



Urinary Extracellular Vesicles as a Source of NGAL for Diabetic Kidney Disease Evaluation in Children and Adolescents With Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus

Francisca Ugarte^{1,2,3}, Daniela Santapau⁴, Vivian Gallardo³, Carolina Garfias¹, Anahí Yizmeyián³, Soledad Villanueva³, Carolina Sepúlveda³, Jocelyn Rocco⁵, Consuelo Pasten^{5,6}, Cinthya Urquidi⁷, Gabriel Cavada⁸, Pamela San Martin⁶, Francisco Cano⁹ and Carlos E. Irarrázabal^{5,6*}

¹ Pediatric Endocrinology Unit, Pediatric Service, Clinica Universidad de los Andes, Santiago, Chile, ² Departament of Pediatrics, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de los Andes, Santiago, Chile, ³ Pediatric Endocrinology and Diabetes Unit, Hospital Dr. Exequiel González Cortés, Santiago, Chile, ⁴ Centro de Medicina Regenerativa, Facultad de Medicina, Clinica Alemana-Universidad del Desarrollo, Santiago, Chile, ⁵ Programa de Fisiología, Laboratorio de Fisiología Integrativa y Molecular, Centro de Investigación e Innovación Biomédica (CIIB), Universidad de los Andes, Santiago, Chile, ⁶ School of Medicine, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de los Andes, Santiago, Chile, ⁷ Department of Epidemiology and Health Studies, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de los Andes, Santiago, Chile, ⁸ Department of Public Health, School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile, ⁹ Pediatric Nephrology Unit, Pediatric Service, Hospital Luis Calvo Mackennna, Santiago, Chile

Background: Tubular damage has a role in Diabetic Kidney Disease (DKD). We evaluated the early tubulointerstitial damage biomarkers in type-1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM) pediatric participants and studied the correlation with classical DKD parameters.

Methods: Thirty-four T1DM and fifteen healthy participants were enrolled. Clinical and biochemical parameters [Glomerular filtration Rate (GFR), microalbuminuria (MAU), albumin/creatinine ratio (ACR), and glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c)] were evaluated. Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL), Hypoxia-inducible Factor-1 α (HIF-1 α), and Nuclear Factor of Activated T-cells-5 (NFAT5) levels were studied in the supernatant (S) and the exosome-like extracellular vesicles (E) fraction from urine samples.

Results: In the T1DM, 12% had MAU >20 mg/L, 6% ACR >30 mg/g, and 88% had eGFR >140 ml/min/1.72 m². NGAL in the S (NGAL-S) or E (NGAL-E) fraction was not detectable in the control. The NGAL-E was more frequent (p = 0.040) and higher (p = 0.002) than NGAL-S in T1DM. The T1DM participants with positive NGAL had higher age (p = 0.03), T1DM evolution (p = 0.03), and serum creatinine (p = 0.003) than negative NGAL. The NGAL-E correlated positively with tanner stage (p = 0.0036), the median levels of HbA1c before enrollment (p = 0.045) and was independent of ACR, MAU, and HbA1c at the enrollment. NFAT5 and HIF-1 α levels were not detectable in T1DM or control.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Martina Guthoff, University of Tübingen, Germany

Reviewed by:

Abdurezak Ahmed Abdela, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia Nils Magnusson, Aarhus University Hospital, Denmark Aydin Ece, Dicle University, Turkey

> *Correspondence: Carlos E. Irarrázabal cirarrazabal@uandes.cl

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Clinical Diabetes, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

Received: 15 January 2021 Accepted: 15 November 2021 Published: 03 January 2022

Citation:

Ugarte F, Santapau D, Gallardo V, Garfias C, Yizmeyián A, Villanueva S, Sepúlveda C, Rocco J, Pasten C, Urquidi C, Cavada G, San Martin P, Cano F and Irarrázabal CE (2022) Urinary Extracellular Vesicles as a Source of NGAL for Diabetic Kidney Disease Evaluation in Children and Adolescents With Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus. Front. Endocrinol. 12:654269. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.654269

1

Conclusion: Urinary exosome-like extracellular vesicles could be a new source of early detection of tubular injury biomarkers of DKD in T1DM patients.

Keywords: diabetic kidney disease, type 1 diabetes mellitus, children, NGAL, urinary extracellular vesicles

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes Mellitus (DM) is one of the most prevalent nontransmissible chronic diseases (1). According to a 2019 International Diabetes Federation, the global prevalence of Diabetes is 463 million people and 578 million people will be affected by 2030 (1). Furthermore, Type 1 DM (T1DM) incidence has increased worldwide over the last decades (2–4).

Despite the advances in diabetes treatment, many patients develop diabetic kidney disease (DKD) (5, 6). DKD is a chronic microvascular complication affecting 30% of patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM), 20% of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), and is the most frequent cause of end-stage renal disease (ESRD), morbidity, and mortality (7, 8). DM is the most frequent cause of ESRD accounting for 50% of cases in the developed world and is significantly associated with increased mortality risk (9). The pathogenesis of DKD is multifactorial (10) and has been classically considered as a glomerular disease. DKD is classified according to the levels of proteinuria and glomerular filtration rate (GFR). Microalbuminuria is currently considered the best predictor of the early stages of DKD (11-14). However, recently the literature has described that tubulointerstitial damage appears in the early stages of DKD, contributing to the progression of renal disease (15-17).

Several biomarkers of tubular damage have been proposed for early diagnosis of acute kidney injury (AKI). Neutrophil gelatinaseassociated lipocalin (NGAL) is secreted in high amounts into the urine and blood from tubular cells during AKI before serum creatinine rises (18). In T1DM, NGAL concentration is increased in blood and urine samples before the microalbuminuria condition (19). A positive correlation of NGAL with albumin excretion rate (AER) and hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) has been described (20, 21). In T2DM patients, a high expression of NGAL is a strong predictor of glomerular filtration rate (GFR) impairment (22, 23).

On the other hand, Nuclear Factor of Activated T-cells 5 (NFAT5), a transcriptional factor, is involved in the hypertonicity cellular response (24, 25), renal ischemia, and reperfusion (26, 27), hypoxia (28), and diabetic nephropathy (29). Moreover, the alpha subunit of Hypoxia-inducible Factor 1 (HIF-1 α), involved in various mechanisms of adaptation and survival of hypoxia, is stimulated under hyperglycemia in diabetic rat models (30, 31), and the diabetic patient has shown a loss of cellular adaptation to hypoxia (32).

Exosomes are small extracellular vesicles (30–200 nm) generated from intracellular endosomes, and they act as mediators in cell-to-cell communication, transferring proteins, lipids, DNA, and RNA species (miRNA, mRNA, and tRNAs). The exosomes contain molecules that belong to different cell types (called cargo) and are a new source of biomarkers of different diseases (33–35).

The study aimed to evaluate the correlation of the tubular kidney damage (NGAL) and cell stress (NFAT5 and HIF-1 α) biomarkers present in the urinary extracellular vesicles with classical clinical parameters DKD used in T1DM.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Subjects

The present study is a cross-sectional study. The study group inclusion criteria were children and adolescents with T1DM attended at the Endocrinology and Diabetes Unit at the Dr. Exequiel González Cortés (HEGC) Children's Hospital in Santiago, Chile, aged 2 to 18 years old, more than 12 months with diabetes, without other chronic systemic or kidney disease, or glucocorticoid medication. 57/154 T1DM were not eligible (7 were >18 years old; 34 had less than 12 months of DM, and 12 did not have regular medical evaluation). There were 101/154 participants who met the inclusion criteria. Thus, 40 were randomly selected using the simple randomized method (STATA). Finally, 34/40 T1DM participants completed the study. The Control group included 15 healthy children and adolescents matched by age and sex attending for minor dermatological surgeries at our hospital or healthy volunteers. The present study had the approbation of the Scientific Ethics Committee from the South Metropolitan Public Health Service (Santiago, Chile). The legal guardian signed the Informed Consent for each participant.

Anthropometric Assessment

Weight (SECA[®] scale, 0.1 kg precision) and height (Genentech[®] stadiometer; 1 mm precision) were measured. The height-for-age Z-score according to the World Health Organization (WHO) parameters in children under six years (36) and the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) in patients older than six years (37) were used as criteria. Following the Center for Disease Control (CDC) growth charts, the BMI Z-score was calculated following Center for Disease Control (CDC) growth charts, the BMI Z-score was calculated following Center for Disease Control (CDC) growth charts (38). The pubertal stage was examined by a board-certified Pediatric Endocrinologist, according to Tanner (39). Blood pressure measurements (automatic DINAMAP[®] device) and hypertension (defined as systolic or diastolic blood pressure >95th percentile) for age, sex, and height were taken according to the Fourth Report of High Blood Pressure in Children and adolescents (40).

