The Effect of Exogenous Glucose-Dependent Insulinotropic Polypeptide in Combination With Glucagon-Like Peptide-1 on Glycemia in the Critically III

MICHAEL Y. LEE, BMED SC1MATTHJONATHAN D. FRASER, MBBS, FCICM2ANTONMARIANNE J. CHAPMAN, BMBS, PHD, FCICM,
FANZCA1,2CHRIST
FRACEKRISHNASWAMY SUNDARARAJAN, MBBS, MD,
FCICM1,2JURIS J.
MICHAIMAHESH M. UMAPATHYSIVAM, BMED SC1ADAM J

Matthew J. Summers, BSC² Antony V. Zaknic, BMED SC² Christopher K. Rayner, MBBS, PHD, Fracp³ Juris J. Meier, Md⁴ Michael Horowitz, MBBS, PHD, Fracp³ Adam M. Deane, MBBS, PHD, Fracp, Fcicm^{1,2}

OBJECTIVE—Glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) have additive insulinotropic effects when coadministered in health. We aimed to determine whether GIP confers additional glucose lowering to that of GLP-1 in the critically ill.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—Twenty mechanically ventilated critically ill patients without known diabetes were studied in a prospective, randomized, double-blind, crossover fashion on 2 consecutive days. Between T_0 and T_{420} minutes, GLP-1 (1.2 pmol/kg · min⁻¹) was infused intravenously with either GIP (2 pmol/kg · min⁻¹) or 0.9% saline. Between T_{60} and T_{420} minutes, nutrient liquid was infused into the small intestine at 1.5 kcal/min.

RESULTS—Adding GIP did not alter blood glucose or insulin responses to small intestinal nutrient. GIP increased glucagon concentrations slightly before nutrient delivery (P = 0.03), but not thereafter.

CONCLUSIONS—The addition of GIP to GLP-1 does not result in additional glucose-lowering or insulinotropic effects in critically ill patients with acute-onset hyperglycemia.

Diabetes Care 36:3333-3336, 2013

the addition of GIP to GLP-1 would result in additional glucose-lowering in enterally fed, critically ill patients without pre-existing diabetes.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Subjects

Mechanically ventilated critically ill patients were studied. Patients with known diabetes, $HbA_{1c} > 6.5\%$ (48 mmol/mol), previous small intestinal surgery, or evidence of acute or chronic pancreatitis were excluded.

From the ¹Discipline of Acute Care Medicine, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia; the ²Intensive Care Unit, Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia; the ³Discipline of Medicine, University of Adelaide, Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia; and the ⁴Diabetes Division, Department of Medicine I, St. Josef-Hospital, Ruhr-University Bochum, Bochum, Germany.

Corresponding author: Adam M. Deane, adam.deane@adelaide.edu.au.

yperglycemia occurs frequently in

of pre-existing diabetes (1). Al-

though insulin is an effective treatment,

its use confers an increased risk of hypo-

glycemia, which is associated with in-

creased mortality (1,2). In health, but not

in patients with type 2 diabetes, glucose-

dependent insulinotropic polypeptide

(GIP) and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1)

have additive insulinotropic effects when

coadministered, without increasing the

risk of hypoglycemia (3,4). The objective

of this study was to determine whether

the critically ill, even in the absence

DOI: 10.2337/dc13-0307. Clinical trial reg. no. ACTRN12611000735954, www.anzctr.org.au.

© 2013 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/ for details.

