



Wnt1 Lineage Specific Deletion of Gpr161 Results in Embryonic Midbrain Malformation and Failure of Craniofacial Skeletal Development

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Gerson Shigeru Kobayashi, University of São Paulo, Brazil

Reviewed by:

Prasad Pethe, Symbiosis International University, India Andrew J Copp, University College London, United Kingdom

*Correspondence:

Sung-Eun Kim sungeun.kim@austin.utexas.edu Richard H. Finnell Richard.Finnell@bcm.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Genetics of Common and Rare Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

Received: 19 August 2021 Accepted: 04 November 2021 Published: 23 November 2021

Citation:

Kim S-E, Robles-Lopez K, Cao X, Liu K, Chothani PJ, Bhavani N, Rahman L, Mukhopadhyay S, Wlodarczyk BJ and Finnell RH (2021) Wnt1 Lineage Specific Deletion of Gpr161 Results in Embryonic Midbrain Malformation and Failure of Craniofacial Skeletal Development. Front. Genet. 12:761418. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.761418 Sung-Eun Kim¹*, Karla Robles-Lopez^{1,2}, Xuanye Cao², Kristyn Liu¹, Pooja J. Chothani¹, Nikitha Bhavani¹, Lauren Rahman¹, Saikat Mukhopadhyay³, Bogdan J. Wlodarczyk² and Richard H. Finnell^{1,2,4}*

¹Department of Pediatrics, Dell Pediatric Research Institute, University of Texas at Austin Dell Medical School, Austin, TX, United States, ²Center for Precision Environmental Health, Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, United States, ³Department of Cell Biology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, United States, ⁴Departments of Molecular and Human Genetics and Medicine, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, United States

Sonic hedgehog (Shh) signaling regulates multiple morphogenetic processes during embryonic neurogenesis and craniofacial skeletal development. Gpr161 is a known negative regulator of Shh signaling. Nullizygous Gpr161 mice are embryonic lethal, presenting with structural defects involving the neural tube and the craniofacies. However, the lineage specific role of Gpr161 in later embryonic development has not been thoroughly investigated. We studied the Wnt1-Cre lineage specific role of Gpr161 during mouse embryonic development. We observed three major gross morphological phenotypes in Gpr161 cKO (Gpr161 f/f; Wnt1-Cre) fetuses; protrusive tectum defect, encephalocele, and craniofacial skeletal defect. The overall midbrain tissues were expanded and cell proliferation in ventricular zones of midbrain was increased in Gpr161 cKO fetuses, suggesting that protrusive tectal defects in Gpr161 cKO are secondary to the increased proliferation of midbrain neural progenitor cells. Shh signaling activity as well as upstream Wnt signaling activity were increased in midbrain tissues of Gpr161 cKO fetuses. RNA sequencing further suggested that genes in the Shh, Wht, Fgf and Notch signaling pathways were differentially regulated in the midbrain of Gpr161 cKO fetuses. Finally, we determined that cranial neural crest derived craniofacial bone formation was significantly inhibited in Gpr161 cKO fetuses, which partly explains the development of encephalocele. Our results suggest that Gpr161 plays a distinct role in midbrain development and in the formation of the craniofacial skeleton during mouse embrvogenesis.

Keywords: Gpr161, midbrain, craniofacial defects, neural crest cells, encephaloceles, sonic hedgehog signaling, Wnt signaling

INTRODUCTION

Sonic hedgehog (Shh) signaling is one of the critical mammalian morphogen signaling pathways that regulates dorsoventral neural tube patterning (Cohen et al., 2013), neural stem cell proliferation (Ho and Scott, 2002) and neural crest cell survival (Ahlgren and Bronner-Fraser, 1999) in the developing embryo. Shh is secreted from the notochord and floor plate and plays a critical role for ventral neural tube patterning. Wnts and Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), secreted from the roof plate, modulate the dorsal neural tube patterning. Together, they fine tune the neuronal cell fates during neurulation (Le Dreau and Marti, 2012; Cohen et al., 2013). In addition, Shh signaling is known to have a critical role in embryonic neurogenesis, specifically, neural precursor cell proliferation and differentiation during forebrain and midbrain development (Ho and Scott, 2002; Feijoo et al., 2011; Komada, 2012). Shh signaling also finetunes limb patterning (Johnson et al., 1994) and craniofacial development (Xavier et al., 2016) during early fetal life. Therefore, the abnormal regulation of Shh signaling secondary to genetic mutations in the mouse and human results in various congenital malformations, such as neural tube defects (NTDs) (Wu et al., 2013; Lu et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2019; Renard et al., 2019), abnormal brain development (Dhekne et al., 2018; Nagai-Tanima et al., 2020), craniofacial abnormalities (Xavier et al., 2016; De Mori et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017), and limbs defects (Anderson et al., 2012).

Mammalian neural crest cells (NCCs) arise from the dorsal neural tube which delaminate from their site of origin and subsequently migrate and differentiate into the designated cell types in peripheral organs (Le Douarin and Dupin, 2003; Bronner and Simoes-Costa, 2016). Several kinds of NCCs, such as cranial, vagal, trunk, and sacral NCCs exist, based on their anatomical origins. The cranial NCCs emanate from the diencephalon, mesencephalon, or hindbrain to form the intramembranous craniofacial skeletal elements, including the cranial vaults and jawbones, cranial ganglion, and teeth (Santagati and Rijli, 2003). In particular, the cranial NCCs derived from the diencephalon and mesencephalon forms the craniofacial skeleton in mammals (Kuratani et al., 1997). The spatiotemporal specification of cranial NCCs is tightly regulated by multiple signaling pathways, such as Sonic hedgehog (Shh), Wnt, BMPs, Fibroblast growth factors (Fgfs), and Retinoic acid (RA) (Bronner and Simoes-Costa, 2016). Shh morphogens are secreted from the neuroectoderm of the ventral forebrain, facial ectoderm, and pharyngeal endoderm during early head formation (Nasrallah and Golden, 2001). Shh signaling is also involved in the survival of cranial NCCs (Ahlgren and Bronner-Fraser, 1999). Therefore, mutant mice in Shh signaling, such as the transducer Smoothened (Jeong et al., 2004), Suppressor of Fused (Sufu) (Li et al., 2017) and Fuz (Zhang et al., 2011; Tabler et al., 2016), have been reported to express craniofacial malformations. In humans, genes in the Shh signaling pathway, such as SUFU, are associated with craniofacial and skeletal defects, as is the case with Joubert syndrome (De Mori et al., 2017).

