Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

EBioMedicine



journal homepage: www.ebiomedicine.com

Research Paper

A Nexus Consisting of Beta-Catenin and Stat3 Attenuates BRAF Inhibitor Efficacy and Mediates Acquired Resistance to Vemurafenib



Tobias Sinnberg ^{a,*,1}, Elena Makino ^{a,1}, Marcel A. Krueger ^b, Ana Velic ^c, Boris Macek ^c, Ulrich Rothbauer ^{d,e}, Nicola Groll ^d, Oliver Pötz ^d, Stefan Czemmel ^f, Heike Niessner ^a, Friedegund Meier ^{a,g}, Kristian Ikenberg ^h, Claus Garbe ^a, Birgit Schittek ^a

^a Department of Dermatology, Division of Dermatooncology, Eberhard-Karls-University Tübingen, Germany

^b Werner Siemens Imaging Center, Department of Preclinical Imaging and Radiopharmacy, Eberhard-Karls-University Tübingen, Germany

^c Proteome Center Tübingen, Eberhard-Karls-University Tübingen, Germany

^d NMI Natural and Medical Sciences Institute at the University Tübingen, Reutlingen, Germany

^e Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, Eberhard-Karls University Tübingen, Germany

^f Quantitative Biology Center (QBiC), Eberhard-Karls-University Tübingen, Germany

^g Department of Dermatology, Carl Gustav Carus Medical Center, TU Dresden, Germany

^h Department of Pathology, University Hospital of Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 January 2016 Received in revised form 13 April 2016 Accepted 28 April 2016 Available online 1 May 2016

Keywords: β-catenin Melanoma BRAF Stat3 Resistance Vemurafenib

ABSTRACT

Acquired resistance to second generation BRAF inhibitors (BRAFis), like vemurafenib is limiting the benefits of long term targeted therapy for patients with malignant melanomas that harbor BRAF V600 mutations. Since many resistance mechanisms have been described, most of them causing a hyperactivation of the MAPK- or PI3K/AKT signaling pathways, one potential strategy to overcome BRAFi resistance in melanoma cells would be to target important common signaling nodes. Known factors that cause secondary resistance include the overexpression of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), alternative splicing of BRAF or the occurrence of novel mutations in MEK1 or NRAS.

In this study we show that β -catenin is stabilized and translocated to the nucleus in approximately half of the melanomas that were analyzed and which developed secondary resistance towards BRAFi. We further demonstrate that β -catenin is involved in the mediation of resistance towards vemurafenib *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Unexpectedly, β -catenin acts mainly independent of the TCF/LEF dependent canonical Wnt-signaling pathway in resistance development, which partly explains previous contradictory results about the role of β -catenin in melanoma progression and therapy resistance. We further demonstrate that β -catenin interacts with Stat3 after chronic vemurafenib treatment and both together cooperate in the acquisition and maintenance of resistance towards BRAFi.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND licenses (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Approximately 50% of human melanomas harbor activating V600E/ K mutations in the serine/threonine kinase BRAF resulting in constitutive activation of the RAF/MEK/ERK mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway (Davies et al., 2002; Kumar et al., 2003; Long et al., 2011). Clinical trials with BRAF inhibitors (BRAFis) such as vemurafenib and dabrafenib that specifically target these mutated forms and cause an effective inhibition of the MAPK pathway have shown impressive response rates of >50% in patients with metastatic melanoma harboring the BRAF V600E mutation (Chapman et al., 2011; Flaherty et al., 2010; Hauschild et al., 2012) which were confirmed in daily routine in the clinics. However, the acquisition of secondary resistance leading to relapse within 7–12 months was observed in a majority of patients (Carlino et al., 2013; Chapman et al., 2012; Menzies and Long, 2014; Wagle et al., 2011). Analyses of the underlying resistance mechanisms in these patients indicated that some vemurafenib-resistant melanoma cells have reactivated the MAPK signaling pathway by alternative mechanisms (Holderfield et al., 2014) which promoted successful clinical trials using combinations of BRAF inhibitors with MEK inhibitors (Johnson et al., 2014; Long et al., 2014; Robert et al., 2014). However, although the median progression-free survival in the group receiving the BRAF and MEK inhibitor was nearly doubled, compared to the mono-therapy group, resistance still developed. Therefore, additional factors independent of MAPK reactivation must play a role in resistance acquisition to BRAFi as well. Indeed, resistance to BRAF or MEK

2352-3964/© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author at: Liebermeisterstr. 25, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany.

E-mail address: tobias.sinnberg@med.uni-tuebingen.de (T. Sinnberg).

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

inhibitors correlated with the activation of PI3K/AKT signaling pathway which is achieved by up-regulation of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) such as platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) beta, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR), epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), insulin-growth factor receptor 1 (IGF1R) and the hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) receptor c-met (Girotti et al., 2013; Nazarian et al., 2010; Straussman et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2014; Villanueva et al., 2010; Wilson et al., 2012). However, the available data suggest that multiple RTKs are up-regulated or hyper-activated in therapy-resistant cells and that targeting of only one RTK is not sufficient to overcome therapy resistance in general. Since RTKs activate both, the MAPK and PI3K/AKT signaling pathways, a potential strategy to overcome resistance to BRAFi in melanoma cells would be to target common important signaling nodes rather than attempting to target a specific RTK.

One key nodal point is β -catenin, which acts as a transcriptional activator in many signaling pathways, including the Wnt, EGF (Ji et al., 2009), HGF (Purcell et al., 2011), IGF (Desbois-Mouthon et al., 2001), TGF (Zhou, 2011) and cadherin pathways (Benham-Pyle et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2013). Beta-catenin regulates the expression of several genes involved in tumor progression and is part of at least three major functional distinct complexes in a cell. First, β -catenin is a component of adherens junctions where it links cadherins to the cytoskeleton. Second, a cytoplasmic complex regulates its degradation by the interaction with casein kinase 1α (CK1 α), glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK3), adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) and axin-1. Third, βcatenin accumulates upon activation and translocates to the nucleus, where it classically transactivates transcription of β -catenin/T cell factor/lymphocyte enhancer binding factor (TCF/LEF)-responsive genes together with TCF/LEF family members (Watanabe and Dai, 2011).

The role of the β-catenin signaling pathway in therapy resistance has been sparsely analyzed so far. Beta-catenin signaling is involved in AKT activation in prostate cancer cells, whereas inhibition down-regulates AKT activity and induces chemosensitivity in PTEN-mutated prostate cancer cells. Activation of β -catenin signaling mediates chemoresistance in several solid tumors (Saifo et al., 2010). It is further speculated that increased chemoresistance is partially linked to β -cateninmediated up-regulation of drug efflux transporters like MDR-1 (Lim et al., 2009). In therapy-resistant colorectal cancer cells, expression of the transcription factor TCF4 was found to be up-regulated and silencing of TCF4 sensitized the cells to chemo- and radiotherapy (Kendziorra et al., 2011). Our own data indicate that β -catenin plays a critical role in chemoresistance of melanoma cells (Sinnberg et al., 2011). In contrast, it was shown that vemurafenib treated A375 melanoma cells have activated β -catenin signaling which in turn synergized with vemurafenib to induce apoptosis (Biechele et al., 2012). Moreover the studies of Biechele and Conrad revealed an additional important role of β-catenin in the induction of apoptosis after MEK inhibition provoking a pro-apoptotic role of β-catenin in the course of MAPK pathway inhibition (Conrad et al., 2012). However, the same group recently published that elevated nuclear β-catenin levels correlated with a worse prognosis for BRAFi treated melanoma patients, which developed secondary resistance in their tumors (Chien et al., 2014). These contradictory results indicate an urgent need to unravel the role of β -catenin in the mechanisms mediating acquired therapy resistance towards BRAFi.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cell Culture of Melanoma Cell Lines

The human metastatic BRAFV600E mutated melanoma cell lines 451Lu, Mel1617, A375, and SKMel19 were cultured in RPMI 1640 Medium (Gibco life technologies) which was supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) (Biochrom/Merck Millipore,) and 1% Penicillin and Streptomycin (Gibco/life technologies). The vemurafenib resistant cell lines were generated by continuous treatment with increasing concentration of PLX4032 up to 2 μ M for several months. The culture medium of the resistant cell lines was changed to a PLX4032 free medium 24 h before they were subjected into experiments.

The 451Lu cells stably expressing tetracycline-inducible shRNA against β -catenin (451Lu TetOn-sh*CTNNB1*) were generated as previously described (Sinnberg et al., 2011). The shRNA against β -catenin was induced by the application of 1 µg/ml doxycycline to the culture medium for 24 h to 96 h before they were used in the respective experiments.

2.2. Chemicals

The BRAFV600E/K kinase inhibitor PLX4032 vemurafenib (LC Laboratories), Stat3 inhibitors Stattic and S3I-201 (both Selleck) as well as the β -catenin/TCF complex inhibitor PKF-115-584 (Novartis) were used for the specific inhibition of signaling pathways. For Tetinducible shRNA induction doxycycline (Applichem) was used. Wnt3A and Wnt5A (StemRD) were used for the activation of Wnt signaling.

2.3. Viability assays

4-Methylumbelliferyl heptanoate (MUH) assay was performed for the analysis of the cell viability. 2.5×10^3 cells were seeded into 96 well plate cavities in quintuplicates or sixtuplicates 24 h before treatment. According to the analysis, cells were pre-stimulated with PKF-115-564 (50 ng/ml), with lithium chloride (7.5 mM), with Wnt3A or Wnt5A (up to 100 ng/ml) for 6 h as well as with Stattic (up to 6 μ M) or S31–201 (up to 160 μ M) for 3 h. Additionally the cells were treated with PLX4032 (up to 20 μ M) for 72 h. Viability analysis was performed after a washing step using PBS and subsequent incubation of the cells in 100 μ g/ml 4-methylumbelliferyl heptanoate diluted in PBS for 1 h at 37 °C. The fluorescence (ex355nm/em460nm) was detected in a Tristar fluorescence microplate reader (Berthold).

Alamar blue viability assay was used to analyze the viability of transfected cells for normalizing reporter assay signals to cellular viability when no Renilla-CMV was used. Briefly 1 mg/ml alamar blue stock solution was pre-diluted in culture medium (1:10) and 10 μ l of this solution was added to 100 μ l culture medium of each 96 well cavity. After incubation for 1 h at 37 °C the fluorescence of resofurin was measured in fluorescence microplate reader (Berthold, Germany) at ex540nm/em640nm. Background subtracted sample values were used for normalization of reporter signals.

2.4. Luciferase Reporter Assays

SuperTopFlash, pcDNA3.1-GLuci-CRE/-AP1/-NFAT as well as pLucTKS3 (Stat3) reporter were transiently transfected for the analysis of specific pathway activity. 2.5×10^5 melanoma cells were seeded into 6 well plate cavities 24 h prior to transfection. Reporter plasmids (2 µg/well) and CMV renilla plasmids (120 ng/well) were cotransfected using ScreenFect A Transfection Kits (Genaxxon bioscience) or Lipofectamine 3000 Transfection Reagent (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's protocols for 24 h. Then 1×10^4 transfected cells were seeded into 96 well plate cavities at minimum in quintuplicates and optionally pre-treated with 15 mM lithium chloride for 6 h. Additional treatments were PLX4032 (up to 10 µM), Stattic (up to 2 µM) or Wnt5a and Wnt3a (100 ng/ml) for further 24 h. Cells were lysed in 50 µl passive lysis buffer (Promega). 10 µl of lysates or 10 µl of supernatant of the culture medium (Gaussia reporters) was used for the measurement of the firefly and renilla luciferase activity in a Tristar luminometer (Berthold). Luciferase activity was measured using Dluciferin or renilla and gaussia substrate coelentarazine as previously described (Albert and Silvia, 2012).





