Original Article

Toxicoepidemiology of Phosphide Poisoning in the Central Part of Iran

Nastaran Eizadi-Mood¹, Mahnaz Momenzadeh², Masoumeh Sadeghi³, Ahmad Yaraghi⁴, Ali Mohammad Sabzghabaee², Sam Alfred⁵

¹Department of Clinical Toxicology, Isfahan Clinical Toxicology Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

²Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmacy Practice, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

³Department of Radiology, Imam Reza Hospital, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

⁴Department of Clinical Toxicology, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

⁵Department of Emergency Medicine, Royal Adelaide Hospital, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia

Received: 11-10-2022. **Accepted:** 29-01-2023. **Published:** 27-11-2023.

INTRODUCTION

Phosphides, including aluminum phosphide (ALP) and zinc phosphide (ZnP), are toxic agrochemical pesticides in developing countries as it is cheap, effective, free from toxic residue, and do not affect seed viability. In addition, ALP is commonly used as an agent of self-harm in developing countries.^[1,2] Its death rate in Asian countries is reported to be between 60% and 90%.^[3,4] In a study in India, phosphide poisoning accounts for about 68% of the reported causes of poisoning in this subcontinent.^[5] The mortality rate of ALP poisoning has been reported about 40%–45% in

Access this article online				
Quick Response Code:	Website: https://journals.lww.com/jrpp			
	DOI: 10.4103/jrpp.jrpp_20_23			

Objective: Aluminum phosphide (ALP) and zinc phosphide (ZnP) are toxic agrochemical pesticides, which are commonly used as an agent of self-harm in developing countries. Because of high toxicity of phosphides, we evaluated toxico-epidemiology ALP and ZnP poisoning in with respect to outcome. Methods: We performed a cross-sectional study with retrospective chart review including the records for patients admitted due to phosphide poisoning (ALP, ZnP) in a poisoning referral center in Khorshid Hospital, affiliated with Isfahan University of Medial Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. Demographic characteristics, clinical manifestations, outcome (survived or death), and length of hospital stay for the patients were recorded in a data collecting form. Binary backward stepwise logistic regression was used for outcome prediction. Findings: Sixty patients were evaluated in the study. The mean age of patients was 27.61. Thirty-nine patients were men. 96.7% of the patients ingested it intentionally. Most of the patients on admission were conscious (66.7%). Abnormality of EKG was noted in 8.3%. The mortality in ALP and ZnP poisoning was 39.2% and 22.2%, respectively. Serum bicarbonate and base excess in the venous blood gas analysis, systolic blood pressure, and serum sodium level were significantly different between patients with ALP and ZnP poisoning on admission time (P < 0.05). On admission, systolic blood pressure was an important predictive factor for mortality (odds ratio 4.87; 95% confidence interval: 1.5-15.45; P = 0.007). Conclusion: The rate of mortality in phosphide poisoning is high. Knowing predictive factors for mortality help physicians for selecting patients in intensive care unit admission and aggressive treatment.

Keywords: Aluminum phosphide, mortality, outcome, overdose

different parts of Iran.^[6,7] Although most reports of ALP poisoning are related to young populations from rural areas in Asia, some cases of phosphine poisoning have been reported in European countries during the last three decades.^[8-10]

Address for correspondence: Dr. Ahmad Yaraghi, E-mail: yaraghi@med.mui.ac.ir Dr. Masoumeh Sadeghi, E-mail: allheilmittel88@gmail.com

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Eizadi-Mood N, Momenzadeh M, Sadeghi M, Yaraghi A, Sabzghabaee AM, Alfred S. Toxicoepidemiology of phosphide poisoning in the central part of Iran. J Res Pharm Pract 2023;12:21-8.

X21

The toxic effects of phosphides are due to phosphin gas (PH3) released when it mixes with aqueous solutions (water or hydrochloride acid in stomach) which is rapidly absorbed by inhalation, ingestion, and skin or mucosal contacts.^[2,11,12] Phosphin inhibits mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase and catalase, and the result is cell hypoxia.^[13,14] While phosphine does inhibit cytochrome C oxidase *in vitro*; the inhibition is much less *in vivo*.^[15] In addition, phosphine can interact to form the highly reactive hydroxyl radical which results in hydroxyl radical-associated damage including lipid peroxidation. The major lethal consequence of phosphide ingestion, profound circulatory collapse, is secondary to factors including direct effects on cardiac myocytes, fluid loss, and adrenal gland damage.^[16,17]

Breathing ALP can irritate the nose, throat, and lungs causing coughing, wheezing, and shortness of breath. The gastrointestinal, cardiovascular, nervous systems, kidneys, liver, and lungs are the most affected organs in phosphide poisoning.^[14,18-21]

Some studies suggest that glutathione, melatonin, Vitamin C and carotenes, N-acetylcysteine, methylene blue, calcium, and magnesium may reduce the effects caused by oxidative phosphines.^[5,22,23] However, no definite treatment has been determined yet.

