

Impact of preoperative echocardiographic delay on timing of hip fracture surgery in elderly patients

SAGE Open Medicine

Volume 12: 1–6

© The Author(s) 2024

Article reuse guidelines:

sagepub.com/journals-permissions

DOI: 10.1177/20503121231222345

journals.sagepub.com/home/smo



Mitsuyoshi Kaieda^{1,2*}, Yusuke Fujimoto^{2*} , Yoshiya Arishima^{1,2},
Yasuhisa Togo¹, Tadashi Ogura¹ and Noboru Taniguchi²

Abstract

Objectives: Early surgery is recommended for hip fractures in elderly patients. This study was performed to evaluate factors contributing to delayed surgery and associated outcomes in a secondary hospital in Japan with a rehabilitation centre.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed the records of 895 patients aged >50 years [median age, 86 (81–91) years] treated for hip fractures at our institution from 2016 to 2020. We defined surgical delay as surgery performed >48 h after admission. We evaluated several risk factors for surgical delay and associated outcomes: mortality, length of hospital stay and walking status.

Results: Binomial logistic regression analysis showed that several factors, including preoperative echocardiographic delay (odds ratio, 9.38; 95% confidence interval, 5.95–15.28), were risk factors for surgical delay. In the multiple regression analyses, surgical delay was a significant risk factor for a longer hospital stay (partial regression coefficient, 6.99; 95% confidence interval, 3.67–10.31).

Conclusions: Our findings indicated that preoperative echocardiographic delay was one of the risk factors for surgical delay of hip fractures in elderly patients. Surgical delay was a risk factor for a longer hospital stay, including rehabilitation.

Keywords

Hip fractures, echocardiography, preoperative period, length of stay, mortality

Date received: 17 August 2023; accepted: 7 December 2023

Introduction

Hip fracture is a common injury in patients of advanced age and results in high morbidity and mortality rates.¹ The total number of hip fractures worldwide is increasing and is estimated to reach 4.5 million by 2050.² Surgery is still the primary treatment option for hip fractures. The timing of surgery is regarded as a major modifiable predictor of mortality, complications and healthcare costs.³ Therefore, it is recommended across orthopaedic departments worldwide that surgery be performed within 24–48 h of fracture.⁴ However, surgical delay in patients with hip fractures has been reported globally.⁵ Elderly patients with hip fractures often require adequate preoperative evaluation, and surgery is often delayed.

Japan has one of the most rapidly aging populations worldwide,⁶ and our institution is located in a region of Japan with a high aging population. In Japan, surgeries are performed not only in large general hospitals including trauma

centres but also in smaller hospitals. Our institution is a 200-bed secondary hospital that also performs surgeries and includes a 100-bed rehabilitation centre. To the best of our knowledge, most reports of hip fractures have been from large general hospitals or national registries.^{7,8} To the best of our knowledge, there are no reports of hip fractures in institutions such as ours, which offer all care from surgery to rehabilitation. In addition, the benefit of surgery within 48 h has been reported⁴ but the risk factors for surgical delay and

¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Kohshinkai Ogura Hospital, Kagoshima, Japan

²Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima, Japan

*These two authors contributed equally to the article.

Corresponding author:

Yusuke Fujimoto, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Kagoshima University, 8-35-1 Sakuragaoka, Kagoshima 890-8520, Japan.

Email: fujimo@m3.kufm.kagoshima-u.ac.jp



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons

Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (<https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage>).

the long-term benefits of avoiding surgical delay have not been clearly identified.

We hypothesised that risk factors specific to our institution as a secondary hospital (e.g. lack of cardiologists, anaesthesiologists and availability of care on holidays) exist for a >48-h surgical delay in patients with hip fracture. The primary aim of this study was to identify the risk factors for a >48-h wait time for hip fracture surgery in our institution. The secondary aim was to evaluate the impact of surgical delay on the following outcomes: in-hospital mortality, 1-year mortality, length of acute care and total hospital stay, and walking status at discharge.

