www.bjcancer.com

Impact of combined ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in head and neck tumours

R Syed¹, JB Bomanji^{*,1}, N Nagabhushan¹, S Hughes², I Kayani¹, A Groves¹, S Gacinovic¹, N Hydes³, D Visvikis¹, C Copland¹ and PJ Ell¹

¹Institute of Nuclear Medicine, Middlesex Hospital, Mortimer Street, London WIN 3AA, UK, ²Department of Radiology, St Georges Hospital, London, UK; ³Belfast & Department of Maxillofacial Surgery, St Georges Hospital, London, UK

To compare the interobserver agreement and degree of confidence in anatomical localisation of lesions using 2-[fluorine-18]fluoro-2deoxy-D-glucose (¹⁸F-FDG) positron emission tomography (PET)/computed tomography (CT) and ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone in patients with head and neck tumours. A prospective study of 24 patients (16 male, eight female, median age 59 years) with head and neck tumours was undertaken. ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT was performed for staging purposes. 2D images were acquired over the head and neck area using a GE Discovery LS[™] PET/CT scanner. ¹⁸F-FDG PET images were interpreted by three independent observers. The observers were asked to localise abnormal ¹⁸F-FDG activity to an anatomical territory and score the degree of confidence in localisation on a scale from 1 to 3 (I = exact region unknown; 2 = probable; 3 = definite). For all ¹⁸F-FDG-avid lesions, standardised uptake values (SUVs) were also calculated. After 3 weeks, the same exercise was carried out using ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT images, where CT and fused volume data were made available to observers. The degree of interobserver agreement was measured in both instances. A total of six primary lesions with abnormal ¹⁸F-FDG uptake (SUV range 7.2–22) were identified on ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone and on ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT. In all, 15 nonprimary tumour sites were identified with ^{T8}F-FDG PET only (SUV range 4.5–11.7), while 17 were identified on ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT. Using ¹⁸F-FDG PET only, correct localisation was documented in three of six primary lesions, while ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT correctly identified all primary sites. In nonprimary tumour sites, ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT improved the degree of confidence in anatomical localisation by 51%. Interobserver agreement in assigning primary and nonprimary lesions to anatomical territories was moderate using ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone (kappa coefficients of 0.45 and 0.54, respectively), but almost perfect with ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT (kappa coefficients of 0.90 and 0.93, respectively). We conclude that ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT significantly increases interobserver agreement and confidence in disease localisation of ¹⁸F-FDG-avid lesions in patients with head and neck cancers. British Journal of Cancer (2005) 92, 1046–1050. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6602464 www.bjcancer.com Published online 15 March 2005 © 2005 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT; imaging; head and neck cancers; squamous cell carcinoma

Squamous cell carcinoma is the most common tumour type in head and neck cancers (Ak *et al*, 2000). At presentation, 40% of patients have localised disease, while 60% have advanced malignancies (Ak *et al*, 2000). Cervical lymph nodes are the most common site for tumour spread (Mendenhall *et al*, 1998; Ak *et al*, 2000), and this is a relatively common clinical presentation (Mendenhall *et al*, 1998; Ak *et al*, 2000). The presence or absence of cervical lymphadenopathy is of prognostic significance (Myers *et al*, 1998).

The role of 2-[fluorine-18]fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (¹⁸F-FDG) positron emission tomography (PET) imaging has previously been evaluated in head and neck tumours, the reported sensitivity and specificity varying between 90 and 96% in primary and treated disease (Myers *et al*, 1998). Determining the precise location of ¹⁸F-FDG-avid lesions by PET alone can be challenging in these patients, as the test suffers from poor anatomical localisation that might compromise sensitivity (Ak *et al*, 2000). The recent introduction of combined ¹⁸F-FDG PET and computed tomography (CT) imaging has revolutionised imaging by allowing accurate anatomical localisation of functional abnormalities. However, no

large trial has as yet confirmed this advantage in head and neck tumours.