Fig. 1. Beta-catenin expression levels increase in BRAFi resistant melanomas cells compared to the sensitive parentals. a) Immunohistochemical staining for β-catenin of clinical specimens before and after the acquisition of resistance to BRAFi. Beta-catenin expression levels are shown in red (Fast Red substrate) with hematoxylin counter staining (scale bar is 100 µm). b) Immunoblots of whole cell lysates from sensitive and resistant pairs of the melanoma cell lines 451Lu, Mel1617, A375 and SKMel19 showing the expression levels of β-catenin and (phospho) Erk1/2. Semiquantitative analysis was performed by building the ratios of (β-catenin:β-catenin) and (p-ERk1/2:Erk1/2) and normalization to the sensitive cells.

2.5. Cell Cycle Assay

 2×10^5 melanoma cells per 6 well cavity were seeded 24 h prior to treatment. DMSO (0.02–0.05%) treated control cells and PLX4032 (2 or 5 μ M) treated cells were analyzed in triplicates. Cells were commonly treated for 72 h. After permeabilization of the cells in 70% ice-cold ethanol for at least 1 h, they were re-suspended in PBS with 100 μ g/ml RNAseA (Applichem) and 50 μ g/ml propidium iodide (Sigma) and stained for 30 min. FACS analysis for the detection of the distribution

of the cells in the each cell cycle phases was performed with a LSRII FACS (BD) using FACSDiva software (BD).

2.6. SA-Beta-Galactosidase Staining

The Senescence Cells Histochemical Staining Kit (Sigma-Aldrich) was used according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Briefly, 1.0×10^5 cells were seeded into 12 well plates and treated with the indicated concentrations of vemurafenib for 72 h. In case of co-



β-catenin nuclei



Fig. 2. Nuclear localized beta-catenin in BRAFi resistant melanomas cells compared to the sensitive parentals. a) Immunofluorescence staining for β -catenin (blue) and nuclear YOPRO-1 staining (green) with confocal microscopy for expression and localization analysis of β -catenin (white scale bars represent 50 µm). b) Immunoblot of cytosolic and nuclear extracts showing the increased nuclear localization of β -catenin in the resistant cells of the cell lines 451Lu, Mel1617 and A375. Semiquantitation was performed densitometrically by using the ratios of [β -catenin: β -actin] for cytosolic and [β -catenin:LaminB] for nuclear fractions of the sensitive and resistant cell line pairs. All ratios were normalized to the sensitive parental cell line to compare the sensitive to the corresponding resistant cell line.

treatment with doxycycline for the induction of shRNA, a pre-treatment with 1 μ g/ml doxycycline for 24 h was performed. After fixation and washing of the cells they were stained using the x-gal substrate solution for approx. 3 h at 37 °C without CO₂. The percentage of senescent cells was calculated using the ratio of blue cells to total cell number per microscopic picture. Three independent samples were analyzed in quadruplicates.

2.7. Immunohistochemistry

а

For immunofluorescence staining of cultivated cells, 2.5×10^4 cells were seeded per chamber on 8 well chamber slides (BD Falcon) and grown for 24 h. The cells were treated with 0.02% DMSO or 2 μ M PLX4032 for 24 h, washed with PBS and fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 15 min. They were blocked in PBS/1%BSA/0.3% Triton-X100 and incubated overnight with the primary antibody against β -catenin (1:100 dilutions, Cell Signaling #9562). For the detection, the samples were incubated with the secondary antibody Alexa Fluor® 647-

conjugated AffiniPure Fab Fragment Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG(H + L) (Jackson ImmunoReserarch) for 2 h. The nuclear staining was performed by monomeric cyanine nucleic acid stain (YO-PRO-1) (Invitrogen). Immunohistochemistry staining of clinical FFPE specimens was performed with a β -catenin specific antibody (Cell Signaling #9562) diluted 1:50 in PBS containing 0.3% Triton-X100 and 1% BSA. Briefly 5 µm FFPE tissue sections were de-paraffinized and antigen retrieval was performed in citrate buffer pH 6 in a pressure cooker for 2 min under pressure before a slow cooling down of the samples in the hot buffer. Afterwards tissue sections were stained according to the manufacturer's protocol (Thermo Scientific Lab Vision UltraVision LP Detection System: AP Polymer) using FastRed (Thermo Scientific Lab Vision Liquid Fast-Red Substrate System) as substrate.

2.8. Western Blotting

Melanoma cells were harvested with Trypsin/EDTA (Biochrom/ Merck Millipore,), washed two times with cold PBS and lysed in RIPA Lysis Buffer (Thermo Scientific). For preparation of nuclear and cytoplasmic protein extracts NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagents (Thermo Scientific) were used according to the manufacturer's protocol. 15 µg of whole cell lysate protein samples or cytoplasmic protein samples as well as 5 µg of nuclear fraction protein samples were used for the SDS-PAGE followed by blotting onto PVDF membranes (Roche). After blocking for 60 min in PBS-T (0.1% Tween-20) with 5% dry milk the following primary antibodies were applied on a roller mixer overnight: phospho-Stat3 (Ser727), phospho-Stat3 (Tyr705), Stat3 (79D7), Stat3 (124H6), β-actin (D6A8), β-catenin (D10A8), phospho-p42/44 MAPK (ERK1/2), p42/44 MAPK (ERK1/2), LEF1 (C12A5), TCF4 (C48H11), phospho-AKT (Ser473), AKT, phospho-GSK-3_β (Ser9) (D85E12), GSK-3_β (D5C5Z), Wnt5a/b (C27E8), GAPDH (14C10) (all Cell Signaling Technology); β-catenin E-5 (sc-7963), lamin-B (sc-6216) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology); MITF (C5) (Cat.No. OP126L) (Calbiochem.). Immunodetection was performed using antirabbit or anti-mouse secondary peroxidase-conjugated antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology). Visualization was done with using ECL (Thermo) or ECL prime (GE Helathcare Lifesciences), Anti-rabbit (Cell Signaling Technology) and anti-goat (Novus) AP conjugated antibodies were used as well. In these cases detection was performed with CDP-Star (Roche) according to the manual.

2.9. Miniaturized GST-Pulldown-Assay for the Quantification of "Free" β -Catenin

The quantity of the "free" β -catenin pool which is involved in the Wnt/ β -catenin signaling was determined using a miniaturized beadbased GST-pulldown assay as described previously (Luckert et al., 2012). Total β -catenin was measured using a bead-based sandwich immunoassay for normalization. The analyses were performed with 20 µg protein extract derived from sensitive and resistant melanoma cell cultures. Results have been normalized by forming the average of total β catenin and "free" β -catenin.

2.10. Co-immunoprecipitation

Cell pellets were homogenized in 200 μ l lysis buffer (10 mM Tris/ Cl pH7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP40, 1 μ g DNasel, 2 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM PMSF, 1 × phosSTOP phosphatase inhibitor (Roche), 1 × protease inhibitor mix M (Serva) by repeated pipetting for 40 min on ice. After a centrifugation step (10 min at 18,000 × g) the soluble protein fraction was incubated with 50 μ l of the agarose-coupled BC2nanobody (Traenkle et al., 2015) for 12 h on an end-over-end rotor at 4 °C. The bead pellet was washed two times in 0.5 ml dilution buffer (10 mM Tris/Cl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM PMSF). After the last washing step the beads were transferred to a new cup, resuspended in 2 × SDS-containing sample buffer and boiled for 10 min at 95 °C. Samples (5% input, 5% non-bound and 10% bound) were analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by western blotting. Immunoblots were probed with antibodies directed against β -catenin, GAPDH, MITF, and LEF-1.

For the detection of the interaction with Stat3, proteins were cross-linked using 0.4% formaldehyde/PBS solution before the precipitation. 5×10^6 cells were resuspended in 2 ml fixing solution and incubated

at room temperature for 4 min on a roller mixer before centrifugation at 320 ×g for 3 min. The supernatants were removed and the cells were incubated in 1 ml ice-cold 1.25 M glycine/PBS for 1 min to quench the formalin reaction and were centrifuged for 3 min. The cells were washed in 5 ml PBS and lysed in 250 µl RIPA-Buffer (Thermo Scientific) for 60 min. The precipitation was performed according to the coimmunoprecipitation protocol (Cell Signaling). The proteins were precipitated using β -catenin (D10A) XP Rabbit (Cell Signaling Technology), β -catenin (E-5) sc-7963 mouse antibody (Santa Cruz Technology), Stat3 (D3Z2G) Rabbit mAb and Stat3 (124H6) Mouse mAb (both Cell Signaling Biotechnology) and the bound proteins were detected.

2.11. siRNA Transfection

20 nM siRNA (all synthesized by biomers.net Germany) against Stat3 (sense: aacuucagacccgucaacaa-dTdT;; antisense: uuuguugacggg ucugaag-dTdT) and β -catenin (sense: gguggugguuaauaaggcu-dTdT; antisense: agccuuauuaaccaccac-dTdG) were reversely transfected using the riboxx FECT (riboxx Life Sciences) on 96 well plates. Therefore, the riboxxFECT (1:25) and siRNA were separately diluted using Opti-MEM (Life technologies) and the solutions were gently mixed in a 1:1 ratio. The solution was incubated for 15 min and subsequently, 50 µl of the solution was transferred to each 96-well cavities. Additionally, 2.5 × 10³ cells resuspended in 50 µl culture medium were added to each well and incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. The transfected cells were subsequently treated with up to 20 µM PLX4032 for 72 h and the viability was measured *via* MUH assay.

2.12. Lentiviral Gene Transfer

Stat3 overexpression lentivirus was produced using HEK 293T cells (Biocat, Germany) transfected with human STAT3 cloned into pLX304 (HsCD00443857 DNASU plasmid repository (Seiler et al., 2013)) as well as second-generation packaging and envelope plasmids pCMV Δ R8.2 and pMD2.G. Melanoma cells were transduced with lentivirus containing supernatants in the presence of 8 µg/ml polybrene (Sigma) and cultured in 5 µg/ml blasticidin (Merck Millipore) containing selection medium.

2.13. Xenograft Melanoma Model

For the *in vivo* tumor growth assays, 1×10^6 vemurafenib resistant 451Lu cells stably expressing tetracycline-inducible shRNA against β -catenin were subcutaneously injected into SCID mice. All mice were daily treated with 25 mg/kg vemurafenib (LC Laboratories) i.p. for 25 days post injection, until they developed approx. 100 mm³ tumor nodules. The mice were randomized into four groups (n = 5). The first group was subsequently fed with 1 mg/ml doxycycline (Applichem) in the drinking water, the second group received daily injections of 25 mg/kg vemurafenib i.p. the third group received both treatments and the fourth group served as untreated control group. Drinking water was generally supplemented with 1% sucrose to reduce the bitter taste due to doxycycline. The Tumor size was monitored for 40 days post injection by calliper measurements of tumor length and

Fig. 3. Beta-catenin is directly involved in the efficacy of the response to BRAFi and suppresses growth inhibition a) Cell viability assay (MUH) of melanoma cell lines pre-treated with 7.5 mM LiCl for 24 h for stabilization of β -catenin. Immunoblots show the stabilization of β -catenin after treatment of 451Lu cells with LiCl. After the pre-treatment, cells were treated with increasing concentrations of vemurafenib for 72 h before the assessment of cell viability. Black symbols represent sensitive control cells and red symbols represent LiCl pre-treated cells. Signals were normalized to the control cells without vemurafenib treatment. Mean +/ SD values of six replicates are shown. Multiple t-tests with Holm–Šídák correction were used to compare data points of the two curves and p < 0.05 was considered as significant (asterisk). b) Cell viability assays (MUH) after Tet-inducible knockdown of β -catenin in 451LuTet-S (left diagram) or 451LuTet-R cells (right diagram). Knockdown was induced by pre-treatment with 1 µg/ml doxycycline for 24 h (red symbols) and compared to cells without doxycycline treatment (black symbols). Multiple t-tests with Holm–Šídák correction were used to compare data points of the two curves and p < 0.05 was considered as significant (asterisk). b. Cell viability assays (MUH) after Tet-inducible knockdown of β -catenin in 451LuTet-S (left diagram) or 451LuTet-R cells (right diagram). Knockdown was induced by pre-treatment with 1 µg/ml doxycycline for 24 h (red symbols) and compared to cells without doxycycline treatment (black symbols). Multiple t-tests with Holm–Šídák correction were used to compare data points of the two curves and p < 0.05 was considered as significant (asterisk). F-test revealed a significant different IC₅₀ of the fitted curves. c) Immunoblot analysis for β -catenin and (phospho-) Erk1/2 shows protein level changes after induction of shCTNNB1 in combination with vemurafenib treatment 24 h after treatment in comparison to vehicle control treated cells.

width. The tumor volume was calculated using the following formula: $V = 0.4 \times \text{length} \times \text{width}^2$. All animal experiments were approved by the regional council (Regierungspraesidium Tuebingen Az35/9185.81-2).

