# A New Machairodont from the Palmetto Fauna (Early Pliocene) of Florida, with Comments on the Origin of the Smilodontini (Mammalia, Carnivora, Felidae)

# Steven C. Wallace<sup>1</sup>\*, Richard C. Hulbert Jr.<sup>2</sup>

1 Department of Geosciences, Don Sundquist Center of Excellence in Paleontology, East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, Tennessee, United States of America, 2 Florida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, United States of America

# Abstract

South-central Florida's latest Hemphillian Palmetto Fauna includes two machairodontine felids, the lion-sized Machairodus coloradensis and a smaller, jaguar-sized species, initially referred to Megantereon hesperus based on a single, relatively incomplete mandible. This made the latter the oldest record of *Megantereon*, suggesting a New World origin of the genus. Subsequent workers variously accepted or rejected this identification and biogeographic scenario. Fortunately, new material, which preserves previously unknown characters, is now known for the smaller taxon. The most parsimonious results of a phylogenetic analysis using 37 cranio-mandibular characters from 13 taxa place it in the Smilodontini, like the original study; however, as the sister-taxon to Megantereon and Smilodon. Accordingly, we formally describe Rhizosmilodon fiteae gen. et sp. nov. Rhizosmilodon, Megantereon, and Smilodon (= Smilodontini) share synapomorphies relative to their sister-taxon Machairodontini: serrations smaller and restricted to canines; offset of P3 with P4 and p4 with m1; complete verticalization of mandibular symphysis; m1 shortened and robust with widest point anterior to notch; and extreme posterior "lean" to p3/p4. Rhizosmilodon has small anterior and posterior accessory cusps on p4, a relatively large lower canine, and small, non-procumbent lower incisors; all more primitive states than in Megantereon and Smilodon. The former also differs from Megantereon and Smilodon gracilis by having a very small mandibular flange. Rhizosmilodon is the oldest known member of the Smilodontini, suggesting that the tribe originated in North America. Two more derived, similar-sized species evolved in parallel during the Blancan, Megantereon hesperus and Smilodon aracilis. The former is rarer, known only from the north-central and northwestern US, and presumably dispersed into the Old World. The latter is known from the eastern and southern US, and dispersed into South America.

Citation: Wallace SC, Hulbert RC Jr (2013) A New Machairodont from the Palmetto Fauna (Early Pliocene) of Florida, with Comments on the Origin of the Smilodontini (Mammalia, Carnivora, Felidae). PLoS ONE 8(3): e56173. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056173

Editor: Greger Larson, Durham University, United Kingdom

Received July 6, 2012; Accepted January 10, 2013; Published March 13, 2013

**Copyright:** © 2013 Wallace, Hulbert Jr. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Funding:** This research was funded in part by the Don Sundquist Center of Excellence in Paleontology (http://www.etsu.edu/cas/paleontology/default.aspx), East Tennessee State University and the National Science Foundation (NSF Award ID  $\odot$  0958985) (http://www.nsf.gov). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* E-mail: wallaces@etsu.edu

# Introduction

Most vertebrate fossils from the Upper Bone Valley Formation of Central Florida are recovered as isolated finds, either in situ from exposures created by mining operations or out of stratigraphic context in spoil piles [1-6]. Rarely are they found in sufficient concentration to allow quarrying. However, the largest known such concentration was discovered in the spring of 1989 in the Fort Meade Mine of Gardinier Inc. Thousands of vertebrate fossils were found in a single bed about 0.8 m thick that covered an area of about 2,000 m<sup>2</sup>. This assemblage, called the Whidden Creek Local Fauna, includes 33 mammalian taxa, of which 11 are carnivorans (Table 1). However, carnivorans account for only about 5% of the ca. 900 identifiable mammalian fossils from the Whidden Creek LF, in contrast to proportionally greater representation by perissodactyls ( $\sim$ 33%), artiodactyls ( $\sim$ 37%), and cetaceans ( $\sim 16\%$ ). The composite vertebrate fossil assemblage from the Upper Bone Valley Formation is referred to as the Palmetto Fauna [3,6].

Among the carnivorans recovered from the Palmetto Fauna are the remains of a jaguar-sized machairodont cat [6]. Berta and Galiano [7] originally identified this felid as Megantereon hesperus based on a single partial ramus containing p3, p4 and the anterior portion of m1, making it the earliest record of the widespread genus Megantereon, which suggests a New World origin for this machairodont. While their identification as Megantereon was followed by some workers [8,9], it was questioned by others due to the fragmentary nature of the material [6,10,11,12], and more recent work even suggested that it exhibited close affinities to a specimen identified as "Paramachairodus" sp. from Arizona [13]. Just as important, is the Palmetto machairodont's relationship to other members of the tribe Smilodontini, including Smilodon. Primarily because though Smilodon is an iconic member of the Pliocene and Pleistocene American faunas, its highly derived state has made interpreting its origin, and relationship to other closely related taxa, problematic [7,9-12,14-19].

More specifically, though *Megantereon* is well known from the Old World, its origins are uncertain [9, 11]. Several authors have suggested at least a sister relationship between *Megantereon* and

**Table 1.** Whidden Creek Local Fauna, latest Hemphillian, Polk

 County, Florida (UF locality PO054).

