microbial biotechnology

Microbial Biotechnology (2022) 15(1), 129-134

Open Access

Special Issue Article

Using the microbiome in clinical practice.

The microbiome and host health

Over the past 20 years, researchers have uncovered endless ways in which microbiota are associated with host health and behaviour. The microbiota throughout the body - gut, oral cavity, nasal cavity, skin, vagina all function in a delicate balance aiding in digestion, pathogen deterrence and general host homeostasis. Microbial dysbiosis (a shift away from a balanced composition) is also implicated in a number of conditions and diseases ranging from obesity (Turnbaugh and Gordon, 2009; Lev. 2010) to inflammatory bowel diseases (Spor et al., 2011; van der Giessen et al., 2020) to autoimmune diseases (Shamriz et al., 2016; Neuman and Koren, 2017) to behavioural and mental health disorders, such as stress (Werbner et al., 2019) and Alzheimer's disease (Cryan et al., 2020). There is constant bi-directional communication between the microbiota and the host, and the microbiota both drives health complications in the host and responds to them. Thus, the microbiome already does and will continue to play a role in health and healthcare best practices. In this perspective, we highlight the anticipated role of the microbiome and microbiome-driven applications in modern medicine.

Diagnosis

To date, the microbiome, or more accurately, microbial dysbiosis, has been implicated in a range of human diseases and conditions (Lynch and Pedersen, 2016), and researchers agree that bacterial activity associated with metabolite production and breakdown can drive disease pathologies (Vernocchi et al., 2016; Van Treuren and Dodd, 2020). Researchers are now actively striving to determine how specific bacterial taxa and metabolite profiles relate to metabolic pathway regulation and human health (Hidalgo-Cantabrana et al., 2017; Tett et al., 2021). To that end, specific bacterial taxa or metabolites are being pinpointed in disease pathogenesis. The natural extension of taxa- or metabolite-focused studies is to identify relevant biomarkers for disease diagnosis. Specifically, unique microbial signatures have been found in blood and tissues of cancer patients, allowing identification of not only sick from healthy individuals but also of cancer type among sick individuals (Poore et al., 2020). Additionally, a panel of 30 microbial biomarkers was identified for diagnosing hepatocellular carcinoma based on faecal microbial composition collected from hepatocellular carcinoma patients (Ren et al., 2019). Salivary microbiome-derived biomarkers can also be used diagnostically (Kaczor-Urbanowicz et al., 2017). Two bacterial species identified in the oral microbiome of pancreatic cancer patients, Neisseria elongata and Streptococcus mitis, can differentiate these individuals from healthy, age-matched controls with extremely high accuracy (Farrell et al., 2012). Metabolite profiles are also relevant diagnostic tools with applications already seen for cancers (Beger, 2013) and Alzheimer's disease (Paraskevaidi et al., 2020). Other diagnostic targets include identification of quorum sensing/biosensing byproducts (Miller and Gilmore, 2020) which could reveal biofilm presence and bacterial virulence or specific pathologies (De Spiegeleer et al., 2020).

The use of non-specific microbiota screening by general practitioners and family physicians could serve as a healthy-visit tool to identify hidden pathologies. Or a microbiome panel could be offered, similar to how genetic testing (SNP testing for specific mutations) is used to identify individuals' risks for genetic disorders or couples' risks of passing mutations to their offspring. General microbial or metabolic testing could be a relatively inexpensive way to screen for diseases that once required specialist visits and invasive or expensive procedures. Mail-in testing could also greatly increase healthcare access in less-serviced areas, not only improving individual well-being but also reducing strain on an already fragile healthcare system. While specific panels for a range of diseases have already been identified, in the next 15 years, we see general screening gaining momentum in non-specialty medical settings.

