

Article

Bioactive Cembranoids from the South China Sea Soft Coral *Sarcophyton elegans*

Xin Liu¹, Junsheng Zhang¹, Qiao Liu¹, Guihua Tang¹, Hongsheng Wang¹, Chengqi Fan² and Sheng Yin^{1,*}

- ¹ School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510006, China; E-Mails: liux66@mail2.sysu.edu.cn (X.L.) zhangjsh0814@163.com (J.Z.); 15521123303@163.com (Q.L.); tanggh5@mail.sysu.edu.cn (G.T.); whongsh@mail.sysu.edu.cn (H.W.)
- ² East China Sea Fisheries Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Fishery Sciences, Shanghai 200090, China; E-Mail: chengqifan92@pku.org.cn
- * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: yinsh2@mail.sysu.edu.cn; Tel./Fax: +86-20-3994-3090.

Academic Editor: John Beutler

Received: 13 June 2015 / Accepted: 13 July 2015 / Published: 22 July 2015

Abstract: Four new cembranoids, sarcophelegans A–D (1–4) and six known analogues (5–10) were isolated from the South China Sea soft coral *Sarcophyton elegans*. Their structures were elucidated through detailed spectroscopic analysis, and the absolute configuration of 1 was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The antimigratory potential of compounds 1–10 were evaluated and compounds 2 and 6 were found to inhibit human breast tumor MDA-MB-231 cell migration at 10 μ M.

Keywords: Sarcophyton elegans; cembranoids; antimigratory activity

1. Introduction

Cembranoids are a group of highly functionalized dierpenoids with a 14-membered carbon ring, an isopropyl residue, and three methyls [1]. Since the first representative, cembrene, was isolated from the pine tree *Pinus albicaulis* Engelm in 1962, hundreds of cembranoids have been reported from plants, insects, alligators, and especially from marine organisms [1,2]. Although cembranoids are indisputably

not uniquely marine, their striking presence in soft corals, especially in the genus *Sarcophyton* (Alcyoniidae) [3,4], outstrips their occasional occurrence in other taxa. In recent years, the significant biological activity of cembranoids in terms of antimicrobial, anti-cancer and anti-inflammation effects, together with their fascinating architectures, have attracted great interest from natural product [5,6] and pharmaceutical chemists [7,8].

The soft coral species of the genus *Sarcophyton* are widely distributed along tropic and subtropic oceans. So far, around 30 species of this genus from different locations have been chemically examined [9]. Previous chemical investigations of *S. elegans* have led to the isolation of several cembranoids, tetracyclic diterpenoids, steroids, and carotenoids [9–16]. As part of our continuing efforts to discover structurally intriguing and bioactivity-significant metabolites from South China Sea marine invertebrates [17–19], we undertook a detailed chemical analysis of *S. elegans*, collected in the Xisha Islands, South China Sea, which led to the isolation of four new cembranoids (1–4) and six known compounds (5–10) (Figure 1). The antimigratory potential of compounds 1–10 was evaluated and compounds 2 and 6 were found to inhibit human breast tumor MDA-MB-231 cell migration at 10 μ M. Herein, details of the isolation, structure elucidation, and antimigratory activity of these compounds are described.



Figure 1. Compounds 1–10 isolated from *Sarcophyton elegans*.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Structural Elucidation of New Compounds

The soft coral of *S. elegans* (1 kg, wet weight) was freeze-dried, ground, and extracted with a mixture of CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (v/v, 1:1) at room temperature. After removal of solvent *in vacuo*, the residue was suspended in H₂O and then partitioned sequentially with petroleum ether (PE) and EtOAc. Various column chromatographic separations of the EtOAc extract afforded compounds 1–10.

Compound 1, a colorless crystal, had the molecular formula $C_{20}H_{30}O_5$, as determined by HRESIMS at m/z 333.2059 [M – H₂O + H]⁺ (calcd 333.2066), corresponding to six degrees of unsaturation. The IR