Diabetes Assessment

The age at diagnosis and time of evolution with T1DM was recorded. Kidney function was established by serum creatinine measurement (COBAS C-501 (Hitachi), microalbuminuria (MAU), and urinary creatinine (COBAS C-501). Estimated Glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated by Schwartzmodified equation (0.413 * height/sCr) (41). The eGFR >140 ml/ min/1.72 m² was considered hyperfiltration. The MAU >20 mg/L (42) and albumin/creatinine ratio (ACR) >30 mg/g (43) were considered positives for kidney dysfunction. The glycosylated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) (CDA-VANTAGE) was measured at the enrolling time. Besides, the median of three or four HbA1c levels measurement one year before the enrolling time was used to evaluate the metabolic control status.

Urine Sample Preparation

A morning urine sample (10–20 ml) was obtained from each patient. A protease inhibitors cocktail (Mini complex, Roche) was incorporated into each urine sample and then stored at -80° C. The urine was centrifuged at 17,000g, 15 min, 4°C). The pellet (cell fraction) was discharged and the supernatant (S1) was maintained at 4°C. Then, the S1 was ultracentrifuged at 200,000g for 1 h at 4°C to produce a pellet enriched in extracellular vesicles (E), and a supernatant free of extracellular vesicles (S). Both fractions were treated with lysis buffer (100 mM Tris–HCl, pH 6.8, 500 mM NaCl, 10% Tween 20, and protease inhibitor). Protein concentration was determined in each sample using the BCA kit (Pierce). Then, a 100 ug of total S or E protein fraction were analyzed by Western blot to establish the expression of NGAL, NFAT5, and HIF-1 α .

Extracellular Vesicles Identification

The presence of extracellular vesicles like exosomes was studied in both urine fractions (E and S) from T1DM and control group by measuring the Flotillin-1 (extracellular vesicle component) levels by Western blot (44) and confirmed by the standard protocol of transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

Electrophoreses and Western Blot Analysis

The expression of Flotillin-1, NGAL, NFAT5, and HIF-1alpha were studied by Western blot according to standard conditions (45). Briefly, the proteins were separated on 7.5-10% polyacrylamide gels and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Invitrogen Carlsbad). Then, the membranes were blocked using 5% milk for 45 min at room temperature. Then, the membranes were probed with anti-Flotillin-1 (Abcam, Ab133497), anti-Lipocalin-2/NGAL (R & D Systems, Inc, MAB-1757), anti-NFAT5 (Thermo Scientific, PAI-023), or anti-HIF-1α (Abcam, Ab113642) antibodies overnight at 4°C. Following three washes with 0.1% Tween-20 in phosphatebuffered saline, the membranes were incubated with secondary [anti-mouse IgG-Alexa Fluor 750 (Thermo, A21037) or anti-rabbit IgG (Alexa Fluor 750, Thermo, A21039)] antibody in a 1:15,000 dilution for 2 h at room temperature. The infra-red fluorescence (IR) imaging was quantified using the Odyssey-CLx (Li-Cor) equipment and the software Image Studio Lite version 5.25 (Li-Cor).

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables are presented as median \pm interquartile range (IQR). To Z-height/Age and Z-BMI/Age the results are expressed as mean \pm SD. The Mann–Whitney test was used to

compare all the continuous variables. To Z-height/Age and Z-BMI/Age t-Test was used. The categorical variables are expressed as frequency and Fisher's exact test was used in the analysis. The correlation between variables was studied using a Spearman test. All data were processed in STATA version 12.0 and p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Clinical and Biochemical Characterization of T1DM and Control Groups

Clinical data from T1DM (n = 34) and control (n = 15) groups are shown in **Table 1**. We did not find significant differences in sex (52.9 vs 33.3% men; p = 0.233), age (14.55 ± 5.50 vs 11.20 ± 4.50 years old; p = 0.070), Tanner stage, height/age Z score (-0.38 ± 0.93 vs -0.34 ± 1.25 ; p = 0.580), BMI Z score (0.66 ± 1.02 vs 0.91 ± 0.78 ; p = 0.390), frequency of systolic arterial hypertension (6/34 vs 1/15; p = 0.410), frequency of diastolic arterial hypertension (0/34 vs 2/15; p = 0.080) or median blood pressure (80.82 ± 9.68 vs 82.07 ± 8.47 mmHg; p = 0.500) in both groups.

In the T1DM group, the age at diabetes diagnosis was 7.05 \pm 7.40 years old (1.0 to 14.5 years). The time from diabetes evolution was 5.30 \pm 6.50 years (1.1 to 17.1 years). The median \pm interquartile range of serum creatinine was 0.56 \pm 0.20 mg/dl, MAU was 1.80 \pm 11.40 mg/L, and ACR was 2.83 \pm 7.55 mg/g (**Table 1**). The 11.8% (4/34) had MAU >20 mg/L, 5.9% (2/34) had ACR >30 mg/g, and 88.2% (30/34) had eGFR higher than 140 ml/min/1.72m². The HbA1c at enrollment was 8.60 \pm 2.70% and the median of HbA1c one year before was 8.00 \pm 2.80%.

Extracellular Vesicles Characterization

Extracellular vesicles were present only in the pellet after ultracentrifugation (E fraction) of urine in T1DM and control groups according to Western blot of flotillin (a well-characterized vesicle marker) and electronic microscopy (**Figure 1A**). Flotillin levels in T1DM were slight less in T1DM than control group (**Figure 1B**, p = 0.045), probably due to purification step by ultracentrifugation. Thus we normalized the expression of NGAL by total expression of proteins.

NGAL, NFAT5, and HIF1 α Expression

The NGAL was detectable in 50% (17/34) of the T1DM group (positive NGAL-S or NGAL-E) but was undetectable in the urine (S or E fractions) from the control group (**Table 1**, **Figure 2**). The NGAL-E was present in 47% (16/34) and NGAL-S in 23.5% (8/34) of the T1DM group. On the other hand, 20.6% (7/34) had detectable NGAL expression in both fractions. Finally, 76.5% (13/17) of T1DM participants with detectable levels of NGAL (S or E fraction) had a normal MAU (lower than 20 mg/L).

The NGAL-E was significantly higher than NGAL-S (NGAL-S: 3,355 \pm 5,835 vs NGAL-E: 7,495 \pm 10,415 AU/100 ug total protein; p = 0.02) (**Table 1** and **Figure 2**). NGAL-E correlated positively with Tanner stage (r = 0.41; p = 0.0036) and median of HbA1c one year before enrollment (r = 0.38; p = 0.048), but not

TABLE 1 | Clinical and biochemical characterization of T1DM and Control participants.