Protocol

In this prospective, double-blind, crossover study performed on consecutive days, patients were randomly assigned to receive either the intervention (GIP at 2 $pmol/kg \cdot min^{-1}$) or control (intravenous) [IV] 0.9% saline) in addition to IV GLP-1 at 1.2 pmol/kg \cdot min⁻¹. Patients were fasted for 6 h, and exogenous insulin was ceased 3 h prior to each study. Synthetic GIP and GLP-1 (Bachem, Weil am Rhein, Germany) were reconstituted in 0.9% saline by the Royal Adelaide Hospital Pharmacy, which undertook computer generated randomization. The investigators remained blinded to treatment allocation. Study solutions were administered via low absorbance tubing. Between T₆₀ and T₄₂₀, a mixed liquid nutrient (Ensure Plus; Abbott Nutrition) was infused into the small intestine at 1.5 kcal/min (5). Arterial blood samples were obtained during each study.

This study protocol was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Royal Adelaide Hospital with informed consent obtained from patients' next of kin. The protocol was registered with the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ACTRN12611000735954).

Data analysis

Blood glucose concentrations were measured immediately using a blood gas analyzer (ABL800 FLEX; Radiometer). Serum insulin was measured by ELISA, while plasma glucagon, GIP, and GLP-1 were measured using radioimmunoassays.

Statistical analysis

Based on previous data, 20 completed subjects were required (5). Data are presented as mean \pm SD or median (range) as appropriate. As data for inferential analysis were normally distributed, comparisons were made using the Student paired *t* test. Because the islet cell effects of GIP are glucose-dependent (6,7), the effect of GIP in the subgroup of patients in whom peak blood glucose concentrations were >10 mmol/L was also assessed.

Received 6 February 2013 and accepted 4 April 2013.

GIP and GLP-1 in the critically ill

RESULTS—Twenty patients (age 52 ± 16 years; sex: 12 male; HbA_{1c}: 5.7% [range 4.8–6.5%], 39 [29–48)] mmol/mol; Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II score: 17 [9–30]; days in ICU when studied: 6 [2–15] days; admission diagnostic group: sepsis, 9; trauma, 7; asthma, 2; neurological, 2) were studied.

Blood glucose

Baseline blood glucose concentrations were similar on both days (P = 0.38). In nine patients, the peak blood glucose was >10 mmol/L at least on one study day. GIP had no effect on fasting, peak, or overall glycemic response in either the entire cohort (Fig. 1*A*) or the group with glycemic excursions >10 mmol/L (Fig. 1*B*).

Insulin

Baseline insulin concentrations were similar on the 2 days (P = 0.81). GIP had no effect on fasting or overall insulin concentrations in either the entire cohort (Fig. 1*C*) or the subgroup of patients with glycemic excursions >10 mmol/L (P = 0.96).

Glucagon

Baseline glucagon concentrations were similar on the 2 days (P = 0.48). The addition of GIP led to a minor increase in glucagon before nutrient was given (T_{60} : 152 ± 93 vs. 145 ± 113 pg/mL; P = 0.03), but there was no difference at the end of the infusion (P = 0.55). Overall, glucagon responses to the infusion were also comparable regardless of GIP administration (Fig. 1D). There was no difference in the glucagon response between

the 2 days in patients with glycemic excursions >10 mmol/L (*P* = 0.38).

GIP and GLP-1

Baseline GIP and GLP-1 concentrations were similar on both days (P = 0.79 and 0.35, respectively). While there was a sustained twofold rise above fasting plasma GIP concentrations in response to intraduodenal nutrient during the control infusion (P < 0.001), GIP concentrations were fourfold greater during GIP infusion (P < 0.001). On both days, GLP-1 concentrations increased ~40% in response to GLP-1 infusions, with the addition of GIP having no effect on plasma GLP-1 concentrations (P = 0.88).

CONCLUSIONS—This study indicates that IV administration of GIP to



Figure 1—Effects of the coinfusion of GIP and GLP-1 (open circles) when compared with GLP-1 alone (filled squares). A: Peak (P = 0.43) and overall glycemic response to nutrient infusion (P = 0.34) were similar. B: Overall glycemia in the subgroup (P = 0.55) of patients with excursions >10 mmol/L was comparable (n = 9). C: Serum insulin concentrations were similar (P = 0.86). D: Overall plasma glucagon concentrations were comparable (P = 0.39). Data are mean \pm SD. Area under the curve calculated using the trapezoidal rule. Comparisons using paired Student t tests; n = 20 for all except the subgroup described.