As the phosphide poisoning is common in our referral poisoning emergency center^[24] hospitalized with high mortality, we evaluated the toxicoepidemiology of phosphide poisoning and the outcome in a 10-year cross-sectional study.

Methods

We performed a cross-sectional study with retrospective chart review for patients admitted due to phosphide poisoning (ALP, ZnP) between February 2005 and September 2014 hospitalized in the poisoning referral center, Khorshid hospital, in Isfahan, central part of Iran. The institutional board of human studies at Isfahan University of Medical Sciences (Research Project Number 292134) approved the study protocol. All patient information was protected and kept confidential. We reviewed the medical chart of patients hospitalized because of phosphide poisoning. Patients discharged by their own consent and patients with a history of ingestion but without any clinical manifestations during observation period were excluded from the study. For each patient, the different variables including gender, age, route of exposure (ingestion, skin, inhalation, mucosal) and type of poisoning (suicide, accidental)), kind of phosphide (ALP, ZnP), clinical manifestations on admission, abnormalities of electrocardiogram (ECG), mean dose of sodium bicarbonate (mEq/mL) used,

other treatments (including administration of Vitamin C, Vitamin E, calcium gluconate, N-acetyl cysteine, and magnesium sulfate), history of psychiatric disorder, previous suicide attempt, duration of hospitalization, and outcome (survived and death) were recorded in a data gathering form.

Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0, Armonk, NY, USA: IBM Corp.). Binary backward stepwise logistic regression analysis was used for outcome prediction. ANOVA, Chi-square, or Fisher Exact, Pearson/spearman correlation tests were used for analysis. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

From February 2005 to September 2014, sixty patients poisoned with phosphides had inclusion criteria. The number of patients increased from one patient in 2005 to 2015 patients in 2014. Fifty-one (85%) patients were poisoned with ALP and 9 (15%) cases were poisoned with ZnP. Among those poisoned with ALP, 62.7% were males, with a mean age of 26.84 \pm 7.89 years, and among those poisoned with ZnP, 77.8% were males, with a mean age of 32.00 \pm 15.35 years. There was no significant difference between the patients with ALP and ZnP poisoning in terms of demographic characteristics, including age, gender, employment status, marital status, type of poisoning (intentional and unintentional), history of previous suicide, history of depression, addiction, and alcohol consumption [P > 0.05, Table 1].

Results regarding on admission clinical manifestations are shown in Table 2. Most of the patients were conscious (66.7%). 11.66% of patients had vomiting immediately after poison ingestion (six patients in AlP and one in ZnP) poisoning. In 48.34% of patients, the vomiting also occurred during hospitalization. Abnormality of EKG was wide QRS complex and junctional rhythm which was noted in 8.3% of all of cases. Furthermore, there was no significant difference between ALP and ZnP poisoning according to the clinical manifestations as well as complications [P > 0.05, Table 2].

Evaluation of the laboratory findings indicated that serum sodium (Na) at the admission to the hospital and discharge (or death) was significantly greater in those poisoned with ALP than those with ZnP (P < 0.05). Even though there was no significant difference between ALT and AST in the two types of poisoning at admission to the hospital (P > 0.05), the two parameters were far higher in those poisoned with ALP than those poisoned with ZnP at discharge or before death (P < 0.05). At admission to

poisoning					
Characteristics	Total (<i>n</i> =60), <i>n</i> (%)	ALP (<i>n</i> =51), <i>n</i> (%)	ZnP (<i>n</i> =9), <i>n</i> (%)	Р	
Gender					
Male	39 (65.0)	32 (62.7)	7 (77.8)	0.47	
Female	21 (35.0)	19 (37.3)	2 (22.2)		
Age (years)	27.62±9.39	26.84±7.89	32.00±15.35	0.13	
Homemaker	16 (26.7)	15 (29.4)	1 (11.1)	0.09	
Other jobs	44 (73.3)	36 (70.6)	8 (88.9)		
Marital status					
Single	35 (58.3)	32 (62.7)	3 (33.3)	0.14	
Married	25 (41.7)	19 (37.3)	6 (66.7)		
Type of exposure					
Intentional	58 (96.7)	50 (98)	8 (88.9)	0.28	
Unintentional	2 (3.3)	1 (2)	1 (11.1)		
History of previous suicide	14 (23.3)	12 (23.5)	2 (22.2)	1.00	
History of previous psychological problems	16 (26.7)	15 (29.4)	1 (11.1)	0.42	
Addiction	5 (8.3)	4 (7.8)	1 (11.15)	0.57	
Alcoholism	1 (1.7)	1 (2)	0	1.00	

