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#### Abstract

An investigation of members of the soil keratinophilic fungi community in China resulted in the identification of one new monotypic genus, Zongqia, and 10 new species, 2 of which are affiliated with Solomyces, 1 with the new genus Zongqia, 4 with Pseudogymnoascus, and 3 with Scedosporium. These novel taxa form an independent lineage distinct from other species, based on morphological and multilocus phylogenetic analyses. Descriptions, illustrations, and notes are provided for each taxon. These new taxa of the soil keratinophilic fungi add to the increasing number of fungi known from China, and it is now evident that numerous novel taxa are waiting to be described. IMPORTANCE Keratinophilic fungi are a group that can degrade and utilize keratinrich material. It is also because of this ability that many taxa can cause infections in animals or humans but remain poorly studied. In this study, we reported a novel genus and 10 novel species, 7 novel species belonging to the order Thelebolales and 3 to the genus Scedosporium, based on multilocus phylogenetic analyses combined with morphological characteristics. Our study significantly updates the taxonomy of Thelebolales and Scedosporium and enhances our understanding of this group of the keratin-degrading fungal community. The findings also encourage future studies on the artificially constructed keratin-degrading microbial consortia.
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$\Delta$
oil microbes are the richest component of terrestrial biodiversity, and among them, soil fungi play a major role in the ecosystem processes. To date, many studies have explored fungi in ocean, caves, forests (especially pristine rainforests), extreme environments, volcanoes, mountains, deserts, freshwater aquatic systems, lakes, grasslands, indoor environments, and many other habitats (1), and they have found that fungi in different habitats have very high species diversity. At the same time, many new fungal taxa have been reported, and they have shown potential high value in the industries of agriculture and medicine. However, as global urbanization continues to expand (2, 3), urban soil fungi, which are closely related to human health, have not been systematically investigated although they are a focal area for ecological and environmental issues. China has diverse urban soil types, diverse habitats, rapid urbanization, and high population mobility. Investigating the diversity of soil fungi in different cities in China will provide scientific data for understanding their ecological functions and maintaining public health safety and will enable the isolation of many new resources with potential applications.

The enrichment culture method using different substrates can often screen for the specific fungal consortium, so this method is often used for the isolation of fungal taxa with specific physiological functions. The distribution of keratinophilic fungi, as a

[^0]TABLE 1 The best-fit evolutionary models in our phylogenetic analyses

| Data set | Method | Model |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ITS | BT2 | LSU | MCM7 | RPB2 | EF1A |
| First | ML | TIM2e + I + G4 |  | GTR + F + I | TVMe + I + G4 | K2P + I + G4 | SYM + R3 |
|  | BI | SYM + I + G4 |  | TR + F + I | SYM + I + G4 | $\mathrm{K} 2 \mathrm{P}+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G} 4$ | SYM + I + G4 |
| Second | ML | SYM + R3 |  | TIM $+\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{I}$ |  |  |  |
|  | BI | SYM $+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G} 4$ |  | GTR + F + I |  |  |  |
| Third | ML | TIM3 + F + R3 | HKY |  |  |  |  |
|  | BI | HKY + F + I + G4 | HKY |  |  |  |  |

special fungal consortium that can degrade and utilize keratin-rich materials, is greatly influenced by the activities of humans and animals, and the presence of such fungus is high in areas where humans and animals are frequently active, especially in urban parks, hospitals, and school campuses (4-7). According to the habitat, keratinophilic fungi can be broadly classified into three eco-types, anthropophilic, zoophilic, and geophilic species, and are mostly pathogenic or potentially pathogenic fungi. For human health and safety, their distribution should attract the attention of governments and scientists. Keratinophilic fungi have been reported in soils of different habitats in different geographic regions of the world, so the investigation of keratinophilic fungi has epidemiological significance (8).

Since the report of the degradable keratin of Onygena equina (9), new taxa of keratinophilic fungi and their applications have been reported. Keratinophilic fungi involve a large number of taxa belonging to several orders, families, and genera, including mainly dermatophytes and some saprophytic fungi, such as some species of Arthrodermataceae and Onygenaceae in the order Onygenales (10) and some members of the genera Geomyces and Pseudogymnoascus in the order Thelebolales (11). In addition, they contain a large number of common taxa, such as some species of the genera Aspergillus, Penicillium, and Trichoderma (12, 13). In the years since we investigated the members of keratin-degrading fungal communities in Chinese soils, several new taxa have been identified and reported (14-22). Here, we introduce one new genus, Zongqia (Thelebolales genera incertae sedis, Thelebolales), and 10 new species, 2 of which are affiliated with Solomyces, 1 with the new genus Zongqia, 4 with Pseudogymnoascus, and 3 with Scedosporium.

## RESULTS

In this study, the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions of all isolates were sequenced, and all ITS sequences obtained were BLASTn searched in NCBI and assigned to potential genera and species. Then, strains belonging to Thelebolales and Scedosporium were screened and tested for further identification through morphological characterization and phylogenetic analyses.

Molecular phylogeny. The first concatenated alignment (including Pseudogymnoascus and its related taxa) consisted of 2,806 nucleotides, including inserted gaps (ITS: 430 bp , large subunit ribosomal DNA [LSU]: 790 bp, minichromosomal maintenance protein 7 [MCM7]: 485 bp , RNA polymerase II subunit 2 [RPB2]: 467 bp , and elongation factor 1 alpha [EF1A]: 634 bp ). The second concatenated data set (mainly involving the genera of Thelebolales) included 1,208 nucleotides, including inserted gaps (ITS: 433 bp; LSU: 775 bp ). The third concatenated matrix (including Scedosporium and its related taxa) contained 964 nucleotides, including inserted gaps (ITS: 544 bp ; beta-tubulin [BT2]: 420 bp ). The best-fit evolutionary models of ML and BI analyses of each locus are listed in Table 1. The tree topology from both maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian interference (BI) analyses was almost identical.

In the first phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1), the clades formed by each genus and by undetermined taxa had a high support rate: Pseudogymnoascus (1 posterior probability $[P P] / 100 \%$ bootstrap support [BS]), Solomyces (1 PP/100\% BS), undetermined (clade O,


FIG 1 Bayesian inference strict consensus tree illustrating the phylogeny of new taxa and related species in Thelebolales based on a five-loci (ITS, LSU, MCM7, RPB2, EF1A) concatenated data set. Branches are labeled with Bayesian posterior probabilities of $>0.70$ and maximum likelihood bootstrap values of $>70 \%$. The new taxa and strains are in bold and blue. Clade names follow previous studies (21,24).

1 PP/100\% BS), Geomyces (1 PP/100\% BS), Pseudeurotium (1 PP/100\% BS), and Zongqia gen. nov. (1 PP/100\% BS). Our new species is divided into three genera. Eighteen of our new strains belong to three clades of genus Pseudogymnoascus, five are contained in genus Solomyces, and the remaining four are located within the new genus Zongqia.

In the second phylogenetic tree (Fig. 2), each genus clusters into a monophyletic clade. The new genus Zongqia forms a well-supported ( $0.99 \mathrm{PP} / 98 \% \mathrm{BS}$ ) clade separated from other genera in Thelebolales.

In the third phylogenetic tree (Fig. 3), the clades formed by each genus had a high support rate: Scedosporium (1 PP/95\% BS), Parascedosporium (1 PP/100\% BS), Lomentospora ( 0.99 PP/98\% BS), Petriella (1 PP/100\% BS), Kernia (1 PP/95\% BS), and Lophotrichus (1 PP/ $100 \%$ BS). Our new species is nested in Scedosporium, and our strains are spread into five well-supported main clades, representing the species Scedosporium hunanense sp. nov. (0.92 PP/99\% BS), Scedosporium apiospermum (1 PP/100\% BS), Scedosporium hainanense


FIG 2 Bayesian inference strict consensus tree illustrating the phylogeny of genera in Thelebolales based on a two-loci (ITS and LSU) concatenated data set. Branches are labeled with Bayesian posterior probabilities of $>0.70$ and maximum likelihood bootstrap values of $>70 \%$. The new taxa and strains are in bold and blue.
sp. nov. (0.88 PP/99\% BS), Scedosporium aurantiacum (1 PP/90\% BS), and Scedosporium haikouense sp. nov. (1 PP/100\% BS), except for CGMCC3.20466, which is associated with the species Scedosporium boydii and Scedosporium ellipsoideum.

## TAXONOMY

Pseudogymnoascus catenatus Zhang, Han, and Liang, sp. nov. (Fig. 4). MycoBank number: MB 840436. Etymology: referring to the catenation of its intercalary conidia. Diagnosis: similar to Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus but differs in obovoid conidia and intercalary conidia. Type: China, Fujian Province, Wuyishan City, Lie Ning Park, 27.758010N, 118.034403E, isolated from green belt soil, 18 August 2019, Z.Y. Zhang. (Holotype HMAS 350322, stored in a metabolically inactive state; ex-holotype culture CGMCC 3.20472 = GZUIFR 21.815, ibid., GZUIFR 21.816.) GenBank: MZ444080, MZ444081 (ITS); MZ444107, MZ444108 (LSU); MZ490762, MZ490763 (MCM7); MZ488545, MZ488546 (RPB2); MZ488522, MZ488523 (translation elongation factor [TEF]).

