

Aminotriazole Mn(I) Complexes as Effective Catalysts for Transfer Hydrogenation of Ketones


Oriol Martínez-Ferraté,^[a, b] Christophe Werlé,^[a] Giancarlo Franciò,^[b] and Walter Leitner^{*[a, b]}

A catalytic system based on complexes comprising abundant and cheap manganese together with readily available aminotriazole ligands is reported. The new Mn(I) complexes are catalytically competent in transfer hydrogenation of ketones with 2-propanol as hydrogen source. The reaction proceeds under mild conditions at 80 °C for 20 h with 3% of catalyst loading using either KO^tBu or NaOH as base. Good to excellent yields were obtained for a wide substrate scope with broad functional group tolerance. The obtained results by varying the substitution pattern of the ligand are consistent with an out-sphere mechanism for the H-transfer.

The concept of *Green Chemistry* has stimulated numerous new research directions in the chemical sciences.^[1] Homogeneous catalysis can impact directly on several of its twelve principles and thus plays a major role in the development of more sustainable chemical processes. Traditionally, homogeneous catalysts are often based on transition metals from the platinum group, which are rare elements, whose mining generates large amounts of waste, and is often associated with high costs.^[2] Over the last two decades, first row metals emerged as potentially greener alternatives exhibiting catalytic activity for a wide range of chemical transformations (e.g. reductions, oxidations, or C–C bond formation).^[3] Despite its high abundancy, non-toxicity, and its biocompatibility, the application of manganese catalytically active metal is still limited, even when compared to other first-row metals such as iron or cobalt.^[4]

In 2016, the groups of Milstein and Beller independently reported on the use of Mn(I) complexes in transformations typically associated with noble metals. They respectively showed the aptitude of Mn(I) to catalyze the dehydrogenative

condensation of alcohols and amines to imines^[5] and that Mn complexes are catalytically competent in hydrogenation reactions.^[6] Since then, manganese (I) complexes have been applied in indirect reduction of carbon dioxide,^[7] N-alkylation with alcohols,^[8] hydrogenation reactions (nitriles, esters, amides, and carbonyls),^[9] transfer hydrogenation,^[10] among others.^[11] In most of the cases, manganese is coordinated to a pincer ligand with nitrogen and/or phosphorus as donors.^[12] Interestingly, the transfer hydrogenation reaction can also be carried out also with bidentate nitrogen ligands.^[13] The use of picolylamine as ligand resulted in a highly active catalyst that performs comparably to well-known Ruthenium-based systems (Scheme 1).^[13b,14] Based on this literature precedence, we envisaged



Scheme 1. Advantages of aminotriazole Mn(I) complex as catalyst in transfer hydrogenation.

triazole derivatives as attractive alternative N-donor units to pyridine compounds to be used as bidentate ligands for Mn-catalysis.^[15] The synthesis of triazoles takes advantage of the modularity of Cu-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (click chemistry), an extremely powerful synthetic tool when it comes to generate molecular complexity.^[16] Thus, a range of structurally different ligand frameworks becomes accessible *via* a robust atom- and step-economic synthetic method.^[17]

In this paper, we report the synthesis of new amino- and iminotriazole ligands from low-cost and readily available organic precursors as well as the preparation of corresponding manganese (I) complexes, and their application in the transfer hydrogenation of ketones. The best ligand/metal combination was found to effect the reduction of a broad range of functionalized ketones under mild conditions in good to excellent yields using ⁱPrOH as hydrogen donor and various bases including in particular KO^tBu and NaOH as co-catalyst.


The different aminotriazole-based ligand frameworks **1–4** were prepared as depicted in Scheme 2 following modified reported procedures in the individual steps. Triazole **1** was readily synthesized starting from commercially available 2-bromoethylamine hydrobromide. The first step involved the

[a] Dr. O. Martínez-Ferraté, Dr. C. Werlé, Prof. Dr. W. Leitner
Max Planck Institute for Chemical Energy Conversion
Stiftstr. 34–36
Mülheim an der Ruhr 45470 (Germany)
E-mail: walter.leitner@cec.mpg.de

[b] Dr. O. Martínez-Ferraté, Dr. G. Franciò, Prof. Dr. W. Leitner
Institut für Technische und Makromolekulare Chemie (ITMC)
RWTH Aachen University
Worringer Weg 2
Aachen 52074 (Germany)

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201800953>

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.




Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: a) H₂O, 80 °C, 16 h, quant.; b) phenylacetylene, CuSO₄·5H₂O, MeOH:H₂O, r.t., 1 h, 71 % c) aldehyde, MgSO₄, THF, 60 °C, 16 h, quant.; d) NaBH₄, EtOH, 75 °C, overnight, 95 %.

nucleophilic substitution of the bromide using sodium azide in water leading to the corresponding 2-azidoethylamine after basic work up. The resulting azide was then reacted with phenylacetylene in presence of catalytic amounts of copper sulfate to give **1** in 71 % overall yield.

Triazoles **2–4** with various substitution patterns at the amino group were considered to investigate how the modification of the electronic properties (sp³ vs. sp² nitrogen), steric hindrance (H vs. benzyl) and denticity of the ligand (bidentate vs. tridentate) would impact the catalytic efficiency of the complex. Triazoles **2** and **3** could be synthesized in quantitative yields by simple condensation of **1** with the corresponding aldehyde in THF at 60 °C for 16 hours. The reduction of imine derivative **2** using sodium borohydride led to the secondary amine **4** in 95 % yield.

The coordination of the different triazoles to manganese was performed using bromopentacarbonylmanganese (I) as a precursor in dry toluene at 100 °C for 16 hours (Scheme 3).



Scheme 3. Synthesis of the Manganese (I) complexes. Reagents and conditions: a) toluene, 100 °C, 16 h.

Thereby, complexes **5–8** could be isolated with high yields ranging between 81 % to 87%. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy corroborated the coordination of the ligand to the d⁶ Mn(I) centers and high-resolution mass spectrometry confirmed the formation of neutral manganese complexes **5**, **6**, and **7** with the bromide atom coordinated to the metal center. Complex **8** exhibits a cationic form due to displacement of the

Table 1. Optimization of reaction condition for acetophenone transfer hydrogenation.^[a]

Entry	[Mn] (mol%)	Base (mol%)	T [°C]	Yield ^[b] [%]
1	5 (1)	–	80	0
2	5 (1)	KO ^t Bu (1)	80	6
3	5 (1)	KO ^t Bu (2)	80	33
4	5 (1)	KO ^t Bu (4)	80	30
5	5 (2)	KO ^t Bu (4)	80	66
6	5 (3)	KO ^t Bu (6)	80	90
7	5 (3)	KO ^t Bu (6)	60	52
8	5 (3)	AcOK (6)	80	72
9	5 (3)	LiHMDS (6)	80	55
10	5 (3)	Et ₃ N (6)	80	8
11	5 (3)	K ₃ PO ₄ (6)	80	44
12	5 (3)	NaOH (6)	80	89
13	6 (3)	KO ^t Bu (6)	80	15
14	7 (3)	KO ^t Bu (6)	80	83
15	8 (3)	KO ^t Bu (6)	80	2

[a] 0.5 mmol acetophenone, 2 mL of ⁱPrOH. [b] Quantified by ¹H NMR using mesitylene as an internal standard.

bromide by the phenolic side arm. Complexes **5–8** were found stable under atmospheric conditions in the solid state. However, once in solution they decompose rapidly in the presence of oxygen.


The catalytic activity of the newly synthesized complexes was investigated in the transfer hydrogenation of ketones, a reaction with large synthetic utility on laboratory and industrial scale. Using acetophenone as benchmark substrate and complex **5** as representative catalyst, the influence of key reaction parameters was investigated as summarized in Table 1. Reactions were carried out in ⁱPrOH as solvent and hydrogen donor using KO^tBu as base under standard conditions. The variation of the metal:base ratio (entries 1–4) showed that the presence of base is mandatory to reduce acetophenone to 1-phenylethanol. Only 6% of yield was obtained using a 1:1 ratio, whereas around 30% of yield could be obtained when using 1:2 and 1:4 ratios (entries 3 and 4). Once the metal:base ratio was optimized, the catalyst loading was modified showing an almost linear relationship between catalyst loading and yield (entries 3, 5 and 6). Optimal conditions were reached using 3 mol% of catalyst loading, yielding to 90% of product. Upon decreasing the temperature (80→60 °C) the yield dropped considerably (90→52%) (entry 7). Next, several organic and inorganic bases were investigated as additives (entries 8–12). While NEt₃ was not effective, lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (LiHMDS) and potassium acetate resulted in moderate to good yields (55% and 72%, respectively). Interestingly, sodium hydroxide was found to perform almost as well as KO^tBu with 89% yield, providing a cost-effective alternative for potential scale-up.