spectrum exhibited absorption bands for hydroxyl (3451 cm⁻¹) and carbonyl (1716 cm⁻¹) functionalities. The ¹H-NMR data (Table 1) of **1** showed two methyl singlets [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.10 (3H, s, CH₃-18) and 1.34 (3H, s, CH₃-19)], an isopropyl group [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.09 (3H, d, J = 6.9 Hz, CH₃-17), 1.19 (3H, d, J = 6.9 Hz, CH₃-16), and 2.34 (1H, m, H-15)], an oxygenated methine [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.13 (1H, dd, J = 7.0, 7.0 Hz, H-11)], an olefinic proton [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.69 (1H, d, J = 11.3 Hz, H-2)], and a series of aliphatic methylene multiplets. The ¹³C-NMR data (Table 2), in combination with DEPT experiments, resolved 20 carbon resonances attributable to an ester carbonyl group (δ_C 173.9), a trisubstituted double bond (δ_C 120.1, 147.1), four sp³ oxygenated quaternary carbons, three sp³ methines (one oxygenated), six sp³ methylenes, and four methyls. The above-mentioned data implied that 1 possessed most of the structural features of cembranoid diterpenes, which showed high similarity to those of co-isolated sarsolilide B (8) [20]. In comparison with 8, the signals for Δ^{11} in 8 were replaced by the signals for an epoxy in 1 [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.13 (1H, dd J = 7.0, 7.0 Hz); δc 65.6 (CH) and 60.4 (C)], indicating that 1 was an 11,12-epoxy derivative of 8. This was confirmed by HMBC correlations from both H-10 and H-13 to C-11 and C-12, as well as the downfield-shifted carbonyl at C-20 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 173.9 in 1; $\delta_{\rm C}$ 170.3 in 8) (Figure 2). The relative configuration of 1 was assigned to be the same as that of 8 by comparing their 1D NMR and NOESY data. In particular, the NOESY correlation between H-11 and H-13a indicated the epoxy ring was *cis*-oriented (Figure S6). Finally, the successful performance of the X-ray crystallographic analysis using anomalous scattering of Cu Ka radiation verified the proposed structure and also allowed unambiguous assignment of the absolute configuration of 1 as drawn in Figure 3. Thus, compound 1 was determined as depicted and given the trivial name sarcophelegan A.



Figure 2. Key ¹H-¹H COSY (—) and HMBC (\rightarrow) correlations for 1, 2, and 4.



Figure 3. ORTEP depiction for X-ray crystal structures of 1.

Position	1 ^a	2 ^a	3 ^a	4 ^b
2	5.69, d (11.3)	5.55, d (16.7)	5.54, d (16.3)	6.23 d (11.4)
3	2.35, m (overlapped)	5.69, d (16.7)	5.74, d (16.3)	6.72, brd (11.4)
5a	1.98, m	1.77, m (overlapped)	1.83, m (overlapped)	
5b	1.87, m	1.55, ddd (14.2, 10.5, 7.4)	1.46, m	
6a	2.21, m	3.04, ddd (20.9, 10.5, 7.4)	1.70, m	4.90, d (16.8)
6b	1.90, m	2.44, m (overlapped)	1.38, m	3.29, d (16.8)
7			3.25, brd (11.0, 1.4)	
9a	2.82, dd (14.4, 14.4)	1.96, m	1.88, m	2.40, m (overlapped)
9b	1.73, dd (14.4, 7.6)	1.77, m (overlapped)	1.79, m	2.01, m
10a	2.31, m	3.40, m	3.44, m	2.73, m
10b	1.38, m	2.17, m	2.05, m	2.31, m
11	3.13, dd (7.0, 7.0)	5.67, m	6.19, dd (10.1, 5.1)	6.10, dd (4.1, 4.1)
13a	2.62, m	2.45, m (overlapped)	2.60, m	3.19, m
13b	1.30, m		2.55, m	1.92, m
14a	2.51, m	2.12, m	2.16, m	2.56, dd (13.8, 13.8)
14b	2.12, m	1.76 m	1.84, m (overlapped)	2.23, dd (13.8, 7.8)
15	2.34, m (overlapped)	1.86, m	1.87, m	2.41, m (overlapped)
16	1.19, d (6.9)	0.98, d (6.8)	0.98, d (7.1)	1.07, d (6.8)
17	1.09, d (6.9)	0.95, d (6.8)	0.96, d (7.1)	1.09, d (6.8)
18	1.10, s	1.39, s	1.33, s	1.83, s
19	1.34, s	1.21, s	1.15, s	1.54, s

Table 1. ¹H-NMR spectroscopic data of 1–4 (400 MHz, J in Hz, δ in ppm).

^a Measured in CD₃OD; ^b Measured in CDCl₃.

Table 2. ¹³C-NMR spectroscopic data of 1–4 (100 MHz, δ in ppm).

