



Article Association of Hand Grip Strength with Mild Cognitive Impairment in Middle-Aged and Older People in Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study

Ya-Li Jin¹, Lin Xu², Chao-Qiang Jiang^{1,*}, Wei-Sen Zhang¹, Jing Pan¹, Feng Zhu¹, Tong Zhu¹, Graham Neil Thomas ³ and Tai-Hing Lam⁴

- ¹ Guangzhou Twelfth People's Hospital, Guangzhou 510620, China; jinyali22@163.com (Y.-L.J.); zwsgzcn@163.com (W.-S.Z.); jcdaise@163.com (J.P.); chifengzhu@hotmail.com (F.Z.); zhutong33@aliyun.com (T.Z.)
- ² School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510080, China; xulin27@mail.sysu.edu.cn
- ³ Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK; g.n.thomas@bham.ac.uk
- ⁴ School of Public Health, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong; hrmrlth@hku.hk
- * Correspondence: jcqianggz@163.com; Tel.: +86-3898-1268

Abstract: Background: Lower hand grip strength has been linked to cognitive impairment, but studies in older Chinese are limited. We examined the association of hand grip strength with cognitive function in a large sample of older Chinese. Methods: 6806 participants aged 50+ years from the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study (GBCS) were included. Relative grip strength was calculated by absolute handgrip strength divided by the body mass index (BMI). Cognitive function was assessed using the Delayed Word Recall Test (DWRT, from 0 to 10) and the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE, from 0 to 30), with higher scores indicating better cognition. Results: After adjusting for multiple potential confounders, lower absolute grip strength and relative grip strength were significantly associated with lower DWRT (all p < 0.05) in all participants. No significant interaction effects between sex and handgrip strength on cognitive impairment were found (p from 0.27 to 0.87). No significant association between handgrip strength and total MMSE scores was found in the total sample or by sex (p from 0.06 to 0.50). Regarding the individual components of MMSE, lower absolute and relative grip strength were significantly associated with lower scores of the recall memory performance in all participants (p from 0.003 to 0.04). Conclusion: We have shown for the first time a positive association of grip strength with recall memory performance, but not general cognitive function in older people, which warrants further investigation.

Keywords: hand grip strength; mild cognitive impairment; Delayed Word Recall Test

1. Introduction

Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is a transitional phase between healthy cognitive ageing and dementia, characterized by memory loss and cognitive decline that is not severe enough to have impacts on activities of daily living [1]. Individuals with the "symptomatic pre-dementia stage" have a greater risk of progression to Alzheimer's disease (AD) [2]. However, there are no effective pharmacologic treatments to slow or cure MCI [3]. Nonetheless, lifestyle modifications including diet, aerobic exercise, mental activity, and social engagement may have small beneficial effects on preventing cognitive decline [4]. Aerobic exercise improves physical function, and the latter is associated with improved activities of daily living, quality of life, and frailty [5]. Measures of physical function, such as hand grip strength, have also been associated with cognitive impairment, mostly assessed using the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) in older people [6,7]. Hand grip strength is a reliable, simple, quick, and inexpensive measure of muscular strength [8].



Citation: Jin, Y.-L.; Xu, L.; Jiang, C.-Q.; Zhang, W.-S.; Pan, J.; Zhu, F.; Zhu, T.; Thomas, G.N.; Lam, T.-H. Association of Hand Grip Strength with Mild Cognitive Impairment in Middle-Aged and Older People in Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*, 6464. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph19116464

Academic Editors: Kim van Schooten, Yijian Yang and Paul B. Tchounwou

Received: 23 March 2022 Accepted: 24 May 2022 Published: 26 May 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Absolute handgrip strength represents the lower arm, leg, and core muscle strengths in the standing position, which are closely related to body size [5,9]. Relative handgrip strength (i.e., absolute handgrip strength divided by body mass index (BMI)) has been used in epidemiologic studies to adjust for body size [10]. Previous studies have shown that relative grip strength was associated with cardiovascular risk factors and metabolic diseases [10,11].

However, most of the previous studies describing the positive associations between muscle strength and cognitive functions focused on dementia patients and young people. Our search of PubMed, EMBASE, and CNKI (China Academic Journal Network Publishing Database) using keywords of "handgrip strength" and ("cognitive impairment" OR "cognitive function" OR cognition) up to 31 July 2021 found no study describing the association between relative handgrip strength and cognitive function, or specific domains of cognition such as verbal memory and other general cognitive functions such as global cognition, attention, and executive function. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the association of absolute and relative handgrip strength with cognitive function in a large community-based population of the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study (GBCS) [12] using baseline cross-sectional data.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

GBCS is a three-way collaboration among the Guangzhou Twelfth People's Hospital and the Universities of Hong Kong and Birmingham. Details of the GBCS have been reported previously [12]. All participants were recruited from the Guangzhou Health and Happiness Association for the Respectable Elders (GHHARE), a large social and welfare organization. The GHHARE included about 7% of residents in this age group, with branches over all districts of Guangzhou. All participants were relatively healthy Guangzhou residents aged 50 or above and without history of stroke, myocardial infarction, pulmonary heart disease, and malignant tumor. The study was approved by the Guangzhou Medical Ethics Committee of the Chinese Medical Association. All participants provided written informed consent before participation.

This study used the third phase of baseline data from GBCS from September 2006 to September 2007. The Delayed Word Recall Test (DWRT) was administered to all baseline participants of GBCS, and MMSE was administered in the third phase of the GBCS. Face-toface interviews were conducted by trained nurses to collect information on demographic characteristics, lifestyle, and personal and family medical history. Fasting blood samples were drawn from all subjects after an overnight fast. Lipids, glucose, markers of liver, renal, and cardiac function, rheumatoid factor, and high sensitivity C-reactive protein were assayed in the hospital laboratory. Weight, standing height, sitting height, waist circumference, and hip circumference were measured with light indoor clothing and without shoes. Physical examination included measurement of blood pressure, anthropometric indices, 12-lead electrocardiography, pulmonary function testing, and chest radiograph. [12]. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated using measured weight and height as kilograms divided by meters squared.

