Perceptions of COVID-19-related Risks and Deaths Among Health Care Professionals During COVID-19 Pandemic in Pakistan: A Cross-sectional Study

INQUIRY: The Journal of Health Care Organization, Provision, and Financing Volume 58: 1–9
© The Author(s) 2021
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/00469580211067475
journals.sagepub.com/home/inq

\$SAGE

Muhammad Hakim, MPH¹, Saima Afaq, PhD², Farhad ALi Khattak, MS ³, Mohammad Jawad, MCPS, MPH¹, Siraj UI Islam, FCPS⁴, Muhammad Ayub Rose, MSc-PH, DHPM⁵, Muhammad Shakeel Khan, MD⁶, and Zia UI Haq, PhD¹

Abstract

COVID-19 is an established challenge to the health care professionals (HCPs) having physical, emotional, and psychological repercussions. We determine the perceptions of Pakistani HCPs regarding the risks and deaths related to COVID-19. A cross-sectional study was conducted among HCPs throughout Pakistan from 16th February 2021 to 6th March 2021 by disseminating an online Google questionnaire via all possible social media platforms including WhatsApp, Facebook, Twitter, and emails. Out of total of 462 HCPs, 33.55% tested COVID-19 positive and 49.43% had received their first dose of COVID-19 vaccine. The proportion of HCPs worried about contracting COVID-19 was 46.97%. A total of 69.48% mentioned that doctors are at a higher risk of dying from COVID-19 infection compared to the general population. In a dichotomous analysis done for risk perception, 72.06% of doctors reported a high-risk perception of contracting COVID-19 in hospital settings. The main reasons identified to be associated with higher mortality amongst the HCPs, specifically doctors, included comorbidities (47.26%), working in high-risk wards (24.02%) and lack of adequate personal protective equipment (PPE) (28.72%). Using univariate and multivariate regression the HCPs tested positive rated two-fold high risk while performing professional duty during COVID-19 pandemic [unadjusted odds ratio (OR) (95% confidence interval (CI)): 2.23 (1.24-4.02), P = .007; adjusted OR (95% CI) 2.27 (1.22-4.19), P = .009]. In conclusion, Pakistani HCPs have significant COVID-19-related concerns and anxieties. The doctors perceived to be at a higher risk of dying with COVID-19, due to a variety of personal and professional reasons.

Keywords

perceptions, COVID-19, risks and deaths, pandemic, health personnel social media

Received 27 September 2021; revised 22 November 2021; revised manuscript accepted 30 November 2021

Corresponding Authors:

Saima Afaq, PhD, Research Associate, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College, London W2 IPG, United Kingdom.

Email: s.afaq | | @imperial.ac.uk

Farhad Ali Khattak, MPH Assistant Professor (Biostatistics, Khyber College of Dentistry, Peshawar, Pakistan.

Email: farhadkcd@gmail.com



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons
Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and

Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

¹Khyber Medical University, Peshawar, Pakistan

²Imperial College, London, UK

³Khyber College of Dentistry, Peshawar, Pakistan

⁴MTI-Lady Reading Hospital, Peshawar, Pakistan

⁵Advisor-USAID Global Health Supply Chain Program, Islamabad, Pakistan

⁶Mayo Hospital Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan

What Do We Already Know About This Topic?

COVID-19 posing some key challenges to the health care professionals (HCPs) including emotional and psychological distress.

How Does Your Research Contribute to the Field?

The views and experiences of the frontline HCPs in Pakistan about working through the pandemic had not been documented or its evidence published. To the best of our knowledge, this will be the first survey conducted in Pakistan.

What Are Your Research's Implications Toward Theory, Practice, or Policy?

Need key steps and measures to be implemented to help the frontline health workers to relieve their anxieties regarding contracting COVID-19.

Introduction

The healthcare system around the world had been severely affected by the global outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) which caused COVID-19. In addition to the risk of workplace infection acquisition, management of this pandemic is posing a lot of challenges to the workforces in the department of healthcare. According to the reports, almost 3400 health care professionals (HCPs) acquired the infection in the two months following the reporting of the first case of COVID-19 in China. This picture was even grimmer in Europe and Italy where proportions greater than those of China were reported to be affected.²

When HCPs are infected, it is not only they who suffer serious morbidity and mortality but there are also high chances of transmission of infection to their close contacts, as well as to their patients and other colleagues. This can result in a shortage of staff at health care facilities at a time when there is already an increased workload and demand for healthcare services. In a study conducted in Wuhan immediately after the beginning of this pandemic, 138 patients were analyzed and it was revealed that 41% of the patients acquired the infection through hospitals. Health care professionals had also been seen to undergo significant emotional and psychological trauma associated with deaths of fellow HCPs from the hospital-acquired COVID-19.