Pristis sp.	Phalacrocorax sp.						
Rhynchobatus sp.	Australca sp.						
Dasyatis sp.	Diomedia sp.						
Aetobatus narinari	Megalonyx curvidens						
Myliobatus sp.	Borophagus hilli						
Rhinoptera bonasus	Lynx rexroadensis						
Carcharias taurus	Machairodus coloradensis						
Hemipristis serra	Rhizosmilodon fiteae gen. et sp. nov						
Carcharocles megalodon	cf. Martinogale sp.						
Negaprion brevirostris	Enhydritherium terraenovae						
Carcharhinus sp.	Ontocetus emmonsi						
Rhizoprionodon terrranovae	Phocidae, genus and sp. indet.						
Galeocerdo contortus	Arctonasua eurybates						
Galeocerdo cuvier	Agriotherium schneideri						
Acipenser sp.	Plionarctos sp.						
Lepisosteus sp.	Catagonus brachydontus						
Centropomus sp.	Pleiolama vera						
Caranx sp.	Megatylopus gigas						
Archosargus probatocephalus	Hemiachenia edensis						
Lagodon rhomboides	Floridameryx floridanus						
Pogonias cromis	Eocoileus gentryorum						
Sparisoma sp.	Hexameryx simpsoni						
Sphyraena barracuda	Goniodelphis hudsoni						
Balistes sp.	Balaenoptera floridana						
Diodon sp.	Balaenoptera sp.						
Macroclemys sp.	Nannippus aztecus						
Apalone ferox	Cormohipparion emsliei						
Trachemys inflata	Neohipparion eurystyle						
Terrapene sp.	Dinohippus mexicanus						
Gopherus sp.	Tapirus polkensis						
Hesperotestudo sp.	Tapirus sp.						
Hesperotestudo hayi	Teleoceras hicksi						
Caretta sp.	Gomphotherium simplicidens						
Chelonia sp.	Rhynchotherium edense						
Alligator sp.	Mammut matthewi						

Marine and terrestrial vertebrates were recovered intermingled in the same stratigraphic horizon. Mammalian taxonomy after [6].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056173.t001

Smilodon [7,17,18]; with some further suggesting that the former is ancestral to the latter [11,15,17]. In addition, there are suggestions that *Paramachaerodus* is a basal member of the Smilodontini [11,13,19], and that it gave rise to *Megantereon* [7,10,13], which in turn gave rise to *Smilodon* [11,13,15,17]. Still, others have suggested an African origin for *Megantereon* [10] with a subsequent migration into the New World, thereby complicating the resolution of the relationships. However, if the Palmetto machairodont is indeed *Megantereon*, then a New World origin for at least *Megantereon* [9] would be supported. It is also possible that both *Megantereon* and *Smilodon* originated in North America [13]. Following several others [10,12] who disagreed with the identification of the Palmetto machairodont and the suggestion that *Megantereon* dispersed from the Old World into the New, we sought



**Figure 1. Examples of the Palmeto Fauna machairodont.** Original described specimen UF 22890 [7] (A–C), proposed holotype UF 124634 (D–F), and paratype UF 135626 (G–I) in lateral, occlusal, and lingual views respectively. Images in D–F reversed to match the other two specimens.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056173.g001

to resolve some of these questions by reevaluating the former. Consequently, the recovery of additional specimens of the Palmetto machairodont (Figure 1), which provide characters not previously observed, affords the opportunity to revisit the systematic position of this taxon and to address some of the issues within the tribe.

## Methods

Basic taxonomy follows that of [11,18,19]. The acronym 'UF' refers to specimens cataloged into the vertebrate paleontology collection of the Florida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida, Gainesville.

Thirty-seven cranio-mandibular characters (Appendix S1) were scored on 13 taxa (*Proailurus lemanensis*, *Promegantereon ogygia*, *Paramachaerodus orientalis*, *P. maximiliani*, *Rhizosmilodon fiteae*, *Smilodon gracilis*, *S. fatalis*, *S. populator*, *Megantereon cultridens*, *M. hesperus*, *Machairodus aphanistus*, *M. coloradensis*, and *Homotherium serum*). Taxa were selected to represent the more derived subfamilies Machairodontini and Smilodontini, to include previous identifications of the Palmetto Fauna machairodont, and to build upon the recent revision (and spelling correction) of the genus *Paramachaerodus* [20]. Consequently, the first 25 characters are modified from that study. Supplemental characters reflect the additional features provided by the new material.

Cladistical analyses were run using PAUP 4.0 Beta 10 and MacClade. The most parsimonious (shortest) trees were evaluated using a heuristic search based on combined branch length.

Nomenclatural Acts – The electronic edition of this article conforms to the requirements of the amended International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, and hence the new names contained herein are available under that Code from the electronic edition of this article. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers)



**Figure 2. One of two most parsimonious trees based on 37 cranio-mandibular characters scored on 13 taxa** (*Proailurus lemanensis, Promegantereon ogygia, Paramachaerodus orientalis, P. maximiliani, Rhizosmilodon fiteae, Smilodon gracilis, S. fatalis, S. populator, Megantereon cultridens, M. hesperus, Machairodus aphanistus, M. coloradensis, and Homotherium serum). Tribes (specifically use of Machairodontini instead of the more familiar Homotherini follow McKenna and Bell [29], in accordance with ICZN article 36 (Principle of Coordination). Thus, Gill [30] not only established the subfamily Machairodontinae, but also the tribe Machairodontini, and this name has priority over Homotherini Fabrini, 1890.* TL = 89, CI = 0.63, RI = 0.74, and RC = 0.47. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056173.g002

can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix "http://zoobank.org/". The LSID for this publication is: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:41E89F59-8327-4282-8BC3-E3A195C436D2. The electronic edition of this work was published in a journal with an ISSN, and has been archived and is available from the following digital repositories: PubMed Central, LOCKSS.

