

## [ ORIGINAL ARTICLE ]

# Effects of Baseline Thrombocytopenia on In-hospital Outcomes in Patients Undergoing Elective Percutaneous Coronary Intervention

Jun Shiraishi, Nariko Koshi, Yuki Matsubara, Tetsuro Nishimura, Daisuke Ito, Masayoshi Kimura, Eigo Kishita, Yusuke Nakagawa, Masayuki Hyogo and Takahisa Sawada

## Abstract:

**Objective** The purpose of the present study was to examine the relationship between thrombocytopenia at baseline and in-hospital outcomes in unselected patients undergoing elective percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in Japan.

**Methods** Among a total of 1,247 consecutive elective PCI-treated patients, patients with a baseline platelet count 150,000-449,000/ $\mu$ L and 50,000-149,000/ $\mu$ L were assigned to the normal platelet (n=1,009) and thrombocytopenia (n=226) groups, respectively. The thrombocytopenia group was further divided into the mild thrombocytopenia (100,000-149,000/ $\mu$ L, n=187) and moderate thrombocytopenia (50,000-99,000/ $\mu$ L, n=39) groups.

**Results** The angiographic success rate of PCI and in-hospital mortality rate did not differ to a statistically significant extent between the normal platelet and thrombocytopenia groups or between the mild thrombocytopenia and moderate thrombocytopenia groups, whereas the moderate thrombocytopenia group had a significantly higher rate of access site-related bleeding complications than the normal platelet group. According to a multivariate analysis, moderate thrombocytopenia was an independent predictor of access site-related bleeding complications.

**Conclusion** Among patients with mild to moderate thrombocytopenia, elective PCI might be feasible and effective in the short term; however, more attention should be paid to access site-related bleeding complications, particularly in patients with moderate thrombocytopenia.

Key words: thrombocytopenia, percutaneous coronary intervention, bleeding complications, antiplatelet therapy, hemodialysis

(Intern Med 58: 1681-1688, 2019) (DOI: 10.2169/internalmedicine.2063-18)

## Introduction

Drug-eluting stent (DES) implantation has been a mainstay of the interventional treatment for coronary artery disease (CAD), and dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) with aspirin and thienopyridines such as clopidogrel or prasugrel for more than 6 months is a standard antiplatelet therapy for CAD patients undergoing DES implantation (1). Despite the efficacy of DESs in inhibiting in-stent restenosis (ISR), DAPT-induced bleeding complications have attracted more attention, particularly among patients with a high of hemorrhagic complications, such as those with thrombocytopenia. However, no guidelines and only several reports are available on the safety and tolerance of antiplatelet therapy/percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in CAD patients complicated with thrombocytopenia at baseline (2-6). The purpose of the present study was therefore to examine the prognostic impact of baseline thrombocytopenia on in-hospital outcomes in unselected Japanese patients undergoing elective PCI in a real-world setting.

Department of Cardiology, Kyoto First Red Cross Hospital, Japan

Received: September 3, 2018; Accepted: December 3, 2018; Advance Publication by J-STAGE: February 25, 2019 Correspondence to Dr. Jun Shiraishi, risa11221998@yahoo.co.jp