The aim of this pilot study was to assess the degree of interobserver agreement and confidence in anatomical localisation of primary head and neck tumours and their metastases with ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone and with ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient group

Between June 2000 and August 2003, 24 patients with histologically proven head and neck tumours who underwent whole-body ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT for staging were included in a prospective study. This study, examining the imaging strength of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT, is part of an ongoing prospective trial looking at the role of ¹⁸F-FDG PET scintigraphy in head and neck cancer. The study was approved by the local ethics committee.

Inclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were: histologically confirmed diagnosis of head and neck cancer and absence of prior chemotherapy, radiotherapy or surgery to the head and neck region.

^{*}Correspondence: Dr JB Bomanji; E-mail: j.bomanji@nucmed.ucl.ac.uk Revised I 3 December 2004; accepted I 8 January 2005; published online I 5 March 2005

Patient preparation

All patients went through a standard protocol with a minimum of 6 h fasting prior to the study in order to minimise glucose utilisation by normal tissue. Patients were given a muscle relaxant just before the injection (5 mg diazepam orally) to reduce muscle uptake. Blood glucose level was checked prior to ¹⁸F-FDG injection, and if it was less than 10 mmoll⁻¹, the patient was intravenously injected with ¹⁸F-FDG (mean injected activity = 380 MBq, range 370-400 MBq) calibrated just before the injection. Patients rested for 45 min before imaging and were advised to remain silent to reduce skeletal muscle uptake.

DATA acquisition and reconstruction

PET/CT was performed using the GE Advance PET scanner and the GE Light-speed multislice spiral CT. The Light-speed CT acquires four 5-mm slices at 140 kV with 80 mA and a large pitch of 6 (30 mm of table travel per gantry rotation).

CT protocol

Four-detector multislice CT images were acquired using speed of rotation and couch movement of 0.8 s and 30 mm per rotation, respectively. The images were reconstructed in 4.25 mm slice width during normal respiration. CT images were rebinned from a 512×512 matrix to a 128×128 matrix and matched to the pixel size of the PET data in order to match the in-slice resolution of the PET emission images. The CT images were subsequently converted to maps of PET attenuation coefficients using a bilinear transformation based on the use of different scaling factors for materials with Hounsfield units (HU) ≤ 0 and >0.

PET protocol

Without changing the patient position, a whole-body PET emission scan was performed over the same area as was covered by CT (five to six bed positions). All acquisitions were carried out in 2D mode, the protocol comprising an emission scan with 5 min per bed position. PET images were reconstructed using CT attenuation maps. Transaxial emission images of $4.3 \times 4.3 \times 4.25 \text{ mm}^3$ (in plane matrix size 128×128 , 35 slices per bed position) were reconstructed using ordered subsets expectation maximisation (OSEM) with two iterations and 28 subsets. The axial field of view was 148.75 mm, with acquisition of 35 slices per bed position.

Analysis

Two nuclear medicine physicians and one radiologist (blinded to the results of clinical and other radiological findings) were informed that they were evaluating studies in patients with head and neck cancers. Each reporter interpreted the studies independently. The ¹⁸F-FDG PET scans were reviewed first and the ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT images were interpreted 3 weeks later.

The readers were asked to identify abnormal sites of increased uptake and assign a score as follows:

- a. Activity at or above brain cerebral cortex: score of 3.
- b. Activity between that of brain and liver: score of 2.
- c. Activity any less than b: score of 1.

Lesions were considered positive if they had an ¹⁸F-FDG uptake score of at least 2. These areas were also quantitatively assessed with measurements (standard uptake values (SUVs)) using region of interest (ROI) analysis. Irregular ROIs were drawn over the most avid part of the identified lesion, the margin being identified visually on a grey scale of $0-20\,000\,\mathrm{Bq\,ml^{-1}}$. Mean and maximal values of the ¹⁸F-FDG uptake were determined.



Clinical Studies

Inter-reader variability for all of the reporting tasks outlined was assessed using kappa statistics: kappa values of > 0.8, 0.61-0.8 and 0.41-0.6 represented perfect, substantial and moderate agreement, respectively (Cohen, 1960; Landis and Koch, 1977; Fleiss, 1981). The confidence in anatomically localising the primary lesion was also assessed. Areas of abnormal increase in ¹⁸F-FDG activity were assigned to an anatomical territory, and the degree of confidence in anatomical localisation was scored on a scale of 1-3 as follows:

- a. Exact anatomical region doubtful: score of 1.
- b. Probable anatomical localisation: score of 2.
- c. Definite anatomical localisation: score of 3.