Materials and methods

Participants

We retrospectively reviewed the records of 968 patients aged >50 years who were treated for hip fractures at our institution from 2016 to 2020. In Japan, the incidence of hip fracture has been reported to increase from the age of >40 years.⁹ However, we included patients aged >50 years in our study because the mechanism of injury in patients in their 40s was high-energy trauma, not falls. The exclusion criteria were transferred to a different hospital ($n=29$) and conservative treatment with no request for surgery or transfer ($n=44$). After applying the exclusion criteria, the final number of included patients was 895.

Data extraction and variables

We assessed several clinical characteristics that previous research has suggested affect the outcomes of interest.^{10–12} Data on these characteristics were collected from the patient's medical records. These clinical characteristics were sex, age, admission day and time, general health status according to the American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) classification, time to preoperative echocardiography, time to surgery, fracture site (femoral neck/trochanter), surgery type (arthroplasty/osteosynthesis) and walking status before injury. We assessed the walking status before injury by interviewing the patients and their families. The anticoagulation status has been reported to be a risk factor for surgical delay.^{13,14} In this study, however, we did not include the anticoagulation status as a variable. In accordance with previous studies, we make surgical decisions regardless of the anticoagulant status.

The admission was defined as weekend admission if it took place between 00:00 on Saturday and 23:59 on Sunday. We defined out-of-hours admission as admission outside the hours of 09:00–17:00. The time of surgery was calculated from the time of admission to the time of surgery. The most widely accepted guideline for the timing of surgery is within 48 h of injury. However, the time of injury was uncertain in this study because it was based on interviews with the

patients and their family members. We defined surgical delay as surgery performed >48 h after admission. We evaluated preoperative echocardiography in all patients in our institution. All 895 patients involved in the study underwent preoperative echocardiography. The time to preoperative echocardiography was calculated from the time of hospital arrival to the end of the examination. Delayed examination was defined as >24 h. Preoperative echocardiography results were assessed by a cardiologist. The functional outcome was assessed in terms of the walking status, which was assessed before the fracture and at discharge using the following categories (from best to worst): able to walk without help, able to walk with a walking cane, able to walk with a walking frame and unable to walk.

Primary and secondary outcomes

The primary outcome in this study was a >48-h surgical wait time for hip fracture surgery at our institution. The secondary outcomes were in-hospital mortality, 1-year mortality, length of hospital stay and walking status at discharge from the rehabilitation centre.

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as the mean and standard deviation for normally distributed values and as the median and interquartile range for non-normally distributed values. Continuous data were analysed using Student's *t*-test or the Wilcoxon rank sum test according to their distribution, while categorical data were analysed with the chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test. A multivariate analysis was performed to adjust for potential confounders. The results are summarised as odds ratios, 95% confidence intervals, partial regression coefficients, and *p* values. A *p*-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were carried out using JMP pro 16.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The sample size was calculated using JMP with a power value of 0.8 and a significance level of 0.05. In our evaluation of the major outcome (length of hospital stay), we considered 5 days to be a clinically meaningful difference with a standard deviation of 25 based on previous reports,^{5,15} resulting in a minimum sample size of 790 patients.

Results

A total of 324 (36.2%) patients underwent surgery within 48 h of admission (Table 1). The proportion of patients who underwent surgery within 48 h was 16.4% in 2016, 24.0% in 2017, 34.9% in 2018, 40.9% in 2019 and 62.9% in 2020; that is, the number of patients whose surgery was delayed decreased each year. Of the independent variables examined, weekend admission, preoperative echocardiographic delay, fracture type and surgery type showed a significant difference between patients who underwent surgery within 48 h

Table 1. Comparison of clinical characteristics between patients who underwent surgery within 48 h and patients with surgical delay.

