

Concerns regarding the reliability of subgroup effects

Mei Qiu^{a,*} and Lixin Du^{b,**}

^aCenter of Community Health Service Management, Shenzhen Longhua District Central Hospital, Shenzhen, 518110, China

^bDepartment of Medical Imaging, Shenzhen Longhua District Central Hospital, Shenzhen, 518110, China



Alhassane Diallo and colleagues conducted an interesting and meaningful meta-analysis.¹ In the study, authors assessed the subgroup effects of glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists (GLP-1RAs) and sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) on major adverse cardiovascular event (MACE) by sex, age, body mass index, race, and duration of diabetes. Accordingly, they concluded: a greater benefit of GLP-1RAs on MACE was found in elderly patients and in Asian patients, and that of SGLT2i in patients with a long diabetes duration. However, the reliability of these findings might be adversely influenced by the following issues.

First, the multiple testing issue seemed not to be correctly considered. In the article, authors in total calculated 12 important *P* values for subgroup differences (*P*_{interaction}): **Figs. 2–4** report 4 *P*_{interaction}, respectively. According to the Bonferroni method,² the corrected significance threshold should be calculated: $0.05 \div 12 = 0.0042$. According to this threshold, three subgroup effects stated in the Conclusion (For SGLT2i: long-term versus short duration, *P*_{interaction} = 0.03. For GLP-1RAs: Asian versus White, *P*_{interaction} = 0.07; ≥ 75 versus < 75 years, *P*_{interaction} = 0.37) would be far from statistical significance. If *P*_{interaction} calculated in **Figs. S3 and S4** was additionally considered, the subgroup effects proposed by authors would be further from corrected significance. Since the Bonferroni correction

frequently is too strict and sacrifices power,³ authors should identify a more appropriate method to address the multiple testing issue.

Second, **Fig. 4** in a secondary analysis article⁴ of the CREDENCE trial reports all of the subgroup data of interest for this meta-analysis.¹ However, authors did not include them.

Declaration of interests

All authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Key Laboratory of Neuroimaging, Longhua District, Shenzhen (Shen Long Hua Ke Chuang Ke Ji Zi (2022 No. 7); and Shenzhen Fundamental Research Program, General Programme for Fundamental Research (Grant No. JCYJ20210324142404012).

References

- 1 Diallo A, Carlos-Bolumbu M, Galtier F. Age, sex, race, BMI, and duration of diabetes differences in cardiovascular outcomes with glucose lowering drugs in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *eClinicalMedicine*. 2022;54:101697.
- 2 Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. *BMJ*. 1995;310:170.
- 3 Bender R, Lange S. Adjusting for multiple testing—when and how? *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2001;54:343–349.
- 4 Mahaffey KW, Jardine MJ, Bompont S, et al. Canagliflozin and cardiovascular and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes mellitus and chronic kidney disease in primary and secondary cardiovascular prevention groups. *Circulation*. 2019;140:739–750.

eClinicalMedicine
2023;56: 101794
Published Online xxx
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101794>

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101697>

*Corresponding author.

**Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: 13798214835@sina.cn (M. Qiu), dulixin976@yeah.net (L. Du).

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).