Description. Sexual morph: not observed. Asexual morph: colonies on peptone-dextrose agar (PDA) slowly growing, attaining 6 to 10 mm diameter after 14 days at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, velvety, short and fluffy, margins irregular, light gray to white, absent pigment and


FIG 3 Phylogeny of Scedosporium and related species generated by BI analyses based on combined two-loci (ITS and BT2) sequences. Branches are labeled with Bayesian posterior probabilities of $>0.70$ and maximum likelihood bootstrap of $>70 \%$, respectively. New species and strains are indicated in bold and blue.
exudates; reverse brown. No growth at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hyphae hyaline, branched, septate, smooth, 1 to $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide. Racquet hyphae absent. Conidiophores abundant, frequent branches, at acute angles, often 1 to 2 verticillate with 1 to 4 branches per whorl, secondary and tertiary branches can still branch again. Conidia abundant, normally borne terminally on verticillate branches or borne laterally and solitary on short protrusions or short side branches; subhyaline to hyaline, smooth-walled or rough; obovoid, sometimes subglobose, 3.0 to 6.0 by 3.0 to $4.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$. Intercalary conidia are borne on the verticillate hyphae or hyphae, solitary or 1 to 6 in chains, smooth-walled or rough, obovoid, subglobose, fusiform, drum-shaped, truncated at both ends, 3.5 to 6.5 by 3.0 to $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$, cylindrical, barrel-shaped, truncated at both ends, 5.5 to 6.5 by 2.5 to $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$. Arthroconidia hyaline, cylindrical, sometimes obovoid, 3.0 to 6.0 by 2.0 to $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$.

Substrate: soil. Distribution: Wuyishan City, Fujian Province; Ningbo City, Zhejiang Province, China. Material examined: China, Zhejiang Province, Ningbo City, Moon Lake, $29.870001 \mathrm{~N}, 121.544021 \mathrm{E}$, isolated from green belt soil, 16 August 2019, Z.Y. Zhang, GZUIFR 21.813, ibid., GZUIFR 21.814. GenBank: MZ444078, MZ444079 (ITS); MZ444105, MZ444106 (LSU); MZ490760, MZ490761 (MCM7); MZ488543, MZ488544 (RPB2); MZ488520, MZ488521 (TEF).


FIG 4 Pseudogymnoascus catenatus (from ex-holotype CGMCC 3.20472). (A, B) Upper and reverse views of culture on PDA 14 days after inoculation; ( $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}$ ) intercalary conidia; ( $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{K}$ to N ) conidiophores and conidia; ( F ) arthroconidia. Scale bars ( C to N ), $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Notes. Morphologically, Pseudogymnoascus catenatus is similar to $P$. verrucosus in having arthroconidia but is clearly distinguished by the obovoid conidia and intercalary conidia (23). Phylogenetically, four isolates of $P$. catenatus formed a single clade separate from other species in Pseudogymnoascus (Fig. 1), which indicates that they are distinct species.

Pseudogymnoascus fujianensis Zhang, Han, and Liang, sp. nov. (Fig. 5). MycoBank number: MB 840437. Etymology: refers to the region from which the fungus was isolated. Diagnosis: similar to P. verrucosus, Pseudogymnoascus roseu, and Pseudogymnoascus destructans but differs in the presence of intercalary conidia and the absence of arthroconidia. Type: China, Fujian Province, Wuyishan City, Lie Ning Park, 27.758545N, 118.034134E, isolated from green belt soil, 18 August 2019, Z.Y. Zhang. (Holotype HMAS 350324, stored in a metabolically inactive state; ex-holotype culture CGMCC $3.20474=$ GZUIFR 21.819, ibid., GZUIFR 21.820.) GenBank: MZ444084, MZ444085 (ITS); MZ444111, MZ444112 (LSU); MZ490766, MZ490767 (MCM7); MZ488549, MZ488550 (RPB2); MZ488526, MZ488527 (TEF).

Description. Sexual morph: not observed. Asexual morph: colonies on PDA attaining 19 to 20 mm diameter after 14 days at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, flat, flocculent, sectorization, margin identified, white to pink, absent pigment and exudates; reverse brown. No growth at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hyphae hyaline, branched, septate, smooth-walled, 0.5 to $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide. Racquet


FIG 5 Pseudogymnoascus fujianensis (from ex-holotype CGMCC 3.20474). (A, B) Upper and reverse views of culture on PDA 14 days after inoculation; (C to M) conidiophores, conidia, and intercalary conidia. Scale bars ( $C$ to M), $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
hyphae absent. Conidiophores abundant, branches, at acute angles, irregular, acyclic arrangement. Conidia abundant, mostly terminal or lateral, sessile or borne on hyphae, short protrusions or side branches; solitary, fasciation, or 2 in chains; hyaline, smoothwalled; obovoid, 2.5 to 5.5 by 2.5 to $4.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$. Intercalary conidia abundant, normally chained with terminal conidia; solitary, smooth-walled or rough; obovoid, sometimes drum-shaped, 2.5 to 5.0 by 2.5 to $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$.

Substrate: soil. Distribution: Wuyishan City, Fujian Province, China. Material examined: China, Fujian Province, Wuyishan City, Wuyi University, 27.728722N, 118.002862E, isolated from green belt soil, 18 August 2019, Z.Y. Zhang, GZUIFR 21.821, ibid., GZUIFR 21.822. GenBank: MZ444086, MZ444087 (ITS); MZ444113, MZ444114 (LSU); MZ490768, MZ490769 (MCM7); MZ488551, MZ488552 (RPB2); MZ488528, MZ488529 (TEF).

Notes. Morphological and phylogenetic analyses (Fig. 1) support our four strains as new species of Pseudogymnoascus fujianensis. P. fujianensis is phylogenetically closely related to P. verrucosus, P. roseu, and P. destructans. However, P. fujianensis is distinguished from other species of Pseudogymnoascus by the presence of intercalary conidia and the absence of arthroconidia (23-26).


FIG 6 Pseudogymnoascus yunnanensis (from ex-holotype CGMCC 3.20475). (A, B) Upper and reverse views of culture on PDA 14 days after inoculation; ( C to L ) conidiophores and conidia; ( M to N ) conidia. Scale bars ( C to N ), $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Pseudogymnoascus yunnanensis Zhang, Han, and Liang, sp. nov. (Fig. 6). MycoBank number: MB 840438. Etymology: refers to the region from which the fungus was isolated. Diagnosis: similar to Pseudogymnoas lindneri, Pseudogymnoas turneri, and Pseudogymnoas guizhouensis but differs in the clavate, fusiform with basal scars terminal conidia, and reniform, fusiform, truncated at both ends of intercalary conidia. Type: China, Yunnan Province, Dali City, Dali Bai Autonomous Prefecture People's Hospital, 25.578478 N, 100.222121E, isolated from green belt soil, 3 September 2019, Z.Y. Zhang. (Holotype HMAS 350320, stored in a metabolically inactive state; ex-holotype culture CGMCC 3.20475 = GZUIFR 21.807, ibid., GZUIFR 21.808.) GenBank: MZ444072, MZ444073 (ITS); MZ444099, MZ444100 (LSU); MZ490754, MZ490755 (MCM7); MZ488537, MZ488538 (RPB2); MZ488514, MZ488515 (TEF).

Description. Sexual morph: not observed. Asexual morph: colonies on PDA attaining 23 to 25 mm diameter after 14 days at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, velvety, powdery, margin identified, locally indented, pink, white at the edge, absent pigment and exudates; reverse brown. No growth at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hyphae hyaline, branched, septate, smooth-walled, 1 to $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide. Racquet hyphae absent. Conidiophores abundant, frequent branches, at acute angles, often 2 to 3 verticillate with 1 to 4 branches per whorl, secondary and tertiary branches can still branch again. Conidia abundant, normally borne terminally on verticillate branches, or borne laterally and solitary on short protrusions or short side branches;
subhyaline to hyaline, smooth-walled or echinulate; obovoid, subglobose to globose, sometimes pyriform, 2.5 to 4.5 by 2.5 to $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$; sometimes terminal conidia clavate, fusiform with basal scars, 6.5 to 9.0 by 2.5 to $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$. Intercalary conidia are borne on the outer branches of the hyphae or verticillate hyphae, solitary or two in chains, smooth-walled or rough, reniform and fusiform truncate at both ends, 2.5 to 5.5 by 2.5 to $4.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$.

Substrate: soil. Distribution: Dali City, Yunnan Province, China. Material examined: China, Yunnan Province, Dali City, Dali University, 25.674141N, 100.154757E, isolated from green belt soil, 2 September 2019, Z.Y. Zhang, GZUIFR 21.809. GenBank: MZ444074 (ITS); MZ444101 (LSU); MZ490756 (MCM7); MZ488539 (RPB2); MZ488516 (TEF).