### **Results and Discussion**

*Phylogeny* – Scores are listed in Table 2. Results (Figure 2) agree with the revision of the late Miocene felid *Paramachaerodus* [20], with *Promegantereon* falling out as a distinct genus (from the former), and both falling basal to all other machairodonts. Of the trees evaluated, 2 most parsimonious trees were retained (Figure 2, Appendix S2). The first most parsimonious tree used in this study had: TL = 89, CI = 0.63, RI = 0.74, and RC = 0.47. A second most parsimonious tree is provided in Appendix S2.

#### Systematic Paleontology

Order Carnivora Bowdich 1821

Family Felidae Fischer de Waldheim 1817

Subfamily Machairodontinae Gill 1872

Tribe Smilodontini Kretzoi 1929

Rhizosmilodon fiteae gen. et. sp. nov.

**Etymology.** Genus, rhizo (G) for "root" and *Smilodon* for the ancestral relationship to the genus. Species, after Barbara Fite, who donated the paratype.

**Holotype.** UF 124634, partial right ramus including mandibular symphysis, c1, m1, and alveoli for p3 and p4 (Figure 1D-F).

**Paratype.** UF 135626, partial left ramus containing p3-m1 (Figure 1G-I).

**Referred Specimens.** UF 22890, partial left ramus containing p3, p4, and the anterior portion of m1; UF 223796 (cast), partial right ramus containing p4-m1; UF 212381, portion of right mandibular symphysis including partial alveoli for c1 and p3; UF 272337 (cast), partial right ramus with p4-m1; UF 65686, distal end of a right humerus; UF 133938, complete left humerus; UF

#### Table 2. Character matrix

111111111122222222223333333           1234567890123456789012345678901234567           Proailurus lemanensis         x000000000000000000000000000000000000		
1234567890123456789012345678901234567           Proailurus lemanensis         x000000000000000000000000000000000000		1111111112222222233333333
Proailurus lemanensis         x000000000000000000000000000000000000		1234567890123456789012345678901234567
Promegantereon ogygia         001010000000101020020010010200010           Paramachaerodus orientalis         1012101111011111112000101x0200010           Paramachaerodus maximiliani         1012101110x011101111112000101x02000x0           Rhizosmilodon fiteae         x0111000x1xxxxxxxx2112020111x2201022           Smilodon gracilus         1012212111110111211202111122301022           Smilodon fatalis         101221211111021111211223110x2           Smilodon populator         10122121111102111121223110x2           Megantereon cultridens         1010211111001100102212021112222x1022           Megantereon hesperus         xx1x20x0xxxxxxxx221xx2x112x2xx10xx           Machairodus aphanistus         2113112110010211011111100011200100           Homotherium serum         2113112110010211011111100121100111	Proailurus lemanensis	x0000000000000000000000000000000000000
Paramachaerodus orientalis         1012101111011111112000101x0200010           Paramachaerodus maximiliani         1012101110x0111011111112000101x02000x0           Rhizosmilodon fiteae         x0111000x1xxxxxxx2112020111x2201022           Smilodon gracilus         1011211111111110111211202111122301022           Smilodon fatalis         10122121111021111211223110x2           Smilodon populator         10122121111021111211223110x2           Megantereon cultridens         1010211111001100102212021112222x1022           Megantereon hesperus         xx1x20x0xxxxxxxx221xx2x112x2xx10xx           Machairodus aphanistus         211311211001021101111110001120100111           Homotherium serum         211311211011111010111111100121100111	Promegantereon ogygia	001010000000010010200200010010200010
Paramachaerodus maximiliani         1012101110x01110111xx1xxx00x01x02000x0           Rhizosmilodon fiteae         x0111000x1xxxxxxxx2112020111x2201022           Smilodon gracilus         101121111111110111211202111122301022           Smilodon fatalis         10122121111102111121122311022           Smilodon populator         1012212111102111121223110122           Megantereon cultridens         1010211111001100102212021112222x1022           Megantereon hesperus         xx1x20x0xxxxxxxx221xx2x112x2xx10xx           Machairodus aphanistus         2113112110111110010111111100011200100           Homotherium serum         2113112110010211011111100121100111	Paramachaerodus orientalis	101210111101011011111120001 <u>0</u> 1x0200010
Rhizosmilodon fiteae         x0111000x1xxxxxxx2112020111x2201022           Smilodon gracilus         10112111111111011211202111122301022           Smilodon fatalis         1012212111110211111211223111223110x2           Smilodon populator         10122121111102111112112231111223110x2           Megantereon cultridens         10102111110001100102212021112222x1022           Megantereon hesperus         xx1x20x0xxxxxxxx221xx2x112x2xx10xx           Machairodus aphanistus         2113112110111110010111111100011200100           Homotherium serum         2113112110010211011111100121100111	Paramachaerodus maximiliani	1012101110x01110111xx1xxx0x01x02000x0
Smilodon gracilus         101121111111111011211202111122301022           Smilodon fatalis         10122121111102111112112231110x2           Smilodon populator         10122121111102111112112231111223110x2           Megantereon cultridens         1010211111001100102212021112222x1022           Megantereon hesperus         xx1x20x0xxxxxxxx221xx2x112x2xx10xx           Machairodus aphanistus         2113112110111110010111111100011200100           Homotherium serum         2113112110010211011111100121100111	Rhizosmilodon fiteae	x0111000x1xxxxxxx2112020111x2201022
Smilodon fatalis         1012212111110211111211223111223110x2           Smilodon populator         1012212111110211111211223111223110x2           Megantereon cultridens         1010211111001100102212021112222x1022           Megantereon hesperus         xx1x20x0xxxxxxxx221xx2x112x2xx10xx           Machairodus aphanistus         2113112110111110010111111100011200100           Homotherium serum         2113112110010211011111100121100111           Machairodus coloradensis         21131121101111110101111111100121100111	Smilodon gracilus	1011211111111110111211202111122301022
Smilodon populator         101221211111021111211223111223110x2           Megantereon cultridens         10102111110001100102212021112222x1022           Megantereon hesperus         xx1x20x0xxxxxxxx221xx2x112x2xx10xx           Machairodus aphanistus         211311211011111100101111111100011200100           Homotherium serum         2113112110010211011111100121100111           Machairodus coloradensis         21131121101111110101111111100121100111	Smilodon fatalis	10122121111102111112112231111223110x2
Megantereon cultridens         10102111110001100102212021112222x1022           Megantereon hesperus         xx1x20x0xxxxxxxx221xx2x112x2xx10xx           Machairodus aphanistus         211311211011111100101111111100011200100           Homotherium serum         21131121100102110111111202100121100111           Machairodus coloradensis         21131121101111110101111111100121100111	Smilodon populator	10122121111102111112112231111223110x2
Megantereon hesperus         xx1x20x0xxxxxxxxx221xx2x112x2xx10xx           Machairodus aphanistus         2113112110111110010111111100011200100           Homotherium serum         21131121100102110111111202100121100111           Machairodus coloradensis         2113112110111110101111111100121100111	Megantereon cultridens	10102111110001100102212021112222x1022
Machairodus aphanistus         21131121101_11110_010111111100011_200100           Homotherium serum         2113112110010211_011111202100121100111           Machairodus coloradensis         21131121101111110101111111100121100111	Megantereon hesperus	xx1x20x0xxxxxxxxx221xx2x112x2xx10xx
Homotherium serum         21131121100102110/0111111202100121100111           Machairodus coloradensis         21131121101111110110111111100121100111	Machairodus aphanistus	211311211011111100101111111100011200100
Machairodus coloradensis         2113112110111110110111111100121100111	Homotherium serum	2113112110010211 <u>0</u> 11111202100121100111
	Machairodus coloradensis	2113112110111110110111111100121100111