During pandemic, globally, HCPs are concerned about the insufficient availability of personal protective equipment. This made them anxious and results in inefficiency on the part of HCPs. It had also been seen in different countries specifically England that mortality from COVID-19 is greater in certain ethnic groups like Blacks and Asians. This caused increased concern among such ethnic groups in the general

population as well as the HCPs.⁵ In a study conducted in NHS, 119 deaths from COVID-19 among the NHS staff were analyzed and it was seen that more people from minority groups other than White British and specifically of BAME origin, suffered mortality. In this study, doctors and dentists had a death rate of 94%, nurses 71%, and support workers had a mortality of 56%. These disparities have called for survey to look for as to why certain groups are at a higher risk of morbidity and mortality.⁶

In Pakistan, as of 14th September 2021, a total of 17 866 HCPs were infected while 17 301 (95.58%) have recovered and 565 active cases. A total of 169 deaths were reported among which 103 (61%) were doctors, 5 (3%) nurses, and 61 (36%) paramedic staff. The views and experiences of the frontline HCPs in Pakistan about working through the pandemic had not been documented or its evidence published. This study aimed to collect and analyze the views and experiences of Pakistani HCPs regarding the risks and deaths from the workplace related to COVID-19 and particularly among doctors working during the response to the pandemic.

Methods

During the response to COVID-19, we conducted a crosssectional study among HCPs working in Pakistan. The study protocol was approved by the Khyber College of Dentistry Ethics Review Board (No17/ADR/KCD). We adopted the study questionnaire of Parveen Ali *et al* conducted by the School of Health Sciences, University of Sheffield, in the UK.⁸ The questionnaire was adapted to the local context and finalized by peer review of Public Health Department and Social Sciences-Khyber Medical University Peshawar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan before it was implemented.

The survey was conducted from 16th February to 6th March 2021. The HCPs aging 20 or older with a valid Ex-PMDC/PMC license for Doctors, PNC registration for Nurses and Medical Faculty Registration/Government Personal Number for Paramedics were inclusion criteria to join the survey and were working in Pakistan during the pandemic emergency. Those who did not have valid registration and not meeting the criteria were excluded.

The Google online self-reported questionnaire was circulated using various social media platforms including WhatsApp, Twitter, Facebook, and email groups. The details collected were divided into 4 parts. (1) Demographic details of the HCPs, (2) COVID-19 risk perception of HCPs, (3) Perception about deaths in all HCPs and (4) COVID-19 risk perception and death particularly related to doctors.

Statistical analysis was performed using STATA 14. All the Continuous variables are presented as mean \pm SD and categorical variables as percentages or proportions. Furthermore, categorical variables were analyzed through the Chi-square test. Binary logistic regression is used for multivariate analyses to explore independent predictors for a response and the results are presented as odds ratio (OR) with

Table I. Demographic characteristics of the respondents.

			Healtl	n care groups			
Variable/question	Categories	Doctors N = 316 (68.40%)	Nurses N = 82 (17.75%)	Paramedic N = 64 (13.85%)	Total 462	P- value	
Location	Khyber Pakhtunkhwa	235 (74.37)	53 (64.63)	61 (95.31)	349 (75.54)	<0.001	
	Punjab	55 (17.41)	22 (26.83)	2 (3.13)	79 (17.10)		
	Baluchistan	10 (3.16)	I (I.22)	0 (.00)	11 (2.38)		
	Azad Jammu & Kashmir	9 (2.85)	0 (.00)	0 (.00)	9 (1.95)		
	Sindh	3 (.95)	4 (4.88)	0 (.00)	7 (1.52)		
	Federal	4 (1.27)	0 (.00)	I (I.56)	5 (1.08)		
	Gilgit Baltistan	0 (.00)	2 (2.44)	0 (.00)	2 (.43)		
Gender	Male	252 (79.75)	47 (57.32)	53 (82.81)	352 (76.19)	<0.001	
	Female	64 (20.25)	35 (42.68)	11 (17.19)	110 (23.81)		
Category of age	20–35	195 (61.71)	70 (85.37)	51 (79.69)	316 (68.40)	<0.001	
	36–51	107 (33.86)	11 (13.41)	9 (14.06)	127 (27.49)		
	52–67	14 (4.43)	l (l.22)	4 (6.25)	19 (4.11)		
Category of work experience in years	0-5 Years	142 (44.94)	39 (47.56)	35 (54.69)	216 (46.75)	0.492	
, ,	6-10 Years	99 (31.33)	27 (32.93)	14 (21.88)	140 (30.30)		
	Above 10 Years	75 (23.73)	16 (19.51)	15 (23.44)	106 (22.94)		
Working at which health care level?	COVID-19 Facility/ Hospitals/Quarantine center	6 (1.90)	9 (10.98)	4 (6.25)	19 (4.11)	<0.001	
	Emergency Operation Center (National, Provincials and Districts)	18 (5.70)	2 (2.44)	0 (.00)	20 (4.33)		
	Primary health care facility	44 (13.92)	4 (4.88)	25 (39.06)	73 (15.80)		
	Secondary health care facility	66 (20.89)	11 (13.41)	17 (26.56)	94 (20.35)		
	Tertiary health care facility	138 (43.67)	50 (60.98)	9 (14.06)	197 (42.64)		
	Other	44 (13.92)	6 (7.32)	9 (14.06)	59 (12.77)		
Do you have an existing chronic disease	Yes	45 (14.24)	5 (6.10)	5 (7.81)	55 (11.90)	0.071	
such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes, chronic respiratory tract disease, allergies etc.	No	271 (85.76)	77 (93.90)	59 (92.19)	407 (88.10)		
Are you tested positive for COVID-19?	Yes	109 (34.49)	26 (31.71)	20 (31.25)	155 (33.55)	0.818	
,	No	207 (65.51)	56 (68.29)	44 (68.75)	307 (66.45)		