Notes. Morphologically, Pseudogymnoascus yunnanensis is similar to P. lindneri, P. turneri, and $P$. guizhouensis in having obovoid, globose conidia (27). However, P. yunnanensis can be distinguished from $P$. lindneri and $P$. turneri by the presence of its clavate, fusiform with basal scars terminal conidia and no observed sexual morph. $P$. yunnanensis differs from $P$. guizhouensis because it is reniform, fusiform, and truncated at both ends of intercalary conidia (22). Phylogenetically, three isolates of $P$. yunnanensis constitute a strongly supported subclade, sister to $P$. guizhouensis with high support values (Fig. 1), but they can be easily distinguished.

Pseudogymnoascus zhejiangensis Zhang, Han, and Liang, sp. nov. (Fig. 7). MycoBank number: MB 840439. Etymology: refers to the region from which the fungus was isolated. Diagnosis: similar to P. lindneri, P. turneri, and P. yunnanensis but differs in the obovoid, subglobose intercalary conidia. Type: China, Zhejiang Province, Ningbo City, Moon Lake, $29.871117 \mathrm{~N}, 121.544218 \mathrm{E}$, isolated from green belt soil, 16 August 2019, Z.Y. Zhang. (Holotype HMAS 350321, stored in a metabolically inactive state; ex-holotype culture CGMCC 3.20476 = GZUIFR 21.810, ibid., GZUIFR 21.811; ibid., GZUIFR 21.812.) GenBank: MZ444075, MZ444076, MZ444077 (ITS); MZ444102, MZ444103, MZ444104 (LSU); MZ490757, MZ490758, MZ490759 (MCM7); MZ488540, MZ488541, MZ488542 (RPB2); MZ488517, MZ488518, MZ488519 (TEF).

Description. Sexual morph: not observed. Asexual morph: colonies on PDA attaining 20 mm diameter after 14 days at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, gradually increased from the edge to the center, velvety, floccose, margin entire, white, absent pigment and exudates; reverse pink, white at the edge. No growth at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hyphae hyaline, branched, septate, smooth, 1 to $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide. Racquet hyphae absent. Conidiophores abundant, frequent branches, at acute angles, often 1 to 4 verticillate with 1 to 4 branches per whorl, secondary and tertiary branches can still branch again. Conidia abundant, normally borne terminally on verticillate branches or borne laterally and solitary on short protrusions or short side branches; subhyaline to hyaline, smooth-walled or rough; obovoid to globose, 2.5 to 4.5 by 2.5 to $4.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$; clavate, long obovoid, 5 to 9 by 2.5 to $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$. Intercalary conidia are borne on the verticillate hyphae or hyphae, solitary, smoothwalled or rough, obovoid, subglobose to globose, 3.5 to 4.5 by 3.0 to $4.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$.

Substrate: Soil. Distribution: Ningbo City, Zhejiang Province, China.
Notes. Morphologically, Pseudogymnoascus zhejiangensis resembles P. lindneri, P. turneri, and $P$. yunnanensis because of the obovoid, globose conidia. However, $P$. zhejiangensis differs from P. lindneri, P. turneri, and $P$. yunnanensis in that it has obovoid, subglobose intercalary conidia (the intercalary conidia of $P$. linderi and $P$. turneri are globose to truncate, and those of $P$. yunnanensis are reniform, fusiform, and truncated at both ends) (27). Phylogenetically, three isolates of $P$. zhejiangensis formed one clade and share a sister relationship to three undescribed isolates (12NJ13, 17WV06, and 22984-1-I1) with high BS (Fig. 1). However, we did not compare morphological characteristics between P. zhejiangensis and another three isolates within Pseudogymnoascus because of the lack of morphological description of these three isolates (24).

Solomyces guizhouensis Zhang, Han, and Liang, sp. nov. (Fig. 8). MycoBank number: MB 840440. Etymology: refers to Guizhou, the province where the isolate was collected. Diagnosis: Solomyces guizhouensis differs from other species by the presence of 2 to 3 conidia in chains and 2 to 3 intercalary conidia in chains. Type: China, Guizhou


FIG 7 Pseudogymnoascus zhejiangensis (from ex-holotype CGMCC 3.20476). (A, B) Upper and reverse views of culture on PDA 14 days after inoculation; ( C to M) conidiophores, conidia, and intercalary conidia. Scale bars ( C to M ), $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Province, Anshun City, Anshun University, 26.244748N, 105.898997E, isolated from green belt soil, 5 September 2019, Z.Y. Zhang. (Holotype HMAS 350319, stored in a metabolically inactive state; ex-holotype culture CGMCC 3.20477 = GZUIFR 21.804.) GenBank: MZ444069 (ITS); MZ444096 (LSU); MZ490751 (MCM7); MZ488534 (RPB2); MZ488511 (TEF).

Description. Sexual morph: not observed. Asexual morph: colonies on PDA, reaching 16 to 17 mm diameter after 14 days at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, floccose, margins regular, white, absent pigment and exudates; reverse white. No growth at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hyphae abundant, smooth and thin-walled, septate, 1.5 to $3.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide. Conidia terminal and laterally borne on hyphae, short protrusions, or side branches; solitary, sometimes 2 to 3 in chains, hyaline, smooth or rough walled, obovoid, subglobose to globose, pyriform, 4.0 to 7.0 by 4.0 to $6.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$. Intercalary conidia abundant, solitary or 2 to 3 in


FIG 8 Solomyces guizhouensis (from ex-holotype CGMCC 3.20477). (A, B) Upper and reverse views of culture on PDA 14 days after inoculation; ( $C$ to M) terminal, lateral conidia, and intercalary conidia. Scale bars ( $C$ to $M$ ), $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
chains, hyaline, smooth or rough walled, olivary, subglobose to globose, 4.5 to 8.5 by 3.5 to $5.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$. Lateral branches may emerge from intercalary conidia.

Substrate: soil. Distribution: Anshun City, Guizhou Province, China. Material examined: China, Guizhou Province, Anshun City, People's Hospital of Anshun City Guizhou Province, 26.247091 N, 105.967968 E , isolated from green belt soil, 5 September 2019, Z.Y. Zhang, GZUIFR 21.805, ibid., GZUIFR 21.806. GenBank: MZ444070, MZ444071 (ITS); MZ444097, MZ444098 (LSU); MZ490752, MZ490753 (MCM7); MZ488535, MZ488536 (RPB2); MZ488512, MZ488513 (TEF).

Notes. Morphologically, Solomyces guizhouensis is distinguished from other species of Solomyces by the presence of 2 to 3 conidia in chains and 2 to 3 intercalary conidia in chains. Solomyces guizhouensis is phylogenetically allied to Solomyces ramosus (Fig. 1), but they can be easily distinguished (see notes on S. ramosus [22]).

Solomyces ramosus Zhang, Han, and Liang, sp. nov. (Fig. 9). MycoBank number: MB 840442. Etymology: referring to the ramose of its conidiophore. Diagnosis: Solomyces ramosus differ from other species by the presence of ramose conidiophores. Type: China,


FIG 9 Solomyces ramosus (from ex-holotype CGMCC 3.20478). (A, B) Upper and reverse views of culture on PDA 14 days after inoculation; ( C to H ) terminal, lateral conidia, and intercalary conidia; ( I and J ) ramose of conidiophore; ( K ) conidia. Scale bars (C to K), $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Shanghai City, Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, 31.212090N, 121.467721E, isolated from green belt soil, 15 August 2019, Z.Y. Zhang. (Holotype HMAS 350323, stored in a metabolically inactive state; ex-holotype culture CGMCC 3.20478 = GZUIFR 21.817, ibid., GZUIFR 21.818.) GenBank: MZ444082, MZ444083 (ITS); MZ444109, MZ444110 (LSU); MZ490764, MZ490765 (MCM7); MZ488547, MZ488548 (RPB2); MZ488524, MZ488525 (TEF).

Description. Sexual morph: not observed. Asexual morph: colonies on PDA reaching 17 mm diameter after 14 days at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, slightly felty to floccose, margin identified, white; reverse white; absent pigment and exudates. No growth at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hyphae abundant, smooth, hyaline, branched, septate, 1.0 to $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide. Conidiophores abundant, branches, at acute angles, 1 to 2 verticillate with 1 to 4 branches per whorl. Conidia terminal and laterally borne on hyphae, short protrusions, or side branches, solitary, hyaline, obovoid, subglobose, smooth or rough walled, 5 to 8.5 by 4.0 to $5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$. Intercalary conidia abundant, globose, olivary, subglobose to globose, 3.5 to 6.5 by 3.5 to $5.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$.

Substrate: soil. Distribution: Shanghai City, China.

Notes. Morphologically, Solomyces ramosus is distinguished from other species of Solomyces by the presence of ramose conidiophores (22). Phylogenetically, our two new isolates of $S$. ramosus formed one clade and share a sister relationship to S. guizhouensis with high BS (Fig. 1), which indicates that they are distinct species.