2.2. Cognitive Measures

The Delayed Word Recall Test (DWRT) [13] and Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) [14] were used to assess cognitive function. DWRT is a test of verbal learning and recent memory requiring recall of a word list. During the interview, 10 simple Chinese words (soy sauce, arm, letter, chairman, ticket, grass, corner, stone, book, and stick) were read out one by one to participants, who were asked to recall the words. This procedure was repeated three times, and then after 5 min, participants were asked to freely recall as many words as possible. Participants were given a score of 1 for each correct word, with a maximum score of 10. The scores from this fourth repetition were analyzed as DWRT score. Cognitive impairment was defined as DWRT score < 4, corresponding to 1 standard devia-

tion (SD) below the mean (M = 5.9; SD = 2.0). Participants were classified into 3 groups (<4 as cognitive impairment, 4–6 as median cognitive function, \geq 7 as better cognitive function) according to DWRT scores [14,15]. MMSE was added at the second examination to assess cognitive function. The 30 points on the 11 MMSE items test measures 5 cognitive components including orientation (0–10 scores), memory (0–3 scores), attention and calculation (0–5 scores), recall memory (0–3 scores), and language (0–9 scores), with total scores

ranging from 0 to 30. Poor cognitive function was defined by an MMSE score < 25 corresponding to 1 standard deviation (SD) below the mean (M = 27.7; SD = 2.4) [15,16]. The cut-off scores were not exactly one standard deviation below the means for DWRT or MMSE but were rounded to the nearest whole number. The MMSE values were modelled as both categorical and continuous variables.

2.3. Hand Grip Strength Measurements

Grip strength was assessed using a Jamar Hydraulic Hand Dynamometer in a standing position. The dynamometer was explained and demonstrated to participants before use. Participants were asked to have a practice session before testing hand grip strength. Participants were randomly assigned to start the test with their dominant or non-dominant hand. To follow the pre-specified standard operation protocol, the grip strength of each hand was tested two times, and the average value of the repeated measurements was calculated, expressed as kilograms. The maximal reading of the average grip strength in right and left hands was used as the absolute grip strength (AGS). Maximum relative grip strength (RGS_{max}) was calculated by AGS divided by BMI. Average relative grip strength (RGS_{mean}) was calculated by the average grip strength in both hands divided by BMI. Relative grip strength in the left- or right-hand (RGS_{left}/RGS_{right}) was calculated by the average grip strength in the left- or right-hand divided by BMI [17,18].

DWRT has been found to be an efficient instrument for discriminating normal cognition and those with mild dementia, with a correct classification value up to 95.2% [19]. The 6-month test–retest reliability in 26 subjects with normal cognition was found to be 0.75, which was acceptable [19]. The DWRT was designed specifically to be used in populationbased epidemiological studies or in screening examinations [20,21]. The reliability and validity assessment of the Chinese version of MMSE showed that MMSE was in general a reliable screening test for cognitive impairment [22–24]. The sensitivity of diagnosis of dementia or mild cognitive impairment in the general population by the MMSE was about 65% to 85% according to previous studies [25–28].

2.4. Statistical Analysis

All data analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (version 26.0, Armonk, NY, USA). Pearson χ^2 test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to compare categorical and continuous variables between different DWRT groups, respectively. Continuous variables were summarized as mean and standard deviation (SD), and ordinal variables as numbers and percentages. Hand grip strength was compared between different DWRT groups using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). Multivariable linear regression was used to analyze the association of hand grip strength with cognitive function, giving adjusted regression coefficients (β) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Potential confounders adjusted were sex, age, education, smoking status, physical activity, fasting glucose, and systolic blood pressure. Because of the differences in hand grip strength and cognitive impairment was tested, and we found no significant interactions (*p* from 0.27 to 0.87).

3. Results

The participants were aged 50 to 96 years, with the mean (SD) age being 63.7 (7.6) and 59.6 (7.6) years for men and women, respectively. The mean DWRT score was 5.9 (SD = 2.0), and the median MMSE score was 28 (interquartile range = 2) for all participants. In total,

6806 participants were included in the present study, and of these, 148 did not have MMSE data. Table 1 shows that in both men and women, compared to those with a higher DWRT score, those with a DWRT score of <4 had older age, lower education, inactive physical activity, and higher systolic blood pressure (all p < 0.001). In women, those with lower DWRT score had higher proportions of current smokers and manual workers, and higher levels of fasting glucose and waist circumference (all p < 0.001).

Table 1. Characteristics of the Delayed Word Recall Test (DWRT) groups in 1696 men and 5110 women from the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study by sex.

	Delayed	Word Recall Test (DWI	RT) Scores	<i>p</i> Value
	≥7	4–6	<4	
Men				
Number (rates%)	569 (33.5)	909 (53.6)	218 (12.9)	
Age (years)	61.6 ± 6.8	63.9 ± 7.4	67.7 ± 8.2	< 0.001
Education, %				
Primary or below	16.9	28.4	48.2	
Middle school	62.7	56.2	45.4	< 0.001
College or above	20.4	15.4	6.4	(0.001
Smoking status, %			0.12	
Never	40.4	36.9	32.7	
Former	24.3	28.5	24.9	0.08
Current	35.3	34.7	42.4	0.00
Occupation. %	0010	010		
Manual	27.0	26.6	31.2	
Non-manual	45.4	50.6	45.0	0.12
Other	27.7	22.8	23.9	0.12
Physical activity %	27.7	22.0	20.9	
Inactivo	53.2	54.9	63.6	0.001
Minimally activo	35.3	37.7	31.1	0.001
Activo	11 5	7 4	53	
Easting glucose (mmol/L)	58 ± 17	58 ± 16	5.9 ± 1.6	0.60
Tasting glucose (mmol/L)	5.0 ± 1.7 5.6 ± 1.0	5.0 ± 1.0 57 + 16	5.9 ± 1.0 5.6 + 1.1	0.00
Systelia blood prossure (mmHa)	5.0 ± 1.0 120 ± 20	5.7 ± 1.0 122 ± 21	5.0 ± 1.1 126 \pm 25	<0.22
Diastalia hlas directore (mining)	129 ± 20 75 + 11	132 ± 21 75 + 11	130 ± 23	< 0.001
Maist singurpharen as (am)	73 ± 11	75 ± 11	75 ± 12	0.97
waist circumference (ciri)	00.0 ± 0.0	60.3 ± 9.1	80.4 ± 9.6	0.95
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	23.5 ± 3.1	23.5 ± 3.1	23.2 ± 3.3	0.18
Number (rates %)	2167(42.4)	2462 (48.2)	481 (9.4)	
$\Delta q q (v a r s)$	57.8 ± 6.5	60.2 ± 7.8	64.1 ± 8.6	~0.001
Education %	57.0 ± 0.5	00.2 ± 7.0	04.1 ± 0.0	<0.001
Primary or holow	26 5	17 9	74.2	<0.001
Middle school	20.3	47.0	24.1	<0.001
College or above	04.5	47.4	24.1	
College of above	9.1	4.0	1.7	
Sinoking status, 76	0.00 1	06 7	04.8	<0.001
TNEVER E	90.1	90.7	94.0	<0.001
Former	0.8	1./	1.9	
Current Occuration %	1.2	1.0	3.3	
Occupation, %	21.2	2(2	24.7	-0.001
Manual	21.2	26.2	34.7	<0.001
Non-manual	49.2	48.0	43.2	
Other	29.7	25.8	22.1	
Physical activity, %	72.0	(2.2		0.001
Inactive	73.3	63.3	58.6	< 0.001
Minimally active	21.6	28.4	34.3	
Active	5.1	8.2	7.1	
Fasting glucose (mmol/L)	5.6 ± 1.4	5.8 ± 1.7	5.9 ± 1.9	< 0.001
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	6.0 ± 1.1	6.1 ± 1.1	$6,0 \pm 1.1$	0.28
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	124 ± 21	128 ± 23	131 ± 22	< 0.001
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	71 ± 11	72 ± 11	71 ± 11	0.07
Waist circumference (cm)	75.6 ± 8.7	76.9 ± 8.9	78.5 ± 8.7	< 0.001
Body mass index (kg/m^2)	23.8 ± 3.4	24.0 ± 3.4	24.1 ± 3.4	0.07

