**Original paper** 

# Genetic profile of MIF single nucleotide polymorphism (rs755622 G>C) in hepatocellular carcinoma among Egyptian patients

Heba Ahmed Osman<sup>1</sup>, Marwa El-Sayed<sup>2</sup>, Mohammed Tag-Adeen<sup>3</sup>, Ahlam Sabra<sup>3</sup>, Samer A. El-Sawy<sup>4</sup>, Marwa Ahmed Mahmoud<sup>5</sup>, Saeda Mohamed Abd Elwahab<sup>6</sup>, Mohammed Wahman<sup>7</sup>, Mohammed H. Hassan<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Tropical Medicine and Gastroenterology, Qena Faculty of Medicine, South Valley University, Qena, Egypt <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Qena Faculty of Medicine, South Valley University, Qena, Egypt <sup>3</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Qena Faculty of Medicine, South Valley University, Qena, Egypt

<sup>4</sup>Department of Medical Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Sohag University, Sohag, Egypt

<sup>5</sup>Department of Medical Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Sohag University, Sohag, Egypt

<sup>6</sup>Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, South Valley University, Qena, Egypt

<sup>7</sup>Department of Oncology and Nuclear Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, South Valley University, Qena, Egypt

<sup>8</sup>Department of Medical Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, South Valley University, Qena, Egypt

## Abstract

**Aim of the study:** To evaluate the role of MIF gene polymorphism rs755622 G>C in occurrence and progression of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) among a cohort of Egyptian patients.

**Material and methods:** This case-control study was conducted on 50 patients with HCC after chronic viral hepatitis and 50 healthy volunteers, recruited between July 2021 and January 2022. All patients with HCC were evaluated for severity of liver disease using a Child-Pugh score, and TNM and BCLC scoring systems. MIF 173 G>C (rs755622) single nucleotide polymorphism was performed for all participants by polymerase chain reaction using restriction fragment length polymorphism technique (RFLP-PCR).

**Results:** Overall results showed significantly higher frequencies of GG (wild homozygous genotype) and mutant heterozygous genotype GC and G allele (OR = 6.303, 95% CI: 3.374-11.775) among patients with HCC compared to the control group (p = 0.001) for all. Also, significantly higher frequency of genotype GG was detected among patients with advanced Child scores (B and C) (p = 0.039) and TNM stages (III and IV) (p = 0.013). There was significantly higher frequency of the G allele among patients with multiple hepatic focal lesions compared to those with a single focal lesion (p = 0.01).

**Conclusions:** An obvious role of MIF (rs755622) gene polymorphism could have an important role in susceptibility and progression of HCC among patients with chronic viral hepatitis induced liver cirrhosis.

Key words: HCC, MIFrs755622, RFLP-PCR, HBV, HCV.

Address for correspondence:

Ass. Prof. Heba Ahmed Osman, Department of Tropical Medicine and Gastroenterology, Qena Faculty of Medicine, South Valley University, Qena, Egypt, e-mail: drheba.saleh@med.svu.edu.eg

# Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the most prevalent cancers worldwide. It carries a poor cancer-specific survival despite the recent advances in its diagnosis and treatment [1]. HCC development is usually associated with inflammation of the liver parenchyma that may be caused by several factors including viral hepatitis, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and alcohol steatohepatitis (ASH) [2]. Exposure of liver cells to repetitive injuries initiates a process of tissue and cell repair in order to restore the normal liver anatomy and function. However, continuous exposure to injurious factors leads to consecutive cycles of hepatocyte destruction-regeneration which promotes HCC tumorigenesis [3]. The HCC microenvironment comprises several cellular and non-cellular components including stromal cells, endothelial cells, immune cells, inflammatory cells, cytokines and extracellular matrix, and this microenvironment has a crucial role in HCC initiation and progression [4].

