrhea	9 (13.2%)	50 (15.1%)	0.69	8 (13.8%)	8 (13.8%)	1.00	
Headache	5 (7.4%)	26 (7.9%)	0.89	5 (8.6%)	4 (6.9%)	1.00	
Nausea	3 (4.4%)	26 (7.9%)	0.44	3 (5.2%)	3 (5.2%)	1.00	
Appetite loss	5 (7.4%)	52 (15.7%)	0.07	5 (8.6%)	6 (10.3%)	0.75	
Comorbidity							
Any comorbidity	68 (100.0%)	187 (56.5%)	1.0×10 <sup>-11</sup>	58 (100.0%)	58 (100.0%)	_	
Hypertension	43 (63.2%)	120 (36.3%)	3.7×10 <sup>-5</sup>	36 (62.1%)	34 (58.6%)	0.70	
Diabetes mellitus	24 (35.3%)	79 (23.9%)	0.05	20 (34.5%)	27 (46.6%)	0.19	
Cerebrovascular disease	10 (14.7%)	15 (4.5%)	0.004	6 (10.3%)	8 (13.8%)	0.57	
Chronic liver disease	2 (2.9%)	7 (2.1%)	0.65	2 (3.4%)	2 (3.4%)	1.00	
Digestive disease	3 (4.4%)	5 (1.5%)	0.14	3 (5.2%)	3 (5.2%)	1.00	
Cancer	5 (7.4%)	15 (4.5%)	0.36	5 (8.6%)	4 (6.9%)	1.00	
Chronic bronchitis	5 (7.4%)	11 (3.3%)	0.17	4 (6.9%)	4 (6.9%)	1.00	
COPD	8 (11.8%)	3 (0.9%)	5.3×10 <sup>-5</sup>	3 (5.2%)	2 (3.4%)	1.00	
Tuberculosis	2 (2.9%)	4 (1.2%)	0.27	2 (3.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0.50	

Supplementary Table 3.5. Comparisons of baseline characteristics in the original sample and the propensity scorematched sample by cardiovascular disease.

	Original sample			Matched sample		
	Cerebrovascular disease (n=25)	Non- cerebrovascular disease (n=374)	P-value	Cerebrovascular disease (n=25)	Non- cerebrovascular disease (n=25)	P-value
Male	16 (64.0%)	199 (53.2%)	0.30	16 (64.0%)	9 (36.0%)	0.05
Age, median (IQR)	78 (65.5 to 84)	66 (57 to 73)	2.0×10 <sup>-4</sup>	78 (65.5 to 84)	74 (65.5 to 83)	0.83
Region						
China	21 (84.0%)	345 (92.2%)	0.14	21 (84.0%)	25 (100%)	0.11
Europe/North America	4 (16.0%)	29 (7.8%)		4 (16.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Signs or symptoms						
Fever	19 (76.0%)	307 (82.1%)	0.43	19 (76.0%)	18 (72.0%)	0.75
Cough	13 (52.0%)	243 (65.0%)	0.19	13 (52.0%)	13 (52.0%)	1.00
Shortness of breath	12 (48.0%)	137 (36.6%)	0.26	12 (48.0%)	8 (32.0%)	0.25
Fatigue	6 (24.0%)	101 (27.0%)	0.74	6 (24.0%)	6 (24.0%)	1.00
Myalgia	4 (16.0%)	54 (14.4%)	0.77	4 (16.0%)	2 (8.0%)	0.67
Chills	4 (16.0%)	59 (15.8%)	1.00	4 (16.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.11
Diarrhea	2 (8.0%)	57 (15.2%)	0.56	2 (8.0%)	4 (16.0%)	0.67
Headache	3 (12.0%)	28 (7.5%)	0.43	3 (12.0%)	1 (4.0%)	0.61
Nausea	4 (16.0%)	25 (6.7%)	0.10	4 (16.0%)	1 (4.0%)	0.35
Appetite loss	3 (12.0%)	54 (14.4%)	1.00	3 (12.0%)	2 (8.0%)	1.00
Comorbidity						
Any comorbidity	25 (100.0%)	230 (61.5%)	$1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	25 (100.0%)	25 (100.0%)	_
Hypertension	18 (72.0%)	145 (38.8%)	0.001	18 (72.0%)	20 (80.0%)	0.51
Diabetes mellitus	10 (40.0%)	93 (24.9%)	0.09	10 (40.0%)	14 (56.0%)	0.26
Cardiovascular disease	10 (40.0%)	58 (15.5%)	0.004	10 (40.0%)	11 (44.0%)	0.77
Chronic liver disease	0 (0.0%)	9 (2.4%)	1.00	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	_
Digestive disease	2 (8.0%)	6 (1.6%)	0.08	2 (8.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0.49
Cancer	2 (8.0%)	18 (4.8%)	0.36	2 (8.0%)	1 (4.0%)	1.00
Chronic bronchitis	2 (8.0%)	14 (3.7%)	0.27	2 (8.0%)	1 (4.0%)	1.00
COPD	1 (4.0%)	10 (2.7%)	0.51	1 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1.00
Tuberculosis	0 (0.0%)	6 (1.6%)	1.00	0 (0.0%)	1 (4.0%)	1.00