Results are shown as mean \pm SD, except for numbers and percentages.

Table 2 shows that after adjusting for sex, age, education, smoking status, physical activity, fasting glucose, and systolic blood pressure, overall MMSE scores and all the sub-domains were significantly associated with DWRT in all participants (p < 0.001 for orientation, attention and calculation, recall memory, and language (p = 0.04 for memory). The adjusted β (95% CI) was 0.07 (0.06, 0.07) for total MMSE scores, 0.12 (0.10, 0.14) for orientation, 0.11 (0.002, 0.22) for memory, 0.08 (0.07, 0.09) for attention and calculation, 0.13 (0.11, 0.14) for recall memory, and 0.11 (0.08, 0.13) for language.

		DWRT Scores (0–10)		Adjusted Standardized Beta-Coefficient (95% CI)	p for Trend
	\geq 7	4–6	<4		
MMSE scores (0-30)	28.15 (28.07, 28.24)	27.63 (27.56, 27.71)	25.94 (25.76, 26.11)	0.07 (0.06, 0.07)	< 0.001
Orientation $(0-10)$	9.72 (9.69, 9.75)	9.60 (9.57, 9.63)	9.06 (8.99, 9.13)	0.12 (0.10, 0.14)	< 0.001
Memory (0–3)	2.99 (2.98, 2.99)	2.98 (2.98, 2.99)	2.97 (2.96, 2.98)	0.11 (0.002, 0.22)	0.04
Attention and calculation (0–5)	4.41 (4.36, 4.45)	4.22 (4.18, 4.26)	3.58 (3.48, 4.26)	0.08 (0.07, 0.09)	< 0.001
Recall memory (0-3)	2.29 (2.26, 2.32)	2.10 (2.08, 2.13)	1.70 (1.64, 1.77)	0.13 (0.11, 0.14)	< 0.001
Language (0–9)	8.67 (8.65, 8.70)	8.61 (8.59, 8.63)	8.35 (8.30, 8.41)	0.11 (0.08, 0.13)	< 0.001

Table 2. Relationships between Delayed Word Recall Test (DWRT) and Mini Mental State Examination(MMSE) and its components.

Results are shown as mean (95% confidence interval), except for numbers, adjusted for age, sex, education, smoking status, physical activity, fasting glucose, systolic blood pressure, and BMI, and R² from 0.31 to 0.48.

Table 3 shows in all participants that after adjusting for potential confounders, both lower absolute grip strength and relative grip strength were significantly associated with lower DWRT in all participants (all p < 0.05). Linear trends were also significant. Adjusted β (95% CI) was 0.09 (0.04, 0.14, or 0.15) for all four relative grip strength measures and 0.004 (0.002, 0.007) for absolute grip strength. The associations were similar and remained significant in men and in women (all p < 0.05). Table 4 shows that after similar adjustment, no significant association or linear trend between hand grip strength and MMSE was found in the total group, or in men and in women specifically.

Table 3. Multivariable linear regression of hand grip strength on different Delayed Word Recall Test (DWRT) groups for males and females.

	DWRT Scores (0–10)			Adjusted Beta-Coefficient (95% CI)	<i>p</i> for Trend		
	≥7	4–6	<4				
		Total					
Number of subjects	2736	3371	699				
Relative grip strength max ^a	1.06 (1.05, 1.07)	1.05 (1.04, 1.06)	1.02 (0.99, 1.04)	0.09 (0.04, 0.14)	0.001		
Relative grip strength mean ^a	1.03 (1.02, 1.04)	1.02 (1.01, 1.03)	0.98 (0.96,1.01)	0.09 (0.04, 0.15)	0.001		
Relative grip strength left a	1.04 (1.03, 1.05)	1.03 (1.02, 1.04)	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)	0.09 (0.04, 0.14)	0.001		
Relative grip strength right ^a	1.02 (1.01, 1.03)	1.01 (0.99, 1.02)	0.98 (0.96, 1.00)	0.09 (0.04, 0.14)	0.001		
Absolute grip strength, kg ^b	24.88 (24.65, 25.11)	24.64 (24.44, 24.85)	23.78 (23.32, 24.24)	0.004 (0.002, 0.007)	0.001		
01 0,0		Men					
Number of subjects	569	909	218				
Relative grip strength max ^c	1.46 (1.43,1.49)	1.45 (1.42, 1.47)	1.39 (1.34, 1.43)	0.09 (0.01, 0.18)	0.03		
Relative grip strength mean ^c	1.42 (1.39, 1.45)	1.41 (1.38, 1.43)	1.35 (1.30, 1.39)	0.10 (0.01, 0.18)	0.03		
Relative grip strength left ^c	1.43 (1.40, 1.46)	1.42 (1.40, 1.44)	1.36 (1.31, 1.41)	0.09 (0.01, 0.18)	0.03		
Relative grip strength right ^c	1.41 (1.38, 1.44)	1.39 (1.37, 1.42)	1.33 (1.29, 1.38)	0.09 (0.01, 0.18)	0.03		
Absolute grip strength, kg ^d	33.99 (33.36, 34.62)	33.58 (33.10, 34.07)	32.01 (30.97, 33.03)	0.01 (0.002, 0.01)	0.006		
Women							
Number of subjects	2167	2462	481				
Relative grip strength _{max} ^c	0.93 (0.92, 0.94)	0.92 (0.91, 0.93)	0.90 (0.88, 0.92)	0.08 (0.02, 0.15)	0.02		
Relative grip strength mean ^c	0.90 (0.89, 0.91)	0.89 (0.88, 0.90)	0.87 (0.85, 0.89)	0.08 (0.02, 0.15)	0.02		
Relative grip strength left ^c	0.91 (0.90, 0.92)	0.90 (0.89, 0.91)	0.88 (0.85, 0.90)	0.08 (0.02, 0.15)	0.02		
Relative grip strength right c	0.89 (0.88, 0.90)	0.88 (0.87, 0.89)	0.87 (0.84, 0.89)	0.08 (0.01, 0.15)	0.02		
Absolute grip strength, kg ^d	21.83 (21.59, 22.06)	21.65 (21.43, 21.86)	21.27 (20.78, 21.77)	0.003 (0.001, 0.006)	0.04		