A proinflammatory cytokine called macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF), which is mostly generated by Th2 cells and macrophages, can mediate the response to stress and infection by activating innate and adaptive immunological pathways [5]. MIF, a 12 kDa peptide with 114 amino acids, is encoded by a single gene on human chromosome 22q11.2m [6]. It primarily interacts with its receptor CD74 to join forces with CD44 to create a complex, which may last long enough to activate the ERK-MAPK pathway through the signal transduction of Src tyrosine kinase. The translocation of NFKB to the nucleus, upregulation of PLA2 and prostaglandin, and arachidonic acid pathway stimulation are some of the pathway's downstream effects [7]. MIF-173G/C, a G to C transversion inside the MIF promoter region at position -173 which provides an AP4 transcription factor binding site, is the only single-nucleotide polymorphism discovered thus far in the 50 regions of the MIF gene [8]. MIF-173G/C polymorphism was linked to cancer susceptibility, according to previous research [9]. MIF has the potential to promote tumor growth and tumor-associated angiogenesis in mice [10]. So, its role in HCC is not clearly explored. Therefore, the current research aimed to test whether MIF gene polymorphism could be considered as an additional risk factor for development of HCC among a cohort of Egyptian patients with chronic viral hepatitis (hepatitis B virus [HBV] or hepatitis C virus [HCV]).

## Material and methods

#### Study design, participants and data collection

The study protocol was prepared in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and has been approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, South Valley University, Qena, Egypt (code number. SVU-MED-MBC004-4-22-2-335). Written informed consent was obtained from each subject.

This study was a prospective randomized case-control study, carried out on 100 individuals recruited from the Departments of Internal Medicine, and Tropical Medicine and Gastroenterology, South Valley University Hospitals, Qena, Egypt between July 2021 and January 2022. The participants were categorized into two groups: group A included 50 patients with HCC on top of post-chronic hepatitis C (CHC) or post-chronic hepatitis B (CHB) liver cirrhosis; and 50 unrelated, age- and sex-matched healthy volunteers selected as controls formed group B. The study protocol was prepared in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and has been approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, South Valley University, Qena, Egypt. Each participant provided their written, informed consent. Sample size was adjusted to achieve 80% power and 5% confidence of significance (type I error).

Patients who were under the age of 18 years, those who had chronic liver disease other than CHC and CHB, and patients with liver metastasis or extrahepatic primary malignancies were excluded from the study.

Thorough medical history taking and full clinical examination were done. The diagnosis of HCC was based on the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) guidelines [11]. All patients with HCC were evaluated for severity of liver disease using a Child-Pugh score [12], and tumor staging was assessed using tumor (T), nodes (N), and metastases (M) (TNM), Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) scoring systems [13].

#### Investigatory workup

#### **Biochemical and genetic analyses**

1. Blood samples and biochemical assays

A 5 ml venous blood sample was obtained from each participant under sterile conditions; 2 ml were placed into EDTA containing tubes for complete blood counts using Sysmex, and then stored at -80°C for later genetic analysis. The remaining 3 ml of each sample were divided into two parts; the first part was placed into citrated tubes and was centrifuged to obtain citrated plasma, while the second part was evacuated into serum gel separator tubes and was allowed to be clotted at 37°C for 30 min then was centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 10 min to obtain serum. Using an autoanalyzer (Dialab 450 system), the separated sera were used for assays of serum creatinine, liver function [albumin, total and direct bilirubin, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP)]. Citrated plasma samples were used for assay of prothrombin time (PT), prothrombin concentration (PC), and international normalized ratio (INR). Serum a-fetoprotein (AFP) measurement was performed by microplate ELISA reader (EMR-500, Labomed Inc., Los Angeles, CA 90034 US) using a commercially available ELISA assay kit. Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) and HCV antibody detection were performed using the automated MiniVidas immune-assay system (Bio-Merieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France).

2. Single nucleotide polymorphism detection technique for MIF 173 G>C  $\,$ 

- DNA extraction: Performed using the G-spin total DNA extraction kit methodology (iNtRON Biotechnology, Inc., Korea). The extracted DNA was kept at -80°C for later genetic study;
- MIF genotyping.

Beijing SBS Genetech, China provided the two particular oligonucleotide primers for MIF 173 G>C (rs755622): the forward primer 5'-ACTAAGAAAG-ACCCGAGGC-3' and the reverse primer 5'-GGGG-CACGTTGGTGTTTAC-3', in keeping with earlier protocols [14, 15], using polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP-PCR).

