1	Manuscript Type: Brief Report
2	
3 4	Title Measuring the missing: greater racial and ethnic disparities in COVID-19 burden after accounting for missing race/ethnicity data
5	
6	Authors: Katie Labgold*1 & Sarah Hamid*,1 Sarita Shah, 1,2,3 Neel R. Gandhi, 1,2,3 Allison
7	Chamberlain, ¹ Fazle Khan, ⁴ Shamimul Khan, ⁴ Sasha Smith, ⁴ Steve Williams, ⁴ Timothy L.
8	Lash,' Lindsay J. Collin ^{1,5}
9	
10	*Co-First Authors
11	Affiliations, ¹ Department of Epidemiology, Bolling School of Dublic Health, Emery University
12 12	² Department of Clebel Health, Belling School of Public Health, Emory University, ³ Division of
13	Infoctious Diseases, Emory School of Modicine, Emory University, ⁴ Eulton County Board of
14	Health: ⁵ Department of Population Health Sciences, Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of
16	Litah
17	
18	Corresponding Author: Lindsay J Collin. Department of Population Health Sciences.
19	Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah, 2000 Circle of Hope Drive, Room 4746, Salt
20	Lake City UT, 84112; email: lindsay.collin@hci.utah.edu
21	
22	Running head: Accounting for missing race/ethnicity COVID-19
23	
24	Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.
25	
26	Financial Support: This work was supported in part by the US National Institutes of Health
27	F31CA239566 (PLL. J. COIIII), R01LM013049 (PLL. Lasn), and K24A1114444 (PLN. R.
20 20	Chamberlain). K Labgeld is supported in part by the Center for Reproductive Health Research
29 20	in the Southeast (RISE) Doctoral Fellowship and an ARCS Foundation Award
31	
32	Data Access: Due to patient confidentiality, data are only available upon request from the
33	Fulton County Board of Health and with IRB approval from the Georgia Department of Public
34	Health. Example code used to perform the imputation and bias-adjustment is available on
35	GitHub.
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41 40	
4∠ ∕\?	
43 44	
1 T	

45 Abstract (147/150)

46 Black, Hispanic, and Indigenous persons in the United States have an increased risk of SARS-47 CoV-2 infection and death from COVID-19, due to persistent social inequities. The magnitude of the disparity is unclear, however, because race/ethnicity information is often missing in 48 49 surveillance data. In this study, we quantified the burden of SARS-CoV-2 infection, 50 hospitalization, and case fatality rates in an urban county by racial/ethnic group using combined 51 race/ethnicity imputation and quantitative bias-adjustment for misclassification. After bias-52 adjustment, the magnitude of the absolute racial/ethnic disparity, measured as the difference in 53 infection rates between classified Black and Hispanic persons compared to classified White 54 persons, increased 1.3-fold and 1.6-fold respectively. These results highlight that complete case 55 analyses may underestimate absolute disparities in infection rates. Collecting race/ethnicity 56 information at time of testing is optimal. However, when data are missing, combined imputation 57 and bias-adjustment improves estimates of the racial/ethnic disparities in the COVID-19 burden.

58 Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, missing data, bias analysis, race/ethnicity disparities,
 59 surveillance

61 Introduction

In the United States, early surveillance reports highlight that persons of Hispanic, Black, and American Indian/Alaskan Native race and ethnicity are disproportionately affected by the COVID-19 pandemic.¹ These disparities arise from historical and contemporary social and health inequities that result from systemic racism.^{2–4} Racial capitalism in particular produces structurally unequal exposure to (and protection from) SARS-CoV-2 infection in key places of transmission (*e.g.* workplace).³

68 The role of systemic racism in the pandemic motivates the need for accurate surveillance of 69 racial/ethnic disparities in SARS-CoV-2 infection and death. However, there are challenges in estimating COVID-19 racial/ethnic disparities.^{5,6} Although reports highlight the unequal burden 70 71 across racial/ethnic groups, the magnitude of disparities is uncertain because of the large 72 proportion of missing race/ethnicity information in surveillance data. In recent reports, 73 race/ethnicity information was missing in 56% of confirmed infections nationally and in 36% in Georgia.^{7,8} Current surveillance estimates are reported as complete case analyses, which 74 exclude cases with missing race/ethnicity.^{1,5,8,9} Complete case analyses will bias racial/ethnic 75 disparity estimates if race/ethnicity information is not missing completely at random.¹⁰ 76

The Department of Health and Human Services issued COVID-19 reporting guidelines in June requiring all labs to report race/ethnicity beginning August 2020.¹¹ These guidelines seek to address missing data moving forward, but fail to address missing information for case-patients identified before August.