Results are shown as mean (95% confidence interval), except for numbers. Interaction effects between sex and handgrip strength on cognitive impairment were not significant (*p* from 0.27 to 0.87). Relative grip strength max: maximum of the average of the right or left grip strength divided by body mass index (BMI); Relative grip strength divided by BMI; Absolute grip strength: the average of the right grip strength: the average of the right grip strength: activity, fasting glucose, and systolic blood pressure; ^b: Adjusted for age, education, smoking status, physical activity, fasting glucose, and systolic blood pressure; ^d: Adjusted for age, education, smoking status, physical activity, fasting glucose, and systolic blood pressure; ^d: Adjusted for age, education, smoking status, physical activity, fasting glucose, and systolic blood pressure; ^d: Adjusted for age, education, smoking status, physical activity, fasting glucose, and systolic blood pressure; ^d: Adjusted for age, education, smoking status, physical activity, fasting glucose, and systolic blood pressure; ^d: Adjusted for age, education, smoking status, physical activity, fasting glucose, and systolic blood pressure; ^d: Adjusted for age, education, smoking status, physical activity, fasting glucose, and systolic blood pressure; ^d: Adjusted for age, education, smoking status, physical activity, fasting glucose, systolic blood pressure; and BMI.

		MMSE Scores (0–30)	Adjusted Beta-Coefficient (95% CI)	p for Trend
	25–30	<25		
		Total		
Number of subjects	5996	662		
Relative grip strength max ^a	1.06 (1.05, 1.07)	1.04 (1.02, 1.07)	-0.02(-0.04, 0.01)	0.12
Relative grip strength mean ^a	1.03 (1.02, 1.04)	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	-0.02(-0.05, 0.01)	0.11
Relative grip strength left a	1.04 (1.03, 1.05)	1.01 (0.99, 1.04)	-0.02(-0.05, 0.01)	0.09
Relative grip strength _{right} ^a	1.02 (1.01, 1.03)	1.01 (0.98, 1.03)	-0.02(-0.04, 0.01)	0.21
Absolute grip strength, kg ^b	24.83 (24.67, 24.98)	24.29 (23.80, 24.78)	0.02(0.004, 0.04)	0.06
		Men		
Number of subjects	1567	148		
Relative grip strength max ^c	1.45 (1.43, 1.47)	1.40 (1.34, 1.46)	-0.03 (-0.07, 0.006)	0.11
Relative grip strength mean ^c	1.41 (1.39, 1.43)	1.36 (1.30, 1.42)	-0.03 (-0.07, 0.007)	0.11
Relative grip strength left ^c	1.42 (1.40, 1.44)	1.37 (1.31, 1.43)	-0.03(-0.07, 0.01)	0.09
Relative grip strength _{right} ^c	1.40 (1.38, 1.41)	1.36 (1.29, 1.41)	-0.03(-0.07, 0.01)	0.14
Absolute grip strength, kg ^d	33.60 (33.22, 33.97)	32.39 (31.13, 33.65)	-0.002(-0.003, 0.01)	0.11
		Women		
Number of subjects	4429	514		
Relative grip strength max ^c	0.93 (0.92, 0.93)	0.91 (0.89, 0.94)	-0.02(-0.05, 0.02)	0.32
Relative grip strength mean ^c	0.90 (0.88, 0.90)	0.88 (0.86, 0.91)	-0.02(-0.05, 0.02)	0.28
Relative grip strength left ^c	0.91 (0.89, 0.91)	0.89 (0.86, 0.91)	-0.02 (-0.06 , 0.008)	0.15
Relative grip strength right ^c	0.89 (0.88, 0.90)	0.88 (0.86, 0.90)	-0.01 (-0.04 , 0.02)	0.50
Absolute grip strength, kg d	21.79 (21.64, 21.96)	21.36 (20.87, 21.85)	-0.001 (-0.003, 0.001)	0.10

Table 4. Multivariable linear regression of hand grip strength on Mini Mental State Examination(MMSE) groups * for males and females.

*: Missing 148 subjects. Interaction effects between sex and handgrip strength on cognitive impairment were not significant (*p* from 0.07 to 0.58). Relative grip strength max: maximum of the average of the right or left grip strength divided by body mass index (BMI); Relative grip strength max: mean of the average of both right and left grip strength divided by BMI; Relative grip strength left: average of the left grip strength divided by BMI; Relative grip strength divided by BMI; Relative grip strength divided by BMI; Relative grip strength left: average of the left grip strength divided by BMI; Relative grip strength divided by BMI; Absolute grip strength: maximum of the average of right or left grip strength. ^a: Adjusted for age, sex, education, smoking status, physical activity, fasting glucose, and systolic blood pressure; ^b: Adjusted for age, education, smoking status, physical activity, fasting glucose, and systolic blood pressure; ^d: Adjusted for age, education, smoking status, physical activity, fasting glucose, systolic blood pressure; and BMI; ^c: Adjusted for age, education, smoking status, physical activity, fasting glucose, systolic blood pressure; and BMI.

Table 5 shows that both absolute and relative grip strength were significantly associated only with the recall memory components of MMSE in all participants (*p* from 0.003 to 0.04) except RGS_{right} (*p* = 0.14). The adjusted β (95% CI) for recall memory was 0.07 (0.01, 0.15) for RGS_{max}, 0.08 (0.003, 0.15) for RGS_{mean}, 0.09 (0.02, 0.17) for RGS_{left}, and 0.005 (0.002, 0.009) for AGS.

