# Research Article

# Gegen Qinlian Decoction Attenuates High-Fat Diet-Induced Steatohepatitis in Rats via Gut Microbiota

Yi Guo (),<sup>1</sup> Pang-hua Ding,<sup>2,3</sup> Li-juan Liu,<sup>4</sup> Lei Shi (),<sup>2,3</sup> Tang-you Mao (),<sup>2</sup> Jun-xiang Li,<sup>2</sup> and Yun-liang Wang ()<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Gastroenterology Department, Dongzhimen Hospital, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, 100700, China <sup>2</sup> Gastroenterology Department, Dongfang Hospital, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, 100078, China <sup>3</sup> Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, 100029, China

<sup>4</sup>Gastroenterology Department of Traditional Chinese Medicine, China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Beijing, 100029, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yun-liang Wang; yunliang\_wang@sina.com

Received 6 June 2018; Revised 25 September 2018; Accepted 9 December 2018; Published 23 December 2018

Guest Editor: Flávio Reis

Copyright © 2018 Yi Guo et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Gut microbiota play an important role in modulating energy contribution, metabolism, and inflammation, and disruption of the microbiome population is closely associated with chronic metabolic diseases, such as nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). Gegen Qinlian decoction (GGQLD), a well-known traditional Chinese herbal medicine (CHM), was previously found to regulate lipid metabolism and attenuate inflammation during NAFLD pathogenesis. However, the underlying mechanism of this process, as well as how the gut microbiome is involved, remains largely unknown. In this study, we investigated the effect of varying doses of GGQLD on the total amount and distribution of gut bacteria in rats fed a high-fat diet (HFD) for 8 weeks. Our analysis indicates that Oscillibacter and Ruminococcaceae\_g\_unclassified are the dominant families in the HFD group. Further, HFD-dependent differences at the phylum, class, and genus levels appear to lead to dysbiosis, characterized by an increase in the Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio and a dramatic increase in the Oscillibacter genus compared to the control group. Treatment with GGQLD, especially the GGQLL dose, improved these HFD-induced changes in intestinal flora, leading to increased levels of Firmicutes, Clostridia, Lactobacillus, bacilli, and Erysipelotrichales that were similar to the controls. Taken together, our data highlight the efficacy of GGQLD in treating NAFLD and support its clinical use as a treatment for NAFLD/NASH patients.

## 1. Introduction

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a type of liver disease that includes simple hepatic steatosis, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), and irreversible cirrhosis [1]. NAFLD affects both children and adults worldwide and its prevalence is rapidly increasing in parallel with the dramatic rise in obesity, diabetes [2, 3], hypertension [4], and dyslipidemia [5]. Indeed, the prevalence of NAFLD was estimated to be 20-30% in Western countries and 5-18% in Asia [6] and was reported to be as high as 80% in patients with obesity while being only 16% in individuals with a normal BMI and no metabolic risk factors [7].

Although NAFLD is a growing challenge worldwide, its pathogenesis is not fully understood and the therapeutic options for patients are limited [8]. Disease pathogenesis was

initially explained by the "two-hit" hypothesis, but this theory failed to explain various NAFLD-related molecular changes, resulting in the adoption of the "multiple-hit" hypothesis, which takes into account the complex and multifactorial aspects of the disease [9]. Although a combination of "hits" is likely necessary, each of these risk factors is still ultimately related to the hepatic accumulation of lipids caused by a high-fat diet (HFD) in combination with a sedentary lifestyle [10]. This includes insulin resistance, hormonal changes, and altered genetic factors.

Interestingly, the human gut microbiome, which includes 10–100 trillion microorganisms, has also been shown to play an important role in NAFLD pathogenesis [11]. In fact, a recent study showed that patients with small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO) have an increased risk of hepatic steatosis [12]. Obesity, which is an independent NAFLD risk

factor, has also been associated with gut dysbiosis [13, 14]. Furthermore, the link between the gut microbiota and NASH development was also investigated in mice fed a methionine choline-deficient diet, and the mechanism appears to involve disruption of the NLRP3 or NLRP6 inflammasome which induces colonic inflammation and NASH [15]. SIBO observed in NASH patients is also associated with Toll-like receptor (TLR) 4 expression and the release of interleukin-8 [16].