Gpr161 is an orphan G protein-coupled receptor (Matteson et al., 2008) and is a negative regulator of Shh signaling

(Mukhopadhyay et al., 2013). It is localized in the primary cilia and activates Protein kinase A (PKA) by increasing cyclic Adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) levels to promote Gli3 processing, thereby inhibiting the Shh target gene expression without the Shh signal. The Gpr161 hypomorphic mutant mice had both congenital cataracts and spina bifida (Wilson and Wyatt, 1986, 1993; Li et al., 2015). Gpr161 knockout mice are embryonic lethal by E10.5 and present with NTDs, craniofacial defects, and defective limb buds at E9.5 or E10 (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2019). The limb buds and facial mesenchyme specific deletion of Gpr161 in mice results in polysyndactyly of endochondral and defects and intramembranous bone formation in a cilia-dependent manner (Hwang et al., 2018). Neural stem cell-specific deletion of Gpr161 in mice manifests forebrain phenotypes such as ventriculomegaly, periventricular nodular heterotopia and altered neocortical cytoarchitectonic structure (Shimada et al., 2019), and cerebellar tumors such as Shh-subtype medulloblastoma (Shimada et al., 2018). A nonciliary but cAMP signaling competent Gpr161 mutant allele is associated with craniofacial abnormalities (Hwang et al., 2021). GPR161 genetic mutations in humans are also associated with an increased risk for NTDs (Kim et al., 2019) and the pituitary stalk interruption syndrome (Karaca et al., 2015). However, whether Gpr161 plays a role in neural crest cell-derived morphogenesis during embryonic development has not previously been confirmed experimentally.

In this study, we utilized *Wnt1-Cre* transgenic mice to investigate the role of Gpr161 on neural crest lineage specification during murine embryonic development. The *Gpr161* deletion in the *Wnt1*-lineage resulted in the midbrain protrusion and the defects of craniofacial skeletal development. Our results shed new insight into just how Gpr161 regulates *Wnt1-Cre* lineage-specific morphogenesis and skeletogenesis in mice.

RESULTS

The Conditional *Gpr161* Deletion in Cranial Neural Crest Lineage Resulted in Midbrain Protrusion and Craniofacial Defects With Encephalocele

The phenotypes of *Gpr161* KO embryos were varied yet included malformations of the pharyngeal arches and microcephaly, along with cranial and spinal NTDs (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2019). This pattern of altered development suggests that the craniofacial defects could occur in the later embryonic stages. We utilized *Wnt1-Cre* lines to investigate the role of Gpr161 on mouse neural crest-derived craniofacial development in the mouse. We initially characterized the *Cre* expression of *Wnt1-Cre* lines crossed with *Rosa-LacZ* reporter mice [**Figure 1A**; upper panel)]. *Cre* was expressed in the mesencephalon, the first and second pharyngeal arches, the trigeminal ganglia (V), and facial nerve ganglia (VII) at E9.5. By E11.5, the *Cre* expression was widely expanded into the neural tube, including the mid/hindbrain and most of the orofacial and pharyngeal arch regions (**Figure 1A**: lower panel). We observed the gross morphology of fetuses with *Wnt1-Cre* lineage-specific



Gpr161 deletion, which resulted from *Gpr161*^{*lff*} crossed with *Gpr161*^{*lff*}; *Wnt1-Cre/+* (*Gpr161*^{*lff*}; *Wnt1-Cre/+*, referred to as *Gpr161* cKO from here on in this manuscript) (**Figure 1B**). The *Gpr161* cKO fetuses survived until E18.5, although we failed to observe any liveborn pups. The *Gpr161* cKO fetuses expressed midbrain protrusion, ano/microphthalmia, ano/microtia, and severe orofacial defects at E13.5, whereas *Gpr161*^{*lff*}; *Wnt1-Cre/+* (*Cre* control) or *Gpr161*^{*lff*} (*flox* control) did not show any similar abnormal phenotypes (**Figure 1B** and **Supplementary Table S1**). Spinal edema was also apparent by E15.5 in *Gpr161* cKO fetuses. In addition, we could observe widened mandible and maxilla, which are the representative phenotypes of increased Shh signaling in the face (**Supplementary Figure S1A**). We also observed encephalocele in ~69% of the *Gpr161* cKO fetuses at E17.5 and E18.5 (**Figure 1B** and **Supplementary Table S2**).

A histological analysis was performed to further confirm the gross phenotypic malformations of the *Gpr161* cKO fetuses (**Figure 2A**). The tectum in *Gpr161* cKO was extended and mesencephalic vesicle and fourth ventricle at E13.5 were enlarged. The dorsal midbrain was enlarged by E15.5 (**Figure 2A**: lower right) and the brain herniation along with protruded meninges, which is the representative phenotypes in encephaloceles (Naidich et al., 1992), was detected in the mesencephalic ventricles of *Gpr161* cKO fetuses at E17.5 (**Supplementary Figure S1**). The maxillary bone was shortened and showed irregular shapes, and nasal septum and mandible were underdeveloped. In addition, hard palatal shelves were not fused along the midline, creating a mild cleft palate in *Gpr161* cKO fetuses at E15.5 and E17.5 (**Figure 2A**: lower right and

Supplementary Figure S1B). These morphological findings were consistent with the phenotypes of the *Gpr161* cKO that were grossly examined in terms of structural malformations of the craniofacies and the mesencephalon.

Protrusive Tectum Defects Result From Increased Neural Progenitor Cell Proliferation in *Gpr161* cKO Fetuses

As one of the characteristic phenotypes of *Gpr161* cKO fetuses was a midbrain protrusion initially observed at E13.5 and at E15.5, we sought to investigate the underlying cellular defects responsible for this abnormal phenotype. We were interested in clarifying whether these midbrain protrusive phenotypes are primarily due to the ectopic *Wnt1* overexpression or not, as was previously reported (Lewis et al., 2013). We observed that *Cre* control fetuses failed to express any similar midbrain protrusive phenotypes as were seen in the *Gpr161* cKO fetuses (**Figure 1B**). In addition, *Gpr161*^{ff};*Nestin-Cre* fetuses showed similar protrusive tectal defects at E13.5 (**Supplementary Figure S2** and **Supplementary Table S3**) although the phenotypes are less severe than that of *Gpr161* cKO. Both supported that protrusive tectal phenotypes in *Gpr161* cKO resulted from *Gpr161* deletion.