Prediction

Researchers have already demonstrated the applicability of using microbiota composition and metabolite profiles to diagnose diseases, but impressively, they have also demonstrated its utility in predicting future disease onset, even before diagnosis using current medical best

practices, as demonstrated for gestational diabetes (Pinto et al., 2021), coronary artery disease (Zheng et al., 2020) and celiac disease (Leonard et al., 2021). Machine learning (ML) tools are now being adapted in a range of studies to predict disease onset based on predysbiosis, microbial imbalances that may serve as precursors driving specific diseases, and other patient characteristics. Thus, as with the described diagnostic measures above, we expect predictive models with microbial or metabolite profile inputs to serve as relatively non-invasive clinical tools in the coming decade.

Machine learning models can include microbial features (specific taxa) and their abundance as well as additional biomarkers — metabolites, cytokines, hormones — genes and other risk factors (historical, environmental and behavioural) to predict disease onset (Marcos-Zambrano et al., 2021). The addition of microbial data has shown increased efficacy compared to typical demographic-based predictors, and with exploding research in the field of host—microbiome interactions, the range of diseases and disorders that can be predicted will continue to grow. Thus, modelling efforts could be combined with the above-described microbial screening panels to not only diagnose patients but also predict future maladies, providing opportunities for early intervention.

Even more impressive, new research suggests that microbiota data can also be applied to predict host responsiveness to specific treatments, including those for cancers (Veziant et al., 2021; Yi et al., 2021), Clostridioides difficile infection (Khanna et al., 2016), rheumatoid arthritis (Artacho et al., 2021), bariatric surgery (Ben Izhak et al., 2021) and inflammatory bowel diseases (Shaw et al., 2016; Ananthakrishnan et al., 2017). For example, in the case of rectal cancer, patient response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (nCRT) was predicted with > 73% accuracy in a validation cohort using 10 microbial taxa differentially expressed between responders and non-responders as predictors (Yi et al., 2021). Additionally, a study of responders and nonresponders with melanoma receiving anti-programmed cell death 1 protein (PD-1) immunotherapy revealed both differentially abundant bacterial taxa and different functionality profiles between the groups based on metagenomics and pathway analysis (Gopalakrishnan et al., 2018). The microbiome is implicated in a range of diseases and host states, so it is only expected that it would be implicated in treatments as well. Accordingly, current research points to the utility of microbiota screening when planning treatment for a range of diseases, and a standardized ML model that accounts for patient characteristics, disease expression and microbiota composition could change the way we approach disease treatment. If patient responsiveness to treatments can be predicted from non-invasive faecal or saliva sampling, healthcare can be tailored to patient needs, both improving efficacy, and decreasing burdens – financial, mental and otherwise – associated with extended treatment plans and low success rates.

Treatment

We have described how microbiota and metabolite profiles can be used to diagnose diseases, predict future onset and even predict treatment success rates. It is only logical, then, that microbiota manipulation or supplementation can aid in treatment of a range of diseases. The study of how drugs and host microbiota interact has come to be known as pharmacomicrobiomics. Pharmacomicrobiomics typically focuses on drug metabolism and treatment efficacy as they relate directly to the microbiota and indirectly to the metabolic processes driven by the microbiota. Early evidence in cancer models suggests that response to treatment can be improved through specific microbiota manipulation. In one study of melanoma in a murine model, administration of several Bifidobacterium species increased antiprogrammed cell death ligand-1 therapy efficacy, and even when administered alone without therapy, these bacteria increased tumour control (Sivan et al., 2015). A second study of melanoma in a mouse model found beneficial effects of Bacteroides fragilis on host responsiveness to and efficacy of CTLA-4 blockade, and thus increased antitumor effects (Vetizou et al., 2015). Both studies are based on preliminary findings of differences in the microbiomes of responders and non-responders and show how successful application of targeted treatment – here inoculation with specific bacterial strains – enhances treatment efficacy.