The following PCR settings were followed after combining 12.5  $\mu$ l of the PCR master mix solution (Catalog no. 25028, iNtRON Biotechnology, Korea) with 1  $\mu$ l of the forward primer, 1  $\mu$ l of the reverse primer, 8.5  $\mu$ l of nuclease-free water, and 2  $\mu$ l of extracted DNA for a total volume of 25  $\mu$ l: 35 cycles were performed using the Biometra thermal cycler (Serial no. 2603204, Biometra, Germany) at the following temperatures: 94°C for 30 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 60 seconds, and a final extension of 10 minutes. The restriction enzyme FastDigest Alu1 (FD0014, Lot: 00147479, Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to digest the PCR products (Fig. 1A), which were 366 bp in size. A 50 bp DNA ladder (Catalog No. 24072, iNtRON Biotechnology, Korea) was applied. Ten microliters of the PCR reaction mixture were added to 2 µl of 10X buffer, two microliters of the restriction enzyme, and  $18 \,\mu$ l of nuclease-free water. 2% agarose gel stained with 5  $\mu$ l of ethidium bromide and a UV transilluminator were used. The wild genotype (GG) variant had two MIF restriction fragments of 98 and 268 bp, the homozygous (CC) mutant genotype had three fragments of 205, 98, and 63 bp, and the heterozygous (GC) mutant genotype had four fragments of 268, 205, 98, and 63 bp (Fig. 1B).

## **HCC diagnosis**

Multiphasic CT was conducted with a 64-MDCT scanner (Aquilion 64, Toshiba Medical, Ottawara, Japan). Images were obtained in the craniocaudal direction. Hepatic arterial phase scanning began 30-40 s



Fig. 1. Gel electrophoresis of the PCR products and MIF rs755622 G>C SNP using PCR-RFLP method; numbers refer to lanes. A) Lane 1 shows 50 bp DNA ladder; lanes 2-7 represent wild genotypes (GG) with 268, 98 bp bands; lane 8 shows amplified DNA segments (undigested PCR product) of length 366 bp. B) Lane 1 shows 50 bp DNA ladder; lanes 2, 5, 10 are heterozygous mutant (GC) genotypes with 268, 205, 98, 63 bp bands; lanes 3 and 6 are homozygous mutant (CC) genotype with 205, 98, 63 bp bands; lanes 4, 7, 8, 9, 11 and 12 are wild genotypes (GG) with 268, 98 bp bands

after the injection of 120 ml of a non-ionic iodinated contrast agent (iopamidol; Iopamiro 300, Bracco) at a rate of 3-4 ml via a bolus-triggered technique. The contrast agent was administered through the antecubital vein with a power injector. The portal phase scanning began 70 seconds and equilibrium phases of scanning began 180 seconds after the injection of the contrast agent (Fig. 2A, B).

Localization of the liver HCC was based on the identification of three hepatic veins and the plane passing by the portal vein bifurcation. Segment I is defined by the portal bifurcation and the fissure of the ligamentum venosum (Fig. 3A). The left hepatic lobe is divided into segments II and III. The left lobe is divided into segment II above the plane passing by the portal vein bifurcation, and into segment III below (Fig. 3B). Segment IV is placed between the axis of the umbilical scissura on the left and the plane crossing via the middle hepatic vein on the right. This segment can be split into two smaller segments, upper IV A and lower IV B, by a line that runs



**Fig. 2. A**) 73-year-old male patient with cirrhotic changes in terms of irregular surface and deepened fissures with large left lobe hepatocellular carcinoma about  $10 \times 10.5$  cm appearing hypodense in (a) non-contrast image and showing enhancement at the arterial phase in (b) and washing out at the portal phase and appearing completely hypodense in delayed CT image in (c, d). **B**) 63-year-old female patient with cirrhotic liver disease with multicentric hepatoma seen at both lobes; the largest is seen at the eighth lobe at segment VIII, about  $9 \times 10$  cm, all showing enhancement at the arterial phase and porta hepatis lymph node about  $1 \times 2$  cm

Heba Ahmed Osman, Marwa El-Sayed, Mohammed Tag-Adeen, Ahlam Sabra, Samer A. El-Sawy, Marwa Ahmed Mahmoud, Saeda Mohamed Abd Elwahab, Mohammed Wahman, Mohammed H. Hassan



Fig. 3. A) Showing segment I of the liver bounded by ligamentum venosum anteriorly and IVC posteriorly. B) Shows segments of the right lobe, which are segments V, VI, VII and VIII, and subsegments of segment IV which are segments A and B, segments II and III of the left lobe

through the left portal vein's umbilical region (Fig. 3B). Segment VIII is the upper portion and segment V is the lower portion of the right anterior sector with respect to the plane passing via the portal vein bifurcation. The right posterior sector's upper portion is segment VII, and its lower portion is segment VI (Fig. 3B).

