

The anaerobic gut fungal community in ostriches (*Struthio camelus*)

Julia Vinzelj^{1*}, Kathryn Nash¹, Adrienne L. Jones¹, R. Ty Young¹, Casey H. Meili¹, Carrie J. Pratt¹, Yan Wang², Mostafa S. Elshahed¹, and Noha H. Youssef¹

¹Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, U.S.A..

² Department of Biological Sciences, University of Toronto Scarborough, Toronto, ON, Canada

*Corresponding author. Address: 1110 S. Innovation Way, Stillwater, OK, 74074. Email: julia.vinzelj@okstate.edu.

Abstract

Anaerobic gut fungi (AGF; *Neocallimastigomycota*) are essential for plant biomass degradation in herbivores. While extensively studied in mammals, information regarding their occurrence, diversity, and community structure in non-mammalian hosts remains sparse. Here, we report on the AGF community in ostriches (*Struthio camelus*), herbivorous, flightless, hindgut fermenting members of the class *Aves* (birds). Culture-independent diversity surveys of fecal samples targeting the D2 region of the large ribosomal subunit (28S rRNA) revealed a uniform community with low alpha diversity. The community was mostly comprised of sequences potentially representing two novel species in the genus *Piromyces*, and a novel genus in the *Neocallimastigomycota*. Sequences affiliated with these novel taxa were absent or extremely rare in datasets derived from mammalian and tortoise samples, indicating a strong pattern of AGF-host association. One *Piromyces* strain (strain Ost1) was successfully isolated. Transcriptomics-enabled molecular dating analysis suggested a divergence time of ≈ 30 Mya, a time frame in line with current estimates for ostrich evolution. Comparative gene content analysis between strain Ost1 and other *Piromyces* species from mammalian sources revealed a high degree of similarity. Our findings expand the range of AGF animal hosts to include members of the birds (class *Aves*), highlight a unique AGF community adapted to the ostrich alimentary tract, and demonstrate that – like mammals – coevolutionary phyllosymbiosis (i.e. concurrent evolution of AGF and their animal hosts) plays a central role in explaining current AGF distribution patterns in *Aves*.

Introduction

Anaerobic gut fungi (AGF) are a clade of basal, zoospore producing fungi belonging to the phylum *Neocallimastigomycota* within the subkingdom *Chytridiomyceta*¹. They inhabit the digestive tracts of herbivores, crucially aiding in the degradation of plant material and its fermentation.^{2,3} To date, 22 genera of AGF have been described^{4,5}, though large-scale culture-independent surveys predict at least twice as many still uncultured⁶. AGF were originally isolated from placental mammals⁷, and their occurrence and diversity have been extensively studied in domesticated mammalian hosts (e.g., cows, goats, sheep, and horses) owing to their economic importance and ease of sampling. Large-scale culture-independent studies, however, have also identified AGF in many additional wild mammalian^{6,8}, marsupial⁹, and non-mammalian hosts such as green iguanas¹⁰, and tortoises¹¹. The recent isolation of novel strains belonging to basal clades of AGF from tortoises highlights the underexplored scope of diversity and host range of AGF⁵.

It is currently unclear what exactly defines the AGF ecological niche, though factors such as host phylogeny, herbivory, prolonged feed retention time, and the presence of dedicated fermentation sites within the digestive tract have been proposed as key determinants. Among these, host phylogeny has been shown to have a greater impact on AGF community composition than diet or other environmental factors^{6,10,12}. AGF are slow-growers and tend to adhere to plant material¹³, suggesting that their survival in a competitive environment might, in part, be dependent on longer retention times. This aligns with the mean feed retention times found in ruminants (43-75 hours)¹⁴ and mammalian hindgut fermenters (24-47 hours)¹⁴⁻¹⁶, as compared to the retention time found in carnivores.¹⁷ Tortoises exhibit the longest retention time (7-14 days)¹⁸⁻²⁰ of all AGF hosts identified so far. To the best of our knowledge, no

comprehensive study has defined the ecological niche of AGF in more detail, though reports exist on the absence of AGF in omnivores like pigs²¹ and dogs.²²

Birds (class *Aves*), a lineage of warm-blooded, non-mammalian vertebrates within the clade *Sauropsida*, exhibit great ecological and physiological diversity. While most extant bird species are omnivorous, an estimated 2% thrive on mostly herbivorous diets.^{23,24} Many of those herbivorous birds compensate for the low digestibility of plant matter by increasing food intake and shortening gastrointestinal retention times^{23–25}, adaptations that are potentially unfavorable for the establishment of AGF. Ostriches (genus *Struthio*), however, represent an exception within *Aves*. As large, herbivorous, flightless members of the *Palaeognathae* (an infraclass that also includes rheas, cassowaries, emus, and kiwis), they primarily consume grasses, shrubs, and succulents.^{25,26} They are known to be apt lignocellulose degraders, degrading up to 60% of grasses and leaves eaten.²⁷ They are equipped with highly specialized gastrointestinal adaptations, including the gizzard filled with grit for mechanical disruption and storage of plant biomass, and large, compartmentalized sacculated ceca with an elongated and partly sacculated colon as main fermentation sites.²⁸ The retention time in ostriches (30–40 hours^{29,30}) resembles that of mammalian hindgut fermenters. Additionally, the ceca and colon are highly efficient in the absorption of water from the digesta, probably an adaptation to the arid environment in which ostriches evolved.²⁹

We hypothesized that the alimentary tract architecture and nutritional preferences of ostriches would allow for the establishment and propagation of AGF as a component of their gut microbiome. To test this hypothesis, we examined the occurrence, diversity, and community structure of AGF communities in ostrich fecal samples using a combination of culture-independent and culture-based surveys. Our results highlight the novelty of AGF taxa

112 encountered in ostriches as well as the differences and similarities compared to their
113 mammalian counterparts. The ecological and evolutionary implications of these findings are
114 discussed.
115

Materials and Methods

Samples. Ostrich fecal samples (n = 13) were collected between 2020 and 2022 from the Oklahoma City Zoo, as well as private ranches in Oklahoma and Texas, U.S.A. (Table S1). All samples were obtained from adult ostriches, mostly fed a pellet diet composed of corn, soy, and pre-mixed commercially sold ostrich feed. The samples were obtained shortly after defecation and collected in 15- or 50-ml Falcon tubes that were placed on ice during transfer to the laboratory, where they were stored at -20°C.

DNA extraction and amplification. DNA extraction from fecal samples was performed using the DNeasy Plant Pro kit (Qiagen®, Germantown, Maryland, U.S.A.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For detection and characterization of the AGF community, the primer pair AGF-LSU-EnvS For and AGF-LSU-EnvS Rev with Illumina overhang adaptors was used^{6,22}. DreamTaq 2X master mix (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, California, U.S.A.) was used for amplification of the D2 region of the LSU rRNA according to the manufacturer's instructions, and the PCR protocol for all reactions (excluding indexing) was the same as previously described.⁹ Each PCR run also included a non-template control to monitor potential contamination. Given that fecal samples are known to produce DNA extracts containing PCR inhibitors³¹, additional efforts to obtain amplicons from samples initially showing negative PCR amplification included varying the DNA concentrations and DNA to primer ratio.