Collecting race/ethnicity information at time of testing is optimal, especially in surveillance of racial/ethnic health disparities. Until this becomes routine, imputation of missing race/ethnicity combined with quantitative bias-adjustment to account for misclassification of the imputed race/ethnicity can improve estimates of the COVID-19 burden among racial/ethnic groups when

85 race/ethnicity data are missing.¹² In this study, we calculate SARS-CoV-2 infection, 86 hospitalizations, and case fatality rates by race/ethnicity group and report the absolute 87 racial/ethnic disparities in SARS-CoV-2 infection rates in Fulton County, Georgia after 88 accounting for missing race/ethnicity information.

89

90 Methods

Fulton County, Georgia, includes the city of Atlanta and residents identify as Black (44%), White (40%), Hispanic (7%), Asian (7%), and other races/ethnicities (2%).¹³ Between 29 February 2020 and 18 Aug 2020, 19,637 cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection were reported among Fulton County residents. Case reports included the patients' residential address, full name, race/ethnicity, hospitalization (yes/no/unknown), and death (yes/no/unknown). Fulton County Board of Health staff geocoded case-patients' address to census block groups. For this analysis, we categorized reported race/ethnicity as Black, Hispanic, Asian, White or Other.

98 We accounted for missing race/ethnicity information using a three-step approach: 1) imputation 99 of race/ethnicity for all case-patients. 2) validation of the race/ethnicity imputation by calculating 100 the accuracy of imputation among case-patients with reported race/ethnicity information, and 3) 101 bias-adjustment of race/ethnicity estimates to account for misclassification of imputation among 102 case-patients missing reported race/ethnicity information. Hereafter, we refer to race/ethnicity as 103 reported when provided in case-patient records, imputed when referring to the imputed case-104 patient race/ethnicity, and classified when referring to the combined reported and imputed 105 race/ethnicity after bias-adjustment.

First, for all case-patients we predicted their racial/ethnic group using the Bayesian Improved Surname Geocoding method.¹⁴ This method estimates the probability of a person being classified as Black, Hispanic, Asian, White or Other race/ethnic group based on the case-

patient's surname and residential census block group, and the population distribution of race/ethnicity for census block groups and surnames. Imputation was performed using the R package "wru," which includes the 2010 surname census list with corresponding race/ethnicity distribution. Geographic distribution of race/ethnicity came from the 2018 5-year American Community Survey.^{15,16} For the 546 (2.8%) case-patients who could not be geocoded, race/ethnicity was imputed using surname only.

Second, we validated the race/ethnicity imputation among case-patients whose race/ethnicity was available in the dataset (n=12,222, 64%). Predictive values (PV) were calculated for each imputed race/ethnic group. The PV is the probability that a person's reported race/ethnicity group classification was correctly imputed.¹²

Third, we used the PV values as bias parameters to quantitatively adjust for the expected misclassification of the imputed race/ethnicity groups. We assigned each race/ethnicity group PV from the validation to a Dirichlet distribution (**Table 1**). We then reclassified the imputed race/ethnicity probabilistically (100,000 iterations).¹² The quantitative bias-adjustment mathematically accounts for inaccurate assignment of case-patients to a race/ethnicity group by the Bayesian Improved Surname Geocoding method. Sampling error was incorporated into the estimates using bootstrap approximation from a standard normal distribution.¹²

For both the complete case and bias-adjusted analyses, we calculated the SARS-CoV-2 infection rates (per 1,000 persons), hospitalization proportions (hospitalized cases/reported cases), and case fatality rates (deaths/reported cases) by race/ethnicity group. We reported 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the complete case analysis and medians with 95% simulation intervals (SI) for the bias-adjusted estimates. We evaluated how accounting for missing race/ethnicity information impacts measures of racial/ethnic disparities by calculating the differences in SARS-CoV-2 infection rates in each race/ethnicity group compared with persons

of White race/ethnicity, among case-patients with reported race/ethnicity information, and among all case-patients after bias-adjustment. All analyses used R v3.6 (Vienna, Austria). The Georgia Department of Health determined this activity to be consistent with public health surveillance, so does not require informed consent or IRB approval.

137 Results

Among the 19,637 cases reported in Fulton County from 29 February to 19 August 2020, 7,145 (36%) were missing race/ethnicity information in the case report. Data were more complete among the 1,840 hospitalized case-patients, where only 14 (3.5%) were missing race/ethnicity information. All deceased case-patients (n=456) had complete information on race/ethnicity.