## Statistical analysis

Data entry and data analysis were done using SPSS Statistics version 19 (IBM). Data were presented as number, percentage, mean and standard deviation for parametric data. The  $\chi^2$  test and Fisher's exact test were used

to compare qualitative variables. The independent *t* test was used to compare between two quantitative variables for parametric data. The odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated. The studied SNPs followed the Hardy-Weinberg (HW) equation [16, 17]. The *p*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

# Results

## Subject characteristics

A total of 100 participants were included in this study, who were then assigned to two groups: group A

Clinical and imaging characteristics	HCC patients ( $n = 50$ ), $n$ (%)
Hypertension	15 (30)
Diabetes mellitus	21 (42)
Smoking	12 (24)
CHC status (34 patients)	
Positive PCR HCV RNA	3 (6)
Received or on DAA therapy	31 (62)
CHB status (16 patients)	
Positive HBsAg and PCR HBV DNA	3 (6)
On regular/interrupted anti-CHB therapy	13 (26)
Child score	
Score A	14 (28)
Stage B	19 (38)
Score C	17 (34)
BCLC staging	
A	8 (16)
В	12 (24)
С	13 (26)
D	17 (34)
TNM staging	
la	5 (10)
Ib	17 (34)
	5 (10)
	5 (10)
IV	18 (36)
Ascites	
No	15 (30)
Mild	8 (16)
Moderate	11 (22)
Marked	16 (32)
Site of focal lesion	
Right lobe	30 (60)
Left lobe	9 (18)
Right and left lobe	11 (22)
Number of focal lesions	
Single	29 (58)
Multiple lesions	21
Portal vein thrombosis	18 (36)
Previous TACE	4 (8)

**Table 1.** Clinical and imaging characteristics of the included HCC patients (n = 50)

(50 HCC patients) and group B (50 non-HCC participants). The mean age of patients was insignificantly higher in group A: 60.26  $\pm$ 7.62 years vs. 57.94  $\pm$ 9.76 in group B (p = 0.205), while the number of females

was equal (12 patients) in both groups. Data regarding comorbid conditions, CHC and CHB status, imaging characteristic and stages of HCC patients are presented in Table 1.

Regarding the biochemical profile in both study groups, group A showed significantly higher ALT, AST, ALP, serum bilirubin, INR, AFP, creatinine, leucocytic, neutrophilic and monocytic counts, and lower serum albumin, hemoglobin, platelets and lymphocytic counts when compared to group B (Table 2).

## Frequency distribution of genes and alleles of MIF (rs755622 G>C) single nucleotide polymorphism among the study groups

As shown in Table 3 and Fig. 4, the comparison between the two study groups regarding MIF genotypes and allele frequencies showed significantly higher frequencies of wild genotype GG and heterozygous mutant genotype GC and lower frequency of homozygous mutant genotype CC in HCC patients versus controls (66%, 24% and 10% vs. 32%, 8% and 60% respectively, p < 0.001). Using the dominant model, genotypes GG + GC were more prevalent among the cases vs. controls (90% vs. 40%, p < 0.001, OR = 13.5, 95% CI: 4.569-39.889). On the other hand, using the recessive model, genotypes GC + CC were more prevalent among controls vs. cases (68% vs. 34%, p < 0.001, OR = 4.125, 95% CI: 1.792-9.497).

In addition, there was a significantly higher allele G frequency and lower allele C frequency among the patients with HCC compared to controls (78% and 36% vs. 22% and 64%, respectively; p < 0.001), indicating that G allele carries a risk of HCC development with OR = 6.303, CI: 3.374-11.775.

#### Genetic analysis of MIF (rs755622 G>C) in terms of various disease characteristics of hepatocellular carcinoma

Comparison between HCC patients regarding their stages of liver disease showed higher frequency of codominant genotype GG in patients with advanced Child scores B and C versus Child A, and TNM stages III and IV vs. stages I and II (p < 0.05 for both).