Supplementary Table 3.6. Comparisons of baseline characteristics in the original sample and the propensity score matched sample by cerebrovascular disease.

	Original sample			Matched sample		
	COPD (n=11)	Non-COPD (n=388)	P-value	COPD (n=9)	Non-COPD (n=9)	P-value
Male	8 (72.7%)	207 (53.4%)	0.20	8 (88.9%)	5 (55.6%)	0.29
Age, median (IQR)	78 (66 to 84)	66 (57 to 73)	0.01	75 (65 to 81)	72 (67 to 82)	0.93
Region						
China	7 (63.6%)	359 (92.5%)	0.009	7 (77.8%)	7 (77.8%)	1.00
Europe/North America	4 (36.4%)	29 (7.5%)		2 (22.2%)	2 (22.2%)	
Signs or symptoms						
Fever	6 (54.5%)	320 (82.5%)	0.03	6 (66.7%)	6 (66.7%)	1.00
Cough	7 (63.6%)	249 (64.2%)	1.00	6 (66.7%)	6 (66.7%)	1.00
Shortness of breath	9 (81.8%)	140 (36.1%)	0.003	7 (77.8%)	6 (66.7%)	1.00
Fatigue	2 (18.2%)	105 (27.1%)	0.73	2 (22.2%)	3 (33.3%)	1.00
Myalgia	1 (9.1%)	57 (14.7%)	1.00	1 (11.1%)	1 (11.1%)	1.00
Chills	2 (18.2%)	61 (15.7%)	0.69	2 (22.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0.47
Diarrhea	3 (27.3%)	56 (14.4%)	0.21	3 (33.3%)	1 (11.1%)	0.58
Headache	2 (18.2%)	29 (7.5%)	0.21	2 (22.2%)	1 (11.1%)	1.00
Nausea	1 (9.1%)	28 (7.2%)	0.57	1 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1.00
Appetite loss	1 (9.1%)	56 (14.4%)	1.00	1(11.1%)	1 (11.1%)	1.00
Comorbidity						
Any comorbidity	11 (100%)	244 (62.9%)	0.009	9 (100%)	8 (88.9%)	1.00
Hypertension	6 (54.5%)	157 (40.5%)	0.37	4 (44.4%)	6 (66.7%)	0.64
Diabetes mellitus	5 (45.5%)	98 (25.3%)	0.16	4 (44.4%)	4 (44.4%)	1.00
Cardiovascular disease	8 (72.7%)	60 (15.5%)	5.3×10 <sup>-5</sup>	6 (66.7%)	7 (77.8%)	1.00
Cerebrovascular disease	1 (9.1%)	24 (6.2%)	0.51	1 (11.1%)	2 (22.2%)	1.00
Chronic liver disease	0 (0.0%)	9 (2.3%)	1.00	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	_
Digestive disease	0 (0.0%)	8 (2.1%)	1.00	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	_
Cancer	1 (9.1%)	19 (4.9%)	0.44	1 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1.00
Chronic bronchitis	1 (9.1%)	15 (3.9%)	0.37	1 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1.00
Tuberculosis	0 (0.0%)	6 (1.5%)	1.00	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	_

Supplementary Table 3.7. Comparisons of baseline characteristics in the original sample and the propensity score matched sample by COPD.