Spelling of *Paramachaerodus* follows Salesa et al [20], in which a history of the spelling justifies the correction. Note that "x" indicates that the character was either not preserved, or in some way unable to be scored. Underlined scores indicate that the feature was scored based on other criteria, but may not have been directly observed (for example, presence of a mandibular flange indicates that the upper canine is elongated and flat, even if not actually preserved). A full list of specimens utilized in this study is available upon request. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056173.t002

123836, distal left radius; UF 133939, complete left tibia; UF 212380, right proximal tibia. Dental measurements in Table 3.

**Type Locality.** The holotype and paratype (UF 124634 and 135626), as well as UF 123836, UF 133938, UF 133939, UF 212380, and UF 212381 were all collected from UF locality PO054, Whidden Creek (Table 1), Fort Meade Mine of the Gardinier Inc., Polk County, Florida; 27.753° N; 81.964° W; upper Bone Valley Formation, latest Hemphillian (Hh4) North American Land Mammal Age (see Appendix S3 for additional description).

**Diagnosis.** Nearly complete verticalization of mandibular symphysis; presence of a weak, but clear mandibular flange; suggestion of crenulations on at least lower canines; lower canine remains large but is moderately compressed laterally; non-procumbent incisor arcade; incisors small; P3 and P4 not aligned (implied by offset of p4 and m1); lack of p2; p3 elongate, but smaller than p4 ( $\leq$ 2/3 length); posterior lean of p3 and p4 towards m1; lack of anterior accessory cusp on p3; presence of a small posterior accessory cusp on p3; anterior accessory cusp on p4

small; p3 and p4 off-set (not in straight line); p4 long and bladelike, yet with distal widening around posterior accessory cusp; p4 and m1 also off-set; m1 talonid variably present as small accessory cuspid to slight raised bump at the base of the tooth, never fully formed metaconid; m1 robust as in *Smilodon* with widest point at or anterior to the carnassial notch; and m1 paraconid remains shorter than protoconid.

**Body mass estimates.** Following Christiansen and Harris [21], anterior-posterior diameters (APD) for the referred humerus (UF 133938) and tibia (UF 133939) of 26.3 mm and 18.6 mm, generated body mass estimates of between 76.6–85.0 kg. and 55.7–58.3 kg. respectively (Figure 3). These estimates are similar to observed body masses in extant medium-sized felids such as *Puma concolor* and *Panthera onca*, and also overlap the lower half of the estimated range of *Smilodon gracilis*.

Humeri. Though not directly associated with any of the cranial material, the two isolated humeri are here referred to the R. fiteae for the following reasons: presence of medial projection of the epicondylar region and straight pectoral ridge (as opposed to strong to sharp distal curve prior to joining the deltoid ridge typical of most pantherine cats, [14]), suggests machairodont affinities. Moreover, the strong curve to the shaft and overall robustness; exaggerated medial projection of the epicondylar region well beyond the entepicondylar foramen, typical of members of Smilodon [14,22]; and thin and elongate wall of bone closing the entepicondylar foramen [14] supports its inclusion within the Smilodontini. Consequently, either there is yet another unknown smilodontine machairodont cat in the Palmetto Fauna, or these humeri do indeed represent the taxon described here. As all medium-sized felid dental remains in the Palmetto Fauna appear to represent a single taxon, R. fiteae, all felid post-cranial elements whose size corresponds to these teeth and jaws are also referred to R. fiteae.