2.14. PET Imaging

Animals were fasted for 6 h prior to the FDG injection and doxycycline treatment was interrupted due to the necessary sucrose addition



to the drinking water. ~13 MBq FDG in a max. volume of 100 μ l were injected *i.v.* into the tail vein under 1.5% isoflurane narcosis evaporated in oxygen at a flow rate of 0.5 l/min (Abbott, Wiesbaden, Germany) and

the animals were kept under anesthesia for 55 min. post-injection in a heated box. Blood glucose and body weight measurements were performed immediately before FDG injection. Subsequently animals





Fig. 5. Knockdown of β -catenin synergizes with vemurafenib for the inhibition of tumor growth of BRAFi resistant melanomas. a) Xenograft experiment using Tet-inducible 451Lu-R cells for s.c. injection (1 × 10⁶ cells) into SHO mice. After formation of 100 mm³ tumor nodules upon daily i.p. injections with vemurafenib (25 mg/kg) the mice were randomized into four treatment groups: i) vemurafenib treatment (black), ii) untreated (grey), iii) shRNA induction by doxycycline (1 mg/ml) in the drinking water *ad libitum* and (green) iv) combination (red) (n = 5 per group). The tumor growth was daily monitored by caliper measurements and normalized to the size at day 0 of treatment. Multiple t tests were used to determine the data points of the combination group with p < 0.05 (asterisk). b) Positron emission tomography using F18-FDG was performed on days 4, 8 and 12 post-start of therapy. c) Immunistochemistry of removed tumors from SHO mice (from 2F). Tumors of every group were stained for β -catenin expression, phospho-Erk1/2 and Ki67 using Fast Red as indicater for cell proliferation. Three different tumors per group were used for data analysis. Mean percentages +/- SD are shown. ANOVA analysis was performed using Tukey's multiple comparisons test (asterisk).

were placed on a carbon bed and scanned for 10 min in an Inveon small animal PET scanner (Siemens Preclinical Solutions, Knoxville, TN, USA). Body temperature was maintained at 37 °C by a heating pad and a rectal temperature sensor. Image reconstruction was performed using Inveon Acquisition Workplace 1.5.0.28 (Siemens Preclinical Solutions, Knoxville, TN, USA) with an iterative ordered-subset expectation maximization algorithm (OSEM2D) with four iterations. No attenuation and scatter correction was applied, according to our standard protocol for PET imaging with mice. The reconstructed voxel size was 0.776 \times 0.776 \times 0.796 mm. Images were analyzed in Inveon Research Workplace (Siemens Preclinical Solutions, Knoxville, TN, USA).

2.15. Statistical Analysis

Microsoft Excel and Graphpad Prism 6.0 were used for the statistical analyses of the data. Graphs show mean values with their SD unless differently mentioned. Statistic used for p-value calculations and significance determinations are given in the corresponding figure legends. p-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant (with * for p < 0.05, ** for p < 0.01 and *** for p < 0.001). Dose response curves were fitted using Graphpad Prism 6.0 for IC₅₀ calculations (using mostly the nonlinear regression curve fit log(inhibitor) vs. response with variable slope).

Fig. 4. Knockdown of β -catenin enhances the BRAFi mediated induction of apoptosis and senescence. a) Cell cycle analysis of Tet-inducible 451LuTet-S (upper panel) and 451LuTet-R (lower panel) cells after treatment with 5 or 10 μ M vemurafenib for 72 h. Doxycycline pre-treatment for 24 h (right bar diagrams) was used to induce β -catenin specific shRNA. Representative FACS histograms of the cell cycle analysis comparing vehicle (DMSO) treated cells with vemurafenib (10 μ M) are shown. Cell cycle distributions represent the result of three biologic replicates (mean +/- SD). b) SA-associated β -galactosidase staining after 72 h of vemurafenib treatment of the above mentioned treat-inducible cells (scale bars represent 200 μ m). Clear blue cells were counted and normalized to the total number of cells using four different microscopic pictures (top row) per treatment. Mean numbers +/- SD are shown from three independent biological replicates (bottom row). ANOVA analyis was performed using Tukey's multiple comparisons test (asterisks).

3. Results

3.1. A Subset of Vemurafenib Resistant Melanoma Cells Exhibit Stabilized Nuclear $\beta\text{-Catenin}$ In Vivo and In Vitro

In our previous work we found elevated levels of nuclear β -catenin in several metastatic melanoma biopsies and cell lines compared to cell lines of radial growth phase origin (Sinnberg et al., 2010, 2011). To investigate whether β-catenin levels are also altered during resistance development to BRAFi treatment, we collected tumor biopsies from eight stage IV melanoma patients before initiation of vemurafenib treatment and after development of drug resistance for analysis of β catenin protein expression by immunohistochemistry. Time to resistance development in the presented cases was 5 to 12 months (Fig. 1a). We observed accumulation of β -catenin in 4 out of 8 resistance-acquired tumors (50%) in comparison to the β -catenin levels in excised lesions collected before the start of the treatment (Fig. 1a). In one sample pair (12.5%) a diverse expression pattern was seen including areas with increased β -catenin levels, whereas in three samples no increase in β -catenin expression was detected (37.5%). We used a tissue microarray to compare the observed frequencies of strong β -catenin staining with BRAFi naïve melanomas (Supplementary Fig. 1). Among the 270 primary melanomas 39 showed strong β-catenin expression (14.5%) and 203 a moderate staining (60.7%). None of the 13 metastatic melanomas had a strong signal intensity (0%) and seven biopsies showed a moderate (53.8%) staining pattern. This clearly shows that the high expression level of β -catenin in 50% of the BRAFi resistant tumor biopsies is extraordinary.

To unravel the functional relevance of β -catenin expression on BRAFi resistance development we generated BRAFi resistant melanoma cell lines (Mel1617-R, 451Lu-R, A375-R, and SKMel19-R) by continuous treatment with vemurafenib. The chronically treated cells were significantly more resistant to BRAF inhibition with less affected cellular viability and reduced apoptosis induction compared to the respective parental sensitive cell lines (Mel1617-S, 451Lu-S, A375-S, and SKMel19-S) (Supplementary Fig. 2a, b). On a molecular level the resistant cell lines showed a strong MAPK pathway activity based on high phosphorylation of Erk1/2 (Fig. 1b). Phospho-AKT was moderately increased in resistant Mel1617 and A375 cells (Supplementary Fig. 1f). We tested for different resistance mechanisms that lead to the re- and hyperactivation of the MAPK signaling pathway. No novel mutations in NRAS (codon 61) or MEK1 (exons 2, 3 and 6) could be detected by Sanger sequencing in the resistant cell lines (Supplementary Fig. 1c). Interestingly A375-R and SKMel19-R cells showed signs of highly enriched truncated BRAF (t-BRAF) splice products which are known to be associated with BRAFi adaptation and resistance mediation (Supplementary Fig. 1d). We further analyzed the expression of several RTKs (KIT, PDGFRB, MET, IGF1R, EGFR), the alternative MEK activator COT and BRAF (Supplementary Fig. 1e) on a transcriptional level. PDGFRB and EGFR mRNA levels were upregulated especially in 451Lu-R cells whereas SKMel19-R had higher levels of mRNA coding for MET. Total β-catenin protein levels were at similar levels or only modestly increased in Mel1617-R and 451Lu-R cells and even decreased in SKMel19-R cells. We found no consistent change in the expression of components of other signaling pathways like PTEN in cellular lysates of resistant cells compared to their sensitive counterparts (Supplementary Fig. 1f) pointing to the diversity of resistance mechanisms in our cell lines. Immunofluorescence staining and confocal microscopy indicated a prominent nuclear localization of β -catenin in vemurafenib resistant melanoma Mel1617-R, 451Lu-R and A375-R cells in comparison to the sensitive parental cells (Fig. 2a). Further western blot analyses of fractionated cell lysates confirmed that the resistanceacquired cell lines 451Lu-R, Mel1617-R and A375-R exhibit increased nuclear β-catenin levels (Fig. 2b). We further analyzed the protein expression of important β -catenin regulating proteins (Axin1, APC, sFRP1) in order to find the trigger of β-catenin up-regulation and nuclear translocation. No consistent changes were detected and resistant cells expressed rather high levels of APC, a component of the cytoplasmic degradation complex of β-catenin. SKMel19-R cells produced increased amounts of sFRP1 (Supplementary Fig. 1g). Taken together, these results indicate that a major subset of vemurafenib resistant melanoma cells with high MAPK signaling activity shows increased nuclear β-catenin levels in vitro and in vivo.

3.2. β -Catenin Knockdown Sensitizes Melanoma Cells Towards Vemurafenib Treatment In Vitro and In Vivo

In the next step, we analyzed whether β -catenin is functionally involved in the resistance mechanisms to BRAF inhibitors. Therefore, we modulated cellular β -catenin levels in different ways in order to test any β -catenin dependent influence on the resistance towards vemurafenib. At first, we stabilized β -catenin by the inhibition of GSK3 β using lithium chloride in 451Lu-S, Mel1617-S and A375-S cells. As expected lithium treatment resulted in an increased phosphorylation of GSK3 β at serine 9 and accumulation of β -catenin. This went along with an attenuation of the growth inhibitory effect of vemurafenib in BRAFi-sensitive cells in a mild but significant manner (Fig. 3a).

To directly address the effects of β -catenin on resistance to BRAF inhibition, we generated vemurafenib resistant 451Lu cells that had been stably transfected with a doxycycline inducible and β -catenin (CTNNB1) specific shRNA vector pTER-shCTNNB1 (Sinnberg et al., 2011; van de Wetering et al., 2003) before initiation of long-term treatment with vemurafenib for the development of resistance to BRAFi. The time until vemurafenib-dependent growth inhibition was overridden by resistance mechanisms was doubled to 8 weeks (compared to almost 4 weeks without induction β -catenin specific shRNA) if the chronic BRAFi therapy was combined with permanent knockdown of Bcatenin by the addition of 1 μ g/ml doxycycline (Supplementary Fig. 3a). Treating of the cells with doxycycline resulted in a marked reduced β -catenin protein level in the parental sensitive as well as in the 451Lu Tet-inducible cells with acquired resistance to vemurafenib. Interestingly, the induced β-catenin knockdown significantly increased the susceptibility of the parental sensitive 451Lu cells towards vemurafenib in the MUH viability assay and cell cycle analysis

Fig. 6. Accumulated β -catenin in BRAFi resistant melanoma cell lines acts independent of the canonical Wnt signaling pathway and the TCF/LEF factors. a) TOPflash luciferase reporter assays were done to measure the transcriptional activity of TCF/LEF complexes. Sensitive (black bars) and resistant (red bars) melanoma cell lines were transfected with the reporter construct plus CMV-renilla luciferase as a normalization control and treated for 24 h with the indicated concentrations of vemurafenib. For the induction of the full signaling activity a pre-treatment with 15 mM LiCl was performed. Firefly luciferase activity was normalized to renilla activity. Mean values and standard deviations of six samples are presented. Multiple t-tests with Holm–Šídák correction of interactions of β -catenin with TCF4, LEF1 and Miff. Soluble lysates were prepared from the indicated sensitive and resistant melanoma cells and incubated with an immobilized β -catenin specific nanobody. Input, non-bound and bound fractions were separated on a SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblet analysis for the transcription factors TCF4, LEF1 and MITF. c) Cell viability assay (MUH) for testing the effects of released β -catenin from the complexes with TCF/LEF by 50 nM PKF115–584 (circles with dashed curve). The inhibitor was pre-incubated for 6 h before the treatment with increasing concentrations of the two curves and p < 0.05 was considered as significant (asterisk). d) TOPFlash assay was used to investigate the influence of vemurafenib on β -catenin /TCF/LEF dependent transcription. Reporter transfected cells were transfected concentrations of vemurafenib before assaying the luciferase activity. Firefly values were normalized to renilla signals and to the corresponding untreated controls. Mean values and standard deviations of sixtuplicates are presented. Multiple t-tests with Holm–Šídák correction were used to compare transfected cells were treated for 24 h with the indicated concentrations of vemurafenib before assaying the luciferase act

(Figs. 3b, 4a). The decreased viability went along with an enhanced caspase 3/7 activity 48 h after combined knockdown with vemurafenib treatment. Apoptosis induction was inhibited by pre-treatment of the cells with 7.5 mM LiCl as β -catenin stabilizing agent (Supplementary Fig. 3b).

