Use of a new proximity assay (NanoBRET) to investigate the ligand-binding characteristics of three fluorescent ligands to the human β_1 -adrenoceptor expressed in HEK-293 cells

Mark Soave¹, Leigh A. Stoddart¹, Alastair Brown², Jeanette Woolard¹ & Stephen J. Hill¹

¹Cell Signalling and Pharmacology Research Group, School of Life Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2UH, United Kingdom ²Heptares Therapeutics Ltd., Bio Park, Welwyn Garden City, AL7 3AX, United Kingdom

Keywords

Bioluminescence energy transfer, ligand binding, probe dependence, β -adrenoceptors

Correspondence

J. Woolard or Stephen J. Hill, Cell Signalling Research Group, C Floor, The University of Nottingham Medical School, Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham, UK, NG7 2UH. Tel: +44 115 8230082; E-mails: jeanette.woolard@nottingham.ac.uk or stephen.hill@nottingham.ac.uk

Funding Information

We thank the Medical Research Council (0800006), Heptares Therapeutics Ltd., and the University of Nottingham for financial support.

Received: 4 May 2016; Revised: 27 June 2016; Accepted: 1 July 2016

Pharma Res Per, 4(5), 2016, e00250, doi:10.1002/prp2.250

doi: 10.1002/prp2.250

Abstract

Previous research has indicated that allosteric interactions across the dimer interface of β_1 -adrenoceptors may be responsible for a secondary low affinity binding conformation. Here we have investigated the potential for probe dependence, in the determination of antagonist pK_i values at the human β_1 -adenoceptor, which may result from such allosterism interactions. Three fluorescent β_1 -adrenoceptor ligands were used to investigate this using bioluminescence energy transfer (BRET) between the receptor-bound fluorescent ligand and the N-terminal NanoLuc tag of a human β_1 -adrenoceptor expressed in HEK 293 cells (NanoBRET). This proximity assay showed high-affinity-specific binding to the NanoLuc- β_1 -adrenoceptor with each of the three fluorescent ligands yielding K_D values of 87.1 \pm 10 nmol/L (n = 8), 38.1 \pm 12 nmol/L (n = 7), 13.4 \pm 2 nmol/L (n = 14) for propranolol-Peg8-BY630, propranolol- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630 and CGP-12177-TMR, respectively. Parallel radioligandbinding studies with ³H-CGP12177 and TIRF microscopy, to monitor NanoLuc bioluminescence, confirmed a high cell surface expression of the NanoLuc- β_1 -adrenoceptor in HEK 293 cells (circa 1500 fmol.mg protein⁻¹). Following a 1 h incubation with fluorescent ligands and β_1 -adrenoceptor competing antagonists, there were significant differences (P < 0.001) in the pK_i values obtained for CGP20712a and CGP 12177 with the different fluorescent ligands and ³H-CGP 12177. However, increasing the incubation time to 2 h removed these significant differences. The data obtained show that the NanoBRET assay can be applied successfully to study ligand-receptor interactions at the human β_1 -adrenoceptor. However, the study also emphasizes the importance of ensuring that both the fluorescent and competing ligands are in true equilibrium before interpretations regarding probe dependence can be made.

Abbreviations

BRET, bioluminescence energy transfer; DMEM, Dulbecco's modified Eagles medium; FCS, fetal calf serum; HEK, human embryonic kidney; Nluc, NanoLuc; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline.

Introduction

The human β_1 -adrenoceptor appears to exist in two active conformations (Kaumann et al. 2001; Kaumann and Molenaar 2008; Pak and Fishman 1996; Granneman 2001; Baker et al. 2003). One of these is a classical orthosteric-binding site via which endogenous ligands, such as adrenaline and noradrenaline, mediate their agonist effects. The actions of agonists at this site are potently and competitively antagonized by β -blockers such as CGP 20712A, CGP 12177, and propranolol (Baker et al. 2003; Baker 2005; Lowe et al. 2002; Joseph et al. 2004a). However, at much higher concentrations than required to occupy the orthosteric site, CGP 12177 (Staehelin et al.

© 2016 The Authors. *Pharmacology Research & Perspectives* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, British Pharmacological Society and American Society for Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. 1983) is able to produce an agonist response that is effectively resistant to β -blocker antagonism at the concentrations normally employed to prevent the binding of agonists to the orthosteric site (Pak and Fishman 1996; Baker et al. 2003; Baker 2005; Joseph et al. 2004a; Konkar et al. 2000). The dissociation constants of classical β -adrenoceptor antagonists are therefore one to two orders of magnitude higher when determined from inhibition of functional responses mediated by CGP 12177 at the β_1 adrenoceptor compared to those determined from antagonism of catecholamine responses (Molenaar et al. 2007; Lowe et al. 2002; Baker et al. 2003; Baker 2005). Studies in recombinant cell systems and cardiac tissue isolated from β_2 - and β_1 -/ β_2 -adrenoceptor knockout mice have confirmed that the second-site pharmacology of CGP 12177 is a direct consequence of its interaction with the β_1 -adrenoceptor (Kaumann et al. 2001; Kaumann and Molenaar 2008; Pak and Fishman 1996).

Site-directed mutagenesis studies have attempted to isolate the regions of the β_1 -adrenoceptor responsible for this second site pharmacology in CHO-K1 cells (Baker et al. 2008, 2014; Joseph et al. 2004b). Baker et al. (2014) have indicated that residues within the dimer interface region in transmembrane domain (TM) 4 of the β_1 -adrenoceptor may be responsible for the secondary β_1 -adrenoceptor conformation (Gherbi et al. 2015). In this situation, negative cooperativity across the TM4-TM5 β_1 adrenoceptor homodimer interface may be responsible for generating the low affinity pharmacology of the secondary β_1 -adrenoceptor conformation (Gherbi et al. 2015) in a manner analogous to that observed for the adenosine A₃receptor (May et al. 2011; Corriden et al. 2014).