GLP-1 does not lower blood glucose concentrations in the critically ill more than exogenous GLP-1 alone. In keeping with this, the addition of GIP does not affect insulin or suppress glucagon response to enteral nutrient. While these observations are at variance with the outcome of studies performed in healthy volunteers (3,4), they are consistent with the reported effects of concurrent administration of GLP-1 and GIP in patients with type 2 diabetes (4,8).

The doses of GIP and GLP-1 used were based on previous studies. We have reported that GLP-1 at 1.2 pmol/kg · min⁻¹ attenuates, but does not abolish, the glycemic response to enteral nutrition in critically ill patients with and without type 2 diabetes (5,9,10). While this is the first report on the effects of GIP in the critically ill, in healthy volunteers, the coinfusion of GIP at doses >1 pmol/kg · min^{-1} is additive to the insulinotropic effect of GLP-1 (3,4). We confirmed that GIP concentrations reached pharmacological levels, so it appears unlikely that the negative outcome of our study reflects an insufficient dose of GIP.

The reason GIP failed to lower glucose concentrations may relate to antecedent glycemic control, as the insulinotropic effect of GIP is markedly attenuated in various conditions associated with chronic hyperglycemia, such as latent autoimmune diabetes, chronic pancreatitis, and monogenic diabetes (11,12). Moreover, in vivo, hyperglycemia acutely reduces the expression of GIP receptors on β -cells, providing a plausible explanation as to why even pharmacological concentrations of GIP are not insulinotropic during chronic hyperglycemia (13). Højberg et al. (14) recently reported that the insulinotropic property of GIP increased severalfold following 4 weeks of near-normal glycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes. These data and ours indicate that the response of the β -cell to pharmacological doses of GIP is acutely susceptible to the effects of antecedent glycemia. In both healthy humans and patients with pre-existing hyperglycemia and hyperglucagonemia, exogenous GIP is reportedly glucagonotropic, which would counteract any insulinotropic effect (6,8,11,15). However, we observed a modest glucagontropic effect during fasting (T_{60}) , which is unlikely to be of clinical significance.

There are limitations to our study. We cannot exclude the possibility that GIP alone lowers glycemia in the critically ill compared with placebo. Our cohort was relatively small and heterogeneous, and the exposure to exogenous GIP was relatively short (7 h). It therefore remains possible, albeit intuitively unlikely, that an insulinotropic effect would be apparent during more prolonged GIP exposure.

In conclusion, this study indicates that the addition of GIP to exogenous GLP-1 does not yield any additional glucoselowering effect, nor potentiate insulin secretion, in critically ill patients. The implication is that the insulinotropic capacity of GIP, unlike GLP-1, is diminished in critically ill patients with hyperglycemia, as is the case in patients with type 2 diabetes.

Acknowledgments—This study was supported by a research grant from the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (to A.M.D., Project 1025648).

J.J.M. has received consulting or lecture fees from the following companies: AstraZeneca, Berlin-Chemie, BMS, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, MSD, Novo Nordisk, Novartis, Roche, and Sanofi. M.H. has participated in advisory boards and/or symposia for Novo Nordisk, Sanofi, Novartis, Eli Lilly, MSD, Boehringer Ingelheim, Satiogen, and AstraZeneca and received honoraria for this activity. No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

M.Y.L. was responsible for acquisition of data, statistical analysis, and drafting the manuscript. J.D.F. and K.S. contributed to subject enrollment. M.J.C., C.K.R., J.J.M., and M.H. contributed to the study design and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. M.M.U., M.J.S., and A.V.Z. contributed to the acquisition of data. A.M.D. was responsible for the study conception and design, obtaining funding, acquisition of data, interpretation, and manuscript review. A.M.D. is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

This study was presented at the 73rd Scientific Sessions of the American Diabetes Association, Chicago, Illinois, 21–25 June 2013. This study was also presented at the ANZICS/ ACCCN Intensive Care Annual Scientific Meeting, Adelaide, Australia, 25–27 October 2012.