Sequencing and sequence processing. PCR cleaning, indexing, and pooling were conducted as previously described.⁹ Pooled libraries were sequenced either at the University of Oklahoma Clinical Genomics Facility (Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, U.S.A.) using the MiSeq platform and the 300 bp PE reagent kit, or at the Oklahoma State University One Health Innovation Foundation (Stillwater, Oklahoma, U.S.A.) using the NextSeq platform and the 300 bp PE

reagent kit. Sequence quality control was conducted as previously described.⁶ Coverage values were calculated using the command *phyloseq_coverage* in the R package *metagMisc*.^{32,33} A two-tier approach, as detailed before⁶, was used to assign sequences to previously described genera and candidate genera and to identify novel AGF genera. Genus-level assignments were used to build a shared file (using the *mothur* commands *phylotype* and *make.shared*), which was then utilized as an input for downstream analysis. Confirmatory amplification and sequencing of the longer D1-D2 LSU fragment (~ 700 bp) was conducted on select samples using the primers NL1F (5'-GCATATCAATAAGCGGAGGAAAAG-3') and GG-NL4 (5'-TCAACATCCTAAGCGTAGGTA-3') primers, and PacBio sequencing as previously described.⁶

Sequences identified as members of the genus *Piromyces* were further binned into species-level operational taxonomic units (OTUs) by assessing percentage divergence patterns to reference cultured *Piromyces* sequences. A cutoff of 3% divergence was used, since it reflects the average divergence between various currently described *Piromyces* species.^{34,35} For ecological distribution analysis, representative sequences of the three most encountered species-level OTUs in ostrich fecal samples were used to query their occurrence in prior broad host diversity surveys.^{6,9,11,22}

Alpha diversity. The R package *phyloseq* (v 1.50.0)³² was used to calculate alpha diversity estimates (Observed, Shannon, Simpson, and Inverse Simpson diversity indices) using the command *estimate_richness*. Alpha diversity estimates from ostrich datasets were compared to estimates from a subset of mammalian counterparts (25 cattle, 25 goats, 25 sheep, 24 deer, 25 horses) included in a recent study of the mammalian AGF mycobiome⁶, as well as to datasets from tortoises obtained in another study (n = 11 tortoises).¹¹ The two-sided Wilcoxon signed

rank test for pairwise comparison of means was used to examine the effect of animal species, family, and class on alpha diversity estimates.

Community structure. The phylogenetic similarity-based weighted Unifrac index (calculated using the *ordinate* command in the phyloseq R package) was used to construct principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) ordination plots using the function *plot_ordination* in the phyloseq R package. The AGF community structure in ostriches was compared to that found in mammalian and reptilian hosts using the same data set used for alpha-diversity comparisons (see above). To partition the dissimilarity among host factors (animal species, family, class, and gut type), we used PERMANOVA tests (using the command *adonis* in the R package *vegan*, v2.6-8).³³ Factors that significantly affect the AGF community structure were identified using the F-statistics and p-values, and the percentage variance explained by each factor was calculated as the percentage of the sum of squares of each factor to the total sum of squares.

To identify AGF genera differentially abundant in ostriches, the genus-level shared file created in *mothur* was used to calculate both linear discriminant analysis (LDA) effect size (LEfSe) and Metastats. Genera with calculated LDA scores and/or significant Metastats p-values were considered differentially abundant. Further, to identify AGF community members responsible for the observed community structure in ostriches versus mammalian or reptilian species, Bray-Curtis index values (calculated using the *ordinate* command in the phyloseq R package) were used to construct double principal coordinate analysis (DPCoA) ordination plots using the function *plot_ordination* in the phyloseq R package. To assess ostrich–AGF genera associations, we calculated global phylogenetic signal statistics (Abouheif’s Cmean, Moran’s I, and Pagel’s Lambda) using the *phyloSignal* command in the *phyloSignal* R package (v. 1.3.1)³⁶,

as well as the Local Indicator of Phylogenetic Association (LIPA) using *lipaMoran* command in the phylosignal R package.

Phylogenetic tree construction. The phylogenetic position of novel AGF genera and species was evaluated by constructing maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees in FastTree³⁷ based on the MAFFT-generated multiple sequence alignment of the D2 LSU rRNA sequences of the novel taxa to the D1-D2 region of all previously reported cultured and uncultured AGF genera as references (version 2.0, <https://anaerobicfungi.org/databases>).

Enrichment and isolation attempts for AGF from ostrich feces. Enrichments were set up in an anaerobic chamber (Coy Laboratories, Grass Lake, Michigan, U.S.A.) as previously described⁷ using different substrates (Table 1) in either rumen fluid cellobiose (RFC)³⁸ or rumen fluid free medium (RFF).³⁹ To obtain pure cultures, multiple rounds of sub-cultivation and roll tubes were conducted as previously described.⁵ Identity of the isolates was determined by amplifying and Sanger-sequencing the D1-D2 region of the LSU rRNA gene using primers NL1F and NL4R (5'-GGTCCGTGTTTCAAGACGG-3'). Sequencing was conducted at the Oklahoma State University Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Core Facility.

Transcriptomic sequencing and timing. *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 cultures were grown in RFC medium to late exponential phase, early stationary phase (five days), vacuum filtered, and total RNA was extracted using the Macherey-Nagel™ NucleoSpin™ RNA Mini kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 RNA-seq was conducted on an Illumina NextSeq 2000 platform using a 2×150 bp paired-end library at the One Health Innovation Foundation lab at Oklahoma State University. RNA-Seq reads were quality trimmed and *de novo* assembled using Trinity (version 2.6.6)⁴⁰ with default parameters. Transcripts were clustered with an identity parameter of 95 % (–c 0.95) using CD-HIT⁴¹ to remove redundancy.

Remaining transcripts were then used for peptide and coding sequence predictions using TransDecoder (version 5.0.2) (Haas, B.J. <https://github.com/TransDecoder/TransDecoder>) with a minimum peptide length of 100 amino acids. Gene content of *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 transcriptome was compared to nine previously sequenced *Piromyces* transcriptomes^{35,42–44}, all isolated from mammals and belonging to six different putative *Piromyces* species. Comparative gene content analysis was carried out via classification of all predicted peptides from all transcriptomes against COG (via BLASTp comparisons against the most updated database at <https://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/pub/COG/COG2020/data/>), KOG (via blastp comparisons against the most updated database at <https://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/pub/COG/KOG/>), and KEGG classification (by running GhostKOALA⁴⁵ search on the predicted peptides) schemes.

To examine the CAZymes production potential of *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 compared to other mammalian isolates (belonging to other *Piromyces* species, as well as to other AGF genera, n=53)^{6,42–44,46,47}, as well as tortoise isolates (*Testudinimycetes* and *Astrotestudinimycetes*, n=7)¹¹, we predicted the overall CAZyme content (using run_dbcan4 (https://github.com/linnabrown/run_dbcan) to identify glycoside hydrolases (GHs), polysaccharide lyases (PLs), carbohydrate esterases (CEs), alpha amylases (AAs), and carbohydrate-binding motifs (CBMs).

