

# Targeted Temperature Management for Cardiac Arrest Due to Non-shockable Rhythm: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Elisa Damiani, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Italy

#### Reviewed by:

Roberta Domizi, Ospedali Riuniti Umberto I, Italy Artem N. Kuzovlev, Research Institute General Resuscitation in V.A. Negovskogo, Russia

#### \*Correspondence:

Hui-Bin Huang hhba02922@btch.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Intensive Care Medicine and Anesthesiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Medicine

Received: 01 April 2022 Accepted: 16 May 2022 Published: 03 June 2022

#### Citation:

Zhu Y-B, Yao Y, Ren Y, Feng J-Z and Huang H-B (2022) Targeted Temperature Management for Cardiac Arrest Due to Non-shockable Rhythm: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Front. Med. 9:910560. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.910560

#### Yi-Bing Zhu<sup>1†</sup>, Yan Yao<sup>2†</sup>, Yu Ren<sup>2</sup>, Jing-Zhi Feng<sup>2</sup> and Hui-Bin Huang<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Emergency, Guang'anmen Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup> Department of Critical Care Medicine, School of Clinical Medicine, Beijing Tsinghua Changgung Hospital, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

**Background:** Targeted temperature management (TTM) is recommended in adult patients following cardiac arrest (CA) with any rhythm. However, as to non-shockable (NSR) CA, high-quality evidence of TTM supporting its practices remains uncertain. Thus, we aimed to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis with randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to explore the efficacy and safety of TTM in this population.

**Methods:** We searched PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane library databases for potential trials from inception through Aug 25, 2021. RCTs evaluating TTM for CA adults due to NSR were included, regardless of the timing of cooling initiation. Outcome measurements were mortality and good neurological function. We used the Cochrane bias tools to evaluate the quality of the included studies. Heterogeneity, subgroup analyses, and sensitivity analysis were investigated to test the robustness of the primary outcomes.

**Results:** A total of 14 RCTs with 4,009 adults were eligible for the final analysis. All trials had a low to moderate risk of bias. Of the included trials, six compared NSR patients with or without TTM, while eight compared pre-hospital to in-hospital TTM. Pooled data showed that TTM was not associated with improved mortality (Risk ratio [RR] 1.00; 95% CI, 0.944–1.05; P = 0.89,  $l^2 = 0\%$ ) and good neurological outcome (RR 1.18; 95% CI 0.90–1.55; P = 0.22,  $l^2 = 8\%$ ). Similarly, use of pre-hospital TTM resulted in neither an improved mortality (RR 0.99, 95% CI 0.97–1.03;  $l^2 = 0\%$ , P = 0.32) nor favorable neurological outcome (RR 1.13, 95% CI 0.93–1.38;  $l^2 = 0\%$ , P = 0.22). These results were further confirmed in the sensitivity analyses and subgroup analyses.

**Conclusions:** Our results showed that using the TTM strategy did not significantly affect the mortality and neurologic outcomes in CA survival presenting initial NSR.

Keywords: non-shockable rhythm, cardiac arrest, targeted temperature management, neurological outcome, meta-analysis

# INTRODUCTION

Cardiac arrest (CA) is a common public health problem with an estimated annual incidence rate of 28-55 per 100,000 person-years (1). Despite the advances in cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) technology, the overall mortality rate is still high, up to 90% (2). Target temperature management (TTM) has been considered as an effective therapy to improve the neurological prognosis of comatose CA survivors after the return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) (3). The mechanism may be related to the decrease in core body temperature, which reduces inflammation and cell damage after ischemiareperfusion injury, and promotes the brain neurons healing by reducing cerebral oxygen demand and intracranial pressure (4). Thus, the use of TTM in CA survivors had been recommended consistently by published CPR guidelines (3, 5). However, the guidelines were challenged by the most recent trial conducted by Dankiewicz et al. (6), which concluded that in patients with coma after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, targeted hypothermia did not improve survival or neurologic good outcome rates.

CA is a highly heterogeneous entity. Many factors will affect the effect of sub-hypothermia. Among them are the two types of presenting ECG rhythm in CA patients: a shockable rhythm (SR, ventricular fibrillation, or ventricular tachycardia) or a nonshockable rhythm (NSR, asystole, or pulseless electrical activity) during CA have received the most attention (7). Currently, the guidelines recommend TTM for CA survivors with SR or NSR (3). However, compared with CA survivors with SR having conclusive evidence of TTM to support their use, studies focusing on TTM for NSR survivors have reported conflicting results (7–9). Most current clinical recommendations are based on the consensus of expert opinions and extrapolate the potential benefits of TTM in NSR patients from the evidence of SR survivors (3, 4).

Recently, several high-quality RCTs evaluating the effect of TTM in CA patients have been published (6, 8, 10–13), and most of them focus on the subgroup of NSR survivors. Therefore, with the aid of the increased power of meta-analytic techniques, we aimed to review the relevant and available RCTs to describe the effectiveness of TTM in CA survivors with initial NSR.