Furthermore, the doxycycline-induced knockdown of β -catenin even partially re-sensitized the previously insusceptible 451Lu resistant melanoma cells in terms of reduction of viability and

induction of apoptosis (Fig. 3b, 4a). Diminished phospho-Erk1/2 levels co-occurred with the knockdown of β -catenin (Fig. 3c) resulting in markedly reduced cell proliferation and growth arrest, which was mainly mediated by a cell cycle arrest in G1 and the induction of senescence as determined by increased SA- β -galactosidase activity (Fig. 4a, b). In order to exclude any sensitizing effect of doxycycline on the susceptibility of BRAFV600E melanoma cells to BRAFi we combined the vemurafenib treatment with 2 µg/ml doxycycline for three consecutive



days and could not see any significant difference between the monotherapy using vemurafenib in ascending concentrations and its combination with the antibiotic in the viability assay (Supplementary Fig. 3c). These data indicate that β -catenin is involved in the development as well as the maintenance of resistance towards vemurafenib.

Subsequently, we evaluated whether the observed impact of β catenin on the efficacy of BRAFis in terms of growth inhibition in vitro is also a relevant phenomenon under in vivo conditions. For this purpose resistant 451Lu cells harboring the Tet-inducible CTNNB1-specific shRNA were subcutaneously injected into SHO mice (Fig. 5). The mice received daily intraperitoneal (i.p.) injections of vemurafenib (25 mg/kg) for 24 days until a median tumor volume of approximately 100 mm³ was reached. Mice were randomized into four different therapy groups: the 1st group was continued with daily injections of vemurafenib (i.p. 25 mg/kg), the 2nd group received drinking water supplemented with doxycycline (1 mg/ml), the 3rd group was treated with a combination of vemurafenib and doxycycline and the 4th group did not receive any further therapy. The therapy group of mice treated with vemurafenib alone exhibited rapid tumor growth as detected by caliper measurements and PET imaging (Fig. 5a, b). In comparison, a doxycycline mediated down-regulation of β -catenin significantly decelerated tumor growth compared to continuous BRAFi therapy. A reduced tumor growth after knockdown of B-catenin could be also observed in the group that did not receive further vemurafenib injections (Fig. 5a). Immunohistochemistry staining of isolated tumors from double treated mice indicated a clearly decreased protein expression of the proliferation marker Ki67 and phospho-ERK1/2 in comparison to the other therapy groups, confirming the reduced tumor cell growth (Fig. 5c, d) and the previous in vitro data. Analysis of the time points when the abort criteria were reached showed a survival benefit for mice receiving combinatorial therapy of BRAFi and β-catenin knockdown. None of these double-treated mice reached the maximum tolerable tumor size (15 mm in diameter) within the observation time of 40 days, in contrast to the mice of the control and single treated groups (Supplementary Fig. 3d).

3.3. β -Catenin Acts Independent of the TCF/LEF Dependent Canonical Wnt-Signaling in the Resistance Mechanism Towards Vemurafenib Treatment

Since nuclear accumulation of β -catenin is strongly indicative for its transcriptional activity in the canonical Wnt signaling pathway, we analyzed the impact of nuclear β-catenin on TCF/LEF dependent gene transcription in BRAFi resistant cells. Therefore, TCF/LEF dependent transcription was determined using a luciferase reporter assay (Super8xTOPFlash) in vemurafenib sensitive and resistant Mel1617, 451Lu and A375 melanoma cell lines (Fig. 6a). Since the three resistant cell lines exhibit increased nuclear accumulation of β -catenin, we expected to find an increase in luciferase activity in resistant clones versus their sensitive counterparts. Surprisingly, the basal level of the TCF/LEF dependent signal was not clearly induced in resistant cells and even reduced in the 451Lu-R cells compared to the sensitive parentals (Fig. 6a). Stabilization of β -catenin using lithium chloride (15 mM) resulted in a markedly increased TOPFlash luciferase signal in resistant and sensitive cells but revealed a significantly diminished induction factor of TCF/LEF dependent gene transcription in the resistant cells. A lower TOPFlash luciferase signal is suggestive for reduced interaction of β -catenin with TCF/LEF. Therefore we performed co-immunoprecipitation experiments of β -catenin in 451Lu, Mel1617 and A375 cells with subsequent immunoblotting for microphtalmia-associated transcription factor (Mitf), Lymphoid enhancer-binding factor 1 (LEF-1) or T-Cell-Specific Transcription Factors (TCF). All three are known to be important interactants of nuclear β -catenin in melanocytic cells. In the BRAFi resistant melanoma cell lines the interaction with LEF-1, TCF-4 and Mitf was at very weak levels, confirming that the additional amount of nuclear β catenin is not mainly involved in the canonical Wnt signaling pathway in these cells. Of note the expression of TCF-4 and LEF-1 as well as of Mitf was diminished in the resistant cell lines Mel1617-R and 451Lu-R compared to the sensitive parentals (Fig. 6b). In a GST-pulldown assay employing GST-tagged TCF-4 we also found a rather significantly reduced interaction capacity of β -catenin with TCF-4 in resistant versus sensitive melanoma cells (451Lu and Mel1617) confirming that the increased nuclear β -catenin levels in the resistant cells do not increase the canonical Wnt signaling capacity (Supplementary Fig. 4a). This was in line with the analysis of previously published transcriptome data on fifty-nine melanomas from twenty-one different patients before chronic therapy with a BRAFi and after resistance had developed (Rizos et al., 2014). Transcriptome analysis using gene sets related to the regulation of nuclear β-catenin signaling and target gene transcription did not reveal a differential expression of the β -catenin interaction partners LEF1 or TCF7L2 (TCF-4) (Supplementary Fig. 4b).

Furthermore, we inhibited protein interactions of B-catenin with TCF/LEF by the treatment with sub-toxic concentrations of the Bcatenin antagonist PKF115-584 (Sinnberg et al., 2011). Surprisingly, similar to the β -catenin stabilization by lithium chloride, the inhibition of the interaction between β -catenin and TCF/LEF factors enhanced the resistance towards vemurafenib in the sensitive 451Lu cell line which indicates a β-catenin mediated TCF/LEF independent resistance mechanism by the release of β -catenin from this complex. The degree of resistance remained unaltered in the corresponding resistance-acquired cell line using PKF115–584 (Fig. 6c). We further used the Super8xTopFlash reporter to analyze the effect of BRAFi on the TCF-4/LEF-1 dependent transcriptional activity of β -catenin. We therefore treated sensitive and resistant cells that had been transfected with the reporter construct with increasing concentrations of vemurafenib for 24 h using clinically relevant doses of 1-10 µM. BRAFi treatment reduced the luciferase signal in the sensitive cells in a significant and concentration-dependent manner. This effect was less pronounced in the resistant cell lines (Fig. 6d). This was in agreement with a rather low binding capacity of β -catenin to TCF-4 after treatment with vemurafenib in a pulldown assay (Supplementary Fig. 4a). Finally, to evaluate a potential direct effect of Wnt ligands that activate either the canonical Wnt or noncanonical Wnt/Ca²⁺ signaling pathways on vemurafenib resistance, we treated the sensitive melanoma cell lines 30 min before the addition of vemurafenib with Wnt3a or Wnt5a. Both pre-treatments did not change sensitivity towards the BRAFi in the cells (Supplementary Fig. 4c, d). In order to further illuminate a possible role of the noncanonical Wnt pathways in our resistant cell lines we used luciferase reporter assays (Ring et al., 2014) detecting transcriptional activity of protein kinase A (PKA) signaling (CRE/cAMP responsive element binding sites), Ca²⁺/protein kinase C (PKC) signaling (NFAT/nuclear factor of activated T cells binding sites) and jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) signaling (AP-1/activator protein 1 binding sites). No significant correlation between β-catenin expression and reporter activity was seen. Interestingly, resistant cells showed a lower basal non-canonical Wnt activity than

Fig. 7. Interaction of β-catenin with Stat3 occurs preferentially in BRAFi resistant melanoma cells. a) Co-immunoprecipitation experiments were done to confirm an interaction of β-catenin with Stat3. Lysates were prepared after crosslinking of the proteins with 0.4% buffered formaldehyde using the sensitive and resistant versions of 451Lu and Mel1617 cells. Precipitation was performed with a β-catenin or a Stat3 specific antibody and detected by immunoblot. Semi-quantification by densitometric analysis was done by calculating the ratios (bound fraction:input fraction) followed by normalization to the sensitive cells (see numbers below the blots and table). b) Immunoblot analysis for Stat3 expression and phosphorylation (Ser727 and Tyr705) in the sensitive and resistant 451Lu, Mel1617 and A375 cell lines. The same lysates as in Fig. 1B were used. Beta-actin protein levels served as loading controls. c) Firefly reporter assay for Stat3 specific transciption was used to detect the nuclear Stat3 signaling activity in the sensitive and resistant melanoma cells of 451Lu, Mel1617 and A375. LiCl (15 mM for 24 h) treatment was used to induce β-catenin accumulation. Firefly signals were normalized to CMV-renilla control signals. Mean values +/- SD of sixtuplicates are shown. Multiple t-tests with Holm-Šidák correction were used to compare data of sensitive and resistant samples and p < 0.05 was considered as significant (asterisk).

sensitive parental cells. This activity was reduced after stabilization of β -catenin with LiCl in sensitive 451Lu and Mel1617 cells but not in resistant cells (Supplementary Fig. 4e). Together, these data strongly support

the hypothesis that stabilized nuclear β -catenin acts at least partially independent of the common TCF-4/LEF-1 dependent canonical Wnt/ β -catenin signaling in the mediation of vemurafenib resistance.