Zongqia Zhang and Han, gen. nov. MycoBank number: MB 840447. Typification: Zongqia sinensis Zhang and Han. Etymology: in honor of Zong-Qi Liang, acknowledging his contributions to our group. Diagnosis: in addition to the phylogenetic distinctions (Fig. 1 to 2), Zongqia differs from Pseudeurotium by the presence of chains of conidia, conidiophores degenerated into conidiophore cells, clavate conidiophores cells.

Description. Saprobic on the soil. Sexual morph: not observed. Asexual morph: hyphae branched, septate, smooth. Conidiophores not observed and were degenerated into conidiophore cells. Conidiophores cells hyaline, cylindrical, clavate, occurring directly from the hyphae, smooth-walled, solitary. Conidia aseptate, smooth-walled, one-celled, solitary or chains, obovate, subglobose, fusiform, cylindrical, clavate. Chlamydospores not observed.

Notes. The new genus Zongqia is introduced here based on phylogeny and morphological evidence. Until now, the Thelebolales consisted of 23 genera (22, 28). In five-loci (ITS, LSU, MCM7, RPB2, and EF1A; Fig. 1) and two-loci (ITS and LSU; Fig. 2) phylogenetic analyses, Zongqia was related to Pseudeurotium with high support values ( $1 \mathrm{PP} / 100 \% \mathrm{BS}$ ). However, because no ITS, LSU, MCM7, RPB2, and EF1A sequence data were reported for Ascophanus, Ascozonus, Caccobius, Coprobolus, Leptokalpion, Neelakesa, and Pseudascozonus (22), we could not compare the phylogenetic relationships between these genera and Zongqia. Morphologically, because there is no record of the asexual stage of Ascophanus, Ascozonus, Caccobius, Coprobolus, Leptokalpion, Neelakesa, and Pseudascozonus in the literature (29), we could not compare the morphology between these genera and Zongqia. Of the remaining genera, Zongqia is similar to Pseudeurotium, but there are still noteworthy differences between them. Zongqia is distinguished from Pseudeurotium by the presence of chains of conidia, conidiophores degenerated into conidiophore cells, clavate conidiophores cells, and no observed sexual morph.

Zongqia sinensis Zhang and Han, sp. nov. (Fig. 10). MycoBank number: MB 840448. Etymology: named after China where the species is distributed. Diagnosis: the main diagnostic criteria of the species Zongqia sinensis are presence of chains of conidia, conidiophores degenerated into conidiophore cells, clavate conidiophores cells. Type: China, Guizhou Province, Guiyang, The Affiliated Hospital of Guizhou Medical University, $26.594218 \mathrm{~N}, 106.713166 \mathrm{E}$, isolated from green belt soil, 13 September 2019, Z.Y. Zhang. (Holotype HMAS 350325, stored in a metabolically inactive state; ex-holotype culture CGMCC 3.20471 = GZUIFR 21.823, ibid., GZUIFR 21.824.) GenBank: MZ444088, MZ444089 (ITS); MZ444115, MZ444116 (LSU); MZ490770, MZ490771 (MCM7); MZ488553, MZ488554 (RPB2).

Description. Sexual morph: not observed. Asexual morph: colonies grow slowly on PDA, reaching 11 to 13 mm diameter after 14 days at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, suborbicular, white, floccose, margins regular; reverse white, no growth at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hyphae hyaline, branched, septate, smooth, 1.5 to $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide. Conidiophores not observed but degenerated into conidiophore cells. Conidiophore cells hyaline, cylindrical, clavate, arising directly from the aerial hyphae, smooth-walled, solitary. Conidia aseptate, smooth-walled, one-celled, solitary, obovate to subobovoid, 5 to 9 by 3 to $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$; or 2 to 20 in chains, obovate, subglobose, fusiform and obtuse at apex and base, sometimes cylindrical, clavate, 3.5 to 8.5 (to 12 ) by 2.5 to $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$. Chlamydospores not observed.

Substrate: soil. Distribution: Guiyang City, Guizhou Province, China. Material examined: China, Guizhou Province, Guiyang, Guizhou University, 26.444504N, 106.669296E, isolated from green belt soil, 13 September 2019, Z.Y. Zhang, GZUIFR 21.825. GenBank: MZ444090 (ITS); MZ444117 (LSU); MZ490772 (MCM7); MZ488555 (RPB2). Guizhou Province, Guiyang, Qianlingshan Park, 26.592019N, 106.695434E, isolated from green


FIG 10 Zongqia sinensis (from ex-holotype CGMCC 3.20471). (A, B) Upper and reverse views of culture on PDA 14 days after inoculation; (C to E, H, I, L, M) conidia chains; (F) conidia borne on hyphae; (G) differentiation of conidiophore cells; (J) two conidia on the apex of conidiophore cells; (K) degenerated conidiophores; ( N to P ) solitary conidia. Scale bars ( C to P ), $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
belt soil, 13 September 2019, Z.Y. Zhang, GZUIFR 21.826. GenBank: MZ444091 (ITS); MZ444118 (LSU); MZ490773 (MCM7); MZ488556 (RPB2).

Notes. Based on multilocus phylogenetic analyses (Fig. 1 and 2) and similar morphological characteristics, the four strains are regarded as the same species, which cluster together very well and form a single clade separated from other species of Thelebolales. Morphologically, Zongqia sinensis is the only species that produces the conidia chains in this order. Therefore, based on both morphological and phylogenetic evidence, $Z$. sinensis is proposed as a novel species as a type of Zongqia.

Scedosporium haikouense Zhang, Han, and Liang, sp. nov. (Fig. 11). MycoBank number: MB 840443. Etymology: refers to Haikou, the city where the isolate was collected.


FIG 11 Scedosporium haikouense (from ex-holotype CGMCC 3.20468). (A, B) Upper and reverse views of culture on PDA 14 days after inoculation; ( C to J) conidiogenous cells and conidia. Scale bars ( C to J), $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Diagnosis: the main diagnostic criteria of the species Scedosporium haikouense are abundant ovoid, ellipsoidal, subcylindrical conidia, conidiogenous cells solitary or 2 to 3 fascicled conidia, and absent pigment and exudates and lack of synnemata. Type: China, Hainan Province, Haikou City, Hainan university Haidian Campus, 20.059602N, 110.330436E, isolated from green belt soil, 28 August 2019, Z.Y. Zhang. (Holotype HMAS 350313, stored in a metabolically inactive state; ex-holotype culture CGMCC 3.20468 = GZUIFR 21.833, ibid., GZUIFR 21.834.) GenBank: MZ469289, MZ469290 (ITS); MZ488563, MZ488564 (BT2).

Description. Sexual morph: not observed. Asexual morph: colonies on PDA attaining 54 to 56 mm diameter after 5 days at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, fluffy, flavescens to white, gray at margins, annular at the center, margin slightly undulate; reverse cream-yellow to black; absent pigment and exudates. Colonies on PDA attaining 68 to 70 mm diameter after 5 days at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hyphae hyaline, branched, septate, smooth-walled, 0.5 to $5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide. Conidiophores solitary, usually reduced to conidiogenous cells, arising terminally or laterally from hypha, hyaline, smooth-walled, cylindrical, 1.5 to 26.0 by 1.0 to $2.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ( $n=50$ ). Conidia are borne on hyphae, short protrusions, or side branches, one-celled, solitary, or 2 to 3 fascicled, pale brown to brown, ovoid, ellipsoidal, subcylindrical and bilaterally compressed, rounded at the ends, 5.0 to 9.0 by 3.0 to $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$. Synnemata not observed.

Substrate: soil. Distribution: Haikou City, Hainan Province, China.
Notes. Phylogenetically, Scedosporium haikouense is closely related to Scedosporium rarisporum, Scedosporium cereisporum, and S. aurantiacum. However, S. haikouense can be distinguished from $S$. rarisporum by the presence of abundant ovoid, ellipsoidal,


FIG 12 Scedosporium hainanense (from ex-holotype CGMCC 3.20469). (A, B) Upper and reverse views of culture on PDA 14 days after inoculation; ( C to I ) conidiogenous cells and conidia; (J and K) synnematous conidiomata. Scale bars (C to J), $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
subcylindrical conidia (30), from S. cereisporum by the solitary conidiogenous cells, solitary or 2 to 3 fascicled conidia (31), and from S. aurantiacum by the absent pigment and exudates and lack of synnemata (32).

Scedosporium hainanense Zhang, Han, and Liang, sp. nov. (Fig. 12). MycoBank number: MB 840445. Etymology: refers to Hainan, the province where the isolate was collected. Diagnosis: similar to S. apiospermum but differs in the ellipsoidal conidia. Type: China, Hainan Province, Sanya City, Hainan Tropical Ocean University, 18.311670N, 109.534152E, isolated from green belt soil, 26 August 2019, Z.Y. Zhang. (Holotype HMAS 350311, stored in a metabolically inactive state; ex-holotype culture CGMCC 3.20469 = GZUIFR 21.829.) GenBank: MZ469285 (ITS); MZ488559 (BT2).