References

- 1. Deane AM, Horowitz M. Dysglycaemia in the critically ill—significance and management. Diabetes Obes Metab 2013;15: 792–801
- 2. Finfer S, Liu B, Chittock DR, et al.; NICE-SUGAR Study Investigators. Hypoglycemia and risk of death in critically ill

patients. N Engl J Med 2012;367:1108– 1118

- 3. Nauck MA, Bartels E, Orskov C, Ebert R, Creutzfeldt W. Additive insulinotropic effects of exogenous synthetic human gastric inhibitory polypeptide and glucagonlike peptide-1-(7-36) amide infused at near-physiological insulinotropic hormone and glucose concentrations. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1993;76:912–917
- Elahi D, McAloon-Dyke M, Fukagawa NK, et al. The insulinotropic actions of glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) and glucagon-like peptide-1 (7-37) in normal and diabetic subjects. Regul Pept 1994;51:63–74
- 5. Deane AM, Chapman MJ, Fraser RJ, Burgstad CM, Besanko LK, Horowitz M. The effect of exogenous glucagon-like peptide-1 on the glycaemic response to small intestinal nutrient in the critically ill: a randomised double-blind placebocontrolled cross over study. Crit Care 2009;13:R67
- Meier JJ, Gallwitz B, Siepmann N, et al. Gastric inhibitory polypeptide (GIP) dosedependently stimulates glucagon secretion in healthy human subjects at euglycaemia. Diabetologia 2003;46:798–801
- Christensen M, Vedtofte L, Holst JJ, Vilsbøll T, Knop FK. Glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide: a bifunctional glucose-dependent regulator of glucagon and insulin secretion in humans. Diabetes 2011;60:3103–3109
- Mentis N, Vardarli I, Köthe LD, et al. GIP does not potentiate the antidiabetic effects of GLP-1 in hyperglycemic patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes 2011;60:1270– 1276
- 9. Deane AM, Summers MJ, Zaknic AV, et al. Exogenous glucagon-like peptide-1 attenuates the glycaemic response to postpyloric nutrient infusion in critically ill patients with type-2 diabetes. Crit Care 2011;15: R35
- Deane AM, Chapman MJ, Fraser RJ, et al. Effects of exogenous glucagon-like peptide-1 on gastric emptying and glucose absorption in the critically ill: relationship to glycemia. Crit Care Med 2010; 38:1261–1269
- 11. Lund A, Vilsbøll T, Bagger JI, Holst JJ, Knop FK. The separate and combined impact of the intestinal hormones, GIP, GLP-1, and GLP-2, on glucagon secretion in type 2 diabetes. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2011;300:E1038–E1046
- 12. Vilsbøll T, Knop FK, Krarup T, et al. The pathophysiology of diabetes involves a defective amplification of the late-phase insulin response to glucose by glucosedependent insulinotropic polypeptideregardless of etiology and phenotype. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2003;88:4897– 4903
- 13. Zhou J, Livak MF, Bernier M, et al. Ubiquitination is involved in glucose-mediated

GIP and GLP-1 in the critically ill

downregulation of GIP receptors in islets. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2007; 293:E538–E547

14. Højberg PV, Vilsbøll T, Rabøl R, et al. Four weeks of near-normalisation of blood glucose improves the insulin response to glucagon-like peptide-1 and glucosedependent insulinotropic polypeptide in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetologia 2009;52:199–207 15. Chia CW, Carlson OD, Kim W, et al. Exogenous glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide worsens post prandial hyper-glycemia in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes 2009; 58:1342–1349