3.4. Stat3 Signaling Is Critical for the β -Catenin Mediated Resistance Towards Vemurafenib

Since we found that resistance mediation of β -catenin to BRAFi is largely independent of the canonical Wnt signaling in melanoma cells we investigated the involvement of other factors. Therefore, we performed co-immunoprecipitation of β -catenin in nuclear extracts of sensitive and resistant Mel1617 cells followed by LC-MS/MS analyses of the interaction partners (Supplementary Table 1). Among the top candidates of identified nuclear proteins enriched in the Mel1617-R sample, Stat3 was suspicious as a critical β -catenin interaction with a significantly increased interaction in resistant compared to sensitive cells (Supplementary Fig. 5a, Supplementary Table 2). We further performed an *in silico* analysis of the interactome of β-catenin and Stat3 and found a set of 148 shared interactants including β -catenin and Stat3 itself (Supplementary Fig. 5b). We confirmed the increased interaction of β -catenin with Stat3 in resistant compared to sensitive 451Lu and Mel1617 cells by western blot analysis after immunoprecipitation of either of the interaction partners. The interaction could be detected in both directions by using antibodies specific for β -catenin or Stat3 for the precipitation and the reciprocal antibody for immunedetection with increased occurrence in resistant 451Lu and Mel1617 cells (Fig. 7a). In A375-R cells we also found increased amounts of Bcatenin in the anti-Stat3 precipitates confirming the increased interaction in BRAFi resistant cells. In SKMel19-R cells the interaction could be detected but was not increased when compared to SKMel19-S cells (Fig. 7a). Stat1 could not be detected in immunoprecipitations of 451Lu and Mel1617 lysates using a β -catenin specific antibody (data not shown). In the BRAFi resistant melanoma cell lines 451Lu-R, Mel1617-R and A375-R that comprised the observed nuclear β catenin stabilization and interaction with Stat3, we found increased phosphorylation of Stat3 at Ser727 and for 451Lu-R additionally at Tyr705 whereas total Stat3 protein levels were not consistently increased in the lysates (Fig. 7b). Both phosphorylation sites are strong indicators of activated Stat3 signaling. Interestingly, the phospho-Ser727 correlated with β -catenin and phospho-Erk1/2 levels (Fig. 1b & 7b). Indeed, Stat3 signaling activity was significantly increased in 451Lu-R and A375-R cells as measured by a Stat3 reporter assay (Fig. 7c) and in Mel1617-R a non-significant trend to increased reporter activity was measured. Resistant cell lines had higher transcript levels of IL-6 which is a target of Stat3 signaling and at the same time activates Stat3 via binding to IL6R α and gp130 activation ending up in a feedforward loop that further activates Stat3 in the tumor microenvironment (Supplementary Fig. 1e). Stabilization of B-catenin using LiCl increased the Stat3 reporter activity in sensitive 451Lu and Mel1617 cells to levels of the corresponding resistant cells (Fig. 7c).

To reveal the functional role of Stat3 in the vemurafenib resistance mechanisms, we overexpressed Stat3 *via* lentiviral gene transfer in sensitive A375 and SKMel19 cell lines. As expected the increased

expression of Stat3 resulted in a decreased sensitivity towards vemurafenib treatment (Fig. 8a). Furthermore, treatment with two different inhibitors of Stat3 activation, S3I-201 (Supplementary Fig. 6a) and Stattic (Fig. 8b), sensitized the resistance-acquired 451Lu-R, Mel1617-R and to a lesser extent A375-R and SKMel19-R cells towards vemurafenib treatment, yielding in a significantly reduced IC₅₀ in the combination treatment of vemurafenib with Stattic compared to the mono-treatment with vemurafenib (Fig. 8b). Isobologram analysis evidenced the synergistic character of combined inhibition of Stat3 and BRAFV600E in terms of reduced viability in the Mel1617-R and 451Lu-R BRAFi resistant cells (Supplementary Fig. 6a). In order to test this combination in a more clinical model we used short term cultured melanoma cells (three models isolated from patient biopsies and one model from a patient derived xenograft mouse) which were derived from clinically BRAFi-resistant BRAFV600E melanomas. A similar beneficial effect of the combination could be measured after three days of treatment in the viability assay (Fig. 8b).

The sensitizing effect was confirmed by using siRNA against Stat3 combined with vemurafenib treatment in 451Lu-R, Mel1617-R and A375-R cells. The amount of re-sensitization was comparable to a siRNA specific for β -catenin (Fig. 8c). These results were additionally substantiated with the results obtained using the Tet-inducible shCTNNB1 in combination with the Stat3 inhibitor Stattic or vemurafenib (Fig. 8d, Supplementary Fig. 6b). To analyze the role of Stat3 and β-catenin interaction in vemurafenib sensitivity, we treated the Tet-inducible shCTNNB1 451Lu-R cells with Stattic and vemurafenib in a fixed ratio (3:20) and additionally induced a β -catenin knockdown in these cells by a preceding doxyxcycline addition. The knockdown enabled a significant decline of cell viability at lower concentrations of Stattic and vemurafenib in comparison to the control cells without the induction of shCTNNB1. As before, β-catenin down-regulation reduced vemurafenib resistance and lowered the IC_{50} for vemurafenib 3.7 fold and the IC_{50} of Stattic approx. 1.3 fold. In combination with the double treatment (using vemurafenib plus Stattic) the induced shRNA acted in an additive to superadditive manner in the resistance-acquired 451Lu-Tet cells (Fig. 8d). These data strongly support an important role of β -catenin for the Stat3 mediated resistance towards vemurafenih

4. Discussion

Acquired resistance to the second generation BRAF inhibitor vemurafenib is limiting the benefits of long term targeted therapy for patients with malignant melanomas that harbor the V600E BRAF mutation (Chapman, 2013; Wagle et al., 2011). Recent studies revealed an enormous heterogeneity of underlying resistant mechanisms within the individual patients but also within single tumors (Hoogstraat et al., 2014; Rizos et al., 2014; Shi et al., 2014). The selective pressure of BRAFi can force clonal evolution of a variety of different phenotypes. This heterogeneity is at least partly reflected in our cell line models of

Fig. 8. Stat3 and β -catenin levels cooperatively mediate resistance to BRAFi in melanoma cells. a) Stat3 was overexpressed in sensitive A375 and SKMel19 cells using a lentivirus (LV-STAT3). After selection the stable overexpression was tested by western blot and the cells were used for cell viability testings (MUH) after 72 h of treatment with vemurafenib. Signals were normalized to the control cells without vemurafenib treatment. Mean +/- SD values of six replicates are shown. Multiple t-tests with Holm-Sidák correction were used to compare data points of the two curves and p < 0.05 was considered as significant (asterisk). F-test revealed a significant different IC₅₀ of the fitted curves. b) Cell viability (MUH assay) 72 h after combinatorial inhibition of Stat3 by Stattic and BRAFV600E by vemurafenib in resistant Mel1617-R, 451Lu-R, A375-R and SKMel19-R cells (top row). Stattic and vemurafenib were mixed in a fixed ratio (3:20) with the maximum concentrations 3 µM for Stattic and 20 µM for vemurafenib. Cells were treated with the inhibitors in ascending concentrations of the combined drugs. 72 h after treatment cell viablitiy was assessed in quintuplicates. The top x-axes represent the concentrations of Stattic and the bottom x-axes represent the concentrations of vemurafenib. Mean +/- SD values are shown. Multiple t-tests with Holm-Šídák correction were used to compare data points of the curves and p < 0.05 was considered as significant (asterisk). F-test revealed a significant different IC50 of the fitted curves. Four short-term melanoma cultures from clinically BRAFi resistant tumors were used for viability testing at 72 h after beginning with either mono or combinatorial treatment using Stattic and vemurafenib (bottom row). c) Cell viability after knockdown of β-catenin, siRNA (50 nM) was used to specifically downregulate either β-catenin or Stat3 in the resistant 451Lu-R. Mel1617-R and A375-R cells, 24 h post transfection cells were treated with increasing concentrations of vemurafenib for 72 h before the measurement of cell viability via MUH assay. Each assay was performed in quintuplicates. Multiple t-tests with Holm-Šídák correction were used to compare data points of the curves and p < 0.05 was considered as significant (asterisk). d) The 451Lu-TetOn-shCTNNB1 resistant cells were used for double (left and middle diagram) and triple targeting (right diagram) of β -catenin (using doxycycline), Stat3 (using Stattic) and BRAFV600E (using vemurafenib). For the knockdown of β-catenin cells were pretreated with 1 µg/ml doxycycline before the addition of the inhibitors. After 72 h of treatment cell viability was assessed. Multiple t-tests with Holm-Šídák correction were used to compare data points of the curves and p < 0.05 was considered as significant (asterisk). Knockdown of β -catenin reduced the IC₅₀ of vemurafenib 3.7 fold and the IC₅₀ of Stattic 1.3 fold. Combination of the knockdown with both inhibitors resulted in an additive effect as shown in the isobologram. Intersections with the axes denote the IC₅₀ values of the corresponding inhibitors. The measured effective IC₅₀ of the combinations are depicted by the dots. Data points of the assay with knockdown are shown in red, black data points represent the cells without knockdown of B-catenin.

resistance where we have diverse expression levels of RTKs and different effects on the level of BRAF that cause the reactivation of the MAPK signaling pathway under vemurafenib treatment. This demonstrates the need to identify common signaling nodes which merge several resistance mechanisms and could be used as additional target structures like the recent discovery of the translational regulator complex EIF4F (Boussemart et al., 2014) or Pax3/Mitf during the adaptation phase to BRAFi (Smith et al., 2016).

Our present results provide additional insight into the complexity of the vemurafenib resistance phenotype of melanomas and advance the basis upon which such resistance may be overcome. The first major finding in this work is the increase of β -catenin protein levels in about half of the melanoma metastases with acquired resistance towards vemurafenib. This suggests that β -catenin plays a role in a subset of melanoma cells with acquired resistance towards BRAFi. In line with the described heterogeneity of resistant melanomas this subset would be ideally suitable for β-catenin targeting. We clearly show that reduced β-catenin levels can strongly enhance the growth inhibitory and apoptotic effects of vemurafenib in a synergistic manner in these cells. Moreover, knocking down of B-catenin levels partially re-sensitized our resistant melanoma cell lines for the BRAF inhibitor vemurafenib. This demonstrates that an increased B-catenin protein level mediates survival of melanoma cells during a therapy resistant state which is in line with a recent finding of Chien et al. (Chien et al., 2014), showing a worse survival of BRAFi treated patients with melanomas containing the BRAFV600E mutation and high β-catenin levels compared to patients with tumors having low β -catenin levels. This supports our previous studies demonstrating that β -catenin can positively affect melanoma cell survival (Sinnberg et al., 2010, 2011). Moreover, we show that knocking down of β -catenin delays the development of resistance to vemurafenib under chronic BRAF inhibition, which further strengthens the important role of β -catenin in the formation of acquired resistance to BRAF inhibitors in melanoma. In the light of the role of Mitf during the adaptation of melanoma cells to BRAFi (Smith et al., 2016) this makes perfect sense since it is known that β -catenin regulates the expression of Mitf (Widlund et al., 2002). However enhanced β -catenin signaling seems not to be generally protective in BRAF mutated melanoma cell lines. Chien and Moon showed enhanced apoptosis induction in some cell lines showing an activated Wnt-/\B-catenin signaling after BRAF inhibition (Biechele et al., 2012) as well as after MEK inhibition (Conrad et al., 2012). However, their results suggest that in melanoma cells exposed to long-term BRAFi, the transcriptional effects of Wnt/β-catenin signaling (measured by AXIN2 transcript levels) are uncoupled from the enhancement of apoptosis by Wnt/ β -catenin signaling. A very early activation of the canonical Wnt signaling pathway after 3–6 h of BRAFi treatment could be detected in our cell lines, too (data not shown) which declined below starting levels after 24 h of BRAF inhibition.