Variables	Surgery within 48 h	Surgical delay	p-Value
Patients (n = 895)	324 (36.2)	571 (63.8)	
Time to surgery, hours	26.8 (21.5–42.4)	93.9 (69.5–133.5)	
Female sex	263 (81.2)	456 (79.9)	0.64
Age, years	87 (82–91)	86 (80–90)	0.16
Weekend admission	50 (15.4)	192 (33.6)	<0.001
Out-of-hours admission	106 (32.7)	169 (29.6)	0.33
ASA score of III or IV	7 (2.2)	21 (3.7)	0.21
Preoperative echocardiographic delay*	34 (10.5)	274 (48.0)	<0.001
Time to preoperative echocardiography, hours**	4.4 (0.0–19.4)	23.5 (0.73–47.0)	<0.001
Fracture site			
Femoral neck	108 (33.3)	245 (42.9)	0.005
Trochanter	216 (66.7)	326 (57.1)	
Surgery			
Arthroplasty	78 (24.1)	208 (36.4)	0.001
Osteosynthesis	246 (75.9)	363 (63.6)	
Walking status before injury			
Able to walk without help	152 (46.9)	291 (50.9)	0.52
Walking cane	75 (23.2)	130 (22.8)	
Walking frame	63 (19.4)	104 (18.2)	
Unable to walk	34 (10.5)	46 (8.1)	

ASA: American Society of Anaesthesiologists.

Data are presented as n (%) or median (interquartile range).

*Preoperative echocardiography was performed in all patients.

**Delayed examination was defined as >24 h.

and patients with surgical delay (Table 1). The binomial logistic regression analysis showed that preoperative echocardiographic delay and arthroplasty surgery were risk factors for a >48-h wait time for hip fracture surgery (Table 2). We excluded femoral neck fracture from the regression model because of collinearity with arthroplasty surgery.

Among the patients who underwent surgery, three (0.3%) died during hospitalisation, and the difference in mortality according to surgical delay was not statistically significant. In addition, 1-year mortality and deterioration of the walking status at discharge were not significantly different between patients with and without a >48-h wait time for hip fracture surgery. The length of acute care ward stay and total hospital stay were significantly different between the two groups (Table 3).

In the multiple regression analyses adjusted for sex, age, surgery type, weekend admission and walking status at discharge, surgical delay remained a significant risk factor for a longer hospital stay (Table 4).

Discussion

Several reports have shown that the risk of surgical delay for hip fracture is increased by various factors, including weekend admission,^{16,17} fracture type,⁵ surgical type, a high ASA score,^{11,15} securing an operating room⁵ and anticoagulant use.¹¹ Among the independent variables examined in

Table 2. Binomial logistic regression analysis of risk factors for surgical delay.

Variables	OR (95% CI)	p-Value
Female sex	0.88 (0.60–1.29)	0.51
Age	1.00 (0.98–1.02)	0.99
Preoperative echocardiographic delay	9.38 (5.95–15.28)	<0.001
Arthroplasty surgery	2.13 (1.53–2.98)	<0.001
Weekend admission	1.16 (0.74–1.82)	0.53

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

Coefficient of determination (R^2) = 0.24.

the present study, weekend admission was a risk factor for surgical delay. Weekend admission was associated with difficulty securing anaesthesiologists and operating room staff because surgeries were performed on holidays. The multivariate analysis showed that the risk factors for surgical delay of >48 h were arthroplasty surgery and preoperative echocardiographic delay. Arthroplasty surgery was associated with surgical delay because preparing implants and securing surgeons and assistants were more difficult than for osteosynthesis. Our findings suggest that preoperative echocardiography may have an influence on surgical delay in patients with hip fractures.

The effect of preoperative echocardiography on the outcome of patients who undergo surgical treatment of hip

Table 3. Comparison of outcomes between patients who underwent surgery within 48 h and patients with surgical delay.

Variables	Surgery within 48 h (n=324)	Surgical delay (n=571)	p-Value
In-hospital mortality	1 (0.31)	2 (0.35)	0.99
1-year mortality	34 (11.3)	55 (10.7)	0.79
Length of acute care ward stay, days	12 (10.0–15.8)	14 (12.0–19.0)	<0.001
Length of total hospital stay, days	50 (37.0–63.0)	56 (46.8–69.0)	<0.001
Walking status at discharge			
Improved/no change	182 (56.2)	309 (54.1)	0.55
Deteriorated	142 (43.8)	262 (45.9)	

Data are presented as *n* (%) or median (interquartile range).