Table 1: Comparison of patient's demographic characteristics between aluminum phosphide and	zinc phosphide

The Chi-square or Fisher's exact test used for analysis; the results are presented as n (%) or mean±SD where appropriate. SD=Standard deviation, ALP=Aluminum phosphide, ZnP=zinc phosphide

Table 2: Comparison of clinical manifestations between aluminum phosphide and zinc phosphide poisoning at
admission to the hospital

Clinical manifestations	Total (<i>n</i> =60), <i>n</i> (%)	ALP (<i>n</i> =51), <i>n</i> (%)	ZnP (<i>n</i> =9), <i>n</i> (%)	Р
Low level of consciousness	20 (33.3)	18 (35.3)	2 (22.2)	0.48
Vomiting	36 (60.0)	30 (58.8)	6 (66.7)	0.72
Nausea	23 (38.3)	19 (37.3)	4 (44.4)	0.72
Heartburn	3 (5.0)	3 (5.9)	0	1.00
Abdominal pain	12 (20.0)	8 (15.7)	4 (44.4)	0.06
Diarrhea	3 (5.0)	2 (3.9)	1 (11.1)	0.39
Restlessness	16 (26.7)	15 (29.4)	1 (11.1)	0.42
Thirst	7 (11.7)	7 (13.7)	0	0.58
Shock	15 (25.0)	15 (29.4)	0	0.09
Arrhythmia	5 (8.3)	5 (9.8)	0	1.00
Dyspnea	9 (15.0)	9 (17.6)	0	0.33
Cyanosis	9 (15.0)	9 (17.6)	0	0.33
Pulmonary crackle	4 (6.7)	4 (7.8)	0	1.00
Blurred vision	4 (6.7)	4 (7.8)	0	1.00

The Chi-square or fisher exact test used for analysis; the results are presented as n (%) or mean±SD where appropriate. ALP=Aluminum phosphide, ZnP=Zinc phosphide, SD=Standard deviation

the hospital, serum bicarbonate in the venous blood gas analysis (HCO₃) was significantly lower in patients with ALP poisoning (P = 0.01). Base excess (BE) was also significantly higher in those poisoned with ALP than in those poisoned with ZnP (P = 0.04). However, after the treatment, at the discharge time, these two factors did not have a significant difference between the two groups of poisoning [P > 0.05, Table 3].

Furthermore, there was no significant difference in the treatment protocol (P > 0.05) and length of hospital stay (P = 0.64) between ALP and ZnP poisoning. 63.3% of the patients survived and 36.7% died. Even though the hospital mortality in ALP poisoning with

a frequency of 39.2% was greater than ZnP poisoning with 22.2%, the difference was not statistically significant [Table 4].

There was significant correlation between mortality and on admission systolic blood pressure (P = 0.001; r = -0.42), O₂ saturation (P = 0.03; r = -0.47), sodium bicarbonate (HCO₃) (P = 0.002; r = -0.42), and BE (P = 0.003; r = -0.42) in venous blood gas analysis, blood glucose (P = 0.03; r = -0.32), prescription of magnesium sulfate (P = 0.000; r = -0.46), and calcium gluconate (P = 0.001; r = -0.42). Binary backward logistic regression analysis revealed that on admission venous HCO3, BE, admission systolic blood pressure,