Once differences in responders and non-responders can be traced to the microbiota, treatments can be developed and applied in a stratified manner (Zhang et al., 2019). Pre-screening patients' microbiota to determine if they are good candidates for a certain treatment may become standard practice; it is no longer technologically complex, and 16S rRNA gene sequence surveys are becoming less financially limiting, though rapid screens are still lacking. As discussed above, bacterial inoculations can be highly customized, introducing a single bacterial strain or species to patients prior to or concurrent with standard treatments, but identifying specific bacterial taxa suited to each of the many different therapeutics used to treat the wide range of complex human diseases remains a challenge. There is evidence, however, that total faecal microbiota transplant (FMT) from responders, rather than specific bacterial inoculation, can also improve treatment outcomes. As recently demonstrated in a murine model, FMT from donor mice with

microbiota that demonstrated antitumor effects to mice of the same strain but sourced from a different animal facility and hosting a different microbiota reduced tumour growth to a similar degree as antibodies targeting PD-L1 (Sivan et al., 2015). Studies in humanized mice - those that received FMTs from human responders and nonresponders - also show beneficial effects on treatment success following FMT from responders (Sivan et al., 2015; Gopalakrishnan et al., 2018; Matson et al., 2018; Routy et al., 2018). A range of studies in humans are underway to determine the effects of FMT on patients who are non-responders to cancer treatments (McQuade et al., 2019), and preliminary results from two pilot studies in humans revealed that FMT can increase responsiveness to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy (Baruch et al., 2021; Davar et al., 2021).

Beyond improving treatment outcomes, microbiotabased medicine could reduce unwanted effects of certain medicines. One study found that metastatic melanoma patients treated with ipilimumab who go on to develop colitis could be predicted by surveying their pre-treatment microbiota (Chaput et al., 2017), and we have recently shown that the microbiome of patients who will gain weight following chemotherapy was different from that of those who will not (Uzan-Yulzari et al., 2020). Additionally, studies have shown that antipsychotic use in children and adolescents, even when effective as therapeutics, can result in microbiota dysbiosis and associated microbiome-derived weight gain (Bretler et al., 2019; Libowitz and Nurmi, 2021). Over the coming years, researchers should be able to exploit pharmacomicrobiomics not only to increase treatment efficacy but also to reduce unwanted side effects of therapeutics, making treatments with potentially debilitating side effects in a subset of the population more palatable and raising the appeal of treatments like antipsychotics, and even birth control, to individuals who are extremely hesitant because of associated weight gain.

FMT Banks

As described above, microbiota manipulation, either via FMT or specific bacterial targets, can be used to treat or improve treatment of a range of diseases. Evidence of FMT to improve digestive function and reduce diarrhoea dates back thousands of years to 4th century China (Zhang et al., 2012) and has historically been practiced by Bedouins in Africa as well (Hanssen et al., 2021). More contemporary research, some of which is described above, shows the utility of FMT in modern medicine, and FMT as a treatment for recurring Clostridioides difficile is now commonly accepted following randomized clinical trials (van Nood et al., 2013). The question of the optimal FMT donor is still hotly contested, though. Faeces are, by nature, non-sterile, and transfer of faecal matter from one individual to the next can have unwanted side-effects if not screened properly. Thus, the option of autologous FMT (aFMT) is being explored (Hanssen et al., 2021). In this scenario, stool from the patient which was collected prior to disease onset can be used for FMT to reinitiate a healthy gut microbiota. Support for application of aFMT is seen in a recent study demonstrating that aFMT can help obese participants maintain weight loss following weightcontrol diets (Rinott et al., 2021; Rinott et al., 2021). aFMT to treat disease, though, would require prior banking of faeces, something not common, at least in the year 2021. In the future, periodic faecal banking for future aFMT may become standard, or at least more common, just as cord blood banking has started gaining traction. Alternatively, donor banking may be more feasible for widespread application. To that end, a number of recommendations have been developed towards ensuring safe FMT, including patient and sample screening, labelling methods, and storage conditions (Saha and Khanna, 2021). Once banked, samples could theoretically be characterized and classified to highlight which would most likely improve certain disease states, improve treatment responsiveness and help with weight loss and ageing, even taking into account recipient characteristics (Schmidt et al., 2021). Down the line, we could even envision a world in which FMT from "star" donors would be used as probiotics are today to improve general well-being and gut functionality, though as a one time or annual supplement rather than a daily one.