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Patient population

From January 2012 to December 2016, 1,247 consecutive patients undergoing elective PCI in our hospital were enrolled in the present study. Among the study population, patients with a baseline platelet count of ≥450,000/µL or <50,000/µL were excluded. Patients with baseline platelet counts of 150,000-449,000/µL and 50,000-149,000/µL were assigned to the normal platelet group (n=1,009) and thrombocytopenia group (n=226), respectively. The thrombocytopenia group was further divided into the mild thrombocytopenia (100,000-149,000/µL; n=187) and moderate thrombocytopenia (50,000-99,000/µL; n=39) groups. We retrospectively compared the clinical background, preprocedural antiplatelet/anticoagulation medications, acute results of PCI, and in-hospital prognosis between the normal platelet and thrombocytopenia group as well as between the mild thrombocytopenia and moderate thrombocytopenia groups. The baseline platelet count was defined as the platelet count of blood samples obtained before PCI. Elective PCI was performed according to standard techniques with the final decision about the appropriate strategy in each patient left to the judgment of the physician in charge. TR Band<sup>TM</sup> (TERUMO, Tokyo, Japan) was used as a hemostatic device for all patients undergoing transradial PCI. Manual compression, mechanical compression [CompressAR (Semler Technologies, Milwaukie, USA)], or a closure device [EXOSEAL (Cordis, Miami, USA), Angio-Seal<sup>™</sup> STS Plus (TERUMO)] were employed to achieve hemostasis for each patient undergoing transfemoral PCI. Continuous compression using BLEED SAFE (Medikit, Tokyo, Japan) or manual compression was performed for each patient undergoing transbrachial PCI.

#### Data collection

The patients' demographic information and risk factors (i.e., hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, and smoking) were recorded. Hypertension was defined as systemic blood pressure ≥140/90 mmHg and/or the use of antihypertensive treatment; diabetes mellitus was defined as fasting blood sugar ≥126 mg/dL, abnormal glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) ≥6.5%, and/or the use of specific treatment. Dyslipidemia was defined as low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) >140 mg/dL, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) <40 mg/dL, triglycerides (TG) >150 mg/dL, and/or the use of antidyslipidemic agents. Based on the WHO criteria, anemia was defined as a hemoglobin (Hb) concentration (on admission) of <13.0 g/dL for men and <12.0 g/dL for women. Obesity was defined as a body mass index (BMI) ≥25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Preprocedural antiplatelet/anticoagulation medications were defined as the regular intake of those medications at the time of PCI (the loading/restart of those medications during/after PCI was excluded). Dual antiplatelet therapy was defined as aspirin plus thienopyridine (ticlopidine, clopidogrel, or prasugrel). Anticoagulants included warfarin and direct oral anticoagulants. Triple therapy was defined as DAPT plus anticoagulants.

#### **Clinical endpoint measurements**

In-hospital death was defined as all-cause death during the admission period. Access site-related bleeding complications included pseudoaneurysm, retroperitoneal hematoma, or hematoma/recurrent bleeding at sheath-insertion sites requiring hemostasis to be achieved a second time, surgical repair, or prolonged admission period. Angiographic success of PCI was defined as <50% stenosis without flow delay on final CAG, evaluated according to the American Heart Association classification (7) and the Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) classification.

#### Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as the mean±SD for continuous variables and number (percentage) for categorical variables. The normal platelet and thrombocytopenia groups as well as the mild thrombocytopenia and moderate thrombocytopenia groups were compared using the chi-squared test for discrete variables and the unpaired Student's t-test for continuous variables, according to the standard statistical methods. The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for the risk of access site-related bleeding complications were estimated by univariate and multivariate analyses with a logistic regression model. Significant variables with a p value <0.05 in the univariate analyses were entered into a multivariate analysis. The cut-off value for the baseline platelet count to predict access site-related bleeding complications was calculated by a receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using Statcel 3 (add-in software for Excel, Microsoft), StatView version 5.0 J (SAS Institution, Cary, USA) for univariate and multivariate analyses with logistic regression, and SPSS 22.0 (IBM, Tokyo, Japan) for the ROC analysis. In all analyses, p values of <0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

## **Results**

## Patient characteristics and antiplatelet/Anticoagulant medications

The clinical characteristics and the preprocedural antiplatelet/anticoagulant agents of the normal platelet and thrombocytopenia groups are summarized in Table 1. The thrombocytopenia group had a higher age, higher frequencies of diabetes mellitus and hemodialysis (HD), and a lower Hb and BMI levels on admission than the normal platelet group. The thrombocytopenia group had a lower prevalence of thienopyridine and a higher prevalence of anticoagulants as preprocedural medications in comparison to the normal platelet group. The distribution of PCI indica-