Country	>5 dead cases by 2020/04/30 <sup>#</sup>	Retrieved records in total *	Excluded records &	Records in our analysis
China	Yes	416	1	415
European regions				
Albania	Yes	5	0	5
Andorra	Yes	1	1	0
Austria	Yes	20	0	20
Belarus	Yes	1	1	0
Belgium	Yes	15	4	11
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Yes	2	2	0
Bulgaria	Yes	6	0	6
Croatia	Yes	7	1	6
Cyprus	Yes	3	3	0
Czechia	Yes	4	4	0
Denmark	Yes	3	3	0
Estonia	Yes	3	3	0
Finland	Yes	0	0	0
France	Yes	62	4	58
Georgia	Yes	6	4	2
Germany	Yes	49	0	49
Greece	Yes	15	0	15
Hungary	Yes	15	0	15
Iceland	Yes	3	3	0
Ireland	Yes	8	0	8
Italy	Yes	56	3	53
Latvia	Yes	0	0	0
Liechtenstein	No	-	-	-
Lithuania	Yes	6	0	6
Luxembourg	Yes	1	1	0
Malta	No	-	-	-
Monaco	No	-	-	-
Montenegro	Yes	1	1	0
Netherlands	Yes	9	0	9
North Macedonia	Yes	4	4	0
Norway	Yes	1	1	0
Poland	Yes	24	0	24
Portugal	Yes	4	4	0
Republic of Moldova	Yes	2	2	0
Romania	Yes	7	0	7
Russian Federation	Yes	6	1	5
San Marino	Yes	1	1	0
Serbia	Yes	7	0	7
Slovakia	Yes	1	1	0

Supplementary Table 4. Retrieved online records of COVID-19 patients in China, European regions, and North America.

Slovenia	Yes	3	3	0
Spain	Yes	201	76	125
Sweden	Yes	1	1	0
Switzerland	Yes	4	4	0
The United Kingdom	Yes	35	0	35
Ukraine	Yes	2	2	0
North America				
United States of America	Yes	191	24	167
Antigua and Barbuda	No	-	-	0
Bahamas	Yes	1	1	0
Barbados	Yes	1	1	0
Belize	No	-	-	0
Canada	Yes	5	2	3
Costa Rica	Yes	2	2	0
Cuba	Yes	6	0	6
Dominica	No	-	-	0
Dominican Republic	Yes	4	4	0
El Salvador	Yes	0	0	0
Grenada	No	-	-	0
Guatemala	Yes	1	1	0
Haiti	Yes	2	2	0
Honduras	Yes	4	4	0
Jamaica	Yes	2	2	0
Mexico	Yes	18	0	18
Nicaragua	Yes	1	1	0
Panama	Yes	3	3	0
Saint Kitts and Nevis	No	-	-	0
Saint Lucia	No	-	-	0
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	No	-	-	0
Trinidad and Tobago	Yes	2	2	0
Total		1267	192	1075

#: "Yes" indicates a country with ≥5 dead cases by 2020/04/30. The number of dead cases was retrieved based on the situation report of COVID-19 from the WHO (date: April 30, 2020).

\*: Total number of retrieved online records from each country.

&: Records were excluded if they failed to fulfill four selection criteria:

(i) Patients did not receive remdesivir;

(ii) Patients did not receive dexamethasone;

(iii) Patients cases were hospitalized after May 1 and had missing data of therapy;

(iv) Countries with limited number of COVID-19 cases in the dataset (<5).

# **Supplementary Method**

#### Supplementary Method 1: Discharge criteria of COVID-19 patients

Based on the New Coronavirus Diagnosis and Treatment Guidelines in China, patients were discharged if they fulfilled all three conditions:

- (i) At least two consecutive results of undetectable SARS-CoV-2 in throat swab samples which were collected at least 24 hours apart;
- (ii) Clinical remission of respiratory symptoms and fever for at least three consecutive days;
- (iii) Substantial improvement of both lungs based on computed tomography.

All discharged patients remained on home isolation for another 14 days.

# **Supplementary Dataset 1**

This dataset shares our retrieved online records of 981 COVID-19 patients. Medical records from our local hospitals can be shared after the approval of the corresponding author and the Ethics Committees.

Please browse Full Text version to see the data of Supplementary Dataset 1.

Supplementary Dataset 1. This dataset shares our retrieved online records of 981 COVID-19 patients.