Description. Sexual morph: not observed. Asexual morph: colonies on PDA attaining 38 to 43 mm diameter after 5 days at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, cottony, floccose, light gray, margins irregular; reverse peltricolor, white to margins; absent pigment and exudates. Colonies on PDA attaining 64 to 66 mm diameter after 5 days at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hyphae hyaline, branched, septate, smooth-walled, 0.5 to $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide. Conidiophores solitary, often consisting of a single conidiogenous cell, or arranged in whorls of 2 to 3 conidiogenous cells, arising terminally or laterally from hypha, undifferentiated hypha, short-stalked, or inside branches. Conidiogenous cells annellidic, hyaline, thin- and smooth-walled, lateral or


FIG 13 Scedosporium multisporum (from ex-holotype CGMCC 3.20470). (A, B) Upper and reverse views of culture on PDA 14 days after inoculation; ( C to G ) conidiogenous cells and conidia; ( H and I ) synnematous conidiomata. Scale bars (C to I), $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
terminal, cylindrical or slightly broad at the base, sometimes with several annellations at the top with the age, 2.5 to 33.0 by 1.0 to $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$. Conidia are borne on hyphae, short protrusions, or side branches, one-celled, solitary, hyaline, ovoid, 5.0 to 8.0 by 2.5 to $6.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$, ellipsoidal, 5.5 to 7.0 by 5.0 to $5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$. Conidiomata synnematous, erect, consisting of a cylindrical stipe, hyaline, smoothwalled; conidia cylindrical or claviform with a truncated base, 4.5 to 8.5 by 2.5 to $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$.

Substrate: soil. Distribution: Sanya and Danzhou City, Hainan Province, China. Material examined: China, Hainan Province, Sanya City, Seaside parks, 18.272349N, 109.479274E, isolated from green belt soil, 26 August 2019, Z.Y. Zhang, GZUIFR 21.828. GenBank: MZ469284 (ITS); MZ488558 (BT2). Hainan Province, Danzhou City, Hainan University Danzhou Campus, 19.508080N, 109.494579E, isolated from green belt soil, 27 August 2019, Z.Y. Zhang, GZUIFR 21.827. GenBank: MZ469283 (ITS); MZ488557 (BT2).

Notes. Morphological and phylogenetic data (Fig. 3) support our strains as new species of Scedosporium hainanense. Scedosporium hainanense is phylogenetically closely related to S. apiospermum complex that comprises Scedosporium angustum, S. apiospermum, S. boydii, S. ellipsoideum, and Scedosporium fusarium. However, S. hainanense can be distinguished from S. apiospermum by the ellipsoidal conidia. We did not compare morphological characteristics between S. hainanense and the S. apiospermum complex (S. angustum, S. apiospermum, S. boydii, S. ellipsoideum, and Scedosporium fusarium) because of the lack of asexual morph descriptions of these species (33).

Scedosporium multisporum Zhang, Han, and Liang, sp. nov. (Fig. 13). MycoBank number: MB 840446. Etymology: referring to the 2 to 3 fascicled conidia. Diagnosis: similar to S. apiospermum complex but differs in the presence of 2 to 3 fascicled conidia, conidiomata synnematous. Type: China, Hunan Province, Huaihua City, Huaihua University, 27.572703N, 110.023832E, isolated from green belt soil, 12 August 2019,
Z.Y. Zhang. (Holotype HMAS 350312, stored in a metabolically inactive state; ex-holotype culture CGMCC $3.20470=$ GZUIFR 21.830, ibid., GZUIFR 21.831; ibid., GZUIFR 21.832.) GenBank: MZ469286, MZ469287, MZ469288 (ITS); MZ488560, MZ488561, MZ488562 (BT2).

Description. Sexual morph: not observed. Asexual morph: colonies on PDA attaining 45 to 50 mm diameter after 5 days at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, cottony, powdery at the center; reverse white, light yellow at the center; absent pigment and exudates. Colonies on PDA attaining 70 to 73 mm diameter after 5 days at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hyphae hyaline, branched, septate, smooth-walled, 1.0 to $4.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide. Conidiophores solitary, often consisting of a single conidiogenous cell, or arranged in whorls of 2 to 3 conidiogenous cells, arising terminally or laterally from hypha, undifferentiated hypha, short-stalked, or inside branches. Conidiogenous cells annellidic, hyaline, thin- and smooth-walled, lateral or terminal, cylindrical or slightly broad at the base, sometimes with several annellations at the top with the age, 0.5 to 16.0 by 1.0 to $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$. Conidia are borne on hyphae, short protrusions, or side branches, one-celled, solitary, or 2 to 3 fascicled, hyaline, ovoid to subglobose, 3.0 to 7.5 by 3.0 to $5.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$. Conidiomata synnematous, erect, consisting of a cylindrical stipe, hyaline, smooth-walled; conidia cylindrical, ovoid, long ovoid with a truncated base, 5.0 to 10.0 by 2.0 to $4.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=50)$.

Substrate: soil. Distribution: Huaihua City, Hunan Province, China.
Notes. Scedosporium multisporum is phylogenetically closely related to the S. apiospermum complex that comprises S. angustum, S. apiospermum, S. boydii, S. ellipsoideum, and S. fusarium. However, S. multisporum is distinguished from other species of Scedosporium by the presence of 2 to 3 fascicled conidia, conidiomata synnematous (33).

Parascedosporium sanyaense (Han, Zheng, Luo, Wang, and Liang 2017) Zhang, Han, and Liang 2021, comb. nov. MycoBank: MB 818105. Basionym: Scedosporium sanyaense (see reference 30).

Description: Y.F. Han, Huan Zheng, Y. Luo, Y.R. Wang, and Z.Q. Liang 2017.
Notes. In 2017, Han et al. introduced S. sanyaense to the genus Scedosporium, based on morphological and internal transcribed spacers (ITS) phylogenetic analysis (30). However, in our phylogenetic study, S. sanyaense is placed in the genus Parascedosporium. Therefore, we propose a new combination for that species.

## DISCUSSION

The hair baiting technique was first used to isolate keratinophilic fungi from the soil by Vanbreuseghem (34) and has become applied widely. So far, the investigation of such resources is still dominated by traditional isolated cultures and baiting with materials of human or animal origin, such as feathers (35), horsehair (4), wool (36), human hair (37), and human nails (38). Only a small number of studies have used next-generation sequencing technologies (39).

Taxonomy and phylogenetic identification of fungi remain significant challenges (40). One of the main fundamental needs in fungal ecology is a strong taxonomic basis, which is dependent on advances in nucleic acid sequence technology. However, some researchers have relied too much on these techniques to the complete exclusion of fungal isolation and characterization using classical methods. While bacterial microbiome studies have relatively reliable taxonomic identification using 16 S ribosomal DNA (rDNA) and even metagenome sequencing, mycobiome studies are still few and far between, with limited taxonomic interpretation capabilities. Indeed, phenotypic and culture-based studies remain an invaluable tool for fungal biology and ecology (41). The advantage of placing these organisms in pure culture is, of course, that almost all aspects of their biology can be studied, which may help to understand how they function in their natural ecological context. Thus, many challenges remain in studying the hundreds of niches on Earth that may be inhabited by fungi, not only to demonstrate their presence in these niches but also to culture them in pure form and store them properly for further study (42).

The ability of microorganisms to degrade recalcitrant materials has been widely explored for environmental remediation and industrial production. Significant success

TABLE 2 Primers used in this study

| Locus | Primer | Primer sequence $5^{\prime}$ to $3^{\prime}$ | Orientation | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITS | ITS1 | TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG | Forward | 56 |
|  | ITS4 | TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC | Reverse | 56 |
| Beta-tubulin (BT2) | Bt2a | GGTAACCAAATCGGTGCTGCTITC | Forward | 57 |
|  | Bt2b | ACCCTCAGTGTAGTGACCCTTGGC | Reverse | 57 |
| Large subunit ribosomal DNA (LSU) | LROR | ACCCGCTGAACTTAAGC | Forward | 58 |
|  | LR7 | TACTACCACCAAGATCT | Reverse | 59 |
| Translation elongation factor 1-alpha (TEF1- $\alpha$ ) | 983F | GCYCCYGGHCAYCGTGAYTTYAT | Forward | 60 |
|  | EF1-2218R | ATGACACCRACRGCRACRGTYTG | Reverse | 60 |
| RNA polymerase II subunit 2 (RPB2) | fRPB2-7cF | ATGGG[T/C]AA[A/G]CAAGC[T/C]ATGGG | Forward | 61 |
|  | RPB2-3053bR | TGRATYTTRTCRTCSACCAT | Reverse | 62 |
| Minichromosomal maintenance protein 7 (MCM7) | MCM7-709 | ACIMGIGTITCVGAYGTHAARCC | Forward | 63 |
|  | MCM7-1348 | GAYTTDGCIACICCIGGRTCWCCCAT | Reverse | 63 |