Although there was higher frequency of genotype GG in patients with BCLC stages C and D vs. stages A and B it did not reach a significant value (p > 0.05).

In addition, there was a significantly higher G allele frequency and lower C allele frequency among patients with advanced stages in comparison to early stages; Child B and C vs Child A, and TNM stages III and IV vs. I and II (p < 0.05 for both).

Variables	HCC group ( <i>n</i> = 50)	Controls (n = 50)	<i>P</i> -value
Hgb (g/dl), mean ±SD	10.81 ±2.43	12.24 ±1.58	< 0.001**
Platelets (10 <sup>3</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> )	174.24 ±116.05	221.38 ±69.07	< 0.001**
WBC (×10 <sup>3</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> ), mean ±SD	8.16 ±4.88	4.89 ±0.3	< 0.001**
Neutrophils (%), mean ±SD	61.68 ±11.22	50.24 ±6.8	< 0.001**
Lymphocytes (%), mean ±SD	22.84 ±10.32	31.35 ±7.12	< 0.001**
Monocytes (%), mean ±SD	7.97 ±3.04	5.31 ±1.86	< 0.001**
INR, mean ±SD	1.4 ±0.29	1.07 ±0.16	< 0.001**
Serum creatinine (mg/dl), mean ±SD	1.44 ±1.04	0.96 ±0.19	0.039*
ALT (IU/L), mean ±SD	103.62 ±190.73	16 ±5.45	< 0.001**
AST (IU/L), mean ±SD	117.97 ±236.42	15.8 ±7.68	< 0.001**
Total bilirubin (mg/dl), mean ±SD	3.38 ±4.04	0.86 ±0.3	< 0.001**
Albumin (g/dl), mean ±SD	2.8 ±0.59	3.89 ±0.3	< 0.001**
AFP (ng/ml), mean ±SD	142.85 ±170.51	16.71 ±14.99	< 0.001**
ALP (U/I), mean ±SD	155.42 ±168.2	28.14 ±5.71	< 0.001**

Table 2. Routine laboratory data of the study groups

Table 3. Genotype and allele frequer	cies of MIF rs755622 G>0	C single nucleotide	polymorphism	among study groups

Study									Vari	ables								
groups						MIF rs7	55622	G>C ge	notypes	;					MIF	rs75562	2 G>C a	alleles
	C	G	Ģ	iC	C	C	GG	+ GC	(	C	G	G	GC	+ CC	(	G	(	2
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Cases $(n = 50)$	33	66	12	24	5	10	45	90	5	10	33	66	17	34	78	78	22	22
Controls $(n = 50)$	16	32	4	8	30	60	20	40	30	60	16	32	34	68	36	36	64	64
<i>P</i> -value (χ²)		<	0.001*	* (27.75	55)		<	0.001*	* (27.47	73)	<	0.001*	* (11.56	5)	<	0.001*	* (35.98	5)
OR (95% CI)				-			1	3.5 (4.56	59-39.88	39)	4.	125 (1.7	792-9.49	97)	6.3	303 (3.3	74-11.7	75)

Although there was higher frequency of the G allele in patients with BCLC stages C and D versus stages A and B it did not reach a significant value (p < 0.05) (Table 4).

In patients with different HCC characteristics and stages, MIF genotypes and allele frequencies showed more prevalent codominant wild genotype (GG) among patients with multiple hepatic focal lesions (81.0%) compared to patients with a single hepatic focal lesion (81% vs. 55.2%, p = 0.074), while the homozygous mutant genotype CC was detected only in patients with a single hepatic focal lesion. In addition, there was a significantly higher G allele frequency and lower C allele frequency among the patients with multiple hepatic focal lesions compared to those with a single hepatic focal lesion (90.48% and 9.52% vs. 68.97% and 31.03%, respectively; p < 0.01).

HCC patients with nodal spread showed higher frequency of the wild genotype GG compared to those without nodal spread of their HCC (71.4% vs. 65.1%, p = 0.631), while the homozygous mutant genotype CC was only detected in patients with absence of intra-abdominal lymph node metastasis. In addition, there was higher allele G frequency and lower allele C frequency among the patients with intra-abdominal lymph node metastasis compared with the patients with absence of intra-abdominal lymph node metastasis (85.7% and 14.2% vs. 73.68% and 26.32%, respectively), but not reaching a significant level (p > 0.05) (Table 5).