Bacterial cocktails and personalized probiotics

Faecal microbiota transplant is a blanket approach for altering the microbiota, but probiotics (or in germ-free models, mono-colonization), prebiotics and postbiotics can offer better targeting and may reduce risks of infection that, though rare, have been associated with FMT (DeFilipp et al., 2019; Eshel et al., 2021). Once functionally relevant taxa and metabolites are identified from the sets of differentially abundant features that distinguish patients from healthy controls, bacterial or metabolite cocktails can be formulated in a disease-specific manner with the goal of regaining metabolic homeostasis. Further, patient profile - specific microbial or metabolite deficiencies both related to and independent of disease state - can be incorporated into models to tweak or supplement diseasespecific cocktails. Such personalized approaches, that consider both disease state and specific patient characteristics, should not be too far off. As soon as models for disease prediction and treatment responsiveness are in use. taking modelling one step further towards personalized probiotic formulations is no longer a stretch.

Beyond direct treatment consequences, personalized microbiota-based medicine could also be used to improve quality of life or lifespan. Custom probiotics could abound - to reduce weight, boost immunity during cold season, sharpen memory, improve fertility, aid in initial gut colonization and more. The microbiome is implicated in a range of developmental functions (Leclercq et al., 2017; DeFilipp et al., 2019; Champagne-Jorgensen et al., 2020; Kayyal et al., 2020), diseases, mental disorders (Forsythe et al., 2016) and host states (Koren et al., 2012; Goldberg et al., 2020), some of which are mentioned above. Even ageing is associated with microbial dysbiosis (Binyamin et al., 2020), and FMT from young donor mice can counteract some of the ageing phenotype (Boehme et al., 2021). There is also early evidence that centenarians have significantly different gut microbiota than elderly and young individuals with relevant differences in metabolic function related to bile acid production (Sato et al., 2021). Another study in centenarians found that there are marked microbial shifts that occur in the seven-month window prior to their passing (Luan et al., 2020). Thus, we might be able to increase longevity through targeted microbiota supplementation. Currently, probiotic formulas typically consist of lacticacid-producing bacteria, such as lactobacilli and bifidobacteria, which are easily isolated from dairy products (Cunningham et al., 2021), but as knowledge of microbiota functionality expands, bacterial probiotic targets are also expanding to include Roseburia intestinalis, Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, Eubacterium spp., Bacteroides spp. and Akkermansia muciniphila, and other taxa thought to confer benefits to human health (Brodmann et al., 2017; O'Toole et al., 2017; Cunningham et al., 2021). Following refinement of new probiotic therapeutics, termed next-generation probiotics, clinicians and nutritionists could prescribe specific formulas for patients with known microbial deficiencies or who wish to improve certain gut or even overall host functionality.

Conclusions

With the advent of next-generation sequencing, untargeted metabolomics, transcriptomics and proteomics, specific functions can now more accurately be assigned to bacterial taxa, helping researchers to identify relevant target species for microbiota-based therapeutics. And bioinformatics pipelines and machine learning algorithms are constantly being refined to better integrate this wealth of omics data towards clinical application. The field of microbiota-based diagnostics and personalized or condition-based microbiome-related therapeutics will likely rapidly develop. With the advancement of these technologies, we will probably also see an increase in non-essential therapeutics, including boutique and

custom-mixed probiotics specifically suited to the recipients' endogenous microbiota and formulated to work on one or more quality-of-life targets. Overall, we can expect healthcare to benefit greatly from microbiomeenhanced clinical practices, both in the rarer cases of non-responders and for more widespread application in the general population in terms of screening towards early detection and intervention for a range of diseases. As the global population continues to age, researchers are constantly searching for new drugs and treatments; therapeutics, especially relatively inexpensive ones, associated with low risks (like faeces) will likely proliferate as independent treatments, as complementary treatments, and as preventatives.

Conflict of interest

OK is a consultant to Mybiotics.

References

Ananthakrishnan, A.N., Luo, C., Yajnik, V., Khalili, H., Garber, J.J., Stevens, B.W., et al. (2017) Gut microbiome function predicts response to anti-integrin biologic therapy in inflammatory bowel diseases. *Cell Host Microbe* 21: 603–610.e3.