Tree. Notable characters grouping Machairodontini: exaggerated serrations on all teeth; straightening and verticalization of the mandibular symphysis; alignment of P3 with P4 and p4 with m1; elongate and trench-like m1; elongate paraconid (approximately equal to protoconid); slight posterior "lean" to p3/p4. For Smilodontini, including R. fiteae: serrations restricted to canines and not as large as in Machairodontini; offset of P3 with P4 and p4 with m1; complete verticalization of mandibular symphysis; m1 shortened and robust with widest point anterior to notch; extreme posterior "lean" to p3/p4. In sum, R. fiteae lies basal within Smilodontini (in accord with its older geologic age) and is distinct from taxa within both Megantereon and Smilodon. Like other members of the Smilodontini: serrations restricted to canines and not as large as in Machairodontini; offset of P3 with P4 implied by that of p4 with m1; Less derived features not typical of more advanced machairodonts, yet present in R. fiteae: small

**Table 3.** Basic measurements of types and referred dental elements (in mm).

Specimen	p3-m1 Alveolar Lgth.	Diast.	c L	c W	p3L	p3AW	p3 PW	p4 L	p4 AW	p4 PW	m1 L	m1 W
UF 124634	52.3	23.3	14.5	9.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	21.5	9.9
UF 135626	-	-	-	-	11.3	4.8	5.9	18.8	6.9	9.0	22.5	10.4
UF 22890	50.9	-	-	-	12.2	4.6	5.8	18.9	6.6	8.3	-	9.9
UF 232796*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19.7	6.0	8.1	21.5	9.0
UF 272337*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20.3	7.6	8.8	23.0	10.2

\*Cast.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056173.t003



A 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 160 180 200 220 240 260 280 300 320 340 360 380 400 420



anterior and posterior accessory cusps on p4; retention of a large lower canine; and small, non-procumbent incisors.

Rhizosmilodon fiteae differs from other members of Smilodontini in polarity depending on the feature. Specifically:

Canine serrations appear to have been very minor (if even present) in R. fiteae (specimen worn) and S. gracilis. Clear serrations are exhibited by S. populator and S. fatalis, but apparently are lost in species of Megantereon. It should be noted that S. gracilis exhibits perhaps the beginning of serrations with upper canines characterized by extreme flattening and elongation, with thin enamel ridge running along the anterior and posterior margins of the tooth. Light crenulations (alternating thick and thin areas) are visible along these ridges on several nearly unworn upper canines of S. gracilis (e.g., UF 84189, 86843), - perhaps the precursor to true serrations. Moreover, the recently reported middle Pleistocene record of Smilodon from Venezuela [19] was described as possessing fine serrations on the upper canine, yet also exhibiting morphology otherwise more consistent with S. gracilis, as opposed to S. fatalis. Should this record be correct, then it supports the possibility of serrations or crenulations emerging within Smilodontini in, or close to, R. fiteae. Earlier suggestions of Megantereon as ancestral to Smilodon [11] suffered with the issue of the former lacking serrations, yet some earlier machairodonts exhibiting them (hence representing the acquisition, loss, then re-acquisition of serrations). Evenly spaced valleys and associated ridges, which are nearly equally spaced, are visible on the lower canine of the R. fiteae; however wear obscures definitive confirmation of true crenulations. If R. fiteae did indeed exhibit very minor serrations or crenulations, the loss of such features in species of Megatereon, and contemporaneous development of them in species of Smilodon, is not as problematic.

The large size of the lower canine and only moderate development of the mandibular flange suggest a "saber-tooth" configuration more pronounced than species within Promegantereon or Paramachaerodus; similar to species within Machairodus, where the canine is flattened and elongate; but not as extreme as the various species of Smilodon or Megantereon. Moreover, R. fiteae is primitive in its retention of a fairly large lower canine (incisiform in nearly all later machairodonts). However, the lower canine is noticeably laterally compressed. Because enlarged and laterally compressed upper canines, with reduced but also compressed lower canines, are typical machairodont traits [23,24], taken as a whole the canine configuration exhibited by the R. fiteae can be interpreted as a truly intermediate state.

Typical of advanced machairodonts is the protrusion of the incisors into a strong arch [23-25]. Limited space between the outer edge of the jaw and the suture at the mandibular symphysis strongly suggests the retention of very small incisors (primitive among machairodonts) in R. fiteae. Species of Megentereon and S. gracilis, exhibit enlarged incisors with the minor development of an arch, which would be intermediate between those of R. fiteae and later more advanced machairodonts (e.g. S. fatalis, S. populator or Homotherium).

Many of the major differences between R. fiteae and other members of the tribe Smilodontini are exhibited by the lower premolars. Specifically, the distal cusp on the p3 is well developed in R. fiteae. On S. gracilis it is typically present, but is reduced and in some specimens occurs as a series of tiny accessory cusps. Species of Megantereon, typically exhibit the cusp, but also in a greatly reduced state. In S. fatalis and S. populator, the entire tooth is typically lost. On the p4 of R. fitaea, the anterior and distal accessory cusps remain low and small. These are enlarged (proportionally more similar in size to the primary central cusp) in later, more derived machairodonts. In addition, the p4 of R. fiteae and some Megantereon spp. is somewhat long and blade-like with slight widening at the posterior end of the tooth. In species of Smilodon (particularly the later forms), the anterior portion widens as well (also exhibits a larger and more prominent anterior accessory cusp) resulting in an overall more robust tooth.