Position	1 ^a	2 ^a	3 ^a	4 ^b
1	147.1, C	87.8, C	88.0, C	158.8, C
2	120.1, CH	128.2, CH	128.3, CH	119.4, CH
3	52.0, CH	140.4, CH	140.8, CH	137.8, CH
4	83.4, C	72.8, C	73.4, C	133.3, C
5	38.5, CH ₂	36.5, CH ₂	41.0, CH ₂	195.1, C
6	34.7, CH ₂	35.2, CH ₂	25.4, CH ₂	45.7, CH ₂
7	88.9, C	219.3, C	76.0, CH	204.3, C
8	91.9, C	79.7, C	75.8, C	86.1, C
9	29.9, CH ₂	42.0, CH ₂	39.0, CH ₂	33.3, CH ₂
10	24,1, CH ₂	25.9, CH ₂	25.5, CH ₂	27.2, CH ₂
11	65.6, CH	150.3, CH	149.5, CH	143.6, CH
12	60.4, C	125.1, C	125.5, C	130.9, C
13	33.0, CH ₂	25.0, CH ₂	25.8, CH ₂	37.1, CH ₂
14	25.8, CH ₂	27.5, CH ₂	27.5, CH ₂	27.6, CH ₂
15	34.0, CH	38.4, CH	38.9, CH	36.3, CH
16	21.3, CH ₃	17.4, CH ₃	17.4, CH ₃	22.4, CH ₃
17	23.9, CH ₃	17.1, CH ₃	17.1, CH ₃	21.7, CH ₃
18	26.2, CH ₃	29.3, CH ₃	30.2, CH ₃	10.9, CH ₃
19	25.1, CH ₃	28.5, CH ₃	24.4, CH ₃	28.9, CH ₃
20	173.9, C	168.8, C	169.5, C	165.8, C

^a Measured in CD₃OD; ^b Measured in CDCl₃.

Compound **2** possessed a molecular formula of C₂₀H₃₀O₅ as determined by HRESIMS at *m/z* 373.1986 $[M + Na]^+$, which was compatible with its 1D NMR data. The ¹H-NMR data of **2** (Table 1) showed signals for two methyl singlets [δ_{H} 1.21, (3H, s, CH₃-19) and 1.39 (3H, s, CH₃-18)], an isopropyl group [δ_{H} 0.95 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, CH₃-17), 0.98 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, CH₃-16), and 1.86 (1H, m, H-15)], two *trans*-olefinic protons [δ_{H} 5.55 (1H, d, J = 16.7 Hz, H-2) and 5.69 (1H, d, J = 16.7 Hz, H-3)], an olefinic proton [δ_{H} 5.67 (1H, m, H-11)], and a series of aliphatic methylene multiplets. The 20 carbon resonances were classified by DEPT experiments as a ketone carbonyl group (δ_{C} 219.3), an ester carbonyl group (δ_{C} 168.8), two double bonds (δ_{C} 125.1, 128.2, 140.4, and 150.3), three sp³ oxygenated quaternary carbons, a sp³ methine, six sp³ methylenes, and four methyls (Figure S8). The above-mentioned information was similar to that of sartrolide E [21]. However, analysis of HSQC and HMBC data (Figures S10 and S11) revealed that the chemical shift of C-1 (δ_{C} 76.7) in sartrolide E was downfield-shifted to δ_{C} 87.8 in **2**, while C-8 was upfield-shifted from δ_{C} 87.0 to δ_{C} 79.7 (Figure 2), indicating that the linkage of the lactone ring from C-12 to C-8 in sartrolide E was migrated to C-1 in **2**. This was further supported by comparison of the C-1 and C-8 chemical shifts of **2** with those of a known analogue, laevigatlactone E [22], sharing the similar lactone linkage as that in **2** (δ_{C} 87.9, C-1 and δ_{C} 73.2, C-8, in laevigatlactone E).

The relative configuration of **2** was determined on the basis of the NOESY experiment (Figure S12). The NOESY correlation observed between H-11 and H-13 suggested the *E* geometry for the Δ^{11} . The crucial NOE correlations between H-2/CH₃-16 and H-2/CH₃-18 revealed that the isopropyl group and CH₃-18 were co-facial and were arbitrarily designated as α -oriented, while the interactions of H-5a with CH₃-18 and CH₃-19 indicated that the CH₃-18 and CH₃-19 were both α -oriented (Figure 4). Thus, compound **2** was deduced as shown and named sarcophelegan B.



Figure 4. Selected NOESY correlations of $2 \leftrightarrow$.