n = 34 n = 15 Sax (male/female) 18/16 5/10 0.233 Age (years) 14.55 ± 5.50 11.20 ± 4.50 0.070 Diagnostic Age (years) 7.05 ± 7.40 – – T1DM evolution (years) 5.30 ± 6.50 – – Z Height for age and sex -0.38 ± 0.393 -0.34 ± 1.25 0.580 Z BMI for age and sex 0.66 ± 1.02 0.91 ± 0.78 0.390 Tanner stage – – – 1 2 0.220 2 0.44 3 0.060 3 1.000 1 2 0.220 2 0.220 0.220 0.220 0.220 0.220 0.220 0.220 0.220 0.220 0.220 0.200		T1DM n = 34	Control n = 15	p-value
Sex (male/female) 18/16 5/10 0.233 Age (years) 14.55 ± 5.50 11.20 ± 4.50 0.070 Diagnostic Age (years) 7.05 ± 7.40 - - TIDM evolution (years) 5.30 ± 6.50 - - Z Height for age and sex -0.38 ± 0.93 -0.34 ± 1.25 0.580 Z BMI for age and sex 0.66 ± 1.02 0.91 ± 0.78 0.380 Tamer stage - - - - 1 6 3 1.000 2 0.220 0.220 2 Mid for age and sex 16 4 0.200 0.220 0.230 - - - 0.200 0.200 0.200 0.200 0.200 0.200 0.200 0.200 0.200 0.200<				
Age (years) 14.55 ± 5.00 11.20 ± 4.50 0.070 Diagnostic Age (years) 7.05 ± 7.40 - - TIDM evolution (years) 5.03 ± 6.50 - - Z Height for age and sex -0.38 ± 0.93 -0.34 ± 1.25 0.580 Z BM for age and sex -0.38 ± 0.93 -0.34 ± 1.25 0.580 Z BM for age and sex -0.38 ± 0.93 -0.34 ± 1.25 0.580 Z BM for age and sex 0.06 ± 1.02 0.91 ± 0.78 0.309 Tanner stage - - - 0.66 3 1.000 2 4 3 0.660 3 1.000 0.20 0.200 0.200 0.200 0.200 0.200 0.200 0.200 0.200 0.200 0.000	Sex (male/female)	18/16	5/10	0.233
Diagnetic Age (years) 7.05 ± 7.40 - - T1DM evolution (years) 5.30 ± 6.50 - - Z Height for age and sex 0.66 ± 1.02 0.91 ± 0.78 0.390 Tanner stage - </td <td>Age (years)</td> <td>14.55 ± 5.50</td> <td>11.20 ± 4.50</td> <td>0.070</td>	Age (years)	14.55 ± 5.50	11.20 ± 4.50	0.070
T1DM wolution (years) 5.30 ± 6.50 - - - Z Height for age and sex -0.38 ± 0.33 -0.34 ± 1.25 0.380 Z BMI for age and sex 0.66 ± 1.02 0.91 ± 0.78 0.390 Tanner stage 6 3 1.000 1 6 3 0.666 3 1 0.666 0.666 3 1 0.666 0.666 3 1 0.666 0.666 3 1 0.666 0.666 3 1 2 0.220 4 3 0.000 0.200 0.800 SBP > p 95 0 2 0.800 0.660 MBP (mmHg) 8.06 ± 2.70 - - Media of HbA1c one year before enrollment (%) 8.06 ± 2.70 - - Serum Creatinine (mg/di) 0.56 ± 0.20 - - - MAU (mg/L) 1.80 ± 11.40 - - - ACN arg/g 2.33 ± 7.55 - - - MAU 320 mg/L 4/34 - -	Diagnostic Age (years)	7.05 ± 7.40	_	-
Z Height for age and sex -0.38 ± 0.93 -0.34 ± 1.25 0.580 Z BMI for age and sex 0.66 ± 1.02 0.91 ± 0.78 0.39 Tanner stage 6 3 1.000 2 4 3 0.660 2 4 3 0.660 3 1 2 0.220 4 3 0.600 0.2020 4 7 3 1.000 5 16 4 0.220 BB >p 95 0 2 0.660 DBP >p 95 0 2 0.600 MBP (mmHg) 8.08 ± 9.68 82.07 ± 8.47 0.500 HbA1c at enrollment time (%) 8.00 ± 2.80 - - Median of HbA1c one year before enrollment (%) 8.00 ± 2.80 - - Serum Creatinine (mg/dl) 0.56 ± 0.20 - - - ACR mg/g 2.83 ± 7.55 - - - GFR (ml/min/1.72 m²) 165 ± 25 - - - MAL = (UA) 8/34 0/15 0.000 NGAL= S(UA)	T1DM evolution (years)	5.30 ± 6.50	_	-
Z BMI for age and sex 0.66 ± 1.02 0.91 ± 0.78 0.390 Tanner stage 1 6 3 1.000 2 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.000 <t< td=""><td>Z Height for age and sex</td><td>-0.38 ± 0.93</td><td>-0.34 + 1.25</td><td>0.580</td></t<>	Z Height for age and sex	-0.38 ± 0.93	-0.34 + 1.25	0.580
Tanner stage 1 3 1.000 2 4 3 0.660 3 1 2 0.220 4 7 3 1.000 5 16 4 0.220 5B > p 95 6 1 0.410 DBP > p 95 6 1 0.410 DBP (mmHg) 80.82 ± 9.68 82.07 ± 8.47 0.500 HbA1c a terrollment time (%) 8.00 ± 2.80 - - Mcdian of HbA1c one year before enrollment (%) 8.00 ± 2.80 - - MAU (mg/L) 1.80 ± 11.40 - - - ACR mg/g 2.83 ± 7.55 - - - ACR mg/g 2.83 ± 7.55 - - - MAU 202 mg/L 4/34 - - - ACR 300 mg/a 2/34 - - - NGAL-S (UA) 8/35 ± 5.835 0 0.0400 NGAL-S (UA) 7.495 ± 10.415 0.0002 0.0002 NGAL-S (UA) 0 0 0.0002 0.0002 NF	Z BMI for age and sex	0.66 ± 1.02	0.91 ± 0.78	0.390
1 6 3 1.000 2 4 3 0.660 3 1 2 0.220 4 7 3 1.000 5 16 4 0.220 SBP > 95 6 1 0.410 DBP > 95 0 2 0.080 MBP (mmHg) 8.08 ± 9.68 82.07 ± 8.47 0.500 HbA1c at enrollment time (%) 8.06 ± 2.70 - - Median of HbA1c one year before enrollment (%) 8.06 ± 2.80 - - Serum Creatinia (mg/dl) 0.56 ± 0.20 - - - MAU (mg/L) 1.80 ± 11.40 - - - ACR mg/g 2.33 ± 7.55 - - - GFR (m/min/1.72 m ²) 165 ± 25 - - - ACR >30 mg/g 2/34 - - - GFR (m/min/1.72 m ²) 163/34 0/15 0.001 NGAL-S (UA) 3/355 ± 5,835 0 0.0040 NGAL-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NGAL-S (UA)	Tanner stage			
2 4 3 0.660 3 1 2 0.220 4 7 3 1.000 5 16 4 0.220 SBP sp 95 6 1 0.410 DBP >p 95 0 2 0.080 MBP (mmHg) 8.082 ± 9.68 82.07 ± 8.47 0.500 HbA1c at enrollment time (%) 8.00 ± 2.80 - - Serum Creatinine (mg/dl) 0.56 ± 0.20 - - ACR mg/g 2.83 ± 7.55 - - - GFR (ml/min/1.72 m ²) 165 ± 25 - - - NGAL = 500 - - - - - ACR mg/g 2.83 ± 7.55 - - - - - ACR s00 mg/g 2/34 -	1	6	3	1.000
3 1 2 0.220 4 7 3 1.000 5 16 4 0.220 5BP >p 95 6 1 0.410 DBP >p 95 0 2 0.080 MBP (mmHg) 80.82 ± 9.68 82.07 ± 8.47 0.500 MbA1c at enrollment time (%) 8.00 ± 2.80 - - Median of HbA1c one year before enrollment (%) 8.00 ± 2.80 - - MaU (mg/L) 1.80 ± 11.40 - - - ACR mg/g 2.83 ± 7.55 - - - GFR (m/min/1.72 m ²) 165 ± 25 - - - MAU >20 mg/L 4/34 - - - ACR agg 2/34 - - - GFR >140 ml/min/m ² 30/34 - - - NGAL-S (UA) 16/34 0/15 0.0400 0 NGAL-S (UA) 3.355 ± 5.835 0 0.0400 0 0.0002 Ihift-acs (UA) 0 0 0 0.0000 0.0002 0.0000	2	4	3	0.660
4 7 3 1.000 5 16 4 0.220 SBP >p 95 6 1 0.410 DBP >p 95 0 2 0.680 MBP (mmHg) 80.82 ± 9.68 82.07 ± 8.47 0.500 HbA1c at enrollment time (%) 8.00 ± 2.80 - - Median of HbA1c one year before enrollment (%) 8.00 ± 2.80 - - Mau (mg/L) 1.80 ± 11.40 - - ACR mg/g 2.83 ± 7.55 - - GFR (ml/min/1.72 m²) 165 ± 25 - - MAU 20 mg/L 4/34 - - ACR >30 mg/g 2/34 - - GFR 140 ml/min/m² 30/34 - - NGAL-5 (UA) 3/355 ± 5,835 0 0.000 NGAL-5 (UA) 0 0 0.000 1.000 HF1α-5 (UA) 0 0 0 1.000 NF475-5 (UA) 0 0 0.000 1.000 NFA75-5 (UA) 0 0 0 1.000 NF475-5 (UA)	3	1	2	0.220
5 16 4 0.20 SBP > 95 6 1 0.410 DBP > 95 0 2 0.000 MBP (mmHg) 8.08.2 ± 9.68 82.07 ± 8.47 0.000 HbA1c at enrollment time (%) 8.00 ± 2.80 - - Median of HbA1c one year before enrollment (%) 8.00 ± 2.80 - - Serum Creatinine (mg/dl) 0.56 ± 0.20 - - MAU (mg/L) 1.80 ± 11.40 - - ACR mg/g 2.83 ± 7.55 - - GFR (ml/min/1.72 m ²) 165 ± 25 - - MAU 20 mg/L 4/34 - - ACR >30 mg/g 2/34 - - GFR 140 ml/min/m ² 30/34 - - NGAL-5 (UA) 3,355 ± 5,835 0 0.0001 NGAL-5 (UA) 0 0 0 0.0002 HIF1α-5 (UA) 0 0 0 0.000 NGAL-5 (UA) 0 0 1.000 <	4	7	3	1.000
SBP >p 95 6 1 0.410 DBP >p 95 0 2 0.080 MBP (mmHg) 80.82 ± 9.68 82.07 ± 8.47 0.500 HbA1c at enrollment time (%) 8.00 ± 2.70 - - Median of HbA1c one year before enrollment (%) 8.00 ± 2.80 - - Serum Creatinine (mg/dl) 0.56 ± 0.20 - - MAU (mg/L) 1.80 ± 11.40 - - ACR mg/g 2.83 ± 7.55 - - GFR (ml/min/1.72 m ²) 165 ± 25 - - MAU 20 mg/L 4/34 - - ACR >300 mg/g 2/34 - - GFR s140 ml/min/m ² 30/34 - - NGAL-S (UA) 3.355 ± 5.835 0 0.0040 NGAL-S (UA) 0 0 0 0 NGAL-S (UA) 0 0 0 0 NGAL-S (UA) 0 0 0 0 NGAL-S (UA) 0 0 0 0<	5	16	4	0.220