In our resistant melanoma cells the elevated levels of β -catenin did not cause an increase in activity of the canonical Wnt signaling pathway although the increase in β -catenin was also detectable on a nuclear level. Target gene expression like MITF (Dorsky et al., 2000; Widlund et al., 2002) and Tyrosinase (Wang et al., 2015) was even reduced in the resistant cells. This is in accordance with a previously identified resistant subpopulation of chronically treated melanomas with low MITF expression (Müller et al., 2014). Similar results were seen by Chien et al. after chronic treatment of cells with PLX4027 that did not cause increased canonical Wnt signaling activity (Chien et al., 2014). Beyond that, activation of Wnt signaling by Wnt3a stimulation did not mediate resistance to our melanoma cells tested and also did not further sensitize the cells to vemurafenib treatment. In contrast, stabilization of β -catenin by lithium chloride as well as the liberation of β -catenin from complexes with TCF4/ LEF1 by treatment with PKF115–584 reduced melanoma susceptibility to the BRAF inhibitor vemurafenib. This hints at a Wnt signaling independent function of B-catenin in the course of resistance to BRAF inhibition. Beta-catenin can be also stabilized by non-canonical Wnt ligands like Wnt5a, dependent on the receptor context. While binding of Wnt5a to Ror2 usually inhibits the canonical β-catenin dependent signaling pathway (van Amerongen et al., 2012), its binding to frizzled 4/Lrp6 is known to stabilize β-catenin (Grossmann et al., 2013; Mikels and Nusse, 2006). The non-canonical signaling of Wnt5a including the downstream activation of PKC is known to be activated in highly invasive melanomas (Dissanayake et al., 2007; Hoek et al., 2008; Weeraratna et al., 2002). Moreover Wnt5a was previously found to mediate intrinsic and acquired therapy resistance of melanoma cells to BRAFi by signaling through Ror2 (O'Connell et al., 2013). In another recent work Wnt5a expression was shown to be increased in a subset of melanoma cells with activation of Akt mediating acquired resistance to BRAFi (Anastas et al., 2014). Interestingly, the receptors frizzled-7 and Ryk were suspected of mediating this effect. In xenopus cells frizzled-7 is also capable to activate β catenin after binding of Wnt5a (Umbhauer et al., 2000), which could be a hint on Wnt5a dependent β -catenin stabilization in therapy resistant melanoma cells. However, although the resistant form of Mel1617 showed increased expression of Wnt5a no tendency towards increased Wnt5a levels was detected in the other resistant cells tested. In addition, Wnt5a stimulation of sensitive melanoma cell lines did not significantly attenuate the efficacy of vemurafenib. Thereby, we exclude a Wnt3a or Wnt5a dependent stabilization of B-catenin in our cell lines with acquired resistance and we further reason that B-catenin has a Wnt independent function in the acquired resistance mechanisms to BRAFi. From previous studies it is known that the interaction partners of β catenin seem to dictate its functional activities. In melanoma cells interaction of B-catenin with TCF4 drives invasive melanoma growth, whereas interaction with LEF1 predominated in a proliferative phenotype (Eichhoff et al., 2011).

Indeed the second major finding of our work is a so far nondescribed interaction of β -catenin with the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (Stat3) in resistant melanoma cells. Our data prove for the first time a physical interaction of the transcription factors β -catenin and Stat3. The levels of β -catenin in melanoma cells seem to change the signaling activity of Stat3 as determined by β catenin stabilization. This hints on a regulatory function of β -catenin protein levels in Stat3 signaling. In cutaneous melanoma, activation of Stat3 signaling is known to be a negative prognostic factor (Lee et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2007). Our observation that Stat3 overexpression increases vemurafenib resistance and Stat3 knockdown or inhibition increases sensitivity towards vemurafenib is in coincidence with a recent study showing an important role of Stat3 signaling in the mediation of resistance to BRAFi by the induction of the transcription factor Pax3 (Liu et al., 2013). In addition, we found an increase of Stat3 phosphorylation at Ser727 in the same cell lines that showed increased nuclear levels of β-catenin. Phosphorylation at Tyr705 was more variable in the resistant cells confirming the finding that phosphorylation of Ser727 can occur independently of Tyr705 in melanocytic cells (Sakaguchi et al., 2012). Furthermore Stattic reduced melanoma cell viability together with vemurafenib in a synergistic manner in BRAFi resistant melanoma cell lines and patient derived short-term cultures. Additional knockdown of β-catenin further enhanced this growth inhibitory effect. Interestingly, beyond the direct interaction, Stat3 and β -catenin share interaction partners that are known to be involved in the re-activation of MAPK signaling pathways or the hyperactivation of PI3K/Akt signaling during the acquisition of resistance to BRAFi. Among those are the receptor tyrosine kinases Erbb2/3 and c-Met, that mediate resistance via ligand dependent activation of downstream signaling adaptor molecules like Src which is also among the common interactants. Nrg-1, a ligand of Erbb2/Erbb3 Egf-receptor complexes was shown to mediate resistance in melanoma cells to BRAFi (Abel et al., 2013; Tiwary et al., 2014; Wilson et al., 2012) as well as Hgf, the ligand of c-Met (Straussman et al., 2012; Wilson et al., 2012). In malignant melanoma these growth factors can be expressed by stromal cells like cancer associated fibroblasts (Straussman et al., 2012). The corresponding receptors are capable to activate β -catenin upon ligand binding. A putative mechanism could be the activation of members of the Src kinase family. Interestingly, BRAF and MEK inhibition can directly cause an intermediate phosphorylation of Stat3 *via* RTK-driven Src activation in a subset of melanomas (Vultur et al., 2014). A second mechanism of Stat3 activation in the course of resistance acquisition towards BRAFi might be the finding of increased expression of IL-6 which activates the Stat3 signaling pathway *via* its receptor gp130 homodimerization and janus kinase (JAK) activation. Again, JAK1 has gained attention in terms of mediation of primary resistance (Sos et al., 2014) and of secondary resistance to BRAFi (Kim et al., 2015).

Our data indicate that β -catenin and Stat3 are both downstream targets of important mediators of resistance acquisition against chronic treatment with BRAFi and converge in a signaling node. During resistance development β -catenin obviously changes its nuclear interaction partners to Stat3. The convergence of resistance mediating pathways at the level of β -catenin and Stat3 by the formation of a novel protein complex turns this interaction into an important nodal point. According to our finding that inhibition of Stat3 and knockdown of β-catenin both synergized with vemurafenib in the resistant melanoma cells as well as in their combination, targeting of this signaling complex seems to be a promising strategy to improve targeted therapies with BRAFi. Further investigation is needed to decipher the importance of the B-catenin Stat3 interaction for the canonical Wnt pathway, the Stat3 signaling and the resistance acquisition to MAPK pathway inhibitors like BRAFi and MEKi. A further in-depth characterization of the activating mechanisms of Stat3 might lead to novel therapeutic target structures that are capable to overcome acquired resistance to BRAF and maybe even MEK inhibition.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2016.04.037.

Contributions

T.S. and E.M. designed, performed and analyzed most of the experiments. T.S. wrote the manuscript. M.K. performed PET scans and together with T.S. and E.M. performed mice experiments. U.R., O.P. and N.G. assisted with protein interaction studies. A.V. and B.M. performed mass spectrometry. S.C. analyzed transcriptome data. H.N. and F.M. assisted at specimen collection and data collection. K.I. provided the tissue microarray. T.S., C.G. and B.S. conceived and oversaw the project. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Competing financial interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Acknowledgments

We thank Marc Van de Wetering for giving us the Tet inducible sh*CTNNB1* plasmid, Leda Raptis with James Turkson for the Stat3 reporter, Larissa Ring with Alexander Faussner for the non-canonical Wnt signaling reporters and Jessie Villanueva with Meenhard Herlyn for the initial assistance with the generation of resistant melanoma cells. This work was supported by the German Cancer Aid (110-210 and the German Melanoma Research Network), the intramural fortuene program (2198-0-0 & 2291-0-0), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB773) and the Open Access Publishing Fund of the University of Tübingen.

References

- Abel, E.V., Basile, K.J., Kugel, C.H., Witkiewicz, A.K., Le, K., Amaravadi, R.K., Karakousis, G.C., Xu, X., Xu, W., Schuchter, L.M., Lee, J.B., Ertel, A., Fortina, P., Aplin, A.E., 2013. Melanoma adapts to RAF/MEK inhibitors through FOXD3-mediated upregulation of ERBB3. J. Clin. Invest. 123, 2155–2168. http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI65780.
- Albert, B., Silvia, V., 2012. The nuclear factor KB inhibitor (E)-2-fluoro-4'-methoxystilbene inhibits firefly luciferase.

- Anastas, J.N., Kulikauskas, R.M., Tamir, T., Rizos, H., Long, G.V., von Euw, E.M., Yang, P.-T., Chen, H.-W., Haydu, L., Toroni, R.A., Lucero, O.M., Chien, A.J., Moon, R.T., 2014. WNT5A enhances resistance of melanoma cells to targeted BRAF inhibitors. J. Clin. Invest. 124, 2877–2890. http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI70156.
- Benham-Pyle, B.W., Pruitt, B.L., Nelson, W.J., 2015. Mechanical strain induces E-cadherindependent Yap1 and -catenin activation to drive cell cycle entry. Science 348 (80-.), 1024–1027. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa4559.
- Biechele, T.L., Kulikauskas, R.M., Toroni, R.A., Lucero, O.M., Swift, R.D., James, R.G., Robin, N.C., Dawson, D.W., Moon, R.T., Chien, A.J., 2012. Wnt/β-catenin signaling and AXIN1 regulate apoptosis triggered by inhibition of the mutant kinase BRAFV600E in human melanoma. Sci. Signal. 5, ra3. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.2002274.
- Boussemart, L., Malka-Mahieu, H., Girault, I., Allard, D., Hemmingsson, O., Tomasic, G., Thomas, M., Basmadjian, C., Ribeiro, N., Thuaud, F., Mateus, C., Routier, E., Kamsu-Kom, N., Agoussi, S., Eggermont, A.M., Désaubry, L., Robert, C., Vagner, S., 2014. eIF4F is a nexus of resistance to anti-BRAF and anti-MEK cancer therapies. Nature 513, 105–109. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13572.
- Carlino, M.S., Gowrishankar, K., Saunders, C.A.B., Pupo, G.M., Snoyman, S., Zhang, X.D., Saw, R., Becker, T.M., Kefford, R.F., Long, G.V., Rizos, H., 2013. Antiproliferative effects of continued mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway inhibition following acquired resistance to BRAF and/or MEK inhibition in melanoma. Mol. Cancer Ther. 12, 1332–1342. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-13-0011.
- Chapman, P.B., 2013. Mechanisms of resistance to RAF inhibition in melanomas harboring a BRAF mutation. Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. Educ. Book
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1200/EdBook_AM.2013.33.e80.
 Chapman, P.B., Hauschild, A., Robert, C., Haanen, J.B., Ascierto, P., Larkin, J., Dummer, R., Garbe, C., Testori, A., Maio, M., Hogg, D., Lorigan, P., Lebbe, C., Jouary, T., Schadendorf, D., Ribas, A., O'Day, S.J., Sosman, J.A., Kirkwood, J.M., Eggermont, A.M.M., Dreno, B., Nolop, K., Li, J., Nelson, B., Hou, J., Lee, R.J., Flaherty, K.T., McArthur, G.A., 2011. Improved survival with vemurafenib in melanoma with BRAF V600E mutation. N. Engl. J. Med. 364, 2507–2516. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1103782.
- Chapman, P.B., Hauschild, A., Robert, C., Larkin, J.M.G., Haanen, J.B.A.G., Ribas, A., Hogg, D., Hamid, O., Ascierto, P.A., Testori, A., Lorigan, P., Dummer, R., Sosman, J.A., Garbe, C., Maio, M., Nolop, K.B., Nelson, B.J., Joe, A.K., Flaherty, K.T., McArthur, G.A., 2012. Updated overall survival (OS) results for BRIM-3, a phase III randomized, open-label, multicenter trial comparing BRAF inhibitor vemurafenib (vem) with dacarbazine (DTIC) in previously untreated patients with BRAFV600E-mutated melanoma. ASCO Meet. Abstr. 30 (8502–).
- Chien, A.J., Haydu, L.E., Biechele, T.L., Kulikauskas, R.M., Rizos, H., Kefford, R.F., Scolyer, R.A., Moon, R.T., Long, G.V., 2014. Targeted BRAF inhibition impacts survival in melanoma patients with high levels of Wht/β-catenin signaling. PLoS One 9, e94748. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0094748.
- Conrad, W.H., Swift, R.D., Biechele, T.L., Kulikauskas, R.M., Moon, R.T., Chien, A.J., 2012. Regulating the response to targeted MEK inhibition in melanoma: enhancing apoptosis in NRAS- and BRAF-mutant melanoma cells with Wnt/β-catenin activation. Cell Cycle 11, 3724–3730. http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/cc.21645.
- Davies, H., Bignell, G.R., Cox, C., Stephens, P., Edkins, S., Clegg, S., Teague, J., Woffendin, H., Garnett, M.J., Bottomley, W., Davis, N., Dicks, E., Ewing, R., Floyd, Y., Gray, K., Hall, S., Hawes, R., Hughes, J., Kosmidou, V., Menzies, A., Mould, C., Parker, A., Stevens, C., Watt, S., Hooper, S., Wilson, R., Jayatilake, H., Gusterson, B.A., Cooper, C., Shipley, J., Hargrave, D., Pritchard-Jones, K., Maitland, N., Chenevix-Trench, G., Riggins, G.J., Bigner, D.D., Palmieri, G., Cossu, A., Flanagan, A., Nicholson, A., Ho, J.W.C., Leung, S.Y., Yuen, S.T., Weber, B.L., Seigler, H.F., Darrow, T.L., Paterson, H., Marais, R., Marshall, C.J., Wooster, R., Stratton, M.R., Futreal, P.A., 2002. Mutations of the BRAF gene in human cancer. Nature 417, 949–954. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature00766.
- Desbois-Mouthon, C., Cadoret, A., Blivet-Van Eggelpoël, M.J., Bertrand, F., Cherqui, G., Perret, C., Capeau, J., 2001. Insulin and IGF-1 stimulate the beta-catenin pathway through two signalling cascades involving GSK-3beta inhibition and Ras activation. Oncogene 20, 252–259. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1204064.
- Dissanayake, S.K., Wade, M., Johnson, C.E., O'Connell, M.P., Leotlela, P.D., French, A.D., Shah, K.V., Hewitt, K.J., Rosenthal, D.T., Indig, F.E., Jiang, Y., Nickoloff, B.J., Taub, D.D., Trent, J.M., Moon, R.T., Bittner, M., Weeraratna, A.T., 2007. The Wht5A/protein kinase C pathway mediates motility in melanoma cells via the inhibition of metastasis suppressors and initiation of an epithelial to mesenchymal transition. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 17259–17271. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M700075200.
- Dorsky, R.I., Raible, D.W., Moon, R.T., 2000. Direct regulation of nacre, a zebrafish MITF homolog required for pigment cell formation, by the Wnt pathway. Genes Dev. 14, 158–162.
- Eichhoff, O.M., Weeraratna, A., Zipser, M.C., Denat, L., Widmer, D.S., Xu, M., Kriegl, L., Kirchner, T., Larue, L., Dummer, R., Hoek, K.S., 2011. Differential LEF1 and TCF4 expression is involved in melanoma cell phenotype switching. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-148X.2011.00871.x.
- Flaherty, K.T., Puzanov, I., Kim, K.B., Ribas, A., McArthur, G.A., Sosman, J.A., O'Dwyer, P.J., Lee, R.J., Grippo, J.F., Nolop, K., Chapman, P.B., 2010. Inhibition of mutated, activated BRAF in metastatic melanoma. N. Engl. J. Med. 363, 809–819. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEIMoa1002011.
- Girotti, M.R., Pedersen, M., Sanchez-Laorden, B., Viros, A., Turajlic, S., Niculescu-Duvaz, D., Zambon, A., Sinclair, J., Hayes, A., Gore, M., Lorigan, P., Springer, C., Larkin, J., Jorgensen, C., Marais, R., 2013. Inhibiting EGF receptor or SRC family kinase signaling overcomes BRAF inhibitor resistance in melanoma. Cancer Discov. 3, 158–167. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-12-0386.
- Grossmann, A.H., Yoo, J.H., Clancy, J., Sorensen, L.K., Sedgwick, A., Tong, Z., Ostanin, K., Rogers, A., Grossmann, K.F., Tripp, S.R., Thomas, K.R., D'Souza-Schorey, C., Odelberg, S.J., Li, D.Y., 2013. The small GTPase ARF6 stimulates β-catenin transcriptional activity during WNT5A-mediated melanoma invasion and metastasis. Sci. Signal. 6, ra14. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.2003398.