Although bacteria such as Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes make up the bulk of the gut microflora, nonbacterial organisms such as resident archaeal, fungal, and viral populations might also play a significant function [17]. Moreover, obesity appears to be linked to a decline in microbial diversity in the gut that is accompanied by an increase in the ratio of Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes [18]. Taken together, these and other studies suggest that gut microflora dysbiosis may increase gut permeability and hepatic exposure to injurious substances. These changes could greatly affect NAFLD development and progression as well as the responsiveness of patients to therapeutic strategies.

The proposed NAFLD management strategies often include lifestyle modifications and pharmaceutical interventions [7, 19, 20]. However, compliance with long-term lifestyle modification is poor and most medicines have adverse effects, which limit their usage [21]. Although there are huge expectations regarding the use and effectiveness of probiotics in modulating gut microbiota, the lack of solid evidence precludes their implementation in the management of NAFLD/NASH [22, 23]. Thus, it is necessary to develop novel strategies with fewer side effects and high therapeutic efficiency.

Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) has been used in China and other Asian countries for thousands of years and its use is now spreading worldwide. A unique and basic feature of CHM is the use of multicomponent herbal formulas to ameliorate various abnormalities and diseases. For example, formulas like Linggui Zhugan [24], Yinchenhao [25], and Gegen Qinlian decoction (GGQLD) [26] have been used to treat NAFLD. GGQLD, a well-known traditional CHM from the Treatise on Febrile Diseases, consists of Kudzu root, Rhizoma coptidis, Scutellaria baicalensis Georgi, and Glycyrrhizae Radix and is widely used to clinically treat NASH. This formula has been used for thousands of years in clinic. Besides, we have not discovered specific side effects and contraindications of GGQLD in the process of document retrieval and our experiments. Therefore, GGQLD, which is under conventional dose, has been regarded as a kind of safe and potential treatment in treating NASH until now. In our previous studies, we found that GGQLD has an anti-inflammatory effect [27, 28], which could regulate lipid metabolism disorders and improve liver histology of the rats during NAFLD pathogenesis [26, 29]. In addition, the active components of GGQLD, including baicalin, glabridin, and berberine, have been shown to alleviate inflammation and oxidative stress in vivo and in vitro [30, 31]. Although gut microflora is considered a critical "organ" that participates in nutrient metabolism and immunity in the host [32-34], the effects of GGQLD on gut microflora have not been evaluated.

In the present study, we established a HFD-induced rat model of NASH and administered GGQLD in different dosages to examine its effects and underlying mechanisms, focusing on changes in gut microflora. This involved monitoring the total amount and distribution of bacteria in the gut as well as the relative abundance of different taxa and presence of specific harmful microorganisms in both untreated and GGQLD-treated NASH rats. To our knowledge, this is the first time the effects of GGQLD have been assessed with regard to gut microflora in NAFLD/NASH.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of GGQLD and Glutamine. GGQLD granules were provided by the Pharmacy Department of Dongfang Hospital, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine (Beijing, China). The granules consisted of the following ingredients: *Kudzu root* (24 g), *Rhizoma coptidis* (9 g), *Scutellaria baicalensis Georgi* (9 g), and *Glycyrrhizae Radix* (6 g). Glutamine (GLU) was purchased from AMRESCO Co., Ltd. (Missouri, TX, USA).