95% confidence intervals (CI). A *P-value* less than .05 was chosen as the cut-off for the statistical significance of the test.

Results

In total, 462 HCPs responded to our survey. Of these, 316 (68.40%) were doctors, 82 (17.75%) were nurses, and 64 (13.85%) were paramedical staff. The respondents were predominantly males (n = 352; 76.19%). Most of the respondents (n = 197; 42.64%) were working in the tertiary care hospitals followed by the secondary care hospitals (n = 94; 20.35%) and primary care hospitals (n = 73; 15.80%). The proportion of HCPs working at emergency operation centers was 4.3% (20). Around one-third HCPs (n = 155; 33.55%) were tested COVID-19 positive in our study. Further details on the respondent's characteristics are provided in Table 1.

Table 2 summarizes the perceptions of HCPs about COVID-19 related risks and deaths. When asked if they had received the first dose of COVID-19 vaccine, freely available by the Government; about half (n = 229; 49.57%) had not received yet while 3.03% (n = 14) refused to get vaccinated. Nearly half of HCPs (n = 217; 46.97%) were worried about being infected with COVID-19 and another 41.9% (194) of the HCPs expressed their concerns about the presence of COVID-19 affecting their ability to care for the patients negatively. The risks related to COVID-19 were raised with concerned supervisors by 43.72% of the HCPs and another 21.86% raised concerns about the non-availability of adequate Personal Protective Equipment (PPE). More than half 53.2%5 (246) of the HCPs refused to work without adequate PPE provision, while 22.29% (103) were afraid that refusing to work without adequate PPE may affect their relationship with the employer. Nearly two-third, 285 (61.69%) were of opinion that

Table 2. Perceptions about COVID-19-related risks and death among health care professionals.

		Health care groups				
Questions	Categories	Doctors N = Nurses N = 316 (68.40%) 82 (17.75%)		Paramedic N = 64 (13.85%)	Total 462	P- value
Had you received COVID-19 vaccine	No	148 (46.84)	34 (41.46)	47 (73.44)	229 (49.57)	<0.001
Ist dose freely available by	Yes	80 (25.32)	19 (23.17)	1 (1.56)	100 (21.65)	10.001
Government?	lt's still not available at my work place	44 (13.92)	5 (6.10)	11 (17.19)	60 (12.99)	
	I am waiting for my turn	37 (11.71)	17 (20.73)	5 (7.81)	59 (12.77)	
	I refused to take it	7 (2.22)	7 (8.54)	0 (.00)	14 (3.03)	
If you have been worried about getting	Yes	157 (49.68)	34 (41.46)	26 (40.63)	217 (46.97)	0.441
infected from COVID-19, does it	No	124 (39.24)	38 (46.34)	32 (50.00)	194 (41.99)	
affect your ability to care for your patients?	I Prefer not to answer	35 (11.08)	10 (12.20)	6 (9.38)	51 (11.04)	
If you have been worried about your	Yes	148 (46.84)	36 (43.90)	18 (28.13)	202 (43.72)	0.068
health due to COVID-19, have you	Sometimes	99 (31.33)	24 (29.27)	24 (37.50)	147 (31.82)	
raised this with your head of	Never	69 (21.84)	22 (26.83)	22 (34.38)	113 (24.46)	
department/DHO/MS/DMS/Health manager?		,	,	,	,	
Do you have concerns about non-availability of adequate personal	Yes, but my concerns have been addressed	121 (38.29)	42 (51.22)	30 (46.88)	193 (41.77)	0.001
protective equipment (PPE)?	Yes and my concerns remain unaddressed	134 (42.41)	21 (25.61)	13 (20.31)	168 (36.36)	
	No, I don't have any concerns about provision of PPE	61 (19.30)	19 (23.17)	21 (32.81)	101 (21.86)	
Can you say "no," if you are asked to	Yes	161 (50.95)	49 (59.76)	36 (56.25)	246 (53.25)	0.264
work without adequate PPE?	No, as it may affect my relationship with employer	74 (23.42)	13 (15.85)	16 (25.00)	103 (22.29)	
	No, as I fear losing my job	66 (20.89)	13 (15.85)	11 (17.19)	90 (19.48)	
	No, I prefer to resign and quit from job	15 (4.75)	7 (8.54)	l (l.56)	23 (4.98)	
Where do you think health care professionals are infected with	Mostly at hospitals/Work place	207 (65.51)	42 (51.22)	36 (56.25)	285 (61.69)	0.025
COVID-19?	Mostly outside hospitals	8 (2.53)	2 (2.44)	5 (7.81)	15 (3.25)	
	Both of the above	101 (31.96)	38 (46.34)	23 (35.94)	162 (35.06)	
Do you think lack of adequate PPE may	Yes	237 (75.00)	62 (75.61)	35 (54.69)	334 (72.29)	0.014
have been a contributing factor to	I Am not sure	56 (17.72)	12 (14.63)	21 (32.81)	89 (19.26)	
health care professionals deaths?	No	23 (7.28)	8 (9.76)	8 (12.50)	39 (8.44) [^]	
Do you think that government should initiate enquiry into deaths of health	We are demanding enquiries and compensation but not	118 (37.34)	25 (30.49)	9 (14.06)	152 (32.90)	<0.001
care professionals due to COVID-19	addressed by government					
in a timely manner?	I don't know	81 (25.63)	11 (13.41)	23 (35.94)	115 (24.89)	
	No any positive steps taken from government	69 (21.84)	32 (39.02)	13 (20.31)	114 (24.68)	
	Yes, it is already in process	48 (15.19)	14 (17.07)	19 (29.69)	81 (17.53)	
			Health	care groups		
Questions		Doctors N = 316 (68.40%)	Nurses N = 82 (17.75%)	Paramedic N= 64 (13.85%)	Total 462	P- value
		- 10 (00.1070)		. ,		
What is yours risk perception of	Low risk	10 (3.16)	6 (7.32)	15 (23.44)	31 (6.71)	<0.001
COVID-19 during professional	Medium risk	43 (13.61)	21 (25.61)	12 (18.75)	76 (16.45)	
duty in the next 30 days?	High risk	263 (83.23)	55 (67.07)	37 (57.81)	355 (76.84)	