RESULTS

The study group included 16 males and eight females with a median age of 59 years (range 36-86 years). Of the 24 patients, 12 had squamous cell carcinoma of the aerodigestive tract (located in the hypopharynx in eight cases and in the oropharynx in four), as confirmed by the postoperative surgical specimen. In the remaining patients, no primary lesion was identified but nodal disease was confirmed histologically (as metastatic squamous cell carcinoma deposits).

Abnormal activity on PET

Of the 24 patients, 18 had positive and six negative ¹⁸F-FDG PET scans. In the aforementioned 18 patients, 21 ¹⁸F-FDG-avid lesions were identified, of which six were primary tumours (SUV range 7.2-22) and 15 were nonprimary lesions (SUV range 4.5-11.7).

Anatomical localisation: PET only

¹⁸F-FDG PET identified the anatomical site correctly in only 12 of the 21 ¹⁸F-FDG-avid lesions (57%): three (25%) were primary tumour sites and nine (75%) were sites of nonprimary lesions. No specific anatomical sites could be identified in nine of the 21 lesions (43%), comprising three primary and six nonprimary lesions.

Anatomical localisation: PET/CT

¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT images identified 21 ¹⁸F-FDG-avid lesions in 18 patients. Of these 21 lesions, six were primary lesions and 15 were nonprimary lesions. Of the 15 nonprimary lesions, two were located in the neck muscles, two in fat planes (a normal variant), nine at nodal sites, one in lung and one in a rib (Table 1). Enhanced CT identified two additional lesions, which showed no ¹⁸F-FDG uptake and which were subsequently confirmed to be benign enlarged cervical nodes on histology.

Lesion-based analysis

The total number of lesions identified by each observer using ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone and ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT was similar. The interobserver variability in assigning FDG-avid lesions to an anatomical territory when using ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone and ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT is shown in Table 2. Using ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT, the confidence in anatomical localisation improved by 57% for observer 1, 43% for observer 2 and 52% for observer 3 (Table 3).

Using ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone, correct anatomical localisation was documented in 50% of primary lesions and 60% of nonprimary lesions. Using ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT, confidence in assigning lesions to an anatomical territory improved by 50% in primary sites and 51% in nonprimary sites.

R Syed et al

Table I Comparison between ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone and ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in identifying ¹⁸F-FDG-avid primary and nonprimary lesions

	Nonprimary lesions					
	Primary lesions	Lymph nodes ^a	Fat uptake	Muscle	Lung	Rib
¹⁸ F-FDG PET	6	13	Hot spot reported (unable to define anatomical territory)	Hot spot reported (unable to define anatomical territory)	I Hot spot reported (unable to define anatomical territory)	l Hot spot reported (unable to define anatomical territory)
18F-FDG PET/CT	6	9	2	2	I Confirmed on high-resolution CT	I Confirmed on bone scan

¹⁸F-FDG PET = 2-[fluorine-18]fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose; CT = computed tomography. ^aIncludes four lesion identified as activity in the lymph nodes on ¹⁸F-FDG PET and were subsequently confirmed to be fat and muscle uptake on ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT.

 Table 2
 Interobserver variability in assigning ¹⁸F-FDG-avid lesions to an anatomical territory using ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone and ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT

		No. of FDG-avid lesions with each score/total no. of FDG-avid lesions		
	Confidence score for anatomical localisation of lesions	¹⁸ F-FDG PET alone	¹⁸ F-FDG PET/CT	
Observer I		6/21	2/21	
	2	5/21	1/21	
	3	10/21	18/21	
Observer 2		2/21	0/2	
	2	4/21	4/2	
	3	15/21	7/2	
Observer 3		/2	0/21	
	2	3/2	2/21	
	3	7/2	19/21	