2.2. Animals, Treatment, and Sample Collection. Male Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats (7 weeks old) were supplied by SPF Biotechnology Co. Ltd. (Beijing, China). All experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Beijing University of Chinese Medicine (No. 2015BZHYLL0201) and followed the Regulations for Laboratory Animal Management. SD rats were maintained on a 12 h light/dark cycle at  $22 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C with ad libitum access to a standard chow diet (n = 10) or a HFD (34% fat, 19% protein, and 47% carbohydrate by energy composition) for 8 weeks to induce NAFLD. Animals were randomly divided into the following experimental groups (each n = 10): control group, fed a standard chow diet and oral saline (10 mL/kg/day); HFD model group, fed a HFD and oral saline (10 mL/kg/day); GLU group, fed a HFD and oral GLU (1.5 g/kg/day); GGQLL group, fed a HFD and low dose GGQLD (1.26 g/kg/day); GGQLS group, fed a HFD and solid dose GGQLD (2.52 g/kg/day); and GGQLH group, fed a HFD and high dose GGQLD (5.04 g/kg/day). The GGQLD granules and GLU were dissolved in 100 mL of distilled water and kept at 2-8°C until use. Feedings occurred daily during the 8-week experimental period. Fresh stool samples were collected at the end of the eight-week treatment and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until further analysis.

2.3. Sequencing Analysis of Microbial Diversity. We followed the methods of Shin et al. (2017) [35]. DNA was extracted from the fecal samples by standard, published protocols. Three relatively conserved variable regions (V1, V2, and V3) of 16S rRNA were performed using a C1000 Touch thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

The obtained sequence data were sorted by their unique barcodes in a demultiplexing step, and low-quality reads (average quality score <25 or read length <300 bp) were not considered for analysis. Operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were defined at a cutoff of 97%. Sequences were assigned



FIGURE 1: Principal component analysis (PCoA) score plot calculated from the operational taxonomic unit (OUT) levels with QIIME software and unweighted UniFrac analysis.

to OTUs (Greengenes Database: http://greengenes.lbl.gov) followed by the selection of the representative sequence using the Quantitative Insights into Microbial Ecology (QIIME) software package. Phylogenetic Investigation of Communities by Reconstruction of Unobserved States (PICRUSt) was performed to identify functional genes in the sampled microbial community on the basis of the data in the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway database.

To identify taxa with differential relative abundance in each experimental group, the linear discriminant analysis (LDA) effect size (LEfSe) method was used. For this analysis, a web-based program was employed with the following conditions: the alpha value of the factorial Kruskal-Wallis test among classes was set to <0.05 and the threshold of the logarithmic LDA score for discriminative features was set to >2.0.

#### 3. Results

To profile the effect of GGQLD on gut microbial structure and composition in NAFLD rats, stool samples were sequenced for the 16S rRNA gene. According to our principal component analysis (PCoA), which divided the microbiome according to species composition, the gut composition of the HFD group was easily distinguished from the control, GGQLH, and GGQLL groups (Figure 1). Furthermore, the distance between the HFD and GGQLL groups was greater than that between the HFD group and both the GGQLH and GGQLS groups.

The microbial composition for each group was further analyzed at the phylum and genus levels. A heatmap analysis of 150 OTUs showed that the gut microbiome composition of the GGQLL group was closely related to that of the control group, both of which were different from the HFD group



FIGURE 2: Heatmap and clustering of individual gut microbiota for 150 operational taxonomic units (OTUs).

(Figure 2). Bacteroidetes was the dominant class in the control, GLU, and GGQLS groups, contributing to 69.6%, 57.7%, and 56.2% of the total fecal microbial population, respectively. In contrast, Firmicutes was the dominant class in the HFD, GGQLH, and GGQLL groups, contributing to 50.9%, 57.1%, and 74.7% of the total fecal microbial population, respectively. Like phylum, microbial family also varied greatly among the experimental groups. The dominant family was Prevotella\_9 in Bacteroidetes contributing to 56.1%, 35.7%, 40.8%, 21.4%, and 45.6% of the total fecal microbial population in the control, HFD, GLU, GGQLH, and GGQLS groups (Figure 3). The only exception was the GGQLL group, whose dominant family was Lactobacillus contributing to 15.8%.