The lower first molar of R. fiteae is also somewhat intermediate in exhibiting a slight hint of a talonid (metaconid). More primitive machairodonts, such as Promegantereon ogygia or Machairodus aphanistus, typically retain a well-developed metaconid, while the more advanced forms exhibit no evidence of it. In addition, R. fiteae retains a simple m1, whereas some advanced members of the genus Smilodon (S. fatalis and S. populator), develop anterior accessory cusps on the m1 ( $\sim$  parastylids?).

Former identifications. Though several authors have suggested that the original identification of the Palmetto Fauna machairodont as Megantereon hesperus [7] was likely incorrect, few offered (supported) alternative identifications. However, Turner [10] expressed two possibilities based on the material known at that time. First was that the taxon could represent a species of the feline-like machairodont Dinofelis, similar to that observed in South Africa from similar-aged deposits. Presence of the mandibular flange, flattening of the canines, and potential presence of serrations, in addition to lack of characters typical of the Dinofelis [26], now eliminates that taxon. Alternatively, the Palmetto Fauna machairodont could represent Paramachaerodus [10], an early machairodont known to exhibit less derived features. Adding to this, Hodnett [13] described new felid material from Arizona (White Cone specimen) as "Paramachairodus" sp. and noted its similarity to the Palmetto Fauna machairodont. However, he described the White Cone specimen as gracile and laterally compressed, whereas the mandibles of R. fiteae are stout and robust for their length. In addition, the thin ridge of bone on the dorsal

surface of the ramus between p3 and c1 described on the White Cone specimen [13] is more strongly developed in R. fiteae, but is known to be highly variable among machairodont taxa, so likely carries little taxonomic value [10]. Moreover, the diastema itself is significantly longer and ventrally directed in R. fiteae. The White Cone specimen is also described as having a rounded anterior margin [13], whereas R. fiteae has a moderately developed, yet clear mandibular flange. A small anterior cuspid described on the p3 of the White Cone specimen is lacking in R. fiteae, and the p3 of the former is proportionately larger, relative to the p4, than in the latter. Lastly, the p4 of the White Cone specimen is proportionately smaller than m1 relative to R. fiteae. Taken together, the characters describing the White Cone specimen highlight its distinctiveness from R. fiteae.

**Biogeographic implications.** The first definitive Megantereon in North America occurs in the Blancan [10,27]. Consequently, our results support two possible evolutionary scenarios: First, the genus Megantereon originated in the New World [7,8], at about the same time (early Blancan) as Smilodon, followed by dispersal to, and diversification in, the Old World. Smilodon then spreads and diversifies in the New World through the Pliocene and Pleistocene. Morphologic similarities of both genera (particularly between S. gracilis and M. hesperus) imply similar lifestyles and paleoecology (see Appendix S3 for additional comments). However, geographic separation allowed each genus to thrive and diversify, while avoiding competition with the other. Moreover, the limited NA records of the genus Megantereon likely represent last holdovers before it was out-competed by members of the genus Smilodon.

Alternately, *R. fiteae* and the species within *Smilodon* do have some features in common to the exclusion of species of *Megantereon* (e.g., the reduced development of the mandibular flange, and persistence of a minor talonid on m1). Consequently, additional characters may amplify the distinction of *Megantereon* from the remainder of the tribe, suggesting a common ancestor of *Megantereon* and its sister taxon: *Smilodon* + *R. fiteae*. If true, such a relationship would then support an Old World origin of *Megantereon* and the tribe Smilodontini [10,11] and two dispersals of this tribe into NA, once in the Hemphillian, and then again in the Blancan.

## Conclusion

The smaller Palmetto Fauna machairodont does not represent *Megantereon hesperus*; however, it is basal within the tribe Smilodontini, which also includes the genera *Megantereon* and *Smilodon*. Moreover, the unique combination of both conservative and derived characters warrants erection of a new genus and species, *Rhizosmilodon fiteae*. This very late Hemphillian record is the oldest for the tribe, thereby supporting a North American origin at

#### References

- Scott TM (1988) The lithostratigraphy of the Hawthorn Group (Miocene) of Florida. Bull Florida Geol Surv 59: 1–148.
- Pirkle EC, Yoho WH, Webb SD (1967) Sediments of the Bone Valley Phosphate District of Florida. Econ Geol 62: 237–261.
- Webb SD, Hulbert RC Jr (1986) Systematics and evolution of *Pseudhipparion* (Mammalia, Equidae) from the Late Neogene of the Gulf Coastal Plain and the Great Plains. In: Flanagan KM, Lillegraven JA, editors. Vertebrates, Phylogeny, and Philosophy. Laramie: University of Wyoming Contributions to Geology, Special Paper 3, pp. 237–272.
- 4. Morgan GS (1994) Miocene and Pliocene marine mammal faunas from the Bone Valley Formation of Central Florida. In: Berta A, Deméré TA, editors. Contributions in Marine Mammal Paleontology Honoring Frank C. Whitmore, Jr. San Diego: San Diego Natural History Society. pp. 239–268.
- Tedford RH, Albright LB, Barnosky AD, Ferrusquila-Villagranca I, Hunt RM, et al. (2004) Mammalian biochronology of the Arikareean through Hemphillian interval (late Oligocene through earliest Pliocene epochs) in North America. In: Woodburne MO, editor. Late Cretaceous and Cenozoic Mammals of North