Phylogenomic analysis and molecular dating. We used the predicted peptides from the *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 transcriptome, as well as the 60 available AGF transcriptomes^{6,11,35,42–44,46,47} for phylogenomic analysis and molecular timing of evolutionary divergence, as previously described.^{6,11,35} Five *Chytridiomycota* genomes (*Chytriomycetes* sp. strain MP 71, *Entophlyctis helioformis* JEL805, *Gaertneriomyces semiglobifer* Barr 43, *Gonapodya prolifera* JEL478, and *Rhizoclostratium globosum* JEL800) were used as outgroups and to provide calibration points.

We used the ‘fungi_odb10’ dataset, including 758 phylogenomic markers for kingdom *Fungi*⁴⁸, for our analysis. Profile hidden Markov models (HMMs) of these markers were previously created and used for previous AGF phylogenomic studies.^{6,11,35} HMMs were used to identify homologues in all AGF transcriptomes, as well as the five *Chytridiomycota* genomes using HMMER3 (<http://hmmer.org/>). Markers identified with conserved homologs in all datasets were aligned and concatenated for subsequent phylogenomic analyses. IQ-TREE⁴⁹ was used to find the best-fit substitution model and to reconstruct the phylogenetic tree with the maximum-likelihood approach. PartitionFinder (v 2.1.1)⁵⁰ was used to group the refined alignment and to assign each partition with an independent substitution model. All partition files, along with their corresponding models, were then imported into BEAUti (v 1.10.4)⁵¹ for conducting Bayesian and molecular dating analyses. Two calibration priors were set: a direct fossil record of *Chytridiomycota* from the Rhynie Chert (407 Mya) and the emergence time of *Chytridiomycota* (573 to 770 Mya as 95% HPD). We used the Birth-Death incomplete sampling tree model for interspecies relationship analyses. Unlinked strict clock models were used for each partition independently. Three independent runs (30 million generations each) were performed with a default burn-in (10%). Tracer (v1.7.1)⁵² was then used to confirm that a sufficient effective sample size (ESS > 200) was obtained. Finally, TreeAnnotator (v1.10.4)⁵¹ was used to compile the maximum clade credibility (MCC) tree.

Sequence and data deposition. Illumina and RNA-seq reads were deposited in NCBI SRA under BioProject accession number PRJNA1231060. Clone sequences of the D1-D2 region of the LSU rRNA from the *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 isolate were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers PV213533-PV213569. PacBio sequence representatives of the *Piromyces* sp. Ost2 and candidate genus JV1 were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers PV226234 and

253 PV226233, respectively.

Results

Occurrence and AGF community composition in ostriches. A total of 342,691 high-quality AGF-affiliated D2-LSU sequences (average per sample: 26,361) were obtained (Table S1). High coverage values indicated that most of the genus-level diversity was captured in all samples (Table S1).

Phylogenetic analysis indicated that the AGF community in ostriches displayed a high level of similarity and was dominated by sequences affiliated with two genera. Sequences affiliated with the genus *Piromyces* constituted >92% of the community in 11/13 samples and roughly half (44.6 and 51.5%) of the community in the remaining two samples (Figure 1A, Table S1). The majority of *Piromyces* sequences clustered into two species-level operational taxonomic units (OTUs) (Figure 1B). Both OTUs were phylogenetically distinct from previously named *Piromyces* for which D1-D2 LSU sequence data is currently available (*P. finnis*⁵³, *P. rhizinflata*⁵⁴, and *P. communis*⁵⁵), as well as previously reported and yet to be named isolates [*Piromyces* sp. A1⁵⁶, *Piromyces* sp. B4⁵⁶, *Piromyces* sp. NZB19⁵⁷, *Piromyces* sp. PR1 (unpublished, GenBank accession number JN939159), and *Piromyces* sp. Axs (unpublished, GenBank accession number PV351789)]. As such, the OTUs found in ostriches represent two putative novel *Piromyces* species, for which Ost1 and Ost2 designations are proposed (Figure 1C). *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 exhibited 96.55% sequence similarity to its closest relative (*Piromyces* sp. A, GenBank accession MT085679.1), while *Piromyces* sp. Ost2 exhibited 95.25% sequence similarity to its closest relative (*Piromyces communis* Clone P, GenBank accession ON619893.1) (Table 1). Assessment of the occurrence of these species in prior AGF-focused culture-independent diversity surveys^{8,11,14,24} showed that they are either absent or only a minor part of the community in the hosts investigated. For example, *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 was

completely absent in all mammalian fecal samples examined in Meili *et al.*⁶, absent in 12 out of 15 samples examined in Young *et al.*²², and extremely rare in tortoise (present in one out of 11 samples, constituting 0.02% of total sequences from tortoises)¹¹ and marsupial (present in two out of 61 samples, constituting 0.001% of total sequences from marsupials)⁹ samples. *Piromyces* sp. Ost2 was more frequently encountered in mammalian (404 samples out of 661)⁶, marsupial (49 samples out of 61)⁹, and tortoise (7 samples out of 11)¹¹ samples. However, while dominant in ostrich samples, *Piromyces* sp. Ost2 always represented a small fraction of the overall community in other hosts (0.55% of the total community in mammals⁶, 0.239% of the total community in marsupials⁹, and 2.2% of the total community in tortoises¹¹) (Table 2).

In two out of 13 ostrich samples, roughly half the community encountered was neither affiliated with the genus *Piromyces* nor with any of the currently recognized AGF genera^{4,5} and candidate genera^{6,57,58}. Rather, it belonged to a monophyletic novel genus-level clade, to which the name JV1 is proposed (Figure 1A). Sequence divergence within the JV1 clade was low (0.28-1.4%), indicating that all JV1 sequences identified constitute a single species. Candidate genus JV1 is most closely related to the genus *Joblinomyces*, exhibiting only 93.63% sequence similarity. Phylogenetic analysis (Figure 1C) confirmed JV1's position as member of a clade comprising *Joblinomyces* as well as several yet-uncultured AGF genera (NY44, MN3, RH5, NY13, and NY47)^{6,57,58}. Assessment of the occurrence of candidate genus JV1 in prior AGF culture-independent diversity surveys with broad host range^{6,9,12,22} indicates that JV1 was occasionally encountered (50/661 of mammalian samples⁶, 4/61 of marsupial samples⁹, and 1/11 of tortoise samples¹¹). However, like *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 and Ost2, JV1 sequences always represented a very small fraction of the overall community in these hosts (0.009% in mammalian hosts⁶, 0.022% in marsupial hosts⁹, and 0.002% in tortoise hosts¹¹, Table 2).