Next, the LEfSe method was used to determine the differentially abundant microbial taxa between the experimental groups. The cladogram from the LEfSe results revealed that, compared with the other groups, two taxa were increased in the HFD group (Figure 4). In the control, GLU, GGQLS, GGQLL, and GGQLH groups, a total of 19, 8, 7, 42, and 17 taxa were increased, respectively. Collectively, these results indicate that the gut microbial composition was differentially modulated in GGQLL rats in response to being fed HFD.

#### 4. Discussion

It is important to note that there are numbers of studies that have investigated microbiota composition in patients with simple steatosis or NASH, However, the results are controversial. Indeed, changes in the *Bacteroidetes, Lachnospiraceae*, and *Ruminococcaceae* families show completely opposite tendencies in different studies [21, 36, 37]. Therefore,



FIGURE 3: Relative abundance of various microbial families in each gut microbiota sample.

further evaluation of these changes in the gut microbiome during NAFLD is essential. Nowadays, the 16S rRNA method has been widely used for gut microbiome. Shin et al. set for us a good example of CHM research for treating NAFLD via gut microflora [35].

The mechanisms by which gut bacteria affect the symptoms of NAFLD are still largely unknown. However, some complicated processes have been indicated to be involved. Microbial populations of NASH patients have been proven to have a strong ability to produce ethanol [38] and some components of the gut microbiota can transform choline to trimethylamine, both of which can result in liver injury leading to hepatic steatosis and steatohepatitis [39, 40]. Furthermore, dysbiosis of gut microflora has been suggested to be related to changes in the level of serum metabolites, such as branched-chain amino acids (BCAAs) and aromatic amino acids (AAAs). Furthermore, BCAAs are increased in individuals with IR, which is regarded as the main risk factor of NASH [41].

In the present study, we utilized a HFD to induce NAFLD in rats. HFD feeding is extensively used in rodents to model obesity, steatosis, and insulin resistance. In fact, these models are considered more relevant to human metabolic diseases than other models of gene inactivation. By exploiting the close connection between intestinal permeability and the gastrointestinal microbiome, which represent the biological barrier in the gut, we investigated the relative abundance of different taxa in this HFD model. In our analysis, we observed HFD-dependent differences at the phylum, class, and genus levels that resulted in dysbiosis. These changes were characterized by an increase in the Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio and a dramatic increase in the Oscillibacter genus compared to the control group.

Interestingly, studying the gut microbiome not only highlights disease-related changes, but the composition of the flora can also reflect the effectiveness of treatment. Although The proposed NAFLD is typically treated with lifestyle modifications and/or pharmaceutical intervention [7, 19, 20], these are associated with issues that limit their effectiveness. Furthermore, probiotic treatments to modulate the gut microbiome specifically still require further investigation before they can be recommended as a treatment for NAFLD [22, 23]. In the present study, we evaluated the effectiveness of GGQLD, a CHM that is commonly prescribed to treat NAFLD/NASH. We focused on the ability of this herbal formula to modulate the gut microbiome. In our analysis, GGQLD improved the HFD-induced changes in the intestinal flora, especially in the GGQLL group, which had increased levels of Firmicutes, Clostridia, Lactobacillus, bacilli, and Erysipelotrichales. These data are supported by other studies reporting similar results [42].

In a previous study, we found that GGQLD treatment reduced serum sIgA levels in this HFD-induced NASH rat model in addition to increasing zonula occludens-1 (ZO-1) expression and reducing prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) expression in the gut [29]. ZO-1 is a tight junction protein that is closely related to gut function, while PGE2 is a bioactive lipid that mediates inflammation. These data indicate that GGQLD may enhance the barrier function of the gut, while also suppressing inflammation. Furthermore, we also found that



FIGURE 4: Cladogram showing the differentially abundant gut microbial taxa between experimental groups.

Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

GGQLD regulated lipid aggregation and improved hepatic peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma (PPAR- $\gamma$ ) and insulin-resistance (IR) expression in vivo and in vitro [27], further highlighting the anti-inflammatory function of this CHM. Indeed, these changes appear to be modulated via the Sirt1 pathway. Sirt1, a regulator of PPAR-y coactivator-1 alpha (PGC-1 $\alpha$ ), induces the transcription of metabolically relevant genes involved in the oxidation of mitochondrial fatty acids [43]. This cascade has a negative regulatory effect on inflammatory processes. Although some previous studies suggest that SIRT1 might prevent intestinal inflammation by regulating the gut microbiota [44], a theory that is supported by the present study, the interaction between the Sirt1 pathway and gut flora requires further investigation. Besides, some experiments suggest a potentially causal role of the gut microbiome and gut-microbiome-derived metabolites in the development of NAFLD such as phenylacetic acid, a novel microbial metabolite involved in AAA metabolism, which was identified as a key gut-microbiome-derived metabolite associated with hepatic steatosis. Therefore, we will explore the interaction between the gut microbiome, the liver, and metabolism under the effect of GGQLD through metabonomics.

In conclusion, we have shown that GGQLD treatment is effective in treating NAFLD/NASH via modulation of the gut microbiome. Indeed, the disease-related changes in abundance and distribution of different taxa in the gut microbiome that were observed in the HFD-induced NAFLD/ NASH model were largely remedied following treatment with GGQLD, particularly GGQLL, which returned the microbiome to a similar composition as that of the untreated controls. To our knowledge, this is the first time the effects of GGQLD have been assessed with regard to gut microflora in NAFLD/NASH. Taken together, our data highlight the efficacy of GGQLD in treating NAFLD and support its clinical use as a treatment for NAFLD/NASH patients.

#### **Data Availability**

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

# **Authors' Contributions**

Yi Guo and Pang-hua Ding contributed equally to this work.

## Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the Youth Fund of the Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 81503407).

#### References

- D. A. Sass, P. Chang, and K. B. Chopra, "Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a clinical review," *Digestive Diseases and Sciences*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 171–180, 2005.
- [2] M. Charlton, "Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a review of current understanding and future impact," *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology*, vol. 2, no. 12, pp. 1048–1058, 2004.
- [3] R. Vuppalanchi and N. Chalasani, "Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: selected practical issues in their evaluation and management," *Hepatology*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 306–317, 2009.
- [4] J.-H. Ryoo, Y. J. Suh, H. C. Shin, Y. K. Cho, J.-M. Choi, and S. K. Park, "Clinical association between non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and the development of hypertension," *Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology*, vol. 29, no. 11, pp. 1926–1931, 2014.
- [5] N. Katsiki, D. P. Mikhailidis, and C. S. Mantzoros, "Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and dyslipidemia: An update," *Metabolism - Clinical and Experimental*, vol. 65, no. 8, pp. 1109– 1123, 2016.
- [6] M. Masarone, A. Federico, L. Abenavoli, C. Loguercio, and M. Persico, "Non alcoholic fatty liver: epidemiology and natural history," *Reviews on Recent Clinical Trials*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 126– 133, 2014.
- [7] C. Eckard, R. Cole, J. Lockwood et al., "Prospective histopathologic evaluation of lifestyle modification in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a randomized trial," *Therapeutic Advances in Gastroenterology*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 249–259, 2013.
- [8] J. K. Dowman, J. W. Tomlinson, and P. N. Newsome, "Pathogenesis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease," *QJM: An International Journal of Medicine*, vol. 103, no. 2, pp. 71–83, 2010.
- [9] E. Buzzetti, M. Pinzani, and E. A. Tsochatzis, "The multiplehit pathogenesis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)," *Metabolism*, vol. 65, no. 8, pp. 1038–1048, 2016.
- [10] W. Peverill, L. W. Powell, and R. Skoien, "Evolving concepts in the pathogenesis of NASH: beyond steatosis and inflammation," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 8591–8638, 2014.
- [11] L. K. Ursell, J. C. Clemente, J. R. Rideout, D. Gevers, J. G. Caporaso, and R. Knight, "The interpersonal and intrapersonal diversity of human-associated microbiota in key body sites," *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, vol. 129, no. 5, pp. 1204–1208, 2012.
- [12] A. Fialho, A. Fialho, P. Thota, A. J. McCullough, and B. Shen, "Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth is associated with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease," *Journal of Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 159–165, 2016.
- [13] M. Aguirre and K. Venema, "Challenges in simulating the human gut for understanding the role of the microbiota in obesity," *Beneficial Microbes*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 31–53, 2017.
- [14] I. Węgielska and J. Suliburska, "The role of intestinal microbiota in the pathogenesis of metabolic diseases," *ACTA Scientiarum Polonorum Technologia Alimentaria*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 201–211, 2016.
- [15] J. Henao-Mejia, E. Elinav, C. Jin et al., "Inflammasomemediated dysbiosis regulates progression of NAFLD and obesity," *Nature*, vol. 482, no. 7384, pp. 179–185, 2012.
- [16] A. A. Shanab, P. Scully, O. Crosbie et al., "Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: association with toll-like receptor 4 expression and plasma levels of