As H&E staining provided evidence of the increased cell proliferation in the midbrain regions in *Gpr161* cKO (**Figure 2A**), we performed immunohistochemistry (IHC) with Ki67 and pHH3 markers to affirm that the proliferation was regulated in *Gpr161* cKO at E13.5. The Ki67 positive cells in the midbrain regions of *Gpr161*



cKO fetuses were significantly increased compared to those of the controls ($Gpr161^{f/f}$) (Figures 2B,C), whereas pHH3 positive cells trended towards being increased in the midbrain regions of Gpr161 cKO fetuses but were not statistically significant. Interestingly, the Ki67 positive cells were widely spread in the ventricular zone (VZ). The pHH3 positive cells tend to be located in the mitotic zone of VZ (Arimura et al., 2019) in WT whereas they were more widely spread out in VZ of the dorsal midbrain in Gpr161 cKO fetuses (Figure 2B). In addition, we observed the increased Gli1 expression in the dorsal midbrain of Gpr161 cKO fetuses (Supplementary Figure S3).

Shh and Wnt Signaling are Involved in the Etiology of Protrusive Tectum Defects in *Gpr161* cKO Fetuses

Sonic hedgehog signaling as well as Wnt signaling are known to regulate midbrain patterning and proliferation (Brault et al., 2001;

Bayly et al., 2007; Blaess et al., 2008). Gpr161 is an established negative regulator of sonic hedgehog signaling in multiple developmental contexts (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2013; Hwang et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2019), and is additionally involved in regulating Wnt signaling as well (Li et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2019). To determine if Shh and Wnt signaling are involved in the increased cell proliferation in the protrusive midbrain of Gpr161 cKO fetuses, we measured Shh and Wnt signaling activities within dissected midbrain tissues from *floxed/Cre* controls and Gpr161 cKO fetuses (Figure 3). The protein levels of Gli1 and the RNA levels of Gli1, Ptch1, and Fgf15, Shh target genes, were increased (Figures 3A,B). The repressor form of Gli3 was decreased, consistent with increased Gli1 levels in Gpr161 cKO. These results revealed increased Shh signaling activities in the midbrain tissues of Gpr161 cKO fetuses. Intriguingly, the protein levels of the Wnt signaling molecules, p-LRP6, Dvl2 (both significantly upregulated) and β -catenin (tends to increase, but not statistically significant), were



increased, and one of Wnt target genes, *CyclinD1*, was increased (**Figures 3A,B**). However, classical target gene, *Axin2*, was not significantly changed in *Gpr161* cKO midbrain tissues (**Figure 3B**). These results suggested that Gpr161 possibly regulated Wnt signaling in multiple ways.

To further unbiasedly identify the molecular basis of protrusive tectum phenotypes in Gpr161 cKO fetuses, we performed RNA sequencing analysis using midbrain tissues of Gpr161 ^{f/f} (floxed control), Gpr161^{f/+};Cre/+ (Cre control), and Gpr161 cKO (Gpr161^{f/f};Cre/+) fetuses at E13.5 (Figure 4). The heatmap showed that the *floxed* control and the Cre control had a similar gene expression pattern, except Wnt1 as the Cre control had a higher Wnt1 expression compared to floxed control (Figure 4A). The top 10 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the midbrain tissues of Gpr161 cKO fetuses included: Gli1, Hhip, Ptch2, Nkx6-2, Fgf15 (Shh target genes-upregulated), Fgf8, Spry1 (Fgf signaling related genes-upregulated), Hes3 (Notch signaling related gene-upregulated), Wnt1 (agonist in Wnt signaling-upregulated) and Draxin (antagonist in Wnt signaling-downregulated) (Figure **4B**). These results demonstrated that there was increased Shh, Wnt, as well as Fgf and Notch signaling in the midbrain regions of Gpr161 cKO fetuses. The Gene Ontology (GO) analysis further demonstrated that DEGs were highly enriched in the processes involved with neurogenesis, neuronal differentiation, neuronal

morphogenesis, and mitotic cell cycle (**Figure 4C**). Taken together, the increased Shh and Wnt signaling are associated with the etiology of protrusive tectum phenotypes found in Gpr161 cKO.

The Depletion of *Gpr161* in Cranial Neural Crest Lineages Results in Craniofacial Bone Defects

The gross morphology (Figure 1B) and histological analysis (Figure 2A) suggested abnormal facial and cranial structures in Gpr161 cKO fetuses. We observed up to 69% of the Gpr161 cKO fetuses had encephalocele (Supplementary Table S2). The observed encephalocele could also be secondary to skull defects. To investigate craniofacial bone development in Gpr161 cKO fetuses, we performed skeletal staining with Alcian Blue (unmineralized cartilages) and Alizarin Red S (mineralized cartilages and bones). We observed a significant loss of mineralized skull and facial bones in Gpr161 cKO fetuses at E17.5 (Figure 5A). Specifically, the frontal, maxillary, and mandibular bones, which are derived from neural crest cell lineages, were significantly underdeveloped while the frontal bones failed to even form. The formation of parietal bones, which are derived from paraxial mesodermal cell lineages, was also severely reduced.



To further validate the skeletal staining results, we performed a bone segmentation study using 3D micro-CT imaging and further measured the volume of each bone based on micro-CT data within the craniofacial regions of *floxed* control, Cre control and Gpr161 cKO fetuses at E17.5 (Figures 5B,C). We failed to observe any overall head size differences between controls and Gpr161 cKO fetuses. Consistent with the findings of the skeletal staining studies, the neural crest lineage derived bones in the cranial vault and facial bones, including the maxilla, premaxilla, mandible, and frontal, were significantly underdeveloped or completely absent (Figure 5B) and their volumes were significantly reduced in Gpr161 cKO fetuses (Figure 5C). However, palatine bone formation was not changed, and the volume of nasal bones was increased in Gpr161 cKO fetuses. Additionally, segments and volume of the parietal bones were significantly reduced as shown in the skeletal staining of the fetuses, whereas the formation of other bones derived from paraxial mesoderm, such as the interparietal and occipital bones, were not affected in Gpr161 cKO fetuses. These results strongly suggest that Gpr161 has a significant role in the formation of the neural crest derived cranial vault and facial bones.

DISCUSSION

The *Wnt1-Cre* lineage-specific deletion of *Gpr161* in mice resulted in two significant phenotypes; one involves protrusive tectal defects, while the other are craniofacial skeletal defects, both of which may underlie the development of encephalocele in some

fetuses. The protrusive tectal defects in *Gpr161* cKO fetuses are partly due to the increased midbrain neural progenitor cell proliferation. The increased proliferation in the midbrain is associated with the elevated Shh signaling due to *Gpr161* deletion and the increased Wnt signaling, as was showed in Western Blot and qRT-PCR assays (**Figure 3**), as well as RNA seq experiments (**Figure 4**). On the contrary, the *Gpr161* depletion in neural crest cells caused severe defects in intramembranous bone formation, specifically involving the cranial vault and facial bones (Summarized in **Figure 6**).