	Adjusted Beta-Coefficient (95% CI)	p Values
Relative grip strength max ^a		
Orientation	-0.07(-0.14, 0.01)	0.91
Memory	0.01(-0.004, 0.02)	0.23
Attention and calculation	0.01(-0.10, 0.11)	0.90
Recall memory	0.07 (0.01, 0.15)	0.04
Language	0.03(-0.03, 0.08)	0.37
Relative grip strength mean ^a		
Orientation	-0.07(-0.14, 0.01)	0.09
Memory	0.008 (-0.004, 0.02)	0.21
Attention and calculation	0.008(-0.10, 0.11)	0.88
Recall memory	0.08 (0.003, 0.15)	0.04
Language	0.03 (-0.03, 0.08)	0.37
Relative grip strength left a		
Orientation	-0.06 (-0.13, 0.02)	0.14
Memory	0.007 (-0.005, 0.02)	0.24
Attention and calculation	0.02 (-0.09, 0.12)	0.74
Recall memory	0.09 (0.02, 0.17)	0.01
Language	0.02 (-0.03, 0.08)	0.42
Relative grip strength right ^a		
Orientation	-0.07 (-0.15 , 0.004)	0.06
Memory	0.008(-0.004, 0.02)	0.19
Attention and calculation	-0.002(-0.116, 0.10)	0.98
Recall memory	0.06 (-0.02, 0.13)	0.14
Language	0.03 (-0.03, 0.08)	0.33
Absolute grip strength, kg ^b		
Orientation	-0.002 (-0.006, 0.002)	0.26
Memory	-0.001 (-0.001 , 0.001)	0.09
Attention and calculation	0.001 (-0.004, 0.006)	0.61
Recall memory	0.005 (0.002, 0.009)	0.003
Language	0.001 (-0.002, 0.004)	0.44

Table 5. Multivariable linear regression of handgrip strength on Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE), all sub-components.

Relative grip strength _{max}: maximum of the average of the right or left grip strength divided by body mass index (BMI); Relative grip strength _{mean}: mean of the average of both right and left grip strength divided by BMI; Relative grip strength _{left}: average of the left grip strength divided by BMI; Relative grip strength _{right}: the average of the right grip strength divided by BMI; Absolute grip strength: maximum of the average of right or left grip strength. ^a: Adjusted for age, sex, education, smoking status, physical activity, fasting glucose, systolic blood pressure; ^b: Adjusted for age, sex, education, smoking status, physical activity, fasting glucose, systolic blood pressure and BMI.

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study showing that hand grip strength was associated with recall memory performance using two separate but complementary methods. Although no association between grip strength and total MMSE score was found, poorer grip strength was significantly associated with lower scores of DWRT and the recall memory domain of the MMSE, indicating that low grip strength might be more closely and significantly associated with the memory recall aspects of cognition in older people.

A positive association of hand grip strength and cognitive function has been reported previously [29–32]. For example, a cross-sectional study in the U.S. [29] showed that handgrip strength was a simple risk-stratifying method for identifying populations at risk for poorer cognitive function assessed by MMSE. In a community-based cross-sectional study in Japan [30] of older adults, poorer hand grip strength was associated with lower global cognitive function measured by the Montreal Cognitive Assessment. Moreover, in Asia, a cross-sectional study from the Korean Longitudinal Study of Aging showed that handgrip strength was associated with a higher risk of MCI assessed by MMSE in older Koreans [31]. Similarly, a cross-sectional study of older adults in China [32] found that hand grip strength was associated with a higher risk of MCI assessed by MMSE.

There were also some longitudinal studies [33–35] examining the association between handgrip strength and cognitive impairment. A prospective cohort study [33] found that reduced handgrip strength at baseline demonstrated a statistically significant decline in cognitive function assessed by MMSE over a 7-year period in older Mexican Americans. In a prospective population-based study from the Netherlands [34], after 4 years of followup, baseline cognitive performance measured by the neuropsychological test battery was associated with a decline in handgrip strength. In another prospective observational study in China [35], weaker handgrip strength significantly correlated with lower MMSE after 4 years. However, there were mixed results on the association between hand grip strength and cognitive performance in other studies [36–38]. In the U.S. Women's Health Initiative Memory Study (WHIMS) [36], the baseline cross-sectional study found that a decrease in hand grip strength in older women was not associated with a decline in cognitive function measured by the MMSE. Another cross-sectional study [37] of French women aged 75 and older also found no significant association between hand grip strength and cognitive impairment measured by the Short-Portable-Mental-State-Questionnaire (SPMSQ). A prospective cohort study of Italians [38] did not find a significant association between baseline handgrip strength and the onset of cognitive impairment assessed by MMSE. The studies above did not examine components of MMSE separately, which might lead to unclear or nonspecific inconsistent results. Our study adds to the literature by highlighting that low grip strength was more closely related to recall memory performance, which is consistent with several studies [7,39]. For example, grip strength was associated with fewer retrospective memory complaints in a cross-sectional study [39] of U.S. young adults (mean age = 20.7 years). Another study [7] using baseline data from the UK Biobank on adults aged 37-73 years found that grip strength was significantly associated with working memory. Our study is the first study to show the association between relative handgrip strength and recall memory in older people.

Hand grip strength, a measure of body function, has been suggested as an indicator of current and future health status. A Danish study [40] of 52 acutely admitted older patients aged \geq 65 years found that the inter-rater reliability for absolute grip strength was 0.95. Another study [41] of 76 older people with dementia found that the test-retest reliability of the absolute handgrip strength test in those with borderline, mild, and moderate dementia was 0.98, 0.97, and 0.96, respectively, suggesting the handgrip strength test has excellent reliability. Although based on small samples, these findings support that absolute hand grip strength is a reliable measure of general muscular strength, which is also inexpensive and readily accessible. However, absolute handgrip strength was closely related to body size, i.e., people with greater body size generally have greater grip strength [42]. Analyses adjusting for BMI may partially alleviate this issue [11]. Hence, relative strength of a BMIstandardized measure was used by previous studies mainly for examining associations with cardiovascular health and metabolic diseases [10,18]. A general population crosssectional study [10] in China found that relative handgrip strength was a better predictor of metabolic profile and metabolic disease than absolute handgrip strength. Likewise, a U.S. civilian non-institutionalized population sample also showed that higher relative handgrip strength was associated with more favorable cardiovascular risk profiles, suggesting that relative grip strength might be a useful measure of muscle strength [11].