Artacho, A., Isaac, S., Nayak, R., Flor-Duro, A., Alexander, M., Koo, I., et al. (2021) The pretreatment gut microbiome is associated with lack of response to methotrexate in new-onset rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol 73: 931–942.

Baruch, E.N., Youngster, I., Ben-Betzalel, G., Ortenberg, R., Lahat, A., Katz, L., *et al.* (2021) Fecal microbiota transplant promotes response in immunotherapy-refractory melanoma patients. *Science* **371:** 602–609.

Beger, R.D. (2013) A review of applications of metabolomics in cancer. *Metabolites* **3:** 552–574.

Ben Izhak, M., Eshel, A., Cohen, R., Madar-Shapiro, L., Meiri, H., Wachtel, C., *et al.* (2021) Projection of gut microbiome pre- and post-bariatric surgery to predict surgery outcome. *mSystems* **6:** e0136720.

Binyamin, D., Werbner, N., Nuriel-Ohayon, M., Uzan, A., Mor, H., Abbas, A., *et al.* (2020) The aging mouse microbiome has obesogenic characteristics. *Genome Med* **12**: 87.

Boehme, M., Guzzetta, K.E., Bastiaanssen, T.F.S., van de Wouw, M., Moloney, G.M., Gual-Grau, A., et al. (2021) Microbiota from young mice counteracts selective ageassociated behavioral deficits. *Nature Aging* 1: 666–676.

Bretler, T., Weisberg, H., Koren, O., and Neuman, H. (2019) The effects of antipsychotic medications on microbiome and weight gain in children and adolescents. *BMC Med* 17: 112.

- Brodmann, T., Endo, A., Gueimonde, M., Vinderola, G., Kneifel, W., de Vos, W.M., et al. (2017) Safety of novel microbes for human consumption: practical examples of assessment in the European Union. Front Microbiol 8: 1725.
- Champagne-Jorgensen, K., Mian, M.F., Kay, S., Hanani, H., Ziv, O., McVey Neufeld, K.-A., *et al.* (2020) Prenatal low-dose penicillin results in long-term sex-specific changes to murine behaviour, immune regulation, and gut microbiota. *Brain Behav Immun* **84:** 154–163.
- Chaput, N., Lepage, P., Coutzac, C., Soularue, E., Le Roux, K., Monot, C., et al. (2017) Baseline gut microbiota predicts clinical response and colitis in metastatic melanoma patients treated with ipilimumab. Ann Oncol 28: 1368– 1379.
- Cryan, J.F., O'Riordan, K.J., Sandhu, K., Peterson, V., and Dinan, T.G. (2020) The gut microbiome in neurological disorders. *Lancet Neurol* **19:** 179–194.
- Cunningham, M., Azcarate-Peril, M.A., Barnard, A., Benoit, V., Grimaldi, R., Guyonnet, D., et al. (2021) Shaping the future of probiotics and prebiotics. *Trends Microbiol* 29: 667–685.
- Davar, D., Dzutsev, A.K., McCulloch, J.A., Rodrigues, R.R., Chauvin, J.-M., Morrison, R.M., et al. (2021) Fecal microbiota transplant overcomes resistance to anti-PD-1 therapy in melanoma patients. Science 371: 595–602.
- De Spiegeleer, A., Elewaut, D., Van Den Noortgate, N., Janssens, Y., Debunne, N., Van Langenhove, S., et al. (2020) Quorum sensing molecules as a novel microbial factor impacting muscle cells. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis* **1866**: 165646.
- DeFilipp, Z., Bloom, P.P., Torres Soto, M., Mansour, M.K., Sater, M.R.A., Huntley, M.H., et al. (2019) Drug-Resistant E. coli Bacteremia Transmitted by Fecal Microbiota Transplant. *The New England Journal of Medicine* **381**: 2043–2050.
- Eshel, A., Sharon, I., Nagler, A., Bomze, D., Danylesko, I., Fein, J.A., *et al.* (2021) Bloodstream infections' origins following fecal microbiota transplantation: a strain-level analysis. *Blood Adv.* Online ahead of print.
- Farrell, J.J., Zhang, L., Zhou, H., Chia, D., Elashoff, D., Akin, D., et al. (2012) Variations of oral microbiota are associated with pancreatic diseases including pancreatic cancer. Gut 61: 582–588.
- Forsythe, P., Kunze, W., and Bienenstock, J. (2016) Moody microbes or fecal phrenology: what do we know about the microbiota-gut-brain axis? *BMC Med* **14:** 58.
- van der Giessen, J., Binyamin, D., Belogolovski, A., Frishman, S., Tenenbaum-Gavish, K., Hadar, E., *et al.* (2020) Modulation of cytokine patterns and microbiome during pregnancy in IBD. *Gut* **69:** 473–486.
- Goldberg, M.R., Mor, H., Magid Neriya, D., Magzal, F., Muller, E., Appel, M.Y., *et al.* (2020) Microbial signature in IgE-mediated food allergies. *Genome Med* **12**: 92.
- Gopalakrishnan, V., Spencer, C.N., Nezi, L., Reuben, A., Andrews, M.C., Karpinets, T.V., et al. (2018) Gut microbiome modulates response to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy in melanoma patients. Science 359: 97–103.
- Hanssen, N.M.J., de Vos, W.M., and Nieuwdorp, M. (2021) Fecal microbiota transplantation in human metabolic diseases: from a murky past to a bright future? *Cell Metab* **33**: 1098–1110.