(continued)

Table 2. (continued)

		Health care groups				
Questions	Categories	Doctors N = 316 (68.40%)	Nurses N = 82 (17.75%)	Paramedic N= 64 (13.85%)	Total 462	P- value
What is yours risk perception of	Low risk	80 (25.32)	19 (23.17)	19 (29.69)	118 (25.54)	0.004
COVID-19 during personal life in	Medium risk	160 (50.63)	30 (36.59)	20 (31.25)	210 (45.45)	
the next 30 days?	High risk	76 (24.05)	33 (40.24)	25 (39.06)	134 (29.00)	
Have you been worried about	Less worried	24 (7.59)	14 (17.07)	13 (20.31)	51 (11.04)	0.001
NEWS of COVID-19 related	Much worried	56 (17.72)	17 (20.73)	18 (28.13)	91 (19.70)	
deaths in health care professionals Pakistan?	Very much worried	236 (74.68)	51 (62.20)	33 (51.56)	320 (69.26)	
Can you identify the places or	Emergency	161 (50.95)	37 (45.12)	23 (35.94)	221 (47.84)	<0.001
situations during which you have more chances of getting COVID- 19?	Ward, Outpatient Department, Operation theature, and working place offices	73 (23.10)	10 (12.20)	12 (18.75)	95 (20.56)	
	Contact with suspected COVID-19 case	47 (14.87)	15 (18.29)	19 (29.69)	81 (17.53)	
	Taking history of confirmed COVID-19 case	26 (8.23)	5 (6.10)	9 (14.06)	40 (8.66)	
	Intensive Care Unit while ward	9 (2.85)	15 (18.29)	I (I.56)	25 (5.41)	

HCPs contracted COVID-19 most commonly at hospitals/ workPlace. While two-third, that is, 334 (72.29%) respondents identified lack of adequate PPE as a contributing factor to HCPs deaths. Approximately 32.9% of the HCPs were in favor of timely investigations of COVID-19-related deaths among HCPs, while another 24.7% showed concerns over the government's response toward providing some compensation to the families of the deceased HCPs. The majority (76.84%) of the respondents perceived the health care setting as high risk for COVID-19 infection. The mean perceived risk score of HCPs contracting COVID-19 outside the work environment was 5.2 (2.5). Totally, 134 (29.00%) of the respondents believe it as high risk and 118 (25.54) perceived it low risk at all. Approximately 69% of the HCPs were worried about the news of COVID-19-related HCPs deaths in Pakistan. When asked about identifying the places or situations during which HCPs have more chances of contracting COVID-19, the most common response was emergency units (47.84%) followed by hospital wards, Outpatient Departments, Operation theatures, workplace offices (20.56%), and Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Wards (5.41%). Among the different cadres of frontline HCPs, doctors were believed to be at the highest risk of COVID-19 infection (82%), while 69.5% believed that doctors were also at a higher risk of dying of the infection which they attributed mostly to comorbidities (49.6%) followed by to lack of adequate PPE and infection prevention training (27.49%) and working in high-risk wards (22.9%) (Table 3).