Compound **3** displayed the HRESIMS ion at m/z 375.2147 [M + Na]⁺, consistent with a molecular formula of C₂₀H₃₂O₅, two mass units more than that of **2**. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of **3** (Tables 1 and 2) were very similar to those of **2** except for the presence of an additional oxygenated methine (δ_H 3.25; δ_C 76.0) in **3** instead of the ketone group (δ_C 219.3, C-7) in **2**, indicating that **3** was a 7-hydrogenated derivative of **2**. HMBC correlation from CH₃-19 and H₂-5 to the oxygenated methine (δ_C 76.0) confirmed

the location of the hydroxyl group at C-7. This was also supported by the upfield-shifted signals of C-6 and C-8 in **3** with respect to those in **2** (δ_C 25.4, C-6; 75.8, C-8 in **3**; δ_C 35.2, C-6; 79.7, C-8 in **2**). The relative configurations at C-1, C-4, and C-8 of **3** were assigned to be the same as those of **2** by comparing their 1D NMR and NOESY data. The 7-OH was designated as β by the NOE correlation between H-7 and CH₃-19, as in the Chem3D molecular modeling study, the 7 β -OH isomer of **3** display a distance of 2.573 Å between H-7 and CH₃-19, while the 7 α -OH isomer showed a large distance of 3.738 Å (Figure S25). Thus, compound **3** was deduced as shown and named sarcophelegan C.

Compound 4, a colorless oil, exhibited a molecular formula of C₂₀H₂₆O₄ as determined by HRESIMS and the data of ¹³C-NMR. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of 4 (Figures S19 and S20) showed signals for two ketone signals ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 195.1 and 204.3), an $\alpha_{\rm c}\beta$ -unsaturated- ϵ -lactone ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 86.1, 130.9, 143.6, and 165.8), an isopropyl group [$\delta_C 21.7, 22.4, \text{ and } 36.3; \delta_H 1.07 (3H, d, J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 1.09 (3H, d, J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}))$, and 2.41 (1H, m)], two double bonds [δc 119.4, 133.3, 137.8, and 158.8; δH 6.23 (1H, d, J = 11.4 Hz) and 6.72 (1H, brd, J = 11.4 Hz)], and two methyl singlets [δ_{C} 10.9 and 28.9; δ_{H} 1.54 (3H, s) and 1.83 (3H, s)]. These data showed high similarity to those of (1Z,5S,9E,11E)-5,9-dimethyl-12-isopropyl-6-oxocyclotetradeca-1,9,11-triene-1,5-carbolactone [23], a cembranoid diterpene previously reported from the same genus, except for the presence of an additional carbonyl group (δ_{C} 195.1), which indicated that 4 was a carbonylated derivative of (1Z,5S,9E,11E)-5,9-dimethyl-12-isopropyl-6-oxocyclotetradeca-1,9,11-triene-1,5-carbolactone. HMBC correlations from CH₃-18 and H-3 to the carbonyl carbon revealed that the carbonyl group was located at C-5. This was further supported by the downfield-shifted H-3 signal in 4 with respect to that in (1Z,5S,9E,11E)-5,9-dimethyl-12-isopropyl-6-oxocyclotetradeca-1,9,11-triene-1,5carbolactone (δ_H 6.72 in 2; δ_H 6.07 in (1Z,5S,9E,11E)-5,9-dimethyl-12-isopropyl-6-oxocyclotetradeca-1,9,11-triene-1,5-carbolactone). Detailed 2D NMR analyses [¹H-¹H COSY, HSQC, and HMBC (Figures S21-23)] permitted the establishment of the gross structure of 4 as depicted in Figure 2. The absolute configuration of the only chiral center C-8 in 4 was proposed as S based on comparison of its specific rotation ($\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{20}$ + 190.8) with (1Z,5S,9E,11E)-5,9-dimethyl-12-isopropyl-6-oxocyclotetradeca-1,9,11triene-1,5-carbolactone ($[\alpha]_{D}^{20} + 177$), which was also supported by the biogenetic origin of this skeleton. Interestingly, cembranoids with C-3 and C-7 cyclization exclusively give R configuration at C-8 [20]. Compound 4 was given the trivial name sarcophelegan D.

The known compounds emblide (5) [4], ketoemblide (6) [24], sarcrassin D (7) [4], sarsolilides B (8) [20], sarsolilide C (9) [20], and dihydrosarsolenone (10) [20] were identified by comparison of their NMR and MS data with those in the literature.