Recent studies with a fluorescent analog of CGP 12177 (BODIPY-TMR-CGP) have shown that at high concentrations it can begin to label both conformations of the human β_1 -adrenoceptor expressed in CHO-K1 cells (Gherbi et al. 2014, 2015). The availability of this ligand has made it possible to study the dynamics of ligand-receptor interactions in living cells and provided evidence for negatively cooperative interactions (Gherbi et al. 2014, 2015). Recently, we developed an exquisitely sensitive proximity-based method to monitor ligand-receptor interactions in living cells using bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET) (Stoddart et al. 2015). This used a recently described and extremely bright luciferase NanoLuc (Hall et al. 2012) fused to the N-terminus of different GPCRs expressed in HEK 293 cells in conjunction with red-shifted fluorescent ligands (Stoddart et al. 2015). This NanoBRET approach was able to show binding of fluorescent ligands to GPCRs in a highly specific way. Furthermore, in the case of the adenosine A₃receptor where a number of different fluorescent ligands were available to probe this receptor, the study highlighted the fact that binding affinities varied depending on the A3receptor fluorescent probe used (Stoddart et al. 2015). These observations were in keeping with the known allosterism observed across the A_3 -receptor homodimer interface (May et al. 2011; Corriden et al. 2014; Stoddart et al. 2015), and consistent with the expected probe dependence for such allosteric interactions (May et al. 2007, 2010; Christopoulos and Kenakin 2002).

Here, we have investigated the potential for probe dependence in the determination of antagonist pKi values at the human β_1 -adenoceptor using three different fluorescent β_1 -adrenoceptor ligands, in conjunction with a N-terminal tagged NanoLuc human β_1 -adrenoceptor expressed in HEK 293 cells.

Materials and Methods

cDNA constructs

To create the NL- β_1 -AR construct, NanoLuc (NL) was initially ligated into pcDNA3.1 containing the 5-HT receptor membrane localization signal sequence (sig) using KpnI and BamHI restriction enzymes, generating the sig-NL plasmid. The β_1 adrenoceptor with no start codon was then ligated to the C-terminus of NL from pcDNA3.1 containing SNAP- β_1 (Gherbi et al. 2015) using BamHI and XbaI restriction enzymes. The resulting fusion protein contained a Gly-Ser linker between the Nluc open reading frame (ORF) and the β_1 ORF. The NanoLuc Histamine 1 receptor construct (NL-H₁) was made by removing the internal BamHI site in the H₁ receptor (in pcDNA3.1) by site-directed mutagenesis while maintaining the amino acid sequence. This was then ligated into the sig-NL plasmid with BamHI and XhoI restriction enzymes.

Materials

Propranolol-Peg8-BY630 (propranolol-peg8-BODIPY630/ 650) and prop- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630 (propranolol- β alanine- β alanine-X-BODIPY630/650) were obtained from CellAura (Nottingham, UK). BODIPY-TMR-CGP (CGP-12177-TMR) was purchased from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR). Propranolol, ICI 118551, CGP 12177, CGP 20712A, and cimaterol were from Tocris (Bristol, UK). Isoprenaline was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Gillingham, UK). The NanoLuc substrate furimazine was obtained from Promega (Southampton, UK). The radioligand ³H CGP 12177 was obtained from PerkinElmer (Coventry, UK).

Cell lines

HEK 293 cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified eagle medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) and 2 mmol/L L-glutamine at 37°C in 5% CO₂

atmosphere. Mixed population $NL-\beta_1$ -AR and $NL-H_1$ HEK 293 cell lines were generated using Fugene HD (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions and cells were then subjected to 1 mg/mL G418-selective pressure for 2 weeks.

Radioligand-binding assays

Saturation- and competition-binding assays were performed on stably transfected HEK NL- β_1 -AR cells. These were seeded 24 h before experimentation in white Thermo Scientific 96-well microplates. The medium was removed from each well and replaced with serum-free media (DMEM with 2 mmol/L L-glutamine), followed immediately by the required concentrations of ³H-CGP 12177 radioligand and competing compounds. For saturationbinding assays, nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of 10 µmol/L propranolol. The cells were incubated for 2 h at 37°C, 5% CO₂. The cells were washed twice by the addition and subsequent removal of 200 μ L phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). 200 µL microscint-20 (PerkinElmer) was added to each well, a white base applied to the plate, and the plate sealed with a clear topseal heat seal (PerkinElmer). The plates were counted on a Topcount scintillation reader (PerkinElmer). Protein content of wells was determined by the method of Lowry et al. (1951).

BRET NL-β₁-AR receptor-ligand-binding assays

Saturation- and competition-binding assays were performed on stably transfected HEK 293 cells. Cells were seeded 24 h before experimentation in white Thermo Scientific 96-well microplates. The medium was removed from each well and replaced with HEPES-buffered saline solution (HBSS; 147 mmol/L NaCL, 24 mmol/L KCl, 1.3 mmol/L CaCl₂, 1 mmol/L MgSO₄, 10 mmol/L HEPES, 2 mmol/L sodium pyruvate, 1.43 mmol/L NaHCO₃, 4.5 mmol/L D-glucose, pH 7.2-7.45) with the relevant concentration of fluorescent ligand and, if necessary, competing ligand. Nonspecific binding was determined with 10 μ mol/L propranolol. Cells were then incubated in the dark for 1 or 2 h at 37° C (no CO₂). The NanoLuc substrate furimazine (Promega) was then added to each well at a final concentration of 10 µmol/L and allowed to equilibrate for 5 min prior to reading. We measured the luminescence signals at two different wavelengths using the PHERAstar FS plate reader (BMG Labtech, UK) at room temperature. The filtered light from each well was simultaneously measured using 460 nm (80-nm bandpass) and >610 nm longpass filters. The resulting raw BRET ratio was calculated by dividing the >610 nm emission by the 460 nm emission.