Additionally, there were no significant difference regarding MIF genotype and allele frequencies in patient



Fig. 4. Genotype (A) and allele frequencies (B) of MIF rs755622 G>C single nucleotide polymorphism among study groups

MIF rs755622 G>C genotypes and alleles	Child A <i>n</i> (%)	Child B & C n (%)	P-value
GG	7 (50.0)	26 (72.22)	0.177
GC	4 (28.6)	8 (22.22)	
CC	3 (21.4)	2 (5.56)	
G allele	18 (64.29)	60 (83.33)	0.039*
C allele	10 (35.71)	12 (16.67)	
MIF rs755622 G>C genotypes and alleles	BCLC A & B n (%)	BCLC C & D n (%)	P-value
GG	12 (60.0)	21 (70.0)	0.154
GC	4 (20.0)	8 (26.67)	
CC 23	4 (20.0)	1 (3.33)	
G allele	28 (70.0)	50 (83.33)	0.115
C allele	12 (30.0)	10 (16.67)	
MIF rs755622 G>C genotypes and alleles	TNM I & II n (%)	TNM III & IV n (%)	<i>P</i> -value
GG	15 (55.55)	18 (78.26)	0.070
GC	7 (25.93)	5 (21.74)	
CC 23	5 (18.52)	0 (0.0)	
G allele	37 (68.52)	41 (89.13)	0.013*
Callele	17 (31.48)	5 (10.87)	

Table 4. MIF rs755622 G>C genotype and allele frequencies in terms of the different scoring systems of HCC

with HCC after chronic HBV infection compared to those with chronic HCV infection (p > 0.05) (Table 6).

## Discussion

Hepatocellular carcinoma is the most common primary liver cancer and its increasing incidence and mortality make it a heavy global public health burden [18]. HCC development is a multistep heterogeneous process characterized by rapid progression and poor prognosis [19]. Despite the availability of many therapeutic options for HCC such as radical surgical resection, liver transplantation, local ablative therapies and trans-arterial chemoembolization (TACE), it has a high resistance to treatment and a poor overall outcome that could be attributed to the accumulation of several molecular and cellular alterations [20].

MIF is a well-known cytokine that is involved in many biological processes and plays a crucial role in the body's endocrine immune system. Through inhibiting

MIF rs755622 G>C genotypes and alleles among cases (n = 50)	Single hepatic focal lesion, n (%)	Multiple hepatic focal lesions, n (%)	P-value
GG	16 (55.2)	17 (81.0)	0.074
GC	8 (27.6)	4 (19.0)	
СС	5 (17.2)	0 (0.0)	
G allele	40 (68.97)	38 (90.48)	0.010*
C allele	18 (31.03)	4 (9.52)	
MIF rs755622 G>C genotypes and alleles among cases $(n = 50)$	Presence of intra-abdominal LN metastasis, <i>n</i> (%)	Absence of intra-abdominal LN metastasis, <i>n</i> (%)	P-value
GG	5 (71.4)	28 (65.1)	0.631
GC	2 (28.6)	10 (23.3)	
CC	0 (0.0)	5 (11.6)	
G allele	12 (85.71)	56 (73.68)	0.336

Table 5. MIF rs755622 G>C genotype and allele frequencies in terms of the clinical characteristics of HCC

Table 6. MIF rs755622 G>C genotypes and allele frequencies in terms of viral hepatitis B and C status

MIF rs755622 G>C genotypes and alleles among cases $(n = 50)$	Positive for HBV n (%)	Positive for HCV antibodies n (%)	P-value
GG	11 (68.8)	22 (64.71)	0.210
GC	2 (12.5)	10 (29.4)	
СС	3 (18.8)	2 (5.89)	
G allele	24 (75.0)	54 (79.41)	0.619
C allele	8 (25.0)	14 (20.59)	

macrophage migration and promoting its settlement, proliferation, activation, and secretion at the site of inflammation, it plays a crucial role in the pathogenesis of various inflammatory, autoimmune, and even malignant diseases [21]. The gene encoding MIF in humans is located on the long arm of chromosome 22 (22q11.2) and has several polymorphic loci [22]. Previous studies demonstrated that MIF gene polymorphisms and MIF gene expression levels have a close association with the susceptibility, progression, response to therapy and outcome of many diseases such as sepsis, autoimmune diseases, cancer, obesity and diabetes [23, 24]. However, its particular relation with HCC development and progression has been an understudied issue until the time of this study, which aimed to highlight it in a cohort of Egyptian patients with HCC.