phosphide poisoning at admission time (T0) and before discharge or death (T1)						
Hemodynamic and laboratory parameters	Total (<i>n</i> =60)	ALP (<i>n</i> =51)	ZnP (<i>n</i> =9)	Р		
SBP (mmHg)						
ТО	100.02 ± 25.12	97.38±26.06	$115.00{\pm}10.61$	0.05		
T1	104.64 ± 21.74	102.23 ± 23.00	114.28±12.72	0.19		
DBP (mmHg)						
ТО	64.65±12.80	63.90±13.26	68.89±9.28	0.28		
T1	65.77±10.53	65.79±9.81	65.71±13.97	0.98		
PR (bpm)						
то	85.02±15.84	84.98±16.75	85.22±10.08	0.96		
T1	83.91±9.01	83.88±10.03	84.00±3.65	0.97		
RR (bpm)						
TO	18.61 ± 8.85	19.04±9.49	16.22±2.90	0.38		
T1	17.32±2.05	17.26±2.21	17.57±1.39	0.72		
Temperature (°C)						
TO	36.84 ± 0.34	36.82±0.36	36.93±0.09	0.36		
T1	36.91 ± 0.25	36.89±0.26	36.96 ± 0.21	0.57		
Ω saturation (%)						
T_0	93.75±3.74	93.61±3.93	95.00±0.02	0.63		
T1	92 50+5 21	92 57+5 62	92 00+5 36	0.92		
Na (mEq/L)	,2.00-5.21	72.07=3.02	2.00-5.50	0.72		
TO	142 11+4 67	142 69+4 59	139 33+4 27	0.04		
T1	140.30+4.02	142.09 ± 1.09	136.00+3.46	0.01		
K (mmol/L)	140.30±4.02	142.1442.07	150.00±5.40	0.01		
TO	3 89+0 50	3 90+0 52	382+045	0.66		
T1	3.39 ± 0.30 3.70±0.42	3.70 ± 0.32 3.71 ± 0.49	3.67+0.21	0.00		
BUN (mmol/L)	5.70±0.42	5.71±0.49	5.07±0.21	0.00		
	13 22+4 30	13 27+4 28	13 00+4 66	0.86		
10 T1	17.22 ± 4.50 17.67 ± 10.34	13.27 ± 4.20 18 55 ±20.11	15.00 ± 4.00	0.80		
Cr(mg/dI)	17.07±10.34	10.55±20.11	15.00±4.56	0.77		
	0.00+0.30	1 01+0 33	0.92+0.14	0.46		
10 T1	0.99 ± 0.30 1 22 ±1 12	1.01 ± 0.33 1.28 ±1.20	0.92 ± 0.14 1.02±0.21	0.40		
EBS (mg/dL)	1.22-1.12	1.20±1.30	1.03±0.21	0.70		
TDS (mg/dL)	110 86±42 02	113 41-46 02	101 22+21 36	0.45		
10 T1	110.80 ± 42.92 122.00±46.21	113.41 ± 40.92 127.25 ± 57.52	101.22 ± 21.30 111.50±20.51	0.45		
$WBC \times 10^{9}/I$	122.00-40.21	127.23437.32	111.30±20.31	0.75		
	10 75+5 11	10 06+5 32	0 83+4 18	0.55		
10 T1	$8 87 \pm 4.75$	10.90 ± 3.32 8 87±2 75	9.83±4.18 8.36±4.75	0.55		
$H_{\rm H}(\alpha/dI)$	0.07±4.75	0.07±2.75	0.3017.73	0.05		
	15 32+1 95	15 /3+2 05	14 81+1 31	0.39		
T1	13.32 ± 1.93 14.96 ± 2.92	13.43 ± 2.03 14.06 ± 2.02	13.06 ± 1.26	0.35		
Het $\binom{0}{2}$	14.70±2.72	14.70±2.72	15.00±1.20	0.00		
	45 37+4 00	15 68+5 24	13 0 <i>1</i> +3 55	0.35		
10 T1	43.37±4.99	45.08±5.24	43.94±3.55	0.55		
11 D1+ $\times 10^{9}/I$	44.36±0.63	44.30±0.04	43.1 <i>3</i> ±4.03	0.55		
	242 01+97 78	249 66+99 27	218 67+66 27	0.24		
10 T1	242.91 ± 07.70	248.00±88.37	$218.0/\pm00.27$ 200 26+76 22	0.34		
	200.00±80.90	200.00±80.90	200.30±70.23	0.84		
ALI (U/L)	2676+47.22	26.07+10.75	25 40 + 12 72	0.04		
10 T1	20.70 ± 47.32	$20.9/\pm10.73$	25.40 ± 15.72	0.94		
	96.20±33.3	119.00±21.13	49.0/±34.//	0.001		
A31 (U/L)	21 26+11 06	22 20 + 15 72	24 80 + 8 40	0.76		
10 T1	51.30 ± 11.80	52.39 ± 13.73	24.80 ± 8.40	0.70		
	00.00±30.29	03.80±13.39	48.33±13.32	0.002		
P1 (s)						

Table 3: Comparison of hemodynamic and laboratory parameters of patients between aluminum phosphide and zinc
phosphide poisoning at admission time (T0) and before discharge or death (T1)

Contd...