Alpha diversity estimates. Ostriches harbored an AGF community with low levels of alpha diversity. On average, 10.31 ± 12.53 genera were encountered per sample, and values of 0.21 ± 0.34 Shannon index, 0.11 ± 0.2 Simpson, and 1.22 ± 0.46 Inverse Simpson were observed (values are average \pm SD from the 13 ostrich samples) (Figures 2, S2). These estimates were significantly lower than alpha diversity values in cattle (Wilcoxon P-value $< 9.9 \times 10^{-6}$), deer (Wilcoxon P-value $< 1.3 \times 10^{-6}$), sheep (Wilcoxon P-value $< 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$), goat (Wilcoxon P-value $< 9.6 \times 10^{-7}$), and horses (Wilcoxon P-value $< 2.8 \times 10^{-6}$), but comparable to values observed in tortoises (Wilcoxon P-value > 0.08), where the AGF community was similarly shown to be dominated by few genera¹¹ (Figures 2, S2).

Community structure. AGF community structure in ostriches was compared to that observed in well-studied (cattle, deer, sheep, goat, horses), as well as recently discovered (tortoises) AGF hosts using PCoA constructed based on the phylogenetic similarity-based beta diversity index weighted Unifrac (Figure 3). The first two axes explained 84.3% of the variance. Analysis showed that the animal host species (Figure 3A), family (Figure 3B), and class (Figure 3C) significantly explained 66.57%, 60.81%, and 59.09% of the variance, while the gut type (Figure 3D) only explained 11.05% of the variance. To identify the specific association between AGF genera and ostriches, double PCoA plots were constructed using Bray-Curtis beta diversity indices (Figure 3E) and showed the genus *Piromyces* to be associated with ostriches. Similarly, both LEfSe and Metastats analyses showed the genus *Piromyces* to be differentially abundant in ostriches (LEfSe LDA score of 5.6 and p-value = 0, Metastats p-value = 0.001), and all global phylogenetic signal statistics identified significant correlation between *Piromyces* and ostriches as a host (p-value = 0.001) (Table S2). Finally, LIPA analysis confirmed the strong significant association between *Piromyces* and ostriches (Table S2) (average LIPA value of 7.82, p-value =

0.001). In addition to *Piromyces*, the new uncultured genus JV1 was also differentially abundant in and strongly associated with ostriches (LEfSe LDA score of 4.53 and p-value = 4.6×10^{-9} , Metastats p-value = 0.001), with significant global phylogenetic signal statistics (p-value < 0.002), and high LIPA values in the two ostrich samples in which it was detected (average LIPA = 5.52, p-value = 0.001) (Table 2).

Enrichments and isolation of AGF from ostriches. Multiple enrichments were set up, using different media, carbon sources, as well as different incubation temperatures (Table 1). Successful enrichment efforts yielded visual biomass, gas bubbles, and clumping and floating of plant biomass (when used), with the identity of AGF determined to be either *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 or candidate genus JV1 by PCR amplification (D1-D2 region of the LSU) and Sanger sequencing. Repeated isolation efforts yielded multiple representatives of *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 (7 strains). Transcriptomic sequencing and subsequent phylogenomic analysis (Figure 4) confirmed the position of *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 as a novel species within the genus *Piromyces*. Despite repeated attempts, no pure culture of the candidate genus JV1 from positive enrichments could be obtained. Furthermore, *Piromyces* sp. Ost2 was never enriched (Table 1), despite its predominance in many samples (Figure 1B).

Timing the evolution of *Piromyces* sp. Ost1. Transcriptomics-enabled molecular clock timing suggested a divergence time estimate of ≈ 30 Mya (95% highest probability density interval of 26.95-32.94 Mya) for *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 (Figure 4). Such time postdates the evolution of the infraclass *Palaeognathae* (~ 72.8 -110 Mya)^{59,60}, comprising the flightless birds and the volant tinamous, as well as the diversification of *Struthioniformes* and the genus *Struthio* (~ 69 -79.6 Mya)^{60,61}, but might have coincided with the evolution of flightlessness in these lineages.⁵⁹

Comparative gene content and CAZyme analysis. Comparative genomic analysis demonstrated broadly similar COG, KOG, and KEGG profiles between *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 and AGF obtained from mammalian hosts (Figure 5). PCoA based on GH family composition for *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 grouped *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 (Figure 5, grey triangle) with the mammalian AGF (Figure 5, circles, n = 53). Both groups were distinct from tortoise AGF (n = 7), which were previously shown to possess a unique and highly reduced CAZyme repertoire.¹¹ Overall, comparative gene content and CAZyme analysis suggest functional similarity between ostrich-sourced and mammalian-sourced AGF.

Discussion

Our investigation of AGF in ostriches revealed a uniform (Figure 1A), low diversity community (Figure 2, Table S1) that was mostly comprised of novel AGF taxa (Figure 1A, 1B). The ostrich AGF community was distinct from previously described AGF communities (Figure 3), with ostrich-associated taxa rarely encountered in mammalian, marsupial, or tortoise datasets (Table 2).

Sequences putatively representing two novel species within the genus *Piromyces* constituted the majority of the AGF community in eleven out of thirteen ostrich samples and roughly half the community in the remaining two (Figure 1A, 1B). The genus *Piromyces* is ubiquitous, representing an integral member of the AGF diversity in a wide range of mammalian foregut and hindgut fermenters. *Piromyces* was one of the earliest AGF genera to be identified⁶², isolated⁶³, named⁵⁵, and characterized.⁶² Historically, thallus morphology and flagellation of zoospores were used for taxonomic characterization of AGF isolates, and the clade *Piromyces* comprised any strain with filamentous rhizoids, monocentric thallus development, and monoflagellated zoospores. Currently, the genus *Piromyces* includes all isolates phylogenetically affiliated with the first described monocentric, monoflagellated and filamentous isolate (*Piromyces communis*)^{4,55,62–64}. A recent large-scale analysis of available sequencing data for *Neocallimastigomycota* concluded that current members of the genus *Piromyces* display a higher level of within-genus sequence divergence in marker genes (e.g., D1-D2 LSU ranging from 1.24–5.6% with an average of 3.4%), as well as in whole genome metrics (AAI ranging from 72.58–99.06% with an average of 79.35%) than that typically encountered within other genera (genus cut-off set at 3% sequence divergence in D1-D2 LSU and 85% AAI).^{4,35} While these values support its breakdown into multiple genera, the genus

was retained as a single entity⁴, partly due to the lack of sequence data from now extinct original type strains for *Piromyces* species (e.g., *P. mae*, *P. dumbonicus*, *P. minutus*, *P. spiralis*, and *P. citronii*)^{4,65,66}

The two novel, ostrich-associated species encountered in this study exhibited large sequence divergence values from their closest relatives (3.45% and 4.75% D1-D2 LSU sequence divergence for sp. Ost1 and sp. Ost2, respectively). These values would have justified their placement as a new genus had they belonged to a different clade/family within the *Neocallimastigomycota*. While it is possible that both novel species identified in this study could belong to previously described *Piromyces* species lacking sequence data, this seems unlikely given that all described species of *Piromyces* have been isolated from mammalian hosts⁴, whereas these ostrich-associated species have rarely been identified in mammals (Table 2).