Data-specific COVID-19-related risk perception, among HCPs, during professional duty hours, was collected on a scale of 0-10 where 0 meant "No risk" while 10 meant "highest risk." The mean perceived risk score of HCPs

contracting COVID-19 in the health care setting was 7.9 (2.4). The overall risk score was stratified into two categories: *low risk (0–5 score) and high risk (6–10 score)*. More than two-third of doctors (72.06%) reported a high-risk of contracting COVID-19 while performing professional duty, while the proportion of nurses and paramedics concerned about being infected during duty hours was low (16.97% and 10.97%, respectively). The concern was highest amongst the males (74.7%), those aged 20–35 years (68%), and working in a tertiary care setting (44.9%) (Table 4).

The COVID-19-related risk perception was high amongst only 11.2% of HCPs with comorbidities, whereas 36.3% of those previously infected with COVID-19 had a high risk perception of being infected during professional duty. Nearly half (47.52%) of those who had not received first dose COVID-19 vaccine reported high professional duty-related COVID-19 risk. Totally, 153 (39.95%) with high-risk perception worried that COVID-19 affects the ability to care for patients. The association between demographic characteristics and the COVID-19-related risk perception was further explored using univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis. The outcome was risk perception, classified either as high risk or low risk, and the results are presented as OR (95%CI). HCPs tested positive rated high risk while performing professional duty during COVID-19 pandemic [unadjusted OR 2.23, 95% CI 1.24–4.02, P = .007; adjusted OR 2.27, 95% CI 1.22–4.19, P = .009. The details are given in Table 03. The odds of high COVID-19-related risk perceptions were significantly higher in doctors compared to nurses and paramedics in both unadjusted and adjusted analysis (P < .05). Similar results were obtained for those HCPs who tested positive for COVID-19

Table 3. COVID-19 risk perceptions related to doctors among health care professionals.

		Health care groups				
Questions	Categories	Doctors N = 316 (68.40%)	Nurses N = 82 (17.75%)	Paramedic N = 64 (13.85%)	Total 462	P- value
Among health care professionals, in your opinion where do doctors get mostly infected with COVID-19?	Doctors more likely infected with COVID-19 at hospital/Work place	278 (87.97)	44 (53.66)	45 (70.31)	367 (79.44)	<0.001
	Doctors are infected with COVID-19 same as non-doctors	9 (2.85)	22 (26.83)	13 (20.31)	44 (9.52)	
	Doctors are doing long shift duties	24 (7.59)	10 (12.20)	2 (3.13)	36 (7.79)	
	Doctors more likely to infected with COVID-19 outside hospital	5 (1.58)	6 (7.32)	4 (6.25)	15 (3.25)	
Among health care professionals, do	Yes	296 (93.67)	37 (45.12)	46 (71.88)	379 (82.03)	<0.001
you think doctors are at a higher	No	14 (4.43)	37 (45.12)	14 (21.88)	65 (14.07)	
risk of being infected with COVID-19?	I don't Know	6 (1.90)	8 (9.76)	4 (6.25)	18 (3.90)	
Among health care professionals, do	Yes	252 (79.75)	32 (39.02)	37 (57.81)	321 (69.48)	<0.001
you think doctors are at higher risk	No	29 (9.18)	40 (48.78)	14 (21.88)	83 (17.97)	
of dying with COVID-19?	I dont Know	35 (11.08)	10 (12.20)	13 (20.31)	58 (12.55)	
Among health care professionals, what do you think is the reason for increase doctors deaths?	Comorbidities (diabeties, hypertension, asthma etc) in doctors	159 (50.32)	39 (47.56)	31 (48.44)	229 (49.57)	0.062
	Doctors are working in high risk wards/ICUs	78 (24.68)	11 (13.41)	17 (26.56)	106 (22.94)	
	Lack of adequate PPE & trianing for doctors	79 (25.00)	32 (39.02)	16 (25.00)	127 (27.49)	

previously. Adjusted analysis showed males were more concerned about COVID–19-related risks than females (P=.04). On the contrary, age, work experience, level of health care facility and presence of any comorbidity was not associated with the perception level of COVID-19 related risk in both unadjusted and adjusted analysis (all P > .05) (Table 5)

Discussion

Our study aims to determine the perception and experiences of Pakistani HCPs regarding the risks and deaths related to COVID-19 and particularly among doctors working during the response to the pandemic. Our study results showed that 33.55% tested COVID-19 positive and nearly half of them received their first dose of the COVID-19 vaccine. The proportion of HCPs worried about contracting COVID-19 was 46.97%. A total of 69.48% mentioned that doctors are at a higher risk of dying from COVID-19 infection. In a dichotomous analysis done for risk perception, more than three quarters of doctors reported a highrisk perception of contracting COVID-19. The main reasons identified to be associated with higher mortality included comorbidities, working in high-risk wards and lack of adequate personal protective equipment. The HCPs who tested positive previously reported a two-fold higher risk of contracting COVID-19 while performing professional duty