- Hidalgo-Cantabrana, C., Delgado, S., Ruiz, L., Ruas-Madiedo, P., Sánchez, B., and Margolles, A. (2017) Bifidobacteria and their health-promoting effects. *Microbiol Spectrum* 5: 21.
- Kaczor-Urbanowicz, K.E., Martin Carreras-Presas, C., Aro,
 K., Tu, M., Garcia-Godoy, F., and Wong, D.T. (2017)
 Saliva diagnostics Current views and directions. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)* 242: 459–472.
- Kayyal, M., Javkar, T., Firoz Mian, M., Binyamin, D., Koren, O., McVey Neufeld, K.A., and Forsythe, P. (2020) Sex dependent effects of post-natal penicillin on brain, behavior and immune regulation are prevented by concurrent probiotic treatment. Sci Rep 10: 10318.
- Khanna, S., Montassier, E., Schmidt, B., Patel, R., Knights, D., Pardi, D.S., and Kashyap, P. (2016) Gut microbiome predictors of treatment response and recurrence in primary Clostridium difficile infection. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 44: 715–727.
- Koren, O., Goodrich, J.K., Cullender, T.C., Spor, A., Laitinen, K., Kling Bäckhed, H., et al. (2012) Host remodeling of the gut microbiome and metabolic changes during pregnancy. Cell 150: 470–480.
- Leclercq, S., Mian, F.M., Stanisz, A.M., Bindels, L.B., Cambier, E., Ben-Amram, H., et al. (2017) Low-dose penicillin in early life induces long-term changes in murine gut microbiota, brain cytokines and behavior. Nat Commun 8: 15062.
- Leonard, M. M., Valitutti, F., Karathia, H., Pujolassos, M., Kenyon, V., Fanelli, B., et al. (2021) Microbiome signatures of progression toward celiac disease onset in at-risk children in a longitudinal prospective cohort study. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 118: e2020322118.
- Ley, R.E. (2010) Obesity and the human microbiome. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol* **26**: 5–11.
- Libowitz, M.R., and Nurmi, E.L. (2021) The burden of antipsychotic-induced weight gain and metabolic syndrome in children. *Front Psychiatry* **12:** 623681.
- Luan, Z., Sun, G., Huang, Y., Yang, Y., Yang, R., Li, C., et al. (2020) Metagenomics study reveals changes in gut microbiota in centenarians: a cohort study of hainan centenarians. Front Microbiol 11: 1474.
- Lynch, S.V., and Pedersen, O. (2016) The human intestinal microbiome in health and disease. *N Engl J Med* **375**: 2369–2379.
- Marcos-Zambrano, L.J., Karaduzovic-Hadziabdic, K., Loncar Turukalo, T., Przymus, P., Trajkovik, V., Aasmets, O., *et al.* (2021) Applications of machine learning in human microbiome studies: a review on feature selection, biomarker identification, disease prediction and treatment. *Front Microbiol* **12:** 634511.
- Matson, V., Fessler, J., Bao, R., Chongsuwat, T., Zha, Y., Alegre, M.-L., et al. (2018) The commensal microbiome is associated with anti-PD-1 efficacy in metastatic melanoma patients. Science 359: 104–108.
- McQuade, J.L., Daniel, C.R., Helmink, B.A., and Wargo, J.A. (2019) Modulating the microbiome to improve therapeutic response in cancer. *Lancet Oncol* 20: e77–e91.
- Miller, C., and Gilmore, J. (2020) Detection of quorum-sensing molecules for pathogenic molecules using cell-based and cell-free biosensors. *Antibiotics* **9:** 259.
- Neuman, H., and Koren, O. (2017) The gut microbiota: a possible factor influencing systemic lupus erythematosus. *Curr Opin Rheumatol* **29:** 374–377.