Bioluminescence imaging of NL-β₁-AR

Bioluniescence imaging experiments were performed to determine the cellular localization of the NL- β_1 -AR fusion protein. Imaging was performed using the Zeiss TIRF3 microscope equipped with a Photometrics Quantum EM camera and a 20× EC Plan-Neofluar objective lens. No filter was used in these experiments, in order to maximize the luminescence detected by the camera. HEK 293 cells were seeded onto glass-bottom MatTek dishes (Ashland, MA) and transiently transfected with the NL- β_1 -AR construct 24 h before experimentation using Fugene HD (Promega). The medium was removed and replaced with HBSS at 37°C. The luminescence of NL- β_1 -AR was captured as a single 10 sec exposure immediately after the addition of 10 μ mol/ L furimazine. Following this a phase contrast image was acquired of the cells with a 33 msec exposure time. All images were acquired using the Zeiss Zen 2 software (Jena, Germany). Image processing was performed with FIJI software (Schindelin et al., 2012).

Data analysis

Data were presented and analyzed using Prism 6 software (GraphPad).

Saturation-binding curves were simultaneously fitted to obtain the total and nonspecific components using the following equation:

Radioligand binding or BRET ratio
=
$$\frac{B_{\text{max}} \times [B]}{[B] + K_D} + ((M \times [B]) + C)$$

where B_{max} is the maximal level of specific binding, [B] is the concentration of fluorescent ligand or radioligand in nmol/L, K_{D} is the equilibrium dissociation constant in nmol/L, *M* is the slope of the linear nonspecific binding component, and C is the *y*-axis intercept.

Competition radioligand and NanoBRET data were fitted using a one-site sigmoidal response curve given by the following equation:

% uninhibited binding =
$$100 - \frac{(100 \times [A^n])}{([A^n] + IC_{50}^n)} + NS$$

where [A] is the concentration of competing drug, NS is the nonspecific binding, n is the Hill coefficient, and IC_{50} is the concentration of ligand required to inhibit 50% of the specific binding of the radioligand or fluorescent ligand.

The IC₅₀ values from competition-binding curves were used to calculate the K_i of the unlabeled ligands using the Cheng–Prusoff equation:

$$K_i = \frac{IC_{50}}{1 + \frac{[L]}{K_D}}$$

where [L] is the concentration of fluorescent ligand or radioligand in nmol/L, and K_D is the dissociation constant of the fluorescent ligand or radioligand in nmol/L. The K_D values used were obtained from the saturationbinding experiments.

Statistical significance was determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA, P < 0.05 considered significant).

Results

³H-CGP 12177-binding experiments in NL- β_1 -AR HEK 293 cells

Initial radioligand-binding experiments were performed with the high affinity ligand ³H-CGP 12177 in HEK 293 cells stably expressing human β_1 -adrenoceptors containing an N-terminal Nanoluc luciferase fusion (NL- β_1 -ARs). Saturation analysis showed clear specific binding (Fig. 1; K_D for ³H-CGP 12177 = 0.92 \pm 0.19 nmol/L; n = 6) and a mean receptor expression level of 1581 ± 350 pmol.mg protein⁻¹ (n = 6). The level of nonspecific binding deduced in the presence of 10 μ mol/L propranolol was low across the concentrations of ³H-CGP 12177 employed (0-5 nmol/L; Fig. 1). Competition experiments with a range of β_1 -adrenoceptor agonists and antagonists (Fig. 2A and B) fitted well to a simple single mass action equilibrium model and allowed the calculation of pKi values for the different inhibitors (Table 1). As expected, the selective β_1 -adrenoceptor antagonist CGP 20712A showed a much higher affinity for the NL- β_1 -adrenoceptor (pK_i: 7.92; Fig. 2A; Table 1) than the β_2 -adrenoceptor-selective antagonist ICI 118551



Figure 1. ³H-CGP 12177 binding to HEK-NL- β_1 -AR cells showing total ³H-CGP 12177 binding, nonspecific binding (obtained in the presence of 10 μ mol/L propranolol) and specific binding. Data points are mean \pm SEM of quadruplicate determinations from a single experiment. Similar data were obtained in six separate experiments.



Figure 2. Inhibition of ³H-CGP 12177 binding to HEK-NL- β_1 -AR cells by (A) CGP 20712A, propranolol, ICI 118551, (B) isoprenaline, cimaterol, CGP 12177. Nonspecific binding was defined in the presence of 10 μ mol/L propranolol. The concentration of ³H-CGP 12177 present in these experiments was 2.2 nmol/L. Data points are triplicate determinations of mean \pm SEM and these single experiments are representative of five separate experiments. Summary data from the replicate experiments are provided in Table 1.

(pK_i 6.01; Table 1; Fig. 2A), although both values were lower than those (8.81 and 6.52 for CGP 20712A and ICI 118551, respectively) obtained in CHO cells (Baker 2005).

Table 1. Binding affinities of competing ligands determined from inhibition of the specific binding of 0.9–2.5 nmol/L ³H-CGP 12177, 100 nmol/L Propranolol-Peg8-BY630, 50 nmol/L Propranolol- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630 or 50 nmol/L CGP-12177-TMR in HEK 293 cells expressing a NanoLuc-tagged human β_1 -adrenoceptor.