It was observed in a study that HCPs had prominent apprehensions regarding deaths from COVID-19. During the outbreaks of the SARS coronavirus as well as in H1N1 influenza outbreak, it was reported that the HCPs feel anxious, vulnerable, and distressed. 9,10 Likewise, in China, the HCPs who delivered care to the COVID-19 patients were reportedly symptomatic of psychological stress. 11 Among the factors contributing to the psychological distress of HCPs, the most notable included fear that they would pass the infection to their families and close contacts. On the other hand, a recent survey in England identified moderate levels of emotional exhaustion, low levels of depersonalization, and high levels of personal achievement. These results, coupled with the positive financial impact by the majority of doctors and the increased workload, create an environment of mixed positive and negative consequences. This probably indicates the resilience of junior and middle-grade doctors, who, despite the increased workload and adverse effects of the pandemic, reported high levels of personal achievement. Based on our analysis, we feel that there is a need to advise the HCPs about measures to deal with mental distress associated with COVID-19. 10,12 In our study, it was found that most of the respondents 61.69% feel that hospitals/workplaces were the source of infection. A very less proportion of 3.25% thinks that infections might have been acquired by the HCPs from

Table 4. Health care professionals' perception about COVID-19-related risks and deaths during professional duty, stratified by demographic variables.

VARIABLES	Categories	Low Risk N = 79 (17.10%)	High Risk N = 383 (82.90%)	Total N = 462 (100%)	P- Value
Cadre	Doctor Nurse Paramedics	40 (50.63) 17 (21.52) 22 (27.85)	276 (72.06) 65 (16.97) 42 (10.97)	316 (68.40) 82 (17.75) 64 (13.85)	<0.001
Location	Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Punjab Baluchistan Azad Jammu & Kashmir Sindh Federal	66 (83.54) 8 (10.13) 2 (2.53) 0 (.00) 1 (1.27) 1 (1.27)	283 (73.89) 71 (18.54) 9 (2.35) 9 (2.35) 6 (1.57) 4 (1.04)	349 (75.54) 79 (17.10) 11 (2.38) 9 (1.95) 7 (1.52) 5 (1.08)	0.323
Gender	Gilgit Baltistan Male Female	l (1.27) 66 (83.54) l3 (16.46)	l (.26) 286 (74.67) 97 (25.33)	2 (.43) 352 (76.19) 110 (23.81)	0.092
Age	20–35 36–51 52–67	55 (69.62) 20 (25.32) 4 (5.06)	261 (68.15) 107 (27.94) 15 (3.92)	316 (68.40) 127 (27.49) 19 (4.11)	0.821
Work experience in years	0-5 Years 6-10 Years Above 10 Years	40 (50.63) 26 (32.91) 13 (16.46)	176 (45.95) 114 (29.77) 93 (24.28)	216 (46.75) 140 (30.30) 106 (22.94)	0.322
Health care level	COVID-19 Facility/Hospitals/ Quarantine center Emergency operation Center (National, Provincials and	2 (2.53)	17 (4.44) 18 (4.70)	19 (4.11)	0.026
	Districts) Primary health care facility Secondary health care facility Tertiary health care facility Other	18 (22.78) 15 (18.99) 25 (31.65) 17 (21.52)	55 (14.36) 79 (20.63) 172 (44.91) 42 (10.97)	73 (15.80) 94 (20.35) 197 (42.64) 59 (12.77)	
Existing chronic disease such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes, chronic respiratory tract disease, allergies etc.	No	67 (84.81) 12 (15.19)	340 (88.77) 43 (11.23)	407 (88.10) 55 (11.90)	0.322
Tested positive for COVID-19	No Yes	63 (79.75) 16 (20.25)	244 (63.71) 139 (36.29)	307 (66.45) 155 (33.55)	0.006

outside of workplaces. A study conducted elaborates that only 3% of the respondents were found to be thinking that the HCPs could have acquired the infection from outside of their workplace. The researcher of the study suggested that COVID-19 should be considered as a 'work-related disease and also an occupational hazard for HCPs. 13 Data obtained from China suggests that the availability and usage of proper PPE with rigorous enforcement of SOPs can prevent HCPs from becoming infected with coronavirus and protect against the subsequent risk of death.¹⁴ In our survey, the majority of the participants 72.29%, showed concerns about the nonavailability of PPE. A survey conducted by the Royal College of Nursing in Scotland reported similar findings showing concerns about PPE availability by 69% of the respondents. 15 Another recent survey by British Medical Association also suggested that nearly half of the doctors have obtained their own. 16 Although the HCPs may perceive the PPE to be inadequate when it is available in sufficient quantity. However, there is criticism on the guidelines provided by Public Health England about PPE regarding them as inadequate in terms of availabilities for HCPs.¹⁷ While it may be desirable to get the "best possible" PPE, supply issues are not infrequent during pandemics.¹⁸ In our study, about two-thirds, 69.48%, of respondents thought that among HCPs, doctors who were performing their duties during a response to a pandemic are at higher risk of mortality from COVID-19. This may be due to the weak health care system in Pakistan and the inability of the health care workers to cope with the intense burden of the pandemic.¹⁹ The infection control practices and availability of PPE are also poor in most of the hospitals.²⁰