interleukin 8," *Digestive Diseases and Sciences*, vol. 56, no. 5, pp. 1524–1534, 2011.

- [17] V. Tremaroli and F. Bäckhed, "Functional interactions between the gut microbiota and host metabolism," *Nature*, vol. 489, no. 7415, pp. 242–249, 2012.
- [18] S. Softic, D. E. Cohen, and C. R. Kahn, "Role of dietary fructose and hepatic de novo lipogenesis in fatty liver disease," *Digestive Diseases and Sciences*, vol. 61, no. 5, pp. 1282–1293, 2016.
- [19] D. B. Andrews and J. E. Lavine, "Medical therapy for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in children and adolescents," *Expert Review of Gastroenterology & Hepatology*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–3, 2012.
- [20] G. F. Watts, "Nutrition and metabolism: nutritional therapy for disordered triglyceride metabolism and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease," *Current Opinion in Lipidology*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 545– 547, 2010.
- [21] M. Raman, I. Ahmed, P. M. Gillevet et al., "Fecal microbiome and volatile organic compound metabolome in obese humans with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease," *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology*, vol. 11, no. 7, pp. 868–875, 2013.
- [22] M. Rahimlou, Z. Yari, A. Hekmatdoost, S. M. Alavian, and S. A. Keshavarz, "Ginger supplementation in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study," *Hepatitis Monthly*, vol. 16, no. 1, Article ID e34897, 2016.
- [23] A. Sepideh, P. Karim, A. Hossein et al., "Effects of multistrain probiotic supplementation on glycemic and inflammatory indices in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a double-blind randomized clinical trial," *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 500–505, 2016.
- [24] M. Tang-you, G. Kang-li, and Z. Wei-han, "Experimental study of 'Wenyun Qingli' method on DAG-PKCE signal pathway in liver tissue of NASH rats," *Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 9, no. 8, pp. 908–913, 2016.
- [25] H. Dong, F.-E. Lu, and L. Zhao, "Chinese herbal medicine in the treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease," *Chinese Journal of Integrative Medicine*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 152–160, 2012.
- [26] Y. Guo, J.-X. Li, T.-Y. Mao, W.-H. Zhao, L.-J. Liu, and Y.-L. Wang, "Targeting sirt1 in a rat model of high-fat diet-induced non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: Comparison of Gegen Qinlian decoction and resveratrol," *Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 4279–4287, 2017.
- [27] Y.-l. Wang, L.-j. Liu, W.-h. Zhao, and J.-x. Li, "Intervening TNFα via PPARγ with gegenqinlian decoction in experimental nonalcoholic fatty liver disease," *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2015, Article ID 715638, 10 pages, 2015.
- [28] W. Zhao, L. Liu, Y. Wang, T. Mao, and J. Li, "Effects of a combination of puerarin, baicalin and berberine on the expression of proliferator-activated receptor-γ and insulin receptor in a rat model of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease," *Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 183–190, 2016.
- [29] Y. Guo, J. Y Li, and T. Y Mao, "Protection of Gegen Qinlian Decoction on Gut Barrier in the Rats of NASH Induced by High-Fat Diet," World Journal of Integrated Traditional and Western Medicine, vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 1225–1229, 2017.
- [30] L. Cui, L. Feng, Z. H. Zhang, and X. B. Jia, "The antiinflammation effect of baicalin on experimental colitis through inhibiting TLR4/NF-κB pathway activation," *International Immunopharmacology*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 294–303, 2014.
- [31] F. Yan, L. Wang, Y. Shi et al., "Berberine promotes recovery of colitis and inhibits inflammatory responses in colonic