	³ H-CGP-12177		Propranolol-Peg8- BY630		Propranolol- eta(Ala-Ala)-BY630		CGP-12177-TMR	
	рК _і	n	рК _і	n	рК _і	n	рК _і	n
lsoprenaline**	6.18 ± 0.07	5	6.72 ± 0.12	7	5.95 ± 0.09	8	6.56 ± 0.05	5
Cimaterol*	6.03 ± 0.05	5	6.44 ± 0.11	7	5.84 ± 0.22	8	6.34 ± 0.19	5
CGP 12177**	8.76 ± 0.09	5	8.67 ± 0.05	7	8.15 ± 0.10	8	8.93 ± 0.07	5
CGP 20712A**	7.92 ± 0.11	5	8.52 ± 0.09	7	7.84 ± 0.07	8	8.85 ± 0.19	5
Propranolol	7.92 ± 0.09	5	8.11 ± 0.12	5	7.77 ± 0.21	5	7.80 ± 0.08	5
ICI 118551	6.01 ± 0.13	5	6.55 ± 0.19	5	6.18 ± 0.22	5	6.51 ± 0.05	5

Incubations were for 1 h. Values show mean \pm SEM obtained in *n* separate experiments. In each individual experiment triplicate determinations were made for each experimental condition. pKi values were determined from IC_{50} values using the Cheng–Prusoff equation as described under Methods. **,*pKi values obtained of competing ligand significantly differ between fluorescent/radioactive probes (**P < 0.001; *P < 0.05; One-way ANOVA).

Inhibition of ³H-CGP 12177 binding by three different fluorescent β_1 -adrenoceptor antagonists at the human β_1 -AR

Three different fluorescent β -AR ligands were used to investigate binding to the β_1 -AR in this study: (1) Propranolol-Peg8-BY630 (Baker et al. 2011); (2) Propranolol- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630 (Stoddart et al. 2015), and (3) CGP-12177-TMR (Gherbi et al. 2014). Initial studies were performed to evaluate the ability of these ligands to inhibit the binding of ³H-CGP 12177 (Fig. 3 and Table 2). The pK_i values obtained from these studies indicated that Propranolol- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630 and CGP-12177-TMR were approximately an order of magnitude higher affinity than Propranolol-Peg8-BY630 in HEK-293 cell expressing the human β_1 -adrenoceptor (Table 2).

Binding characteristics of three fluorescent β_1 -adrenoceptor antagonists at the human β_1 -AR using NanoBRET

The successful expression of the NL- β_1 -AR on the surface of HEK 293 cells is indicated by the radioligand-binding studies with ³H-CGP-12177 (above), but also from imaging of the Nanoluc bioluminescence using TIRF microscopy (Fig. 4). In Figure 4, clear membrane-associated luminescence can be seen at the single cell level. This cell surface expression provided the opportunity to investigate ligand binding of different fluorescent ligands using BRET (NanoBRET) to report the proximity of the fluorescent ligand to the NL-tagged β_1 -AR, as we have previously shown for other receptors (Stoddart et al. 2015). All three fluorescent ligands showed clear specific binding (as determined by BRET) to the β_1 -AR (Figs. 5, 6). These data provided estimates for the K_D values of Propranolol-

Peg8-BY630 (87.1 \pm 10 nmol/L, n = 8; Figs. 5A, 6A), Propranolol- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630 (38.1 ± 12 nmol/L, n = 7; Figs. 5B, 6B), and CGP-12177-TMR (13.4 \pm 2 nmol/L, n = 14; Fig. 5C, 6C). The latter value is very similar to the value (22.4 nmol/L; Gherbi et al. 2014) deduced with this fluorescent probe at the wild-type β_1 -AR expressed in CHO cells. To confirm that the interaction of the fluorescent ligands with the β_1 -AR was receptor specific, we also evaluated their ability to generate a BRET signal in HEK 293 cell expressing an unrelated receptor, the human H₁-receptor with an N-terminal NanoLuc fusion (Fig. 7). The binding of Propranolol-Peg8-BY630 (Fig. 7A), Propranolol- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630 (Fig. 7B), and CGP-12177-TMR (Fig. 7C) to the histamine H1-receptor showed an essentially linear increase in BRET over a wide concentration range (0-500 nmol/L) consistent with nonspecific (Fig. 7A and C) or very low affinity binding (Fig. 7B).

Probe dependence of pKi values determine for β_1 -AR agonists and antagonists

The availability of three different fluorescent ligands for the β_1 -AR provided the opportunity to investigate whether competition-binding experiments exhibited probe dependence, and the extent to which the values obtained for pK_i differed from those obtained from radioligandbinding experiments. Competition-binding experiments were initially undertaken with the two fluorescent propranolol derivatives that essentially only differ in the length and composition of the linker between propranolol and the BODIPY 630/650 fluorophore (Fig. 8). In both cases, there was a reasonable agreement with the values obtained from radioligand-binding experiments with ³H-CGP 12177 (Fig. 8B and D). The slope of the linear regression lines in Figures 8B and D were 0.87 ± 0.08



Figure 3. Inhibition of ³H-CGP 12177 binding to HEK-NL- β_1 -AR cells by propranolol-Peg8-BY630, propranolol- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630 and CGP-12177-TMR. Nonspecific binding was defined in the presence of 10 μ mol/L propranolol. The concentration of ³H-CGP 12177 present in these experiments was 0.9–2.5 nmol/L. Data points in (A) are mean \pm SEM of triplicate determinations in a single representative experiment. (B) Inhibition of specific ³H-CGP 12177 binding by each fluorescent ligand expressed as a percentage of the specific binding obtained in the absence of inhibitor. Data points in (B) are mean \pm SEM of six (propranolol-Peg8-BY630), seven (propranolol- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630), and five (CGP-12177-TMR) separate experiments. Summary data from the replicate experiments are provided in Table 2.