2.2. Antimigratory Activity

Metastasis is one of the major biological characteristics of cancer cells. The wound-healing assay is a simple and widely used tool to investigate *in vitro* directional cell migration [5,25,26]. The effects of compounds **1–10** on the migration of human breast cancer MDA-MB-231 cells were evaluated using wound-healing assays. The ability of the compounds to inhibit the migration of the cancer cells into the wound is measured by comparing the original wound width before assay with the wound width after 48 h incubation [relative wound closure = $(W_0 - W_{48})/W_0$]. The higher antimigratory activity of the compound is, the smaller the wound-relative closure value it generates.

Among 1–10, compounds 2 and 6 had the greatest capability to inhibit the migration of MDA-MB-231 cells while others did not show evident activity in comparison with control. Furthermore, compounds 2 and 6 inhibited the cell migration in a time dependent manner (Figure 5B).



Figure 5. Wound-healing assays on compounds 1–10 with human breast tumor cell MDA-MB-231. (A) The antimigration effects of 1–10 at 10 μ M on the tumor cells (* p < 0.05); (B) The incubation of 2 and 6 with tumor cells at 0, 12, 24, and 48 h (left: control; middle: 2 at 10 μ M; right: 6 at 10 μ M).

3. Experimental Section

3.1. General Experimental Procedures

X-ray data were collected using an Agilent Xcalibur Nova X-ray diffractometer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Melting points were measured on an X-4 melting instrument and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 341 polarimeter (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). UV spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-2450 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). IR spectra were determined on a Bruker Tensor 37 infrared spectrophotometer (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany). NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker AM-400 spectrometer (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany) at 25 °C. ESIMS was measured on a Finnigan LCQ Deca instrument (Thermo Finnigan, San Jose, CA, USA), and HRESIMS was performed on a Waters Micromass Q-TOF (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). A Shimadzu LC-20 AT equipped with a SPD-M20A PDA detector (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) was used for HPLC. A YMC-pack ODS-A column (250 × 10 mm, S-5 µm, 12 nm) (YMC, Tokyo, Japan) was used for semipreparative HPLC separation. Wound closure was monitored and photographed with a Nikon Eclipse inverted microscope. Silica gel (300-400 mesh, Qingdao Marien Chemical Co., Ltd., Qingdao, Shandong, China), reversed-phase C₁₈ (Rp-C₁₈) silica gel (12 nm, S-50 µm, YMC Co., Ltd., Kyoto, Japan), Sephadex LH-20 gel (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA), and MCI gel (CHP20P, 75-150 µm, Mitsubishi Chemical Industries Ltd. Tokyo, Japan) were used for column chromatography (CC). All solvents used were of analytical grade (Guangzhou Chemical Reagents Co., Ltd., Guangzhou, China).

3.2. Animal Material

The soft coral *S. elegans* were collected from the Xisha Islands in the South China Sea, in October 2014, at a depth of 8–10 m of water. The biological material was frozen immediately until used and was identified by Cheng-Qi Fan from East China Sea Fisheries Research Institute. A voucher specimen (accession number: HLRZ201410) has been deposited at the School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China.

3.3. Extraction and Isolation

The frozen samples (1 kg, wet weight) were extracted with CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (1:1, 3×1 L) at room temperature. After removal of solvent in vacuo, the residue (16 g) was suspended in H₂O (200 mL) and partitioned sequentially to give dried petroleum ether (2 g) and EtOAc (4 g) extracts. The EtOAc extract was subjected to silica gel column chromatography eluted with a CH₂Cl₂/MeOH gradient (100:1 \rightarrow 10:1) to afford five fractions (I–V). Fr. II (460 mg) was subjected to Rp-C₁₈ silica gel CC eluted with MeOH/H₂O (6:4 to 10:0), followed by a Sephadex LH-20 and eluted with EtOH to afford **2** (11 mg), **5** (72 mg), **6** (45 mg), and **7** (3.7 mg). Fr. III (1.4 g) was chromatographed over Sephadex LH-20 (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, v/v, 1:1), followed by Rp-C₁₈ silica gel eluted with a CH₃CN/H₂O gradient (5:5 \rightarrow 10:0) to obtain four sub-fractions (Fr. IIIa–IIId). Fr. IIIb was further separated by HPLC equipped with an ODS-18 column using CH₃CN/H₂O (65:35, v/v; 3 mL·min⁻¹) to afford **1** (8.1 mg, *t*_R 8.5 min), **8** (6 mg, *t*_R 11 min), and **9** (18 mg, *t*_R 13 min) and **4** (3.7 mg, *t*_R 17 min). Fr. IIIc was chromatographed with silica gel CC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 40:1) to give **10** (25.2 mg).