has been achieved with single strains, but the focus is now on the use of microbial consortia because of their functional stability and efficiency (43). The keratin degradation process requires the synergistic action of different enzymes, such as endoproteases, exoproteases, oligopeptidases, and disulfide reductases (44); thus, this process involves the synergistic cooperation of multiple species. We did not isolate purified fungal strains directly from feathers after enrichment using hair bating but did isolate members of the fungal community from the soil. Therefore, we could not determine whether the obtained strains are keratinophilic fungi and whether they are able to degrade and utilize keratin. However, numerous studies have shown that many members of Thelebolales and Scedosporium are indeed keratinophilic fungi (45-48). Hence, we think that our obtained strains are the keratinophilic fungi and should at least be constituent members of the ker-atin-degrading fungal consortia, although it is not clear what role they play in this consortium. In this study, 10 new species were identified and introduced, not only contributing to the further understanding of the keratin-degrading fungal community but also accumulating strains for future artificially constructed keratin-degrading microbial consortia.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling, fungal isolation, and morphology. Soil samples were collected from Guizhou, Hunan, Zhejiang, Yunnan, Fujian, Hainan, Jiangxi, Guangdong, and Zhejiang provinces in southern China and transported to the laboratory in Ziploc plastic bags. The soil samples were processed using the method we described previously (22). Briefly, clean and sterile chicken feathers were placed in a sterile petri dish after the soil sample was added, wetted with distilled water, and incubated at room temperature for 1 month. Fungi were isolated using a conventional dilution technique based on Sabouraud's dextrose agar (SDA; 10 g of peptone, 40 g of dextrose, 20 g of agar, 1 liter of $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) supplemented with chloramphenicol and cycloheximide, and the purification of the strains was performed using potato dextrose agar (PDA; Shanghai Bioway Technology Co., Ltd., China) ( 20,22 ). Colonies on PDA were incubated after 14 days at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the cultures were placed to slowly dry at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to produce the holotype. Holotypes were deposited in the Mycological Herbarium of the Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China (HMAS). All strains were deposited in the Institute of Fungus Resources, Guizhou University (GZUIFR, the Herbarium of Guizhou Agricultural College, code GZAC), and the ex-type strains were also deposited in the China General Microbiological Culture Collection Center (CGMCC). The living cultures were stored in a metabolically inactive state, i.e., kept in sterile $30 \%$ glycerol in a $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ freezer. Macroscopic and morphological characterization of the colonies was performed on PDA incubated for 14 days in the dark at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The characterization and measurement of fungal microscopic characteristics were performed in $25 \%$ lactic acid. Images were obtained using an optical microscope (OM; DM4 B, Leica, Germany) with differential interference contrast (DIC). Taxonomic descriptions and nomenclature were deposited at MycoBank (https://www.mycobank.org/).

DNA extraction, PCR amplification, and sequencing. Total genomic DNA was extracted from fungal mycelia using the BioTeke fungus genomic DNA extraction kit (DP2032, BioTeke, Beijing, China) following the manufacturer's instructions. Multiple loci were amplified and sequenced for each new isolate, and the primer sets are listed in Table 2. Amplification conditions were carried out as in the original literature where the primers were reported. The PCR thermal cycle programs for each locus amplification were performed as in the original literature where the primers were reported. The PCR products were sequenced with the amplified primers at a commercial sequencing service provider (Shanghai Sangon Biological Engineering Technology \& Services Co., Shanghai, China) in an ABI 3730xI DNA analyzer using the Sanger method. The consensus sequences were obtained using the SeqMan software v. 7 (DNASTAR Lasergene, Madison, WI, USA).

Phylogenetic analysis. The data sets were assembled based on the closest matches from the BLASTn search results and recently published data. Sequences generated from each locus were analyzed

TABLE 3 List of GenBank accession numbers of sequences used in this study ${ }^{a}$

| Species | Strain | GenBank accession no. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ITS | LSU | MCM7 | RPB2 | TEF1 | BT2 |
| Thelebolales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alatospora acuminata | CBS 104.88 | MH862121 | MH873811 |  |  |  |  |
| Alatospora constricta | CCM F-11302 | KC834040 | KC834017 |  |  |  |  |
| Alatospora pulchella | CCM F-502 | KC834039 | KC834019 |  |  |  |  |
| Antarctomyces pellizaniae | UFMGCB 12416 | KX576510 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antarctomyces psychrotrophicus | CBS 100573 | MH874317 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cleistothelebolus nipigonensis | CBS 778.70 | MH859938 | MH871738 |  |  |  |  |
| Crinula caliciiformis | AFTOL-ID 272 | KT225524 | AY544680 |  |  |  |  |
| Epiglia gloeocapsae | CBS 126301 | MH863968 | MH875423 |  |  |  |  |
|  | CBS 126302 | MH863969 | MH875424 |  |  |  |  |
| Geomyces auratus | CBS 108.14 | KF039895 | KF017864 | KF017690 | KF017746 | KF017805 |  |
| Geomyces obovatus | CGMCC 3.18491 | MT509362 | MT509376 | MT534202 | MT534216 | MT534227 |  |
|  | CGMCC 3.18492 | MT509363 | MT509377 | MT534203 | MT534217 | MT534228 |  |
| Gorgomyces honrubiae | CCM F-12003 | KC834057 | KC834028 |  |  |  |  |
|  | CCM F-12696 | KC834058 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gymnostellatospora alpina | CBS 620.81 | MH861383 | MH873132 |  |  |  |  |
| Gymnostellatospora bhattii | CBS 760.71 | MH860337 | MH872092 |  |  |  |  |
|  | CBS 761.71 | MH860338 | MH872093 |  |  |  |  |
|  | CBS 762.71 | MH860339 | MH872094 |  |  |  |  |
| Holwaya mucida | NBRC 112552 | LC425042 | LC429385 |  |  |  |  |
|  | TU 112863 | MH752062 | KX090844 |  |  |  |  |
| Leuconeurospora pulcherrima | CBS 343.76 | KF049206 | FJ176884 |  | FJ238367 | FJ238409 |  |
| Leuconeurospora sp. | 02NH04 | JX270349 | KF017817 | KF017648 | KF017702 | KF017757 |  |
|  | 15PA04 | JX270479 | KF017841 | KF017669 | KF017725 | KF017781 |  |
| Miniancora allisoniens | CCM F-30487 | KC834064 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Patinella hyalophaea | H.B. 9739 | KT876978 | KT876978 |  |  |  |  |
| Pseudeurotium bakeri | CBS 128111 | MH864831 | MH876274 |  |  |  |  |
|  | CBS 128112 | MH864832 | MH876275 |  |  |  |  |
|  | CBS 128113 | MH864833 | MH876276 |  |  |  |  |
|  | CBS 878.71 | MH860393 | MH872136 |  |  |  |  |
| Pseudeurotium hygrophilum | CBS 102670 | AY129291 | MH874401 |  |  |  |  |
|  | CBS 102671 | AY129292 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S661 | KP644137 | KP644138 |  |  |  |  |
| Pseudeurotium ovale | CBS 389.54 | MH857368 | MH868913 |  |  |  |  |
|  | CBS 454.62 | MH858209 | MH869809 |  |  |  |  |
|  | CBS 531.71 | MH860256 | MH872019 |  |  |  |  |
| Pseudeurotium ovale var. ovale | UAMH 5825 | KJ755521 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pseudeurotium zonatum | CBS 126947 | MH864346 | MH875790 |  |  |  |  |
|  | CBS 130172 | MH865520 | MH876956 |  |  |  |  |
|  | CBS 329.36 | AY129286 | DQ470988 |  | DQ470940 | DQ471112 |  |
|  | CBS 391.61 | MH858096 | MH869666 |  |  |  |  |
| Pseudogymnoascus appendiculatus | 02NH11 | JX270356 | KF017819 | KF017650 | KF017704 | KF017759 |  |
|  | 07MA02 | JX270402 | KF017827 | KF017658 | KF017712 | KF017767 |  |
| Pseudogymnoascus catenatus | GZUIFR 21.813 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MZ444078 | MZ444105 | MZ490760 | MZ488543 | MZ488520 |  |
|  | GZUIFR $21.814^{a}$ | MZ444079 | MZ444106 | MZ490761 | MZ488544 | MZ488521 |  |
|  | GZUIFR 21.815 ${ }^{\circ}$ | MZ444080 | MZ444107 | MZ490762 | MZ488545 | MZ488522 |  |
|  | GZUIFR 21.816 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MZ444081 | MZ444108 | MZ490763 | MZ488546 | MZ488523 |  |
| Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 20631.21 | EU884921 | KF017865 | KF017691 | KF017747 | KF017806 |  |
| Pseudogymnoascus fujianensis | GZUIFR 21.819 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MZ444084 | MZ444111 | MZ490766 | MZ488549 | MZ488526 |  |
|  | GZUIFR $21.820^{\circ}$ | MZ444085 | MZ444112 | MZ490767 | MZ488550 | MZ488527 |  |
|  | GZUIFR $21.821^{\circ}$ | MZ444086 | MZ444113 | MZ490768 | MZ488551 | MZ488528 |  |
|  | GZUIFR $21.822^{a}$ | MZ444087 | MZ444114 | MZ490769 | MZ488552 | MZ488529 |  |
| Pseudogymnoascus guizhouensis | GZUIFR 376.1 | MT509369 | MT509383 | MT534209 | MT534223 | MT534234 |  |
|  | GZUIFR 376.2 | MT509370 | MT509384 | MT534210 | MT534224 | MT534235 |  |
|  | GZUIFR 376.3 | MT509371 | MT509385 | MT534211 | MT534225 | MT534236 |  |
| Pseudogymnoascus lindneri | 02NH05 | JX270350 | KF017818 | KF017649 | KF017703 | KF017758 |  |
|  | LHU. 158 | MN542212 |  |  | MN541384 | MN541383 |  |
| Pseudogymnoascus roseus | 05NY06 | JX270385 | KF017824 | KF017655 | KF017709 | KF017764 |  |
|  | 05NY08 | JX270387 | KF017825 | KF017656 | KF017710 | KF017765 |  |
|  | 05NY09 | JX270388 | KF017826 | KF017657 | KF017711 | KF017766 |  |