After optimization of the reaction conditions, the aptitude of the different manganese **5–8** complexes to undergo the desired reaction was investigated (entries 6, 13–15). Low yields (2%) were obtained when using catalyst **8**. This may reflect the

presence of the phenol group in the coordination sphere of Mn. In the presence of base, it can be deprotonated forming a very stable chelating phenolate that prevents entry into the catalytic cycle. Interestingly, complexes **6** and **7** exhibit very different catalytic activities (entry 13 vs. 14). Only 15% of yield was obtained in presence of the imino moiety whereas yields up to 83% are observed for the complex bearing an amino moiety. These results strongly suggest an out-sphere mechanism where the proton of amino-ligand is directly involved in the reduction step.

Taken together, the results summarized in Table 1 and together with previous reports from the literature^[10b] are consistent with a reaction mechanism as suggested in Scheme 4. First, the active manganese hydride **I** could be generated in presence of *i*PrOH and the base. Then, both the hydride and the H⁺ from the NH unit can transfer from the Mn center by an outer-sphere mechanism (either concerted or step-wise) to the ketone, resulting in the reduction of the substrate and formation of **II**. The proton transfer may be assisted by the conjugated acid of the base additive, which might explain their influence on yield. Finally, species **II** can dehydrogenate *i*PrOH to give acetone, thereby regenerating the active species **I**.

Having established complex **5** as the most effective catalyst for the benchmark ketone acetophenone, the substrate scope of Mn-aminotriazole transfer hydrogenation reaction was ex-



Scheme 4. Proposed mechanism for the transfer hydrogenation of ketones promoted by manganese aminotriazole complexes.

plored under the optimized reaction conditions using KO^tBu as co-catalyst.

Gratifyingly, catalyst **5** proved to be very versatile for the reduction of aromatic, aliphatic and cyclic ketones tolerating a broad range of functional groups (Table 2). Entry 2–3 show that higher yields can be obtained in the presence of *ortho* substituents on the phenyl ring. *Ortho*-methoxy acetophenone was reduced with 92% yield (entry 2), and nearly quantitative yield of the alcohol was obtained with the acetophenone bearing the electron withdrawing fluorine substituent (entry 3). In contrast, 2-nitroacetophenone could be reduced in only 31% yield. This reflects mainly the low solubility of this compound in 2-propanol leading to a heterogeneous reaction mixture. *Para*-substituted acetophenone derivatives were also explored and similar trends were observed (entries 5–8). For instance, 99% yields were obtained in presence of *para*-methoxy or *para*-chloro groups, and the yield amounted still to 80% when a phenoxy group was present in *para*-position. Again, the analogous *p*-nitroacetophenone remained almost unreacted with only 19% of yield due to the same solubility reasons mentioned above (entry 8). Disubstituted 3,4-dimethoxyacetophenone was converted to the corresponding alcohol also in very good yield of 80% (entry 9). The phenolic substrate 3-hydroxy-4-methoxyacetophenone was not reduced under these reaction conditions again probably due to its low solubility (entry 10).

Excellent results were obtained with 1-acetonaphthone and 2-acetonaphthone with yields over 99% (entries 11–12) further demonstrating the good tolerance of catalyst **5** against steric hindrance for the aromatic ketones. Moderate yields were obtained when 2-acetyl furan was reduced (entry 13). Substituting the methyl group with different aliphatic chains resulted also in high reactivity of the ketones allowing quantitative reduction to the target alcohols (entries 14–15). Benzylidene acetone was hydrogenated at the C=C and C=O double bonds converting it to the saturated alcohol also in high yield (entry 17). In sharp contrast, the ketone functionality was reduced exclusively in furfuryl acetone, indicating again a different behavior of the heteroaromatic group (entry 16). Benzophenone derivatives were hydrogenated with good to excellent yields 78–99% (entries 18–20). Benzoin, however, remained almost unreduced giving low yields of the diol. This may be attributed to deactivation of the catalyst through a strong catalyst/substrate interaction as indicated by an instantaneous color change from yellow to purple upon benzoin addition. No well-defined species could be isolated or characterized.