Two out of 13 ostrich samples were dominated by a genus-level cluster (JVI) whose closest relatives (93.63% LSU sequence similarity) belong to the genus *Joblinomyces* (Table 2, Figure 1C, Table S1). Both samples came from the same ostrich farm in Texas, U.S.A. (Table S1). All samples from this farm contained sequences affiliated with JV1 (0.18 – 49.65%), while only one sample (out of seven) from other farms/zoos harbored JV1. Given the relatively low number of samples (n = 13) and locations (n = 4) investigated in this study, an accurate assessment of the global prevalence pattern of candidate genus JV1 in ostriches is not feasible. Still, examination of the ecological distribution of candidate genus JV1 in previously published mammalian, marsupial, and reptilian datasets revealed its extremely low abundance (Table 2), hinting that this strain could either be very rare in general or ostrich specific. While JV1 could

not be isolated in pure culture in this study, it was enriched at two different temperatures (39 °C and 41 °C), and repeated efforts for its isolation are ongoing (Table 1).

The AGF community in ostriches exhibited low levels of alpha diversity, driven by the predominance of one genus (i.e., >50% relative abundance) in most samples. In a meta-analysis of data published in three studies^{6,9,13}, such a pattern of predominance was also observed in 82% of investigated tortoises (9/11 samples)¹³ and in 37% of investigated mammals (264 out of 722 samples, Figure 6B, Table S3).^{6,9} Ostriches and tortoises are hindgut fermenters, and a pattern of predominance has previously been linked to hindgut fermenters in mammals⁶. This link between predominance and hindgut fermentation is corroborated by our study (100% of ostrich samples were dominated by one genus) as well as the meta-analysis of previously published results, where 25% of foregut and 64% hindgut fermenter samples showed this pattern (Table S3). Furthermore, it is noteworthy that the data is heavily biased, with 74% of total samples obtained from cattle, horses, donkeys, goats, and sheep. Of the hindgut fermenter samples, 63% were obtained from horses and donkeys, while the foregut fermenter samples were mainly sourced from cattle, goats, and sheep (79% of total foregut fermenter samples).

While the genus *Piromyces* predominated in ostrich samples in this study, other genera were observed to dominate samples in prior studies. In three studies (a total of 733 samples), the following genera predominated: *Khoyollomyces* (n = 73), *Orpinomyces* (n = 54), *Neocallimastix* (n = 42), *Piromyces* (n = 37), and *Caecomyces* (n = 29) (Figure 6A). *Khoyollomyces* and *Caecomyces* primarily dominate mammalian hindgut fermenters (e.g., horses, elephants), while *Orpinomyces* and *Neocallimastix* are more common in mammalian foregut fermenters (e.g., cattle, sheep, goats) (Figure 6B, Table S3). *Piromyces* showed no clear preference, dominating foregut (n = 16) and hindgut (n = 21) fermenters. The reasons underpinning the ecological

success of some AGF genera over others are currently unclear. More studies to identify metabolic, physiological, and genomic differences between various AGF taxa, as well as linking such differences to observed host and gut-type preferences of AGF genera are sorely needed to address such issues.

Our results show a clear pattern of host-AGF preference in ostriches (Figure 3, Table S2). Our molecular timing analysis estimated an evolutionary time for *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 of \approx 30 Mya. In the context of bird evolution, it is known that birds first appeared in the fossil record during the Middle-Late Jurassic (\sim 165-150 Mya), diversified by the early Cretaceous, with true modern birds radiating post-Cretaceous and surviving the Cretaceous-Paleogene (K-Pg) extinction event.⁶⁷ Within extant birds, the *Palaeognathae* (which includes the flightless ratites and the tinamous) diversified first (72.8-110 Mya)⁵⁹⁻⁶¹, followed by the diversification of *Struthioniformes* (\sim 69-79.6 Mya).^{60,61} Flightlessness evolved in *Struthioniformes* around 25-30 Mya, and the process was tightly associated with the development of herbivory.⁵⁹ We therefore propose that the evolutionary timeline of *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 aligns with the emergence of flightlessness and herbivory within the ancestors of modern ostriches. This suggests a pattern of co-evolution and subsequent retention throughout time up to the evolution of modern ostriches (estimated evolution at 5.3-2.6 Mya).⁶⁸ An alternative scenario, where ostrich specific *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 evolved independently in an unknown host before colonizing modern ostriches after their speciation, cannot be ruled out. However, we deem this scenario less plausible since *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 was rarely identified in animals outside the *Palaeognathae* (mammals or reptiles) and appears to be specific to the ostrich alimentary tract (Table 2), potential sampling biases notwithstanding.

Finally, to investigate why *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 is highly successful in ostriches but unable to effectively colonize other AGF hosts, we conducted a transcriptomic analysis comparing AGF sourced from different hosts. Comparative gene content analysis showed similar functional profiles (COG, KOG, and KEGG) in *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 compared to mammalian-sourced AGF taxa (Figure 5A and 5B). *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 showed a similar CAZyme to mammalian AGF taxa, including mammalian *Piromyces* species (Figure 5C). While more detailed analysis could clarify finer levels of substrate utilization patterns, the lack of stark differences in broad plant biomass degradation capacities compared to mammalian-sourced isolates is noted. We therefore hypothesize that physiological differences in the ostrich gut (e.g., a slightly higher temperature of 38.1°C to 40.5°C)^{69,70} compared to mammalian hindgut fermenters (e.g., 37.5 °C to 38.5 °C in horses)⁷¹ could play an important role in the selection of this specific *Piromyces* species. Our preliminary analysis of *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 showed tolerance to higher temperatures and a broader temperature growth range compared to mammalian-sourced *Piromyces* (unpublished data). It is also possible that AGF in the ostrich gut have additional roles beyond plant biomass breakdown, e.g., detoxification, or secondary metabolites secretion, with ostrich AGF specifically adapted to play this role.^{72,73} A more detailed, in-depth experimental and omics-based investigation is needed to address such an interesting question.

In conclusion, this study has expanded the known host range for AGF to include birds (class *Aves*), specifically the common ostrich (*Struthio camelus*). We demonstrate a strong pattern of AGF-host specificity in ostriches and suggest that such a pattern has arisen out of co-evolutionary phyllosymbiosis. However, it is important to note that the ostriches investigated in this study were limited to domesticated animals within the south-central part of the United

States. Future studies on wild ostriches, as well as other hindgut fermenting animals outside *Mammalia* are needed to confirm the results observed and expand on the global diversity and the evolutionary patterns in *Neocallimastigomycota*.

Conflict of interest.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments.

Work in M. S. Elshahed and N. H. Youssef Laboratories was supported by the United States National Science Foundation (NSF) grant number 2029478, and the United States National Institute of Health (NIH) grant number P20GM152333-01. We thank the Oklahoma City Zoo, Happy Acres Ostrich Ranch LLC, Snider Family Exotics, and Haley Anthony for providing fecal samples. We would further like to thank Kale Goodwin for his efforts in isolating AGF from ostrich fecal samples and the Boren Veterinary Medical Teaching Hospital for providing rumen fluid.