142

143 Comparison of reported versus imputed race/ethnicity group showed that the algorithm's 144 imputation accuracy varied by imputed race/ethnicity group (Table 1). Of the 5,535 persons who 145 were imputed as Black race/ethnicity, 93% (95%CI: 92%, 93%) were reported as Black in case 146 reports (n=5,118). Among persons imputed as Hispanic ethnicity, 84% (95%CI: 82%, 85%) 147 were reported as Hispanic. The algorithm was less accurate for case-patients with race/ethnicity 148 imputed as Asian (PV=69%, 95%CI: 61%, 74%) and as White (PV=55%, 95%CI: 53%, 56%). 149 The PV estimates for racial/ethnic groups changed over time, likely due to changes in the 150 prevalence of demographic groups affected by the pandemic over time (Supplemental Table 151 1).

152

In both the complete case and bias-adjusted analyses, the SARS-CoV-2 infection rates were
highest among those classified as Other, followed by Hispanic, Black, White, and Asian (Table
2a and 2b). Imputation and bias-adjustment yielded higher estimates of infection rates than
complete case analysis because more case-patients were included in the numerator. Estimated
infection rates increased 1.8-fold for persons classified as Asian, 1.7-fold for White, 1.7-fold for

Hispanic, 1.6-fold for Other, and 1.5-fold for Black. Hospitalization proportions and case fatality
rates decreased across all race/ethnicity groups with imputation and bias-adjustment compared
with the complete case analyses, because more cases were included in the denominator. In
both the complete case and bias-adjusted analyses, case-patients who were classified as Black
race/ethnicity had the highest hospitalization proportions (complete case: 17%, 95%CI: 16%,
18%; bias-adjusted: 12%, 95%SI: 11%, 12%) and case fatality rates (complete case: 4.6%,
95%CI: 4.1%, 5.1%; bias-adjusted: 3.1%, 95%SI: 2.8%, 3.4%).

165

The magnitude of the absolute disparity—difference in SARS-CoV-2 infection rates for casepatients classified in each race/ethnicity group compared with case-patients classified White increased in the bias-adjusted analysis relative to the complete case analysis for nearly all race/ethnicity groups (**Table 3**). When comparing bias-adjusted with complete case results, the absolute disparity in infection rates increased 1.3-fold among classified Black and 1.6-fold among classified Hispanic race/ethnicity groups in reference to case-patients classified as White.

173

174 Discussion

175 In this study, accounting for missing race/ethnicity information revealed greater differences in 176 SARS-CoV-2 infection rates comparing most racial/ethnic groups with case-patients of White 177 race. These results suggest that national estimates, which exclude case-patients with missing 178 race/ethnicity information, may underestimate the magnitude of absolute racial/ethnic disparities 179 in COVID-19 morbidity and mortality.^{6,8}

Our results underscore the need for imputation combined with bias-adjustment. In our study
 population, the PV estimates indicated that imputation alone overestimated infections among

case-patients classified as White and underestimated infections among case-patients classified
as Black. Therefore, imputation alone would have been insufficient.

184 Both the complete case analysis and the bias-adjusted estimates demonstrate important 185 absolute racial/ethnic disparities in the infection rates. The bias-adjusted estimates do not 186 change our understanding of the direction of racial/ethnic disparities in the COVID-19 pandemic; 187 however, the magnitude of racial/ethnic disparities changed meaningfully after bias-adjustment. 188 In contrast, the hospitalization proportion and case fatality rate decreased across all classified 189 race/ethnicity groups after accounting for missing race/ethnicity information because few 190 hospitalized or deceased case-patients were missing race/ethnicity information. These results 191 highlight the need for more complete reporting so that health equity and racial justice efforts 192 aimed at addressing these disparities operate on the most accurate data possible.

The imputation of race/ethnicity has limitations. The Bayesian Improved Surname Geocoding algorithm limits the racial/ethnic groups that can be imputed to Black, Hispanic, Asian, White, or Other. The reliance on categories of 'other' is problematic for identifying and addressing disparities in other racial/ethnic populations (*e.g.* indigenous populations). Future studies should explore how accounting for missing race/ethnicity impacts other disease burden measures.

Our findings emphasize the importance of collecting complete race/ethnicity data at the time of testing, for the current pandemic and future outbreaks. When data are missing, Bayesian Improved Surname Geocoding combined with quantitative bias-adjustment provides better estimates of the racial/ethnic disparities in SARS-CoV-2 infection rates, hospitalization proportions, and case fatality rates.