# **METHODS**

We conducted the current systematic review following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) statement (**Appendix 1**), and the review protocol had been published in the journal of Medicine (14).

# **Eligibility Criteria**

Studies were considered eligible if they investigated the efficacy and safety of TTM strategy in CA survivors presenting an initial NSR were included, regardless of the methods (evaporative cooling, infusion of cold saline, and surface or systemic cooling), timing (in-hospital or pre-hospital cooling), duration of TTM, or targeted temperature ( $32-36^{\circ}$ C). We excluded studies conducted in neonates, children, pregnant, and studies that did not report data on survival. In addition, articles in abstract form without predefined data available or reviews or case series were also excluded.

### Search Strategy

We conducted a comprehensive systematic electronic search through PubMed, Cochrane library, and Embase databases from inception to Aug 15, 2021 (the last search) for potential RCTs, without language restriction. Boolean terms (OR and AND), Medical Subject Headings (MeSH), Emtree, and keywords were used in the search strategy. The search terms included "targeted temperature management," "Therapeutic hypothermia," "advanced cardiac life-support," "cardiac arrest," "cardiopulmonary resuscitation," and "heart arrest." The details of the research strategy was summarized in **Appendix 2**. Reference lists of relative articles were also manually checked to ensure the inclusion of all possible publications on this topic.

# **Data Extraction and Quality Assessment**

Two reviewers (Y-BZ and YY) extracted data independently from included studies on the first author' last name, publication year, study design (blinding or open-label; single or multicenters), country where the study was conducted, study period, sample size, therapeutic regimens, follow-up duration, patient characteristics as well as all predefined outcomes. We appraised the risk of bias of the included RCTs using the Cochrane Collaboration tool for assessing the risk of bias (15). Discrepancies were identified and resolved through discussion.

### **Outcome Measures**

The primary outcome measure was mortality (considering the longest follow-up reported by the authors). The secondary outcome was good neurological function defined as a Cerebral Performance Category (CPC) score of 1 or 2. If trials only reported good neurological recovery, we considered this outcome to be CPC 1 or CPC 2 (16).

### **Statistical Analysis**

We pooled categorical data with risk ratios (RR) and continuous data with the mean difference (MD) using the Mantel-Haenszel, Inverse Variance fixed-effect, or Der Simonian and Laird random-effects model if needed. Some studies reported median as the measure of treatment effect, with accompanying interquartile range (IQR). Before data analysis, we estimated mean from median and standard deviations (SD) from IQR using the methods described in previous studies (17). Heterogeneity was quantified using the  $I^2$  statistic and its *P*-value. Studies with an  $I^2 > 50\%$  indicate significant heterogeneity (18).

Abbreviations: CA, cardiac arrest; CI, confidence interval; ICU, intensive care unit; IHCA, in-hospital cardiac arrest; ILCOR, International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation; IQR, interquartile range; LOS, length of stay; MD, mean difference; NSR, non-shockable rhythm; OHCA, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; RR, risk ratio; RCTs, randomized controlled trials; SD, standard deviations; SR, shockable rhythm; TTM, targeted temperature management.



To obtain more robust results, we estimated the pooled effect with their 95% CI if at least three studies with sufficient data available in each predefined outcome. Sensitivity analyses were performed by excluding trials that potentially biased the results. We further conducted subgroup analyses to test the robustness of the primary outcomes basing on the important clinical features (i.e., follow-up [short-term or long-term mortality; short-term mortality was defined as 28 days, ICU or hospital mortality, or mortality within 90 days of randomization, while long-term mortality was defined as a mortality rate of more than 180 days], by-stander CPR% [<50% or  $\geq 50\%$ ], sample size [ $\geq 200$  or <200], design [singlecenter or multi-centers], and OHCA% [100% or <100%]). Publication bias was evaluated by visually inspecting funnel plots. A two-sided P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using Review Manager, Version 5.3.

### RESULTS

#### **Trial Identification**

The de-duplicated results yielded 707 abstracts. The screen of abstracts and titles identified a total of 34 relevant studies for the following full-text review. **Figure 1** shows a flowchart for the selection of studies. The excluded studies based on the full-text evaluation with exclusion reasons were summarized in **Appendix 2**. Finally, 14 RCTs (6, 10–13, 19–27) met the study inclusion criteria, of which six (6, 10, 11, 19–21) were comparing NSR patients with or without TTM while eight (12, 13, 22–27) were comparing NSR patients receiving pre-hospital or inhospital TTM.

#### **Quality of the Studies**

The quality of the included RCTs was low to moderate risk of bias (**Appendix 3**). However, funnel plots did not show skewed distributions, suggesting no publication bias was involved (**Appendix 4**).