Table 4. Multiple regression analysis of risk factors for increased length of total hospital stay.

Variables	β (95% CI)	p-Value
Female sex	0.20 (–3.76 to 4.16)	0.92
Age	0.17 (–0.02 to 0.36)	0.07
Surgical delay	6.99 (3.67 to 10.31)	<0.001
Arthroplasty surgery	–1.01 (–2.36 to 4.39)	0.56
Weekend admission	–0.34 (–3.90 to 3.22)	0.85
Deteriorated walking status at discharge	2.50 (–0.64 to 5.64)	0.12

B: partial regression coefficient; CI: confidence interval.
Coefficient of determination (R^2)=0.11

fracture remains controversial.^{18–21} Most patients with hip fractures are older and have multiple comorbidities, especially cardiac disease. Therefore, preoperative echocardiography is required to identify cardiovascular risks that may increase postoperative mortality.²² Canty et al.²³ reported that among patients who underwent echocardiography, mortality was lower both during the first 30 days postoperatively and within 12 months postoperatively. Chang et al.²⁰ reported that preoperative echocardiography was associated with postoperative mortality and also resulted in surgical delay. Preoperative identification of cardiac abnormalities may improve perioperative management and reduce postoperative complications and mortality.²¹ In this study, the in-hospital mortality rate was significantly lower than that in other studies. The reason for this might be that patients with severe cardiac morbidity detected by preoperative echocardiography were transferred to hospitals with more advanced medical treatment.

By contrast, Yonekura et al.¹⁸ reported that preoperative echocardiography was not associated with mortality or postoperative complications in a propensity score-matched cohort including more than 50,000 patients from a nationwide inpatient database in Japan. According to the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association practice guidelines,¹⁹ unnecessary echocardiographic examinations should be reduced, and we should also avoid routine examinations. In any case, preoperative echocardiography should be considered an urgent test to prevent surgical delay. However, secondary hospitals such as ours do not have a full

complement of cardiologists, which leads to delays in echocardiography and ultimately surgery. This suggests that the optimisation of medical care might be difficult to achieve.

Surgical delay of hip fracture reportedly increases the risk of preoperative and postoperative complications,²⁴ mortality,^{25,26} a poor functional status^{25,27} and a longer hospital stay.^{24,28} In the present study, surgical delay of >48 h was associated with a longer total hospital stay, including both in the acute care ward and the rehabilitation unit. Longer hospital stays lead to rising costs²⁹; thus, surgical delay may be detrimental from a financial standpoint as well. In addition, prolonged hospitalisation is associated with an increased risk of debility in elderly patients.³⁰ It is necessary to prevent surgical delay for patients with hip fractures to the greatest extent possible.

Our study has several limitations. First, it was a retrospective analysis rather than a randomised controlled trial. Many factors that influence the risk of surgical delay in hip fracture have been reported.^{5,11,15–17} In our study, there were no significant differences in sex, age, out-of-hours admission, preoperative ASA score or walking status before the injury. However, factors that could not be assessed, including anticoagulant status, may have distorted our results because of the lack of randomisation. Second, we lacked data on postoperative complications. The patients had multiple and varied postoperative complications, making it difficult to accurately assess these complications. Therefore, we were unable to ascertain whether surgical delay affected complications or extended the hospital stay. Third, the data were

drawn from a single institution, namely a secondary hospital that provides total rehabilitation. Generalisation of the conclusions may be limited. Fourth, the sample size was slightly larger than initially calculated, which might have influenced the observed differences. However, we believe the results remain significant and meaningful, suggesting the involvement of factors beyond just the sample size.