 18 F-FDG PET = 2-[fluorine-18]fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose; CT = computed tomography.

Interobserver agreement

The calculated interobserver agreement for the three observers in identifying ¹⁸F-FDG-avid primary and nonprimary sites was almost perfect (100%) with both ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone and ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT. However, when using ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone, there was only moderate agreement between the three observers in assigning primary and nonprimary lesions to anatomical territories, with kappa coefficients of 0.45 and 0.54, respectively (Table 4). On the other hand, there was strong agreement between all three observers in assigning both primary and nonprimary lesions to anatomical territories when using ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT, with kappa coefficients of 0.90 and 0.93, respectively (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

This study shows the advantage of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT over ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone in disease localisation in patients with head and neck cancers. Our data demonstrate strong interobserver agreement in lesion localisation between the three readers on ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT but not on ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone. The study also shows that ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT improves the confidence in assigning lesions to an anatomical territory by 50% in primary and 51% in nonprimary sites, compared with ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone (Figure 1).

Table 3 Improvement in confidence of each observer in assigning $^{18}\text{F-}$ FDG-avid lesions to an anatomical territory when using $^{18}\text{F-}$ FDG PET/CT, as compared with $^{18}\text{F-}$ FDG PET alone

	¹⁸ F-FDG PET alone		¹⁸ F-FDG PET/CT		
	Lesion detect- ability	Anatomical localisation	Lesion detect- ability ^a	Anatomical localisation ^a	(%) Improve- ment
Observer I Observer 2	21 21	12 9	23 23	23 23	57 43
Observer 3	21	11 51%	23	23 100%	52 51

 $^{18}\text{F-FDG}$ PET = 2-[fluorine-18]fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose; CT = computed tomography. ^aIncludes two lesions identified on enhanced CT, which did not show $^{18}\text{F-FDG}$ uptake and were subsequently confirmed to be benign enlarged cervical nodes on histology.

Table 4	Kappa coefficient with 95% confidence intervals between three
observers	using ¹⁸ F-FDG PET alone and ¹⁸ F-FDG PET/CT

	'*F-FD	G PET alone	¹⁸ F-FDG PET/CT	
	Kappa coefficient	95% Confidence interval	Kappa coefficient	95% Confidence interval
Site of primary Localisation of tumour No. of nodes Localisation of nodes	l 0.45 l 0.54	0.88-1.12 0.27-0.62 0.9-1.09 0.31-0.72	 0.90 0.93	0.88-1.11 0.82-1.02 0.9-1.09 0.89-1.06

 $^{18}\mbox{F-FDG}$ PET = 2-[fluorine-18]fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose; CT = computed tomography.

These results are of clinical significance in the head and neck territory, where the anatomy is complex. Use of ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone suffers from lack of anatomical outline identification, which makes precise localisation difficult. In turn, this renders image analysis difficult, and may give rise to false-positive findings. We observed four false-positive sites in our study as follows: in four patients, false-positive lesions were identified in the neck on ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone. These were asymmetrical in distribution and were considered positive nodal metastases; however, ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT clearly localised these to brown fat planes and muscle uptake (Table 1). It is well known that nonspecific uptake in brown fat planes and muscle attachment sites (Figure 2E) is a common cause of false-positive results (Engel *et al*, 1996; Kostakoglu *et al*, 1996; McGuirt *et al*, 1998). This false-positive feature has a critical

¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in head and neck tumours R Syed et al

A





Α

С

Figure 1 A 50-year-old male with squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue. (**A**) Multiple intensity projection ¹⁸F-FDG PET image. (**B**) Sagittal and (**C**) transaxial images show abnormal uptake in the right cervical region and right premolar area; dental pathology is present (yellow arrow). (**D**) CT transaxial section reveals level II enlarged lymph nodes. (**E**) Fused ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT transaxial section at the same level reveals that one lymph node is FDG positive (red arrow), while the other nodes shows no avidity for FDG (green arrows). The fused images clearly localised the exact site of involvement.

impact on nodal staging of head and neck tumours (Helmberger *et al*, 1996; Myers *et al*, 1998; Hannah *et al*, 2002). The possibility of false-positive sites on ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone also holds true in the postsurgical and postradiotherapy neck, where distortion of normal anatomy can further add to the complexity. In this context, the ability to fuse ¹⁸F-FDG uptake to an anatomical structure defined on CT carries a significant advantage in reducing false-positive results. In our study, ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT downstaged the disease in the aforementioned cases, and the management was changed from wide neck dissection to local radiotherapy and local surgery.