3.4. Cell Culture

Human breast tumor cells (MDA-MB-231) were obtained from the Institute of Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China. MDA-MB-231 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 containing 10% FBS in cell culture flasks under a humidified 5% CO₂ and 95% air atmosphere at 37 °C.

3.5. Wound-Healing Assays

The method used to detect migration by wound-healing assay was previously described [5,25,26]. Briefly, the cells were allowed to grow to 90% confluence in 6-well plates. Once the monolayer was developed, a wound was made by scrapping with a 100 μ L pipet tip to create a denuded zone (gap) of constant width. Subsequently, cellular debris was washed with 2‰ FBS, and the MDA-MB-231 cells were exposed to 10 μ M of compounds 1–10. Wound closure was monitored and photographed at 0, 12 h, 24 h, and 48 h with a Nikon Eclipse inverted microscope. Wound width was measured immediately before (W₀) and after the 48 h (W₄₈) incubation. To quantify the migrated cells, pictures of the initial wounded monolayers were compared with the corresponding pictures of cells at the end of the incubation. Artificial lines fitting the cutting edges were drawn on pictures of the original wounds and overlaid on the pictures of cultures after incubation. Figure 5A represents wound closure values for different compounds (1–10), relative to the control (time 0).

3.6. Statistical Analysis

Data were expressed as the mean \pm SD of at least three independent experiments. To compare three or more groups, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used followed by Newman-Keuls *post hoc* test. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism software (5.01, GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

Sarcopelegan A (1): Colorless crystals; mp 187–189 °C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ +16.7 (*c* 0.23, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 208.4 (6.82) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3451, 2958, 2925, 1716, 1237 cm⁻¹; ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS *m/z* 333.2059 (calcd for C₂₀H₂₉O₄ [M – H₂O + H]⁺, 333.2066).

Sarcopelegan B (**2**): Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ –3.7 (*c* 0.46, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 230.4 (6.82) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3396, 2933, 1699, 1381, 983 cm⁻¹; ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS *m/z* 373.1986 (calcd for C₂₀H₃₀O₅Na [M + Na]⁺, 373.1991).

Sarcopelegan C (**3**): Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ –10.0 (*c* 0.14, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 232.2 (7.17), 210.0 (7.05) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3357, 2966, 2929, 1694, 1071 cm⁻¹; ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS *m/z* 375.2147 (calcd for C₂₀H₃₂O₅Na [M + Na]⁺, 375.2143).

Sarcopelegan D (4): Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ +190.8 (*c* 0.20, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ε) 298.2 (7.10), 219.8 (6.98) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3454, 2961, 2067, 1621, 1270 cm⁻¹; ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS *m/z* 331.1901 (calcd for C₂₀H₂₇O₄ [M + H]⁺, 331.1909).

Crystal data for compound (1): C₂₀H₃₀O₅, M = 350.44, 0.5 × 0.1 × 0.2 mm³, space group *P*6₅ (No. 170), V = 2769.03(4) Å³, Z = 6, $D_c = 1.261$ g·cm⁻³, $F_{000} = 1140$, Xcalibur, Onyx, Nova, Cu Kα radiation, $\lambda = 1.54184$ Å, T = 293(2) K, $2\theta_{max} = 143.5^{\circ}$, 35758 reflections collected, 3601 unique (R_{int} = 0.0507). Final *GooF* = 1.043, $R_I = 0.0285$, $wR_2 = 0.0757$, R indices based on 3523 reflections with I > 2 sigma (I) (refinement on F^2), 232 parameters, 1 restraint. Lp and absorption corrections applied, $\mu = 0.723$ mm⁻¹. Flack parameter = -0.02 (11). Crystallographic data for the structure of 1 have been deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (deposition number: CCDC 1401385). The data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: +44 1223 336033; E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

4. Conclusions

Four new cembranoids and six known analogues were isolated from the South China Sea soft coral *S. elegans*, collected from the Xisha Islands. Their structures were elucidated through detailed spectroscopic analysis, and the absolute configuration of **1** was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The antimigratory potential of compounds **1–10** were evaluated, two of which were found to inhibit human breast tumor MDA-MB-231 cell migration at 10 μ M. The current research not only expanded the members of the cembranoid family, but may also provide some diterpene prototypes for further development of anti-cancer leads with antimigratory properties.