Author contributions.

Fund acquisition, project supervision: MSE and NHY. Sample collection: MSE, ALJ, CHM, CJP. Lab work: JV, KN, ALJ, TY, CHM, CJP. Data analysis: NHY, JV. Manuscript: MSE, NHY, JV. Figures: NHY and JV.

References.

1. Amses, K. R. *et al.* Diploid-dominant life cycles characterize the early evolution of Fungi. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **119**, e2116841119 (2022).
2. Hess, M. *et al.* Anaerobic Fungi: Past, Present, and Future. *Front. Microbiol.* **11**, 584893 (2020).
3. Griffith, G. W. *et al.* Anaerobic fungi: *Neocallimastigomycota*. *IMA Fungus* **1**, 181–185 (2010).
4. Hanafy, R. A. *et al.* Taxonomy of the anaerobic gut fungi (*Neocallimastigomycota*): a review of classification criteria and description of current taxa. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **72**, (2022).
5. Pratt, C. J., Chandler, E. E., Youssef, N. H. & Elshahed, M. S. *Testudinimycetes gracilis* gen. nov, sp. nov. and *Astrotestudinimycetes divisus* gen. nov, sp. nov., two novel, deep-branching anaerobic gut fungal genera from tortoise faeces. *IJSEM* **73**, (2023).
6. Meili, C. H. *et al.* Patterns and determinants of the global herbivorous mycobiome. *Nat Commun* **14**, 3798 (2023).
7. Orpin, C. G. Studies on the rumen flagellate *Neocallimastix frontalis*. *Journal of General Microbiology* **91**, 249–262 (1975).
8. Schulz, D. *et al.* Anaerobic Fungi in Gorilla (*Gorilla gorilla gorilla*) Feces: An Adaptation to a High-Fiber Diet? *Int J Primatol* **39**, 567–580 (2018).
9. Jones, A. L. *et al.* Anaerobic gut fungal communities in marsupial hosts. *mBio* **15**, e03370-23 (2024).
10. Liggenstoffer, A., Youssef, N., Couger, M. B. & Elshahed, M. S. Phylogenetic diversity and community structure of anaerobic gut fungi (phylum *Neocallimastigomycota*) in ruminant and non-ruminant herbivores. *The ISME Journal* **4**, 1225–1235 (2010).
11. Pratt, C. J. *et al.* Anaerobic fungi in the tortoise alimentary tract illuminate early stages of host-fungal symbiosis and *Neocallimastigomycota* evolution. *Nat Commun* **15**, 2714 (2024).
12. Meili, C. H. *et al.* Diversity and community structure of anaerobic gut fungi in the rumen of wild and domesticated herbivores. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **90**, e01492-23 (2024).
13. Edwards, J. E. *et al.* Dynamics of initial colonization of nonconserved perennial ryegrass by anaerobic fungi in the bovine rumen: Initial colonization of forage by ruminal anaerobic fungi. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **66**, 537–545 (2008).
14. Steuer, P. *et al.* Is there an influence of body mass on digesta mean retention time in herbivores? A comparative study on ungulates. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology* **160**, 355–364 (2011).
15. Hummel, J. *et al.* Comparative selective retention of particle size classes in the gastrointestinal tract of ponies and goats. *Animal Physiology Nutrition* **102**, 429–439 (2018).
16. Schwarm, A., Clauss, M., Ortmann, S. & Jensen, R. B. No size-dependent net particle retention in the hindgut of horses. *Animal Physiology Nutrition* **106**, 1356–1363 (2022).
17. De Cuyper, A. *et al.* The uneven weight distribution between predators and prey: Comparing gut fill between terrestrial herbivores and carnivores. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology* **243**, 110683 (2020).

18. Barboza, P. S. Digesta passage and functional anatomy of the digestive tract in the desert tortoise (*Xerobates agassizii*). *J Comp Physiol B* **165**, (1995).
19. Taylor, S. K., Citino, S. B., Zdziarski, J. M. & Bush, R. M. Radiographic Anatomy and Barium Sulfate Transit Time of the Gastrointestinal Tract of the Leopard Tortoise (*Testudo pardalis*). *Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine* **27**, 180–186 (1996).
20. McMaster, M. K. & Downs, C. T. Digestive parameters and water turnover of the leopard tortoise. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology* **151**, 114–125 (2008).
21. Dollhofer, V., Callaghan, T. M., Griffith, G. W., Lebuhn, M. & Bauer, J. Presence and transcriptional activity of anaerobic fungi in agricultural biogas plants. *Bioresource Technology* **235**, 131–139 (2017).
22. Young, D. *et al.* Simultaneous Metabarcoding and Quantification of *Neocallimastigomycetes* from Environmental Samples: Insights into Community Composition and Novel Lineages. *Microorganisms* **10**, 1749 (2022).
23. Olsen, A. M. Exceptional avian herbivores: multiple transitions toward herbivory in the bird order Anseriformes and its correlation with body mass. *Ecology and Evolution* **5**, 5016–5032 (2015).
24. Wu, Y. Molecular phyloecology suggests a trophic shift concurrent with the evolution of the first birds. *Commun Biol* **4**, 547 (2021).
25. Fritz, J. *et al.* Retention of solutes and different-sized particles in the digestive tract of the ostrich (*Struthio camelus massaicus*), and a comparison with mammals and reptiles. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology* **163**, 56–65 (2012).
26. Williams, J. B. *et al.* Field Metabolism, Water Requirements, and Foraging Behavior of Wild ostriches in the Namib. *Ecology* **74**, 390–404 (1993).
27. Duke, G. E. Gastrointestinal physiology and nutrition in wild birds. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* **56**, 1049–1056 (1997).
28. El-Wahab, A. A. *et al.* Studies on the weight of the gastrointestinal tract, digesta composition and occurrence of gastro- and enteroliths in adult domesticated ostriches fed different diets. *Poultry Science* **100**, 101359 (2021).
29. Frei, S. *et al.* Comparative digesta retention patterns in ratites. *The Auk* **132**, 119–131 (2015).
30. Mackie, R. I. Mutualistic Fermentative Digestion in the Gastrointestinal Tract: Diversity and Evolution. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* **42**, 319–326 (2002).
31. Mirsepasi, H. *et al.* Microbial diversity in fecal samples depends on DNA extraction method: easyMag DNA extraction compared to QIAamp DNA stool mini kit extraction. *BMC Res Notes* **7**, 50 (2014).
32. McMurdie, P. J. & Holmes, S. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e61217 (2013).
33. Oksanen, J. *et al.* vegan: Community Ecology Package. 2.6-10 <https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.vegan> (2001).
34. Elshahed, M. S. *et al.* Characterization and rank assignment criteria for the anaerobic fungi (*Neocallimastigomycota*). *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **72**, (2022).
35. Hanafy, R. A. *et al.* Phylogenomic analysis of the *Neocallimastigomycota*: proposal of *Caecomycetaceae* fam. nov., *Piromycetaceae* fam. nov., and emended description of the