In this study, those HCPs who were tested positive perceived performing professional duty during the COVID-19 pandemic as a high risk for contracting the COVID-19 and being affected by its associated complications including mortality. The possible reasons for this perception, other than the common exposure to COVID-19 patients, may be higher fatigue levels and a less secure working environment. ²¹ Other studies from the developed and developing countries support that Health Care workers who were positive suffered greatly from stress, anxiety, and considered themselves at high risk of

Table 5. Association of health care professional's perception about COVID-19-related risks and deaths with different demographic variables (N = 462).

Characteristics	Univariate analysis OR (95% CI)	Multivariate analysis <i>P</i> -value	OR (95% CI)	P-value
Are you				
Doctor	Reference		Reference	
Nurse	.55 (.298-1.0)	.06	.33 (.16–.68)	0.003
Paramedics	.27 (.15–.51)	.001	267 (.1354)	0.001
Gender				
Male	Reference		Reference	
Female	1.73 (.91–3.2)	.09	2.01 (1.01-4.19)	0.04
Age				
20–35	Reference		Reference	
36–51	1.10 (.63-1.93)	.723	.48 (.24-1.25)	0.15
52-67	.79 (.253-2.47)	.686	.40 (.09-1.76)	0.22
Work experience				
0-5 Years	Reference		Reference	
6-10 Years	.97 (.56-1.6)	.94	.99 (.52-1.87)	0.97
Above 10 Years	1.62 (.82-3.19)	.15	2.4 (.89-6.63)	0.08
Health care level				
COVID-19 Facility/Hospitals/Quarantine center	Reference		Reference	
EOC (National, Provincial & Districts)	1.059 (.134-8.38)	.95	.54 (.06-4.7)	0.58
Primary health care facility	.35 (.076-1.70)	.19	.30 (.60-1.50)	0.15
Secondary health care facility	.62 (.129–2.96)	.54	.41 (.08–2.13)	0.29
Tertiary health care facility	.80 (.17–3.70)	.78	.48 (.09-2.30)	0.36
Other	.27 (.058-1.30)	.10	.15 (.03–.79)	0.02
Co-morbidities				
No	Reference		Reference	
Yes	.71 (.35–1.4)	.332	.72 (.32-1.6)	0.43
Tested positive				
No	Reference		Reference	
Yes	2.23 (1.24-4.02)	.007	2.27 (1.22-4.19)	0.009

COVID-19 and associated risks. 19,22,23 In our study, likewise, about half of the doctors attributed mortality to comorbid conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, and asthma, while one-third attributed it to insufficient availability of PPE. Similar findings have been reported in studies where the COVID-19-related mortality is reported to be associated with comorbid health conditions. 24,25 Although our participants working in the wards/ICUs rated these places as high-risk workplaces, the literature showed that working in the intensive care unit was not associated with increased risk of infection possibly due to protection afforded by high levels of PPEs and a decrease in infectivity that occurs in the later stages of illness although among critically ill patients. 26,27 To the best of our knowledge, this study about the perceptions of HCPs and particularly doctors in Pakistan about risks and deaths associated with COVID-19 is the first survey conducted in Pakistan. Although this survey has a fair sample size, it represents only a small proportion of Pakistani HCPs and a selection bias cannot be excluded. The majority of the respondents 74.37% were residents of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province and 68.40% were doctors among HCPs. This may in itself represent the worried group keen to

voice their concerns. Furthermore, data were collected from those who had access to social media. Although our data were derived from HCPs in Pakistan, we believe it is also relevant to other countries with a diverse population of HCPs.

Conclusion

The study highlighted the worries of HCPs in Pakistan and the potential impact of such concerns. Such information can help in understanding the worries of HCPs and therefore designing and delivering interventions to address those worries. We believe the results of our work will be helpful in the development of policies and strategies related to the risk perception of COVID–19-related deaths in Pakistan as well as in other countries.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Ethical Considerations

Before collecting data, the purpose of the study was explained and informed written consent was obtained from the study participants. Confidentiality of the subject's information is assured. The ethical approval was taken from the Ethical review committee of the Khyber College of Dentistry Peshawar Pakistan.