TABLE 3 (Continued)

| Species | Strain | GenBank accession no. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ITS | LSU | MCM7 | RPB2 | TEF1 | BT2 |
| Pseudogymnoascus shaanxiensis | GZUIFR 21.800 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MZ444065 | MZ444092 | MZ490747 | MZ488530 | MZ488507 |  |
|  | GZUIFR 21.801 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MZ444066 | MZ444093 | MZ490748 | MZ488531 | MZ488508 |  |
| Pseudogymnoascus shaanxiensis | GZUIFR CY1.8 | MT509367 | MT509381 | MT534207 | MT534221 | MT534232 |  |
|  | GZUIFR HZ5.7 | MT509366 | MT509380 | MT534206 | MT534220 | MT534231 |  |
| Pseudogymnoascus sinensis | CGMCC 3.18493 | MT509364 | MT509378 | MT534204 | MT534218 | MT534229 |  |
|  | CGMCC 3.18494 | MT509365 | MT509379 | MT534205 | MT534219 | MT534230 |  |
| Pseudogymnoascus sp. | $04 \mathrm{NY11}$ | JX270375 | KF017821 | KF017652 | KF017706 | KF017761 |  |
|  | 04NY17A | JX270378 | KF017823 | KF017654 | KF017708 | KF017763 |  |
|  | 10 NY 08 | JX270432 | KF017829 | KF017659 | KF017714 | KF017769 |  |
|  | $10 \mathrm{NYO9}$ | JX270433 | KF017830 | KF017660 | KF017715 | KF017770 |  |
|  | 10NY10 | JX270434 | KF017831 |  | KF017716 | KF017771 |  |
|  | 11 MA 03 | JX270438 | KF017832 | KF017661 | KF017717 | KF017772 |  |
|  | 11 MA 05 | JX270440 | KF017833 | KF017662 | KF017718 | KF017773 |  |
|  | 11 MA 07 | JX270442 | KF017834 | KF017663 | KF017719 | KF017774 |  |
|  | 11 MA 08 | JX270443 | KF017835 | KF017664 | KF017720 | KF017775 |  |
|  | 12NJ13 | JX270459 | KF017838 | KF017667 | KF017722 | KF017778 |  |
|  | 15PA10B | KF039894 | KF017842 | KF017670 | KF017726 | KF017782 |  |
|  | 15PA11 | JX270486 | KF017843 | KF017671 | KF017727 | KF017783 |  |
|  | 17WV03 | JX270510 | KF017844 | KF017672 | KF017728 | KF017784 |  |
|  | 17WV06 | JX270513 |  | KF017673 | KF017729 | KF017785 |  |
|  | $18 \mathrm{VA07}$ | JX270527 | KF017847 | KF017675 |  | KF017788 |  |
|  | 18VA08 | JX270528 | KF017848 | KF017676 | KF017731 | KF017789 |  |
|  | 18 VA 12 | JX270532 | KF017849 |  | KF017732 | KF017790 |  |
|  | 18VA13 | JX270533 | KF017850 |  | KF017733 | KF017791 |  |
|  | 20 KY 08 | JX270562 | KF017851 | KF017677 | KF017734 | KF017792 |  |
|  | $20 \mathrm{KY10}$ | JX270563 | KF017852 | KF017678 | KF017735 | KF017793 |  |
|  | $20 \mathrm{KY12}$ | JX270565 | KF017853 | KF017679 | KF017736 | KF017794 |  |
|  | 21IN01 | JX270568 | KF017854 | KF017680 | KF017737 | KF017795 |  |
|  | 21IN05 | JX270572 | KF017855 | KF017681 | KF017738 | KF017796 |  |
|  | $21 / \mathrm{N} 10$ | JX270577 | KF017856 | KF017682 | KF017739 | KF017797 |  |
|  | 22984-1-11 | JX415262 | KF017866 | KF017692 |  | KF017807 |  |
|  | 23014-1-16 | JX512256 | KF017867 | KF017693 | KF017748 | KF017808 |  |
|  | 24MN04 | JX270612 | KF017859 | KF017685 | KF017741 | KF017800 |  |
|  | 24MN06 | JX270614 | KF017860 | KF017686 | KF017742 | KF017801 |  |
|  | 24MN14 | JX270622 | KF017862 | KF017688 | KF017744 | KF017803 |  |
|  | 24MN18 | JX270626 | KF017863 | KF017689 | KF017745 | KF017804 |  |
|  | A07MA10 | KF039893 | KF017828 |  | KF017713 | KF017768 |  |
|  | MN-Mycosel-7 | KF039899 | KF017872 | KF017698 | KF017753 | KF017813 |  |
|  | RMF 7792 | KF039898 | KF017871 | KF017697 | KF017752 | KF017812 |  |
| Pseudogymnoascus turneri | LHU 121 | MN542213 |  |  | MN541380 | MN541379 |  |
|  | Ps5 | MN542214 |  |  | MN541382 | MN541381 |  |
| Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 01 NH 08 | JX270343 | KF017816 | KF017647 | KF017701 | KF017756 |  |
|  | 04NY16 | JX270377 | KF017822 | KF017653 | KF017707 | KF017762 |  |
|  | 24MN13 | JX270621 | KF017861 | KF017687 | KF017743 | KF017802 |  |
|  | GZUIFR 21.802 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MZ444067 | MZ444094 | MZ490749 | MZ488532 | MZ488509 |  |
|  | GZUIFR 21.803 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MZ444068 | MZ444095 | MZ490750 | MZ488533 | MZ488510 |  |
| Pseudogymnoascus yunnanensis | GZUIFR 21.807 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MZ444072 | MZ444099 | MZ490754 | MZ488537 | MZ488514 |  |
|  | GZUIFR 21.808 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MZ444073 | MZ444100 | MZ490755 | MZ488538 | MZ488515 |  |
|  | GZUIFR 21.809 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MZ444074 | MZ444101 | MZ490756 | MZ488539 | MZ488516 |  |
| Pseudogymnoascus zhejiangensis | GZUIFR 21.810 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MZ444075 | MZ444102 | MZ490757 | MZ488540 | MZ488517 |  |
|  | GZUIFR 21.811 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MZ444076 | MZ444103 | MZ490758 | MZ488541 | MZ488518 |  |
|  | GZUIFR $21.812^{a}$ | MZ444077 | MZ444104 | MZ490759 | MZ488542 | MZ488519 |  |
| Ramgea ozimecii | CNF 2/9997 | KY368752 | KY368753 |  |  |  |  |
| Solomyces guizhouensis | GZUIFR 21.804 | MZ444069 | MZ444096 | MZ490751 | MZ488534 | MZ488511 |  |
|  | GZUIFR 21.805 | MZ444070 | MZ444097 | MZ490752 | MZ488535 | MZ488512 |  |
|  | GZUIFR 21.806 | MZ444071 | MZ444098 | MZ490753 | MZ488536 | MZ488513 |  |
| Solomyces ramosus | GZUIFR 21.817 | MZ444082 | MZ444109 | MZ490764 | MZ488547 | MZ488524 |  |
|  | GZUIFR 21.818 | MZ444083 | MZ444110 | MZ490765 | MZ488548 | MZ488525 |  |
| Solomyces sinensis | CGMCC 3.18498 | MT509373 | MT509387 | MT534213 |  | MT534238 |  |
|  | CGMCC 3.18499 | MT509374 | MT509388 | MT534214 |  | MT534239 |  |
|  | CGMCC 3.18500 | MT509375 | MT509389 | MT534215 |  | MT534240 |  |