The Role of Gpr161 on the Embryonic Midbrain Development

As previously reported (Lewis et al., 2013) and shown in Figure 1A, Wnt1-Cre driver-mediated recombination initially occurs in the midbrain dorsal neuroectoderm and the neural crest cells derived from mesencephalon forms craniofacial cartilages and bones (Santagati and Rijli, 2003). The brain hypertrophy, specifically protrusive tectal phenotypes in Gpr161 cKO fetuses with Wnt1-Cre, appears as if it is a phenocopy of Ptch1 cKO with Nestin-Cre (Martinez et al., 2013). We also observed identical phenotypes in Gpr161 cKO with Nestin-Cre fetuses (Supplementary Figure S2), indicating the critical role that Gpr161 serves during midbrain morphogenesis. The dorsal midbrain proliferation was increased in both Gpr161 cKO with Wnt1-Cre and Ptch1 cKO with Nestin-Cre, suggesting that Shh signaling is required for the tectal progenitor cell proliferation. Indeed, Shh target gene expression was increased (Figures 3, 4B), and Gli3 processing (Figure 3A) was inhibited in midbrain





tissues of *Gpr161* cKO with *Wnt1-Cre*, indicating the increased Shh signaling. In addition, five out of the top ten DEGs in the RNA seq data set were Shh target genes, including *Gli1*, *Hhip*,

Ptch2, *Fgf15*, and *Nkx6.2* (**Figure 4B**), which were upregulated in *Gpr161* cKO fetuses, providing further supporting evidence of an increased Shh signaling in the *Gpr161* cKOs. Together, these

results explain that increased Shh signaling involved in the increased mesencephalon proliferation, thereby causing the protrusive tectum in the affected fetuses. The forebrain ventricular surface was also reported to be expanded during embryogenesis from radial glial over-proliferation upon Nestin-Cre mediated deletion of Gpr161 (Shimada et al., 2019). Cortical phenotypes that were observed included polymicrogyria in the medial cingulate cortex, increased proliferation of intermediate progenitors and basal radial glia, and altered neocortical cytoarchitectonic structure with increased upper layer and decreased deep layer neurons. Overall results support the role of Gpr161 in the cell proliferation during fore/mid brain morphogenesis. In addition, the protrusive mesencephalon in RhoA cKO with Wnt1-Cre fetuses results from the hyperproliferation of midbrain progenitor cells via increased Shh signaling, providing yet more evidence supporting the role of Shh signaling in dorsal midbrain progenitor cell proliferation (Katayama et al., 2011). As Ptch1 cKO with Nestin-Cre showed similar midbrain protrusive phentoypes as did Gpr161 cKO, it will be an interesting future study to identify the relation between Gpr161 and other Shh signaling molecules (e.g. Ptch1 or Smo) during embryonic midbrain morphogenesis.

Wnt signaling is well known to be associated with mesencephalon development (Brault et al., 2001; Panhuysen et al., 2004; Chilov et al., 2010), and our results further demonstrated that the activated Wnt signaling is also involved in the mesencephalic cell proliferation. The activities of upstream signaling molecules in Wnt signaling, phosphorylated LRP6 and Dvl2, were increased and one of target genes, CyclinD1, was increased (Figure 3). At the same time, the expression of Draxin, an inhibitor of canonical Wnt signaling (Miyake et al., 2009), was decreased in *Gpr161* cKO fetuses (Figure 4B), providing evidence that the increased Wnt signaling secondary to Gpr161 depletion contributes to the observed mesencephalon cell proliferation. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that Wnt and Shh signaling regulate cell proliferation in the midbrain tissues of Gpr161 cKO in parallel. Additionally, the level of downstream Wnt signaling molecules, such as β -catenin and Axin2, was unchanged (Figure 3), maintaining the complexity of the interactions between Shh and Wnt signaling. Nonetheless, the interplay between Shh and Wnt signaling in mesencephalon progenitor cell proliferation and differentiation in Gpr161 cKO fetuses remains an inadequately resolved question (Tang et al., 2010). Clearly, the molecular interactions between Gpr161, Fgf, Notch, and Wnt signaling requires future investigation.

The Intramembranous Skeletal Defects With Encephalocele in *Gpr161* cKO Fetuses

Gpr161 depletion with *Wnt1-Cre* resulted in severe craniofacial skeletal defects (**Figure 5**). It is notable that the portions of the craniofacial skeleton derived from neural crest cells were completely absent, while those portions derived from mesenchyme were only partially reduced. The partial reduction of parietal bones, which derived from mesodermal lineages, in *Gpr161* cKO could be explained by two possibilities. Jiang et al. (2002) suggests the possibility that the neural crest

derived meninges are required for the mesodermal derived bone ossification. The other possibility is the possible Cre expression in non-neural crest cells due to leakage or due to insertion side effect in *Wnt1-Cre* line (Doro et al., 2019).

The Gpr161 depletion in mesenchymal lineages was reported to include phenotypes with the posterior cranial vault defects caused by the lack of intramembranous ossification (Hwang et al., 2018), supporting the role of Gpr161 during cranial vault skeletogenesis. One possible explanation regarding craniofacial skeletal defects in Gpr161 cKOs is that the increased neural crest cell populations due to increased Shh signaling resulting from the Gpr161 depletion might cause craniofacial skeletal defects, as was previously observed in Fuz knockout mice (Tabler et al., 2016). Another possible explanation is the involvement of Wnt/ β -catenin signaling as it plays a critical role in the intramembranous bone formation (Day and Yang, 2008). This possibility is supported by the previous report that β -catenin cKO embryos with Wnt1-Cre was phenocopied in Gpr161 cKO with Wnt1-Cre in terms of their complete lack of neural crest cell derived cranial vault and facial bones (Brault et al., 2001). However, the underlying cellular and molecular processes of craniofacial skeletal defects in Gpr161 cKO need to be further explored. It will be an additional future study to investigate the relation between Gpr161 and other Shh signaling molecules, such as Sufu or Smo, during embryonic craniofacial skeletogenesis.

Implications of the Role of Gpr161 in Encephaloceles

The pathogenesis and the etiology of the structural malformations known as encephaloceles have not been comprehensively studied even though it is often classified as a type of neural tube defects. A recent publication (Rolo et al., 2019) showed that encephaloceles result from the defective surface ectoderm in a post-neurulation manner along with severe calvarial bone defects at a later embryonic stage in the surface ectoderm specific deletion of Rac1 mouse model. As Gpr161 cKO did not show any significant phenotypic malformations at E9.5 or 10.5, the encephaloceles in Gpr161 cKO clearly occur in postneurulation stage embryos. In addition, encephaloceles are often observed to be associated with other human birth defects, including other NTDs, cleft palate, craniosynostosis (Naidich et al., 1992; Caplan et al., 2002; Ganapathy et al., 2014), which are similar phenotypes to those observed in mouse models with Gpr161 cKO or Rac1 cKO (Rolo et al., 2019). Therefore, our study provides a potential mouse model of encephaloceles, which enables us to further study the molecular pathogenicity of this much-understudied congenital malformation.