DBP > 95 0 2 0.080 MBP (mmHg) 80.82 ± 9.68 82.07 ± 8.47 0.500 HbA1c at enrollment time (%) 8.00 ± 2.80 - - Median of HbA1c one year before enrollment (%) 8.00 ± 2.80 - - MAU (mg/L) 1.80 ± 11.40 - - - ACR mg/g 2.83 ± 7.55 - - - GFR (ml/min/1.72 m ²) 165 ± 25 - - - MAU 20 mg/L 4/34 - - - GFR >140 ml/min/m ² 30/34 - - - NGAL-S (UA) 8/34 0/15 0.040 No40 NGAL-S (UA) 3,355 ± 5,835 0 0.040 No40 NGAL-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 1.000 HIF1α-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA)	SBP >p 95	6	1	0.410
MBP (mmHg) 80.82 ± 9.68 82.07 ± 8.47 0.500 HbA1c at enrollment time (%) 8.60 + 2.70 - - Median of HbA1c one year before enrollment (%) 8.00 ± 2.80 - - Serum Creatinine (mg/dl) 0.56 ± 0.20 - - MAU (mg/L) 1.80 ± 11.40 - - GFR (ml/min/1.72 m²) 165 ± 25 - - MAU >20 mg/L 4/34 - - ACR >30 mg/g 2/34 - - GFR (ml/min/m² 30/34 - - NGAL-S (UA) 16/34 0/15 0.000 NGAL-S (UA) 3,355 ± 5,835 0 0.0002 HIF1α~S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NGAL-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NGAL-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA)	DBP >p 95	0	2	0.080
HbA1c at enrollment time (%) 8.60 + 2.70 - - Median of HbA1c one year before enrollment (%) 8.00 ± 2.80 - - Serum Creatinine (mg/dl) 0.56 ± 0.20 - - MAU (mg/L) 1.80 ± 11.40 - - ACR mg/g 2.83 ± 7.55 - - GFR (ml/min/1.72 m²) 165 ± 25 - - MAU >20 mg/L 4/34 - - ACR >30 mg/g 2/34 - - GFR >140 ml/min/m² 30/34 - - NGAL-S (UA) 8/34 0/15 0.000 NGAL-E (UA) 16/34 0/15 0.000 NGAL-S (UA) 3,355 ± 5,835 0 0.040 NGAL-S (UA) 0 1.000 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0	MBP (mmHg)	80.82 ± 9.68	82.07 ± 8.47	0.500
Median of HbA1c one year before enrollment (%) 8.00 ± 2.80 - - Serum Creatinine (mg/dl) 0.56 ± 0.20 - - MAU (mg/L) 1.80 ± 11.40 - - ACR mg/g 2.83 ± 7.55 - - GFR (ml/min/1.72 m²) 165 ± 25 - - MAU >20 mg/L 4/34 - - ACR s30 mg/g 2/34 - - GFR >140 ml/min/m² 30/34 - - NGAL-S (UA) 8/34 0/15 0.040 NGAL-S (UA) 3,355 ± 5,835 0 0.040 NGAL-S (UA) 3,355 ± 5,835 0 0.040 NGAL-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NGAL-E (UA) 0 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1	HbA1c at enrollment time (%)	8.60 + 2.70	_	-
Serum Creatinine (mg/dl) 0.56 ± 0.20 - - MAU (mg/L) 1.80 ± 11.40 - - ACR mg/g 2.83 ± 7.55 - - GFR (ml/min/1.72 m²) 165 ± 25 - - MAU >20 mg/L 4/34 - - ACR >30 mg/g 2/34 - - GFR >140 ml/min/m² 30/34 - - NGAL-S (UA) 8/34 0/15 0.040 NGAL-S (UA) 3,355 ± 5,835 0 0.040 NGAL-E (UA) 7,495 ± 10,415 0 0.002 HIF1 α -S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (WA) 0 0 1.000	Median of HbA1c one year before enrollment (%)	8.00 ± 2.80	_	-
MAU (mg/L) 1.80 ± 11.40 - - ACR mg/g 2.83 ± 7.55 - - GFR (ml/min/1.72 m²) 165 ± 25 - - MAU >20 mg/L 4/34 - - ACR >30 mg/g 2/34 - - GFR >140 ml/min/m² 30/34 - - NGAL-S (UA) 8/34 0/15 0.040 NGAL-S (UA) 3,355 ± 5,835 0 0.040 NGAL-S (UA) 3,355 ± 5,835 0 0.040 NGAL-E (UA) 7,495 ± 10,415 0 0.040 NGAL-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NGAL-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (WAL-S) <td>Serum Creatinine (mg/dl)</td> <td>0.56 ± 0.20</td> <td>_</td> <td>-</td>	Serum Creatinine (mg/dl)	0.56 ± 0.20	_	-
ACR mg/g 2.83 ± 7.55 - - GFR (ml/min/1.72 m²) 165 ± 25 - - MAU >20 mg/L 4/34 - - ACR >30 mg/g 2/34 - - GFR >140 ml/min/m² 30/34 - - NGAL-S (UA) 8/34 0/15 0.040 NGAL-S (UA) 8/34 0/15 0.040 NGAL-S (UA) 3/355 ± 5/835 0 0.040 NGAL-E (UA) 7,495 ± 10,415 0 0.002 NGAL-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NGAL-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NGAL-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (WA) 0 0 1.000	MAU (mg/L)	1.80 ± 11.40	_	-
GFR (m/min/1.72 m²) 165 ± 25 - - MAU >20 mg/L 4/34 - - - ACR >30 mg/g 2/34 - - - GFR >140 ml/min/m² 30/34 - - - NGAL-S (UA) 8/34 0/15 0.040 NGAL-S (UA) 16/34 0/15 0.040 NGAL-S (UA) 3,355 ± 5,835 0 0.040 NGAL-E (UA) 7,495 ± 10,415 0 0.002 NGAL-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NGAL-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NGAL-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NGAL-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S NGAL-S 0 0 0.0020	ACR mg/g	2.83 ± 7.55	_	-
MAU >20 mg/L 4/34 - - ACR >30 mg/g 2/34 - <td< td=""><td>GFR (ml/min/1.72 m²)</td><td>165 ± 25</td><td>_</td><td>-</td></td<>	GFR (ml/min/1.72 m ²)	165 ± 25	_	-
ACR >30 mg/g 2/34 - - GFR >140 ml/min/m² 30/34 - - NGAL-S (UA) 8/34 0/15 0.040 NGAL-E (UA) 16/34 0/15 0.040 NGAL-S (UA) 3,355 ± 5,835 0 0.040 NGAL-E (UA) 7,495 ± 10,415 0 0.002 HIF1α-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 HIF1α-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 0 NGAL-E vs NGAL-S V 0.020 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0	MAU >20 mg/L	4/34	_	-
GFR >140 ml/min/m ² 30/34 - - NGAL-S (UA) 8/34 0/15 0.040 NGAL-E (UA) 16/34 0/15 0.001 NGAL-S (UA) 3,355 ± 5,835 0 0.040 NGAL-E (UA) 7,495 ± 10,415 0 0.002 HIF1α-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 HIF1α-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 1.000	ACR >30 mg/g	2/34	_	-
NGAL-S (UA) 8/34 0/15 0.040 NGAL-E (UA) 16/34 0/15 0.001 NGAL-S (UA) 3,355 ± 5,835 0 0.040 NGAL-E (UA) 7,495 ± 10,415 0 0.002 HIF1α-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 HIF1α-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 1.000	GFR >140 ml/min/m ²	30/34	_	-
NGAL-E (UA) 16/34 0/15 0.001 NGAL-S (UA) 3,355 ± 5,835 0 0.040 NGAL-E (UA) 7,495 ± 10,415 0 0.002 HIF1α-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 HIF1α-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-F (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 1.000	NGAL-S (UA)	8/34	0/15	0.040
NGAL-S (UA) 3,355 ± 5,835 0 0.040 NGAL-E (UA) 7,495 ± 10,415 0 0.002 HIF1α-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 HIF1α-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 *NGAL-E vs NGAL-S 0 0 1.000	NGAL-E (UA)	16/34	0/15	0.001
NGAL-E (UA) 7,495 ± 10,415 0 0.002 HIF1α-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 HIF1α-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 *NGAL-E vs NGAL-S 0 0 1.000	NGAL-S (UA)	$3,355 \pm 5,835$	0	0.040
HIF1α-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 HIF1α-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 *NGAL-E vs NGAL-S 0 0 0.020	NGAL-E (UA)	7,495 ± 10,415	0	0.002
HIF1α-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 *NGAL-E vs NGAL-S 0 0 0.020	HIF1α-S (UA)	0	0	1.000
NFAT5-S (UA) 0 0 1.000 NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 *NGAL-E vs NGAL-S 0.020 0.020	ΗΙΕΊα-Ε (UA)	0	0	1.000
NFAT5-E (UA) 0 0 1.000 *NGAL-E vs NGAL-S 0.020	NFAT5-S (UA)	0	0	1.000
*NGAL-E vs NGAL-S 0.020	NFAT5-E (UA)	0	0	1.000
	*NGAL-E vs NGAL-S			0.020

SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MBP, mean blood pressure; HbA1c, glycosylated hemoglobin A1c; MAU, microalbuminuria; ACR, Albumin/creatinine urine ratio; GFR, Glomerular filtration rate by Schwartz formula; NGAL, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin; HIF-1α, Hipoxia-inducible factor-1 alpha subunit; NAFT5, Nuclear factor of activated T-cell 5; AU, Arbitrary Unit. Continuous variables are presented as median ± interquartile range (IQR). In the case of The Z height and Z BMI, the data are presented as mean ± SD. The Mann–Whitney test was used for all continuous variables, except for Z height and Z BMI in which t-test was used. The categorical variables are expressed as frequency and their analysis was used Fisher's exact test. *NGAL-E vs NGAL-S considered only the samples with detectable levels of NGAL. Bold values mean differences are statistically significant (p < 0.05).

with HbA1c at enrollment (r = 0.19; p = 0.25), ACR (r = 0.26; p = 0.15), or MAU (r = 0.19; p = 0.28) (**Figure 3**).

We did not find detectable levels of NFAT5 or HIF-1 α in the E or S fraction in urine from both groups (T1DM and controls).

Biochemical Characterization in Patients With Positive NGAL

Comparing positive (n = 17) and negative (n = 17) NGAL in T1MD group (E and/or S fractions) (**Table 2**) we found that positive NGAL subjects were older (15.60 ± 3.10 vs 12.00 ± 5.50 years, p = 0.03), had a longer diabetes evolution (6.60 ± 5.40 vs 4.34 ± 3.10 years, p = 0.03), higher serum creatinine levels (0.64 ± 0.10 vs 0.52 ± 0.12 mg/dl, p = 0.01), and higher median of HbA1c one year before enrollment (8.80 ± 3.00 vs $7.40 \pm 1.30\%$, p = 0.045) than negative NGAL participants. NGAL was not observed with Tanner I pubertal stage (0/17 vs 6/17, p = 0.020) (**Table 2**). We observed a similar frequency of microalbuminuria (2/17 vs 2/17; p = 1.00), ACR (2/17 vs 0/17; p = 0.49), and hyperfiltration (GFR <140 ml/min/m²; 15/17 vs 15/17; p = 1.00) in positive and negative NGAL T1DM participants (**Table 2**). In

addition, we did not find significant differences in the levels of microalbuminuria ($1.90 \pm 10.60 \text{ vs} 1.50 \pm 11.80$; p = 0.39), ACR ($4.17 \pm 6.75 \text{ vs} 1.90 \pm 7.10$; p = 0.230), or hyperfiltration ($162 \pm 27 \text{ vs} 165 \pm 21$; p = 0.620) in both participants group (**Table 2**).

DISCUSSION

Our results showed that NGAL, a biomarker of kidney tubular injury, is present in the urinary exosomal vesicles fraction in T1DM children and adolescents, suggesting its potential as an early biomarker of DKD. Interestingly, NGAL was detected only in T1DM children, with normal kidney parameters in some of them (MAU, ACR, and GFR), supporting the current idea that tubular damage is present in the early step of DKD (15, 16).

In the early stages of DKD, tubulointerstitial damage has been demonstrated by other methods. Histological changes at tubular and interstitial levels include hyperplasia and hypertrophy of tubular cells, followed by atrophy, tubular and peritubular dilatation, interstitial inflammation with mononuclear



enriched extracellular fractions (E) generated by ultracentrifugation.



FIGURE 2 | The NGAL level in urinary exosome enriched fraction is higher in T1DM than in control participants. Urine samples were processed to purify the extracellular vesicles by ultracentrifugation. The NGAL levels were studied by Western blot in the supernatant and the exosomes fraction (100 ug of total proteins). Upper panel representative picture of the two bands of NGAL (25 and 50 KDa). The lower panel is a graph with the NGAL signal. Arbitrary Unit (Median \pm SEM; *p < 0.05).

infiltration and glycogen accumulation. These findings appear even before glomerular changes and before microalbuminuria are detected (46–48). Multiple mechanisms have been involved as a cause of this tubular damage (49–51).

NGAL is considered a biomarker of tubular injury in the early stages of DKD and is likely good biomarker of this disease (52– 54). Thus, current recommendations based on microalbuminuria for early detection of incipient DKD must be improved with the detection of tubulointerstitial injury biomarkers.

Here we show the first evidence that NGAL is present in enriched extracellular vesicles fractions obtained by ultracentrifugation of urine (47%; 16/34) from T1DM participants. We also found that the NGAL in the extracellular vesicles fraction was higher than the NGAL in urine without exosomes. In addition, NGAL in extracellular vesicles correlates with age, T1DM evolution, and serum creatinine levels, suggesting that extracellular vesicles could be used as a new source to detect early tubular damage during diabetic kidney disease.

NGAL is one of the most studied biomarkers of tubular damage, is secreted in high amounts into the urine and blood from tubular cells in acute kidney injury (AKI) even before creatinine rises (53, 54). In a NGAL mouse model locus, NGAL shows a sensitive, rapid, dose-dependent, reversible, organ, and cellular specific relationship with tubular stress (55).

In diabetic adolescents and adults, high levels of NGAL in blood and urine samples have been found before rising the microalbuminuria (42, 43). In addition, a positive correlation



FIGURE 3 | Correlation analysis between NGAL-E and kidney parameters. (A) NGAL vs ACR; (B) NGAL vs MAU; (C) NGAL vs HbA1c one year before enrollment, and (D) NGAL vs Tanner Stage. The correlation between variables was studied by Spearman test.

between NGAL, albumin excretion rate (AER), and glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) has been described in T2DM (22). Also, in T2DM, high levels of NGAL are predictive of impaired glomerular filtration rate (GFR) (50). Lacquaniti et al. (21), detected higher levels of urinary NGAL in T1DM than control (25.5 vs 6.5 ng/ml, p <0.0001; 50 T1DM and 35 controls). Similarly, Nielsen et al. (22) detected urinary NGAL levels significantly higher (146 vs 74 pg/mmol) in T1DM subjects (58 patients with normoalbuminuria and 55 controls). Additionally, a significant increase in urinary NGAL values was associated with increased albuminuria levels.

However, a few studies have investigated the expression of NGAL in children and adolescents. Papadopoulos et al. (56) studied serum NGAL in 57 T1DM children and 45 healthy participants, finding elevated levels of NGAL in the T1DM group (67.6 + 27.9 vs 24.6 + 15.8 ng/ml, p <0.001). Yuruk et al. (52) using centrifuged urinary samples (72 T1DM and 38 healthy children), found that urinary NGAL levels were significantly higher in T1DM compared to controls (100.16 \pm 108.28 vs 21.46 \pm 18.59 ng/ml; p = 0.0001). A positive correlation between urinary NGAL and MAU (r = 0.344, p = 0.002) was also described. Hafez et al. (57) found that urinary NGAL was present in 31.6% normoalbuminuric and 75% microalbuminuric patients, with a

positive correlation between urinary NGAL and ACR, HbA1c, and time of T1DM evolution (50 children with T1DM for 5 or more years and 18 healthy controls).

Previous studies show that urinary extracellular vesicles better reflect the underlying protein, lipids, and mRNA changes in the kidney than whole urine samples (58). The exosomes-like extracellular vesicles allow a more certain knowledge of the internal metabolic cell status and have been used for diagnostic purposes in different diseases: pregnant cholestasis (59), risk of gestational diabetes mellitus (60), and kidney transplantation graft dysfunction (61, 62). The composition of molecules in the extracellular vesicles surface membrane and its content varies according to their cell of origin (63) allowing studying specific cell damage.

We previously, published that NGAL is present in the exosomal fraction of urine from kidney transplanted patients and it was associated with delayed graft function (62). Here we provided the first evidence to propose that exosomal-NGAL is a better early biomarker of DKD than free-NGAL in children and adolescents with T1DM. In our T1DM patients, 50% of children and adolescents had detectable NGAL (E and/or S fraction), 11% had MAU >20 mg/L, and 5.9% elevated ACR, suggesting that this tubular injury biomarker appears when the classic glomerular

TABLE 2 | Clinical and biochemical characterization of T1DM participant with positive NGAL and negative NGAL.