 $(R^2 = 0.97; y \text{ intercept} = 1.38 \pm 0.56)$ and 0.87 ± 0.08 $(R^2 = 0.97; y \text{ intercept} = 0.73 \pm 0.60)$, respectively. However, a comparison of the pK_i values obtained with the two fluorescent propranolol derivatives revealed a trend to higher values obtained with Propranolol-Peg8-BY630 relative to Propranolol- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630 (Table 1). Comparable higher pK_i values were also obtained with CGP-12177-TMR (Fig. 9; Table 1). However, although the correlation between pK_i values obtained with ³H-CGP 12177 and CGP-12177-TMR was similar to the other comparisons (Fig. 9C; 0.95 ± 0.14; y intercept

Table 2. Binding affinities of three fluorescent ligands determined from inhibition of the specific binding of 0.9–2.5 nmol/L ³H-CGP 12177 and from the saturation of Prop-peg8-BY630, Prop- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630, or CGP-12177-TMR in HEK 293 cells expressing a NanoLuctagged human β_1 -adrenoceptor.

Fluorescent	Radioligand		1 h NanoBRE	2 h NanoBRET		
Ligand	рК _і	n	рК _d	n	рК _d	n
Prop-peg8- BY630	6.17 ± 0.15	6	7.06 ± 0.12	8	6.18 ± 0.22	5
Prop- β(Ala-Ala) -BY630	7.26 ± 0.09	7	7.23 ± 0.12	7	7.86 ± 0.14	5
CGP-12177- TMR	7.55 ± 0.15	5	7.87 ± 0.06	14	7.72 ± 0.10	5

Values show mean \pm SEM obtained in *n* separate experiments. In each individual experiment triplicate determinations were made for each experimental condition. pK_i values were determined from *IC*₅₀ values using the Cheng–Prusoff equation as described under Methods. pK_d values were determined from NanoBRET saturation fluorescent ligand-binding analysis performed over 1 h or 2 h incubation. BRET, bioluminescence energy transfer.



Figure 4. Image of HEK 293 cells transiently transfected with NL- β_1 -AR showing clear plasma membrane distribution of the fusion protein. This single 10 sec exposure image was taken immediately after the addition of 10 μ mol/L furimazine and is representative of five separate experiments. Scale bar is 20 μ m.

 0.74 ± 1.00 ; $R^2 = 0.92$) the values obtained with CGP 20712A differed by an order of magnitude. A comparison of the pK_i values obtained for each competing antagonist ligand suggested that there were significant



Figure 5. NanoBRET signal obtained from HEK 293 NL- β_1 -AR cells incubated for 1 h with increasing concentrations of (A) Propranolol-Peg8-BY630 (B) Propranolol- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630, or (C) CGP-12177-TMR. Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of 10 μ mol/L propranolol. Data points are mean \pm SEM of triplicate determinations from a single experiment. These single experiments are representative of (A) eight, (B) seven, and (C) fourteen separate experiments. Where not seen, error bars are within the symbol. BRET, bioluminescence energy transfer.

probe-dependent differences between them (Fig. 10). In the case of CGP20712A and CGP 12177, the differences were highly significant (P < 0.001; one-way ANOVA; Fig. 10A).

Impact of incubation time

One clear difference between the experimental conditions used for fluorescent ligand-binding (1 h incubation) and radioligand-binding (2 h incubation) studies was incubation time. The initial choice of 1 h for incubation with fluorescent ligands was to limit the potential for uptake of the more lipophilic ligands into the cells, which has been previously observed to increase the nonspecific binding determined in fluorescence intensity measurements (Baker et al. 2011; Gherbi et al. 2014). To explore the potential for the shorter incubation time to be a confounding factor due to differences in the ligand-binding kinetics of the ligands used (both fluorescent and competing ligands), we repeated the fluorescent ligand experiments with CGP 20712A and CGP 12177 as competing drugs over 2 h incubations. Analysis of these data indicated that there were no significant differences between pK_i values obtained with different fluorescent ligands or ³H-CGP12177 following 2 h incubation (Table 3, Fig. 10B). Here, the IC_{50} values for CGP

500

0

500

300

400



BY630:luminescence) **BRET** ratio 0.007 0.006 0.005 100 200 300 400 0 [Prop-β(Ala-Ala)-BY630](nmol/L) (C) 0.0080 - CGP-12177-TMR CGP-12177-TMR (BY630:luminescence) + 10 µmol/L Mepyramine 0.0075 **BRET** ratio 0.0070 0.0065 Figure 6. Normalized saturation-binding curves of the specific 0 100 200 [CGP-12177-TMR] (nmol/L) Figure 7. NanoBRET signal obtained from HEK 293 cells expressing

(A)

BY630:luminescence)

BRET ratio

(B)

0.010

0.009

0.008

0.007

0.006

0.005

0.009

0.008

0

Ο

Prop-peg8-BY630 Prop-peg8-BY630

+ 10 µmol/L Mepyramine

200

Prop-β(Ala-Ala)-BY630

+ 10 μmol/L Mepyramine

Orep-β(Ala-Ala)-BY630

100

300

[Prop-peg8-BY630](nmol/L)

binding of (A) Propranolol-Peg8-BY630 (B) Propranolol- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630, or (C) CGP-12177-TMR. Data points are mean \pm SEM of eight (propranolol-Peg8-BY630), seven (propranolol- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630), and fourteen (CGP-12177-TMR) separate experiments. Values have been normalized to the specific binding obtained at the highest concentration of each fluorescent ligand in each separate experiment. Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of 10 μ mol/L propranolol and subtracted from the total binding values to obtain specific binding levels in each individual experiment. Summary data for the pK_d values obtained in each replicate experiment are provided in Table 2.

an NLuc-tagged histamine H1-receptor incubated for 1 h with increasing concentrations of (A) Propranolol-Peg8-BY630, (B) Propranolol-β(Ala-Ala)-BY630, (C) CGP-12177-TMR. Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of 10 μ mol/L mepyramine. Data points are mean \pm SEM from triplicate determinations in a single representative experiment. These single experiments are representative of five separate experiments. BRET, bioluminescence energy transfer.