Conclusion and Future Directions

In this study, we attempted to unravel the role of Gpr161 in embryonic midbrain development and craniofacial bone formation in mouse models with Wnt1 lineage specific deletion of Gpr161, demonstrating the distinct role of Gpr161 in mesencephalon proliferation and neural crest derived craniofacial skeleton morphogenesis. Our data suggests that Gpr161 cKO can serve as a mouse model for enhancing our understanding of the basic developmental biology of encephaloceles. The results from this study also suggest a possible genetic association of *GPR161* with such craniofacial defects as cleft palate, as well as encephaloceles in humans. Based on this study, we will further delineate the role of Gpr161 in neural crest cell differentiation and will also study the genetic association of *GPR161* and associated Sonic Hedgehog genes and craniofacial birth defects in human patient samples.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mouse Strains

All mice were housed and handled according to the guidelines approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of The University of Texas at Austin. *Gpr161* conditional knock out mice (*Gpr161 flox*) were generated and graciously provided by Dr. Saikat Mukhopadhyay (UT Southwestern, Dallas) and the detailed information was previously reported (Hwang et al., 2018). The transgenic mice, *Wnt1-Cre* (#009107), *Nestin-Cre* (#003771), and *Rosa26-lsl-LacZ* (#003474), were purchased from Jackson laboratory. The genotypes of the mice and embryos/fetuses were determined by PCR-based genotyping.

Whole Mount β-Galactosidase Staining

Embryos were collected at E9.5 and E11.5 from timed mated breeding pairs between *Wnt1-Cre* and *Rosa26-LacZ*. The harvested embryos were fixed, and β -gal staining was performed according to the manufacturer's instruction (Millipore Sigma). The images were captured by Leica stereomicroscope with a Nikon digital camera.

Immunohistochemistry

Fetuses were harvested at either E13.5 or E15.5 from timed mating between *Gpr161* ^{f/f} and *Gpr161*^{f/+};*Wnt1-Cre/+*. Collected fetuses were fixed, paraffin embedded, and sectioned with 4 umthickness. The paraffin sections were deparaffinized, dehydrated, antigen-retrieved, blocked (blocking solution: Thermo Fisher scientific), and incubated with primary antibodies (Ki67, pHH3, and Gli1) diluted with Lab VisionTM Antibody Diluent Quanto (Thermo Fischer scientific) overnight at 4°C. After washing, sectioned were incubated with Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) polymer conjugate (UnltraVisionTM LP detection system, Thermo Fisher scientific) and DAB (Boster Bio). The sections were counterstained with hematoxylin (Thermo Fisher scientific). Images were captured with All-In-One Fluorescent (Keyence) microscope using a ×2 and ×20 objective. To assess the positive cells for each proliferation marker Ki-67 and pHH3 in the dorsal midbrain regions of each embryo, the images were digitized and analyzed with Fiji (NIH), with image analysis. For quantification, a total of 4–6 fields per dorsal midbrain regions per each embryo were captured at \times 20 magnification. Each field was divided into 100 equal squares and subjected to color deconvolution. For each of the images, the Shanbhag threshold (Shanbhag, 1994) was applied to DAB-only images. The stained fraction was measured in the threshold fields (color deconvolution also gives 4'6'-diamidino-2phenylindole (DAPI), and helps identify each threshold cell), then percentage of DAB positive cells from each image was calculated and assessed. The mean of 10 values obtained across from the six fields was calculated for each of the markers and each of the embryos, which then were subjected for the statistical analysis.

Midbrain Dissection and Western Blot

The midbrain tissues were dissected from E13.5 mouse fetuses as described (Weinert et al., 2015). The dissected midbrain tissues were lysed with Radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) lysis buffer. The denatured protein samples were immunoblotted using anti-Gli3 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), Gli1, p-LRP6, Dvl2, β -actin (Cell signaling), β -catenin (BD bioscience) and then with 1RDye[®] 800CW goat anti-rabbit IgG and 1RDye[®] 680CW goat anti-mouse IgG secondary antibodies (LI-COR). The images were captured by Odyssey[®] (LI-COR).

RNA Extraction and Quantitative RT-PCR

The dissected midbrain tissues from E13.5 mouse fetuses were lysed with Trizol and total RNA was purified with Direct-zol RNA kit (Zymo research). For quantitative RT-PCR, 500 ng-1 μ g of RNA was used to synthesize cDNA using iScript reverse transcription Supermix kit (Bio-Rad). The quantitative RT-PCR was performed using SsoAdvancedTM Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer's instruction. The primers for qRT-PCR are as below.

RNA Sequencing

The tissues were harvested as described in Midbrain dissection and total RNAs were extracted with Direct-zol RNA kit (Zymo Research). The quantity and integrity of RNAs were analyzed by Nanodrop (Thermo Fisher) and Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). The library was prepared with NEBNext Ultra RNA with Poly-A selection and (NEB) was sequenced on an Illumina Hi-Seq 4000 (Admera Health LLC). The differential gene expression was determined with fold change >1.5 and *p* < 0.05 genes with <1 count per million (cpm). Any gene with a *p*-value greater than FDR, after Benjamini-Hochberg correction for multi-testing, was deemed significantly differentially expressed under the test condition as compared to the control. The dataset was analyzed by the Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis.

	Forward (5′ →3′)	Reverse (5′→3′)
Gpr161	TCGGTGGAGTTTGATGAGTTCA	CCGTAGCACACTAGCATGATGA
Gli1	CCAAGCCAACTTTATGTCAGGG	AGCCCGCTTCTTTGTTAATTTGA
Ptch1	TGGCTCTTGGAGGGCAGAAATTAC	CCTGGGTGGTCTCTCTACTTTGGT
Fgf15	GAGGACCAAAACGAACGAAATT	ACGTCCTTGATGGCAATCG
CyclinD1	TCCCAGACGTTCAGAACC	AGGGCATCTGTAAATACACT
Axin2	AAGTGTCTCTACCTCATTTTCCG	TCCAGTTTCAGTTTCTCCAGC
Gapdh	GACCTGCCGTCTAGAAAAAC	CTGTAGCCAAATTCGTTGTC

Bone-Cartilage Skeletal Staining

Skeletal staining was performed using a modified Alcian Blue/ Alizarin Red staining procedure (Kessel et al., 1990). Briefly, the E17.5 fetuses were eviscerated and fixed with 95% ethanol and then acetone. Fixed fetuses were incubated with staining solution (0.005% Alizarin red S 0.015% Alcian Blue GS in 5% acetic acid, 5% H₂O and 90% ethanol) for 3 days at 37°C. After washing, samples were kept in 1% KOH for 48 h. For long term storage, specimens were transferred into 20, 50 and 80% glycerol solutions and were ultimately maintained in 100% glycerol. The images were captured by a Leica stereomicroscope with a Nikon digital camera.