- Hauschild, A., Grob, J.J., Demidov, L.V., Jouary, T., Gutzmer, R., Millward, M., Rutkowski, P., Blank, C.U., Miller, W.H., Kaempgen, E., Martín-Algarra, S., Karaszewska, B., Mauch, C., Chiarion-Sileni, V., Martin, A.M., Swann, S., Haney, P., Mirakhur, B., Guckert, M.E., Goodman, V., Chapman, P.B., 2012. Dabrafenib in BRAF-mutated metastatic melanoma: a multicentre, open-label, phase 3 randomised controlled trial. Lancet 380, 358–365. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60868-X.
- Hoek, K.S., Eichhoff, O.M., Schlegel, N.C., Döbbeling, U., Kobert, N., Schaerer, L., Hemmi, S., Dummer, R., 2008. In vivo switching of human melanoma cells between proliferative and invasive states. Cancer Res. 68, 650–656. http://dx.doi.org/10.1150/000010171201010

http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-2491.

- Holderfield, M., Deuker, M.M., McCormick, F., McMahon, M., 2014. Targeting RAF kinases for cancer therapy: BRAF-mutated melanoma and beyond. Nat. Rev. Cancer 14, 455–467. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrc3760.
- Hoogstraat, M., Gadellaa-van Hooijdonk, C.G., Ubink, I., Besselink, N.J.M., Pieterse, M., Veldhuis, W., van Stralen, M., Meijer, E.F.J., Willems, S.M., Hadders, M.A., Kuilman, T., Krijgsman, O., Peeper, D.S., Koudijs, M.J., Cuppen, E., Voest, E.E., Lolkema, M.P., 2014. Detailed imaging and genetic analysis reveal a secondary BRAF(L) (505H) resistance mutation and extensive intrapatient heterogeneity in metastatic BRAF mutant melanoma patients treated with vemurafenib. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/pcnrr.12347.
- Ji, H., Wang, J., Nika, H., Hawke, D., Keezer, S., Ge, Q., Fang, B., Fang, X., Fang, D., Litchfield, D.W., Aldape, K., Lu, Z., 2009. EGF-induced ERK activation promotes CK2-mediated disassociation of alpha-Catenin from beta-Catenin and transactivation of beta-Catenin. Mol. Cell 36, 547–559. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2009.09.034.
- Johnson, D.B., Flaherty, K.T., Weber, J.S., Infante, J.R., Kim, K.B., Kefford, R.F., Hamid, O., Schuchter, L., Cebon, J., Sharfman, W.H., McWilliams, R.R., Sznol, M., Lawrence, D.P., Gibney, G.T., Burris, H.A., Falchook, G.S., Algazi, A., Lewis, K., Long, G.V., Patel, K., Ibrahim, N., Sun, P., Little, S., Cunningham, E., Sosman, J.A., Daud, A., Gonzalez, R., 2014. Combined BRAF (Dabrafenib) and MEK inhibition (Trametinib) in patients with BRAFV600-mutant melanoma experiencing progression with single-agent BRAF inhibitor. J. Clin. Oncol. 32, 3697–3704. http://dx.doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2014.57.3535.
- Kendziorra, E., Ahlborn, K., Spitzner, M., Rave-Fränk, M., Emons, G., Gaedcke, J., Kramer, F., Wolff, H.A., Becker, H., Beissbarth, T., Ebner, R., Ghadimi, B.M., Pukrop, T., Ried, T., Grade, M., 2011. Silencing of the Wnt transcription factor TCF4 sensitizes colorectal cancer cells to (chemo-) radiotherapy. Carcinogenesis 32, 1824–1831. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgr222.
- Kim, H., Frederick, D.T., Levesque, M.P., Cooper, Z.A., Feng, Y., Krepler, C., Brill, L., Samuels, Y., Hayward, N.K., Perlina, A., Piris, A., Zhang, T., Halaban, R., Herlyn, M.M., Brown, K.M., Wargo, J.A., Dummer, R., Flaherty, K.T., Ronai, Z.A., 2015. Downregulation of the ubiquitin ligase RNF125 underlies resistance of melanoma cells to BRAF inhibitors via JAK1 deregulation. Cell Rep. 11, 1458–1473.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.04.049.
- Kumar, R., Angelini, S., Czene, K., Sauroja, I., Hahka-Kemppinen, M., Pyrhönen, S., Hemminki, K., 2003. BRAF mutations in metastatic melanoma: a possible association with clinical outcome. Clin. Cancer Res. 9, 3362–3368.
- Lee, I., Fox, P.S., Ferguson, S.D., Bassett, R., Kong, L.-Y., Schacherer, C.W., Gershenwald, J.E., Grimm, E.A., Fuller, G.N., Heimberger, A.B., 2012. The expression of p-STAT3 in stage IV melanoma: risk of CNS metastasis and survival. Oncotarget 3, 336–344.
- Lim, J.C., Mickute, Z., Zaman, M., Hopkins, S., Wijesuriya, H., Steckler, T., Moechars, D., Van Leuven, F., Sarnyai, Z., Hladky, S.B., Barrand, M.A., 2009. Decreased expression of multidrug efflux transporters in the brains of GSK-3beta transgenic mice. Brain Res. 1276, 1–10. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2009.04.031.
- Liu, F., Cao, J., Wu, J., Sullivan, K., Shen, J., Ryu, B., Xu, Z., Wei, W., Cui, R., 2013. Stat3targeted therapies overcome the acquired resistance to vemurafenib in melanomas. J. Investig. Dermatol. 133, 2041–2049. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/jid.2013.32.
- Long, G.V., Menzies, A.M., Nagrial, A.M., Haydu, L.E., Hamilton, A.L., Mann, G.J., Hughes, T.M., Thompson, J.F., Scolyer, R.A., Kefford, R.F., 2011. Prognostic and clinicopathologic associations of oncogenic BRAF in metastatic melanoma. J. Clin. Oncol. 29, 1239–1246. http://dx.doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2010.32.4327.
- Long, G.V., Stroyakovskiy, D., Gogas, H., Levchenko, E., de Braud, F., Larkin, J., Garbe, C., Jouary, T., Hauschild, A., Grob, J.J., Sileni, V.C., Lebbe, C., Mandalà, M., Millward, M., Arance, A., Bondarenko, I., Haanen, J.B.A.G., Hansson, J., Utikal, J., Ferraresi, V., Kovalenko, N., Mohr, P., Probachai, V., Schadendorf, D., Nathan, P., Robert, C., Ribas, A., DeMarini, D.J., Irani, J.G., Casey, M., Ouellet, D., Martin, A.-M., Le, N., Patel, K., Flaherty, K., 2014. Combined BRAF and MEK inhibition versus BRAF inhibition alone in melanoma. N. Engl. J. Med. 371. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1406037 (140929070023009).
- Luckert, K., Gujral, T.S., Chan, M., Sevecka, M., Joos, T.O., Sorger, P.K., MacBeath, G., Potz, O., 2012. A dual array-based approach to assess the abundance and posttranslational modification state of signaling proteins. Sci. Signal. 5, pl1-pl1. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.2002372.
- Menzies, A.M., Long, G.V., 2014. Systemic treatment for BRAF-mutant melanoma: where do we go next? Lancet Oncol. 15, e371–e381.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70072-5.

- Mikels, A.J., Nusse, R., 2006. Purified Wnt5a protein activates or inhibits beta-catenin-TCF signaling depending on receptor context. PLoS Biol. 4, e115.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0040115.
- Müller, J., Krijgsman, O., Tsoi, J., Robert, L., Hugo, W., Song, C., Kong, X., Possik, P.A., Cornelissen-Steijger, P.D.M., Foppen, M.H.G., Kemper, K., Goding, C.R., McDermott, U., Blank, C., Haanen, J., Graeber, T.G., Ribas, A., Lo, R.S., Peeper, D.S., 2014. Low MITF/AXL ratio predicts early resistance to multiple targeted drugs in melanoma. Nat. Commun. 5, 5712. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms6712.
- Nazarian, R., Shi, H., Wang, Q., Kong, X., Koya, R.C., Lee, H., Chen, Z., Lee, M.-K., Attar, N., Sazegar, H., Chodon, T., Nelson, S.F., McArthur, G., Sosman, J.A., Ribas, A., Lo, R.S., 2010. Melanomas acquire resistance to B-RAF(V600E) inhibition by RTK or N-RAS upregulation. Nature 468, 973–977. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09626.