For aliphatic ketones the yields were somewhat lower than for aromatic ketones. This is most obvious in the direct comparison of cyclohexyl methyl ketone (entry 26, 75% yield) with acetophenone (entry 1, 90% yield). 2-octanone and 3-octanone were reduced with comparable yields (68 vs. 63%), showing a negligible impact of the relative position of the ketone. More sterically demanding *tert*-butyl methylketone could be reduced also in 66% yield. However, the most crowded di-*tert*-butyl ketone showed no reaction (entry 25). Cyclopentanone was hydrogenated in moderate yield (entry 27, 47%)

Table 2. Substrate scope in transfer hydrogenation of ketones catalyzed by Mn-complex 5.^[a]

Entry	Substrate	Product	Yield [%]
1			90 ^[b] 89 ^[c]
2			92 ^[b] 73 ^[c]
3			98 ^[b] 99 ^[c]
4			31 ^[b] 1 ^[c]
5			99 ^[b] 75 ^[c]
6			99 ^[b] 50 ^[c]
7			80 ^[b] 75 ^[c]
8			19 ^[b] 1 ^[c]
9			78 ^[b] 57 ^[c]
10			2 ^[b] 5 ^[c]
11			96 ^[b] 60 ^[c]
12			91 ^[b] 68 ^[c]
13			65 ^[b] 60 ^[c]
14			99 ^[b] 99 ^[c]
15			99 ^[b] 57 ^[c]
16			85 ^[b] 26 ^[c]
17			88 ^[b] 25 ^[c]

Table 2. continued

Entry	Substrate	Product	Yield [%]
18			92 ^[b] 96 ^[c]
19			78 ^[b] 51 ^[c]
20			99 ^[b] 99 ^[c]
21			6 ^[b] 3 ^[c]
22			68 ^[b] 23 ^[c]
23			63 ^[b] 27 ^[c]
24			66 ^[b] 27 ^[c]
25			0 ^[b] 0 ^[c]
26			75 ^[b] 0 ^[c]
27			47 ^[b] 40 ^[c]
28			76 ^[b] 73 ^[c]
29			74 ^[b] 14 ^[c]

[a] 0.5 mmol substrate, 3% mol of 5, 6% mol base, 2 mL of *i*PrOH, 80 °C, 20 h. Quantified by ¹H NMR using mesitylene as internal standard. [b] KO^tBu as a base; [c] NaOH as a base.

while six membered ring ketones reacted more smoothly (entry 28, 76% and entry 29, 74%).

For all substrates, the same protocol was applied after substituting KO^tBu with NaOH as co-catalysts. For a range of substrates, sodium hydroxide constitutes a potential alternative. In particular, this is the case for acetophenone (entry 1), *ortho*- (entries 2–3) and *para*- (entries 5, 7, 9) substituted phenyl rings, 2-acetylfuran (entry 13), propiophenone (entry 14), benzophenone derivatives (entries 18–20) and cyclic ketones (entries 27–28). Generally, however, KO^tBu shows a broader application profile.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that aminotriazole ligands are promising lead structures for the development of efficient manganese (I) catalyst for transfer hydrogenation of ketones. Good to excellent yields could be achieved for a large substrate scope spanning from aromatic to aliphatic ketones in the presence of different functional groups. 2-propanol can be

used as hydrogen donor together with different bases including even NaOH as co-catalyst in certain cases. The catalytic results obtained with a systematic series of ligands suggest an out-sphere hydrogen transfer involving the amino function as proton source. Further mechanistic studies are currently ongoing to elucidate the detailed catalytic cycle.