## **Study Characteristics**

**Tables 1, 2** shows the characteristics of the 14 included RCTs. Of these studies, 2 were single-center trials (19, 20), and 12 were multi-center trials (6, 10–13, 21–27). These RCTs were published between 2007 and 2021 from the France (n = 3), USA (n = 2), Australia (n = 2), Belgium (n = 2), Canada (n = 1), and multi-site (n = 4). A total of 4,009 NSR survivors were included in the final analysis (sample size ranging from 10 to 776 patients), with 2,022 patients in the TTM group and 1,987 patients in the non-TTM group. As to the initial rhythm, 11 RCTs included patients with SR or NSR (6, 12, 13, 19–21, 23–27), while the remaining three included only patients with NSR (10, 11, 22). Cooling methods varied among the RCTs, such as trans-nasal evaporative cooling, infusion of cold saline, and surface or systemic cooling.

#### Outcomes With or Without TTM

Six studies compared NSR survivors with or without TTM, and all reported outcomes of mortality (6, 10, 11, 19–21). Of the 677 patients in the TTM group, 542 died, compared to 520 of 646 patients in the non-TTM group. The pooled analysis suggested TTM did not affect the mortality (RR = 1.00; 95% CI, 0.944–1.05; P = 0.89,  $I^2 = 0\%$ ) (**Figure 2A**). Five studies focused on neurological outcomes as interests (10, 11, 19–21). Pooled analysis showed the good neurological outcome was comparable between the TTM group and non-TTM groups (n = 1,232; RR 1.39; 95% CI 0.92–2.11; P = 0.11,  $I^2 = 0\%$ ) (**Figure 2B**).

In the sensitivity analysis, excluding any single test did not significantly change the overall combined OR of the survival (*P*-value ranged from 0.21 to 0.87) and neurological outcomes (*P*-value ranged from 0.19 to 0.98). Similarly, subgroup analyses based on study design, sample size, country, or initial rhythm showed no differences in the survival outcomes and good neurological outcomes (**Table 2**) between the TTM and non-TTM groups (**Table 3**).

#### Pre-hospital or In-hospital TTM

All eight trials reported outcome of mortality (1,345 in prehospital group and 1,341 in in-hospital group) (12, 13, 22–27). The pooled mortality rate was similar when we compared the prehospital TTM group with the in-hospital TTM group (8 trials, N = 2,682; RR 0.99, 95% CI 0.97–1.01,  $I^2 = 0$ ) (**Figure 3A**). Five RCTs focused on neurological outcomes as interests. When pooled, the result showed no difference in favorable neurological outcome (6 trials, N = 1,955; RR 1.13, 95% CI 0.93–1.18,  $I^2 = 0$ ) (**Figure 3B**).

### DISCUSSION

In the current meta-analysis, we included 14 RCTs focusing on CA survivors with NSR to evaluate the prognosis of TTM for

First author, year	Design	Research periods	Conducted country	Cooling method	TT (°C) TTM	TT(°C) Control	Sample size	OHCA (%)	Follow-up (days)
Dankiewicz et al. (6)	P, OL, MC	2017–2020	Multi-sites	Mixed	33	<37.8°C	259/231	100	180
Lascarrou et al. (11)	P, OL, MC	2014–2018	France	Mixed	33	37°C	284/297	78	90
Frydland et al. (10)	P, OL, MC	2010–2013	Multi-sites	Mixed	33	36°C	96/82	100	180
Laurent et al. (21)	P, OL, MC	2000–2002	France	Systemic	32–33	No cooling	5/5	100	180
Hachimi-Idrissi et al. (20)	P, OL, SC	1999–2002	Belgium	External	33	37°C	17/16	100	180
Hachimi-Idrissi et al. (19)	P, OL, SC	1999–2000	Belgium	External	34	<38°C	16/14	100	14
Nordberg et al. (13)	P, OL, MC	2010–2018	Multi-sites	TNE	32–34	HC: 32–34°C	198/199	100	90
Scales et al. (27)	P, OL, MC	2012–2016	Canada	Cold saline/External	32–34	No cooling	155/169	100	Hospitalization
Bernard et al. (12)	P, OL, MC	2010-2014	Australia	Cold saline	33	No cooling	327/313	100	Hospitalization
Debaty et al. (24)	P, OL, MC	2009–2012	France	Cold saline/External	32–34	HC: 32–34°C	87/90	100	365
Bernard et al. (22)	P, OL, MC	2005–2007	Australia	Cold saline	33	HC: 32–34°C	82/81	100	Hospitalization
Castre'n et al. (23)	P, OL, MC	2008–2009	Multi-sites	TNE	34	HC: 34°C	66/69	100	Hospitalization
Kim et al. (25)	P, OL, MC	2004–2006	USA	Cold saline	<34	No cooling	34/40	100	Hospitalization
Kim et al. (26)	P, OL, MC	2007-2012	USA	Cold saline	<34	No cooling	396/380	100	Hospitalization

TABLE 1 | Characteristics of the studies included in current systemic review and meta-analysis.