Figure 8. NanoBRET signal from NL- β_1 -AR cells treated with (A–B) 100 nmol/L Propranolol-Peg8-BY630, (C–D) 50 nmol/L Propranolol- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630 and increasing concentrations of (A) CGP 20712A, ICI 118551, CGP 12177 or (C) CGP 20712A, CGP 12177. (B, D) Regression plots comparing the pK_i obtained from NanoBRET binding to those obtained using radioligand binding. Data points are combined mean \pm SEM from (A–B) seven and (C–D) eight separate experiments. Exceptions are propranolol and ICI 118551 in both figures where data represent mean \pm SEM from five separate experiments. BRET, bioluminescence energy transfer.

12177 and CGP 20712A were corrected for the presence of the fluorescent ligand using the Cheng–Prusoff equation and the pK_d values for the three fluorescent ligands obtained from saturation analysis at 2 h incubation (Table 2).

Discussion and Conclusions

This study has confirmed that the recently described NanoBRET proximity assay for the study of ligand binding to cell surface GPCRs (Stoddart et al. 2015) can be applied to the human β_1 -adrenoceptor expressed in HEK 293 cells. The presence of the NanoLuc tag on the N-terminus of the β_1 -adrenoceptor did not prevent a good level of cell surface expression of the receptor, as determined by both single cell bioluminescence imaging of the NanoLuc tag and whole-cell radioligand-binding studies with ³H-CGP 12177 (circa 1500 fmol. mg protein⁻¹). Radioligand-binding studies with ³H-CGP 12177 also confirmed that the NLuc- β_1 -adrenoceptor had high



Figure 9. NanoBRET signal from NL- β_1 -AR cells treated with 50 nmol/L CGP-12177-TMR and increasing concentrations of (A) isoprenaline, cimaterol, CGP-12177 (B) propranolol, CGP 20712A, ICI 118551. Data points are expressed as a % of the specific binding of CGP-12177-TMR obtained (in the absence of inhibitor) in each individual experiment and represent the mean \pm SEM from five separate experiments. Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of 10 μ mol/L propranolol. (C) Regression plot comparing the pK_i obtained from the NanoBRET experiments in (A–B) to pK_i obtained with radioligand-binding studies. BRET, bioluminescence energy transfer.



Figure 10. A comparison of pKi values obtained at the NL-tagged β_1 -adrenoceptor using three different fluorescent β_1 -adrenoceptor ligands (Propranolol-Peg8-BY630, Propranolol- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630, or CGP-12177-TMR) and ³H-CGP 12177. Incubation with fluorescent ligands was for either 1 h (A) or 2 h (B). pK_i values were taken from Table 1 (A) or Table 3 (B) (see tables for SEMs and *n* numbers). **, *pK_i values obtained for competing ligand significantly differ between fluorescent/radioactive probes (***P* < 0.001; One-way ANOVA).

affinity for the β_1 -adrenoceptor-selective ligand CGP 20712A and low affinity for the β_2 -adrenceptor antagonist ICI 118551.

Three different fluorescent β -AR ligands were used to investigate binding to the β_1 -AR in this study: (1) Propranolol-Peg8-BY630 (Baker et al. 2011); (2) Propranolol- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630 (Stoddart et al. 2015), and (3) CGP-12177-TMR (Gherbi et al. 2014). Only one of these has been previously used in a NanoBRET ligand-binding assay, and that was to study binding to a NanoLuc-tagged

M. Soave et al.

	³ H-CGP-12177		Propranolol-Peg8- BY630		Propranolol-β(Ala-Ala)- BY630		CGP-12177-TMR	
	рКі	n	рКі	n	рКі	n	рКі	n
CGP 12177 CGP 20712A	$\begin{array}{c} 8.76\pm0.09\\ 7.92\pm0.11\end{array}$	5 5	$\begin{array}{c} 8.51 \pm 0.13 \\ 8.17 \pm 0.16 \end{array}$	9 7	$\begin{array}{c} 8.84 \pm 0.14 \\ 8.32 \pm 0.16 \end{array}$	5 5	$\begin{array}{c} 8.51 \pm 0.10 \\ 7.99 \pm 0.10 \end{array}$	9 8

Table 3. Binding affinities of competing ligands determined from inhibition of the specific binding of a 2-h incubation with 0.9–2.5 nmol/L ³H-CGP 12177, 100 nmol/L Propranolol-Peg8-BY630, 50 nmol/L Propranolol- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630, or 50 nmol/L CGP-12177-TMR in HEK 293 cells expressing a NanoLuc-tagged human β_1 -adrenoceptor.