One of the strengths of our study is that although previous studies have evaluated hip fracture and surgical delay, most were from trauma centres and acute care hospitals; few have been reported from secondary hospitals such as ours, which provides total rehabilitation. The length of the total hospital stay, including rehabilitation, may be accurate. Surgical delay depends on both patient and hospital factors,³¹ and our findings are useful for other populations and settings where surgery is performed in a secondary hospital. Another strength of our study, although controversial, is that all patients underwent preoperative echocardiography. To the best of our knowledge, there are no previous reports describing the performance of echocardiography in all patients undergoing hip fracture surgery along with the survival rate and length of hospital stay. In addition, although there have been studies of surgical delay in which the presence or absence of preoperative echocardiography was assessed as a risk factor, few studies have assessed the timing of echocardiography (e.g. a delay of >24 h, as in the present study) as a risk factor for surgical delay. Addressing these issues will benefit both patients and physicians involved in the increasing number of hip fractures in recent years. Our findings apply to secondary hospitals that provide total rehabilitation because hip fracture affects all older populations worldwide.

Conclusion

Our findings indicated that preoperative echocardiographic delay was one of the risk factors for surgical delay of hip fracture in elderly patients. Surgical delay was a risk factor for a longer hospital stay, including rehabilitation.

Acknowledgements

We thank Angela Morben, DVM, ELS, from Edanz (<https://jp.edanz.com/ac>), for editing a draft of this manuscript. We also thank Takasuke Miyazaki for performing the data analysis.

Authors' contributions

MK and YF designed the study and wrote the manuscript. MK, YA and YT collected the clinical data. MK and YF analysed the clinical data. TO and NT critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol was approved by the Clinical Ethics Committee of Kohshinkai Ogura Hospital (no. 2022-B14) and was performed in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. All patients provided written informed consent for the publication of their medical data.

Informed consent

Written informed consent was obtained from all patients before the study. We did not obtain written informed consent from the legally authorised representatives of the deceased patients prior to study initiation because this requirement was waived by the Institutional Review Board/Ethics Committee.

Trial registration

Not applicable.

ORCID iD

Yusuke Fujimoto  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5940-5542>

References

1. Florschütz AV, Langford JR, Haidukewych GJ, et al. Femoral neck fractures: current management. *J Orthop Trauma* 2015; 29: 121–129.
2. Gullberg B, Johnell O and Kanis JA. World-wide projections for hip fracture. *Osteoporos Int* 1997; 7: 407–413.
3. Pincus D, Ravi B, Wasserstein D, et al. Association between wait time and 30-day mortality in adults undergoing hip fracture surgery. *JAMA* 2017; 318: 1994–2003.
4. Switzer JA and O'Connor MI. AAOS management of hip fractures in older adults evidence-based clinical practice guideline. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2022; 30: e1297–e1301.
5. Hagino H, Endo N, Harada A, et al. Survey of hip fractures in Japan: recent trends in prevalence and treatment. *J Orthop Sci* 2017; 22: 909–914.
6. Ikeda N, Saito E, Kondo N, et al. What has made the population of Japan healthy? *Lancet* 2011; 378: 1094–1105.
7. Springer BD, Odum SM, De A, et al. The influence of femoral fixation on mortality and revision after hip arthroplasty in femoral neck fractures in patients older than 65 years. A matched cohort analysis from the American joint replacement registry. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2022; 30: e1591–e1598.
8. Longo UG, Viganò M, de Girolamo L, et al. Epidemiology and management of proximal femoral fractures in Italy between 2001 and 2016 in older adults: analysis of the national discharge registry. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2022; 19: 16985.
9. Orimo H, Yaegashi Y, Hosoi T, et al. Hip fracture incidence in Japan: estimates of new patients in 2012 and 25-year trends. *Osteoporos Int* 2016; 27: 1777–1784.
10. Mencia MM, Skeete R, Pablo Hernandez Cruz P, et al. Preoperative echocardiography for patients with hip fractures