	Normal platelet n=1,009	Thrombocytopenia n=226	р
Age (mean years±SD)	72±10	74±9	0.024
Male (%)	741 (73.4)	169 (74.8)	0.679
Platelets (×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL)	222±55	122±23	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	23.4±3.7	22.6±3.5	0.001
Hypertension (%)	766 (75.9)	175 (77.4)	0.628
Diabetes mellitus (%)	442 (43.8)	120 (53.1)	0.011
Dyslipidemia (%)	671 (66.5)	137 (60.6)	0.093
Current smoking (%)	163 (16.2)	27 (11.9)	0.113
Hemodialysis (%)	103 (10.2)	73 (32.3)	< 0.001
Hb (g/dL)	12.6±1.8	11.6±1.7	< 0.001
PCI indication			
Stable AP (%)	507 (50.2)	85 (37.6)	0.003
SMI (%)	424 (42.0)	118 (52.2)	
ACS (%)	78 (7.7)	23 (10.2)	
Preprocedural medication			
Aspirin (%)	875 (86.7)	190 (84.1)	0.296
Thienopiridine (%)	805 (79.8)	165 (73.0)	0.025
DAPT (%)	754 (74.7)	155 (68.6)	0.058
Anticoagulant (%)	86 (8.5)	32 (14.2)	0.009
Triple therapy (%)	58 (5.7)	20 (8.8)	0.083

Table 1. Clinical Characteristics and Preprocedural Antiplatelet/an-ticoagulant Agents (Normal Platelet Group vs. ThrombocytopeniaGroup).

BMI: body mass index, Hb: hemoglobin, PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention, AP: angina pectoris, SMI: silent myocardial ischemia, ACS: acute coronary syndrome, DAPT: dual antiplatelet therapy

tions differed significantly between the 2 groups. The clinical characteristics and the preprocedural antiplatelet/anticoagulant agents of the mild thrombocytopenia group and the moderate thrombocytopenia group are summarized in Table 2. The moderate thrombocytopenia group had lower Hb values and a larger prevalence of DAPT as preprocedural medication in comparison to the mild thrombocytopenia group.

## Results of coronary intervention and in-hospital outcomes

Table 3 shows the results of PCI and the in-hospital prognoses of the normal platelet and thrombocytopenia groups. A significant difference was seen between the two groups in the distribution of the approach sites, while the prevalence of DES usage, and bare metal stent (BMS) usage were similar between the 2 groups (Table 3). The thrombocytopenia group had a lower frequency of 6-Fr guiding catheter (GC) use than the normal platelet group. The angiographic success rate and in-hospital mortality rate of the 2 groups did not differ to a statistically significant extent (Table 3). Table 4 shows the results of PCI and the in-hospital prognoses of the mild thrombocytopenia and moderate thrombocytopenia groups. The moderate thrombocytopenia group had a higher prevalence of BMS usage and 6-Fr GC usage than the mild thrombocytopenia group. The angiographic success rate and in-hospital mortality rate of the 2 groups did not

differ to a statistically significant extent (Table 4).

#### Access site-related bleeding complications

The frequency of access site-related bleeding complications increased according to the severity of thrombocytopenia (Fig. 1A). The moderate thrombocytopenia group had a significantly higher frequency of access site-related bleeding complications than the normal platelet group. Even after excluding patients on HD, the prevalence of access site-related bleeding complications increased in the same fashion (Fig. 1B). Moreover, in patients undergoing transradial PCI, the frequency of access site-related bleeding complications increased in a similar manner to that in the overall study population (Fig. 2A), while the tendency was not observed in patients undergoing transfemoral PCI (Fig. 2B). In order to assess the contribution of the clinical background, risk factors, preprocedural medications, and PCI procedure, univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed to identify the factors associated with access siterelated bleeding complications during hospitalization. The significant variables included baseline moderate thrombocytopenia (platelet count 50,000-99,000/µL), age, gender, medical history (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, HD, anemia, and obesity), preprocedural medication (DAPT, anticoagulant, and triple therapy), 6-Fr GC usage, and transradial approach. On univariate testing, moderate baseline thrombocytopenia was positively associated with access site-related