- families *Neocallimastigaceae* and *Anaeromycetaceae*. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **73**, (2023).
36. Keck, F., Rimet, F., Bouchez, A. & Franc, A. phyloSignal: an R package to measure, test, and explore the phylogenetic signal. *Ecology and Evolution* **6**, 2774–2780 (2016).
37. Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S. & Arkin, A. P. FastTree 2 – Approximately Maximum-Likelihood Trees for Large Alignments. *PLoS ONE* **5**, e9490 (2010).
38. Calkins, S., Elledge, N. C., Hanafy, R. A., Elshahed, M. S. & Youssef, N. A fast and reliable procedure for spore collection from anaerobic fungi: Application for RNA uptake and long-term storage of isolates. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* **127**, 206–213 (2016).
39. Vinzelj, J. *et al.* Medium without CRF for *Neocallimastigomycota* VI. <https://protocols.cloud/view/medium-without-crf-for-neocallimastigomycota-b4iiquce> (2023).
40. Grabherr, M. G. *et al.* Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nat Biotechnol* **29**, 644–652 (2011).
41. Fu, L., Niu, B., Zhu, Z., Wu, S. & Li, W. CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 3150–3152 (2012).
42. Haitjema, C. H. *et al.* A parts list for fungal cellulosomes revealed by comparative genomics. *Nature Microbiology* **2**, (2017).
43. Gruninger, R. J. *et al.* Application of Transcriptomics to Compare the Carbohydrate Active Enzymes That Are Expressed by Diverse Genera of Anaerobic Fungi to Degrade Plant Cell Wall Carbohydrates. *Front. Microbiol.* **9**, 1581 (2018).
44. Murphy, C. L. *et al.* Horizontal Gene Transfer as an Indispensable Driver for Evolution of *Neocallimastigomycota* into a Distinct Gut-Dwelling Fungal Lineage. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **85**, (2019).
45. Kanehisa, M., Sato, Y. & Morishima, K. BlastKOALA and GhostKOALA: KEGG Tools for Functional Characterization of Genome and Metagenome Sequences. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **428**, 726–731 (2016).
46. Li, Y. *et al.* Combined Genomic, Transcriptomic, Proteomic, and Physiological Characterization of the Growth of *Pecoramyces* sp. F1 in Monoculture and Co-culture With a Syntrophic Methanogen. *Front. Microbiol.* **10**, 435 (2019).
47. Wilken, St. E. *et al.* Experimentally Validated Reconstruction and Analysis of a Genome-Scale Metabolic Model of an Anaerobic *Neocallimastigomycota* Fungus. *mSystems* **6**, e00002-21 (2021).
48. Manni, M., Berkeley, M. R., Seppey, M. & Zdobnov, E. M. BUSCO: Assessing Genomic Data Quality and Beyond. *Current Protocols* **1**, e323 (2021).
49. Minh, B. Q. *et al.* IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **37**, 1530–1534 (2020).
50. Lanfear, R., Frandsen, P. B., Wright, A. M., Senfeld, T. & Calcott, B. PartitionFinder 2: New Methods for Selecting Partitioned Models of Evolution for Molecular and Morphological Phylogenetic Analyses. *Mol Biol Evol* **msw260** (2016).
51. Drummond, A. J., Suchard, M. A., Xie, D. & Rambaut, A. Bayesian Phylogenetics with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **29**, 1969–1973 (2012).
52. Rambaut, A., Drummond, A. J., Xie, D., Baele, G. & Suchard, M. A. Posterior Summarization in Bayesian Phylogenetics Using Tracer 1.7. *Systematic Biology* **67**, 901–904 (2018).

53. Li, G. J. *et al.* Fungal diversity notes 253–366: taxonomic and phylogenetic contributions to fungal taxa. *Fungal Diversity* **78**, 1–237 (2016).
54. Breton, A. *et al.* *Piromyces rhizinflata* nov. sp., a strictly anaerobic fungus from faeces of the Saharian ass: a morphological, metabolic and ultrastructural study. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **82**, 1–8 (1991).
55. Gold, J. J., Brent Heath, I. & Bauchop, T. Ultrastructural description of a new chytrid genus of caecum anaerobe, *Caecomyces equi* gen. nov., sp. nov., assigned to the *Neocallimasticaceae*. *Biosystems* **21**, 403–415 (1988).
56. Hanafy, R. A., Johnson, B., Youssef, N. H. & Elshahed, M. S. Assessing anaerobic gut fungal diversity in herbivores using D1-D2 large ribosomal subunit sequencing and multi-year isolation. *Environ Microbiol* 1462-2920.15164 (2020).
57. Kittelmann, S., Naylor, G. E., Koolaard, J. P. & Janssen, P. H. A Proposed Taxonomy of Anaerobic Fungi (Class Neocallimastigomycetes) Suitable for Large-Scale Sequence-Based Community Structure Analysis. *PLoS One* **7**, e36866 (2012).
58. Liggenstoffer, A.S., et al. Phylogenetic diversity and community structure of anaerobic gut fungi (phylum *Neocallimastigomycota*) in ruminant and non-ruminant herbivores. *ISME J* **4**, 1225–1235 (2010).
59. Mitchell, K. J. *et al.* Ancient DNA reveals elephant birds and kiwi are sister taxa and clarifies ratite bird evolution. *Science* **344**, 898–900 (2014).
60. Yonezawa, T. *et al.* Phylogenomics and Morphology of Extinct Paleognaths Reveal the Origin and Evolution of the Ratites. *Current Biology* **27**, 68–77 (2017).
61. Stiller, J. *et al.* Complexity of avian evolution revealed by family-level genomes. *Nature* **629**, 851–860 (2024).
62. Orpin, C. G. The Occurrence of Chitin in the Cell Walls of the Rumen Organisms *Neocallimastix frontalis*, *Piromonas communis* and *Sphaeromonas communis*. *Journal of General Microbiology* **99**, 215–218 (1977).
63. Orpin, C. G. Isolation of Cellulolytic Phycomycete Fungi from the Caecum of the Horse. *Microbiology* **123**, 287–296 (1981).
64. Barr, D. J. S., Kudo, H., Jakober, K. D. & Cheng, K.-J. Morphology and development of rumen fungi: *Neocallimastix* sp., *Piromyces communis*, and *Orpinomyces bovis* gen.nov., sp.nov. *Can. J. Bot.* **67**, 2815–2824 (1989).
65. Ho, Y. W. & Barr, D. J. S. Classification of anaerobic gut fungi from herbivores with emphasis on rumen fungi from Malaysia. *Mycologia* **87**, 655–677 (1995).
66. Gaillard-Martinie, B., Breton, A., Dusser, M. & Jullian, V. *Piromyces citronii* sp. nov., a strictly anaerobic fungus from the equine caecum: a morphological, metabolic, and ultrastructural study. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **130**, 321–326 (1995).
67. Brusatte, S. L., O'Connor, J. K. & Jarvis, E. D. The Origin and Diversification of Birds. *Current Biology* **25**, R888–R898 (2015).
68. Mikhailov, K. E. & Zelenkov, N. The late Cenozoic history of the ostriches (*Aves: Struthionidae*), as revealed by fossil eggshell and bone remains. *Earth-Science Reviews* **208**, 103270 (2020).
69. Schrader, L., Fuhrer, K. & Petow, S. Body temperature of ostriches (*Struthio camelus*) kept in an open stable during winter time in Germany. *Journal of Thermal Biology* **34**, 366–371 (2009).