CPR, cardiac pulmonary resuscitation; MC, multi-centers; NA, not available; OHCA, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; OL, open-label; P, prospective; HC, hospital-cooling; SC, single-center; TT, targeted temperature; TNE, trans-nasal evaporative; TTM, target temperature management.

**TABLE 2** | Characteristics of the patients included in current systemic review and meta-analysis.

First author, year	TTM group/Control group									
	Sample size	Asystole%	PEA%	Bystander- CPR%	CA to ROSC time, minute	Age, year	Male,%	Mortality %	Good neurological outcome	
Dankiewicz et al. (9)	259/231	48/43	45/49	82/78	25/25	NA	NA	77/74	NA	
Lascarrou et al. (11)	284/297	78/81	12/12	70/69	NA	67/67	65/63	81/83	13/14	
Frydland et al. (10)	96/82	63	37	54	25/30	67	76	84/84	13/0	
Laurent et al. (21)	5/5	100/87	0/13	NA	25/14	52/58	80/80	83/100	0/13	
Hachimi-Idrissi et al. (20)	17/16	88/76	12/24	19/12	35/34	73/74	69/59	75/88	10/6	
Hachimi-Idrissi et al. (19)	16/14	75/86	25/14	14/6	33/34	74/77	64/56	81/93	17/0	
Nordberg et al. (13)	198/199	NA	NA	65/60	30/27	64/66	75/76	95/94	4/5	
Scales et al. (27)	155/169	NA	NA	44/48	NA	68/69	70/61	92/92	14/13	
Bernard et al. (12)	327/313	62/61	37/38	66/67	NA	65/64	75/74	98/99	NA	
Debaty et al. (24)	87/90	91/90	9/10	50/52	27/30	66/69	72/71	98/99	NA	
Bernard et al. (22)	82/81	50/37	50/63	44/38	29/29	64/61	69/59	87/89	12/9	
Castre'n et al. (23)	66/69	71/67	29/33	36/46	32/30	66/64	72/78	94/96	25/14	
Kim et al. (25)	34/40	NA	NA	67/74	NA	67/65	67/74	94/80	NA	
Kim et al. (26)	396/380	53/53	44/48	54/52	NA	68/68	55/54	81/84	29/25	

CA, cardiac arrest; CPR, cardiac pulmonary resuscitation; NA, not available; OHCA, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; PEA, pulseless electrical activity; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; TTM, target temperature management.

these patients. Most included studies were low to medium risk of bias in quality. Our results showed that TTM did not significantly improve survival or neurological outcomes in CA patients with NSR than those who did not. Meanwhile, early starting cooling strategies such as prehospital TTM showed no more benefits in such a patient population. These results were further supported by subgroup analysis and sensitivity analysis.

#### **Comparison With Previous Studies**

Our results showed that many CA survivors with NSR received TTM despite no high-quality evidence to support its use. This

may be due to the recommendations of the CPR guidelines (3, 5), which had consistently recommended TTM for CA survivors with both SR and NSR. However, the recommendation for the NSR patients is mainly based on an extrapolation of SR patients but no clear evidence. The latest 2021 guideline has been modified to suggest TTM for adult CA patients with initial NSR who remain unresponsive after ROSC (3). However, it is still a weak recommendation and is from very low-quality evidence.

So far, there have been 3 meta-analyses published on this topic with inconsistent results (7–9). However, the main problem of

	TTM	1	Contr	ol		<b>Risk Ratio</b>	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H. Fixed. 95% Cl
Dankiewicz 2021	200	259	171	231	34.0%	1.04 [0.94, 1.15]	
frydland 2015	81	96	69	82	14.0%	1.00 [0.88, 1.14]	
hachimi-idrissi 2001	13	16	13	14	2.6%	0.88 [0.66, 1.15]	
hachimi-idrissi 2005	12	16	15	17	2.7%	0.85 [0.61, 1.18]	
Lascarrou 2019	231	284	247	297	45.5%	0.98 [0.91, 1.05]	
laurent 2005	5	6	5	5	1.1%	0.86 [0.54, 1.35]	
Total (95% CI)		677		646	100.0%	1.00 [0.94, 1.05]	<b>•</b>
Total events	542		520				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	3.17, df =	5 (P = 0	).67); l <sup>2</sup> =	0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.14 (	P = 0.8	9)				
3	TTM	1	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Dankiewicz 2021	4	199	2	199	5.7%	2.00 [0.37, 10.80]	
frvdland 2015	12	96	12	83	36.6%	0.86 [0.41, 1.82]	
hachimi-idrissi 2001	2	16	0	14	1.5%	4.41 [0.23, 84,79]	
hachimi-idrissi 2005	0	17	2	16	7.3%	0.19 [0.01. 3.66]	
Lascarrou 2019	29	284	17	297	47.3%	1.78 [1.00, 3.17]	- <b>-</b> -
laurent 2005	1	6	0	5	1.5%	2.57 [0.13, 52.12]	
Total (95% CI)		618		614	100.0%	1.39 [0.92, 2.11]	•
,	48		33				
Total events		5(P = 0)	).42); l <sup>2</sup> =	0%			
Total events Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	4.95, df =	• • • •	-,, .				
Total events Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect:	4.95, df = Z = 1.58 (	P = 0.1	1)				