	Mild thrombocytopenia	Moderate thrombocytopenia	
	n=187	n=39	р
Age (mean years±SD)	74±9	73±7	0.738
Male (%)	144 (77.0)	25 (64.1)	0.091
Platelets (×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL)	131±13	81±13	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	22.5±3.5	23.1±3.2	0.284
Hypertension (%)	144 (77.0)	31 (79.5)	0.736
Diabetes mellitus (%)	99 (52.9)	21 (53.8)	0.918
Dyslipidemia (%)	108 (57.8)	29 (74.4)	0.054
Current smoking (%)	22 (11.8)	5 (12.8)	0.853
Hemodialysis (%)	59 (31.6)	14 (35.9)	0.597
Hb (g/dL)	11.7±1.7	11.1±1.8	0.023
PCI indication			
Stable AP (%)	68 (36.4)	17 (43.6)	0.206
SMI (%)	97 (51.9)	21 (53.8)	
ACS (%)	22 (11.8)	1 (2.6)	
Preprocedural medication			
Aspirin (%)	154 (82.4)	36 (92.3)	0.122
Thienopiridine (%)	132 (70.6)	33 (84.6)	0.073
DAPT (%)	120 (64.2)	32 (82.1)	0.030
Anticoagulant (%)	26 (13.9)	6 (15.4)	0.809
Triple therapy (%)	14 (7.5)	6 (15.4)	0.114

Table 2.Clinical Characteristics and Preprocedural Antiplatelet/anticoagulant Agents(Mild Thrombocytopenia Group vs. Moderate Thrombocytopenia Group).

BMI: body mass index, Hb: hemoglobin, PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention, AP: angina pectoris, SMI: silent myocardial ischemia, ACS: acute coronary syndrome, DAPT: dual antiplatelet therapy

(Norman Fractice Oroup VS. Thrombocytopenia Oroup).				
	Normal platelet n=1,009	Thrombocytopenia n=226	р	
DES (%)	840 (83.3)	176 (77.9)	0.056	
BMS (%)	42 (4.2)	9 (4.0)	0.902	
Approach site				
Femoral (%)	199 (19.7)	88 (38.9)	< 0.001	
Brachial (%)	73 (7.2)	13 (5.8)		
Radial (%)	737 (73.0)	125 (55.3)		
GC size				
6 Fr (%)	694 (68.8)	138 (61.1)	0.025	
>6 Fr (%)	315 (31.2)	88 (38.9)		
Angiographic success (%)	988 (97.9)	219 (96.9)	0.354	
In-hospital mortality (%)	2 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	0.503	
Transfusion (%)	4 (0.4)	0 (0.0)	0.343	

Table 3. Results of Coronary Intervention and In-hospital Outcomes(Normal Platelet Group vs. Thrombocytopenia Group).

DES: drug-eluting stent, BMS: bare metal stent, GC: guiding catheter

bleeding complications, while 6-Fr GC usage and a transradial approach were negatively associated with access siterelated bleeding complications (Table 5). According to a multivariate analysis, baseline moderate thrombocytopenia was independently associated with access site-related bleeding complications (Table 5). Entering baseline thrombocytopenia (mild to moderate) instead of baseline moderate thrombocytopenia into a multivariate analysis indicated that mild to moderate thrombocytopenia at baseline tended to be related to the access site-related bleeding complications; however, the result was not statistically significant (OR 2.257, 95%CI 0.862-5.907, p=0.0972). With the exception of access site-related bleeding complications, there was 1 bleeding complication (intraabdominal bleeding of unknown origin) in the normal platelet group. The results of an ROC curve analysis to determine the baseline platelet count predicting access site-related bleeding complications are shown in Fig. 3. A baseline platelet count of 159,000/ $\mu$ L was the cut-off value for access site-related bleeding complications (area under the curve=0.625), with 52.6% sensitivity and 75.3% specificity.