Multiple mechanisms could explain the role of MIF in HCC carcinogenesis, such as inducing tumor angiogenesis [25], enhancing proliferation and differentiation of tumor cells [26], promoting invasion and migration of HCC cells [27], influencing P53's function or interacting with mutant P53, which determines the development of numerous malignancies in the human body [28]. Our results showed significantly higher distribution of genotypes GG and GC, and lower distribution of CC genotype in HCC patients compared to controls. Also, higher frequency of the G allele and lower frequency of the C allele were noted in HCC cases. Both findings suggest a significant association between genotypes GG + GC and the G allele with the possibility of HCC development.

A potential impact of MIF gene polymorphism on HCC progression and behavior was noted in this study, which showed higher prevalent of GG genotype and the G allele in patients with multi-focal HCC in comparison to those with a single lesion. Also, different distributions of MIF were detected in different stages of HCC; higher GG genotype and G allele frequencies were detected in patients with advanced HCC (BCLC stages C and D and TNM stages III and IV) compared to earlier HCC stages (BCLC stages A and B and TNM stages I and II, respectively). Interestingly, MIF polymorphism may play a significant role in HCC nodal spread as genotype GG and the G allele showed higher frequencies in HCC patients with lymph node metastasis compared to genotype CC and the C allele respectively. MIF gene polymorphism rs755622 was significantly associated with an increased susceptibility to HCC in a study that included 202 patients with HCC (HCC group), 242 patients with chronic hepatitis B (CHB group), 215 patients with liver cirrhosis (LC group), and 227 healthy volunteers (control group). The G allele may be a significant risk factor for HCC. The MIF rs1007888 and rs2096525 polymorphisms, however, were not linked to HCC susceptibility (p > 0.05). Additionally, there was no correlation between MIF rs755622, rs1007888, or rs2096525 polymorphisms and susceptibility to CHB or LC [29]. A different earlier study [30] that only included CHC patients revealed a greater frequency of HCC with the C/C genetic polymorphism.

#### Conclusions

In the light of our results we concluded that there was a significant impact of MIF G/C gene polymorphism on the susceptibility and progression of hepatocellular carcinoma among the study population.

#### **Study limitations**

The main limitation was the relatively small sample size, so the findings of the current study have to be confirmed using larger scale studies. Lack of serum assays of MIF levels and the possible role of MIF gene polymorphism in response to therapy and recurrence among patients with HCC were additional limitations that could be taken into account in future studies.

### Disclosure

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Kulik L, El-Serag HB. Epidemiology and management of hepatocellular carcinoma. Gastroenterology 2018; 54: 395-405.
- Capece D, Fischietti M, Verzella D, et al. The inflammatory microenvironment in hepatocellular carcinoma: a pivotal role for tumor-associated macrophages. Biomed Res Int 2013; 2013: 187204.
- Gao L, Lv G, Li R, et al. Glycochenodeoxycholate promotes hepatocellular carcinoma invasion and migration by AMPK/ mTOR dependent autophagy activation. Cancer Lett 2019; 454: 215-223.
- Hou XJ, Ye F, Li XY, et al. Immune response involved in liver damage and the activation of hepatic progenitor cells during liver tumorigenesis. Cell Immunol 2018; 326: 52-59.
- El-Adly TZ, Kamal S, Selim H, Botros S. Association of macrophage migration inhibitory factor promoter polymorphism -173G/C with susceptibility to childhood asthma. Cent Eur J Immunol 2016; 41: 268-272.