Experimental Section

General procedure for the catalytic transfer hydrogenation: 2-propanol (2 mL) was added to a mixture of complex **5** (6.1 mg, 0.015 mmol), considered ketone (0.5 mmol), base (0.003 mmol), and mesitylene (0.5 mmol, 70 μ L). The reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 20 h. After this time, the reaction was cooled to room temperature. A sample of 0.2 mL of the mixture was added to 0.6 mL of CDCl₃, filtered over celite, and ¹H-NMR was recorded to determine the yield using the peak of mesitylene as internal standard.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge generous financial supports by the MPG and the RWTH Aachen University as well as additional support by the MANGAN project funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: Catalysis · Manganese Complexes · Reduction of ketones · Transfer Hydrogenation · Triazole Ligands

- [1] a) P. T. Anastas, J. C. Warner, *Green chemistry. Theory and practice* Oxford University Press, Oxford, **1998**; b) P. Anastas, B. Han, W. Leitner, M. Poliakoff, *Green Chem.* **2016**, *18*, 12–13; c) R. A. Sheldon, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2018**, *6*, 32–48; d) H. C. Erythropel, J. B. Zimmerman, T. M. de Winter, L. Petitjean, F. Melnikov, C. H. Lam, A. W. Lounsbury, K. E. Mellor, N. Z. Janković, Q. Tu, L. N. Pincus, M. M. Falinski, W. Shi, P. Coish, D. L. Plata, P. T. Anastas, *Green Chem.* **2018**, *20*, 1929–1961.
- [2] a) P. W. N. M. Leeuwen, *Homogeneous Catalysis. Understanding the Art*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, **2004**; b) J. F. Hartwig, *Organotransition metal chemistry. From bonding to catalysis*, University Science Books, Sausalito, Calif., **2010**; c) B. Cornils, W. A. Herrmann, M. Beller, R. Paciello, *Applied homogeneous catalysis with organometallic compounds*. Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, **2017**.
- [3] a) A. Correa, O. Garcia Mancheno, C. Bolm, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, *37*, 1108–1117; b) K. Junge, K. Schroder, M. Beller, *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 4849–4859; c) L. C. Misal Castro, H. Li, J.-B. Sortais, C. Darcel, *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17*, 2283–2303; d) J. E. Zweig, D. E. Kim, T. R. Newhouse, *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 11680–11752; e) S. Z. Tasker, E. A. Standley, T. F. Jamison, *Nature* **2014**, *509*, 299–309; f) G. Evano, N. Blanchard, M. Toumi, *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 3054–3131; g) P. Gandeepan, C.-H. Cheng, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 1194–1206.
- [4] a) T. Katsuki, *J. Mol. Catal. A* **1996**, *113*, 87–107; b) T. Katsuki, *Synlett* **2003**, 281–297; c) D. A. Valyaev, G. Lavigne, N. Lugan, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *308*, 191–235; d) J. R. Carney, B. R. Dillon, S. P. Thomas, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *2016*, 3912–3929.
- [5] A. Mukherjee, A. Nerush, G. Leitus, L. J. W. Shimon, Y. Ben David, N. A. Espinosa Jalapa, D. Milstein, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 4298–4301.
- [6] S. Elangovan, C. Topf, S. Fischer, H. Jiao, A. Spannenberg, W. Baumann, R. Ludwig, K. Junge, M. Beller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 8809–8814.
- [7] a) S. Kar, A. Goepfert, J. Kothandaraman, G. K. S. Prakash, *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7*, 6347–6351; b) A. Dubey, L. Nencini, R. R. Fayzullin, C. Nervi, J. R. Khusnutdinova, *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7*, 3864–3868; c) F. Bertini, M. Glatz, N. Gorgas, B. Stöger, M. Peruzzini, L. F. Veiros, K. Kirchner, L. Gonsalvi, *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 5024–5029; d) A. Kumar, T. Janes, N. A. Espinosa-Jalapa, D. Milstein, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 12076–12080; e) A. Kaithal, S. Sen, C. Erken, T. Weyhermüller, M. Hölscher, C. Werlé, W. Leitner, in press.
- [8] a) J. Neumann, S. Elangovan, A. Spannenberg, K. Junge, M. Beller, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 5410–5413; b) M. Mastalir, M. Glatz, N. Gorgas, B. Stöger, E. Pittenauer, G. Allmaier, L. F. Veiros, K. Kirchner, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 12316–12320; c) S. Elangovan, J. Neumann, J. B. Sortais, K. Junge, C. Darcel, M. Beller, *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 1–8.