- van Nood, E., Vrieze, A., Nieuwdorp, M., Fuentes, S., Zoetendal, E.G., de Vos, W.M., *et al.* (2013) Duodenal infusion of donor feces for recurrent *Clostridium difficile*. *N Engl J Med* **368**: 407–415.
- O'Toole, P.W., Marchesi, J.R., and Hill, C. (2017) Next-generation probiotics: the spectrum from probiotics to live biotherapeutics. *Nat Microbiol* 2: 17057.
- Paraskevaidi, M., Allsop, D., Karim, S., Martin, F.L., and Crean, S. (2020) Diagnostic biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease using non-invasive specimens. *J Clin Med* **9**: 1673.
- Pinto, Y., Frishman, S., Turjeman, S., Eshel, A., Nuriel-Ohayon, M., Ziv, O., et al. (2021) First trimester gut microbiome induces Inflammation-dependent gestational diabetes phenotype in mice. medRxiv: 2021.2009.2017.21262268.
- Poore, G.D., Kopylova, E., Zhu, Q., Carpenter, C., Fraraccio, S., Wandro, S., *et al.* (2020) Microbiome analyses of blood and tissues suggest cancer diagnostic approach. *Nature* **579**: 567–574.
- Ren, Z., Li, A., Jiang, J., Zhou, L., Yu, Z., Lu, H., et al. (2019) Gut microbiome analysis as a tool towards targeted non-invasive biomarkers for early hepatocellular carcinoma. Gut 68: 1014–1023.
- Rinott, E., Youngster, I., Meir, A. Y., Tsaban, G., Zelicha, H., Kaplan, A., *et al.* (2021) Effects of diet-modulated autologous fecal microbiota transplantation on weight regain. *Gastroenterology*, **160**: 158–173.
- Rinott, E., Youngster, I., Meir, A.Y., Tsaban, G., Kaplan, A., Zelicha, H., *et al.* (2021) Autologous fecal microbiota transplantation can retain the metabolic achievements of dietary interventions. *Eur J Intern Med* **92**: 17–23.
- Routy, B., Le Chatelier, E., Derosa, L., Duong, C.P.M., Alou, M.T., Daillere, R., *et al.* (2018) Gut microbiome influences efficacy of PD-1-based immunotherapy against epithelial tumors. *Science* **359:** 91–97.
- Saha, S., and Khanna, S. (2021) Stool banking for fecal microbiota transplantation: ready for prime time? *Hepato*biliary Surg Nutr 10: 110–112.
- Sato, Y., Atarashi, K., Plichta, D. R., Arai, Y., Sasajima, S., Kearney, S. M., *et al.* (2021) Novel bile acid biosynthetic pathways are enriched in the microbiome of centenarians. *Nature*. Online ahead of print.
- Schmidt, T. S., Li, S. S., Maistrenko, O. M., Akanni, W., Coelho, L. P., Dolai, S., *et al.* (2021) Drivers and Determinants of Strain Dynamics Following Faecal Microbiota Transplantation. *bioRxiv*: 2021.2009.2030.462010.
- Shamriz, O., Mizrahi, H., Werbner, M., Shoenfeld, Y., Avni, O., and Koren, O. (2016) Microbiota at the crossroads of autoimmunity. *Autoimmun Rev* 15: 859–869.
- Shaw, K.A., Bertha, M., Hofmekler, T., Chopra, P., Vatanen, T., Srivatsa, A., et al. (2016) Dysbiosis, inflammation, and response to treatment: a longitudinal study of pediatric subjects with newly diagnosed inflammatory bowel disease. Genome Med 8: 75.