Supplementary Materials

1D and 2D NMR spectra of 1–4 were provided. These materials can be accessed at: http://www. mdpi.com/1420-3049/20/07/13324/s1.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Science and Technology Planning Project of Guangdong Province (No. 2013B021100009), the Guangdong Natural Science Funds for Distinguished Young Scholars (No. 2014A030306047), and the National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (863 Projects, No. 2015AA020928) for providing financial support to this work.

Author Contributions

S.Y. designed the research; X.L., J.Z. and Q.L. performed the experimental work; X.L., G.T., H.W., and C.F. wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed, edited and approved the final version.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Li, Y.; Peng, L.; Zhang, T. Progress of studies on the natural cembranoids from the soft coral species of *Sarcophyton* genus. *Med. Chem. Bioact. Nat. Prod.* **2006**, *19*, 257–300.
- 2. Gross, H.; Konig, G.M. Terpenoids from marine organisms: Unique structures and their pharmacological potential. *Phytochem. Rev.* **2006**, *5*, 115–141.
- Jia, R.; Guo, Y.W.; Chen, P.; Yang, Y.M.; Mollo, E.; Gavagnin, M.; Cimino, G. Biscembranoids and their probable biogenetic precursor from the Hainan soft coral *Sarcophyton tortuosum*. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2007, 70, 1158–1166.
- 4. Zhang, C.X.; Li, J.; Su, J.Y.; Liang, Y.J.; Yang, X.P.; Zheng, K.C.; Zeng, L.M. Cytotoxic diterpenoids from the soft coral *Sarcophyton crassocaule*. J. Nat. Prod. **2006**, *69*, 1476–1480.
- Sawant, S.S.; Youssef, D.T.A.; Reiland, J.; Ferniz, M.; Marchetti, D.; El Sayed, K.A. Biocatalytic and antimetastatic studies of the marine cembranoids sarcophine and 2-epi-16-deoxysarcophine. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2006, *69*, 1010–1013.
- Gross, H.; Wright, A.D.; Beil, W.; Konig, G.M. Two new bicyclic cembranolides from a new *Sarcophyton* species and determination of the absolute configuration of sarcoglaucol-16-one. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2004, *2*, 1133–1138.
- Kim, H.; Lee, H.; Kim, J.; Kim, S.; Kim, D. A general strategy for synthesis of both (6*Z*)- and (6*E*)cladiellin diterpenes: Total syntheses of (–)-cladiella-6,11-dien-3-ol, (+)-polyanthellin A, (–)-cladiell11-ene-3,6,7-triol, and (–)-deacetoxyalcyonin acetate. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006, *128*, 15851–15855.
- 8. Crimmins, M.T.; Stauffer, C.S.; Mans, M.C. Total syntheses of (+)-vigulariol and (-)-sclerophytin A. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 4890–4893.