203 Tables

Table 1: Predictive values (PV) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) of the imputation by race/ethnicity based on residence

 and surname compared with reported race/ethnic group in the State Electronic Notifiable Disease Surveillance System

		Imputed Race/Ethnicity					
		Black	Hispanic	Asian	White	Other	
	Black	5118	68	13	1754	11	
	Hispanic	77	1288	16	230	6	
Reported	Asian	16	15	145	80	4	
Race/Ethnicity	White	192	103	28	2827	2	
	Other	132	68	12	302	1	
	Total	5,535	1,543	214	5,193	24	
	PV % (95% CI)	93% (92%, 93%)	84% (82%, 85%)	69% (61%, 74%)	55% (53%, 56%)	3.8% (0.1%, 15%)	

Table 2a: Complete case estimates of SARS-CoV-2 infection rates, hospitalization proportions, and case fatality rates by race/ethnic group among 12,222 cases reported to Fulton County Board of Health, 29 February - 18 Aug 2020.

Race/ Ethnicity	Total infections	Hospitalized	Died	At Risk*	Infection rate per 1,000 (95%CI)	Hospitalized percentage (95%Cl)	Case Fatality Rate as a percentage (95%Cl)
Asian	260	25	5	69987	3.7 (3.3, 4.2)	9.6 (6.2, 14)	1.9 (0.4, 3.8)
Hispanic	1617	214	15	74328	22 (21, 23)	13 (12, 15)	0.9 (0.5, 1.4)
Black	6964	1195	320	445992	16 (15, 16)	17 (16, 18)	4.6 (4.1, 5.1)
White	3152	312	112	406755	7.7 (7.4, 8.0)	9.9 (8.9, 11)	3.6 (2.9, 4.2)
Other	515	30	4	6056	85 (78, 92)	5.8 (3.9, 8.0)	0.8 (0.2, 1.6)

Table 2b: Bias-adjusted estimates of SARS-COoV-2 infection rates, hospitalization proportions, and case fatality rates including 7,415 cases with imputed race/ethnicity, among 19,637 cases reported to Fulton County Board of Health before 18 Aug 2020.

Race/ Ethnicity	Total infections (95%SI)	Hospitalized	Died	At Risk*	Infection rate per 1,000 (95%SI)	Hospitalized percentage (95%SI)	Case Fatality Rate as a percentage (95%SI)
Asian	456 (439, 474)	25	5	69987	6.5 (5.9, 7.2)	5.5 (3.4, 7.6)	1.1 (0.1, 2.1)
Hispanic	2,691 (2,661, 2721)	214	15	74328	36 (35, 38)	7.9 (6.9, 9.0)	0.6 (0.3, 0.8)
Black	10,838 (10,327, 10,428)	1195	320	445992	23 (23, 24)	12 (11, 12)	3.1 (2.8, 3.4)
White	5,303 (5,250, 5,356)	312	112	406755	13 (13, 13)	2.1 (1.7, 2.5)	2.1 (1.7, 2.5)
Other	837 (810, 865)	30	4	6056	138 (128, 148)	0.5 (0.0, 0.9)	0.5 (0.0, 0.9)

*American Community Survey 5-year 2018 estimates

Table 3: Relative difference (RD) of SARS-CoV-2 infection rates among minority groups compared with non-HispanicWhite persons among cases with complete information and after accounting for missing race/ethnicity among 4004SARS-CoV-2 infected persons reported to Fulton County before 20 May 2020.

	Complete	e Case	Bias-A		
Race/ Ethnicity	Infection rate per 1,000 (95%CI)*	RD per 1,000 (95%Cl)	Infection rate per 1,000 (95%SI)	RD per 1,000 (95%SI)	Relative change in magnitude of disparity
Asian	3.7 (3.3, 4.2)	-4.0 (-4.6, -3.5)	6.5 (5.9, 7.2)	-6.5 (-6.8,-6.2)	0.6
Hispanic	22 (21, 23)	14 (13, 15)	36 (35, 38)	23 (23, 23)	1.6
Black	16 (15, 16)	7.9 (7.4, 8.3)	23 (23, 24)	10 (10, 11)	1.3
White	7.7 (7.4, 7.8)	Reference	13 (13, 13)	Reference	
Other	85 (78, 92)	77 (70, 84)	138 (128, 148)	125 (121, 130)	1.6

240 Appendix

241

Supplemental Table 1: Positive predictive value (PPV) of the imputation by race/ethnicity based on residence and surname compared with the reported race/ethnic group in COVID-19 case report stratified by months (March through May and June through August) of diagnosis