Hand grip strength has been adopted as an indicator of overall strength and physical function in older adults [43]. Muscle strength can be improved by physical activity, which might not only help to improve physical function but can also have positive effects on cognition and brain function [44]. Physical activity has been associated with improved learning and memory [45] and can offset age-related cognitive decline reducing MCI [46–48] and has been associated with slower decline in working memory in patients with Alzheimer's disease [49]. The underlying mechanisms may be related to an improvement in cerebral circulation after exercise, including an increase in blood flow and oxygen supply to the brain [50]. Exercise might affect synaptic plasticity and brain function through modulating energy metabolism through brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) [49,51,52].

Physical activity has been shown to reduce inflammatory responses and oxidative stress markers [53,54] which contribute to both vascular dementia and neurological damage. No-tably, inflammatory cytokines may have some adverse effects on muscle mass-promoting cachexia [55]. Additionally, inflammatory cytokines may have effects on skeletal muscle, both directly through reducing muscle protein synthesis and catabolism, and indirectly through tissue dysregulation and the hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal axis (i.e., reducing food intake and promoting cachexia), which reduce the beneficial effect of physical activity [56]. Grip strength may therefore act as a surrogate with which to monitor the impact of these processes generally and cognition in particular.

A main strength of the present study was the use of two different measures of cognition. The DWRT assesses short-term verbal memory, and the MMSE incorporates five neurological aspects: orientation, memory, attention and calculation, recall, and language [13,14]. The results consistently showed significant associations of hand grip strength with the DWRT and the memory recall component of MMSE, whilst the associations with other aspects of general cognition function in MMSE were not significant. However, there were several limitations of this study. This is a cross-sectional study, and therefore the causal relationship between hand grip strength and cognition could not be established. Additionally, as all participants were older people and those with severe cognitive impairment could not participate in this study, selection bias due to survival cannot be ruled out. Finally, as all data were collected from a single city in China, the generalize applicability to other settings may be limited.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, this is the first study demonstrating a positive association of handgrip strength with recall memory performance, but not general cognitive function in older people. The causality of the association warrants further investigation.

Author Contributions: Y.-L.J., L.X., C.-Q.J., W.-S.Z., J.P., F.Z., T.Z., G.N.T. and T.-H.L. made substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of funding, data, and interpretation of data; Y.-L.J., L.X., C.-Q.J. and T.-H.L. analyzed the data; Y.-L.J., L.X., C.-Q.J. and T.-H.L. drafted the article; L.X., C.-Q.J., T.-H.L. and G.N.T. revised it critically for important intellectual content. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study was funded by The University of Hong Kong Foundation for Educational Development and Research (SN/1f/HKUF-DC; C20400.28505200), the Health Medical Research Fund (Grant number: HMRF/13143241) in Hong Kong; the Guangzhou Public Health Bureau (201102A211004011); the Guangzhou Science and Technology Bureau, Guangzhou, China (2002Z2-E2051; 2012J5100041; 2013J4100031); the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong (2015A030313891); and the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFC0907100).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Our study was approved by the Guangzhou Medical Ethics Committee of the Chinese Medical Association (ZDGW_IRB_2019_125), and all methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. All participants provided written informed consent before participation in the GBCS.