- O'Connell, M.P., Marchbank, K., Webster, M.R., Valiga, A.A., Kaur, A., Vultur, A., Li, L., Herlyn, M., Villanueva, J., Liu, Q., Yin, X., Widura, S., Nelson, J., Ruiz, N., Camilli, T.C., Indig, F.E., Flaherty, K.T., Wargo, J.A., Frederick, D.T., Cooper, Z.A., Nair, S., Amaravadi, R.K., Schuchter, L.M., Karakousis, G.C., Xu, W., Xu, X., Weeraratna, A.T., 2013. Hypoxia induces phenotypic plasticity and therapy resistance in melanoma via the tyrosine kinase receptors ROR1 and ROR2. Cancer Discov. 3, 1378–1393. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-13-0005.
- Purcell, R., Childs, M., Maibach, R., Miles, C., Turner, C., Zimmermann, A., Sullivan, M., 2011. HGF/c-Met related activation of β-catenin in hepatoblastoma. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 30, 96. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1756-9966-30-96.
- Ring, L, Neth, P., Weber, C., Steffens, S., Faussner, A., 2014, β-Catenin-dependent pathway activation by both promiscuous "canonical" WNT3a-, and specific "noncanonical" WNT4- and WNT5a-FZD receptor combinations with strong differences in LRP5 and LRP6 dependency. Cell. Signal. 26, 260–267. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2013.11.021.
- Rizos, H., Menzies, A.M., Pupo, G.M., Carlino, M.S., Fung, C., Hyman, J., Haydu, L.E., Mijatov, B., Becker, T.M., Boyd, S.C., Howle, J., Saw, R., Thompson, J.F., Kefford, R.F., Scolyer, R.A., Long, G.V., 2014. BRAF inhibitor resistance mechanisms in metastatic melanoma: spectrum and clinical impact. Clin. Cancer Res. 20, 1965–1977. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-3122.
- Robert, C., Karaszewska, B., Schachter, J., Rutkowski, P., Mackiewicz, A., Stroiakovski, D., Lichinitser, M., Dummer, R., Grange, F., Mortier, L., Chiarion-Sileni, V., Drucis, K., Krajsova, I., Hauschild, A., Lorigan, P., Wolter, P., Long, G.V., Flaherty, K., Nathan, P., Ribas, A., Martin, A.-M., Sun, P., Crist, W., Legos, J., Rubin, S.D., Little, S.M., Schadendorf, D., 2014. Improved overall survival in melanoma with combined dabrafenib and trametinib. N. Engl. J. Med. 372.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1412690 (141116004513004).

- Saifo, M.S., Rempinski, D.R., Rustum, Y.M., Azrak, R.G., 2010. Targeting the oncogenic protein beta-catenin to enhance chemotherapy outcome against solid human cancers. Mol. Cancer 9, 310. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1476-4598-9-310.
- Sakaguchi, M., Oka, M., Iwasaki, T., Fukami, Y., Nishigori, C., 2012. Role and regulation of STAT3 phosphorylation at Ser727 in melanocytes and melanoma cells. J. Investig. Dermatol. 132, 1877–1885. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/jid.2012.45.
- Seiler, C.Y., Park, J.G., Sharma, A., Hunter, P., Surapaneni, P., Sedillo, C., Field, J., Algar, R., Price, A., Steel, J., Throop, A., Fiacco, M., LaBaer, J., 2013. DNASU plasmid and PSI: biology-materials repositories: resources to accelerate biological research. Nucleic Acids Res. 42, D1253–D1260. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1060.
- Shi, H., Hugo, W., Kong, X., Hong, A., Koya, R.C., Moriceau, G., Chodon, T., Guo, R., Johnson, D.B., Dahlman, K.B., Kelley, M.C., Kefford, R.F., Chmielowski, B., Glaspy, J.A., Sosman, J.A., van Baren, N., Long, G.V., Ribas, A., Lo, R.S., 2014. Acquired resistance and clonal evolution in melanoma during BRAF inhibitor therapy. Cancer Discov. 4, 80–93. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-13-0642.
- Sinnberg, T., Menzel, M., Kaesler, S., Biedermann, T., Sauer, B., Nahnsen, S., Schwarz, M., Garbe, C., Schittek, B., 2010. Suppression of casein kinase 1alpha in melanoma cells induces a switch in beta-catenin signaling to promote metastasis. Cancer Res. 70, 6999–7009. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-0645.
- Sinnberg, T., Menzel, M., Ewerth, D., Sauer, B., Schwarz, M., Schaller, M., Garbe, C., Schittek, B., 2011. β-Catenin signaling increases during melanoma progression and promotes tumor cell survival and chemoresistance. PLoS One 6, e23429. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023429.
- Smith, M.P., Brunton, H., Rowling, E.J., Ferguson, J., Arozarena, I., Miskolczi, Z., Lee, J.L., Girotti, M.R., Marais, R., Levesque, M.P., Dummer, R., Frederick, D.T., Flaherty, K.T., Cooper, Z.A., Wargo, J.A., Wellbrock, C., 2016. Inhibiting drivers of non-mutational drug tolerance is a salvage strategy for targeted melanoma therapy. Cancer Cell 29, 270–284. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2016.02.003.
- Sos, M.L., Levin, R.S., Gordan, J.D., Oses-Prieto, J.A., Webber, J.T., Salt, M., Hann, B., Burlingame, A.L., McCormick, F., Bandyopadhyay, S., Shokat, K.M., 2014. Oncogene mimicry as a mechanism of primary resistance to BRAF inhibitors. Cell Rep. 8, 1037–1048. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.07.010.
- Straussman, R., Morikawa, T., Shee, K., Barzily-Rokni, M., Qian, Z.R., Du, J., Davis, A., Mongare, M.M., Gould, J., Frederick, D.T., Cooper, Z.A., Chapman, P.B., Solit, D.B., Ribas, A., Lo, R.S., Flaherty, K.T., Ogino, S., Wargo, J.A., Golub, T.R., 2012. Tumour micro-environment elicits innate resistance to RAF inhibitors through HGF secretion. Nature 487, 500–504. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11183.
- Sun, C., Wang, L., Huang, S., Heynen, G.J.J.E., Prahallad, A., Robert, C., Haanen, J., Blank, C., Wesseling, J., Willems, S.M., Zecchin, D., Hobor, S., Bajpe, P.K., Lieftink, C., Mateus, C., Vagner, S., Grernrum, W., Hofland, I., Schlicker, A., Wessels, L.F.A., Beijersbergen, R.L., Bardelli, A., Di Nicolantonio, F., Eggermont, A.M.M., Bernards, R., 2014. Reversible and adaptive resistance to BRAF(V600E) inhibition in melanoma. Nature 508, 118–122. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13121.
- Tiwary, S., Preziosi, M., Rothberg, P.G., Zeitouni, N., Corson, N., Xu, L., 2014. ERBB3 is required for metastasis formation of melanoma cells. Oncogenesis 3, e110. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/oncsis.2014.23.
- Traenkle, B., Emele, F., Anton, R., Poetz, O., Haeussler, R.S., Maier, J., Kaiser, P.D., Scholz, A.M., Nueske, S., Buchfellner, A., Romer, T., Rothbauer, U., 2015. Monitoring interactions and dynamics of endogenous beta-catenin with intracellular nanobodies in living cells. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 14, 707–723. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M114.044016.
- Umbhauer, M., Djiane, A., Goisset, C., Penzo-Méndez, A., Riou, J.F., Boucaut, J.C., Shi, D.L., 2000. The C-terminal cytoplasmic Lys-thr-X-X-Trp motif in frizzled receptors mediates Wnt/beta-catenin signalling. EMBO J. 19, 4944–4954. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/emboj/19.18.4944.
- van Amerongen, R., Fuerer, C., Mizutani, M., Nusse, R., 2012. Wnt5a can both activate and repress Wnt/β-catenin signaling during mouse embryonic development. Dev. Biol. 369, 101–114. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2012.06.020.
- van de Wetering, M., Oving, I., Muncan, V., Pon Fong, M.T., Brantjes, H., van Leenen, D., Holstege, F.C.P., Brummelkamp, T.R., Agami, R., Clevers, H., 2003. Specific inhibition

of gene expression using a stably integrated, inducible small-interfering-RNA vector. EMBO Rep. 4, 609–615. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.embor.embor865.

- Villanueva, J., Vultur, A., Lee, J.T., Somasundaram, R., Fukunaga-Kalabis, M., Cipolla, A.K., Wubbenhorst, B., Xu, X., Gimotty, P.A., Kee, D., Santiago-Walker, A.E., Letrero, R., D'Andrea, K., Pushparajan, A., Hayden, J.E., Brown, K.D., Laquerre, S., McArthur, G.A., Sosman, J.A., Nathanson, K.L., Herlyn, M., 2010. Acquired resistance to BRAF inhibitors mediated by a RAF kinase switch in melanoma can be overcome by cotargeting MEK and IGF-1R/PI3K. Cancer Cell 18, 683–695. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2010.11.023.
- Vultur, A., Villanueva, J., Krepler, C., Rajan, G., Chen, Q., Xiao, M., Li, L., Gimotty, P.A., Wilson, M., Hayden, J., Keeney, F., Nathanson, K.L., Herlyn, M., 2014. MEK inhibition affects STAT3 signaling and invasion in human melanoma cell lines. Oncogene 33, 1850–1861. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/onc.2013.131.
- Wagle, N., Emery, C., Berger, M.F., Davis, M.J., Sawyer, A., Pochanard, P., Kehoe, S.M., Johannessen, C.M., Macconaill, L.E., Hahn, W.C., Meyerson, M., Garraway, L.A., 2011. Dissecting therapeutic resistance to RAF inhibition in melanoma by tumor genomic profiling. J. Clin. Oncol. 29, 3085–3096. http://dx.doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2010.33.2312.
- Wang, W., Edington, H.D., Rao, U.N.M., Jukic, D.M., Land, S.R., Ferrone, S., Kirkwood, J.M., 2007. Modulation of signal transducers and activators of transcription 1 and 3 signaling in melanoma by high-dose IFNalpha2b. Clin. Cancer Res. 13, 1523–1531. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-1387.
- Wang, X., Liu, Y., Chen, H., Mei, L., He, C., Jiang, L., Niu, Z., Sun, J., Luo, H., Li, J., Feng, Y., 2015. LEF-1 regulates tyrosinase gene transcription in vitro. PLoS One 10, e0143142. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0143142.

- Watanabe, K., Dai, X., 2011. A WNTer revisit: new faces of β-catenin and TCFs in pluripotency. Sci. Signal. 4, pe41. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.2002436.
- Weeraratna, A.T., Jiang, Y., Hostetter, G., Rosenblatt, K., Duray, P., Bittner, M., Trent, J.M., 2002. Wnt5a signaling directly affects cell motility and invasion of metastatic melanoma. Cancer Cell 1, 279–288.
- Widlund, H.R., Horstmann, M.A., Price, E.R., Cui, J., Lessnick, S.L., Wu, M., He, X., Fisher, D.E., 2002. Beta-catenin-induced melanoma growth requires the downstream target Microphthalmia-associated transcription factor. J. Cell Biol. 158, 1079–1087. http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200202049.
- Wilson, T.R., Fridlyand, J., Yan, Y., Penuel, E., Burton, L., Chan, E., Peng, J., Lin, E., Wang, Y., Sosman, J., Ribas, A., Li, J., Moffat, J., Sutherlin, D.P., Koeppen, H., Merchant, M., Neve, R., Settleman, J., 2012. Widespread potential for growth-factor-driven resistance to anticancer kinase inhibitors. Nature 487, 505–509. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11249.
- Zhang, J., Shemezis, J.R., McQuinn, E.R., Wang, J., Sverdlov, M., Chenn, A., 2013. AKT activation by N-cadherin regulates beta-catenin signaling and neuronal differentiation during cortical development. Neural Dev. 8, 7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1749-8104-8-7.
- Zhou, S., 2011. TGF-β regulates β-catenin signaling and osteoblast differentiation in human mesenchymal stem cells. J. Cell. Biochem. 112, 1651–1660. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcb.23079.