		Predicted Race/Ethnicity					
March–May		Asian	Black	Hispanic	Other	White	
d city	Asian	33	2	6	2	28	
thni	Black	3	1183	12	3	654	
,Et	Hispanic	1	7	156	0	38	
Re ace	Other	9	17	11	0	45	
Ř	White	5	69	30	0	608	
	PPV	65%	93%	73%	0%	44%	
June-Aug							
lity	Asian	112	14	9	2	52	
hnic	Black	10	3935	56	8	1100	
/Etl	Hispanic	15	70	1132	6	192	
Re	Other	3	115	57	1	257	
R	White	23	123	73	2	2219	
	PPV	69%	92%	85%	5%	58%	

242

243

244

246 **References**

- 1. Stokes EK, Zambrano LD, Anderson KN, et al. Coronavirus Disease 2019 Case
- 248 Surveillance United States, January 22-May 30, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.*
- 249 2020;69(24):759-765. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6924e2
- 250 2. Health Equity Considerations & Racial & Ethnic Minority Groups. National Center for
- 251 Immunization and Respiratory Diseases (NCIRD), Division of Viral Diseases.
- 252 https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/racial-ethnic-
- 253 minorities.html. Published 2020. Accessed July 17, 2020.
- 3. McClure ES, Vasudevan P, Bailey Z, Patel S, Robinson WR. Racial Capitalism within
- 255 Public Health: How Occupational Settings Drive COVID- 19 Disparities. *Am J Epidemiol*.
- 256 2020:113-120.
- 4. Egede LE, Walker RJ. Structural Racism, Social Risk Factors, and Covid-19 A
- 258 Dangerous Convergence for Black Americans. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(12):e77(1)-
- 259 e77(3). doi:10.1056/NEJMp2023616
- 5. Servik K. 'Huge hole' in COVID-19 testing data makes it harder to study racial disparities.
- 261 Science (80-). July 2020. doi:0.1126/science.abd7715
- 262 6. Cowger TL, Davis BA, Etkins OS, et al. Comparison of Weighted and Unweighted
- 263 Population Data to Assess Inequities in Coronavirus Disease 2019 Deaths by
- 264 Race/Ethnicity Reported by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. JAMA
- 265 *Netw open.* 2020;3(7):e2016933. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.16933
- 266 7. Georgia Department of Public Health COVID-19 Daily Status Report.
- 267 https://dph.georgia.gov/covid-19-daily-status-report. Published 2020. Accessed July 18,
- 268 2020.
- Oppel R, Gebelhoff R, Lai K, Wright W, Smith M. The Fullest Look Yet at the Racial
 Inequity of Coronavirus. New York Times.
- 271 https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2020/07/05/us/coronavirus-latinos-african-

272 americans-cdc-

273		data.html?campaign_id=2&emc=edit_th_20200706&instance_id=20039&n
274		l=todaysheadlines®i_id=71026656&segment_id=32674&user_id=c99fb
275		3a6b3b754c. Published 2020. Accessed July 18, 2020.
276	9.	Wu SL, Mertens AN, Crider YS, et al. Substantial underestimation of SARS-CoV-2
277		infection in the United States. Nat Commun. 2020. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18272-4
278	10.	Perkins NJ, Cole SR, Harel O, et al. Principled Approaches to Missing Data in
279		Epidemiologic Studies. Am J Epidemiol. 2017;187(3):568-575. doi:10.1093/aje/kwx348
280	11.	The Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act. United States; 2020.
281	12.	Lash TL, Fox MP, Fink AK. Applying Quantitative Bias Analysis to Epidemiologic Data.
282		(Gail M, Krickeberg K, Samet J, Tsiatis A, Wong W, eds.). New York: Springer; 2009.
283		doi:10.1007/978-0-387-87959-8
284	13.	American Community Survey: Hispanic or Latino Origin by Race. The United States
285		Census Bureau. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?t=Race and
286		Ethnicity&g=0500000US13121&tid=ACSDT5Y2018.B03002&moe=false&hidePreview=tr
287		ue. Published 2020. Accessed August 19, 2020.
288	14.	Elliott MN, Fremont A, Morrison PA, Pantoja P, Lurie N. A new method for estimating
289		race/ethnicity and associated disparities where administrative records lack self-reported
290		race/ethnicity. Health Serv Res. 2008;43(5 P1):1722-1736. doi:10.1111/j.1475-
291		6773.2008.00854.x
292	15.	About the American Community Survey. US Census Bureau.
293		https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/about.html. Published 2020. Accessed
294		July 8, 2020.
295	16.	Khanna K, Imai K. Package ' wru ': Who are You? Bayesian Prediction of Racial
296		Category Using Surname and Geolocation. 2019. https://cran.r-
297		project.org/web/packages/wru/wru.pdf.