Micro-CT Scan and Image Processing

The E17.5 fetuses were fixed with 10% formalin followed by 70% ethanol. Specimens were scanned at the University of Texas High-Resolution X-ray CT Facility using the flat panel detector on a Zeiss Xradia 620 Versa. The X-ray source was set to 70 kV and 8.5 W with no filter. A total of 2001 0.1s projections were acquired over \pm 180 degrees of rotation with no frame averaging. A source-object distance of 18.0 mm and a detector-object distance of 251.7 mm resulted in 9.98-micron resolution. The resulting data were segmented in Avizo software v.2020.2.

Statistical Analysis

The experiments were done in triplicate Unless specifically stated otherwise and the data was analyzed by the Standard Deviation (SD) with student t-test for comparing groups. For IHC data, the statistical analysis was performed with 2-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparison (GraphPad Prism9).

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: Gene Expression Omnibus GSE185336.

REFERENCES

- Ahlgren, S. C., and Bronner-Fraser, M. (1999). Inhibition of Sonic Hedgehog Signaling *In Vivo* Results in Craniofacial Neural Crest Cell Death. *Curr. Biol.* 9 (22), 1304–1314. doi:10.1016/s0960-9822(00)80052-4
- Anderson, E., Peluso, S., Lettice, L. A., and Hill, R. E. (2012). Human Limb Abnormalities Caused by Disruption of Hedgehog Signaling. *Trends Genet.* 28 (8), 364–373. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2012.03.012
- Arimura, N., Dewa, K.-i., Okada, M., Yanagawa, Y., Taya, S.-i., and Hoshino, M. (2019). Comprehensive and Cell-Type-Based Characterization of the Dorsal Midbrain during Development. *Genes Cells* 24 (1), 41–59. doi:10.1111/ gtc.12656
- Bayly, R. D., Ngo, M., Aglyamova, G. V., and Agarwala, S. (2007). Regulation of Ventral Midbrain Patterning by Hedgehog Signaling. *Development* 134 (11), 2115–2124. doi:10.1242/dev.02850
- Blaess, S., Stephen, D., and Joyner, A. L. (2008). Gli3 Coordinates Three-Dimensional Patterning and Growth of the Tectum and Cerebellum by Integrating Shh and Fgf8 Signaling. *Development* 135 (12), 2093–2103. doi:10.1242/dev.015990

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of The University of Texas at Austin.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

S-EK and RF conceived overall study, S-EK performed mouse work and biochemical work, KR-L performed IHC, XC analyzed RNA seq data set, KL, PC, NB, and LR performed mouse work and genotyping, SM provided Gpr161 flox mice, BW analyzed IHC data and mouse phenotypes. S-EK, SM, and RF wrote and edited the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by grants from NIH (HD093758 and HD067244) to RF and S-EK.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Yue Li in Histology Core of Dell Pediatric Research Institute for the technical assistance for histology and Ryan S. Gray (UT Austin) providing us *Rosa26-lsl-LacZ* mice. The micro-CT images were obtained, and image analysis were performed at the High-Resolution X-ray Computed Tomography Facility of the University of Texas at Austin.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgene.2021.761418/full#supplementary-material

- Brault, V., Moore, R., Kutsch, S., Ishibashi, M., Rowitch, D. H., McMahon, A. P., et al. (2001). Inactivation of the (β)-Catenin Gene by Wnt1-Cre-Mediated Deletion Results in Dramatic Brain Malformation and Failure of Craniofacial Development. Development 128 (8), 1253–1264. doi:10.1242/dev.128.8.1253
- Bronner, M. E., and Simões-Costa, M. (2016). The Neural Crest Migrating into the Twenty-First Century. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* 116, 115–134. doi:10.1016/ bs.ctdb.2015.12.003
- Caplan, J., Angel, M., and Parent, A. (2002). Encephalocele as a Late Complication of Cranial Vault Reconstruction in a Patient with Crouzon's Syndrome. J. Craniofac. Surg. 13 (4), 501–504. doi:10.1097/00001665-200207000-00004
- Chilov, D., Sinjushina, N., Saarimäki-Vire, J., Taketo, M. M., and Partanen, J. (2010). Beta-Catenin Regulates Intercellular Signalling Networks and Celltype Specific Transcription in the Developing Mouse Midbrain-Rhombomere 1 Region. *PLoS One* 5 (6), e10881. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0010881
- Cohen, M., Briscoe, J., and Blassberg, R. (2013). Morphogen Interpretation: the Transcriptional Logic of Neural Tube Patterning. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 23 (4), 423–428. doi:10.1016/j.gde.2013.04.003