ORCID iD

Farhad A. Khattak https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5933-270X

References

- Nguyen LH, Drew DA, Graham MS, et al. Risk of COVID-19 among front-line health-care workers and the general community: A prospective cohort study. *Lancet Public Heal*. 2020; 5(9):e475-83.
- Zhan M, Qin Y, Xue X, Zhu S. Death from Covid-19 of 23 health care workers in China. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(23): 2267-2268.
- Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138
 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus—Infected
 Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *J Am Med Assoc*. 2020;323(11):
 1061-1069.
- Brooks SK, Dunn R, Amlôt R, Rubin GJ, Greenberg N. A systematic, thematic review of social and occupational factors associated with psychological outcomes in healthcare employees during an infectious disease outbreak. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2018;60(3):248-257.
- 5. Khunti K, Singh AK, Pareek M, Hanif W. Is ethnicity linked to incidence or outcomes of covid-19? *BMJ*. 2020;369:m1548.
- Kursumovic E, Lennane S, Cook TM. Deaths in healthcare workers due to COVID-19: The need for robust data and analysis. *Anaesthesia*. 2020;75(8):989-992.
- Covidgovpk. COVID-19 health advisory platform by ministry
 of national health services regulations and coordination dial
 1166 health helpline CHAT BOT. Guidelines Prevention Facilities Public Service Message Home/Pakistan Cases Details
 Punjab COVID-19 Health Advisory [Internet. Lahore, Punjab:
 Covidgovpk; 2020:1-4.
- 8. Ali P, Adam Z, West J, Pareek M, Raza M, Iqbal J. Perceptions of COVID-19-related risk and mortality among ethnically diverse healthcare professionals in the UK. *Ethn Health*. 2021; 26(1):1-10.
- Chong M-Y, Wang W-C, Hsieh W-C, et al. Psychological impact of severe acute respiratory syndrome on health workers in a tertiary hospital. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2004;185(2): 127-133.
- Goulia P, Mantas C, Dimitroula D, Mantis D, Hyphantis T. General hospital staff worries, perceived sufficiency of information and associated psychological distress during the A/H1N1 influenza pandemic. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2010;10:322.
- 11. Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y, et al. Factors associated with mental health outcomes among health care workers exposed to coronavirus disease 2019. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2020;3(3): e203976.

 Shaukat N, Ali DM, Razzak J. Physical and mental health impacts of COVID-19 on healthcare workers: A scoping review. *Int J Emerg Med.* 2020;13(1):40.

- Godderis L, Boone A, Bakusic J. COVID-19: A new workrelated disease threatening healthcare workers. *Occup Med* (Chic Ill). 2020;70(5):315-316.
- Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, et al. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med. 2020; 382(8):727.
- Wood E, King R, Senek M, et al. UK advanced practice nurses' experiences of the COVID-19 pandemic: A mixedmethods cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*. 2021;11(3): e044139.
- Iacobucci G. Covid-19: Doctors still at "considerable risk" from lack of PPE, BMA warns. BMJ. 2020;368:m1316.
- Thomas JP, Srinivasan A, Wickramarachchi CS, Dhesi PK, Hung YM, Kamath AV. Evaluating the national PPE guidance for NHS healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Clin Med.* 2020;20(3):242-247.
- Ranney ML, Griffeth V, Jha AK. Critical supply shortages the need for ventilators and personal protective equipment during the Covid-19 pandemic. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(18):e41.
- Amin F, Sharif S, Saeed R, Durrani N, Jilani D. COVID-19 pandemic- knowledge, perception, anxiety and depression among frontline doctors of Pakistan. *BMC Psychiatr*. 2020; 20(1):459.
- Hakim M, Khattak FA, Muhammad S, et al. Access and use experience of personal protective equipment among frontline healthcare workers in Pakistan during the COVID-19 emergency: A cross-sectional study. *Heal Secur*. 2020;19(2):140-149.
- Mahmood QK, Jafree SR, Jalil A, Nadir SMH, Fischer F. Anxiety amongst physicians during COVID-19: Cross-sectional study in Pakistan. BMC Publ Health. 2021;21(1):118.
- 22. Mrklas K, Shalaby R, Hrabok M, et al. Prevalence of perceived stress, anxiety, depression, and obsessive-compulsive symptoms in health care workers and other workers in Alberta during the COVID-19 pandemic: Cross-sectional survey. *JMIR Ment Heal*. 2020;7(9):e22408.
- 23. Croxson CHD, Ashdown HF, Hobbs FDR. GPs' perceptions of workload in England: A qualitative interview study. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2017;67(655):e138-e147.
- Abayomi A, Osibogun A, Kanma-Okafor O, et al. Morbidity and mortality outcomes of COVID-19 patients with and without hypertension in Lagos, Nigeria: A retrospective cohort study. Glob Heal Res Policy. 2021;6(1):26.
- Ejaz H, Alsrhani A, Zafar A, et al. COVID-19 and comorbidities: Deleterious impact on infected patients. *J Infect Public Health*. 2020;13(12):1833-1839.
- Karlsson U, Fraenkel C-J. Covid-19: Risks to healthcare workers and their families. BMJ. 2020;371:m3944.
- van Kampen JJA, van de Vijver DAMC, Fraaij PLA, et al. Duration and key determinants of infectious virus shedding in hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19). *Nat Commun.* 2021;12: 267. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-020-20568-4.