	NGAL (+) n = 17	NGAL (–) n = 17	p-value
Sex (male/female)	10/7	8/9	0.730
Age (years)	15.60 ± 3.10	12.00 ± 5.50	0.030
Age at Diagnostic (years)	8.00 + 8.00	7.00 + 5.00	0.640
T1DM evolution (years)	6.60 + 5.40	4.34 + 3.10	0.030
Z Height for age and sex	-0.34 ± 1.19	-0.42 ± 0.64	0.980
Z IMC for age and sex	0.76 ± 0.83	0.55 ± 1.20	0.570
Tanner stage			
1	0	6	0.020
2	1	3	0.600
3	0	1	1.000
4	5	2	0.390
5	11	5	0.080
SBP >p 95	4/17	2/17	0.660
DBP >p 95	0	0	1.000
MBP	84.00 ± 9.81	78.00 ± 11.00	0.090
HbA1c at enrollment (%)	9.20 + 3.20	8.20 + 1.70	0.160
Median of HbA1c one year before enrollment (%)	8.80 ± 3.00	7.40 + 1.30	0.045
Serum Creatinine (mg/dl)	0.64 ± 0.10	0.52 ± 0.12	0.010
MAU (mg/L)	1.90 ± 10.60	1.50 ± 11.80	0.390
ACR mg/g	4.17 ± 6.75	1.90 ± 7.10	0.230
GFR (ml/min/1.72 m ²)	162 ± 27	165 ± 21	0.620
MAU >20	2/17	2/17	1.000
ACR >30	2/17	0/17	0.490
GFR >140 ml/min/m ²	15/17	15/17	1.000

SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MBP, mean blood pressure; HbA1c, glicosylated hemoglobin A1c; MAU, microalbuminuria; ACR, Albumin/creatinine urine ratio; GFR, glomerular filtration rate by modified Schwartz formula for children. Continuous variables are presented as median ± interquartile range (IQR). In the case of The Z height and Z BMI, the data are presented as mean ± SD. The Mann–Whitney test was used for all continuous variables, except for Z height and Z BMI in which t-test was used. The categorical variables are expressed as frequency and their analysis was used Fisher's exact test. Bold values mean differences are statistically significant (p < 0.05).

biomarkers of DKD are not present, this finding is agreeing to previous publication (22, 50). In the last decade, MAU has been questioned about its predictive value for DKD, because almost 50% of microalbuminuric patients regress to normoalbuminuria at 7 years follow-up (64, 65), and not all microalbuminuric patients progress gradually to ESRD (66). In addition, the nonalbuminuric DKD phenotype has been described recently (67).

We found that NGAL in the enriched extracellular vesicles fraction was significantly higher than the supernatant fraction, similar to our previous results in delayed graft dysfunction in kidney transplantation (62). The latter supports the idea that extracellular vesicles are a new and important source to explore early tubular injury biomarker.

The NGAL in E and/or S fraction was higher in older participants with higher years of T1DM evolution and showed a positive correlation with the median of the HbA1c one year before enrollment, serum creatinine, and Tanner stage, but not with ACR, MAU, GFR, and HbA1 at enrollment, supporting previous findings that NGAL could be an early biomarker of DKD (46, 52).

Although most of the 17 with positive NGAL participants had a long evolution of T1DM, seven participants had less than 5 years of a T1DM diagnosis, suggesting that tubular compromise could appear in early states of T1DM. Interestingly, the MAU and ACR were normal in almost all the T1DM group, pointing out the relevance of having earlier biomarkers of kidney damage. Creatinine was normal in all T1DM participants, but hyperfiltration was present in 88% of T1DM participants. The high levels of HbA1c, with an estimated glucose level over 200 mg/dl, could explain the high incidence of hyperfiltration and as it has been described before, we did not find a correlation between NGAL and hyperfiltration (57).

In our study, we did not find a detectable signal of NGAL in the control group by Western blot in the S or E fractions. However, the literature has described detectable levels of NGAL in healthy controls by ELISA (52, 57). The potential explanation for these differences is the technique used (Western blot vs ELISA) and the antibody sensitivity to detect NGAL. Because we were interested in studying the extracellular vesicles fraction, we included two centrifugation steps: a regular centrifugation to eliminate cell fraction and ultracentrifugation to produce an S or E fraction. We used Western blot because this technique has been used to measure extracellular vesicles cargo for early detection of tubular injury in other kidney diseases and kidney transplantation grafts (44, 61, 62) and because there is not an ELISA kit for NGAL in the extracellular vesicle. We did not find other studies in diabetic participants using extracellular vesicle protein expression analysis.

Finally, we studied NFAT5 as a biomarker of hypertonicity and HIF-1 α as a biomarker of hypoxia conditions because both molecules are closely related to diabetes (24, 30). Previously, we described that NFAT5 increase in kidneys subjected to renal ischemia and reperfusion injury in rats and mice (27, 68). In addition, NFAT5 had a role during diabetic complications such as atherosclerosis, diabetic nephropathy, and retinopathy (69). We have published the upregulation of Hif-1 α in the kidney during renal ischemia and reperfusion injury in rats (68). Recently, the

downregulation of glomerular filtration rate (GFR) observed during diabetic nephropathy was associated with Hif-1 α . The Hif-1 α upregulation may be involved in renal interstitial fibrosis in Diabetic Nephropathy (70). Here we described the first evidence intended to measure the levels of NFAT5 and Hif-1 α in the soluble or extracellular vesicle fraction of urine. However, in our model, NFAT5 and HIF-1 α expression was not detectable in the S or E fractions of urine (T1DM or control group). This suggests that NFAT5 and HIF-1 α are not detectable by western blot in extracellular vesicles fraction of urine.

The limitations of our study are associated with a small sample size (34 T1DM and 15 controls), cross-sectional design, and only one-time determination. However, the strength of this study is to provide the first evidence that NGAL is present in the extracellular vesicles (exosomes) in the urine of DM individuals. In addition, NGAL-E is detectable when the free NGAL (not associated with extracellular vesicles) was undetected in urine. On the other hand, our findings allow us to propose the extracellular vesicles urine fraction as a new tool to identify early biomarkers of DKD in T1DM.

In conclusion, in our cross-sectional case-control study, NGAL was detectable in the urine fractions in 50% of children and adolescents with Type 1 diabetes mellitus, strongly suggesting tubular injury. In the control group, undetectable levels of NGAL were observed. We provide the first evidence that NGAL is present in the urinary enriched extracellular vesicles fraction (NGAL-E) in children and adolescent with T1DM and the NGAL levels were significantly higher than NGAL in urine without extracellular vesicles fractions (NGAL-S). Interestingly, NGAL-E did not correlated with albumin/creatinine ratio and HbA1c at time of enrollment. NGAL-E was present in patients without microalbuminuria or with normal albumin creatinine ratio, suggesting that NGAL extracellular vesicles precede classical parameters of early DKD. Therefore, we provided the first evidence to propose that exosomal-NGAL is a promising early biomarker of DKD and is better than free-NGAL in children and adolescents with T1DM. More studies are necessary to determine if NGAL-E has a predictive value for DKD progression and ESRD evolution.

REFERENCES

- 1. International Diabetes Federation. (2019). Brussels, Belgium. Available at: http://www.diabetesatlas.org.
- Karvonene M, Viik-Kajander M, Moltchanova E, Libman I, LaPorte R, Tuomilehto J. Incidence of Childhood Type 1 Diabetes Worldwide. Diabetes Mondiale (DiaMond) Project Group. *Diabetes Care* (2000) 10:1516–26. doi: 10.2337/diacare.23.10.1516
- Carrasco E, Angel B, Codner E, García D, Ugarte F, Bruzzone ME, et al. Incidencia De Diabetes Mellitus Tipo 1 En Santiago De Chile: Análisis Por Comunas De La Región Metropolitana En El Período 2000-2004. *Rev Méd Chile* (2006) 134:1258-64. doi: 10.4067/S0034-98872006001000007
- Garfias CP, Borzutzky A, Ugarte MF, García HJ, Phingsthorn M, García HG. Mandatory Notifications of Type 1 Diabetes Incident Cases in Chilean Children, 2006 to 2014: A Population-Based Study. *Pediatr Diabetes* (2020) 21(1):48–52. doi: 10.1111/pedi.12937
- 5. De Fronzo RA. Diabetic Nephropathy: Etiologic and Therapeutic Considerations. *Diabetes Rev* (1995) 3:510–64.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Scientific Ethics Committee from the South Metropolitan Public Health Service (Santiago-Chile). Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

FU and CEI conceived the experiments. VG, CG, AY, SV, and CS Collected sample. DS and JR Performed the experiments. FU, CEI, GC, PSM, and CU analyzed the data. FU, CEI, and FC helped perform the analysis with constructive discussions. FU and CEI contributed reagents, material and analysis tools. FU, CEI, and CP wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

FAI 292012 (Research Support Funds), Universidad de los Andes, Santiago, Chile). FONDECYT-1151157

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank our participants for their contribution and interest. We also thank Josefina Munita, a nurse from the Diabetes Mellitus Program, for her collaboration in logistic issues.