- Day, T. F., and Yang, Y. (2008). Wnt and Hedgehog Signaling Pathways in Bone Development. J. Bone Jt. Surg Am. 90 (Suppl. 1), 19–24. doi:10.2106/ IBJS.G.01174
- De Mori, R., Romani, M., D'Arrigo, S., Zaki, M. S., Lorefice, E., Tardivo, S., et al. (2017). Hypomorphic Recessive Variants in SUFU Impair the Sonic Hedgehog Pathway and Cause Joubert Syndrome with Cranio-Facial and Skeletal Defects. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 101 (4), 552–563. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2017.08.017
- Dhekne, H. S., Yanatori, I., Gomez, R. C., Tonelli, F., Diez, F., Schüle, B., et al. (2018). A Pathway for Parkinson's Disease LRRK2 Kinase to Block Primary Cilia and Sonic Hedgehog Signaling in the Brain. *Elife* 7, e40202. doi:10.7554/ eLife.40202
- Doro, D., Liu, A., Grigoriadis, A. E., and Liu, K. J. (2019). The Osteogenic Potential of the Neural Crest Lineage May Contribute to Craniosynostosis. *Mol. Syndromol.* 10 (1-2), 48–57. doi:10.1159/000493106
- Feijóo, C. G., Oñate, M. G., Milla, L. A., and Palma, V. A. (2011). Sonic Hedgehog (Shh)-Gli Signaling Controls Neural Progenitor Cell Division in the Developing Tectum in Zebrafish. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 33 (4), 589–598. doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2010.07560.x
- Ganapathy, A., T, S., Swer, M. H., and Rao, S. (2014). Occipital Meningoencephalocele with Cleft Lip, Cleft Palate and Limb Abnormalities- A Case Report. J. Clin. Diagn Res. 8 (12), AD03–05. doi:10.7860/JCDR/2014/10842.5326
- Ho, K. S., and Scott, M. P. (2002). Sonic Hedgehog in the Nervous System: Functions, Modifications and Mechanisms. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 12 (1), 57–63. doi:10.1016/s0959-4388(02)00290-8
- Hwang, S.-h., White, K. A., Somatilaka, B. N., Shelton, J. M., Richardson, J. A., and Mukhopadhyay, S. (2018). The G-Protein-Coupled Receptor Gpr161 Regulates Forelimb Formation, Limb Patterning and Skeletal Morphogenesis in a Primary Cilium-Dependent Manner. *Development* 145 (1), dev154054. doi:10.1242/ dev.154054
- Hwang, S.-H., Somatilaka, B. N., White, K., and Mukhopadhyay, S. (2021). Ciliary and Extraciliary Gpr161 Pools Repress Hedgehog Signaling in a Tissue-specific Manner. *Elife* 10, e67121. doi:10.7554/eLife.67121
- Jeong, J., Mao, J., Tenzen, T., Kottmann, A. H., and McMahon, A. P. (2004). Hedgehog Signaling in the Neural Crest Cells Regulates the Patterning and Growth of Facial Primordia. *Genes Dev.* 18 (8), 937–951. doi:10.1101/ gad.1190304
- Jiang, X., Iseki, S., Maxson, R. E., Sucov, H. M., and Morriss-Kay, G. M. (2002). Tissue Origins and Interactions in the Mammalian Skull Vault. *Dev. Biol.* 241 (1), 106–116. doi:10.1006/dbio.2001.0487
- Johnson, R. L., Riddle, R. D., Laufer, E., and Tabin, C. (1994). Sonic Hedgehog: a Key Mediator of Anterior-Posterior Patterning of the Limb and Dorso-Ventral Patterning of Axial Embryonic Structures. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 22 (3), 569–574. doi:10.1042/bst0220569
- Karaca, E., Buyukkaya, R., Pehlivan, D., Charng, W.-L., Yaykasli, K. O., Bayram, Y., et al. (2015). Whole-exome Sequencing Identifies Homozygous GPR161 Mutation in a Family with Pituitary Stalk Interruption Syndrome. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 100 (1), E140–E147. doi:10.1210/jc.2014-1984
- Katayama, K.-i., Melendez, J., Baumann, J. M., Leslie, J. R., Chauhan, B. K., Nemkul, N., et al. (2011). Loss of RhoA in Neural Progenitor Cells Causes the Disruption of Adherens Junctions and Hyperproliferation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 108 (18), 7607–7612. doi:10.1073/pnas.1101347108
- Kessel, M., Balling, R., and Gruss, P. (1990). Variations of Cervical Vertebrate after Expression of a Hox-1.1 Transgene in Mice. *Cell* 61 (2), 301–308. doi:10.1016/ 0092-8674(90)90810-2
- Kim, S.-E., Lei, Y., Hwang, S.-H., Wlodarczyk, B. J., Mukhopadhyay, S., Shaw, G. M., et al. (2019). Dominant Negative GPR161 Rare Variants Are Risk Factors of Human Spina Bifida. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 28 (2), 200–208. doi:10.1093/hmg/ ddy339
- Komada, M. (2012). Sonic Hedgehog Signaling Coordinates the Proliferation and Differentiation of Neural Stem/progenitor Cells by Regulating Cell Cycle Kinetics during Development of the Neocortex. *Congenit. Anom. (Kyoto)* 52 (2), 72–77. doi:10.1111/j.1741-4520.2012.00368.x
- Kuratani, S., Matsuo, I., and Aizawa, S. (1997). Developmental Patterning and Evolution of the Mammalian Viscerocranium: Genetic Insights into Comparative Morphology. *Dev. Dyn.* 209 (2), 139–155. doi:10.1002/(sici) 1097-0177(199706)209:2<139:aid-aja1>3.0.co;2-j
- Le Douarin, N. M., and Dupin, E. (2003). Multipotentiality of the Neural Crest. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 13 (5), 529–536. doi:10.1016/j.gde.2003.08.002