TABLE 3 (Continued)

| Species | Strain | GenBank accession no. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ITS | LSU | MCM7 | RPB2 | TEF1 | BT2 |
| Solomyces sp. | 15PA02 | JX270477 | KF017840 |  | KF017724 | KF017780 |  |
|  | 17WV02 | JX270509 | KF017845 |  | KF017730 | KF017786 |  |
| Thelebolus balaustiformis | MUT 2357 | NR_159056 | NG_067559 |  |  |  |  |
| Thelebolus globosus | CBS 113940 | MH862951 | NG_067263 |  |  |  |  |
| Thelebolus spongiae | MUT 2359 | MG813185 | MG816493 |  |  |  |  |
| Undetermined | 12NJ08 | JX270454 | KF017836 | KF017665 |  | KF017776 |  |
|  | 12NJ10 | JX270456 | KF017837 | KF017666 | KF017721 | KF017777 |  |
|  | 17WV09 | JX270515 | KF017846 | KF017674 |  | KF017787 |  |
|  | 23WI08 | JX270598 | KF017858 |  |  | KF017799 |  |
|  | 23 WI14 | JX270604 |  | KF017684 |  |  |  |
| Zongqia sinensis | GZUIFR 21.823 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MZ444088 | MZ444115 | MZ490770 | MZ488553 |  |  |
|  | GZUIFR 21.824 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MZ444089 | MZ444116 | MZ490771 | MZ488554 |  |  |
|  | GZUIFR 21.825 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MZ444090 | MZ444117 | MZ490772 | MZ488555 |  |  |
|  | GZUIFR $21.826^{\circ}$ | MZ444091 | MZ444118 | MZ490773 | MZ488556 |  |  |
| Scedosporium and related taxa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kernia columnaris | CBS 159.66 | MN991957 |  |  |  |  | MN982416 |
| Kernia geniculotricha | CBS 599.68 | MN991956 |  |  |  |  | MN982414 |
| Kernia nitida | CBS 282.52 | MN991955 |  |  |  |  | MN982415 |
| Kernia pachypleura | CBS 776.70 | MN991958 |  |  |  |  | MN982417 |
| Lomentospora prolificans | CBS 114.90 | MH862198 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DTO 402-E9 | MT316371 |  |  |  |  | MT433464 |
| Lophotrichus fimeti | CBS 129.78 | MH861119 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lophotrichus macrosporus | CBS 379.78 | MH861152 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Microascus longirostris | CBS 196.61 | LM652421 |  |  |  |  | LM652634 |
| Parascedosporium putredinis | CBS 108.10 | MH854594 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CBS 133438 | MH866067 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parascedosporium tectonae | CBS 118694 | AM749735 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Petriella guttulata | CBS 362.61 | MH858084 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Petriella setifera | CBS 385.87 | AY882345 |  |  |  |  | EU977491 |
| Petriella sordida | CBS 144612 | MK442608 |  |  |  |  | MK442740 |
| Petriellopsis africana | CBS 311.72 | AJ888425 |  |  |  |  | AJ889603 |
| Scedosporium americanum | CBS 218.35 | AM712309 |  |  |  |  | MT813192 |
|  | DMic 165285 | MT803031 |  |  |  |  | MT813191 |
| Scedosporium angusta | CBS 116914 | KT008539 |  |  |  |  | KT008468 |
|  | CBS 254.72 | AY228114 |  |  |  |  | KT008467 |
| Scedosporium apiospermum | CBS 101719 | KT008504 |  |  |  |  | KT008486 |
|  | CBS 117399 | KT008503 |  |  |  |  | KT008485 |
|  | CBS 117405 | KT008514 |  |  |  |  | KT008483 |
|  | CBS 117411 | KT008513 |  |  |  |  | KT008484 |
|  | GZUIFR 21.835 ${ }^{\circ}$ | MZ469291 |  |  |  |  | MZ488565 |
|  | GZUIFR 21.836 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MZ469292 |  |  |  |  | MZ488566 |
| Scedosporium aurantiacum | CBS 103.44 | KT008559 |  |  |  |  | KT008437 |
|  | CBS 117414 | KT008558 |  |  |  |  | KT008436 |
|  | CBS 117426 | KT008560 |  |  |  |  | KT008435 |
|  | GZUIFR $21.838^{a}$ | MZ469294 |  |  |  |  | MZ488568 |
|  | GZUIFR 21.839 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MZ469295 |  |  |  |  | MZ488569 |
| Scedosporium boydii | CBS 116898 | KT008520 |  |  |  |  | KT008458 |
|  | CBS 117390 | KT008528 |  |  |  |  | KT008465 |
|  | CBS 117392 | KT008530 |  |  |  |  | KT008466 |
|  | CBS 117417 | KT008526 |  |  |  |  | KT008464 |
|  | CBS 117432 | KT008516 |  |  |  |  | KT008456 |
| Scedosporium cereisporum | FMR 12996 | KJ599660 |  |  |  |  | KJ599659 |
| Scedosporium dehoogii | CBS 117387 | KT008552 |  |  |  |  | KT008494 |
|  | CBS 117393 | KT008553 |  |  |  |  | KT008495 |
|  | CBS 117406 | KT163400 |  |  |  |  | KT163401 |
|  | GZUIFR $21.837^{\text {a }}$ | MZ469293 |  |  |  |  | MZ488567 |
| Scedosporium desertorum | CBS 489.72 | MH860541 |  |  |  |  | KT008438 |
| Scedosporium ellipsoideum | CBS 418.73 | AJ888426 |  |  |  |  | AJ889595 |
| Scedosporium fusoideum | CBS 106.53 | AJ888428 |  |  |  |  | AJ889601 |
| Scedosporium haikouense | GZUIFR 21.833 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MZ469289 |  |  |  |  | MZ488563 |

TABLE 3 (Continued)

| Species | Strain | GenBank accession no. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ITS | LSU | MCM7 | RPB2 | TEF1 | BT2 |
| Scedosporium hainanense | GZUIFR $21.834^{a}$ | MZ469290 |  |  |  |  | MZ488564 |
|  | GZUIFR $21.827^{a}$ | MZ469283 |  |  |  |  | MZ488557 |
|  | GZUIFR $21.828^{\text {a }}$ | MZ469284 |  |  |  |  | MZ488558 |
|  | GZUIFR 21.829 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MZ469285 |  |  |  |  | MZ488559 |
| Scedosporium hunanense | GZUIFR 21.830 ${ }^{\circ}$ | MZ469286 |  |  |  |  | MZ488560 |
|  | GZUIFR $21.83{ }^{\text {a }}$ | MZ469287 |  |  |  |  | MZ488561 |
|  | GZUIFR $21.832^{a}$ | MZ469288 |  |  |  |  | MZ488562 |
| Scedosporium minutisporum | CBS 100396 | KT008555 |  |  |  |  | KT008440 |
|  | CBS 116595 | KT008557 |  |  |  |  | KT008439 |
|  | CBS 116911 | KT008556 |  |  |  |  | KT008441 |
|  | FMR 4072 | AJ888384 |  |  |  |  | AJ889592 |
| Scedosporium rarisporum | G79 | KX790702 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scedosporium sanyaense | EM 65901 | KJ001005 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EM 65901.2 | KX790701 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scedosporium sp. | GZUIFR $21.840^{\circ}$ | MZ469296 |  |  |  |  | MN541380 |
| Scopulariopsis brevicaulis | MUCL 40726 | LM652465 |  |  |  |  | LM652672 |
| Wardomyces anomalus | CBS 299.61 | LN850992 |  |  |  |  | LN851149 |
| Wardomyces giganteus | CBS 746.69 | LM652411 |  |  |  |  | LN851150 |
| Wardomyces humicola | CBS 369.62 | LN850993 |  |  |  |  | LN851151 |
| Wardomyces inflatus | CBS 216.61 | LM652496 |  |  |  |  | LN851152 |

${ }^{a}$ Accession numbers for these strains generated from this study.
along with other sequences retrieved from GenBank. The individual loci matrix was aligned with MAFFT v7.037b (49) and was further edited manually, where necessary, using BioEdit v.7.0.9.0 (50). The best-fit model of maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian analyses of each locus were estimated using IQ-TREE's ModelFinder function (51) using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC).

Phylogenetic analyses of the combined aligned data were performed under ML and Bayesian inference (BI). ML analyses were performed with IQ-TREE v. 1.6.11 (52). Bootstrap analyses were performed using the ultrafast bootstrap approximation (53) with 10,000 replicates, and bootstrap support (BS) greater than $70 \%$ was considered significantly supported. The BI was conducted with MrBayes v. 3.2.6 (54). Four Markov chains were run for two runs from random starting trees for 5 million generations, and trees were sampled every 1,000 generations. The first $25 \%$ of the sampled trees were discarded as burn-in, and the remaining ones were used to reconstruct a majority rule consensus tree and calculate Bayesian posterior probabilities (BPP) of the clades. The above analyses were carried out in PhyloSuite v1.16 (55).

Data availability. The sequences generated in this study can be found in GenBank. The accession numbers of the sequences deposited in GenBank are listed in Table 3.
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