	Mild Thrombocytopenia	Moderate Thrombocytopenia	р
	n=18/	n=39	
DES (%)	148 (79.1)	28 (71.8)	0.314
BMS (%)	5 (2.7)	4 (10.3)	0.028
Approach site			
Femoral (%)	73 (39.0)	15 (38.5)	0.848
Brachial (%)	10 (5.3)	3 (7.7)	
Radial (%)	104 (55.6)	21 (53.8)	
GC size			
6 Fr (%)	108 (57.8)	30 (76.9)	0.026
>6 Fr (%)	79 (42.2)	9 (23.1)	
Angiographic success (%)	182 (97.3)	37 (94.9)	0.421
In-hospital mortality (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	

Table 4. Results of Coronary Intervention and In-hospital Outcomes (Mild Throm-<br/>bocytopenia Group vs. Moderate Thrombocytopenia Group).

BMI: body mass index, Hb: hemoglobin, PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention, AP: angina pectoris, SMI: silent myocardial ischemia, ACS: acute coronary syndrome, DAPT: dual antiplatelet therapy



**Figure 1.** Access site-related bleeding complications according to the platelet count at baseline. A: The overall study population. B: The study population without hemodialysis. TP: thrombocytopenia



**Figure 2.** Access site-related bleeding complications according to the platelet count at baseline. A: Patients undergoing transradial PCI. B: Patients undergoing transfemoral PCI. TP: thrombocytopenia

	Univariate		Multivariate			
	OR	95% CI	p value	OR	95% CI	p value
Moderate thrombocytopenia	6.146	1.714-22.039	0.0053	6.508	1.747-24.240	0.0052
DAPT	1.954	0.565-6.749	0.2897			
Anticoagulation agent	1.795	0.515-6.254	0.3582			
Triple therapy	1.765	0.400-7.780	0.4530			
Transradial approach	0.381	0.154-0.947	0.0377	0.582	0.218-1.557	0.2814
6Fr GC	0.346	0.138-0.867	0.0235	0.388	0.143-1.053	0.0630
Hypertension	2.686	0.617-11.697	0.1880			
Diabetes mellitus	1.079	0.435-2.674	0.8695			
Hemodialysis	1.130	0.326-3.921	0.8468			
Anemia	0.763	0.308-1.892	0.5595			
Obesity	1.137	0.429-3.017	0.7958			
Male	0.485	0.193-1.216	0.1229			
Age	1.006	0.959-1.056	0.7967			

 
 Table 5. Predictors of Access Site-related Bleeding Complications in the Study Patients (Univariate/multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis).

OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence intervals, DAPT: dual antiplatelet therapy, GC: guiding catheter



**Figure 3.** Receiver operating characteristics curves of the baseline platelet count for the prediction of access site-related bleeding complications in the study population.

#### Discussion

The major findings of the present study are as follows: 1) among patients undergoing elective PCI, the angiographic success rate and in-hospital mortality rate did not differ to a statistically significant extent between patients with mild to moderate thrombocytopenia at baseline and patients with a normal platelet count or between patients with mild thrombocytopenia and patients with moderate thrombocytopenia; 2) access site-related bleeding complications increased according to the severity of thrombocytopenia, and patients with baseline moderate thrombocytopenia had a significantly higher rate of access site-related bleeding complications than patients with a normal platelet count; and 3) baseline moderate thrombocytopenia was an independent predictor of access site-related bleeding complications.