- Le Dréau, G., and Martí, E. (2012). Dorsal-ventral Patterning of the Neural Tube: a Tale of Three Signals. *Devel Neurobio*. 72 (12), 1471–1481. doi:10.1002/dneu.22015
- Lewis, A. E., Vasudevan, H. N., O'Neill, A. K., Soriano, P., and Bush, J. O. (2013). The Widely Used Wnt1-Cre Transgene Causes Developmental Phenotypes by Ectopic Activation of Wnt Signaling. *Dev. Biol.* 379 (2), 229–234. doi:10.1016/ j.ydbio.2013.04.026
- Li, B. I., Matteson, P. G., Ababon, M. F., Nato, A. Q., Jr., Lin, Y., Nanda, V., et al. (2015). The Orphan GPCR, Gpr161, Regulates the Retinoic Acid and Canonical Wnt Pathways during Neurulation. *Dev. Biol.* 402 (1), 17–31. doi:10.1016/ j.ydbio.2015.02.007
- Li, J., Cui, Y., Xu, J., Wang, Q., Yang, X., Li, Y., et al. (2017). Suppressor of Fused Restraint of Hedgehog Activity Level Is Critical for Osteogenic Proliferation and Differentiation during Calvarial Bone Development. J. Biol. Chem. 292 (38), 15814–15825. doi:10.1074/jbc.M117.777532
- Lu, X., Wang, Z., Wang, J., Shangguan, S., Bao, Y., Lu, P., et al. (2014). An Association Study betweenSUFUgene Polymorphisms and Neural Tube Defects. Int. J. Neurosci. 124 (6), 436–442. doi:10.3109/00207454.2013.849249
- Martínez, C., Cornejo, V. H., Lois, P., Ellis, T., Solis, N. P., Wainwright, B. J., et al. (2013). Proliferation of Murine Midbrain Neural Stem Cells Depends upon an Endogenous Sonic Hedgehog (Shh) Source. *PLoS One* 8 (6), e65818. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065818
- Matteson, P. G., Desai, J., Korstanje, R., Lazar, G., Borsuk, T. E., Rollins, J., et al. (2008). The Orphan G Protein-Coupled Receptor, Gpr161, Encodes the Vacuolated Lens Locus and Controls Neurulation and Lens Development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 105 (6), 2088–2093. doi:10.1073/ pnas.0705657105
- Miyake, A., Takahashi, Y., Miwa, H., Shimada, A., Konishi, M., and Itoh, N. (2009). Neucrin Is a Novel Neural-specific Secreted Antagonist to Canonical Wnt Signaling. *Biochem. Biophysical Res. Commun.* 390 (3), 1051–1055. doi:10.1016/ j.bbrc.2009.10.113
- Mukhopadhyay, S., Wen, X., Ratti, N., Loktev, A., Rangell, L., Scales, S. J., et al. (2013). The Ciliary G-Protein-Coupled Receptor Gpr161 Negatively Regulates the Sonic Hedgehog Pathway via cAMP Signaling. *Cell* 152 (1-2), 210–223. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.12.026
- Nagai-Tanima, M., Hong, S., Hu, P., Carrington, B., Sood, R., Roessler, E., et al. (2020). Rare Hypomorphic Human Variation in the Heptahelical Domain of SMO Contributes to Holoprosencephaly Phenotypes. *Hum. Mutat.* 41 (12), 2105–2118. doi:10.1002/humu.24103
- Naidich, T. P., Altman, N. R., Braffman, B. H., McLone, D. G., and Zimmerman, R. A. (1992). Cephaloceles and Related Malformations. *AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 13 (2), 655–690.
- Nasrallah, I., and Golden, J. A. (2001). Brain, Eye, and Face Defects as a Result of Ectopic Localization of Sonic Hedgehog Protein in the Developing Rostral Neural Tube. *Teratology* 64 (2), 107–113. doi:10.1002/tera.1052
- Panhuysen, M., Vogt Weisenhorn, D. M., Blanquet, V., Brodski, C., Heinzmann, U., Beisker, W., et al. (2004). Effects of Wnt1 Signaling on Proliferation in the Developing Mid-/Hindbrain Region. *Mol. Cell Neurosci.* 26 (1), 101–111. doi:10.1016/j.mcn.2004.01.011
- Renard, E., Chéry, C., Oussalah, A., Josse, T., Perrin, P., Tramoy, D., et al. (2019).
 Exome Sequencing of Cases with Neural Tube Defects Identifies Candidate Genes Involved in One-Carbon/vitamin B12 Metabolisms and Sonic Hedgehog Pathway. *Hum. Genet.* 138 (7), 703–713. doi:10.1007/s00439-019-02015-7
- Rolo, A., Galea, G. L., Savery, D., Greene, N. D. E., and Copp, A. J. (2019). Novel Mouse Model of Encephalocele: post-neurulation Origin and Relationship to Open Neural Tube Defects. *Dis. Model. Mech.* 12 (11), dmm040683. doi:10.1242/dmm.040683
- Santagati, F., and Rijli, F. M. (2003). Cranial Neural Crest and the Building of the Vertebrate Head. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 4 (10), 806–818. doi:10.1038/nrn1221
- Shanbhag, A. G. (1994). Utilization of Information Measure as a Means of Image Thresholding. CVGIP: Graphical Models Image Process. 56(5), 414–419. doi:10.1006/cgip.1994.1037
- Shimada, I. S., Hwang, S.-H., Somatilaka, B. N., Wang, X., Skowron, P., Kim, J., et al. (2018). Basal Suppression of the Sonic Hedgehog Pathway by the G-Protein-Coupled Receptor Gpr161 Restricts Medulloblastoma Pathogenesis. *Cel Rep.* 22 (5), 1169–1184. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.01.018
- Shimada, I. S., Somatilaka, B. N., Hwang, S.-H., Anderson, A. G., Shelton, J. M., Rajaram, V., et al. (2019). Derepression of Sonic Hedgehog Signaling upon

Gpr161 Deletion Unravels Forebrain and Ventricular Abnormalities. *Dev. Biol.* 450 (1), 47–62. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2019.03.011

- Tabler, J. M., Rice, C. P., Liu, K. J., and Wallingford, J. B. (2016). A Novel Ciliopathic Skull Defect Arising from Excess Neural Crest. *Dev. Biol.* 417 (1), 4–10. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2016.07.001
- Tang, M., Villaescusa, J. C., Luo, S. X., Guitarte, C., Lei, S., Miyamoto, Y., et al. (2010). Interactions of Wnt/-Catenin Signaling and Sonic Hedgehog Regulate the Neurogenesis of Ventral Midbrain Dopamine Neurons. J. Neurosci. 30 (27), 9280–9291. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0860-10.2010
- Wang, Y., Sun, Y., Huang, Y., Pan, Y., Shi, B., Ma, J., et al. (2017). The Association Study of Nonsyndromic Cleft Lip with or without Cleft Palate Identified Risk Variants of the \$\$\varvec{GLI3}\$\$ G L I 3 Gene in a Chinese Population. J. Genet. 96 (4), 687–693. doi:10.1007/s12041-017-0808-5
- Weinert, M., Selvakumar, T., Tierney, T. S., and Alavian, K. N. (2015). Isolation, Culture and Long-Term Maintenance of Primary Mesencephalic Dopaminergic Neurons from Embryonic Rodent Brains. J. Vis. Exp. (96), 52475. doi:10.3791/52475
- Wilson, D. B., and Wyatt, D. P. (1986). Pathogenesis of Neural Dysraphism in the Mouse Mutant Vacuolated Lens (VI). J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. 45 (1), 43–55. doi:10.1097/00005072-198601000-00004
- Wilson, D. B., and Wyatt, D. P. (1993). In Vitro expression of Neural Tube Pathology in the VI Mutant Mouse. J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. 52 (3), 253–259. doi:10.1097/00005072-199305000-00009
- Wu, J., Lu, X., Wang, Z., Shangguan, S., Chang, S., Li, R., et al. (2013). Association between PKA Gene Polymorphism and NTDs in High Risk Chinese Population in Shanxi. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol.* 6 (12), 2968–2974.
- Xavier, G. M., Seppala, M., Barrell, W., Birjandi, A. A., Geoghegan, F., and Cobourne, M. T. (2016). Hedgehog Receptor Function during Craniofacial Development. *Dev. Biol.* 415 (2), 198–215. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2016.02.009

Zhang, Z., Wlodarczyk, B. J., Niederreither, K., Venugopalan, S., Florez, S., Finnell, R. H., et al. (2011). Fuz Regulates Craniofacial Development through Tissue Specific Responses to Signaling Factors. *PLoS One* 6 (9), e24608. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0024608

Conflict of Interest: RF formerly held a leadership position in the now defunct TeratOmic Consulting LLC. He also receives travel funds from the journal Reproductive and Developmental Medicine to attend quarterly editorial board meetings.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Kim, Robles-Lopez, Cao, Liu, Chothani, Bhavani, Rahman, Mukhopadhyay, Wlodarczyk and Finnell. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.