Values show mean \pm SEM obtained in *n* separate experiments. In each individual experiment triplicate determinations were made for each experimental condition. pK_i values were determined from *IC*₅₀ values using the Cheng–Prusoff equation as described under Methods. pK_i values obtained of competing ligand do not significantly differ between fluorescent/radioactive probes (One-way ANOVA).

human β_2 -adrenoceptor (Stoddart et al. 2015). All three ligands showed good receptor-specific binding to the human β_1 -adrenoceptor in HEK 293 cells. Their rank order of affinity (K_D values) was: CGP-12177-TMR (13.4 nmol/L), propranolol- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630 (38 nmol/ L), and propranolol-Peg8-BY630 (87.1 nmol/L). Interestingly, this proximity-based assay allowed ligand binding to be monitored over a wide concentration range and nonspecific binding was not excessive. Nonspecific binding was greatest for propranolol- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630 and propranolol-Peg8-BY630. This is likely to be a consequence of partitioning in the membrane of these more lipophilic ligands in close proximity to the NLuc tag on the β_1 -adrenoceptor.

To investigate further whether these fluorescent ligands could generate a BRET signal with the N-terminal NLuctag of the β_1 -adrenoceptor from nonspecific partitioning in the adjacent membrane (i.e., in close proximity to the receptor), we studied an unrelated NLuc-tagged GPCR, namely the histamine H1-receptor. Interestingly, both propranolol- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630 and propranolol-Peg8-BY630 generated a linear concentration-dependent increase in energy transfer from the NLuc of the H₁-receptor to the fluorescent ligand that was consistent with some component of the BRET signal being due to the fluorescent ligand in the adjacent plasma membrane. In contrast, when CGP-12177-TMR was used as the fluorescent ligand there was no concentration-dependent increase in nonspecific binding. In the case of both propranolol-Peg8-BY630 and CGP-12177-TMR, the binding obtained was not inhibited by 10 μ mol/L mepyramine, while any effect on propranolol- β (Ala-Ala)-BY630 was marginal, confirming that it was predominately nonspecific in nature.

The specific binding of each fluorescent ligand to the NL- β_1 -adrenoceptor was antagonized by a range of antagonists in a manner consistent with that expected of a specific β 1-adrenoceptor interaction. However, closer inspection of the pK_i values obtained for individual competing antagonists indicated that some of them varied significantly depending on the particular fluorescent ligand used as the

probe (Fig. 10A). This was particularly the case for CGP 12177 and CGP 20712a (Fig. 10A). One clear difference between the experimental conditions used for fluorescent ligand binding and those for radioligand-binding studies was incubation time. The initial choice of 1 h for incubation with fluorescent ligands was designed to limit the potential for uptake of the more lipophilic ligands into the cells, which tends to increase the nonspecific binding determined in fluorescence intensity measurements (Baker et al. 2011; Gherbi et al. 2014; Rose et al. 2012). However, if the incubation times used for the various assays are not sufficiently long to achieve equilibrium, then over- or underestimates of pK_i values for certain competing ligands may be obtained (Motulsky and Mahan 1984). This may be compounded if the probe or competing drugs are lipophilic and their rate of achieving equilibrium is delayed by membrane-binding interactions (Sykes et al. 2014; Vauquelin and Charlton 2010; Vauquelin 2010).

Extending the incubation time for the NanoBRET competition assay to 2 h provided consistent measurements of ligand binding with all three fluorescent ligands. Furthermore, in keeping with the above hypothesis, extension of the fluorescent ligand-binding incubation time from 1 h to 2 h removed any significant probe-dependent differences in the observed pK_i values obtained (Fig. 10B). These data suggest that at the concentrations of fluorescent ligand employed here there is no evidence of probe dependence. The data obtained at the two incubation times also emphasize the importance of ensuring that both the fluorescent and competing ligands are in true equilibrium before interpretations regarding probe dependence can be made.

In summary, we have shown here that a NanoBRET proximity assay can be used to undertake a detailed characterization of the ligand-binding characteristics of three different fluorescent ligands at the human β_1 -adrenoceptor expressed in living HEK 293 cells. Provided that the incubation time was sufficiently long to achieve equilibrium, the pK_i values obtained for four different β -adrenoceptor antagonists did not provide any evidence for probe dependence at the human β 1-adrenoceptor. Further studies will be required using mutagenesis and kinetic approaches to determine whether there is allosterism across dimer interfaces involving the β_1 -adrenoceptor in HEK 293 cells similar to that observed previously in CHO-K1 cells (Gherbi et al. 2015).

Acknowledgements

We thank the Medical Research Council (0800006), Heptares Therapeutics Ltd. and the University of Nottingham for financial support.

Author Contributions

Soave, Stoddart, Brown, Woolard, and Hill participated in research design. Soave conducted experiments. Soave and Hill performed data analysis. Soave, Brown, Woolard, and Hill wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

Disclosures

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

Baker JG (2005). Site of action of beta-ligands at the human β 1-adrenoceptor. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 313: 1163–1171.

Baker JG, Hall IP, Hill SJ (2003). Agonist actions of " β blockers" provide evidence for two agonist activation sites or conformations of the human β_1 -adrenoceptor. Mol Pharmacol 63: 1312–1321.

Baker JG, Proudman RG, Hawley NC, Fischer PM, Hill SJ (2008). Role of key transmembrane residues in agonist and antagonist actions at the two conformations of the human β 1-adrenoceptor. Mol Pharmacol 74: 1246–1260.

Baker JG, Adams L, Salchow K, Mistry S, Middleton R, Hill SJ, et al. (2011). Synthesis and characterization of high-affinity 4,4-difluoro-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene (BODIPY)-labeled fluorescent ligands for human beta-adrenoceptors. J Med Chem 54: 6874–6887.

Baker JG, Proudman RG, Hill SJ (2014). Identification of key residues in transmembrane 4 responsible for the secondary, low-affinity conformation of the human β_1 -adrenoceptor. Mol Pharmacol 85: 811–829.

Christopoulos A, Kenakin T (2002). G protein-coupled receptor allosterism and complexing. Pharmacol Rev 54: 323–374.