This study is the first to investigate the prognostic impact

of baseline thrombocytopenia on in-hospital outcomes among unselected Japanese patients undergoing elective PCI in the modern DES era in a real-world setting. A few previous reports have indicated that baseline thrombocytopenia was associated with in-hospital and 30-day mortality in patients undergoing PCI due to urgent indication or STelevation myocardial infarction (2, 3). Another recent study showed that baseline thrombocytopenia was related to death and cardiovascular events at 1 year, but not at 30 days in patients with acute coronary syndrome undergoing PCI (5). In contrast, among patients undergoing elective PCI, no significant relationship was observed between baseline thrombocytopenia and in-hospital death (2), which was consistent with the present report. A recent report based on the pooled data of 3 PCI studies (1 registry, 2 randomized trials) from Japanese investigators has demonstrated that baseline thrombocytopenia was associated with bleeding events and deaths, but not associated with composite events of myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke during 3 years of follow-up after PCI (6). Based on the data from the present and previous studies, patients with baseline thrombocytopenia tended to have more adverse prognostic factors, such as diabetes mellitus, anemia, or chronic renal insufficiency/HD, in comparison to those with a normal platelet count (2-6), and careful long-term observation would therefore be required, even for patients with stable CAD, when patients with thrombocytopenia undergo PCI.

Taking the higher frequency of HD in the thrombocytopenia group into consideration, HD might be an underlying clinical background factor associated with thrombocytopenia. A previous report from Japanese investigators indicated that thrombocytopenia was more frequent in patients on HD, particularly in the hepatitis C virus (HCV)-positive HD patients, and impaired megakaryocyte production in the bone marrow was an underlying cause of thrombocytopenia in HD patients (8). Moreover, among the HD patients, the serum platelet-associated IgG titers in the HCV-positive patients were significantly higher than those in the HCVnegative patients, suggesting that peripheral destruction of platelets might also contribute to thrombocytopenia, especially in HCV-positive HD patients (8). Indeed, HD patients (n=176) had significantly lower platelet counts than the patients who did not require HD (n=1,059) (178±69 vs. 208±  $62 \times 10^3/\mu$ L, p<0.001), and the HCV-positive HD patients (n= 18) had a significantly lower platelet counts than HCVnegative HD patients (n=158) (144±51 vs.  $182\pm70 \times 10^3/\mu$ L, p=0.030) among the our study population.

Based on the results of the multivariate logistic regression analysis in this study, moderate thrombocytopenia at baseline was tightly associated with access site-related bleeding complications, but mild to moderate thrombocytopenia at baseline (mean platelet count 122,000/µL) was not. The only available previous report (from Canadian investigators) also indicated that there was no significant relationship between baseline thrombocytopenia (mean platelet count, 124,000/ µL) and in-hospital major bleeding events in patients undergoing elective PCI (2). In contrast, according to the present ROC curve analysis, despite the small AUC and low sensitivity, a baseline platelet count level of 159,000/µL was the cut-off value for predicting access site-related bleeding complications; this was nearly consistent with the lower limit of the normal platelet range. Taken together, it is reasonable to propose that moderate thrombocytopenia at baseline is a risk factor for access site-related bleeding complications, but that mild thrombocytopenia is not a conclusive risk factor.

From the point of view of hemorrhagic complications, antiplatelet therapy for CAD patients with thrombocytopenia remains a matter of debate. Contrary to the supposition, patients with moderate thrombocytopenia had a higher frequency of thienopyridine therapy or DAPT as a preprocedural treatment in comparison to patients with mild thrombocytopenia, while patients with mild to moderate thrombocytopenia received these medications less frequently in comparison to patients with a normal platelet count. Patients with moderate thrombocytopenia are considered to have not only a risk for bleeding complications, but also stent thrombosis-risk profiles, such as diabetes mellitus or HD (9, 10). Thus, the early discontinuation of DAPT after stent-based PCI might be inappropriate for patients with moderate thrombocytopenia, and physicians in charge might tend to check the durability of thienopyridine or DAPT tolerance among patients with moderate thrombocytopenia prior to the performance of elective PCI. Moreover, in the present study population, the preprocedural administration of DAPT or triple therapy was not tightly associated with access site-related bleeding complications in the univariate analysis. Taking the in-hospital mortality and angiographic success rates into consideration, DAPT usage might be acceptable for PCI-treated patients with mild to moderate thrombocytopenia, at least during the short term.