Eizadi-Mood, et al.: Phophide poisoning in central part of Iran

Table 3: Contd					
Hemodynamic and laboratory parameters	Total (<i>n</i> =60)	ALP (<i>n</i> =51)	ZnP (<i>n</i> =9)	Р	
ТО	15.07±1.72	15.07±1.58	15.08±2.59	0.98	
T1	19.61±8.55	20.18±9.22	18.60 ± 8.46	0.78	
PTT (s)					
ТО	47.23±29.81	42.70±23.44	45.17±29.18	0.16	
T1	53.09±34.38	59.57±14.77	41.75±13.45	0.43	
INR					
ТО	1.33±0.25	1.33±0.24	1.32±0.35	0.91	
T1	2.16±1.60	2.26±1.75	1.99±1.52	0.80	
pН					
ТО	7.29±0.17	7.29±0.18	7.32±0.05	0.68	
T1	7.37±0.11	7.37±0.12	7.37±0.07	0.95	
HCO_{2} (mEq/L)					
ТО	17.30±5.98	16.57±5.81	22.82±4.43	0.01	
T1	20.65±4.67	20.33±4.96	22.55±1.63	0.39	
PCO ₂ (mmHg)					
TO	34.92±10.07	34.03±9.88	41.60±9.75	0.08	
T1	36.19±10.51	35.83±10.62	38.27±11.12	0.67	
BE					
ТО	8.37±7.18	8.95±7.41	4.48±3.82	0.04	
T1	4.75±3.58	5.47±3.64	2.05±1.53	0.09	

T0 is admission time and T1 is discharge time. Chi-square or Fisher's exact test used for analysis. ALP=Aluminum phosphide, ZnP=Zinc phosphide, SBP=Systolic blood pressure, DBP=Diastolic blood pressure, PR=Pulse rate, RR=Respiratory rate, O₂sat=Oxygen saturation, Na=Sodium, K=Potassium, BUN=Blood urea nitrogen, Cr=creatinine, FBS=Fasting blood sugar, WBC=White blood cell, Hb=Hemoglobin, Hct=Hematocrit, Plt=Platelets, ALT=Alanine aminotransferase, AST=Aspartate aminotransferase, PT=Prothrombin time, PTT=Partial thromboplastin time, HCO₃=Bicarbonate, PCO₂=The partial pressure of carbon dioxide, BE=Base excess

Table 4: Comparison of the treatment approaches for the poisoned patients between aluminum phosphide and zinc					
phosphide poisoning					
Treatment approach	Total (<i>n</i> =60)	ALP (<i>n</i> =51)	ZnP (<i>n</i> =9)	Р	
Calcium gluconate	8 (13.3)	8 (15.7)	0	0.33	

ireatment approach	10tal (<i>n</i> =00)	ALI $(n-31)$	$\sum \prod (n-j)$	1
Calcium gluconate	8 (13.3)	8 (15.7)	0	0.33
Magnesium sulfate	9 (15.0)	9 (17.6)	0	0.33
Methylene blue	1 (1.7)	1 (2)	0	1.00
Vitamin C	14 (23.3)	13 (25.5)	1 (11.1)	0.67
Vitamin E	5 (8.3)	4 (7.8)	1 (11.1)	0.57
N-acetylcysteine	10 (16.7)	9 (17.6)	1 (11.1)	1.00
Dopamine	18 (30.0)	17 (33.3)	1 (11.1)	0.25
Hydrocortisone	10 (16.7)	9 (17.6)	1 (11.1)	1.00
Methylprednisolone	5 (8.3)	5 (9.8)	0	1.00
Metoclopramide	19 (31.7)	17 (33.3)	2 (22.2)	0.70
Milk of magnesium	3 (5.0)	2 (3.9)	1 (11.1)	0.39
Oil lavage	15 (25.0)	15 (29.4)	0	1.00
Endotracheal intubation	17 (28.3)	17 (33.3)	0	0.05
Mechanical ventilation	7 (11.7)	7 (13.7)	0	0.58
Time from ingestion to hospital presentation (min)	240 (120-420)	240 (120-420)	270 (187.50-390)	0.64
Length of hospitalization (day)	14.00 (4.50–24)	14.00 (4–24)	15.00 (6.50-51.50)	0.23
In hospital mortality	22 (36.7)	20 (39.2)	2 (22.2)	0.46

The Chi-square or Fisher's exact test used for analysis. ALP=Aluminum phosphide, ZnP=Zinc phosphide

blood glucose, and prescription of calcium gluconate were correlated with mortality [Table 5].

DISCUSSION

The general objective of this study was to evaluate

different factors in patients with phosphide poisoning during 2005–2014 years. The results of this study among 60 cases showed the prevalence in our referral centers was increasing during the years. Another study in our country has also showed this increasing rate from 2007 to

phosphide poisoning				
Variable	Р	OR (95% CI)		
Hypotension	0.007	4.87 (1.52–15.45)		
Metabolic acidosis	0.019	13.00 (1.51–111.46)		
Shock	0.002	8.50 (2.24-32.17)		
Thirst	0.019	13.87 (1.54–124.81)		
Dyspnea	0.01	8.40 (1.56-45.20)		

Table 5:	Factors	for	outcome	prediction	in	patients	with
		ph	osphide 1	ooisoning			