In our hands, ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT demonstrated a sensitivity of 91% and a specificity of 93% in identifying disease. Similar results for PET/CT have been reported previously (Meltzer *et al*, 2001). For ¹⁸F-FDG PET, Laubenbacher *et al* (1995) reported a sensitivity of 90% and a specificity of 96%, Bailet *et al* (1992) a sensitivity of 71% and a specificity of 98% and Braams *et al* (1995) a sensitivity of 91% and a specificity of 88%.

In this study, we correctly identified nine ¹⁸F-FDG-avid metastatic cervical lymph nodes (seven (78%) ipsilateral and two (22%) contralateral). In addition, two non-nodal metastases were identified (one in lung and one in rib). These latter two lesions were reported with both ¹⁸F-FDG PET and ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT, but accurate anatomical localisation was only possible with ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT (Table 1). Subsequently, both patients received palliative treatment for symptom relief. This highlights the advantage of whole-body ¹⁸F-FDG PET in identifying distant metastases (M staging). The ability to detect unexpected contralateral neck



Figure 2 A 42-year-old male with squamous cell carcinoma of tongue. (A) ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT multiple intensity projection image shows the primary site (red arrow) with bilateral cervical nodes (blue arrows). (B) Sagittal and (C) transaxial images show abnormal uptake in the known primary (posterior part of the tongue) and both cervical regions. (D) CT transaxial section reveals a lesion in the base of the tongue along with left cervical node enlargement. (E) Fused ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT transaxial section at the same level reveals the exact anatomical site of ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in the right side of the tongue base extending across the midline and level II left cervical lymph node. The ¹⁸F-FDG activity in the right cervical region variant).

disease, second primary tumours and distant metastases by whole-body ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging has clear implications and may dramatically alter treatment planning, with the emphasis shifting to a less aggressive approach. Thus, it is important that whole-body ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT scanning is performed in these patients to detect distant metastases, and that imaging should not be restricted to the head and neck area because of time constraints.

¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT also identified the primary lesion in two patients who presented with metastatic neck disease and in whom the primary could not be identified despite conventional investigations. The ability to detect the primary with ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT has significant management implications (Kluetz *et al*, 2000). In such cases, definitive treatment can be instituted at the primary site, rather than irradiating potential sites empirically or, as is the practice at some centres, instituting an expectant policy and managing the primary site if and when it becomes clinically evident. Furthermore, by pinpointing the areas of involvement, the surgeon can better define margins and spare structures that are not affected by malignancy.

Finally, although not part of this particular study, another aspect of patient management where combined PET/CT can be beneficial is in the investigation of recurrent disease and evaluation of response to therapy. This can be exceptionally difficult with conventional imaging alone, and is currently managed by repeated EUA/endoscopy and 'best guess biopsy'. Such an approach is often distressing and morbid for the patient and has significant resource implications.

Our data further highlight the advantage of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT and show identical interobserver agreement in lesion detection and localisation between the three readers on ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT but not on ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone. This was despite the variation in speciality (nuclear medicine and radiology) of the readers. It might be argued that this improvement was subjective and was biased by the observer's knowledge of the type of scan used. However, the significant improvement in interobserver agreement between the three observers refutes the above line of reasoning and serves to validate the argument that the improved confidence among the three observers was a reflection of improved anatomical localisation by ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in real terms.