- 6. Areeshi MY, Mandal RK, Dar SA, et al. MIF -173 G>C (rs755622) gene polymorphism modulates tuberculosis risk: evidence from a meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis. Sci Rep 2017; 7: 17003.
- Bloom J, Sun S, Al-Abed Y. MIF, a controversial cytokine: a review of structural features, challenges, and opportunities for drug development. Expert Opin Ther Targets 2016; 20: 1463-1475.
- Karakaya B, van Moorsel CH, van der Helm-van Mil AH, et al. Macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) -173 polymorphism is associated with clinical erythema nodosum in Löfgren's syndrome. Cytokine 2014; 69: 272-276.
- Zhang X, Weng W, Xu W, et al. The association between the migration inhibitory factor -173G/C polymorphism and cancer risk: a meta-analysis. Onco Targets Ther 2015; 8: 601-613.
- Nishihira J, Ishibashi T, Fukushima T, et al. Macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF): Its potential role in tumor growth and tumor-associated angiogenesis. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2003; 995: 171-182.
- Heimbach JK, Kulik LM, Finn RS, et al. AASLD guidelines for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology 2018; 67: 358-380.
- Child CG, Turcotte JG. Surgery and portal hypertension. Major Probl Clin Surg 1964; 1: 1-85.
- Llovet JM, Brú C, Bruix J. Prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma: the BCLC staging classification. Semin Liver Dis 1999; 19: 329-338.
- 14. Col-Araz N, Oguzkan-Balci S, Baspinar O, et al. Mannose binding lectin and macrophage migration inhibitory factor gene polymorphisms in Turkish children with cardiomyopathy: no association with MBL2 codon 54 A/B genotype, but an association between MIF -173 CC genotype. Int J Med Sci 2012; 9: 506-512.
- Hassan MH, Abuhamdah S, Elsadek BEM, et al. Expression patterns of macrophage migration inhibitory factor and its gene variants (MIF-173 G>C) in verruca vulgaris. Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol 2022; 15: 1073-1085.
- Hardy GH. Mendelian proportions in a mixed population. Science 1908; 28: 49-50.
- Stark AE. A clarification of the Hardy-Weinberg law. Genetics 2006; 174: 1695-1697.
- Craig AJ, von Felden J, Garcia-Lezana T, et al. Tumour evolution in hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 17: 139-152.
- 19. Ringelhan M, Pfister D, O'Connor T, et al. The immunology of hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Immunol 2018; 19: 222-232.
- Zheng L, Zhang CH, Lin JY, et al. Comparative effectiveness of radiofrequency ablation vs. surgical resection for patients with solitary hepatocellular carcinoma smaller than 5 cm. Front Oncol 2020; 10: 399.
- 21. Nie S, Wang H, Wang X. Research progress on polymorphism of susceptibility genes in hepatocellular carcinoma. Int J Genet 2018; 41: 512-517.
- Illescas O, Gomez-Verjan JC, García-Velázquez L, et al. Macrophage migration inhibitory factor -173 G/C polymorphism: a global meta-analysis across the disease spectrum. Front Genet 2018; 9: 55.
- Nobre CC, de Araújo JM, Fernandes TA, et al. Macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF): Biological activities and relation with cancer. Pathol Oncol Res 2017; 23: 235-244.

- 24. Grieb G, Merk M, Bernhagen J, et al. Macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF): A promising biomarker. Drug News Perspect 2010; 23: 257-264.
- 25. Nobre CC, de Araújo JM, Fernandes TA, et al. Macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF): Biological activities and relation with cancer. Pathol Oncol Res 2017; 23: 235244.
- 26. Li QT, Feng YM, Ke ZH, et al. KCNN4 promotes invasion and metastasis through the MAPK/ERK pathway in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Investig Med 2019; 68: 6874.
- 27. Pei XJ, Wu TT, Li B, et al. Increased expression of macrophage migration inhibitory factor and DJ1 contribute to cell invasion and metastasis of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Int J Med Sci 2014; 11: 106115.
- Ubby I, Krueger C, Rosato R, et al. Cancer therapeutic targeting using mutantp53specific siRNAs. Oncogene 2019; 38: 34153427.
- 29. Lifeng Qin, Jinme Qin, Xiaoping Lv, et al. MIF promoter polymorphism increases peripheral blood expression levels, contributing to increased susceptibility and poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncol Lett 2021; 22: 549.
- 30. Wirtz TH, Fischer P, Backhaus C, et al. Genetic variants in the promoter region of the macrophage migration inhibitory factor are associated with the severity of hepatitis C virus-induced liver fibrosis. Int J Mol Sci 2019; 20: 3753.