Corriden R, Kilpatrick LE, Kellam B, Briddon SJ, Hill SJ (2014). Kinetic analysis of antagonist-occupied adenosine-A₃ receptors within membrane microdomains of individual cells provides evidence of receptor dimerization and allosterism. FASEB J 28: 4211–4222.

Gherbi K, Briddon SJ, Hill SJ (2014). Detection of the secondary low affinity β_1 -adrenoceptor site in living cells using the fluorescent CGP 12177 derivative BODIPY-TMR-CGP. Br J Pharmacol 171: 5431–5445.

Gherbi K, May LT, Baker JG, Briddon SJ, Hill SJ (2015). Negative cooperativity across β_1 -adrenoceptor homodimers provides insights into the nature of the secondary low affinity "CGP 12177" β_1 -adrenoceptor binding conformation. FASEB J 29: 2859–2871.

Granneman JG (2001). The putative β_4 -adrenergic receptor is a novel state of the β_1 -adrenergic receptor. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 280: E199–E202.

Hall MP, Unch J, Binkowski BF, Valley MP, Butler BL, Wood MG, et al. (2012). Engineered luciferase reporter from a deep sea shrimp utilizing a novel imidazopyrazinone substrate. ACS Chem Biol 16: 1848–1857.

Joseph SS, Lynham JA, Colledge WH, Kaumann AJ (2004a). Binding of (-)-[³H]-CGP12177 at two sites in recombinant human β_1 -adrenoceptors and interaction with β -blockers. Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol 369: 525–532.

Joseph SS, Lynham JA, Grace AA, Colledge WH, Kaumann AJ (2004b). Markedly reduced effects of (-)-isoprenaline but not of (-)-CGP12177 and unchanged affinity of β -blockers at Gly389- β_1 -adrenoceptors compared to Arg389- β_1 -adrenoceptors. Br J Pharmacol 142: 51–56.

Kaumann AJ, Molenaar P (2008). The low-affinity site of the β_1 -adrenoceptor and its relevance to cardiovascular pharmacology. Pharmacol Ther 118: 303–336.

Kaumann AJ, Engelhardt S, Hein L, Molenaar P, Lohse M (2001). Abolition of (-)-CGP 12177-evoked cardio stimulation in double β_1/β_2 -adrenoceptor knockout mice. Obligatory role of β_1 -adrenoceptors for putative β_4 -adrenoceptor pharmacology. Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol 363: 87–93.

Konkar AA, Zhu Z, Granneman JG (2000).

Aryloxypropanolamine and catecholamine ligand interactions with the β_1 -adrenergic receptor: evidence for interaction with distinct conformations of β_1 -adrenergic receptors. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 294: 923–932.

Lowe MD, Lynham JA, Grace AA, Kaumann AJ (2002). Comparison of the affinity of β -blockers for two states of the β_1 -adrenoceptor in ferret ventricular myocardium. Br J Pharmacol 135: 451–461.

Lowry OH, Rosebrough NJ, Farr AL, Randall RJ (1951). Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J Biol Chem 193: 265–275.

May LT, Leach K, Sexton PM, Christopoulos A (2007). Allosteric modulation of G protein-coupled receptors. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 47: 1–51. May LT, Self TJ, Briddon SJ, Hill SJ (2010). The effect of allosteric modulators on the kinetics of agonist-G proteincoupled receptor interactions in single living cells. Mol Pharmacol 78: 511–523.

May LT, Bridge LJ, Stoddart LA, Briddon SJ, Hill SJ (2011). Allosteric interactions across native adenosine-A₃ receptor homodimers: quantification using single-cell ligand-binding kinetics. FASEB J 25: 3465–3476.

Molenaar P, Chen L, Semmler AB, Parsonage WA, Kaumann AJ (2007). Human heart beta-adrenoceptors: β_1 -adrenoceptor diversification through 'affinity states' and polymorphism. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 34: 1020–1028.

Motulsky HJ, Mahan LC (1984). The kinetics of competitive radioligand binding predicted by the law of mass action. Mol Pharm 25: 1–9.

Pak MD, Fishman PH (1996). Anomalous behavior of CGP 12177A on β_1 -adrenergic receptors. J Recept Signal Transduct Res 16: 1–23.

Rose RH, Briddon SJ, Hill SJ (2012). A novel fluorescent histamine H1 receptor antagonist demonstrates the advantage of using fluorescence correlation spectroscopy to study the binding of lipophilic ligands. Br J Pharmacol 165: 1789–1800. Staehelin M, Simons P, Jaeggi K, Wigger N (1983). CGP-12177. A hydrophilic β -adrenergic receptor radioligand reveals high affinity binding of agonists to intact cells. J Biol Chem 258: 3496–3502.

Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez J-Y, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona A (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods 9: 676–682.

Stoddart LA, Johnstone EKM, Wheal AJ, Goulding J, Robers MB, Machleidt T, et al. (2015). Application of BRET to monitor ligand binding to GPCRs. Nat Methods 12: 661–663.

Sykes DA, Parry C, Reilly J, Wright P, Fairhurst RA, Charlton SJ (2014). Observed drug-receptor association rates are governed by membrane affinity: the importance of establishing "micro-pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic relationships" at the β 2-adrenoceptor. Mol Pharmacol 85: 608–617.

Vauquelin GV (2010). Rebinding: or why drugs may act longer in vivo than expected from their in vitro target residence time. Expert Opin Drug Discov 5: 927–941.

Vauquelin GV, Charlton SJ (2010). Long-lasting target binding and rebinding as mechanisms to prolong in vivo drug action. Br J Pharmacol 161: 488–508.