- [9] a) M. Glatz, B. Stöger, D. Himmelbauer, L. F. Veiros, K. Kirchner, *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 4009–4016; b) M. B. Widegren, M. L. Clarke, *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 2654–2658; c) M. Garbe, K. Junge, S. Walker, Z. Wei, H. Jiao, A. Spannenberg, S. Bachmann, M. Scalone, M. Beller, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 11237–11241; *Angew. Chem.* **2017**, *129*, 11389–11393; d) V. Papa, J. R. Cabrero-Antonino, E. Alberico, A. Spanneberg, K. Junge, H. Junge, M. Beller, *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 3576–3585; e) F. Kallmeier, T. Irrgang, T. Dietel, R. Kempe, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 11806–11809; *Angew. Chem.* **2016**, *128*, 11984–11988; f) R. van Putten, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 7531–7534; *Angew. Chem.* **2017**, *129*, 7639–7642.
- [10] a) M. Perez, S. Elangovan, A. Spannenberg, K. Junge, M. Beller, *ChemSusChem* **2017**, *10*, 83–86; b) A. Zirakzadeh, S. R. M. M. de Aguiar, B. Stöger, M. Widhalm, K. Kirchner, *ChemCatChem* **2017**, *9*, 1744–1748.
- [11] a) W. Leitner, A. Kaithal, H. Markus, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, doi:10.1002/anie.201808676; b) A. Kumar, T. Janes, N. A. Espinosa-Jalapa, D. Milstein, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 12076–12080; c) V. Zubar, Y. Lebedev, L. M. Azofra, L. Cavallo, O. El-Sepelgy, M. Rueping, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, doi:10.1002/anie.201805630; d) D. Wei, A. Bruneau-Voisine, D. A. Valyaev, N. Lugan, J.-B. Sortais, *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *54*, 4302–4305; e) F. Kallmeier, B. Dudzic, T. Irrgang, R. Kempe, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 7261–7265; *Angew. Chem.* **2017**, *129*, 7367–7371; f) N. Deibl, R. Kempe, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 1663–1666; *Angew. Chem.* **2017**, *129*, 1685–1688; g) M. B. Widegren, G. J. Harkness, A. M. Z. Slawin, D. B. Cordes, M. L. Clarke, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 5825–5828; *Angew. Chem.* **2017**, *129*, 5919–5922; h) A. Kumar, N. A. Espinosa-Jalapa, G. Leitus, Y. Diskin-Posner, L. Avram, D. Milstein, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 14992–14996; *Angew. Chem.* **2017**, *129*, 15188–15192.
- [12] M. Garbe, K. Junge, M. Beller, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, *2017*, 4344–4362.
- [13] a) D. Wang, A. Bruneau-Voisine, J.-B. Sortais, *Catal. Commun.* **2018**, *105*, 31–36; b) A. Bruneau-Voisine, D. Wang, V. Dorcet, T. Roisnel, C. Darcel, J.-B. Sortais, *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 3656–3659.
- [14] a) S. Hashiguchi, A. Fujii, J. Takehara, T. Ikariya, R. Noyori, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 7562–7563; b) K.-J. Haack, S. Hashiguchi, A. Fujii, T. Ikariya, R. Noyori, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1997**, *36*, 285–288; *Angew. Chem.* **1997**, *109*, 297–300.
- [15] D. Schweinfurth, L. Hettmanczyk, L. Suntrup, B. Sarkar, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2017**, *643*, 554–584.
- [16] M. S. Singh, S. Chowdhury, S. Koley, *Tetrahedron* **2016**, *72*, 5257–5283.
- [17] a) D. L. J. Broere, R. Plessius, J. Tory, S. Demeshko, B. de Bruin, M. A. Siegler, F. Hartl, J. I. van der Vlugt, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 13965–13975; b) S. Paganelli, M. M. Alam, V. Beghetto, A. Scriveranti, E. Amadio, M. Bertoldini, U. Matteoli, *Appl. Catal. A* **2015**, *503*, 20–25; c) K. Q. Vuong, M. G. Timerbulatova, M. B. Peterson, M. Bhadbhade, B. A. Messerle, *Dalton Trans.* **2013**, *42*, 14298–14308; d) R. J. Detz, S. A. Heras, R. de Gelder, P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, H. Hiemstra, J. N. H. Reek, J. H. van Maarseveen, *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 3227–3230; e) E. M. Schuster, M. Botoshansky, M. Gandelman, *Organometallics* **2009**, *28*, 7001–7005.

Manuscript received: June 13, 2018

Accepted Article published: September 14, 2018

Version of record online: October 11, 2018