- 9. Bie, W.; Deng, Z.W.; Xu, M.J.; Lin, W.H. Structural elucidation of a new cembranoid diterpene from the Chinese soft coral *Sarcophyton* sp. *J. Chin. Pharm. Sci.* **2008**, *17*, 221–224.
- 10. Xi, Z.; Bie, W.; Chen, W.; Liu, D.; Leen, V.O.; Proksch, P.; Lin, W. Sarcophytolides G–L, new biscembranoids from the soft coral *Sarcophyton elegans*. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2013**, *96*, 2218–2227.
- 11. Xi, Z.; Bie, W.; Chen, W.; Liu, D.; Leen, V.O.; Proksch, P.; Lin, W. Sarcophyolides B–E, new cembranoids from the soft coral *Sarcophyton elegans*. *Mar. Drugs* **2013**, *11*, 3186–3196.
- 12. Minh, C.V.; Kiem, P.V.; Nguyen, H.N.; Nguyen, X.C.; Nguyen, P.T.; Nguyen, H.D.; Quang, T.H.; Nguyen, T.D.; Thung, D.C.; Thuy, D.T.T. Carotenoids from the soft coral *Sarcophyton elegans*. *Tap Chi Hoa Hoc*. **2010**, *48*, 627–631.
- Bishara, A.; Rudi, A.; Benayahu, Y.; Kashman, Y. Three biscembranoids and their monomeric counterpart cembranoid, a biogenetic Diels-Alder precursor, from the soft coral *Sarcophyton elegans*. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2007, *70*, 1951–1954.
- Anjaneyulu, A.S.R.; Gowri, P.M.; Venugopal, M.J.R.V.; Sarada, P.; Murthy, M.V.R.K.; Rao, G.V.; Murthy, P.S.N.; Rao, C.V.; Kumar, G. Novel diterpenoids from the Indian Ocean soft coral *Sarcophyton elegans. J. Ind. Chem. Soc.* 1999, 76, 656–659.
- 15. Moldowan, J.M.; Tursch, B.M.; Djerassi, C. 24ξ-Methylcholestane-3β,5α,6β,25-tetrol 25-monoacetate, a novel polyhydroxylated steroid from an alcyonarian. *Steroids* **1974**, *24*, 387–398.
- 16. Moldowan, J.M.; Tan, W.L.; Djerassi, C. 24ξ-Methylcholestane-3β,5α,6β,12β,25-pentol 25-monoacetate, a novel polyoxygenated marine sterol. *Steroids* **1975**, *26*, 107–128.
- Cheng, Z.B.; Deng, Y.L.; Fan, C.Q.; Han, Q.H.; Lin, S.L.; Tang, G.H.; Luo, H.B.; Yin, S. Prostaglandin derivatives: nonaromatic phosphodiesterase-4 inhibitors from the soft coral *Sarcophyton ehrenbergi*. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2014, 77, 1928–1936.
- 18. Sun, Z.H.; Cai, Y.H.; Fan, C.Q.; Tang, G.H.; Luo, H.B.; Yin, S. Six new tetraprenylated alkaloids from the South China Sea Gorgonian *Echinogorgia pseudossapo*. *Mar. Drugs* **2014**, *12*, 672–681.
- 19. Cheng, Z.B.; Xiao, H.; Fan, C.Q.; Lu, Y.N.; Zhang, G.; Yin, S. Bioactive polyhydroxylated sterols from the marine sponge *Haliclona crassiloba*. *Steroids* **2013**, *78*, 1353–1358.
- Liang, L.F.; Kurtan, T.; Mandi, A.; Gao, L.X.; Li, J.; Zhang, W.; Guo, Y.W. Sarsolenane and capnosane diterpenes from the Hainan soft coral *Sarcophyton trocheliophorum* Marenzeller as PTP1B Inhibitors. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2014, 2014, 1841–1847.
- Liang, L.F.; Lan, L.F.; Orazio, T.S.; Guo, Y.W. Sartrolides A–G and bissartrolide, new cembranolides from the South China Sea soft coral *Sarcophyton trocheliophorum* Marenzeller. *Tetrahedron* 2013, 69, 7381–7386.
- 22. Zou, G.A.; Ding, G.; Su, Z.H.; Yang, J.S.; Zhang, H.W.; Peng, C.Z.; Aisa, H.A.; Zou, Z.M. Lactonecembranoids from *Croton laevigatus*. J. Nat. Prod. **2010**, 73, 792–795.
- 23. Bowden, B.F.; Coll, J.C.; Willis, R.H. Studies of Australian soft corals. XXVII. Two novel diterpenes from *Sarcophyton glaucum*. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1982**, *35*, 621–627.
- Uchio, Y.; Nitta, M.; Nakayama, M.; Iwagawa, T.; Hase, T. Ketoemblide and sarcophytolide, two new cembranolides with ε-lactone function from the soft coral *Sarcophyta elagans*. *Chem. Lett.* **1983**, *4*, 613–616.

- 25. Huang, G.J.; Yang, C.M.; Chang, Y.S.; Amagaya, S.; Wang, H.C.; Hou, W.C.; Huang, S.S.; Hu, M.L. Hispolon suppresses SK-Hep1 human hepatoma cell metastasis by inhibiting matrix metalloproteinase-2/9 and urokinase-plasminogen activator through the PI3K/Akt and ERK signaling pathways. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2010, 58, 9468–9475.
- Lu, W.Q.; Liu, X.F.; Cao, X.W.; Xue, M.Z.; Liu, K.D.; Zhao, Z.J.; Shen, X.; Jiang, H.L.; Xu, Y.F.; Huang, J.; *et al.* Shafts: A hybrid approach for 3D molecular similarity calculation. 2. Prospective case study in the discovery of diverse p90 ribosomal S6 protein kinase 2 inhibitors to suppress cell migration. *J. Med. Chem.* 2011, *54*, 3564–3574.

Sample Availability: Samples of the compounds 1–10 are available from the authors.

 \bigcirc 2015 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).