- 670 70. Fuller, A., Kamerman, P. R., Maloney, S. K., Mitchell, G. & Mitchell, D. Variability in
671 brain and arterial blood temperatures in free-ranging ostriches in their natural habitat.
672 *Journal of Experimental Biology* **206**, 1171–1181 (2003).
- 673 71. Hyungsuk, K., Zsoldos, R.R., Sole-Guitart, A., Narayan, E., Cawdell-Smith, A.J.,
674 Gaughan, J.B. Heat Stress in Horses: A Literature Review. *International Journal of*
675 *Biometeorology* **67**, 957–73 (June 2023).
- 676 72. Kim, J. E., Tun, H. M., Bennett, D. C., Leung, F. C. & Cheng, K. M. Microbial diversity
677 and metabolic function in duodenum, jejunum and ileum of emu (*Dromaius*
678 *novaehollandiae*). *Sci Rep* **13**, 4488 (2023).
- 679 73. Frei, S., Ortmann, S., Kreuzer, M., Hatt, J.-M. & Clauss, M. Digesta retention patterns in
680 geese (*Anser anser*) and turkeys (*Meleagris gallopavo*) and deduced function of avian
681 caeca. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative*
682 *Physiology* **204**, 219–227 (2017).

683 **Table 1. Enrichments/isolation attempts with ostrich fecal samples targeting *Neocallimastigomycota*.**

Species name	Number of enrichments set up	Number of successful enrichments	Media used	Incubation temperatures (°C)	Samples used
<i>Piromyces</i> sp. Ost1	7	7	RFC+SG, RFF + SG	39, 41, 43	OS_F1, OS_F2
<i>Piromyces</i> sp. Ost2	10	0	RFC+SG, RFF + SG	39, 41	TY06, TY07, TY08
JV1	6	2	RFC + C	39, 41	OS_F2

684 ¹RFC = rumen-fluid cellobiose medium,

685 ²RFF = rumen fluid free medium,

686 ³+ SG = adding switchgrass as C-source,

687 ⁴+ C = adding cellulose as C-source

708 **Table 2. Ecological distribution of the ostrich specific *Piromyces* species and uncultured candidate genus JV1.**

	Closest cultured representative		Occurrence in previous studies (sequences with % similarity >97%)							
	Genus	% similarity	Mammals ⁸ (661 samples, 8,772,160 sequences)		Mammals ²⁴ (12 samples, 304,958 sequences)		Marsupials ¹¹ (61 samples, 174,959 sequences)		Tortoises ¹³ (11 samples, 40,413 sequences)	
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
<i>Piromyces</i> sp. Ost1	<i>Piromyces</i> sp A	96.55	0	0	0	0	2	0.001	8	0.020
<i>Piromyces</i> sp. Ost2	<i>P. communis</i> clone P	95.25	48556	0.554	0	0	419	0.239	876	2.168
JV1	<i>Joblinomyces apicalis</i>	93.63	762	0.009	0	0	39	0.022	1	0.002

709

Figures.

Figure 1. *Neocallimastigomycota* community in ostrich fecal samples. (A) Percentage abundance of AGF genera in ostrich fecal samples. “Others” includes all other genera identified outside of *Piromyces* and the putative novel genus JV1 (detailed in Table S1). **(B)** Putative species-level affiliation of sequences belonging to genus *Piromyces* in ostrich fecal samples. **(C)** Phylogenetic tree depicting the position of the two novel *Piromyces* species (*Piromyces* sp. Ost1 and *Piromyces* sp. Ost2) as well as the novel candidate genus JV1 (in red) in relation to other cultured and uncultured AGF genera.




Figure 2. Alpha diversity of *Neocallimastigomycota* in ostriches. Boxplots showing the distribution of Shannon diversity index in ostriches (■) compared to selected mammalian (■) and tortoise (■) samples. Samples were grouped by animal species (A), animal family (B), and animal class (C). Wilcoxon test p-values indicate the significance of differences between ostriches and other mammals. No significant difference ($p > 0.05$) was identified between ostrich and reptilian samples.




Figure 3. Community structure of *Neocallimastigomycota* in ostriches. (A-D) PCoA plots constructed using weighted UniFrac beta diversity estimates, with a color scheme based on host animal species (A), host family (B), host class (C), and host gut type (D). The % variance explained by the first two axes is displayed on the axes, and results of PERMANOVA for the contribution of host factors to the community structure are shown for each plot (R^2 : the % variance explained by each factor, p: F-test p-value). (E) Double principal coordinate analysis plot constructed using Bray-Curtis beta diversity indices. The AGF taxa are shown as open black circles, and the genus *Piromyces* position is shown with an arrow. Samples are color-coded by the host animal species as in (A).








Figure 4 Phylogenomic analysis and molecular timing of strain Ost1. Bayesian phylogenomic maximum clade credibility (MCC) tree of *Neocallimastigomycota* with estimated divergence time for major nodes. Estimate for the divergence time of *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 from its closest mammalian relative (*Piromyces* species A1) is highlighted. The 95% highest probability density (HPD) ranges (blue bars) are denoted on the nodes, and the average divergence times are shown.




Figure 5. Comparative gene content analysis. (A-B) Gene content comparison between mammalian sourced (M; left stacked columns) and *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 sourced (O; right stacked columns) transcriptomes using COG/KOG (A), and KEGG (B) classification. KEGG classification is further broken down into four main categories: Metabolism, Genetic Information Processing, Environmental Information Processing, and Cellular Processes. (C) Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) biplot based on the GH families' composition in *Piromyces* sp. Ost1 transcriptome (grey triangle) compared to 67 previously obtained AGF transcriptomes belonging to 16 genera (including the genus *Piromyces*). The % variance explained by the first two axes is displayed, and strains are color-coded by AGF genus, as shown in the figure legend. The shapes correspond to the host class, with mammals shown as ■, *Aves* shown as ▲, and reptiles shown as "+". GH families are shown as empty circles with black borders.







Figure 6. A meta-analysis assessing AGF predominance patterns. Data from three previously published amplicon sequencing studies (targeting the same marker gene region and using the same bioinformatic analysis pipeline)^{6,9,13} were used to assess patterns of AGF predominance (i.e., a single genus representing >50% of the AGF community) within various hosts. (A) Predominant genera and the gut type they are associated with. (B) The absolute number of samples per animal host that showed a predominance pattern and the AGF genera associated with it. For details on the meta-analysis, refer to Table S3.

A



B