Some limitations of this study need to be mentioned. The small size of the patient cohort limited accurate assessment of sensitivity

REFERENCES

- Ak I, Stokkel MP, Pauwels EK (2000) Positron emission tomography with 2-(¹⁸F) fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose in oncology. Part II. The clinical value in detecting and staging primary tumours. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 126: 560-574
- Bailet JW, Abeymayor E, Jabour BA, Hawkins RA, Ho C, Ward PH (1992) Positron emission tomography. A new, precise imaging modality for detection of primary head and neck tumours and assessment of cervical adenopathy. *Laryngoscope* 102: 281–288
- Braams JW, Pruim J, Freling NJ, Nikkels PG, Roodenburg JL, Boering G, Vaalburg W, Vermey A (1995) Detection of lymph node metastases of squamous-cell cancer of the head and neck with FDG-PET and MRI. J Nucl Med 36: 211-216
- Cohen J (1960) A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. *Educ Psychol Meas* 20: 37–46
- Engel H, Steinert H, Buck A, Berthold T, Huch Boni RA, Von Sculthess GK (1996) Whole-body PET: physiological and artifactual fluorodeoxyglucose accumulation. *J Nucl Med* **37**(3): 441-446
- Fleiss JL (1981) The Measurement of Interrater Agreement. Statistical Methods for Rates and Proportions, 2nd edn pp 212-304. New York: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Hannah A, Scott AM, Tochon-Danguy H, Chan JG, Akhurst T, Berlangieri S, Price D, Smith GJ, Schelleman T, McKay WJ, Sizeland A (2002) Evaluation of ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography and computed tomography with histopathologic correlation in the initial staging of head and neck cancer. *Ann Surg* **236**(2): 208–217
- Helmberger R, Jager L, Grevers G, Reiser M (1996) Computerized tomography of malignancies of the oral cavity, the oropharynx and hypopharynx and invasiveness. *Radiologe* **36**: 193–198

and specificity of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT. Furthermore, the cohort under study were treatment naive; after surgery and radiotherapy, different rates of detection of residual or recurrent disease would be expected with ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT and ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone.

CONCLUSION

- ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone suffers from poor anatomical localisation of head and neck cancers and their metastases.
- ¹⁸F-FDG uptake at sites of brown fat/muscle attachment can mimic cervical nodal metastases on ¹⁸F-FDG PET alone but not on ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT.
- ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT demonstrates strong interobserver agreement and improves confidence in anatomical localisation of ¹⁸F-FDGavid disease by approximately 50%.
- Kluetz PG, Meltzer CC, Villemagne VL, Kinahan PE, Chander S, Martinelli MA, Townsend DW (2000) Combined PET/CT imaging in oncology. Impact on patient management. *Clin Positron Imaging* 3(6): 223-230
- Kostakoglu L, Wong JC, Barrington SF, Cronin BF, Dynes AM, Maisey MN (1996) Speech-related visualization of laryngeal muscles with fluorine-18-FDG. J Nucl Med **37**(11): 1771–1773
- Landis JR, Koch GG (1977) The management of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics* 33: 159-174
- Laubenbacher C, Saumweber D, Wagner-Manslau C, Kau RJ, Herz M, Avril N, Ziegler S, Kruschke C, Arnold W, Schwaiger M (1995) Comparison of fluorine-18-fluorodeoxyglucose PET, MRI, endoscopy for staging head and neck squamous-cell carcinomas. J Nucl Med 36: 1747-1757
- McGuirt WF, Greven K, Williams III D, Keyes Jr JW, Watson N, Cappellari JO, Geisinger KR (1998) PET scanning in head and neck oncology: a review. *Head Neck* **20**: 208-215
- Meltzer CC, Snyderman CH, Fukui MB, Bascom DA, Chander S, Johnson JT, Myer EN, Martinelli MA, Kinahan PE, Townsend DW (2001) Combined PET/CT imaging in head and neck cancer: impact on patient management. J Nucl Med 42: 36 (abstr)
- Mendenhall WM, Mancuso AA, Parsons JT, Stringer SP, Cassisi NJ (1998) Diagnostic evaluation of squamous cell carcinoma metastatic to cervical lymph nodes from unknown head and neck primary site. *Head Neck* 20: 739-744
- Myers LL, Wax WK, Nabi H, Simpson GT, Lamonica D (1998) Positron emission tomography in the evaluation of the N0 neck. *Laryngoscope* 108: 232-236

1050