

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Response to: "Inclusion as Illusion: Erasing Transgender Women in Research with MSM"

Trevor A Crowell^{1,2,§} (b), Patricia E Fast^{3,4} (b), Linda-Gail Bekker⁵ (b) and Eduard J Sanders^{6,7} (b)

[§]Corresponding author: Trevor A Crowell, U.S. Military HIV Research Program, 6720A Rockledge Drive, Suite 400, Bethesda, MD 20817, USA. Tel: +1-301-500-3990. (tcrowell@hivresearch.org)

Keywords: transgender people; men who have sex with men; key and vulnerable populations; human rights; HIV prevention; Africa

Received 30 November 2020; Accepted 7 December 2020

Copyright © 2021 The Authors. Journal of the International AIDS Society published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of the International AIDS Society. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We appreciate the letter in response to the recent *JIAS* supplement on engaging African men who have sex with men (MSM) and transgender women (TGW) who have sex with men in HIV research. The authors point out that no papers in the supplement focused solely on TGW and called for the publication of studies that explore issues affecting TGW independently from other key populations. We agree wholeheart-edly with this call. In our guest editorial accompanying the supplement, we noted that TGW have historically been conflated with MSM, but are "deserving of separate consideration and tailored, rights-affirming engagement" [1].

The supplement arose from a symposium at the R4P conference in Madrid that addressed MSM engagement in longitudinal research in Africa. Despite not being designed to do so, several studies presented there had enrolled both MSM and TGW populations. A well-known example is the HPTN 075 study to assess MSM cohort feasibility in Kenya, Malawi and South Africa, which found that one in seven enrolled "MSM" identified as a woman or transgender female [2]. Recognizing an opportunity to help fill knowledge gaps related to this under-studied population, the guest editors encouraged disaggregation of data by gender identity in all supplement manuscripts.

In 2019, JIAS published the first HIV incidence estimate among a small group of TGW from Kenya as 20.6 (95% confidence interval 6.6 to 63.8) cases per 100 person-years (PY), and several-fold higher than incidence estimates among MSM [3]. The recent JIAS supplement has added two new HIV incidence estimates among TGW from South Africa (31.0 [3.7 to 111.2]/100PY) and TGW from Nigeria (23.8 [13.6 to 39.1]/100PY), confirming an exceptional burden of new HIV infections among African TGW [4,5]. These estimates indicate a need for urgent and targeted prevention interventions, deliberate efforts to monitor and promote uptake of pre-exposure prophylaxis, and the development of guidelines for HIV prevention and care programming for TGW in sub-Saharan Africa.

The JIAS supplement demonstrated that there has been progress in the engagement of African MSM and TGW. While more work involving TGW in their own right is overdue and much needed, we think that the inclusion of both TGW and MSM concurrently in research has moved the field in the right direction. Such studies also provide opportunities for comparative evaluations across key populations that underscore the unique features and needs of each population. We are excited, for example, by the planned phase III trial involving both MSM and TGW populations for an efficacy assessment of monthly long-acting islatravir (formerly MK-8591) for HIV prevention (IMPOWER 24), which will enroll in Kenya and other global sites.

But, as we emphasized in our guest editorial, much more must be done. There are several actionable lessons from the supplement, including a need for training programs to sensitize healthcare providers, capacity building programs for sociobehavioral researchers, and administrative support programs to build capacities of community-based organizations representing TGW. We concur strongly that studies focused on TGW experiences in sub-Saharan Africa are worthy of publication to inform differentiated delivery of HIV prevention and care services to this unique and vulnerable population.

AUTHORS' AFFILIATIONS

¹U.S. Military HIV Research Program, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Silver Spring, MD, USA; ²Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Bethesda, MD, USA; ³International AIDS Vaccine Initiative, New York, NY, USA; ⁴School of Medicine, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA, USA; ⁵Desmond Tutu HIV Centre, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa; ⁶KEMRI-Wellcome Trust Research Programme, Kilifi, Kenya; ⁷Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors report no competing interests.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

TAC, PEF, LGB and EJS conceptualized the manuscript. TAC and EJS authored the first draft of the manuscript, which was reviewed by PEF and LGB. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript for publication.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

FUNDING

TAC is an employee of the Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Inc., (HJF) in support of the U.S. Military HIV Research Program at the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research which is funded by a cooperative agreement between HJF and the U.S. Department of Defense (W81XWH-18-2-0040). PEF is an employee of IAVI. LGB has no specific funding in support of this editorial to disclose. EJS is supported by IAVI, receiving generous support of the American people through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID); a National Institutes of Health (NIH)-funded programme (R01AI124968), and by the Wellcome Trust. The KEMRI-Wellcome Trust Research Programme at the Centre for Geographical Medicine Research-Kilifi is supported by core funding from the Wellcome Trust (203077). This work was also supported in part through the sub-Saharan African Network for TB/HIV Research Excellence (SANTHE), a DEL-TAS Africa Initiative (DEL-15-006). The DELTAS Africa Initiative is an independent funding scheme of the African Academy of Sciences (AAS) Alliance for Accelerating Excellence in Science in Africa (AESA) and is supported by the New Partnership for Africa's Development Planning and Coordinating Agency (NEPAD Agency) with funding from the Wellcome Trust (107752) and the U.K. government.

DISCLAIMER

The views expressed are those of the authors and should not be construed to represent the positions of the U.S. Army, U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Agency for International Development, U.S. Government, African Academy of Sciences, New Partnership for Africa's Development, Wellcome Trust, or U.K. government.

REFERENCES

1. Crowell TA, Fast PE, Bekker LG, Sanders EJ. Involvement of African men and transgender women who have sex with men in HIV research: progress, but much more must be done. J Int AIDS Soc. 2020;23:e25596.

2. Sandfort TGM, Hamilton E, Marais A, Guo X, Sugarman J, Chen YQ, et al. The feasibility of recruiting and retaining men who have sex with men and transgender women in a multinational prospective HIV prevention research cohort study in sub-Saharan Africa (HPTN 075). J Int AIDS Soc. 2020;23: e25600.

3. Kimani M, van der Elst EM, Chiro O, Oduor C, Wahome E, Kazungu W, et al. PrEP interest and HIV-1 incidence among MSM and transgender women in coastal Kenya. J Int AIDS Soc. 2019:22:e25323.

4. Sullivan PS, Phaswana-Mafuya N, Baral SD, Valencia R, Zahn R, Dominguez K, et al. HIV prevalence and incidence in a cohort of South African men and transgender women who have sex with men: the Sibanye Methods for Prevention Packages Programme (MP3) project. J Int AIDS Soc. 2020;23:e25591.

5. Ramadhani HO, Crowell TA, Nowak RG, Ndembi N, Kayode BO, Kokogho A, et al. Association of age with healthcare needs and engagement among Nigerian men who have sex with men and transgender women: cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses from an observational cohort. J Int AIDS Soc. 2020;23:e25599.