macrophages and epithelial cells in DSS-treated mice," *American Journal of Physiology-Gastrointestinal and Liver Physiology*, vol. 302, no. 5, pp. G504–G514, 2012.

- [32] D. Compare, P. Coccoli, A. Rocco et al., "Gut-liver axis: the impact of gut microbiota on non alcoholic fatty liver disease," *Nutrition, Metabolism & Cardiovascular Diseases*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 471–476, 2012.
- [33] E. A. Lin, G. M. Barlow, and R. Mathur, "The microbiome in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: associations and implications," *Annals of Gastroenterology: Quarterly Publication of the Hellenic Society of Gastroenterology*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 181–183, 2014.
- [34] C. Leung, L. Rivera, J. B. Furness, and P. W. Angus, "The role of the gut microbiota in NAFLD," *Nature Reviews Gastroenterology* & *Hepatology*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 412–425, 2016.
- [35] N. R. Shin, S. Bose, J. Wang et al., "Flos lonicera combined with metformin ameliorates hepatosteatosis and glucose intolerance in association with gut microbiota modulation," *Frontiers in Microbiology*, vol. 8, 2017.
- [36] T. le Roy, M. Llopis, P. Lepage et al., "Intestinal microbiota determines development of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in mice," *Gut*, vol. 62, no. 12, pp. 1787–1794, 2013.
- [37] M. Mouzaki, E. M. Comelli, B. M. Arendt et al., "Intestinal microbiota in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease," *Hepatology*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 120–127, 2013.
- [38] L. Zhu, S. S. Baker, C. Gill et al., "Characterization of gut microbiomes in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) patients: a connection between endogenous alcohol and NASH," *Hepatology*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 601–609, 2013.
- [39] Y.-M. Chen, Y. Liu, R.-F. Zhou et al., "Associations of gutflora-dependent metabolite trimethylamine-N-oxide, betaine and choline with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in adults," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 6, Article ID 19076, 2016.
- [40] M. D. Spencer, T. J. Hamp, R. W. Reid, L. M. Fischer, S. H. Zeisel, and A. A. Fodor, "Association between composition of the human gastrointestinal microbiome and development of fatty liver with choline deficiency," *Gastroenterology*, vol. 140, no. 3, pp. 976–986, 2011.
- [41] H. K. Pedersen, V. Gudmundsdottir, H. B. Nielsen et al., "Human gut microbes impact host serum metabolome and insulin sensitivity," *Nature*, vol. 535, no. 7612, pp. 376–381, 2016.
- [42] D. Zhou, Q. Pan, F.-Z. Xin et al., "Sodium butyrate attenuates high-fat diet-induced steatohepatitis in mice by improving gut microbiota and gastrointestinal barrier," *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 60–75, 2017.
- [43] Y. Zhao, F. Ling, T. M. Griffin et al., "Up-regulation of the sirtuin 1 (Sirt1) and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ Coactivator-1α (PGC-1α) genes in white adipose tissue of id1 protein-deficient mice: Implications in the protection against diet and age-induced glucose intolerance," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 289, no. 42, pp. 29112–29122, 2014.
- [44] A. S. Wellman, M. R. Metukuri, N. Kazgan et al., "Intestinal Epithelial Sirtuin 1 Regulates Intestinal Inflammation During Aging in Mice by Altering the Intestinal Microbiota," *Gastroenterology*, vol. 153, no. 3, pp. 772–786, 2017.