OR=Odds ratio; CI=Confidence interval

2010.^[25] Although most of the patients were men (65%), in some studies, men did not outnumbered women.^[25] Poisoning was more observed in men, young, single, with suicidal intention similar to other studies.^[19,26-30]

Sixty percent of patients were conscious on admission. Loss of consciousness was reported in those who referred late or ingested other medication including sedative-hypnotics. Shadnia *et al.* also reported loss of consciousness in 28.13%.^[26] Several studies reported neurological toxicity of ALP and ZnP resulting in clinical effects such as headache, stupor, restlessness, agitation, anxiety, ataxia, paresthesia, and central nervous system depression, leading to coma and seizures.^[31-34] In our study, we reported one case of seizure after overdosing ALP, one patient with stupor, two patients with coma, and restlessness as a common manifestation of this type of poisoning.

Vomiting was the most common symptom in our patients (60%) similar to others.^[20,21,31] Gastrointestinal manifestations are the earliest symptoms that appear after ingestion. ALT and AST were far higher in those poisoned with ALP than those poisoned with ZnP at discharge. Probably liver toxicity was more prominent in those poisoned with ALP.

Metabolic acidosis was the most abnormality laboratory finding observed in our study similar to other studies.[1,3,26,27,30,31,35] Wide QRS complex and junctional rhythm was observed only in 8.3% of our cases. Several studies also noted ECG abnormalities in 36%-91% of patients.^[36,37] In a study in India, cardiac dysrhythmias were described as atrial fibrillation (4% to 61%), junctional rhythm (4% to 100%), ventricular and atrial extrasystoles, and ventricular tachycardia.^[38] We found no significant correlation between ECG abnormalities and outcome. However, in the study by Shadnia et al. in 20 poisoned patients, there was a significant correlation between cardiac manifestation, ECG findings, and TnT-positive results with mortality in acute ALP poisoning.[26] This difference in results may be related to the low number of patients with arrhythmia in our study or may be due to different severity of toxicity.

26

Outcome was correlated with on admission venous HCO₂, BE, systolic blood pressure, and blood glucose in our patients. Other studies showed that lack of vomiting after ingestion, high dose of ALP, electrolytes abnormalities, acute renal failure, impaired hemostasis and hyperleukocytosis were correlated with mortality.^[31,36,38-43] In our study, some of these factors were not found to influence significantly the outcome. As electrolyte abnormality, acute renal failure and hyperleukocytosis were not observed in any cases at admission time. However, similar to our results, hyperglycemia, acidosis, and hypotension have been associated with mortality in some studies.^[26,38-41] In addition, we found patients received calcium gluconate had the better outcome. However, the large odds ratio in some factors evaluated in our study may reduce the strength of this association in clinical practice. The difference of our predictive factors with other studies may be due to the different time of evaluation, as we analyzed on admission clinical manifestations and laboratory findings for outcome prediction. However, in other studies, this issue is not obvious whether the correlation between mortality with clinical manifestations was on admission time or during hospitalization.

The overall mortality rate was 36.7%. The mortality rate of ALP poisoning is highly variable, ranging from 37% to 100%.^[38,39,42,44,45] The lower mortality compare to some studies may be due to lower ingested dose of phosphide which was not reported in this study and could be a limitation of the study. There is no standard antidote for this type of poisoning^[2,3,16] and because the most type of poisoning is intentional, it is recommended to minimize access to these poisons and set strict rules for their usage, suicide prevention, and public education.

There are some limitations in our study. The number of patients with ZnP poisoning was small to be able definitely compare the different factors between these two types of phosphides. We also evaluate the clinical manifestations and laboratory findings on admission time. Since many signs and symptoms appear during hospitalization, the study comparing all clinical manifestations during different times of hospitalization until discharge or death would be more helpful.

It is concluded that the rate of phosphide poisoning is increasing during the years in our referral poisoning emergency center. It is more common in men and young people with suicidal intention. Mortality was higher in ALP than ZnP. On admission, systolic blood pressure was an important predictive factor for mortality. Serum bicarbonate, systolic blood pressure, Na level, and BE were significantly different between patients with ALP and ZnP poisoning.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

N. Eizadi-Mood, A. Yaraghi, and A.M. Sabzghabaee did the study's concept and design and data interpretation. N. Eizadi-Mood, M. Momenzadeh, A. Yaraghi, A.M. Sabzghabaee, and S. Alfred revised it critically for important intellectual content. M. Sadeghi performed the acquisition and interpretation of data and drafted the article. All authors did final approval of the version to be published.