- Sivan, A., Corrales, L., Hubert, N., Williams, J.B., Aquino-Michaels, K., Earley, Z.M., et al. (2015) Commensal Bifidobacterium promotes antitumor immunity and facilitates anti-PD-L1 efficacy. Science 350: 1084–1089.
- Spor, A., Koren, O., and Ley, R. (2011) Unravelling the effects of the environment and host genotype on the gut microbiome. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 9: 279–290.
- Tett, A., Pasolli, E., Masetti, G., Ercolini, D., and Segata, N. (2021) Prevotella diversity, niches and interactions with the human host. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 19: 585–599.
- Van Treuren, W., and Dodd, D. (2020) Microbial contribution to the human metabolome: implications for health and disease. *Annu Rev Pathol* **15**: 345–369.
- Turnbaugh, P.J., and Gordon, J.I. (2009) The core gut microbiome, energy balance and obesity. J Physiol 587: 4153–4158.
- Uzan-Yulzari, A., Morr, M., Tareef-Nabwani, H., Ziv, O., Magid-Neriya, D., Armoni, R., et al. (2020) The intestinal microbiome, weight, and metabolic changes in women treated by adjuvant chemotherapy for breast and gynecological malignancies. *BMC Med* 18: 281.
- Vernocchi, P., Del Chierico, F., and Putignani, L. (2016) Gut microbiota profiling: metabolomics based approach to unravel compounds affecting human health. Front Microbiol 7: 1144.
- Vétizou, M., Pitt, J.M., Daillère, R., Lepage, P., Waldschmitt, N., Flament, C., et al. (2015) Anticancer immunotherapy by CTLA-4 blockade relies on the gut microbiota. Science 350: 1079–1084.
- Veziant, J., Villeger, R., Barnich, N., and Bonnet, M. (2021) Gut microbiota as potential biomarker and/or therapeutic target to improve the management of cancer: focus on colibactin-producing *Escherichia coli* in colorectal cancer. *Cancers* **13(9):** 2215
- Werbner, M., Barsheshet, Y., Werbner, N., Zigdon, M., Averbuch, I., Ziv, O., *et al.* (2019) Social-stress-responsive microbiota induces stimulation of self-reactive effector t helper cells. *mSystems* **4:** e00292-18.
- Yi, Y., Shen, L., Shi, W., Xia, F., Zhang, H., Wang, Y., et al. (2021) Gut microbiome components predict response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in patients with locally advanced rectal cancer: a prospective, longitudinal study. *Clin Cancer Res* 27: 1329–1340.
- Zhang, F., Luo, W., Shi, Y., Fan, Z., and Ji, G. (2012) Should we standardize the 1,700-year-old fecal microbiota transplantation? *Am J Gastroenterol* **107**: 1755–1756.
- Zhang, X., Li, L., Butcher, J., Stintzi, A., and Figeys, D. (2019) Advancing functional and translational microbiome research using meta-omics approaches. *Microbiome* **7**: 154.
- Zheng, Y.-Y., Wu, T.-T., Liu, Z.-Q., Li, A., Guo, Q.-Q., Ma, Y.-Y., *et al.* (2020) Gut microbiome-based diagnostic model to predict coronary artery disease. *J Agric Food Chem* **68:** 3548–3557.