these articles was the inclusion of most observational studies but only a small number of RCTs, leading to a high risk of bias and random error in these studies. To address the limitations of the previous meta-analysis, we only included RCTs and added several recent published trials, with a total sample size of 4,009 patients in the current study (6, 10–13, 19–27). Thus, our sample size allowed for better statistical power and other sensitivities and subgroup analyses. The results of subgroup and sensitivity analyses for ICHA initial rhythm, study start date, study design, OHCA%, and recent and long-term prognosis corroborated the robustness of our findings. Thus, our results provide conclusive evidence regarding the impact of TTM on mortality and neurologic outcomes of CA survivors with initial NSR.

It is also worth noting that the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) recently published a systematic review that there was no improvement in survival or favorable neurologic outcome in TTM groups compared with normothermia groups. The prehospital cooling groups also showed no benefits in survival or favorable neurologic outcome in comparison with on prehospital cooling groups. These findings may warrant an update of international cardiac arrest guidelines (28).

### **Explaining Our Findings**

We found TTM did not benefit CA patients with initial NSR concerning the mortality and neurological prognosis. Some might contribute to this. On the one hand, NSR has a marked impact on the CA prognosis, not only the reduced chances of obtaining ROSC but also the chances of surviving hospital discharge. The CA patients' leading cause of death is the neurological injury from anoxic brain damage, independent of initial rhythm (29, 30). The potential mechanism of TTM included anti-oxidant, antiapoptotic and anti-inflammatory effects and a decrease in the accumulation or release of excitotoxic amino acids (4, 28, 31). The positive results from animal studies and trials led to the inclusion of TTM in the guidelines (32, 33). However, NSR survivors have more poor prognostic factors than those of SR (26, 34). As shown in our results, NSR survivors were usually older, had more comorbidities, and suffered an increased risk of multiple organ dysfunction. Thus, those risks might partly weaken the advantages of TTM application.

On the other hand, the included studies spanned an extensive range of periods, during which CPR and CA guidelines have been updated several times (3, 5). CA prognosis might benefit from several improved techniques such as bystander

TABLE 3   Subgroup	analysis of the primary	outcome based on TTM strategy.
--------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------

		Studies number	Patient, number	Event in the intervention group	Event in the control group	Risk ratio (95% Cl)	l <sup>2</sup>	Ρ
With or without TTM		6	1323	TTM group	Non-TTM	1.00 [0.94, 1.05]	0%	0.90
		0	010	00 -6 1 1 0	group	0.07 [0.07, 1.00]	00/	0.00
Follow-up	Short-term	3	219	99 OT 118	87 OF IUT	0.97 [0.87, 1.09]	0%	0.66
	Long-term	3	1099	437 of 557	426 of 542	1.00 [0.94, 1.06]	0%	0.97
Sample size	>200	2	1071	431 of 543	418 of 528	1.01 [0.95, 1.07]	3%	0.85
	<200	4	247	105 of 132	95 of 115	0.86 [0.71, 1.05]	16%	0.16
Design	MC	4	652	517 of 645	492 of 615	1.00 [0.95, 1.06]	0%	0.90
	SC	2	63	25 of 32	28 of 31	0.86 [0.69, 1.07]	0%	0.18
OHCA%	<100	1	581	231 of 284	247 of 297	0.98 [0.91, 1.05]	-	-
	100	5	1249	512 of 639	487 of 610	1.01 [0.95, 1.06]	0%	0.85
Bystander CPR%	≥50	3	1249	512 of 639	487 of 610	1.01 [0.95, 1.06]	0%	0.85
	<50	3	74	30 of 38	33 of 36	0.86 [0.71, 1.05]	0%	0.14
Pre- or In-hospital TTM		8	2686	Pre-hospital TTM	In-hospital TTM	0.99 [0.97, 1.01]	0%	0.85
Follow-up	Short-term	8	2686	1220 of 1345	1231 of 1341	0.99 [0.97, 1.01]	0%	0.85
	Long-term	_	-	-	_	-	_	_
Sample size	>200	4	2137	970 of 1076	970 of 1061	0.99 [0.96, 1.01]	0%	0.31
	<200	4	549	250 of 269	261 of 280	1.00 [0.95, 1.04]	34%	0.88
Design	MC	8	2686	1220 of 1345	1231 of 1341	0.99 [0.97, 1.01]	0%	0.85
	SC	_	_	-	_	_	_	_
Bystander CPR%	≥50	5	2064	945 of 1042	936 of 1022	0.99 [0.97, 1.02]	21%	0.48
		3	622	275 of 303	295 of 319	0.98 [0.94, 1.03]	0%	0.45
Bystander CPR%	>50	8	2686	1220 of 1345	1231 of 1341	0.99 [0.97, 1.01]	0%	0.85
-	 <50	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

CPR, cardiac-pulmonary resuscitation; OHCA, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; TTM, targeted temperature management.

intervention, advanced cardiac life support, emergency cardiac catheterization, and optimal support for brain functions (3). Therefore, these techniques may limit the theoretical benefits of TTM to reduce free radical-mediated reperfusion injury in hypoxic brain injury.