Acknowledgments

This research was extracted from the MD thesis (Research Project Number 292134) and approved by the Isfahan University of Medical Sciences. We would like to thank the colleagues and staff of the Clinical Toxicology Department and archive staff of Khorshid Hospital for their valuable technical help and general support.

Financial support and sponsorship

This work was supported financially by the Isfahan University of Medical Sciences.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Garg KK. Review of aluminum phosphide poisoning. Int J Med Sci Public Health 2020;9:392-400.
- Nourbakhsh F, Barangi S, Dadpour B, Tajoddini S. Aluminum phosphide poisoning, mechanism of action and treatment: A literature review. J Kerman Univ Med Sci 2019;26:406-19.
- Etemadi-Aleagha A, Akhgari M, Iravani FS. Aluminum phosphide poisoning-related deaths in Tehran, Iran, 2006 to 2013. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015;94:e1637.
- Akbari M, Dehghani R. Global poisoning of aluminum phosphide with its emphasis in Iran: A review. J Entomol Res 2021;45:814-23.
- Katwal S, Malbul K, Mandal SK, Kc S, Alam MZ, Karki P, et al. Successfully managed aluminum phosphide poisoning: A case report. Ann Med Surg (Lond) 2021;70:102868.
- Navabi SM, Navabi J, Aghaei A, Shaahmadi Z, Heydari R. Mortality from aluminum phosphide poisoning in Kermanshah province, Iran: Characteristics and predictive factors. Epidemiol Health 2018;40:e2018022.
- Bagherian F, Kalani N, Rahmanian F, Abiri S, Hatami N, Foroughian M, *et al.* Aluminum phosphide poisoning mortality rate in Iran; a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Acad Emerg Med 2021;9:e66.
- 8. Popp W, Mentfewitz J, Götz R, Voshaar T. Phosphine poisoning in a German office. Lancet 2002;359:1574.
- Andersen TS, Holm JW, Andersen TS. Poisoning with aluminum phospholipide used as a poison against moles. Ugeskr Laeger 1996;158:5308-9.
- Van de Sijpe P, Lucas D, Canals ML, Jensen O. Acute occupational phosphine intoxications in the maritime shipping sector: Belgian and French reported cases. Int Marit Health 2020;71:151-9.
- 11. Yadav D, Bhattacharyya R, Banerjee D. Acute aluminum

phosphide poisoning: The menace of phosphine exposure. Clin Chim Acta 2021;520:34-42.

- Hallaj S, Mohammadi AB, Ghorbani A, Ostadi A, Nahandi MZ. Successful management of an aluminum phosphide poisoned patient following ventricular tachycardia. Int J Med Toxicol Forensic Med 2020;10:30473.
- Singh S, Bhalla A, Verma SK, Kaur A, Gill K. Cytochrome-c oxidase inhibition in 26 aluminum phosphide poisoned patients. Clin Toxicol (Phila) 2006;44:155-8.
- Taghaddosinejad F, Farzaneh E, Ghazanfari-Nasrabad M, Eizadi-Mood N, Hajihosseini M, Mehrpour O. The effect of N-acetyl cysteine (NAC) on aluminum phosphide poisoning inducing cardiovascular toxicity: A case-control study. Springerplus 2016;5:1948.
- Anbalagan LC, Arora N, Pannu AK. Management of acute aluminum phosphide poisoning: Has anything changed? Drug Metab Lett 2021;14:106-16.
- Bilics G, Héger J, Pozsgai É, Bajzik G, Nagy C, Somoskövi C, et al. Successful management of zinc phosphide poisoning-a Hungarian case. Int J Emerg Med 2020;13:48.
- Abd-Allah MA, Abdalla A, Mohamed NA, Rady MM, Farrag AA, Salama KA, *et al.* Updates on toxicology of aluminum phosphide and different management protocols. Zagazig Univ Med J 2022;28:1176-83.
- Yogendranathan N, Herath HM, Sivasundaram T, Constantine R, Kulatunga A. A case report of zinc phosphide poisoning: Complicated by acute renal failure and tubulo interstitial nephritis. BMC Pharmacol Toxicol 2017;18:37.
- Mostafazadeh B. Aluminium phosphide poisoning. Toxic Drug Testing 2012;15:345-60.
- 20. Eddleston M. Poisoning by pesticides. Medicine 2020;48:214-7.
- Sinha N. Aluminium phosphide poisoning. Indian J Med Spec 2018;9:167-70.
- Parvizrad R, Marghamlki EG, Nikfar S, Dermani SK. Effects of melatonin and N-acetylcysteine on aluminum phosphide poisoning in rats. J Family Med Prim Care 2022;11:4500-4.
- Shadnia S, Soltaninejad K, Hassanian-Moghadam H, Sadeghi A, Rahimzadeh H, Zamani N, *et al.* Methemoglobinemia in aluminum phosphide poisoning. Hum Exp Toxicol 2011;30:250-3.
- Dorooshi G, Mirzae M, Fard NT, Zoofaghari S, Mood NE. Investigating the outcomes of aluminum phosphide poisoning in Khorshid referral hospital, Isfahan, Iran: A retrospective study. J Res Pharm Pract 2021;10:166-73.
- Dorooshi G, Zoofaghari S, Mood NE, Gheshlaghi F. A newly proposed management protocol for acute aluminum phosphide poisoning. J Res Pharm Pract 2018;7:168-9.
- Shadnia S, Sasanian G, Allami P, Hosseini A, Ranjbar A, Amini-Shirazi N, *et al.* A retrospective 7-years study of aluminum phosphide poisoning in Tehran: Opportunities for prevention. Hum Exp Toxicol 2009;28:209-13.
- Arora B, Punia RS, Kalra R, Chugh SN, Arora DR. Histopathological changes in aluminium phosphide poisoning. J Indian Med Assoc 1995;93:380-1.
- Moghadamnia AA, Abdollahi M. An epidemiological study of poisoning in Northern Islamic republic of Iran. East Mediterr Health J 2002;8:88-94.
- Murali R, Bhalla A, Singh D, Singh S. Acute pesticide poisoning: 15 years experience of a large North-West Indian hospital. Clin Toxicol (Phila) 2009;47:35-8.
- Hosseinian A, Pakravan N, Rafiei A, Feyzbakhsh SM. Aluminum phosphide poisoning known as rice tablet: A common toxicity in