The safety and feasibility of DES implantation in patients with thrombocytopenia remains to be elucidated. A recent

report proposed that the feasible elective PCI strategies might differ according to the magnitude of preprocedural thrombocytopenia, with the possible use of DESs or BMSs in patients with mild thrombocytopenia, BMSs in patients with moderate thrombocytopenia, and stent-less PCI in patients with severe thrombocytopenia (platelet count <50,000/  $\mu$ L) (11). Indeed, patients with moderate thrombocytopenia had a higher prevalence of BMS usage than patients with mild thrombocytopenia in the present study population. However, as shown in the present report, patients with thrombocytopenia are likely to have ISR-risk profiles, such diabetes mellitus or chronic renal insufficiency/ as HD (2-6, 12), and even patients with moderate thrombocytopenia might therefore require DES implantation in realworld clinical practice. In the case of patients with severe thrombocytopenia, stent-less PCI is theoretically ideal, and transradial stent-less PCI using rotational atherectomy followed by drug-coated balloon dilation might be a revascularization therapy of choice (13, 14).

As far as access site-related bleeding complications are concerned, the advantages of a transradial approach over a transfemoral/transbrachial approach and that of 6-Fr GC over 7-Fr/8-Fr GC have already been established (15, 16). In the present report, the higher frequency of HD might account-at least in part-for the greater prevalence of the transfemoral approach in patients with mild-moderate thrombocytopenia. On the other hand, a thrombocytopenia severity-dependent increase in access site-related bleeding complications was observed in patients undergoing transradial PCI, but not in those undergoing transfemoral PCI. The number of patients undergoing transfemoral PCI was very small in comparison to the number undergoing transradial PCI; however, there is a possibility that other multiple factors, such as the method used to achieve hemostasis, peripheral artery disease, HD, anticoagulant therapy, obesity, the use of a larger guiding catheter, and female sex, rather than thrombocytopenia itself might play important roles in the pathogenesis of access site-related bleeding complications in patients undergoing transfemoral PCI.

#### **Study limitations**

The present study was associated with some limitations. First, this was a retrospective single-center observational study with a relatively small patient population. Second, we did not have detailed data regarding the etiology of thrombocytopenia, loading administration of thienopyridine derivatives before PCI, loading/additional administration of antiplatelet agents during/after PCI, platelet function tests, the amount of heparin used during PCI, or activated clotting time during and immediately after PCI, which may have affected PCI and in-hospital outcomes. Third, "elective PCI for *de novo* lesion" was not distinguished from "ad-hoc PCI for new lesion or ISR at the time of follow-up CAG." Fourth, we did not have enough data regarding time between the completion of PCI (or the time of sheath removal) and the bleeding time. Finally, there were no data on the methods that were used to achieve hemostasis during transfemoral or transbrachial PCI.

## Conclusion

The present study suggests that among patients with mild to moderate thrombocytopenia at baseline, elective PCI might be feasible and effective in the short term; however, more attention should be paid to access site-related bleeding complications, particularly in patients with moderate thrombocytopenia at baseline. The small sample size and short inhospital follow-up period of our report are major limitations, and a larger study with a longer follow-up period should be performed to confirm our findings.

#### The authors state that they have no Conflict of Interest (COI).

#### References

- 1. Levine GN, Bates ER, Bittl JA, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA Guideline Focused Update on Duration of Dual Antiplatelet Therapy in Patients With Coronary Artery Disease: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines: An Update of the 2011 ACCF/AHA/ SCAI Guideline for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention, 2011 ACCF/AHA Guideline for Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery, 2012 ACC/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS Guideline for the Diagnosis and Management of Patients With Stable Ischemic Heart Disease, 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction, 2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients With Non-ST-Elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes, and 2014 ACC/AHA Guideline on Perioperative Cardiovascular Evaluation and Management of Patients Undergoing Noncardiac Surgery. Circulation 134: e123-e155, 2016.
- Overgaard CB, Ivanov J, Seidelin PH, Todorov M, Mackie K, Dzavík V. Thrombocytopenia at baseline is a predictor of inhospital mortality in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. Am Heart J 156: 120-124, 2008.
- **3.** Hakim DA, Dangas GD, Caixeta A, et al. Impact of baseline thrombocytopenia on the early and late outcomes after ST-elevation myocardial infarction treated with primary angioplasty: analysis from the Harmonizing Outcomes with Revascularization and Stents in Acute Myocardial Infarction (HORIZONS-AMI) trial. Am Heart J **161**: 391-396, 2011.
- **4.** Raphael CE, Spoon DB, Bell MR, et al. Effect of preprocedural thrombocytopenia on prognosis after percutaneous coronary intervention. Mayo Clin Proc **91**: 1035-1044, 2016.
- 5. Yadav M, Généreux P, Giustino G, et al. Effect of baseline thrombocytopenia on ischemic outcomes in patients with acute coronary