Additionally, the prehospital cooling strategy also showed no more prognosis benefits in NSR patients after CA than those receiving TTM after hospital arrival. Several reasons might help explain the negative findings. First, prehospital cooling patients have more re-arrest episodes and pulmonary edema due to the infusion of a large amount of cold intravenous saline immediately after ROSC (26). Meanwhile, the rapid infusion can also cause an increase in right atrium pressure, which may reduce coronary perfusion pressure and, therefore, myocardial perfusion (35). Second, the drop in temperature by rapid infusion of cold saline might be too slight. As shown in the study by Bernard et al., the authors found no differences in outcomes when cooling was initiated before hospital arrival between the groups (12). Third, prehospital cooling did not significantly reduce the time to targeted temperature. Scales et al. (27) reported that achieving a target temperature of <34°C within 6-h of hospital arrival was not significantly different between CA survivors with or without prehospital cooling patients. In addition, studies have shown that lower myocardial temperature increases the rate of successful defibrillation. However, this does not affect patients with NSR (36).

#### **Research Limitations**

Our study has several limitations. First, all of the included RCTs are open-label designs. This might result in the selection bias of our research. Second, CA is a highly heterogeneous entity, and many factors may affect the efficacy of TTM, such as the cooling methods, sedative drugs, timing, and shivering monitoring methods. Third, although we had used subgroup analyses and sensitivity analysis to explore the possible confounding factors, our results may be affected by unmeasured factors. Fourth, prognostic assessment methods varied among the included studies. Some clinicians chose telephone interviews rather than face-to-face interviews. Fifth, the non-TTM CA survivors varied in the temperature management, such as target  $36^{\circ}$ C to  $< 38^{\circ}$ C or no cooling, which may affect the robustness of our conclusions. Finally, the included CA patients have different underlying diseases, demographic characteristics and use different disease severity scoring standards. However, due to the number of studies, we cannot further perform subgroup analysis to clarify this point.

А	ттм		Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subaroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H. Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Bernard 2016	319	327	309	313	25.6%	0.99 [0.97, 1.01]	+
Bernard2012	71	82	74	81	6.0%	0.95 [0.85, 1.06]	
Castre'n 2010	62	66	66	69	5.2%	0.98 [0.91, 1.06]	
Debaty 2014	85	87	89	90	7.1%	0.99 [0.95, 1.03]	
kim 2007	32	34	32	40	2.4%	1.18 [0.99, 1.40]	
Kim 2014	320	396	318	380	26.3%	0.97 [0.90, 1.03]	
Nordberg 2019	189	198	188	199	15.2%	1.01 [0.97, 1.06]	
Scales 2017	142	155	155	169	12.0%	1.00 [0.94, 1.07]	-+
Total (95% CI)		1345		1341	100.0%	0.99 [0.97, 1.01]	◆
Total events	1220		1231				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = {	5.83, df = 1	7 (P = (	0.56); l <sup>2</sup> =	0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.98 (I	P = 0.3	2)				0.7 0.85 1 1.2 1.5
			,				Favours [11M] Favours [control]
В	TTM		Contr	a.		Diale Datia	Diek Detie
Study or Subarous	Evente	Total	Evente	Tatal	Waight		RISK RAUO
Demand2012	Lvents			10tai	4 00/	1 44 10 50 0 501	
Bernard2012	10	82	1	01	4.9%	1.41 [0.56, 3.53]	
	4	270	3	202	1.0%	1.75 [0.45, 6.74]	-
NIII 2014 Nordborg 2010	02	279	10	303	50.4%		<u>F</u>
Noruberg 2019	0 57	190	10	199	0.9%	0.00 [0.32, 1.99]	
Scales 2017	57	390	51	360	30.0%	1.07 [0.76, 1.52]	Г
Total (95% CI)		971		984	100.0%	1.13 [0.93, 1.38]	•
Total events	161		147				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = <sup>2</sup>	1.32, df = 4	4 (P = 0	).86); l² =	0%			

FIGURE 3 | Forest plot of the targeted temperature management used in pre-hospital or in-hospital survivors with non-shockable rhythm. (A) Mortality; (B) Good neurological outcome.