least 5 million years ago (latest Miocene). More specifically, our results also support suggestions of a North American origin of *Smilodon* [16] from a common ancestor with *Megantereon*; also affirming their relationship as sister taxa [7,15,17,18,28]. Our results refute the suggestion that the Palmetto Fauna machairodont is congeneric with "*Paramachairodus*" (now *Promegantereon* [20]) from Spain [13]. Both *Promegantereon* and *Paramachaerodus* exhibit less derived features than *R. fiteae* and consequently fall distinct from, and more basal to, the Smilodontini. Lastly, *R. fiteae* differs significantly from the White Cone (Arizona) specimen identified as "*Paramachairodus*" sp. [13], consequently the two taxa are not conspecific.

#### **Supporting Information**

# Appendix S1 Character used in this analysis (1-25 modified from [20]). (DOC)

**Appendix S2** Second most parsimonious tree. Note that the only two taxa that move (compared to the first tree) are the two species of *Paramachaerodus*. TL = 89, CI = 0.63, RI = 0.74, and RC = 0.47.

(DOC)

# **Appendix S3** Supplemental text. (DOC)

#### Acknowledgments

Rick Carter and George Heslep were the first to donate significant fossils from the Whidden Creek local fauna to the Florida Museum of Natural History (FLMNH) and reported its location to then curator S. D. Webb. At Webb's request, in January 1990, Gardinier Inc. had one of its mining draglines remove about 8 m of overburden and kept its pumps running in the area, keeping the site dry. The site was then excavated for about one month by a field crew comprised of FLMNH staff, UF graduate students, and volunteers from the Tampa Bay Fossil Club, resulting in the recovery of over 1,000 specimens. Most of the initial identifications of specimens (as summarized in Table 1) were done by G. S. Morgan. The holotype of *Rhizosmilodon fiteae* was generously donated to the FLMNH by H. Galiano. Barbara Fite donated one of the specimens used in this study from her personal collection, and allowed us to mold/cast two additional specimens. Additional specimens were donated to the FLMNH by B. MacDonald, L. Martin, and J. Ranson.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: SCW RCH. Performed the experiments: SCW RCH. Analyzed the data: SCW RCH. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: SCW RCH. Wrote the paper: SCW RCH.

America: Biostratigraphy and Geochronology. New York: Columbia University Press. pp. 169–231.

- Webb SD, Hulbert RC Jr, Morgan GS, Evans HF (2008) Terrestrial mammals of the Palmetto Fauna (early Pliocene, latest Hemphillian) from the Central Florida Phosphate District. In: Wang X, Barnes LG, editors. Geology and Vertebrate Paleontology of Western and Southern North America. Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County Science Series, No. 41, pp. 293–312.
- Berta A, Galiano H (1983) Megantereon hespenus from the late Hemphillian of Florida with remarks on the phylogenetic relationships of machairodonts (Mammalia, Felidae, Machairodontinae). J Paleontol 57: 892–899.
- Martinez-Navarro B, Palmqvist P (1995) Presence of the African machairodont Megantereon uchitei (Broom, 1937) (Felidae, Carnivora, Mammalia) in the lower Pleistocene site of Venta Micena (Orce, Granada, Spain), with some considerations on the origin, evolution and dispersal of the genus. J Archaeol Sci 22: 569–582.
- Palmqvist P, Torregrosa V, Pérez-Claros JA, Martínez-Navarro B, Turner A (2007) A re-evaluation of the diversity of *Megantereon* (Mammalia, Carnivora,