Informed Consent Statement: Written, informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this article. Further enquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Boyle, P.A.; Wilson, R.S.; Aggarwal, N.T.; Tang, Y.; Bennett, D.A. Mild cognitive impairment: Risk of Alzheimer disease and rate of cognitive decline. *Neurology* 2006, 67, 441–445. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Peltz, C.B.; Corrada, M.M.; Berlau, D.J.; Kawas, C.H. Incidence of dementia in oldest-old with amnestic MCI and other cognitive impairments. *Neurology* 2011, 77, 1906–1912. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 3. Langa, K.M.; Levine, D.A. The diagnosis and management of mild cognitive impairment: A clinical review. *JAMA* **2014**, *312*, 2551–2561. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Petersen, R.C. Mild Cognitive Impairment. Continuum 2016, 22, 404–418. [CrossRef]
- 5. Bohannon, R.W. Grip Strength: An Indispensable Biomarker for Older Adults. Clin. Interv. Aging 2019, 14, 1681–1691. [CrossRef]
- Kobayashi-Cuya, K.E.; Sakurai, R.; Suzuki, H.; Ogawa, S.; Takebayashi, T.; Fujiwara, Y. Observational Evidence of the Association Between Handgrip Strength, Hand Dexterity, and Cognitive Performance in Community-Dwelling Older Adults: A Systematic Review. J. Epidemiol. 2018, 28, 373–381. [CrossRef]
- Firth, J.; Stubbs, B.; Vancampfort, D.; Firth, J.A.; Large, M.; Rosenbaum, S.; Hallgren, M.; Ward, P.B.; Sarris, J.; Yung, A.R. Grip Strength Is Associated with Cognitive Performance in Schizophrenia and the General Population: A UK Biobank Study of 476559 Participants. *Schizophr. Bull.* 2018, 44, 728–736. [CrossRef]
- Peolsson, A.; Hedlund, R.; Oberg, B. Intra- and inter-tester reliability and reference values for hand strength. *J. Rehabil. Med.* 2001, 33, 36–41. [CrossRef]
- 9. Sayer, A.A.; Kirkwood, T.B. Grip strength and mortality: A biomarker of ageing? Lancet 2015, 386, 226–227. [CrossRef]
- 10. Li, D.; Guo, G.; Xia, L.; Yang, X.; Zhang, B.; Liu, F.; Ma, J.; Hu, Z.; Li, Y.; Li, W.; et al. Relative Handgrip Strength Is Inversely Associated with Metabolic Profile and Metabolic Disease in the General Population in China. *Front. Physiol.* **2018**, *9*, 59. [CrossRef]
- 11. Lawman, H.G.; Troiano, R.P.; Perna, F.M.; Wang, C.Y.; Fryar, C.D.; Ogden, C.L. Associations of Relative Handgrip Strength and Cardiovascular Disease Biomarkers in U.S. Adults, 2011–2012. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* **2016**, *50*, 677–683. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jiang, C.; Thomas, G.N.; Lam, T.H.; Schooling, C.M.; Zhang, W.; Lao, X.; Adab, P.; Liu, B.; Leung, G.M.; Cheng, K.K. Cohort profile: The Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study, a Guangzhou-Hong Kong-Birmingham collaboration. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 2006, 35, 844–852. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shankle, W.R.; Romney, A.K.; Hara, J.; Fortier, D.; Dick, M.B.; Chen, J.M.; Chan, T.; Sun, X. Methods to improve the detection of mild cognitive impairment. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2005, 102, 4919–4924. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Xu, L.; Jiang, C.Q.; Lam, T.H.; Zhang, W.S.; Cherny, S.S.; Thomas, G.N.; Cheng, K.K. Sleep duration and memory in the elderly Chinese: Longitudinal analysis of the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study. *Sleep* **2014**, *37*, 1737–1744. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Jagielski, A.C.; Jiang, C.Q.; Xu, L.; Taheri, S.; Zhang, W.S.; Cheng, K.K.; Lam, T.H.; Thomas, G.N. Glycaemia is associated with cognitive impairment in older adults: The Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study. *Age Ageing* **2015**, *44*, 65–71. [CrossRef]
- Au Yeung, S.L.; Jiang, C.Q.; Cheng, K.K.; Liu, B.; Zhang, W.S.; Lam, T.H.; Leung, G.M.; Schooling, C.M. Evaluation of moderate alcohol use and cognitive function among men using a Mendelian randomization design in the Guangzhou biobank cohort study. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 2012, 175, 1021–1028. [CrossRef]
- 17. Hansen, D.; Niebauer, J.; Cornelissen, V.; Barna, O.; Neunhauserer, D.; Stettler, C.; Tonoli, C.; Greco, E.; Fagard, R.; Coninx, K.; et al. Exercise Prescription in Patients with Different Combinations of Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors: A Consensus Statement from the EXPERT Working Group. *Sports Med.* **2018**, *48*, 1781–1797. [CrossRef]
- 18. Lee, W.J.; Peng, L.N.; Chiou, S.T.; Chen, L.K. Relative Handgrip Strength Is a Simple Indicator of Cardiometabolic Risk among Middle-Aged and Older People: A Nationwide Population-Based Study in Taiwan. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0160876. [CrossRef]
- Knopman, D.S.; Ryberg, S. A verbal memory test with high predictive accuracy for dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Arch. Neurol.* 1989, 46, 141–145. [CrossRef]
- 20. Prince, M.; Acosta, D.; Chiu, H.; Scazufca, M.; Varghese, M.; Dementia Research, G. Dementia diagnosis in developing countries: A cross-cultural validation study. *Lancet* 2003, *361*, 909–917. [CrossRef]
- Welsh, K.A.; Butters, N.; Mohs, R.C.; Beekly, D.; Edland, S.; Fillenbaum, G.; Heyman, A. The Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD). Part V. A normative study of the neuropsychological battery. *Neurology* 1994, 44, 609–614. [CrossRef]
- Katzman, R.; Zhang, M.Y.; Ouang Ya, Q.; Wang, Z.Y.; Liu, W.T.; Yu, E.; Wong, S.C.; Salmon, D.P.; Grant, I. A Chinese version of the Mini-Mental State Examination; impact of illiteracy in a Shanghai dementia survey. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* 1988, 41, 971–978. [CrossRef]
- 23. Yu, E.S.; Liu, W.T.; Levy, P.; Zhang, M.Y.; Katzman, R.; Lung, C.T.; Wong, S.C.; Wang, Z.Y.; Qu, G.Y. Cognitive impairment among elderly adults in Shanghai, China. J. Gerontol. 1989, 44, S97–S106. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wong, S.S.; Fong, K.N. Reliability and validity of the telephone version of the Cantonese Mini-mental State Examination (T-CMMSE) when used with elderly patients with and without dementia in Hong Kong. *Int. Psychogeriatr.* 2009, 21, 345–353. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Scazufca, M.; Almeida, O.P.; Vallada, H.P.; Tasse, W.A.; Menezes, P.R. Limitations of the Mini-Mental State Examination for screening dementia in a community with low socioeconomic status: Results from the Sao Paulo Ageing & Health Study. *Eur. Arch. Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 2009, 259, 8–15. [CrossRef]
- 26. Wind, A.W.; Schellevis, F.G.; Van Staveren, G.; Scholten, R.P.; Jonker, C.; Van Eijk, J.T. Limitations of the Mini-Mental State Examination in diagnosing dementia in general practice. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* **1997**, *12*, 101–108. [CrossRef]
- 27. Naugle, R.I.; Kawczak, K. Limitations of the Mini-Mental State Examination. Clevel. Clin. J. Med. 1989, 56, 277–281. [CrossRef]
- De Marchis, G.M.; Foderaro, G.; Jemora, J.; Zanchi, F.; Altobianchi, A.; Biglia, E.; Conti, F.M.; Monotti, R.; Mombelli, G. Mild cognitive impairment in medical inpatients: The Mini-Mental State Examination is a promising screening tool. *Dement. Geriatr. Cogn. Disord.* 2010, 29, 259–264. [CrossRef]