- Tuttle KR, Bakris GL, Bilous RW, Chiang JL, de Boer IH, Goldstein-Fuchs J, et al. Diabetic Kidney Disease: A Report From an ADA Consensus Conference. *Am J Kidney Dis* (2014) 64(4):510–33. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2014.08.001
- Mauer M, Drummond K. The Early Natural History of Nephropathy in Type 1 Diabetes: I Study Design and Baseline Characteristic of Study Participants. *Diabetes* (2002) 5:1572–9. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.51.5.1572
- Gross JL, Azevedo MJ, Silveiro SP, Canani LE, Caramori ML, Zelmanovitz T. Diabetic Nephropathy: Diagnosis, Prevention, and Treatment. *Diabetes Care* (2005) 28:176–88. doi: 10.2337/diacare.28.1.164
- Humphry LL, Ballard DJ, Frohnert PP, Chu CP, O'Fallon WM, Palumbo PJ. Chronic Kidney Failure in Non-Insulin Dependent Diabetes Mellitus. A Population-Based Study in Rochester, Minnesota. Ann Int Med (1989) 111:788–96. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-111-10-788
- Viberti G, Wisemwn M, Pinto J, Messent J. Diabetic Neprhopathy. In: CK Kahn, CG War, editors. *Joslin Diabetes Mellitus*, 13th ed, vol. Philadelphia: Lea & Febringer (1994). p. 692–726.
- Caramori ML, Fioretto P, Mauer M. The Need for Early Predictors of Diabetic Nephropathy Risk. Is Albumin Excretion Rate Sufficient? *Diabetes* (2000) 49:1399–408. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.49.9.1399

- Donaghue K, Marcovecchio L, Wadwa RP, Chew E, Wong T, Calliari LE, et al. ISPAD Clinical Practice Consensus Guidelines 2018: Microvascular and Macrovascular Complications in Children and Adolescents. *Pediatr Diabetes* (2018) 27:262–74. doi: 10.1111/pedi.12742
- Chiang J, Maahs D, Garvey K, Hood K, Laffel L, Weinzimer S, et al. Type 1 Diabetes in Children and Adolescents: A Position Statement by the American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care* (2018) 41:2026–44. doi: 10.2337/dci18-0023
- American Diabetes Association. Standards of Medical Care 2019. Microvascular Complications and Foot Care. *Diabetes Care* (2019) 42 (Suppl. 1):S124–38. doi: 10.2337/dc19-S011
- Zeni L, Norden AGW, Cancarini G, Unwin RJ. A More Tubulocentric View of Diabetic Kidney Disease. J Nephrol (2017) 6:701–17. doi: 10.1007/s40620-017-0423-9
- Nielsen R, Mollet G, Esquivel EL, Weyer K, Nielsen PK, Antignac C, et al. Increased Lysosomal Proteolysis Counteracts Protein Accumulation in the Proximal Tubule During Focal Segmental Glomerulosclerosis. *Kidney Int* (2013) 84(5):902–10. doi: 10.1038/ki.2013.218
- Guo JK, Marlier A, Shi H, Shan A, Ardito TA, Du ZP, et al. Increased Tubular Proliferation as an Adaptive Response to Glomerular Albuminuria. J Am Soc Nephrol JASN (2013) 3:429–37. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2011040396
- Devarajan P. Emerging Biomarkers of Acute Kidney Injury. Contrib Nephrol (2007) 156:203–12. doi: 10.1159/000102085
- Nielsen SE, Schjoedt KJ, Astrup AS, Tarnow L, Lajer M, Hansen R, et al. Neutrophil Gelatinase-Associated Lipocalin (NGAL) and Kidney Injury Molecule 1 (KIM1) in Participants With Diabetic Nephropathy: A Cross-Sectional Study and the Effects of Lisinopril. *Diabetes Med* (2010) 27:1144–50. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-5491.2010.03083.x
- Zachwieja J, Soltysiak J, Fichna P, Lipkowska K. Normal-Range Albuminuria Does Not Exclude Nephropathy in Diabetic Children. *Pediatr Nephrol* (2010) 25:1445–51. doi: 10.1007/s00467-010-1443-z
- Lacquaniti A, Donato V, Pintaudi B, Di Veste G, Chirico Vyc. "Normoalbuminuric" Diabetic Nephropathy: Tubular Damage and NGAL. *Acta Diabetol* (2013) 50(6):935–42. doi: 10.1007/s00592-013-0485-7
- Fu WJ, Xiong SL, Fang YG, Wen S, Chen ML, Deng RT, et al. Urinary Tubular Biomarkers in Short-Term Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Participants: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Endocrinology* (2012) 41:82–8. doi: 10.1007/s12020-011-9509-7
- Nielsen S, Reinhard H, Zdunek D, Hess G, Gutierrez O, Wolf M, et al. Tubular Markers are Associated With Decline in Kidney Function in Proteinuric Type 2 Diabetic Participants. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* (2012) 97:71–6. doi: 10.1016/ j.diabres.2012.02.007
- Chen Y, Schnetz MP, Irarrazabal CE, Shen RF, Williams CK, Burg MB, et al. Proteomic Identification of Proteins Associated With the Osmoregulatory Transcription Factor TonEBP/OREBP: Functional Effects of Hsp90 and PARP-1. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol (2007) 292:3, F981–F992. doi: 10.1152/ ajprenal.00493.2005
- Irarrazabal CE, Liu JC, Burg MB, Ferraris JD. ATM, a DNA Damage-Inducible Kinase, Contributes to Activation by High NaCl of the Transcription Factor NFAT5/OREBP. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2004) 101:8809–14. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0403062101
- Villanueva S, Suazo C, Santapau D, Pérez F, Quiroz M, Carreño JE, et al. NFAT5 Is Activated by Hypoxia: Role in Ischemia and Reperfusion in the Rat Kidney. *PloS One* (2012) 7:e39665. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0039665
- Pasten C, Alvarado C, Rocco J, Contreras L, Aracena P, Liberona J, et al. L-NIL Prevents the Ischemia and Reperfusion Injury Involving TLR-4, GST, Clusterin, and NFAT-5 in Mice. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* (2019) 316: F624–34. doi: 10.1152/ajprenal.00398.2018
- Serman Y, Fuentealba RA, Pasten C, Rocco J, Ko BCB, Carrión F, et al. Emerging New Role of NFAT5 in Inducible Nitric Oxide Synthase in Response to Hypoxia in Mouse Embryonic Fibroblast Cells. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* (2019) 317:C31–8. doi: 10.1152/ajpcell.00054.2019
- Yang B, Hodgkinson A, Oates P, Moo Kwon H, Millward B, Demaine A. Elevated Activity of Transcription Factor Nuclear Factor of Activated T-Cells 5 (NFAT5) and Diabetic Nephropathy. *Diabetes* (2006) 55:1450–5. doi: 10.2337/db05-1260
- Bento CF, Pereira P. Regulation of Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1 and the Loss of the Cellular Response to Hypoxia in Diabetes. *Diabetologia* (2011) 54:1946– 56. doi: 10.1007/s00125-011-2191-8