syndromes who undergo percutaneous coronary intervention. Can J Cardiol **32**: 226-233, 2016.

- **6.** Ito S, Watanabe H, Morimoto T, et al. Impact of baseline thrombocytopenia on bleeding and mortality after percutaneous coronary intervention. Am J Cardiol **121**: 1304-1314, 2018.
- Austen WG, Edwards JE, Frye RL, et al. A reporting system on patients evaluated for coronary artery disease. Report of the Ad Hoc Committee for Grading of Coronary Artery Disease, Council on Cardiovascular Surgery, American Heart Association. Circulation 51: 5-40, 1975.
- Ando M, Iwamoto Y, Suda A, Tsuchiya K, Nihei H. New insights into the thrombopoietic status of patients on dialysis through the evaluation of megakaryocytopoiesis in bone marrow and of endogenous thrombopoietin levels. Blood 97: 915-921, 2001.
- **9.** Kimura T, Morimoto T, Kozuma K, et al.; RESTART Investigators. Comparisons of baseline demographics, clinical presentation, and long-term outcome among patients with early, late, and very late stent thrombosis of sirolimus-eluting stents: Observations from the Registry of Stent Thrombosis for Review and Reevaluation (RESTART). Circulation **122**: 52-61, 2010.
- 10. Kimura T, Morimoto T, Nakagawa Y, et al.; j-Cypher Registry Investigators. Very late stent thrombosis and late target lesion revascularization after sirolimus-eluting stent implantation: five-year outcome of the j-Cypher Registry. Circulation 125: 584-591, 2012.
- **11.** Morici N, Cantoni S, Savonitto S. Antiplatelet therapy for patients with stable ischemic heart disease and baseline thrombocytopenia: ask the hematologist. Platelets **25**: 455-460, 2014.
- **12.** Rathore S, Terashima M, Katoh O, et al. Predictors of angiographic restenosis after drug eluting stents in the coronary arteries: contemporary practice in real world patients. EuroIntervention **5**: 349-354, 2009.
- 13. Shiraishi J, Ohshiro M, Matsubara Y, et al. Optical frequency domain imaging-guided rotational atherectomy followed by drugcoated balloon dilation to the non-calcified lesion in a patient with severe thrombocytopenia. Cardiovasc Interv Ther 33: 395-397, 2018.
- 14. Shiraishi J, Koshi N, Matsubara Y, et al. Stent-less percutaneous coronary intervention using rotational atherectomy and drugcoated balloon: a case series and a mini review. Cardiovasc Revasc Med 19: 705-711, 2018.
- 15. Valgimigli M, Gagnor A, Calabró P, et al.; MATRIX Investigators. Radial versus femoral access in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing invasive management: a randomised multicentre trial. Lancet 385: 2465-2476, 2015.
- 16. Metz D, Meyer P, Touati C, et al. Comparison of 6F with 7F and 8F guiding catheters for elective coronary angioplasty: results of a prospective, multicenter, randomized trial. Am Heart J 134: 131-137, 1997.

The Internal Medicine is an Open Access journal distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. To view the details of this license, please visit (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

© 2019 The Japanese Society of Internal Medicine Intern Med 58: 1681-1688, 2019