- undergoing surgery in a low-resource setting: asset or obstacle? *J Perioper Pract* 2022; 33(9): 276–281.
11. Denis A, Montreuil J, Reindl R, et al. Time-to-incision for hip fractures in a Canadian level-1 trauma centre: are we respecting the guidelines? *Can Geriatr J* 2022; 25: 57–65.
 12. Rezaie W, Roukema G and Van de Meulebroucke B. Weekend admission of intracapsular femoral neck fractures not associated with a greater rate of mortality or morbidity. *Geriatr Orthop Surg Rehabil* 2018; 9: 2151459318781222.
 13. Soo CGKM, Della Torre PK, Yolland TJ, et al. Clopidogrel and hip fractures, is it safe? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2016; 17: 136.
 14. Ginsel BL, Taher A, Whitehouse SL, et al. Effects of anticoagulants on outcome of femoral neck fracture surgery. *J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong)* 2015; 23: 29–32.
 15. Declarador N, Ramason R, Tay L, et al. Beyond comanaged inpatient care to community integration: factors leading to surgical delay in hip fractures and their associated outcomes. *J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong)* 2018; 26: 2309499018783909.
 16. Foss NB and Kehlet H. Short-term mortality in hip fracture patients admitted during weekends and holidays. *Br J Anaesth* 2006; 96: 450–454.
 17. Taylor M, Hopman W and Yach J. Length of stay, wait time to surgery and 30-day mortality for patients with hip fractures after the opening of a dedicated orthopedic weekend trauma room. *Can J Surg* 2016; 59: 337–341.
 18. Yonekura H, Ide K, Onishi Y, et al. Preoperative echocardiography for patients with hip fractures undergoing surgery: a retrospective cohort study using a nationwide database. *Anesth Analg* 2019; 128: 213–220.
 19. Fleisher LA, Fleischmann KE, Auerbach AD, et al. 2014 ACC/AHA guideline on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and management of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014; 64: e77–137.
 20. Chang JS, Ravi B, Jenkinson RJ, et al. Impact of preoperative echocardiography on surgical delays and outcomes among adults with hip fracture. *Bone Joint J* 2021; 103-B: 271–278.
 21. Heyburn G and McBrien ME. Pre-operative echocardiography for hip fractures: time to make it a standard of care. *Anaesthesia* 2012; 67: 1189–1193.
 22. Bhandari M and Swiontkowski M. Management of acute hip fracture. *N Engl J Med* 2017; 377: 2053–2062.
 23. Canty DJ, Royse CF, Kilpatrick D, et al. The impact on cardiac diagnosis and mortality of focused transthoracic echocardiography in hip fracture surgery patients with increased risk of cardiac disease: a retrospective cohort study. *Anaesthesia* 2012; 67: 1202–1209.
 24. Fu MC, Boddapati V, Gausden EB, et al. Surgery for a fracture of the hip within 24 hours of admission is independently associated with reduced short-term post-operative complications. *Bone Joint J* 2017; 99-B: 1216–1222.
 25. Yonezawa T, Yamazaki K, Atsumi T, et al. Influence of the timing of surgery on mortality and activity of hip fracture in elderly patients. *J Orthop Sci* 2009; 14: 566–573.
 26. Bretherton CP and Parker MJ. Early surgery for patients with a fracture of the hip decreases 30-day mortality. *Bone Joint J* 2015; 97-B: 104–108.
 27. Ogawa T, Aoki T and Shirasawa S. Effect of hip fracture surgery within 24 hours on short-term mobility. *J Orthop Sci* 2019; 24: 469–473.
 28. Lefaiivre KA, Macadam SA, Davidson DJ, et al. Length of stay, mortality, morbidity and delay to surgery in hip fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2009; 91-B: 922–927.
 29. Veronese N and Maggi S. Epidemiology and social costs of hip fracture. *Injury* 2018; 49: 1458–1460.
 30. Yan B, Sun W, Wang W, et al. Prognostic significance of frailty in older patients with hip fracture: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int Orthop* 2022; 46: 2939–2952.
 31. Kjaervik C, Gjertsen J-E, Engeseter LB, et al. Waiting time for hip fracture surgery: hospital variation, causes, and effects on postoperative mortality. *Bone Jt Open* 2021; 2: 710–720.