- Turner A (1987) Megantereon cultridens (Cuvier) (Mammalia, Felidae, Machairodontinae) from the Plio-Pleistocene deposits of Africa and Eurasia, with comments on dispersal and the possibility of a new world origin. J Paleontol 61: 1256–1268.
- 11. Turner A, Anton M (1997) The big cats and their fossil relatives. New York: Columbia University Press. 256 p.
- Sardella R (1998) The Plio-Pleistocene Old World dirk-toothed cat Megantereon ex gr. cultridens (Mammalia, Felidae, Machairodontinae), with comments on taxonomy, origin, and evolution. Neues Jahrb Geol Palaontol 207: 1–36.
- Hodnett J (2010) A machairodont felid (Mammalia; Carnivora; Feldae) from the latest Hemphillian (late Miocene/early Pliocene) Bidahochi Formation, northeastern Arizona. PaleoBios 29: 76–91.
- Merriam JC, Stock C (1932) The Felidae of Rancho La Brea. Washington: Carnegie Institution of Washington. 231 p.
- Churcher CS (1984) The status of *Smilodontopsis* (Brown, 1908) and *Ischyrosmilus* (Merriam, 1918): a taxonomic review of two genera of sabretooth cats (Felidae: Machairodontinae). Roy Ontario Mus Life Sci Contrib 140: 1–59.
- Berta A (1985) The status of *Smilodon* North and South America. Contributions in Science (Los Angeles) 370: 1–15.
- Berta A (1987) The sabercat *Smilodon gracilis* from Florida and a discussion of its relationships (Mammalia, Felidae, Smilodontini). Bull Fla Mus Nat Hist 31: 1– 63.
- Kurtén B, Werdelin L (1990) Relationships between North and South American Smilodon. J Vertebr Paleontol 10: 158–169.
- Rincon AD, Prevosti FJ, Parra GE (2011) New saber-toothed cat records (Felidae: Machairodontinae) for the Pleistocene of Venezuela, and the Great American Biotic Interchange. J Vertebr Paleontol 31: 468–478.
- Salesa MJ, Anton M, Turner A, Alcala L, Montoya P, et al. (2010) Systematic revision of the late Miocene sabre-toothed felid *Paramachairodus* in Spain. Palaeontology 53: 1369–1391.
- Christiansen P, Harris M (2005) Body size of Smilodon (Mammalia: Felidae). J Morphol 266: 369–384.
- Gonyea WJ (1976) Behavioral implications of saber-toothed felid morphology. Paleobiology 2: 332–342.
- Anton M, Salesa MJ, Morales J, Turner A (2004) First known complete skulls of the scimitar-toothed cat *Machairodus aphanistus* (Felidae, Carnivora) from the Spanish late Miocene site of Batallones-1. J Vertebr Paleontol 24: 957–969.
- 24. Salesa MJ, Anton M, Turner A, Morales J (2005) Aspects of functional morphology in the cranial and cervical skeleton of the sabre-toothed cat *Paramachairodus ogygia* (Kaup, 1832) (Felidae, Machairodontinae) from the Late Miocene of Spain: implications for the origins of the machairodont killing bite. Zool J Linn Soc 144: 363–377.
- Biknevicius AR, Van Valkenburgh B, Walker J (1996) Incisor size and shape: implications for feeding behaviors in saber-toothed "cats". J Vertebr Paleontol 16: 510–521.
- Werdelin L, Lewis ME (2001) A revision of the genus *Dinofelis* (Mammalia, Felidae). Zool J Linn Soc 132: 147–258.
- Gazin CL (1933) New Felids from the upper Pliocene of Idaho. J Mammal 14: 251–256.
- Kurtén B (1963) Notes on some Pleistocene mammal migrations from the Palaearctic to the Nearctic. Eiszeitalter und Gegenwart 14: 96–103.

- McKenna MC, Bell SK (1997) Classification of Mammals above the Level of Species. New York: Columbia University Press. 631 p.
- Gill T (1872) Arrangement of the families of mammals with analytical tables. Smithsonian Misc Coll 11(4): 2–98.
- Shaw CA, Tejada-Flores AE (1985) Biomechanical implications of variation in Smilodon ectocuneiforms from Rancho La Brea. Contributions in Science (Los Angeles) 359: 1–8.
- Van Valkenburgh B, Sacco T (2002) Sexual dimorphism, social behavior, and intrasexual competition in large Pleistocene carnivorans. J Vertebr Paleontol 22: 164–169.
- McHenry CR, Wroe S, Clausen PD, Moreno K, Cunningham E (2007) Supermodeled sabercat, predatory behavior in *Smilodon fatalis* revealed from high-resolution 3D computer simulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104: 16010– 16015.
- Anton M, Galobart A (1999) Neck function and predatory behavior in the scimitar toothed cat *Homotherium latidens* (Owen). J Vertebr Paleontol 19: 771– 784.
- Therrien F (2005) Feeding behavior and bite force of sabretoothed predators. Zool J Linn Soc 145: 393–426.
- Christiansen P (2007) Comparative bite forces and canine bending strength in feline and sabretooth felids: implications for predatory ecology. Zool J Linn Soc 151: 423–437.
- Salesa MJ, Anton M, Turner A, Morales J (2010) Functional anatomy of the forelimb in *Promegantereon ogygia* (Felidae, Machairodontinae, Smilodontini) from the late Miocene of Spain and the origins of the sabre-toothed felid model. J Anat 216: 381–369.
- Salesa MJ, Anton M, Turner A, Morales J (2006) Inferred behavior and ecology of the primitive saber-toothed cat *Paramachairodus ogygia* (Felidae, Machairodontinae) from the late Miocene of Spain. J Zool (1987) 268: 243–254.
- Meachen-Samuels JA, Van Valkenburgh B (2010) Radiographs reveal exceptional forelimb strength in the sabertooth cat, *Smilodon fatalis*. PLoS ONE 5(7): e11412. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011412
- Wroe S, Lowry MB, Anton M (2008) How to build a mammalian superpredator. Zoology 111: 196–203.
- Andersson K, Norman D, Werdelin L (2011) Sabretoothed carnivores and the killing of large prey. PLoS ONE 6(10): 1-6. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0024971
- Feranec RS (2005) Growth rate and duration of growth in the adult canine of *Smilodon gracilis*, and inferences on diet through stable isotope analysis. Bull Fla Mus Nat Hist 45: 369–377.
- Palmqvist P, Grocke DR, Arribas A, Farina RA (2003) Paleoecological reconstruction of a lower Pleistocene large mammal community using biogeochemical (δ<sup>13</sup>C, δ<sup>15</sup>N, δ<sup>18</sup>O, Sr: Zn) and ecomorphological approaches. Paleobiology 29: 205–229.
- Kohn M, McKay MP, Knight JL (2005) Dining in the Pleistocene Who's on the menu? Geology 33: 649–652.
- Feranec RS (2004) Isotopic evidence of saber-tooth development, growth rate, and diet from adult canine of *Smilodon fatalis* from Rancho La Brea. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 206: 303–310.
- Webb SD (2000) Evolutionary history of New World Cervidae. In: Vrba E, Schaller G, editors. Antelopes, deer, and relatives: Fossil record, behavioral ecology, systematics and conservation. New Haven: Yale University Press. pp. 38–64.