- McGrath, R.; Robinson-Lane, S.G.; Cook, S.; Clark, B.C.; Herrmann, S.; O'Connor, M.L.; Hackney, K.J. Handgrip Strength Is Associated with Poorer Cognitive Functioning in Aging Americans. J. Alzheimer's Dis. JAD 2019, 70, 1187–1196. [CrossRef]
- Narazaki, K.; Matsuo, E.; Honda, T.; Nofuji, Y.; Yonemoto, K.; Kumagai, S. Physical Fitness Measures as Potential Markers of Low Cognitive Function in Japanese Community-Dwelling Older Adults without Apparent Cognitive Problems. *J. Sports Sci. Med.* 2014, 13, 590–596.
- Jang, J.Y.; Kim, J. Association between handgrip strength and cognitive impairment in elderly Koreans: A population-based cross-sectional study. J. Phys. Ther. Sci. 2015, 27, 3911–3915. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Liu, X.; Chen, J.; Geng, R.; Wei, R.; Xu, P.; Chen, B.; Liu, K.; Yang, L. Sex- and age-specific mild cognitive impairment is associated with low hand grip strength in an older Chinese cohort. *J. Int. Med. Res.* **2020**, *48*, 300060520933051. [CrossRef]
- 33. Alfaro-Acha, A.; Al Snih, S.; Raji, M.A.; Kuo, Y.F.; Markides, K.S.; Ottenbacher, K.J. Handgrip strength and cognitive decline in older Mexican Americans. *J. Gerontol. Ser. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 2006, *61*, 859–865. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Auyeung, T.W.; Lee, J.S.; Kwok, T.; Woo, J. Physical frailty predicts future cognitive decline—A four-year prospective study in 2737 cognitively normal older adults. *J. Nutr. Health Aging* **2011**, *15*, 690–694. [CrossRef]
- Taekema, D.G.; Ling, C.H.; Kurrle, S.E.; Cameron, I.D.; Meskers, C.G.; Blauw, G.J.; Westendorp, R.G.; de Craen, A.J.; Maier, A.B. Temporal relationship between handgrip strength and cognitive performance in oldest old people. *Age Ageing* 2012, 41, 506–512. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Atkinson, H.H.; Rapp, S.R.; Williamson, J.D.; Lovato, J.; Absher, J.R.; Gass, M.; Henderson, V.W.; Johnson, K.C.; Kostis, J.B.; Sink, K.M.; et al. The relationship between cognitive function and physical performance in older women: Results from the women's health initiative memory study. *J. Gerontol. Ser. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 2010, 65, 300–306. [CrossRef]
- Abellan van Kan, G.; Cesari, M.; Gillette-Guyonnet, S.; Dupuy, C.; Nourhashemi, F.; Schott, A.M.; Beauchet, O.; Annweiler, C.; Vellas, B.; Rolland, Y. Sarcopenia and cognitive impairment in elderly women: Results from the EPIDOS cohort. *Age Ageing* 2013, 42, 196–202. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Veronese, N.; Stubbs, B.; Trevisan, C.; Bolzetta, F.; De Rui, M.; Solmi, M.; Sartori, L.; Musacchio, E.; Zambon, S.; Perissinotto, E.; et al. What physical performance measures predict incident cognitive decline among intact older adults? A 4.4year follow up study. *Exp. Gerontol.* 2016, *81*, 110–118. [CrossRef]
- Loprinzi, P.D.; Franklin, J.; Farris, A.; Ryu, S. Handedness, Grip Strength, and Memory Function: Considerations by Biological Sex. *Medicina* 2019, 55, 444. [CrossRef]
- Bodilsen, A.C.; Juul-Larsen, H.G.; Petersen, J.; Beyer, N.; Andersen, O.; Bandholm, T. Feasibility and inter-rater reliability of physical performance measures in acutely admitted older medical patients. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0118248. [CrossRef]
- 41. Alencar, M.A.; Dias, J.M.; Figueiredo, L.C.; Dias, R.C. Handgrip strength in elderly with dementia: Study of reliability. *Rev. Bras. De Fisioter.* **2012**, *16*, 510–514. [CrossRef]
- 42. Keevil, V.L.; Khaw, K.T. Overadjustment in regression analyses: Considerations when evaluating relationships between body mass index, muscle strength, and body size. J. Gerontol. Ser. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 2014, 69, 616–617. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bohannon, R.W. Muscle strength: Clinical and prognostic value of hand-grip dynamometry. *Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Metab. Care* 2015, 18, 465–470. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- McGough, E.L.; Cochrane, B.B.; Pike, K.C.; Logsdon, R.G.; McCurry, S.M.; Teri, L. Dimensions of physical frailty and cognitive function in older adults with amnestic mild cognitive impairment. *Ann. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* 2013, 56, 329–341. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Suominen-Troyer, S.; Davis, K.J.; Ismail, A.H.; Salvendy, G. Impact of physical fitness on strategy development in decision-making tasks. *Percept. Mot. Ski.* 1986, *62*, 71–77. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Rogers, R.L.; Meyer, J.S.; Mortel, K.F. After reaching retirement age physical activity sustains cerebral perfusion and cognition. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* **1990**, *38*, 123–128. [CrossRef]
- 47. Laurin, D.; Verreault, R.; Lindsay, J.; MacPherson, K.; Rockwood, K. Physical activity and risk of cognitive impairment and dementia in elderly persons. *Arch. Neurol.* **2001**, *58*, 498–504. [CrossRef]
- 48. Xu, L.; Jiang, C.Q.; Lam, T.H.; Zhang, W.S.; Thomas, G.N.; Cheng, K.K. Dose-response relation between physical activity and cognitive function: Guangzhou biobank cohort study. *Ann. Epidemiol.* **2011**, *21*, 857–863. [CrossRef]
- 49. Law, C.K.; Lam, F.M.; Chung, R.C.; Pang, M.Y. Physical exercise attenuates cognitive decline and reduces behavioural problems in people with mild cognitive impairment and dementia: A systematic review. *J. Physiother.* **2020**, *66*, 9–18. [CrossRef]
- 50. Ohman, H.; Savikko, N.; Strandberg, T.E.; Pitkala, K.H. Effect of physical exercise on cognitive performance in older adults with mild cognitive impairment or dementia: A systematic review. *Dement. Geriatr. Cogn. Disord.* **2014**, *38*, 347–365. [CrossRef]
- 51. Hillman, C.H.; Erickson, K.I.; Kramer, A.F. Be smart, exercise your heart: Exercise effects on brain and cognition. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **2008**, *9*, 58–65. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vaynman, S.; Gomez-Pinilla, F. Revenge of the "sit": How lifestyle impacts neuronal and cognitive health through molecular systems that interface energy metabolism with neuronal plasticity. J. Neurosci. Res. 2006, 84, 699–715. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Trares, K.; Gao, X.; Perna, L.; Rujescu, D.; Stocker, H.; Mollers, T.; Beyreuther, K.; Brenner, H.; Schottker, B. Associations of urinary 8-iso-prostaglandin F2alpha levels with all-cause dementia, Alzheimer's disease, and vascular dementia incidence: Results from a prospective cohort study. *Alzheimer's Dement. J. Alzheimer's Assoc.* 2020, *16*, 804–813. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 54. Lao, X.Q.; Deng, H.B.; Liu, X.; Chan, T.C.; Zhang, Z.; Chang, L.Y.; Yeoh, E.K.; Tam, T.; Wong, M.C.S.; Thomas, G.N. Increased leisure-time physical activity associated with lower onset of diabetes in 44 828 adults with impaired fasting glucose: A population-based prospective cohort study. *Br. J. Sports Med.* **2019**, *53*, 895–900. [CrossRef]
- 55. Visser, M.; Pahor, M.; Taaffe, D.R.; Goodpaster, B.H.; Simonsick, E.M.; Newman, A.B.; Nevitt, M.; Harris, T.B. Relationship of interleukin-6 and tumor necrosis factor-alpha with muscle mass and muscle strength in elderly men and women: The Health ABC Study. J. Gerontol. Ser. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 2002, 57, M326–M332. [CrossRef]
- Weaver, J.D.; Huang, M.H.; Albert, M.; Harris, T.; Rowe, J.W.; Seeman, T.E. Interleukin-6 and risk of cognitive decline: MacArthur studies of successful aging. *Neurology* 2002, 59, 371–378. [CrossRef]