# CONCLUSIONS

Among patients with NSR, the use of TTM showed no more benefits than usual care in survival and favorable neurological outcomes. However, our results were based on observational findings and warranted a randomized clinical trial to assess the efficacy of TTM for such a patient population.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Y-BZ contributed to conception and design and drafted the manuscript. YY and Y-BZ contributed to searching the scientific literature and data interpretation. J-ZF and YR helped to collect the data and performed statistical analyses. H-BH was

responsible for the integrity of the work as a whole, from inception to publication of the article. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

# FUNDING

This research was supported by the Science and Technological Innovation Project of China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences (CACMS) Innovation Fund (CI2021A02904).

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors want to thank Daxing Yu (Guang'anmen Hospital) and the CACMS Innovation Fund (CI2021A02904) for the support of this research.

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed. 2022.910560/full#supplementary-material

### REFERENCES

- Berdowski J, Berg RA, Tijssen JG, Koster RW. Global incidences of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and survival rates: systematic review of 67 prospective studies. *Resuscitation*. (2010) 81:1479–87. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2010.08.006
- 2. Wissenberg M, Lippert FK, Folke F, Weeke P, Hansen CM, Christensen EF, et al. Association of national initiatives to improve cardiac arrest management with rates of bystander intervention and patient survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA*. (2013) 310:1377–84. doi: 10.1001/jama.2013. 278483
- Nolan JP, Sandroni C, Böttiger BW, Cariou A, Cronberg T, Friberg H, et al. European Resuscitation Council and European Society of intensive care medicine guidelines 2021. post-resuscitation care. *Resuscitation*. (2021) 161:220–69. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021. 02.012
- Yenari MA, Han HS. Neuroprotective mechanisms of hypothermia in brain ischaemia. Nat Rev Neurosci. (2012) 13:267–78. doi: 10.1038/nrn3174
- 5. Donnino MW, Andersen LW, Berg KM, Reynolds JC, Nolan JP, Morley PT, et al. Temperature management after cardiac arrest: an advisory statement by the advanced life support task force of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation and the American Heart Association Emergency Cardiovascular Care Committee and the Council on Cardiopulmonary, Critical Care, Perioperative and Resuscitation. *Circulation*. (2015) 132:2448–56. doi: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000313
- Dankiewicz J, Cronberg T, Lilja G, Jakobsen JC, Levin H, Ullen S, et al. Hypothermia versus normothermia after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. N Engl J Med. (2021) 384:2283–94. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa21 00591
- 7. Osman M, Munir MB, Regner S, Osman K, Benjamin MM, Kheiri B, et al. Induced hypothermia in patients with cardiac arrest and a non-shockable rhythm: meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis. *Neurocrit Care.* (2021) 34:279–86. doi: 10.1007/s12028-020-01034-x
- Song L, Wei L, Zhang L, Lu Y, Wang K, Li Y. The role of targeted temperature management in adult patients resuscitated from nonshockable cardiac arrests: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Biomed Res Int.* (2016) 2016:2350974. doi: 10.1155/2016/2350974
- Barbarawi M, Alabdouh A, Barbarawi O, Lakshman H, Alkasasbeh M, Rizk F, et al. Targeted temperature management in cardiac arrest patients with an initial non-shockable rhythm: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Shock.* (2020) 54:623–30. doi: 10.1097/SHK.0000000000 01550
- Frydland M, Kjaergaard J, Erlinge D, Wanscher M, Nielsen N, Pellis T, et al. Target temperature management of 33 degrees C and 36 degrees C in patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with initial non-shockable rhythm a TTM sub-study. *Resuscitation*. (2015) 89:142–8. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2014.12.033
- Lascarrou J-B, Merdji H, Le Gouge A, Colin G, Grillet G, Girardie P, et al. Targeted temperature management for cardiac arrest with nonshockable rhythm. N Engl J Med. (2019) 381:2327–37. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1906661
- Bernard SA, Smith K, Finn J, Hein C, Grantham H, Bray JE, et al. Induction of therapeutic hypothermia during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest using a rapid infusion of cold saline: the RINSE Trial (Rapid Infusion of Cold Normal Saline). *Circulation.* (2016) 134:797–805. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.021989
- Nordberg P, Taccone FS, Truhlar A, Forsberg S, Hollenberg J, Jonsson M, et al. Effect of trans-nasal evaporative intra-arrest cooling on functional neurologic outcome in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: the PRINCESS Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. (2019) 321:1677–85. doi: 10.1001/jama.20 19.4149
- Zhu Y, Huang H, Feng J, Ren Y, Li W. Therapeutic hypothermia for cardiac arrest due to non-shockable rhythm: a protocol for systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine*. (2020) 99:e21452. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000021452
- Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. (2011) 343:d5928. doi: 10.1136/bmj.d5928