- 31. Makino H, Miyamoto Y, Sawai K, Mori K, Mukoyama M, Nakao Kyc. Altered Gene Expression Related to Glomerulogenesis and Podocyte Structure in Early Diabetic Nephropathy of Db/Db Mice and Its Restoration by Pioglitazone. *Diabetes* (2006) 55:2747–56. doi: 10.2337/db05-1683
- 32. Thangarajah H, Yao D, Chang EI, Shi Y, Jazayeri L, Vial IN, et al. The Molecular Basis for Impaired Hypoxia-Induced VEGF Expression in Diabetic Tissues. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* (2009) 106(32):13505–10. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.0906670106
- Rashed M, Bayraktar E, Helal G, Abd-Ellah M, Amero P, Chavez-Reyes A, et al. Exosomes: From Garbage Bins to Promising Therapeutic Targets. Int J Mol Sci (2017) 18:538. doi: 10.3390/ijms18030538
- 34. Valadi H, Ekström K, Bossio A, Sjöstrand M, Lee JJ, Lötvall JO, et al. Exosome-Mediated Transfer of mRNAs and microRNAs Is a Novel Mechanism of Genetic Exchange Between Cells. *Nat Cell Biol* (2007) 9:654– 9. doi: 10.1038/ncb1596
- Tkach M, Thery C. Communication by Extracellular Vesicles: Where We are and Where We Need to Go. *Cell* (2016) 164:1226–32. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.01.043
- 36. WHO. Child Growth Standards (2006). Available at: http://www.who.int/childgrowth/standards/es/.
- Hamill PV, Drizd TA, Johnson CL, Reed RB, Roche AF, Moore WM. Physical Growth National Center for Health Statistics Percentiles. *Am J Clin Nutr* (1979) 32:607–29. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/32.3.607
- CDC. Clinical Growth Charts (2000). Available at: www.cdc.gov/nchs/about/ major/nhanes/growthcharts/clinical_charts.htm.
- 39. Tanner JM. Growth at Adolescence. 2nd ed. Oxford UK: Blackwele (1962).
- 40. National High Blood Pressure Education Program Working Group on High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents. The Fourth Report on the Diagnosis, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents. *Pediatrics* (2004) 114(2 Suppl 4th Report):555–76. doi: 10.1542/ peds.114.2.S2.555
- Schwartz GJ, Muñoz A, Schneider MF, Mak RH, Kaskel F, Warady BA, et al. New Equations to Estimate GFR in Children With CKD. J Am Soc Nephrol (2009) 20:629–37. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2008030287
- Gallardo V, Ugarte F, Barrera A, Godoy C, Pereira A, Eblen E. Pesquiza Precoz De Nefropatía Diabetica En Niños Y Adolescentes Portadores De Diabetes Mellitus Tipo 1. *Rev Chil Pediatr* (2005) 76:252–8. doi: 10.4067/S0370-41062005000300003
- Silverstein J, Klingensmith G, Copeland K, Plotnick L, Kaufman F, Laffel L, et al. Care of Children and Adolescents With Type 1 Diabetes. A Statement of the American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care* (2005) 8:186–212. doi: 10.2337/diacare.28.1.186
- 44. Zhou H, Cheruvanky A, Hu X, Matsumoto T, Hiramatsu N, Cho ME, et al. Urinary Exosomal Transcription Factors, a New Class of Biomarkers for Kidney Disease. *Kidney Int* (2008) 74:613–21. doi: 10.1038/ki.2008.206
- 45. Irarrazabal CE, Liu JC, Burg MB, Ferraris JD. ATM, a DNA Damage-Inducible Kinase, Contributes to Activation by High NaCl of the Transcription Factor TonEBP/OREBP. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2004) 101:8809–14. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0403062101
- 46. Brito PL, Fioretto P, Drummond K, Kim Y, Steffes MW, Basgen JM, et al. Proximal Tubular Basement Membrane Width in Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus. *Kidney Int* (1998) 53(3):754–61. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1755.1998.00809.x
- Pourghasem M, Shafi H, Babazadeh Z. Histological Changes of Kidney in Diabetic Nephropathy. *Caspian J Intern Med* (2015) 3:120–7.
- Remuzzi G, Ruggenenti P, Benigni A. Understanding the Nature of Kidney Disease Progression. *Kidney Int* (1997) 1:2–15. doi: 10.1038/ki.1997.2
- Anders HJ, Davis JM, Thurau K. Nephron Protection in Diabetic Kidney Disease. N Engl J Med (2016) 375(21):2096–8. doi: 10.1056/NEJMcibr1608564
- Gilbert RE, Cooper ME. The Tubulointerstitium in Progressive Diabetic Kidney Disease: More Than an After- Math of Glomerular Injury? *Kidney Int* (1999) 5:1627–37. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1755.1999.00721.x
- Tessari P. Nitric Oxide in the Normal Kidney and in Participants With Diabetic Nephropathy. J Nephrol (2015) 3:257–68. doi: 10.1007/s40620-014-0136-2
- ŸřkYıldırım Z, Nayır A, Yılmaz A, GedikbaşI A, Bundak R. Neutrophil Gelatinase-Associated Lipocalin as an Early Sign of Diabetic Kidney Injury in Children. J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol (2015) 4:274–9. doi: 10.4274/ jcrpe.2002

- Herget-Rosenthal S, Metzger J, Albalat, Bitsika V, Mischak H. Proteomic Biomarkers for the Early Detection of Acute Kidney Injury. *Prilozi* (2012) 1:27–48. doi: 10.5772/45624
- Ismaili Z, Paijan A, Zappitelli M. Biomarkers of Acute Kidney Injury in Children: Discovery, Evaluation and Clinical Application. *Pediatr Nephrol* (2011) 1:29–40. doi: 10.1007/s00467-010-1576-0
- Paragas N, Qiu A, Zhang Q, Samstein B, Shi-Xian Deng SX, Schmidt-Ott KM, et al. The Ngal Reporter Mouse Detects the Response of the Kidney to Injury in Real Time. *Nat Med* (2011) 17:216–22. doi: 10.1038/nm.2290
- Papadopoulou-Marketou N, Margeli A, Papassotiriou I, Chrousos GP, Kanaka-Gantenbein C, Wahlberg J. NGAL as an Early Predictive Marker of Diabetic Nephropathy in Children and Young Adults With Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus. J Diabetes Res (2017) 2017:7526919. doi: 10.1155/2017/7526919
- 57. Hafez MH, El-Mougy F, Makar SH, Abd El Shaheed S. Detection of an Earlier Tubulopathy in Diabetic Nephropathy Among Children With Normoalbuminuria. *IJKD* (2015) 9:126–31.
- Gudehithlu KP, Garcia-Gomez I, Vernik J, Brecklin C, Kraus M, Cimbaluk DJ, et al. Singh In Diabetic Kidney Disease Urinary Exosomes Better Represent Kidney Specific Protein Alterations Than Whole Urine. *Am J Nephrol* (2015) 42:418–24. doi: 10.1159/000443539
- Jiang P-Y, Zhu X-J, Jiang R-A, Zhang Y-N, Liu L, Yang XF. MicroRNAs Derived From Urinary Exosomes Act as Novel Biomarkers in the Diagnosis of Intrahepatic Cholestasis of Pregnancy. Am J Transl Res (2019) 11(9):6249–61.
- Monteiro LJ, Varas-Godoy M, Monckeberg M, Realini O, Hernandez M, Rice G, et al. Oral Extracellular Vesicles in Early Pregnancy can Identify Participants at Risk of Developing Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *PloS One* (2019) 4:e0218616. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0218616
- 61. Cappuccilli M, Capelli I, Comai G, Cianciolo G, La Manna G. Neutrophil Gelatinase-Associated Lipocalin as a Biomarker of Allograft Function After Kidney Transplantation: Evaluation of the Current Status and Future Insights. *Artif Organs* (2018) 42(1):8–14. doi: 10.1111/aor.13039
- Alvarez S, Suazo C, Boltansky A, Ursu M, Carvajal D, Innocenti G, et al. Urinary Exosomes as a Source of Kidney Dysfunction Biomarker in Kidney Transplantation. *Transplant Proc* (2013) 45:3719–23. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2013.08.079
- Merchant ML, Rood IM, Deegens JK, Klein JB. Isolation and Characterization of Urinary Extracellular Vesicles: Implications for Biomarker Discovery. *Nat Rev Nephrol* (2017) 13(12):731–49. doi: 10.1038/nrneph.2017.148
- 64. Giorgino F, Laviola L, Carvallo Perin P, Solnica B, Fuller J, Chaturvedi N. Factors Associated With Progression to Macroalbuminuric Type 1 Diabetic Participants, the EURODIAB Prospective Complications Study. *Diabetologia* (2004) 47:1020–28. doi: 10.1007/s00125-004-1413-8

- Bruce P, Fiocociello L, Silva K, Finkelstein D, Warram J, Krolewski A. Regression of Microalbuminuria in Type 1 Diabetes. N Engl J Med (2003) 348:2285–93. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa021835
- 66. Piscitelli P, Viazzi F, Fioretto P, Giorda C, Ceriello A, Genovese S, et al. Predictors of Chronic Kidney Disease in Type 1 Diabetes: A Longitudinal Study From the AMD Annals Initiative. *Sci Rep* (2017) 7:3313. doi: 10.1097/ MD.000000000004007
- 67. Viazzi F, Piscitelli P, Giorda C, Ceriello A, Genovese S, Russo GT, et al. Association of Kidney Disease Measures With Risk of Kidney Function Worsening in Participants With Hypertension and Type 2 Diabetes. J Diabetes Complicat (2017) 31(2):419–26. doi: 10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2016.10.030
- Villanueva S, Céspedes C, Vio CP. Ischemic Acute Renal Failure Induces the Expression of a Wide Range of Nephrogenic Proteins. Am J Physiol -Regul Integr Comp Physiol (2006) 290(4):861–70. doi: 10.1152/ ajpregu.00384.2005
- Cen L, Xing F, Xu L, Cao Y. Potential Role of Gene Regulator NFAT5 in the Pathogenesis of Diabetes Mellitus. J Diabetes Res (2020) 2020. doi: 10.1155/ 2020/6927429
- 70. Li X, Yang S, Yan M, Guan N, Li J, Xie Q, et al. Interstitial HIF1A Induces an Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate Decline Through Potentiating Renal Fibrosis in Diabetic Nephropathy. *Life Sci* (2020) 241:117109. doi: 10.1016/ j.lfs.2019.117109

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Ugarte, Santapau, Gallardo, Garfias, Yizmeyián, Villanueva, Sepúlveda, Rocco, Pasten, Urquidi, Cavada, San Martin, Cano and Irarrázabal. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.