North Iran. Indian J Med Sci 2011;65:143-50.

- Wahab A, Zaheer M, Wahab S, Khan R. Acute Aluminium Phosphide Poisoning: An Update. Hong Kong Journal of Emergency Medicine 2008;15:152-5. doi:10.1177/102490790801500306.
- Alter P, Grimm W, Maisch B. Lethal heart failure caused by aluminium phosphide poisoning. Intensive Care Med 2001;27:327.
- Broderick M, Birnbaum K. Fatal ingestion of zinc phosphide rodenticide. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol 2002;40:684.
- Nocera A, Levitin HW, Hilton JM. Dangerous bodies: A case of fatal aluminium phosphide poisoning. Med J Aust 2000;173:133-5.
- Doğan E, Güzel A, Ciftçi T, Aycan I, Celik F, Cetin B, *et al.* Zinc phosphide poisoning. Case Rep Crit Care 2014;2014:589712.
- Chugh SN, Chugh K, Ram S, Malhotra KC. Electrocardiographic abnormalities in aluminium phosphide poisoning with special reference to its incidence, pathogenesis, mortality and histopathology. J Indian Med Assoc 1991;89:32-5.
- Kalra GS, Anand IS, Jit I, Bushnurmath B, Wahi PL. Aluminium phosphide poisoning: Haemodynamic observations. Indian Heart J 1991;43:175-8.
- Gupta S, Ahlawat SK. Aluminum phosphide poisoning A review. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol 1995;33:19-24.

- Singh S, Singh D, Wig N, Jit I, Sharma BK. Aluminum phosphide ingestion – A clinico-pathologic study. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol 1996;34:703-6.
- Abder-Rahman H. Effect of aluminum phosphide on blood glucose level. Vet Hum Toxicol 1999;41:31-2.
- Chugh SN, Kumar P, Aggarwal HK, Sharma A, Mahajan SK, Malhotra KC. Efficacy of magnesium sulphate in aluminium phosphide poisoning – Comparison of two different dose schedules. J Assoc Physicians India 1994;42:373-5.
- Chugh SN, Ram S, Arora B, Malhotra KC. Incidence & outcome of aluminium phosphide poisoning in a hospital study. The Indian journal of medical research 1991;94:232-5.
- Mehrpour O, Alfred S, Shadnia S, Keyler DE, Soltaninejad K, Chalaki N, *et al.* Hyperglycemia in acute aluminum phosphide poisoning as a potential prognostic factor. Hum Exp Toxicol 2008;27:591-5.
- 44. Hajouji Idrissi M, Oualili L, Abidi K, Abouqal R, Kerkeb O, Zeggwagh AA. Severity factors of aluminium phosphide poisoning (Phostoxin). Ann Fr Anesth Reanim 2006;25:382-5.
- Siddaiah L, Adhyapak S, Jaydev S, Shetty G, Varghese K, Patil C, *et al.* Intra-aortic balloon pump in toxic myocarditis due to aluminum phosphide poisoning. J Med Toxicol 2009;5:80-3.

28 🕽