- Becker LB, Aufderheide TP, Geocadin RG, Callaway CW, Lazar RM, Donnino MW, et al. Primary outcomes for resuscitation science studies: a consensus statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* (2011) 124:2158–77. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0b013e31823 40239
- Hozo SP, Djulbegovic B, Hozo I. Estimating the mean and variance from the median, range, and the size of a sample. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* (2005) 5:13. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-5-13
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ. (2003) 327:557–60. doi: 10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557
- Hachimi-Idrissi S, Corne L, Ebinger G, Michotte Y, Huyghens L. Mild hypothermia induced by a helmet device: a clinical feasibility study. *Resuscitation*. (2001) 51:275–81. doi: 10.1016/S0300-9572(01)00412-9
- Hachimi-Idrissi S, Zizi M, Nguyen DN, Schiettecate J, Ebinger G, Michotte Y, et al. The evolution of serum astroglial S-100 beta protein in patients with cardiac arrest treated with mild hypothermia. *Resuscitation*. (2005) 64:187–92. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2004.08.008
- Laurent I, Adrie C, Vinsonneau C, Cariou A, Chiche JD, Ohanessian A, et al. High-volume hemofiltration after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a randomized study. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2005) 46:432–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2005.04.039
- Bernard SA, Smith K, Cameron P, Masci K, Taylor DM, Cooper DJ, et al. Induction of prehospital therapeutic hypothermia after resuscitation from nonventricular fibrillation cardiac arrest<sup>\*</sup>. *Crit Care Med.* (2012) 40:747–53. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e3182377038
- Castren M, Nordberg P, Svensson L, Taccone F, Vincent JL, Desruelles D, et al. Intra-arrest transnasal evaporative cooling: a randomized, prehospital, multicenter study (PRINCE: Pre-ROSC IntraNasal Cooling Effectiveness). *Circulation.* (2010) 122:729–36. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.931691
- 24. Debaty G, Maignan M, Savary D, Koch FX, Ruckly S, Durand M, et al. Impact of intra-arrest therapeutic hypothermia in outcomes of prehospital cardiac arrest: a randomized controlled trial. *Intensive Care Med.* (2014) 40:1832–42. doi: 10.1007/s00134-014-3519-x
- 25. Kim F, Olsufka M, Longstreth WT, Maynard C, Carlbom D, Deem S, et al. Pilot randomized clinical trial of prehospital induction of mild hypothermia in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients with a rapid infusion of 4°C normal saline. *Circulation*. (2007) 115:3064–70. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.655480
- 26. Kim F, Nichol G, Maynard C, Hallstrom A, Kudenchuk PJ, Rea T, et al. Effect of prehospital induction of mild hypothermia on survival and neurological status among adults with cardiac arrest: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. (2014) 311:45–52. doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.282173
- 27. Scales DC, Cheskes S, Verbeek PR, Pinto R, Austin D, Brooks SC, et al. Prehospital cooling to improve successful targeted temperature management after cardiac arrest: a randomized controlled trial. *Resuscitation*. (2017) 121:187–94. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2017.10.002
- Granfeldt A, Holmberg MJ, Nolan JP, Soar J, Andersen LW, International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) Advanced Life Support Task Force. Targeted temperature management in adult cardiac arrest: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Resuscitation*. (2021) 167:160–72. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.08.040
- Dragancea I, Rundgren M, Englund E, Friberg H, Cronberg T. The influence of induced hypothermia and delayed prognostication on the mode of death after cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. (2013) 84:337–42. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2012.09.015
- Laver S, Farrow C, Turner D, Nolan J. Mode of death after admission to an intensive care unit following cardiac arrest. *Intensive Care Med.* (2004) 30:2126–8. doi: 10.1007/s00134-004-2425-z
- Sun YJ, Zhang ZY, Fan B, Li GY. Neuroprotection by therapeutic hypothermia. Frontiers Neurosci. (2019) 13:586. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2019.00586
- American Heart Association. Part 7.5. postresuscitation support. Circulation. (2005) 112:IV-84-IV-8. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.166560
- Nolan JP, Deakin CD, Soar J, Bottiger BW, Smith G. European resuscitation council guidelines for resuscitation 2005. *Resuscitation*. (2005) 67:S39–86. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2005.10.009
- 34. Donnino MW, Andersen LW, Giberson T, Gaieski DF, Abella BS, Peberdy MA, et al. Initial lactate and lactate change in post-cardiac

arrest: a multicenter validation study. Crit Care Med. (2014) 42:1804–11. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000332

- Yannopoulos D, Zviman M, Castro V, Kolandaivelu A, Ranjan R, Wilson RF, et al. Intra-cardiopulmonary resuscitation hypothermia with and without volume loading in an ischemic model of cardiac arrest. *Circulation*. (2009) 120:1426–35. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.848424
- Boddicker KA, Zhang Y, Zimmerman MB, Davies LR, Kerber RE. Hypothermia improves defibrillation success and resuscitation outcomes from ventricular fibrillation. *Circulation*. (2005) 111:3195–201. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.104.492108

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Zhu, Yao, Ren, Feng and Huang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.